

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark one)

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
for the Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2013

OR

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
Commission File Number 000-26372

ADAMIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

82-0429727

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

11455 El Camino Real, Suite 310, San Diego, CA 92130

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (858) 997-2400

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

None

(Title of each class)

None

(Name of each exchange on which registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$0.0001 par value

(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

YES NO

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

YES NO

Note - Checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act from their obligations under those sections.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

YES NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of the chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

YES NO

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of September 30, 2012, was \$30,145,883.

At June 28, 2013, the Company had 104,704,046 shares outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference: Portions of the proxy statement for the 2013 annual stockholders meeting are incorporated by reference into Part III.

ADAMIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION
ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
<u>Part I</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u> <u>BUSINESS</u>	1
<u>Item 1A.</u> <u>RISK FACTORS</u>	23
<u>Item 1B.</u> <u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	37
<u>Item 2.</u> <u>PROPERTIES</u>	37
<u>Item 3.</u> <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	37
<u>Item 4.</u> <u>MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u>	38
<u>Part II</u>	
<u>Item 5.</u> <u>MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS, AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	39
<u>Item 7.</u> <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	40
<u>Item 8.</u> <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>	45
<u>Item 9.</u> <u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u>	45
<u>Item 9A.</u> <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	45
<u>Item 9B.</u> <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	46
<u>Part III</u>	
<u>Item 10.</u> <u>DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	47
<u>Item 11.</u> <u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	47
<u>Item 12.</u> <u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	47
<u>Item 13.</u> <u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	47
<u>Item 14.</u> <u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES</u>	47
<u>Part IV</u>	
<u>Item 15.</u> <u>EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u>	48

Information Relating to Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K includes “forward-looking” statements. These forward-looking statements are not historical facts, but are based on current expectations, estimates and projections about our industry, our beliefs and our assumptions. These forward-looking statements include statements about our strategies, objectives and our future achievement. To the extent statements in this Annual Report on form 10K involve, without limitation, our expectations for growth, estimates of future revenue, our sources and uses of cash, our liquidity needs, our current or planned clinical trials or research and development activities, product development timelines, our future products, regulatory matters, expense, profits, cash flow balance sheet items or any other guidance on future periods, these statements are forward-looking statements. These statements are often, but not always, made through the use of word or phrases such as “believe,” “will,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “intend,” “plan,” and “would.” These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and concern matters that could subsequently differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements. Actual events or results may differ materially from those discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Except as may be required by applicable law, we undertake no obligation to release publicly the results of any revisions to these forward-looking statements or to reflect events or circumstances arising after the date of this Report. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in these forward-looking statements are disclosed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including, without limitation, under the headings “Item 1A. Risk Factors,” “Item 1. Business” and “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” as well as other risks identified from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, press releases and other communications.

In addition, many forward-looking statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including statements concerning, among other matters, current or planned clinical trials, anticipated research and development activities, anticipated dates for commencement of clinical trials, anticipated completion dates of clinical trials, anticipated dates for meetings with regulatory authorities and submissions to obtain required regulatory marketing approvals, anticipated dates for commercial introduction of products, and other statements concerning our future operations and activities, assume that we are able to obtain sufficient funding in the near term and thereafter to support such activities and continue our operations and planned activities. As discussed herein, including under “Item 1A. Risk Factors” and in “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” we require additional funding to continue operations, and there are no assurances that such funding will be available. Failure to timely obtain required funding would adversely affect and could delay or prevent our ability to realize the results contemplated by such forward looking statements.

Unless the context otherwise requires, the terms “we,” “our,” and “the Company” refer to Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, a Delaware corporation, and its subsidiaries. Savvy and, C31G®, Aerokid®, AeroOtic®, and Prelone® are our trademarks, among others. We also refer to trademarks of other corporations and organizations in this document .

PART I

ITEM 1: BUSINESS

In the discussion below, all statements concerning market sizes, annual U.S. sales of products, U.S. prescriptions and rates of prescriptions, the incidence of diseases or conditions in the general population, and similar statistical or market information are based on data published by the following sources: IMS Health Sales Perspectives, Retail and Non-Retail Combined Report, referred to as the IMS Report; National Data Corporation's Epinephrine Prescription and Dollar Data, referred to as the NDC Report; Commercial and Pipeline Insight: Allergic Rhinitis, published by DataMonitor, referred to as the DataMonitor Report; AAAAI — American Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology Allergy Statistics for the U.S., referred to as the AAAAI Statistics; American Cancer Society, Cancer, Facts & Figures 2009, referred to as ACS Statistics; and SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2007, National Cancer Institute, referred to as the NCI Statistics.

Company Overview

Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation is an emerging pharmaceutical company engaged in the development and commercialization of a variety of specialty pharmaceutical products. Our products are concentrated in major therapeutic areas including oncology (cancer), immunology and infectious diseases (viruses) and allergy and respiratory.

We are focused on the development of preventive and therapeutic vaccine products and cancer drugs for patients with unmet medical needs. During 2010, we acquired rights under three exclusive license agreements covering three small molecule compounds, named APC-100, APC-200 and APC-300, that we believe are promising drug candidates for the potential treatment of human prostate cancer (PCa). The intellectual property covered by the agreements was licensed from the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, or WARF. In 2006 and 2007, APC-100 and APC-200, respectively, received the National Cancer Institute's multi-year, multi-million dollar RAPID (Rapid Access to Preventative Intervention Development) Award. The NCI Division of Cancer Prevention gives this award each year under the RAPID Program to promising new preventative/ therapeutic anti-cancer drugs.

We previously submitted an Investigational New Drug application, or IND, to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, seeking approval to permit us to commence human clinical trials for the APC-100 compound in men with castrate-resistant prostate cancer. On August 11, 2011, we announced that we had enrolled the first patient in a Phase 1/2a prostate cancer clinical study relating to the use of the APC-100 product to treat men with castrate-resistant prostate cancer. The study began at the University of Wisconsin Carbone Cancer Center and was extended to the Wayne State University Karmanos Cancer Institute.

In April 2011, we acquired exclusive rights to patented telomerase-based cancer vaccine technology from the Regents of the University of California. At the same time, we acquired exclusive rights to a related patent from the Dana-Farber/Harvard Cancer Center. We intend to pursue development of the technology initially for what we believe may be a novel cell-based vaccine product for prostate cancer, tentatively named TeloB-VAX. The technology is intended to activate the body's natural defense machinery to stimulate an immune response against one of nature's most prevalent tumor markers, telomerase. We believe that the technology may have applicability to a variety of other kinds of cancer.

We have also acquired exclusive license rights to other patented potentially preventative and therapeutic vaccine technology. The vaccine technology may be applicable to certain viral-induced diseases such as influenza and hepatitis B and C, as well as prostate cancer. However, we currently intend to focus initially on the development of one or more of the other recently licensed prostate cancer product candidates and technologies, and as a result the timing of development of this viral vaccine technology is subject to uncertainty.

We are also focused on developing and commercializing products in the anti-inflammatory, allergy and respiratory field. We have developed an Epinephrine Injection USP 1:1000 (0.3mg Pre-Filled Single Dose Syringe) product, or the single dose PFS Syringe product, a pre-filled epinephrine syringe product for use in the emergency treatment of extreme acute allergic reactions, or anaphylactic shock. If launched, the product will compete in a well-established U.S. market estimated to be over \$600 million in annual sales, based on industry data. Following discussions with the FDA during fiscal 2011, we completed a regulatory dossier relating to the product, and once we obtain sufficient funding to support the costs of proceeding with the FDA filing for regulatory approval and the costs of a commercial launch of the product, we intend to submit an application to the FDA for marketing approval of the product and to commercially market the product as soon as reasonably practicable after the FDA allows for marketing of the product.

Additional product candidates in our allergy and respiratory product pipeline include a steroid HFA (hydrofluoroalkane) metered dose inhaler product, referred to as APC-1000, for asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, or COPD; a generic HFA bronchodilator, referred to as APC-2000; and an HFA pressurized metered dose nasal steroid for the treatment of seasonal and perennial allergic rhinitis, referred to as APC-3000. Our goal is to commence initial commercial sales of the APC-1000 nasal steroid product in calendar 2014 and APC-3000 in calendar 2015. During fiscal 2011, we entered into a strategic manufacturing, supply, and product development agreement with Beximco Pharmaceuticals Ltd. Beximco is a leading manufacturer of pharmaceutical formulations and active pharmaceutical ingredients in Bangladesh. Beximco has a large number of products covering broad therapeutic categories, including asthma and allergy inhalers, antibiotics, anti-hypertensives, anti-diabetics, and anti-retrovirals. Adamis and Beximco intend to introduce a number of separate drugs into the U.S. over the next years in the allergy and respiratory areas and may co-develop certain drugs.

We also have a contraceptive gel product candidate named Savvy (C31G®). In December 2010, we announced the successful completion of a Phase 3 contraceptive trial of Savvy. The study met its primary endpoint and was conducted by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), National Institutes of Health (NIH), in the Contraceptive Clinical Trials Network at 14 sites in the United States. The Phase 3 trial was a randomized, double-masked, controlled comparator study to assess whether a gel containing the spermicide C31G was non-inferior to Conceptrol®, a commercially available product containing nonoxynol-9 (N-9). The clinical investigators found that C31G was not inferior in contraceptive efficacy to the comparator drug. Moreover, the gel was well-tolerated and had a high degree of acceptability in women who completed the study. Currently, to our knowledge all spermicides commercially available in the U.S. contain the active ingredient N-9 in a carrier such as a gel, film, cream, foam, suppository, or tablet. C31G does not contain nonoxynol-9 and, if commercialized, may offer an alternative for women who seek a non-hormonal method of contraception. In considering commercialization alternatives, we will likely focus on seeking to enter into an out-licensing or similar transaction with organizations that have a focus or business unit in the area of contraception.

Our general business strategy is to generate revenue through launch of our allergy and respiratory products in development, in order to generate cash flow to help fund expansion of our allergy and respiratory business, as well as support our future cancer and vaccine product development efforts. To achieve our goals and support our overall strategy, we will need to raise a substantial amount of funding and make substantial investments in equipment, new product development and working capital. We estimate that approximately \$1.5 million to \$2.1 million will be required to support the regulatory application and a commercial launch of the PFS Syringe product following marketing approval.

Recent Developments

On June 26, 2013, we completed a private placement financing transaction with a small number of accredited institutional investors. Pursuant to a subscription agreement and other transaction documents, we issued secured convertible promissory notes (the "Secured Notes"), and common stock purchase warrants ("Warrants") to purchase up to 13,004,316 shares of common stock, and received gross cash proceeds of \$5,300,000, excluding transactions costs, fees and expenses. The Secured Notes have an aggregate principal amount of \$6,502,158, including a \$613,271 principal amount Secured Note issued in exchange for a previously outstanding convertible note, which is no longer outstanding. The maturity date of the Secured Notes is December 26, 2013. Our obligations under the Secured Notes and the other transaction agreements are guaranteed by our principal subsidiaries and, pursuant to a Security Agreement entered into with the investors, are secured by a security interest in substantially all of our assets and those of the subsidiaries. The Secured Notes are convertible into shares of common stock at any time at the discretion of the investor at an initial conversion price per share of \$0.50. The transaction documents include price anti-dilution provisions providing that subject to certain exceptions, if we issue common stock or securities convertible into or exercisable for common stock at an effective price per share below the conversion price of the Secured Notes or Warrants, the conversion price of the Secured Notes, or the exercise price of the Warrants and the number of shares issuable upon exercise of the Warrants (as applicable), will be adjusted downward to equal the effective per share price of the new securities. The Warrants have a term of five years and have an exercise price of \$0.715 per share, subject to adjustment. We have agreed to file a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission to register for resale the common stock issuable upon conversion of the Secured Notes and exercise of the Warrants.

Corporate Background

Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation was founded in June 2006 as a Delaware corporation. Effective April 1, 2009, the company formerly named Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, or Old Adamis, completed a business combination transaction with Cellegy Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or Cellegy. Before the merger, Cellegy was a public company and Old Adamis was a private company. In connection with the consummation of the merger and pursuant to the terms of the definitive merger agreement relating to the transaction, Cellegy was the surviving corporation in the merger and changed its name from Cellegy Pharmaceuticals, Inc. to Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, and Old Adamis survived as a wholly-owned subsidiary and changed its corporate name to Adamis Corporation.

We have two wholly-owned subsidiaries: Adamis Corporation and Biosyn, Inc., which has rights to the C31G product. Adamis Corporation has two wholly-owned subsidiaries: Adamis Viral Therapies, Inc., or Adamis Viral, which was formed to focus on our cancer and vaccine technologies; and Adamis Laboratories, Inc., or Adamis Labs, which was formed to focus on our allergy and respiratory products.

Allergy and Respiratory Specialty Pharmaceutical Products

Our current allergy and respiratory product pipeline includes the single dose epinephrine pre-filled PFS Syringe product, an inhaled nasal steroid product candidate, and additional asthma and allergy products.

Single Dose Epinephrine Pre-Filled Syringe Product

There is a well-defined, market in the United States for patient-administered emergency epinephrine injectors used in the treatment of anaphylaxis. Based on information in the AAAAI Statistics, in the U.S., an estimated 5% of the population suffers from insect sting anaphylaxis, up to 6% are latex sensitive and up to 1.5% of adults and 5% of children under three years of age experience food related anaphylaxis. In January 2001, a published study by AAAAI revealed that up to 40 million Americans may be at risk for anaphylaxis, a significantly higher number than the historically estimated at-risk population; the actual number could be lower than this estimate. According to information in the AAAAI Statistics, approximately 3,000 people in the U.S. die each year from anaphylaxis; the actual number of deaths in any particular year could, of course, be lower than this estimate.

The number of prescriptions for epinephrine products has grown annually, as the risk of anaphylaxis has become more widely understood. According to the IMS Report, total prescriptions for EpiPen® products has historically grown at a rate of approximately 15% per year and has more than doubled in the five year period from 2007 to 2012. We believe that the growth rate of annual prescriptions will decline, and there are no assurances concerning the rate of annual growth or whether annual prescriptions will decline or grow in the future.

EpiPen® was originally developed by Meridian Medical Technologies, Inc. as an auto-injection system for use by military personnel. It was designed for self-administration as an antidote for chemical warfare agents and morphine. The EpiPen® products were introduced to the market in 1982 and were the only epinephrine injectors for allergic emergencies that were available until 2005. In August 2005, another company introduced a competing product, Twinject® Dual Pack, (and now Adrenaclick®) 0.3mg epinephrine auto injectors. Late in 2012 AUVI-Q was introduced as another competing auto-injector alternative product to the EpiPen.

We believe that there are certain difficulties relating to, or limitations on, market entry for new competitors based on epinephrine's susceptibility to contamination, sensitivity to heat and light and a short shelf-life, as well as the need for a competitor to possess the expertise to overcome the packaging and delivery challenges of introducing a competing product to the market. We also believe that the size of the market may be too small to be a major focus of the large pharmaceutical companies, although there can be no assurances that this will be the case.

We believe that the primary opportunity lies in the 0.3 mg segment, which constitutes a substantial majority of the total market, based on EpiPen unit sales history and the NDC Report. When sales of dual packs of EpiPen and Twinject/Adrenaclick are converted to single units, the total target market in the U.S. is estimated to be at least 2.5 million single units per year.

We believe that there is an opportunity for a simple, low-cost, intuitive and user-friendly pre-filled syringe to compete in this largest segment of the market. We believe that the PFS Syringe product has the potential to compete against other marketed products based on the following factors, among others:

- **Lower Price.** We believe that a lower-priced option may be attractive to individuals potentially susceptible to anaphylaxis, as well as managed healthcare drug reimbursement plans providing patient prescription reimbursement. If marketed, we expect to introduce the PFS Syringe product at a price point reflecting a discount to the price of the leading products, in part to make the product more attractive to customers.
- **Ease of Use.** EpiPen®, EpiPen® Jr., Twinject® and Adrenaclick® are powerful spring-loaded auto-injector devices. If not administered properly, they can misfire or be misused. Our PFS pre-filled 0.3mg syringe will allow patients to self-administer (self-inject) a pre-measured epinephrine dose quickly with a device that does not have moving parts that the user cannot control.

We believe that the PFS Syringe product, if introduced, may acquire a share of the market in a manner somewhat similar to the pattern established by generic drugs, in that the price differential between the expected price of the PFS Syringe product and the price at which the market-leading product is currently sold will motivate purchasers and reimbursing payors to choose the lower cost alternative. We also believe, however, that if our product competes successfully, at least one of the current competitors may introduce a competing, low-priced, pre-filled single dose syringe while maintaining the price points of its existing product lines. We believe that the PFS Syringe product has the potential to compete successfully, although there can be no assurance that this will be the case.

Our ability to implement a commercial launch of the PFS Syringe product has been materially hampered by various factors, including limited funding and regulatory considerations. In addition, we will need to file an application with the FDA, and the FDA will need to approve the application and grant marketing approval, before the product may be launched and marketed. We have completed a regulatory dossier relating to the product, and once we obtain sufficient funding to support the costs of proceeding with the FDA filing for regulatory approval, including the filing fee, and the costs of a commercial launch of the product, we intend to submit an application to the FDA for marketing approval of the product and to commercially market the product as soon as reasonably practicable after the FDA allows for marketing of the product.

Inhaled and Nasal Steroid Products

We are developing an aerosolized inhaled nasal steroid product, which we refer to as APC-3000, for the treatment of seasonal and perennial allergic rhinitis. The market for inhaled nasal steroids, or INS, as estimated by us based on the DataMonitor Report, is at least \$3 billion annually. Our product will target a small niche within this market. Although the market is dominated by two multi-national pharmaceutical companies, we believe there is a niche that can be exploited and that our product candidate can achieve a small, but meaningful share of this market.

INS products are sold under prescription for seasonal allergic rhinitis. In addition to inhaled nasal steroids, many different types of products treat the symptoms of allergic rhinitis: in general, physicians view intranasal steroids as safe and effective. There are four major physician specialties that treat patients with allergic rhinitis: allergists; otolaryngologists, or ENTs; primary care physicians; and pediatricians. On an individual basis, the allergist is the largest prescriber of products within the INS category. ENT physicians contribute approximately one-half as many prescriptions as allergists, but that is still significantly larger than the volume of the average primary care physician.

Currently, the INS market is dominated by aqueous solution formulations delivered by a pump. These aqueous pump spray formulations have replaced chlorofluorocarbons, or CFC, propellant INS products, which once dominated the INS market. The propellant inhaled nasal steroids that were previously available have been discontinued due to concerns regarding the effects of CFC on the environment. Based on information in the IMS Report concerning 2005 sales, the two leading products, which are marketed by large pharmaceutical companies, account for over 70% of total product sales in this market. We do not anticipate competing directly against the two leading companies in this market by attempting to out-spend or out-promote them in the marketplace. We believe that our market opportunity lies in capturing a small portion of the market with a new aerosolized hydrofluoroalkane, or HFA, version of an established product, but at a discount to the current prices of the leading branded products.

We expect APC-3000 to be considered a “new” drug by the FDA, and accordingly we believe that we will be required to submit data for an application for approval to market APC-3000 pursuant to Section 505(b)(2) of the Food Drug and Cosmetics Act, although there are no assurances that this will be the case. Total time to develop the APC-3000 product, including manufacture of the product, clinical trials and FDA review, is expected to be approximately 25 months from inception of full product development efforts. We intend to request a meeting with the FDA to discuss the specific requirements to develop and sell the product in the United States.

We have chosen an organization that will assist us in developing the correct specifications, formulations, and a list of required tests that comply with the FDA regulations for the product. We intend to develop the APC-1000 product with our manufacturing partner, Beximco. Once developed, we anticipate that we will transfer the specifications to Beximco for manufacturing. APC-1000, is an HFA metered dose inhaled steroid product for asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, or COPD. The anticipated dates of development and introduction will depend on a number of factors, including the availability of adequate funding and other factors described below.

Our third product candidate that we intend to develop with Beximco, APC-2000, is a generic HFA bronchodilator for the treatment of asthma and COPD. We have had discussions with the FDA regarding regulatory approval requirements. The FDA has communicated to us that this product is subject to review under the rules governing submission of abbreviated new drug applications, or ANDAs. Once product development is completed, we anticipate submitting an ANDA application to the FDA relating to this product.

Factors that could affect the actual launch date for our allergy and respiratory product candidates include the outcome of discussions with the FDA concerning the number and kind of clinical trials that the FDA will require before the FDA will consider regulatory approval of the product, any unexpected difficulties in licensing or sublicensing intellectual property rights for other components of the product such as the inhaler, any unexpected difficulties in the ability of our suppliers to timely supply quantities for commercial launch of the product, any unexpected delays or difficulties in assembling and deploying an adequate sales force to market the product, unexpected events affecting Beximco's participation in developing and manufacturing products, and receipt of adequate funding to support product development and sales and marketing efforts.

Other Allergy and Respiratory Products

On April 23, 2007, Adamis completed the acquisition of a specialty pharmaceutical drug company named Healthcare Ventures Group, Inc., or HVG. HVG had previously acquired a group of allergy and respiratory products and certain related assets from a third party company. Net revenues from sales of our allergy and respiratory products from April 23, 2007, the date on which we acquired Adamis Laboratories, Inc., through our fiscal year ended March 31, 2010, were approximately \$1.6 million. We did not market these allergy and respiratory products during fiscal 2012 or fiscal 2013, primarily due to funding limitations and the competitive market for antihistamine/decongestant products and liquid steroids (Prelone). We believe there is limited potential for these products and we have no plans to market these products for the foreseeable future, due in part to the widespread substitution of generic products at the dispensing pharmacy level for the conditions indicated for the products, limited funding, the elimination of our field sales force, and manufacturing and regulatory challenges facing this category of pharmaceutical products.

Manufacturing Agreement with Beximco

On December 1, 2010, we announced the signing of a strategic manufacturing, supply, and product development agreement with Beximco Pharmaceuticals Ltd. Beximco is a leading manufacturer of pharmaceutical formulations and active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) in Bangladesh. Beximco has a large number of products covering broad therapeutic categories, including, but not limited to, asthma and allergy inhalers, antibiotics, anti-hypertensives, anti-diabetics, and anti-retrovirals. Beximco's manufacturing site houses a number of self-contained production units including oral solids, metered dose inhalers, intravenous fluids, liquids, ointments, creams, suppositories, ophthalmic drops, injectables and nebulizer solutions.

Subject to a number of factors including the availability of sufficient funding, Adamis and Beximco intend to introduce a number of separate drugs into the U.S. over the next years, and we intend to partner with Beximco regarding the nasal steroid and inhaler products described above. The expected focus of these drugs will be in the areas of allergy and asthma. In addition, the companies intend to co-develop certain drugs. We will be responsible for regulatory approval and sales in the U.S.

Cancer and Vaccine Product Candidates

We are focused on the development of therapeutic vaccine product candidates and prostate cancer drugs for patients with unmet medical needs in the multi-billion dollar global prostate-cancer market. We initially focused on vaccine technologies only, with initial emphasis on developing a novel avian influenza vaccine. However, with the entering into during 2010 and 2011 of license agreements relating to the APC-100, APC-200, APC-300 and telomerase vaccine technologies, we are focusing on both the small molecule cancer therapeutic drugs and on therapeutic cancer vaccine opportunities.

In February 2010, we entered into an agreement with a private company to acquire exclusive license agreements covering three small molecule compounds, named APC-100, APC-200 and APC-300, that we believe are promising drug candidates for the potential treatment of human prostate cancer (PCa). The APC-300 agreement was acquired in February 2010, and the acquisition of the other two agreements was completed in October 2010. The intellectual property covered by the agreements was licensed from the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, or WARF. In 2006 and 2007, APC-100 and APC-200, respectively, received the National Cancer Institute's multi-year, multi-million dollar RAPID (Rapid Access to Preventative Intervention Development) Award. The NCI Division of Cancer Prevention gives this award each year under the RAPID Program to promising new preventative/ therapeutic anti-cancer drugs. Collectively, more than \$18 million has been spent through government and private foundation grants and private investor funding for the development of these three new small molecule drug candidates. We submitted an Investigational New Drug Application, or IND, to the FDA at the end of February 2011 and supplemented the IND at the end of April 2011. On August 11, 2011, we announced that we had enrolled the first patient in a Phase 1/2a prostate cancer clinical study relating to the use of the APC-100 product to treat men with castrate-resistant prostate cancer. The study began at the University of Wisconsin Carbone Cancer Center and was extended to the Wayne State University Karmanos Cancer Institute.

The Human Prostate and Prostate Cancer; Disease and Market Background

In the discussion below concerning prostate cancer, all statistics, data and information concerning incidence of disease or other conditions in the general population, market sizes, annual U.S. sales of products, U.S. prescriptions and rates of prescriptions, and similar statistical or market information are based on data published by or in the following sources: MedTrack and IMMS data reports, American Cancer Society, or ACS, Statistics and National Cancer Institute, or NCI, Statistics.

The prostate is a walnut-sized gland located in front of the rectum and underneath the urinary bladder. It is found only in men. The prostate starts to develop before birth and continues to grow until a man reaches adulthood. This growth is fueled by male hormones, the so-called androgens. The main androgen produced by men is the hormone testosterone. Testosterone can be converted by the body into dihydrotestosterone, or DHT, which in turn signals the prostate to grow. The prostate stays at adult size in adult males as long as the male hormone is present at physiological levels.

A prostate cancer develops when cells in the prostate begin to grow out of control, and a cancerous tumor can form. Several types of cells are found in the prostate, but over 99% of prostate cancers develop from gland cells within the prostate. The medical term for a cancer that starts in gland cells is an "adenocarcinoma." As the tumor grows, it can spread to the interior of the prostate, to tissues near the prostate, to the sac-like structures attached to the prostate known as the seminal vesicles, and to distant parts of the body, such as the bones, liver lobes or lungs. Prostate cancer, or PCa, is one of the most invasive malignancies and a leading cause of cancer related deaths in many countries. According to the American Cancer Society and the National Cancer Institute, prostate cancer is the second-most common cancer in American men, and the second leading cause of cancer death in American men. The ACS estimates for prostate cancer in the United States for 2011 were that about 241,000 new cases of prostate cancer would be diagnosed and about 33,700 men would die of prostate cancer in 2011. The NCI has estimated that approximately 20% of patients present with locally advanced or metastatic prostate cancer at the time of diagnosis. Metastatic prostate cancer is advanced prostate cancer that has spread beyond the prostate and surrounding tissues into distant organs and tissues. The majority of men who die from prostate cancer die from the consequences of metastatic disease. According to the National Cancer Institute, the five-year survival rate of patients with prostate cancer that has metastasized to distant organs is only about 30%. Metastatic prostate cancer is generally divided into two states: the androgen hormone-sensitive, androgen-dependent or castrate sensitive PCa state, referred to as CS-PCa; and the castrate-resistant PCa state, or CR-PCa, also referred to as the androgen hormone-refractory, androgen-independent or the Androgen Deprivation Therapy, or ADT, resistant state.

Testosterone and other male sex hormones, known collectively as androgens, can fuel the growth of prostate cancer cells. Androgens exert their effects on prostate cancer cells by binding to and activating the Androgen Receptor, which is expressed in prostate cancer and other cells. When they first metastasize to distant sites, most prostate cancers depend on androgen hormone for tumor growth. These prostate cancers are CS-PCa prostate cancers. The CS-PCa tumors treated with ADT are often already inflamed or can also become chronically inflamed and invariably become CR-PCa tumors.

For patients with advanced, metastatic CS-PCa prostate cancer, the standard of care is treatment with hormonal ablation therapy, also known as ADT. ADT is used to suppress production or block the action of androgens. Accordingly, the leading therapies currently used for the treatment of prostate cancer, after it recurs following radiation or surgery, are focused on diminishing the production of androgens, or antagonizing the effects of androgens by blocking the Androgen Ligand Binding Domain on the Androgen Receptor inside prostate cancer cells with drugs known as anti-androgens. Thus, these two different effects are achieved through two separate therapeutic approaches. The first approach is often to reduce the amount of androgens produced in the body, primarily in the testes. This can be achieved by surgical castration by removal of both testicles, referred to as an orchiectomy, or alternatively through use of one or two different kinds of ADT drugs, called chemical castration.

One chemical castrating therapeutic drug is known as a luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone, or LHRH agonist drug. This type of drug is exemplified by compounds such as Zolodex that lower the native production of testosterone from the adrenal gland. A second chemical castrating therapeutic approach uses drugs known as anti-androgens, which directly block the interaction of androgens from binding to the ligand binding domain of the Androgen Receptor, or AR-LBD. For example, Bicalutamide (Casodex®) is an anti-androgen drug that binds to the AR-LBD and displaces or blocks androgen binding to the AR-LBD and thus inhibits normal AR function. Bicalutamide is now a generic. Additional generic anti-androgens include Flutamide (also known as Nilutamide). Bicalutamide is still one of the largest selling of the anti-androgen CS-PCa therapeutic drugs, with AstraZeneca reporting global annual sales of about \$550 million in 2011, according to its public disclosures of sales. Anti-androgens and LHRH agonists often are given in combination therapy, an approach known as a Combined Androgen Blockade. However, because these ADT therapies operate by reducing the ability of androgen hormone to bind and activate the AR to fuel the growth of prostate cancer cells, they generally are effective only on prostate cancers that remain hormone-sensitive, that is, those men with CS-PCa tumors that still depend on androgen and the AR-LBD for PCa cell growth. Adamis, collaborators, and many others now commonly recognize that androgen deprivation therapy causes prostate cancer cell programmed cell death, referred to as apoptosis, and can also contribute to pathophysiological chronic inflammation in men with CS-PCa. There is significant published data supporting the important role of chronic inflammation in the change from CS-PCa to CR-PCa.

Most animal and human prostate cancer initially is hormone-sensitive and thus initially responds to ADT. However, according to a study published in the October 7, 2004 issue of *The New England Journal of Medicine*, and other studies, virtually all hormone-sensitive metastatic prostate cancer (CS-PCa) are commonly believed to undergo changes that convert CS-PCa to the castration-resistant (CR-PCa) state within a median of 18-24 months after initiation of ADT. Once in this ADT resistant CR-PCa state, CR-PCa generally continues to grow even when there is a significant reduction of testosterone production. The change to the castration-resistant state is generally determined based on monitoring either rising levels of prostate-specific antigen, or PSA, in prostate patients' blood serum, or by documented disease progression as evidenced by radiographic imaging tests (via patient MRI or bone scans) or the CR-PCa patients' presentation of significant clinical symptoms, including pain with or without chronic fatigue. Metastatic prostate cancer that has become castration-resistant most often becomes more highly advanced, resistant to therapy, and extremely aggressive. These patients have a median survival of often only 10 to 16 months because, at present, there is no successful medium- or long-term chemotherapy or immunotherapy treatment for advanced metastatic CR-PCa. Treatment of patients with CR-PCa remains a clinical challenge.

In summary, the standard treatment for localized advanced, recurrent, and metastatic prostate cancer is ADT, which blocks the growth promoting effects of androgens and activates apoptosis. After an initial favorable response, progression to androgen-independence or castration resistance is the usual outcome, for which there are currently no curative treatment options. Some brief survival extensions can sometimes be achieved using current Taxol-based chemotherapy protocols, or recently approved therapies such as Provenge and ZYTIGA.

We believe that APC-100, -200 and -300 may offer significant new treatments for prostate cancer and inflammation. In animal studies conducted to date, all three of these compounds were safe and well tolerated, and are active not only against castrate sensitive, but also against castrate resistant prostate tumors.

Drug Product Candidates in Development

APC-100. APC-100 is the most advanced of the three small molecule anti-inflammatory drug candidates. In animal studies conducted to date, APC-100 demonstrated potent anti-androgenic and anti-inflammatory activities against prostate tumors growing in animal models and showed a strong safety profile in preclinical safety studies.

To date, APC-100 has demonstrated desirable pharmacological characteristics as an oral or injectable anti-inflammatory and anti-androgenic drug candidate with multiple mechanisms of action. APC-100 significantly decreases secretion of human PSA by human prostate cancer cells growing in mice and also significantly increases the time-to-tumor progression and survival of PCa mice with CS-PCa and CR-PCa tumors. In animal studies conducted to date, APC-100 was found to be more effective than Casodex and Flutamide, which are leading ADT drugs.

Based on studies to date, we believe that the APC-100 drug candidate may offer important advantages over existing anti-androgen standard of care drugs that are used in hormonal therapies in prostate cancer patients. APC-100 has the potential to be used for both castrate-sensitive and castrate-resistant prostate cancer patients. The standard of care for second-line hormonal therapies includes using existing drugs, such as steroids (hydrocortisone, dexamethasone), hormones (estrogen, aminoglutethimide) and anti-fungal agents (*ketconazole*) in “off-label” drug use settings. Each of these drugs has characteristics limiting its usefulness as a treatment for prostate cancer. We believe that APC-100 may have potential advantages over such existing treatments, most notably due to its being anti-inflammatory, anti-androgenic and multi-targeted, as well as safe and well tolerated in animal testing.

A variety of serious side effects have been associated with the use of existing second-line hormonal treatments, which are limiting their uses. To date, however, no serious side effects appear to be associated with the use of APC-100. Should APC-100 continue to demonstrate a continued lack of serious side effects, we believe it would be favorably positioned against other therapeutic PCa agents. Finally, agents used as second-line hormonal PCa agents for castration resistant prostate cancer must be taken multiple times during the day. In pre-clinical testing to date, APC-100 has shown the potential to be administered once per day as an oral drug. Such a convenient oral dosing schedule may result in better patient at home compliance, when compared to other agents that are used as second-line hormonal treatments.

In 2006, APC-100 was awarded the National Cancer Institute, or NCI, Rapid Award. The award is given for promising new drugs for the treatment of cancer and resulted in significant funding for research and development of APC-100. The development of APC-100 has been funded by Michael Milken’s Prostate Cancer Foundation, the Department of Defense’s Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs’ Prostate Cancer Research Program, as well as grants and contracts from the U.S. Public Health Service and the NCI.

We submitted an Investigational New Drug application, or IND, to the FDA at the end of February 2011, and supplemented the IND in April 2011, seeking approval to permit us to commence human clinical trials for the compound in men with castrate-resistant prostate cancer. On August 11, 2011, we announced that we had enrolled the first patient in a Phase 1/2a prostate cancer clinical study relating to the use of the APC-100 product to treat men with castrate-resistant prostate cancer. The study began at the University of Wisconsin Carbone Cancer Center and has been extended to the Wayne State University Karmanos Cancer Institute. Both of these institutions are currently named within “The Prostate Cancer Clinical Trials Consortium,” which is made up of a 13 member clinical trial research group sponsored by the Prostate Cancer Foundation and the Department of Defense that capitalizes on their scientific expertise and institutional resources with the goal of rapidly bringing new discoveries to prostate cancer patients. In the trial, each patient will be assessed for toxicity, biochemical responses (PSA), radiographic and clinical responses. We estimate that the Phase 1/2 clinical trial specified in the IND could require approximately 18 months in total, and that the total cost of the clinical trial could be in the range of approximately \$2.1 million. After completion of the anticipated Phase 1/2a APC-100 trial, we expect that we would meet with the FDA to review the trial results and determine extension of the Phase 2a to Phase 2b.

APC-200. APC-200 is a drug candidate for both castrate-sensitive and castrate resistant prostate cancer. APC-200 blocks androgen-induced hydrogen peroxide production and inflammation and inhibits mouse PCa. Whereas acute inflammation is important for host defenses, for example against acute bacterial and viral infections in the prostate, chronic inflammation can contribute significantly to prostate tumor initiation, growth, progression and metastatic PCa. In animal studies conducted to date, APC-200 was an excellent inhibitor of chronic inflammation, also completely inhibiting oxidase mediated high rates of hydrogen peroxide production *in vivo*, and significantly delaying prostate cancer progression and death in the standard mouse prostate cancer model (TRAMP - transgenic adenocarcinoma of the mouse prostate – mouse model). TRAMP mice have spontaneously developing prostate cancer, where all animals usually die from metastatic PCa at 22 weeks of age. In the TRAMP animal studies conducted to date, APC-200 repeatedly demonstrated a statistically significant therapeutic efficacy and a strong safety profile with highly desirable pharmacological therapeutic characteristics and with the capacity to be administered as either an oral or injectable drug.

APC-200 is being developed as an oral drug, specifically in appropriate formulations for patients with PCa for whom ADT is currently not approved or appropriate with standard-of-care therapeutics. APC-200 may fulfill an unmet medical need for which there is no approved drug on the market, in that it might be given after surgery or radiation, but before or with ADT, since it has been shown to be a potent anti-inflammatory drug in the animal studies conducted to date. In pre-clinical studies conducted to date, APC-200 effectively inhibited the androgen-induced oxidase-mediated increased production of hydrogen peroxide in prostate tissues and inhibited inflammation which has been recognized to be an important factor in the induction and progression of prostate cancer. In the TRAMP mouse PCa model, APC-200 increased survival and time to tumor progression, and demonstrated inhibition of PSA secretion by human tumors and low toxicity with no pro-estrogenic or other negative side-effects. In 2007, APC-200 was awarded the NCI Rapid Award.

Pre-clinical safety, pharmacology and toxicology studies are being conducted. GMP manufacture development of APC-200 for oral administration has been initiated. A clinical protocol for the use of APC-200 for the treatment of prostate cancer has been completed with the exception of the dosing schedule, which is dependent on the toxicology data. Toxicology studies and GMP manufacturing have been delayed due to lack of funding, but will be undertaken and completed once we have adequate funding. After conclusion of the pre-clinical development activities, such as GMP manufacturing of drug substance and drug product, as well as conclusion of the pre-clinical safety, pharmacology and toxicology studies, we anticipate filing and opening an Adamis-sponsored IND relating to the clinical investigation of oral APC-200 in PCa patients with castrate resistant prostate cancer, assuming adequate funding and no unexpected delays.

APC-300. APC-300 is a multi-targeted small molecule therapeutic drug that we believe has the potential to demonstrate anti-inflammatory, pro-apoptotic anti-cancer activities for prostate cancer patients, including men with advanced metastatic CR-PCa. In pre-clinical *in vivo* studies conducted to date, APC-300 repeatedly demonstrated a significant ability to inhibit human tumor growth and kill both castrate-sensitive and castrate-resistant human prostate cancer tumors. It also materially decreased human tumor volumes and suppressed local metastasis in human xenograft models, where malignant human prostate or human melanoma tumor tissue was grafted onto athymic immunosuppressed experimental mice.

APC-300 inhibited human androgen receptor protein production in these studies. It also inhibited PSA secretion by human PCa cells, which is a serum marker for human prostate cancer. Based on the pre-clinical studies conducted to date, APC-300 clearly targets microtubule assembly and regulation, inhibits inflammation and is a potent pro-apoptotic therapeutic oral drug with potential for human prostate cancer patients. Based on pre-clinical studies conducted to date, APC-300 also (i) inhibits prostate growth with simultaneous effects on the level of alpha-tubulin and beta-tubulin (the microtubule structural proteins), Stathmin (a microtubule regulating protein) and Survivin (a microtubule-regulatory downstream target/pro-survival protein), (ii) induces Fas receptor-mediated apoptotic signaling, (iii) decreases the level of the anti-apoptotic protein cFLIP, (iv) decreases transcriptional activation of Survivin and cFLIP, and (v) has a strong safety profile and desirable pharmacological characteristics with the capacity to be administered as either an oral or injectable drug or as a nutraceutical. Because of its multiple mechanisms of action, we believe that APC-300 may have potential applications in the treatment of other tumor types in which microtubule inhibitors have already been shown to be effective, including melanoma, as well as in prostate cancer. We have not yet developed a clinical protocol and other materials for submission of an IND, due to funding limitations, and we expect to begin that process once we have adequate funding.

Telomerase Vaccine Technologies

In April 2011, we acquired exclusive rights to patented telomerase-based cancer vaccine technology from the Regents of the University of California. The technology was developed by Maurizio Zanetti, M.D., at the University of California, San Diego, or UCSD. At the same time, Adamis licensed a complementary technology from the Dana-Farber/Harvard Cancer Center. We intend to pursue development of the technology initially for what we believe may be a novel cell-based vaccine product candidate for prostate cancer, tentatively named TeloB-VAX. The technology is intended to activate the body's natural defense machinery to stimulate an immune response against one of nature's most common tumor markers, telomerase. The vaccine will utilize the patient's own B cells as antigen producing and antigen presenting cells. B cells represent approximately 12% of a person's circulating blood cells. We believe that if future clinical trials prove successful, this technology may represent one of the first concrete opportunities to program the immune system to mobilize killer lymphocytes to combat cancer cells, whether these are adult differentiated cells or progenitor cancer stem cells. Since telomerase is increased in over 85% of all cancers, a vaccine product could potentially be used to treat multiple cancer types, such as breast, lung, and colon cancer.

Telomerase is an enzyme that adds DNA sequence repeats (for example, "TTAGGG") to the 3' end of DNA strands in the telomere regions of chromosomes at every cell division. Telomerase confers the immortality trait that converts normal cells into cancer cells and prevents the erosion of telomeres and end-to-end chromosomal fusion. As such, telomerase is over-expressed in the vast majority of differentiated cancer cell types. Importantly, telomerase is also necessary for self-renewal of cancer stem cells and cancer cell progenitors. Based on the foregoing, telomerase reverse transcriptase, or TERT, is an antigen or tumor marker expressed in both differentiated and progenitor cancer cells making vaccination against TERT a potentially effective measure to induce an immune response against cancer cells at both stages of differentiation.

The vaccine product candidate is composed of the patient's own circulating B lymphocytes harboring a unique patented engineered plasmid DNA. The transfection (plasmid DNA entering the B cell) procedure is "spontaneous," requiring no facilitating molecules or devices. Based on tests conducted to date, after approximately 60 minutes of incubation with the plasmid, the cells can be re-infused back into the patient. In studies conducted to date, the TeloB-VAX prostate cancer vaccine candidate induced a potent cellular immune response against the common cancer marker, TERT.

In a Phase 1 study completed at UCSD in castrate resistant prostate cancer patients, the vaccine product candidate was safe, non-toxic and immunogenic. Either a single injection or two injections of TeloB-VAX, spaced one month apart, was shown to induce a specific CD8 T cell response. More important, the T cells induced post-vaccination were shown to specifically kill prostate cancer cells.

We believe that if future trials are successful and a vaccine product is developed, such a vaccine product may have a number of competitively advantageous features, including: prolonged antigen presentation by B cells (five days); a unique patented platform technology using a cancer antigen marker that is increased in approximately 85% of all tumors; induces an immune response after a single injection; no need for complicated culture procedures; much fewer steps; and potentially lower cost than other competitive products.

We will initially focus development of the telomerase technology for prostate cancer. However, if the vaccine technology is successful, we intend to develop the technology for other indications such as breast, lung and colon cancer.

Other Vaccine Technologies

In addition, we have licensed patented vaccine technology that we believe has the potential to provide protection against a number of different viral infectious agents. This novel vaccination strategy, which employs DNA plasmids, appears, based on preclinical studies conducted to date, to have the ability to "train" a person's immune system to recognize and mount a defense against particular aspects of a virus' structure. If successful, we believe this technology will give physicians a new tool in generating immunity against a number of viral infections that have been difficult to target in the past.

The first target indication for this technology has yet to be determined, but will be based on market, technology, and patent position considerations. Disease targets might include therapeutic vaccines for Influenza, Hepatitis B and C, which are known to be involved in hepatocellular carcinomas, Human Papillomavirus, which is known to be involved in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas, and prostate cancer.

The technology that provides the basis of our research and development in this area was developed by Dr. Maurizio Zanetti, M.D., a professor at the Department of Medicine at UCSC. Dr. Zanetti has developed and patented a method of DNA vaccination by somatic transgene immunization, or STI. We have entered into a worldwide exclusive license with Dr. Zanetti, through a company of which he is the sole owner, Nevagen, LLC, to utilize the technology within the field of viral infectious agents. We believe that the technology may have broad applications and intend to target viral disease indications for its initial proof of concept.

STI, also sometimes called TLI, has already been tested in Phase I studies in humans for other vaccine applications. An immune response was elicited in the study, and the results suggested that the procedure was safe. Testing, for instance for influenza, is currently at the preclinical stage. If successful, STI may provide a vaccine for a wide variety of forms of influenza, including avian flu, although there are no guarantees that any of the trials will be successful or that a commercial product will be developed or marketed.

Many current vaccines act by giving the immune system a preview of certain protein antigens expected to be found on the target structure; pathogens, such as influenza, however, demonstrate the limitations of this approach: the influenza virus changes its coat, often by recombination with swine or human viruses or other variation processes approximately every flu season. The changes make each year's new version of the flu unrecognizable to the immune system, and therefore immunity to influenza viral variants must be usually reestablished with a new vaccine every fall. The following summarizes the method proposed by us to develop long lasting and cross-reactive immunity against, for example, influenza, but also against other therapeutic vaccine targets using STI:

- Draw a small amount of blood from patient
- Separate the white blood cells
- Add plasmid (DNA) to the white blood cells
- Incubate overnight to allow the plasmid to enter the white blood cells (*ex vivo* transgenesis)
- Inject white blood cells back to the individual to induce immunity to the target of choice, such as influenza, hepatitis, HPV, and prostate cancer).

Experiments conducted by third parties for us utilizing the STI technology in mice have shown that T-cell immunity can be induced *in vivo* by a single intravenous inoculation of naïve B lymphocytes genetically programmed by *ex vivo* transgenesis. This is accomplished by administering a plasmid DNA under control of a B cell specific promoter. The process is entirely spontaneous and mimics the process of viral infection, which is intracellular replication. Results show the induction of systemic effector CD4 and CD8 T-cell responses within 14 days after administration of the transgenic B cells. Durable immunologic memory is also induced. It has been demonstrated that a single injection of 5×10^3 transgenic B lymphocyte induces complete protection from a lethal virus challenge. The following outlines the protocol used in the mouse trial:

- A small amount of blood was drawn from mice
- B cells were separated from the blood and transfected with DNA from flu virus
- Transfected lymphocytes, or priming B cells, were re-infused into the mice
- A lethal challenge of virus was administered via aerosol 14-21 days after re-infusion
- For controls, mice were injected with priming B cells transfected with DNA not specific for the flu

A single injection of transgenic B lymphocytes in this trial was sufficient to generate specific CD8 T-cell memory responses, which protected mice from a lethal viral challenge. The immune response that was induced was a reaction against the common components of the influenza virus, and was cross-reactive, meaning that it reacted against various types of flu virus (avian or any other). Thus, we believe this type of vaccine may be utilized to protect individuals from various strains of influenza that may occur.

We currently intend to focus initially on the development of one or more of the other recently licensed prostate cancer product candidates and technologies, and as a result the timing of development of this viral vaccine technology is subject to uncertainty.

Savvy/C31G

On December 7, 2010, we announced the successful completion of a Phase 3 contraceptive trial of our contraceptive gel product candidate named Savvy (C31G). The study met its primary endpoint and was conducted by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), National Institutes of Health (NIH), in the Contraceptive Clinical Trials Network at 14 sites in the United States. The results of the NICHD study were published in December 2010 in *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. The Phase 3 trial was a randomized, double-masked, controlled comparator study to assess whether a gel containing the spermicide C31G was non-inferior to Conceptrol®, a commercially available product containing nonoxynol-9 (N-9). The clinical investigators found that C31G was not inferior in contraceptive efficacy to the comparator drug Conceptrol®. Thus, the study met its primary objective. Moreover, the gel was well-tolerated and had a high degree of acceptability in women who completed the study. No drug-related serious adverse events were observed with C31G. Drug-related side effects of C31G were generally mild and did not lead to discontinuation.

Currently, to our knowledge all spermicides commercially available in the U.S. contain the active ingredient N-9 in a carrier such as a gel, film, cream, foam, suppository, or tablet. N-9 has been reported in some studies to cause irritant and allergic reactions in some users. Although the Conceptrol® product was effective and well-tolerated in the NICHD comparative trial, there were a significantly lower number of drug-related events with the C31G gel and fewer women discontinued the study due to drug-related side effects. C31G does not contain nonoxynol-9 and, if commercialized, may offer an alternative for women who seek a non-hormonal method of contraception.

C31G previously was the subject of two Phase 3 clinical trials conducted in Africa, supported by Family Health International and the United States Agency for International Development, to determine whether C31G was safe and effective for reducing women's risk of acquiring HIV infection. The external independent Data Monitoring Committee reviewing those trials concluded in 2005 and 2006 that, while there were no safety concerns based on the results of the studies to date, continuing the trials would not allow the effect of C31G on HIV acquisition to be determined because of a lower than expected rate of HIV seroconversion in the trials. The committee determined that continuation of the trials was not warranted due to a lack of statistical significance between C31G gel and the vehicle control in the interim data. Accordingly, the trials were discontinued.

Before considering any actions to seek regulatory approval for a C31G product, further meetings with the FDA would likely be required to discuss the regulatory pathways for submitting an NDA for marketing approval, including whether any additional trials will be required before an NDA is submitted. In considering commercialization alternatives, we will likely seek to enter into an out-licensing or similar transaction with organizations that have a focus or business unit in the area of contraception. The C31G product candidate is held by our Biosyn, Inc. subsidiary and was acquired in 2004 with Cellegy's acquisition of Biosyn. Provisions in the acquisition agreement between Biosyn and Cellegy, and in certain of the funding agreements and other agreements relating to the C31G product, provide for payments to the former Biosyn shareholders upon marketing approval by the FDA (or, in certain circumstances, certain foreign regulatory authorities) of C31G for one or more indications and payments to certain other third parties in the event of sales or other revenues relating to C31G or certain other events. In addition, sale or out-licensing of the C31G product candidate may require the consent of one or more such third parties. As a result, commercialization of the product may require renegotiation of the provisions relating to the former Biosyn shareholders and such third parties. Accordingly, there can be no assurances that we will be able to successfully conclude a transaction involving C31G or concerning the amounts that we might receive from any such transaction, or that any C31G product will be submitted for regulatory approval or will be approved or marketed.

License Agreements

License Agreements Relating to APC-100, APC-200 and APC-300

On February 24, 2010, we entered into an Assignment, Assumption and Stock Acquisition Agreement with Colby Pharmaceutical Company, a privately held company, relating to the APC-100, APC-200 and APC-300 product candidates. Under the agreement as amended, Colby assigned to us the license agreement relating to the APC-300 compound in consideration of the issuance to Colby of 800,000 shares of our common stock, and agreed that the agreements relating to the APC-100 and APC-200 would be assigned upon satisfaction of certain conditions, in exchange for additional shares. Colby licensed the patents, patent applications and related intellectual property relating to the compounds pursuant to license agreements with the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, or WARF, the licensor. In October 2010, Adamis and Colby amended the agreement. Under the amendment, Colby assigned and transferred to us the license agreements relating to APC-100 and APC-200 in consideration for the issuance to Colby of 5,000,000 shares of our common stock. Additionally, we issued 1,250,000 shares to each of two principals of Colby, for consulting services in connection with the intellectual property covered by the license agreements.

The APC-100 and APC-200 license agreements are dated January 26, 2007. The APC-300 license agreement is dated January 2, 2008. Under each separate agreement, WARF grants to us, as the licensee, an exclusive license, with rights of sublicense, under the patents and patent applications identified in the agreement, for the fields of human nutraceuticals, preventatives, therapeutics and diagnostics and for all territories worldwide that are covered by any of the licensed patents.

The license agreements include milestones that we, as the licensee, agree to meet by certain dates, relating to obtaining cumulative funding by certain dates, the filing of an IND relating to a covered product, enrollment of a first patient under a Phase II clinical trial by certain dates, and filing of an NDA with the FDA relating to a covered product by certain dates. WARF has the right to terminate the license agreement with advance notice if we fail to meet any of the funding milestones or commercialization milestones. Under each agreement, we agree to pay WARF a milestone payment of \$25,000 upon the filing of the first IND or comparable regulatory filing for a covered product, and additional payments upon the achievement of the additional milestones, aggregating approximately \$600,000.

Under all of the agreements, we agree to pay product royalties to WARF based on net sales of covered products, at a rate of 5% of net sales. The agreements include customary stacking provisions providing for a reduction in royalties if we become obligated to pay royalties to other third parties on sales of covered products, but in all events the rate will be not less than 2.5% of net sales. In addition, if we receive any fees or other payments in consideration for any rights granted under a sublicense, and the fees or payments are not based directly on the amount or value of products sold by the sublicensee or provided as reimbursement for research and development costs incurred by us, then we are obligated to pay to WARF a percentage of such payments, ranging from 10% to 40% depending on what the stage of regulatory approval and clinical trial development at the time the payments are received.

Each agreement provides that we will reimburse WARF for legal fees and other costs incurred in filing, prosecuting and maintaining the licensed patents during the term of the agreement. These amounts will accrue for a period of four years after the date of the agreement, after which time the accrued amounts will be paid in four annual installments.

The term of each agreement continues until the date that none of the licensed patents under the agreement remains an enforceable patent. We may terminate the agreement at any time with 90 days prior notice to WARF. WARF may terminate the agreement if the date of first commercial sale of a covered product does not occur by December 31, 2020 under the APC-100 and APC-200 agreements and December 31, 2021 under the APC-300 agreement. WARF may also terminate the agreement following our failure to meet a funding or commercialization milestone, or if we fail to pay amounts when due or deliver a development report or commits a material breach of the agreement and fail to cure the default within 90 days.

Telomerase Vaccine Technology

Our telomerase vaccine technology was licensed pursuant to exclusive license agreements entered into in April 2011 with the Regents of the University of California and the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Inc. Pursuant to the agreement with the University of California, we acquired a license to certain patents and related intellectual property rights relating to a telomerase-based cancer vaccine technology. We licensed a complementary patent based on technology from the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Inc.

Under the terms of the license agreement, we licensed the patents and related intellectual property for a field that includes therapeutic and preventive cancer vaccines in humans, and for a territory that includes the United States. The term of the license extends through the expiration date of the longest-lived patent rights covered by the agreement.

Under the agreement, we paid to the universities a small upfront license issue fee in connection with the execution of the license agreement. We will pay the universities a small annual maintenance fee on the first three anniversaries of the date of the agreement, increasing in an immaterial amount thereafter, until we or a permitted sublicensee is commercially selling a licensed product.

For the first indication of a licensed product, we will make payments upon reaching specified milestones in clinical development and obtaining U.S. regulatory approval for a licensed product, potentially aggregating \$1.87 million if all milestone payments are made, including obtaining U.S. regulatory approval for a licensed product. Similar payments apply to the second indication of a licensed product.

The agreement also provides that we will pay the universities royalties, in the low single digits, payable on net sales of licensed products. The agreement includes customary provisions for adjusting the royalty rate in the case of a combination product that includes a licensed product and other products or product components. The agreement includes customary royalty stacking provisions providing for a reduction in the royalty rate if we are required to pay royalties to other third parties to acquire patent rights necessary to make, use or sell licensed products, up to one-half of the amounts otherwise due to the universities.

If we enter into sublicenses of the licensed technology, then a portion of the sublicense fees received by us from the sublicensee is payable to the universities, with the exact percentage depending on the time during the product development, clinical trials and regulatory approval process that the sublicense is entered into. If we receive product royalty payments from sublicensees, we are obligated to pay a percentage of those fees to the universities, with the exact percentage depending on the status of product development and commercialization. Following commercial sales of a licensed product, the agreement provides for minimum annual royalties to the universities, with an increased amount starting with the third full year of sales.

We are responsible for payment of patent costs relating to the licensed patents, including patent costs previously incurred by the universities. In the agreement, we agree to diligently proceed with the development, manufacture and sale of licensed products, and to satisfy certain development and regulatory submission milestones by certain dates. Failure to satisfy these obligations permits the universities to either terminate the license agreement or convert the license to a non-exclusive license. The universities may terminate the agreement if we fail to perform or violate any term of the agreement and do not cure the default within 60 days of notice. We may terminate the agreement upon 90 days notice to the universities.

License Agreement Relating to Vaccine Technologies

On July 28, 2006, we entered into a worldwide exclusive license agreement with Dr. Zanetti, through a company of which he is the sole owner, Nevagen, to utilize technology held by Nevagen within the field of viral infectious agents. The intellectual property, or IP, licensed by Adamis includes the use of the technology known as "Transgenic Lymphocyte Technology," or TLI, covered by certain U.S. and foreign patents and patent applications. The U.S. patent was issued on October 9, 2007 and will expire on April 27, 2019, 20 years from the filing date of the earliest U.S. non-provisional application upon which the patent claims priority. The field for this license is the prevention and treatment and detection of viral infectious diseases. The license will terminate with the expiration of the U.S. patent for the IP.

As part of the initial license fee we granted Dr. Zanetti the right to purchase 1,000,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.001 per share, and he subsequently exercised that right. In addition, we paid Nevagen an initial license fee of \$55,000. For the first product, we will make payments upon reaching specified milestones in clinical development and submission of an application regulatory approval, potentially aggregating \$900,000 if all milestone payments are made. As of the date of this Annual Report, no milestones have been achieved and no milestone payments have been made. The agreement also provides that we will pay Nevagen royalties, in the low single digits, payable on net sales received by us of products covered by the IP. If additional technologies are required to be licensed to produce a functional product, the royalty rate will be reduced by the amount of the royalty paid to the other licensor, but not more than one-half the specified royalty rate. Royalties and incremental payments with respect to influenza will continue until reaching a cumulative total of \$10 million.

Adamis and Nevagen have the right to sublicense with written permission of the other party. In the event that Nevagen sublicenses or sells the improved technology to a third party, then a portion of the total payments, to be decided by mutual agreement, will be due to us. If we sublicense the IP for use in influenza to a third party, Nevagen will be paid a fixed percentage of all license fees, royalties, and milestone payments, in addition to royalties due and payable based on net sales.

If the IP is sublicensed by us to another company for any indication in the field covered by the license agreement other than with respect to influenza, Nevagen will be paid a portion of all license fees, royalties and milestone payments, with the percentage declining over time based on the year in which the sublicense is granted. Certain incremental non-flu virus related sublicensing payments described in the license agreement are specifically excluded from the royalty cap.

All improvements of the IP conceived of, or reduced to practice by us, or made jointly by us and Nevagen, will be owned solely by us. We granted Nevagen a royalty-free nonexclusive license to use any improvements made on the existing technology for research purposes only, but not for any commercial purposes of any kind. We have agreed to grant to Nevagen a royalty-free license for any improvement needed for the commercialization of the IP for Nevagen's use outside the field licensed to us. If Nevagen sublicenses or sells the improved technology to a third party, then a portion of the total payments, to be decided by mutual agreement, will be due to us. We also have the right of first offer to license certain related technologies from Nevagen, if and when it becomes available.

We have the right to terminate the agreement if it is determined that no viable product can come from the licensed technology. Upon such termination, we would be required to transfer and assign to Nevagen all filings, rights and other information in our control. We would retain the same royalty rights for license, or sublicense, agreements if the technology is later developed into a product. Either party may terminate the license agreement in the event of a material breach of the agreement by the other party that has not been cured or corrected within 90 days of notice of the breach.

Sources and Availability of Raw Materials; Manufacturing

We purchase, in the ordinary course of business, necessary raw materials, components and supplies essential to our operations from several suppliers in the U.S. and overseas. We have entered into a contract with a manufacturing organization for the development and production of our PFS Syringe product. We intend to monitor these arrangements and to seek to provide a continued supply of both raw materials and components.

We do not currently have in-house manufacturing capabilities. We rely on third party contract manufacturers to make the material used to support the development of our product candidates. We purchase the material used in our clinical trial activities from various companies and suppliers.

Sales and Marketing

During fiscal 2011, we materially reduced our sales force in light of the absence of marketing efforts relating to our allergy and respiratory products, and we do not currently have any sales force, as we did not market allergy, respiratory or other products during fiscal 2012 or 2013. If the PFS Syringe product is approved for marketing and is commercially launched, we intend either to hire and train sales representatives or else retain a third party sales force. Additional sales representatives may be retained if an aerosolized inhaled nasal steroid product is developed and launched.

Governmental Regulation

Governmental authorities in the United States and other countries extensively regulate the preclinical and clinical testing, manufacturing, labeling, storage, record-keeping, advertising, promotion, export, marketing and distribution, among other things, of pharmaceutical and biologic products. In the United States, the FDA subjects pharmaceutical and biologic products to rigorous review under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, the Public Health Service Act and other federal statutes and regulations.

Many of the products we are currently developing must undergo rigorous preclinical and clinical testing and an extensive regulatory approval process before they can be marketed. This process makes it longer, more difficult and more costly to bring our potential products to market, and we cannot guarantee that any of our potential products will be approved. The pre-marketing approval process can be particularly expensive, uncertain and lengthy. If we or our collaboration partners do not comply with applicable regulatory requirements, violations could result in non-approval, suspensions of regulatory approvals, civil penalties and criminal fines, product seizures and recalls, operating restrictions, injunctions, and criminal prosecution.

Withdrawal or rejection of FDA or other government entity approval of our potential products may occur for several reasons including, among others, lack of efficacy during clinical trials, unforeseen safety issues, inability to follow patients after treatment in clinical trials, inconsistencies between early clinical trial results and results obtained in later clinical trials, varying interpretations of data generated by clinical trials, or changes in regulatory policy during the period of product development in the United States and abroad.

The process of obtaining FDA and other required regulatory approvals is expensive. The time required for FDA and other approvals is uncertain and may require a number of years, depending on the complexity or novelty of the product. The process of obtaining FDA and other required regulatory approvals for many of our products under development is further complicated because some of these products use non-traditional or novel materials in non-traditional or novel ways, and the FDA has not established guidelines, or has provided only limited guidance, concerning the clinical trials required to support approval of such products.

Any regulatory approval to market a product may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which we may market the product. These limitations may restrict the size of the market for the product and affect reimbursement by third-party payers. In addition, regulatory agencies may not grant approvals on a timely basis or may revoke or significantly modify previously granted approvals.

We, or our collaborative partners, are subject to numerous foreign regulatory requirements governing the manufacturing and marketing of our potential future products outside of the United States. The approval procedure varies among countries, additional testing may be required in some jurisdictions, and the time required to obtain foreign approvals often differs from that required to obtain FDA approvals. Moreover, approval by the FDA does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other countries, and vice versa.

Even if our products are approved by regulatory authorities, if we fail to comply with ongoing regulatory requirements, or if there are unanticipated problems with the products, these products could be subject to restrictions or withdrawal from the market. Even if regulatory approval of a product is granted, the approval may be subject to requirements for costly post-marketing testing and surveillance to monitor the safety or efficacy of the product. Later discovery of previously unknown problems with the products, including unanticipated adverse events or adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may result in restrictions on such products or manufacturing processes, withdrawal of the products from the market, voluntary or mandatory recall, fines, suspension of regulatory approvals, product seizures, injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

As a result of these factors, we may not successfully begin or complete clinical trials in the time periods estimated, if at all. Moreover, if we incur costs and delays in development programs or fails to successfully develop and commercialize products based upon our technologies, we may not become profitable, and its stock price could decline.

FDA Approval Process

General

In the United States, the FDA regulates drug products under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FFDC, and its implementing regulations, and regulates biological drug products under both the Public Health Service Act, or PHS Act, and its implementing regulations, as well as the FFDC. Our product candidates include both biological drug products and drug products. The process required by the FDA before our drug and biological drug product candidates may be marketed in the United States generally involves the following:

- completion of extensive preclinical laboratory tests, preclinical animal studies and formulation studies all performed in accordance with the FDA's current Good Laboratory Practice, or cGMP, regulations;
- submission to the FDA of an IND, which must become effective before human clinical trials may begin;
- performance of adequate and well controlled human clinical trials to establish the safety and efficacy of the product candidate for each proposed indication;
- submission to the FDA of a new drug application, or NDA, for drug products, or a Biologic License Application, or BLA, for biological drug products;
- satisfactory completion of an FDA pre-approval inspection of the manufacturing facilities at which the product is produced to assess compliance with cGMP regulations; and
- FDA review and approval of the NDA or BLA prior to any commercial marketing, sale or shipment of the drug or biological drug.

Preclinical tests include laboratory evaluation of product chemistry, formulation and stability, as well as studies to evaluate toxicity in animals. The results of preclinical tests, together with manufacturing information and analytical data, are submitted as part of an IND to the FDA. The IND becomes effective 30 days after receipt by the FDA, unless the FDA, within the 30-day time period, raises concerns or questions about the conduct of the clinical trial, including concerns that human research subjects will be exposed to unreasonable health risks. In such a case, the IND sponsor and the FDA must resolve any outstanding concerns before the clinical trial can begin. An independent institutional review board, or IRB, for each medical center proposing to conduct the clinical trial must review and approve the plan for any clinical trial before it commences at that center and it must monitor the study until completed. Clinical testing also must satisfy extensive good clinical practices, or GCPs, regulations and regulations for informed consent.

Clinical Trials

A company typically conducts human clinical trials in three sequential phases, but the phases may overlap. Phase 1 trials consist of testing of the product in a small number of patients or healthy volunteers, primarily for safety at one or more doses and, for vaccine products, immunogenicity. Phase 1 trials in cancer are often conducted with patients who are not healthy and who have end-stage or metastatic cancer. Phase 2 trials, in addition to safety, evaluate the efficacy of the product in a patient population somewhat larger than Phase 1 trials and the dose tolerance and optimal dosage. In some cases, a sponsor may decide to run what is referred to as a “Phase 2b” evaluation, which is a second, confirmatory Phase 2 clinical trial. Phase 3 trials typically involve additional testing for safety and clinical efficacy in an expanded population at geographically dispersed test sites. A company must submit to the FDA a clinical plan, or “protocol,” which must also be approved by the IRBs at the institutions participating in the trials, prior to commencement of each clinical trial. The trials must be conducted in accordance with the FDA’s good clinical practices. The FDA may order the temporary or permanent discontinuation of a clinical trial at any time. In some cases, the FDA may conditionally approve an NDA or BLA for a product candidate based on the sponsor’s agreement to conduct additional clinical trials to further assess the drug’s safety and effectiveness after NDA or BLA approval. Such post-approval trials are typically referred to as Phase 4 studies.

To obtain marketing authorization, a company must submit to the FDA the results of the preclinical and clinical testing, together with, and among other things, detailed information on the manufacture and composition of the product, in the form of a new drug application, or NDA, or, in the case of a biologic, a biologics license application, or BLA. After completion of the required clinical testing, an NDA or BLA is prepared and submitted to the FDA. FDA approval of the NDA is required before marketing of the product may begin in the United States. The NDA and BLA must include the results of all preclinical, clinical and other testing and a compilation of data relating to the product’s pharmacology, chemistry, manufacture and controls. The cost of preparing and submitting an NDA or BLA is substantial, and there can be no assurance that any approval will be granted on a timely basis, if at all. Under federal law, the submission of most NDAs and BLAs are additionally subject to a substantial application user fee, and the manufacturer and/or sponsor under an approved new drug application is also subject to annual product and establishment user fees. These fees are typically increased annually.

The FDA has 60 days from its receipt of an NDA or BLA to determine whether the application will be accepted for filing based on the agency’s threshold determination that it is sufficiently complete to permit substantive review. Once the submission is accepted for filing, the FDA begins an in-depth review. The FDA has agreed to certain performance goals in the review of new drug applications. Most such applications for non-priority drug products are reviewed within ten months. The review process may be extended by the FDA for three additional months to consider certain information or clarification regarding information already provided in the submission. The FDA may also refer applications for novel drug products or drug products which present difficult questions of safety or efficacy to an advisory committee, typically a panel that includes clinicians and other experts, for review, evaluation and a recommendation as to whether the application should be approved. The FDA is not bound by the recommendations of an advisory committee, but it considers such recommendations carefully when making decisions. The FDA may deny approval of an NDA or BLA if the applicable regulatory criteria are not satisfied, or it may require additional information including clinical or CMC data. Even if such data are submitted, the FDA may ultimately decide that the NDA or BLA does not satisfy the criteria for approval. Data from clinical trials are not always conclusive and the FDA may interpret data differently than we or our collaborators interpret data. Once issued, the FDA may withdraw product approval if ongoing regulatory requirements are not met or if safety problems occur after the product reaches the market.

Before approving an NDA or BLA, the FDA will typically inspect one or more clinical sites to assure compliance with Good Clinical Practices, or GCP. Additionally, the FDA will inspect the facility or the facilities at which the drug is manufactured. The FDA will not approve the product unless compliance with current good manufacturing practices, or cGMP, is satisfactory and the NDA or BLA contains data that provides substantial evidence that the drug is safe and effective in the indication studied. Failure to comply with GMP or other applicable regulatory requirements may result in withdrawal of marketing approval, criminal prosecution, civil penalties, recall or seizure of products, warning letters, total or partial suspension of production, suspension of clinical trials, FDA refusal to review pending marketing approval applications or supplements to approved applications, or injunctions, as well as other legal or regulatory action against us or our corporate partners.

After the FDA evaluates the NDA or BLA and the manufacturing facilities, it issues an approval letter, an approvable letter or a not-approvable letter. Both approvable and not-approvable letters generally outline the deficiencies in the submission and may require substantial additional testing or information in order for the FDA to reconsider the application. If and when those deficiencies have been addressed to the FDA’s satisfaction in a resubmission of the NDA or BLA, the FDA will issue an approval letter.

An approval letter authorizes commercial marketing of the drug with specific prescribing information for specific indications. As a condition of NDA or BLA approval, the FDA may require substantial post-approval testing and surveillance to monitor the drug’s safety or efficacy and may impose other conditions, including labeling or distribution restrictions or other risk-management mechanisms which can materially affect the potential market and profitability of the drug. Once granted, product approvals may be withdrawn if compliance with regulatory standards is not maintained or problems are identified following initial marketing.

Biosimilars

The Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act, or BPCIA, was passed on March 23, 2010 as Title VII to the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. The law provides for an abbreviated approval pathway for biological products that demonstrate biosimilarity to a previously-approved biological product. The BPCIA provides 12 years of exclusivity for innovator biological products.

Allergy and Respiratory Products

Several of our allergy and respiratory products that we previously marketed before 2011, including AeroHist Caplets, AeroHist Plus Caplets, AeroKid Oral Liquid and AeroOtic HC Ear Drops, were not the subject of a new drug application or ANDA, and have not been specifically approved by the FDA for marketing by us. We did not market these products during fiscal 2012 or fiscal 2013. These products were marketed for many years and, we believe, are similarly situated to products marketed by many companies that are marketed without an approved new drug application or abbreviated new drug application. The products are drug listed with the FDA in the National Drug Code Directory, but such listing does not constitute FDA approval of the products. In June 2006, the FDA issued a Compliance Policy Guide for Marketed Unapproved Drugs, which addressed some of the considerations utilized by the FDA in exercising its discretion with respect to products marketed without FDA approval. The guide does not establish legally enforceable responsibilities on the FDA and generally only represents the agency's current thinking on a topic. The guide emphasizes that any product that is being marketed without required FDA approvals is subject to FDA enforcement action at any time. If the FDA were to issue a Federal Register Notice outlining revised conditions for marketing, which could include calling for the submission of an application for products such as our cough/cold products, then if we desired to market any such products, we would take appropriate action so as to be in compliance with any such policies. The FDA might also require clinical trials in support of any such applications, and we would need to evaluate our alternatives in light of the costs required to conduct such trials, which could be substantial, compared to the economic benefit to us from such products. In addition, independently of such actions, at any time the FDA could also exercise its discretion to proceed against us and require immediate withdrawal of such products, if we decided to commence marketing them, from the market, or prohibit us from marketing such products without first conducting required trials and obtaining approvals, or impose other penalties on us. In 2010 the FDA issued a warning letter indicating that we should not market the PFS Syringe product without FDA marketing approval and that the product may be sold only after an application has been submitted to the FDA and approved.

Some of our unapproved allergy and respiratory products include extended release formulations, which may subject us to a higher risk of FDA enforcement action should we decide to commence marketing them. Such actions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Prelone product is the subject of an ANDA approval from the FDA. As we believe is common with many drug products, the Prelone product has been manufactured by a third party manufacturer which holds the ANDA approval relating to the product. We own the trademark and intellectual property rights relating to the product.

Abbreviated New Drug Applications

In seeking approval for a drug through an NDA, applicants are required to list with the FDA each patent with claims that cover the applicant's product. Upon approval of a drug, each of the patents listed in the application for the drug is then published in the FDA's Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations, commonly known as the Orange Book. Drugs listed in the Orange Book can, in turn, be cited by potential competitors in support of approval of an ANDA. An ANDA provides for marketing of a drug product that has the same active ingredients in the same strengths and dosage form as the listed drug and has been shown through bioequivalence testing to be therapeutically equivalent to the listed drug. ANDA applicants are not required to conduct or submit results of pre-clinical or clinical tests to prove the safety or effectiveness of their drug product, other than the requirement for bioequivalence testing. Drugs approved in this way are commonly referred to as "generic equivalents" to the listed drug, and can often be substituted by pharmacists under prescriptions written for the original listed drug.

The ANDA applicant is required to certify to the FDA concerning any patents listed for the approved product in the FDA's Orange Book. Specifically, the applicant must certify that: (i) the required patent information has not been filed; (ii) the listed patent has expired; (iii) the listed patent has not expired, but will expire on a particular date and approval is sought after patent expiration; or (iv) the listed patent is invalid or will not be infringed by the new product. A certification that the new product will not infringe the already approved product's listed patents or that such patents are invalid is called a Paragraph IV certification. If the applicant does not challenge the listed patents, the ANDA application will not be approved until all the listed patents claiming the referenced product have expired.

If the ANDA applicant has provided a Paragraph IV certification to the FDA, the applicant must also send notice of the Paragraph IV certification to the NDA and patent holders once the ANDA has been accepted for filing by the FDA. The NDA and patent holders may then initiate a patent infringement lawsuit in response to the notice of the Paragraph IV certification. The filing of a patent infringement lawsuit within 45 days of the receipt of a Paragraph IV certification automatically prevents the FDA from approving the ANDA until the earlier of 30 months, expiration of the patent, settlement of the lawsuit or a decision in the infringement case that is favorable to the ANDA applicant.

The ANDA application also will not be approved until any non-patent exclusivity, such as exclusivity for obtaining approval of a new chemical entity, listed in the Orange Book for the referenced product has expired. Federal law provides a period of five years following approval of a drug containing no previously approved active ingredients, during which ANDAs for generic versions of those drugs cannot be submitted unless the submission contains a Paragraph IV challenge to a listed patent, in which case the submission may be made four years following the original product approval. Federal law provides for a period of three years of exclusivity following approval of a listed drug that contains previously approved active ingredients, but is approved in a new dosage form, route of administration or combination, or for a new use, the approval of which was required to be supported by new clinical trials conducted by or for the sponsor, during which FDA cannot grant effective approval of an ANDA based on that listed drug.

Section 505(b)(2) New Drug Applications

Most drug products obtain FDA marketing approval pursuant to an NDA or an ANDA. A third alternative is a special type of NDA, commonly referred to as a Section 505(b)(2) NDA, which enables the applicant to rely, in part, on the FDA's findings of safety and efficacy of an existing product, or published literature, in support of its application. Section 505(b)(2) NDAs often provide an alternate path to FDA approval for new or improved formulations or new uses of previously approved products. Section 505(b)(2) permits the filing of an NDA where at least some of the information required for approval comes from studies not conducted by or for the applicant and for which the applicant has not obtained a right of reference. The applicant may rely upon the FDA's findings with respect to certain pre-clinical or clinical studies conducted for an approved product. The FDA may also require companies to perform additional studies or measurements to support the change from the approved product. The FDA may then approve the new product candidate for all or some of the label indications for which the referenced product has been approved, as well as for any new indication sought by the Section 505(b)(2) applicant.

To the extent that the Section 505(b)(2) applicant is relying on studies conducted for an already approved product, the applicant is subject to existing exclusivity for the reference product and is required to certify to the FDA concerning any patents listed for the approved product in the Orange Book to the same extent that an ANDA applicant would. Thus approval of a Section 505(b)(2) NDA can be stalled until all the listed patents claiming the referenced product have expired, until any non-patent exclusivity, such as exclusivity for obtaining approval of a new chemical entity, listed in the Orange Book for the referenced product has expired, and, in the case of a Paragraph IV certification and subsequent patent infringement suit, until the earlier of 30 months, settlement of the lawsuit or a decision in the infringement case that is favorable to the Section 505(b)(2) applicant.

Fast Track Designation/Priority Review

Congress enacted the Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act of 1997, or the Modernization Act, in part to ensure the availability of safe and effective drugs, biologics and medical devices by expediting the development and review for certain new products. The Modernization Act establishes a statutory program for the review of Fast Track products, including biologics. A Fast Track product is defined as a new drug or biologic intended for the treatment of a serious or life-threatening condition that demonstrates the potential to address unmet medical needs for this condition. Under the Fast Track program, the sponsor of a new drug or biologic may request that the FDA designate the drug or biologic as a Fast Track product at any time during the development of the product, prior to a new drug application submission. If appropriate, we intend to seek fast track designation, accelerated approval or priority review for our biological drug candidates.

Post-Marketing Obligations

The Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act of 2007 expanded FDA authority over drug products after approval. All approved drug products are subject to continuing regulation by the FDA, including record-keeping requirements, reporting of adverse experiences with the product, sampling and distribution requirements, notifying the FDA and gaining its approval of certain manufacturing or labeling changes, complying with certain electronic records and signature requirements, submitting periodic reports to the FDA, maintaining and providing updated safety and efficacy information to the FDA, and complying with FDA promotion and advertising requirements. Failure to comply with the statutory and regulatory requirements can subject a manufacturer to possible legal or regulatory action, such as warning letters, suspension of manufacturing, seizure of product, injunctive action, criminal prosecution, or civil penalties.

The FDA may require post-marketing studies or clinical trials, referred as Phase 4 trials, to develop additional information regarding the safety of a product. These studies or trials may involve continued testing of a product and development of data, including clinical data, about the product's effects in various populations and any side effects associated with long-term use. The FDA may require post-marketing studies or trials to investigate known serious risks or signals of serious risks or identify unexpected serious risks and may require periodic status reports if new safety information develops. Failure to conduct these studies in a timely manner may result in substantial civil fines.

Drug and biologics manufacturers and their subcontractors are required to register their establishments with the FDA and certain state agencies, and to list their products with the FDA. The FDA periodically inspects manufacturing facilities in the United States and abroad in order to assure compliance with the applicable current good manufacturing practices, or cGMP, regulations and other requirements. Facilities also are subject to inspections by other federal, foreign, state or local agencies. In complying with the cGMP regulations, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money and effort in record-keeping and quality control to assure that the product meets applicable specifications and other post-marketing requirements. We must ensure that any third-party manufacturers continue to expend time, money and effort in the areas of production, quality control, record keeping and reporting to ensure full compliance with those requirements. Failure to comply with these requirements subjects the manufacturer to possible legal or regulatory action, such as suspension of manufacturing or recall or seizure of product.

Also, newly discovered or developed safety or efficacy data may require changes to a product's approved labeling, including the addition of new warnings and contraindications, additional preclinical or clinical studies, or even in some instances, revocation or withdrawal of the approval. Violations of regulatory requirements at any stage, including after approval, may result in various adverse consequences, including the FDA's withdrawal of an approved product from the market, other voluntary or FDA-initiated action that could delay or restrict further marketing, and the imposition of civil fines and criminal penalties against the manufacturer and BLA holder. In addition, later discovery of previously unknown problems may result in restrictions on the product, manufacturer or BLA holder, including withdrawal of the product from the market. Furthermore, new government requirements may be established that could delay or prevent regulatory approval of our products under development, or affect the conditions under which approved products are marketed.

The distribution of prescription pharmaceutical products is also subject to the Prescription Drug Marketing Act, or PDMA, which regulates the distribution of drugs and drug samples at the federal level, and sets minimum standards for the registration and regulation of drug distributors by the states. Both the PDMA and state laws limit the distribution of prescription pharmaceutical product samples and impose requirements to ensure accountability in distribution.

Anti-Kickback, False Claims and Other Laws

In addition to FDA restrictions on marketing of pharmaceutical products, several other types of state and federal laws have been applied to restrict certain marketing practices in the pharmaceutical industry. These laws include anti-kickback statutes, false claims statutes and the federal Physician Payment Sunshine Act. The federal healthcare program anti-kickback statute prohibits, among other things, knowingly and willfully offering, paying, soliciting or receiving remuneration to induce or in return for purchasing, leasing, ordering or arranging for the purchase, lease or order of any healthcare item or service reimbursable under Medicare, Medicaid or other federally financed healthcare programs. This statute has been interpreted to apply to arrangements between pharmaceutical manufacturers on the one hand and prescribers, purchasers and formulary managers on the other. Violations of the anti-kickback statute are punishable by imprisonment, criminal fines, civil monetary penalties and exclusion from participation in federal healthcare programs. Although there are a number of statutory exemptions and regulatory safe harbors protecting certain common activities from prosecution or other regulatory sanctions, the exemptions and safe harbors are drawn narrowly, and practices that involve remuneration intended to induce prescribing, purchases or recommendations may be subject to scrutiny if they do not qualify for an exemption or safe harbor.

Federal false claims laws prohibit any person from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, a false claim for payment to the federal government, or knowingly making, or causing to be made, a false or fraudulent claim for payment, or knowingly making, or causing to be made, a false record or statement material to a false or fraudulent claim. Several pharmaceutical and other healthcare companies have been prosecuted under these laws for allegedly inflating drug prices they report to pricing services, which in turn were used by the government to set Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement rates, and for allegedly providing free product to customers with the expectation that the customers would bill federal programs for the product. In addition, certain marketing practices, including off-label promotion, may also implicate false claims laws. The majority of states also have statutes or regulations similar to the federal anti-kickback law and false claims laws, which apply to items and services, reimbursed under Medicaid and other state programs. A number of states have anti-kickback laws that apply regardless of the payor.

In addition, the federal Physician Payment Sunshine Act, when implemented, will require the reporting by drug manufacturers of "payments or transfer of value" made or distributed to physicians and teaching hospitals, with limited exceptions. Failure to comply with the reporting obligations may result in civil monetary penalties.

Approval Outside the United States

In order to market any product outside of the United States, we must comply with numerous and varying regulatory requirements of other countries regarding safety and efficacy and governing, among other things, clinical trials and commercial sales and distribution of our products. Approval procedures vary among countries and can involve additional product testing and additional administrative review periods. The time required to obtain approval in other countries might differ from and be longer or shorter than that required to obtain FDA approval. Regulatory approval in one country does not ensure regulatory approval in another, but a failure or delay in obtaining regulatory approval in one country may negatively impact the regulatory process in others. In the European Union, Canada and Australia, regulatory requirements and approval processes are similar, in principle, to those in the United States. To date, we have not initiated any discussions with the European Medicines Agency, or EMEA, or any other foreign regulatory authorities with respect to seeking regulatory approval for any indication in Europe or in any other country outside the United States.

Other Government Regulation

In addition to regulations enforced by the FDA, we are also subject to regulation under the Occupational Safety and Health Act, the Environmental Protection Act, the Toxic Substances Control Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and other similar federal and state laws regarding, among other things, occupational safety, the use and handling of radioisotopes, environmental protection and hazardous substance control. Although we believe that we have complied with these laws and regulations in all material respects and have not been required to take any action to correct any noncompliance, there can be no assurance that we will not be required to incur significant costs to comply with environmental and health and safety regulations in the future. Our research and development may involve the controlled use of hazardous materials, chemicals, and various radioactive compounds. Although we believe that our safety procedures for handling and disposing of such materials comply with the standards prescribed by state and federal regulations, the risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials cannot be completely eliminated. In the event of such an accident, we could be held liable for any damages that result and any such liability could exceed our resources.

Competition

The biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries are characterized by rapidly advancing technologies, intense competition and a strong emphasis on proprietary products. Many of our competitors, including biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies, academic institutions and other research organizations, are actively engaged in the discovery, research and development of products that could compete directly or indirectly with our products under development.

Allergy and Respiratory Products. Our allergy and respiratory products and inhaled nasal steroid product, if developed and launched, will compete with numerous prescription and non-prescription over-the-counter products targeting similar conditions, including, in the seasonal or perennial rhinitis areas, cough and cold, as well as prescription generic products, and with other inhaled nasal steroid products. In addition, a number of large pharmaceutical companies produce pharmaceutical products, such as antihistamines, corticosteroids and anti-leukotriene agents, which manage allergy and respiratory symptoms. The FDA granted marketing approval of TEVA Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.'s Qnasl nasal steroid product in March 2012. The PFS Syringe product, if commercialized, will compete against other self-administered epinephrine products, including EpiPen, EpiPen Jr. and Twinject.

Prostate Cancer and Vaccine Products. The development and commercialization of new drugs for cancer, and of vaccine products for viral infections, is highly competitive. Most of the larger pharmaceutical companies, and many smaller public and private companies, have products or are engaged in research and development activities in these fields. Some of the products approved by the FDA for certain prostate cancer-related indications include, but are not limited to, antiandrogens (such as Leuprolide, Goserelin and Buserelin), Provenge®, Docetaxel, JEVTANA®, and ZYTIGA® (abiraterone acetate), and other products, such as Medivation, Inc.'s MDV3100 and Bavarian Nordic's PROSTVAC®, are the subject of ongoing clinical trials in men with metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer.

Savvy. Biosyn's Savvy contraceptive product candidate, if developed, launched and marketed, would be subject to competition from other microbicides that are currently undergoing clinical trials and which may be sold by prescription or over-the-counter, as well as non-microbicidal products such as condoms. There are also a number of existing contraception products currently on the market, which could greatly limit the marketability of the Savvy contraception product candidate. As a result, there can be no assurance that Biosyn's Savvy product candidate, even if developed, would be able to compete successfully with existing products or other innovative products under development.

Most of the entities developing and marketing competing products have significantly greater financial resources and expertise in research and development, manufacturing, preclinical testing, conducting clinical trials, obtaining regulatory approvals and marketing than we have. Smaller or early-stage companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly for collaborative agreements with large, established companies and access to capital. These entities may also compete with us in recruiting and retaining qualified scientific and management personnel, as well as in acquiring technologies complementary to, or necessary for, our programs.

The pharmaceutical industry is characterized by extensive research efforts and rapid and significant technological change and intense competition. We are much smaller in terms of size and resources than many of our competitors in the United States and abroad, which include, among others, major pharmaceutical, chemical, consumer product, and biotechnology companies, specialized firms, universities and other research institutions. Our competitors may succeed in developing technologies and products that are safer, more effective or less costly than any developed by us, thus rendering our technology and potential products obsolete and noncompetitive.

Patents and Proprietary Technologies

Patents and other proprietary rights are important to our business. Our policy is to file patent applications and protect inventions and improvements to inventions that are commercially important to the development of our business. We also rely on trade secrets, know-how, confidentiality agreements, employee invention assignment agreements, continuing technology innovations and licensing opportunities to protect our technology and develop and maintain our competitive position.

During 2010, we acquired license agreements covering intellectual property relating to three small molecule anti-inflammatory compounds, named APC-100, APC-200 and APC-300, for the potential treatment of human prostate cancer (PCa). The intellectual property covered by the agreements was licensed from the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, or WARF. The patents and applications covered by the license agreements include two issued U.S. patents and related U.S. and foreign patents and patent applications.

The license agreements pursuant to which we license the telomerase vaccine technology cover two U.S. patents.

We are the exclusive licensee, under the license agreement with Nevagen, of rights under two issued U.S. patents, and related U.S. and foreign patents applications, relating to the TLI technology, in the field of prevention and treatment and detection of viral infectious diseases. The licensed intellectual property includes the use of the technology known as “Transgenic Lymphocyte Technology.”

We currently hold one U.S. patent relating to Savvy gel for the reduction in transmission of HIV infection.

It is impossible to anticipate the breadth or degree of protection that any of the above patents will afford, or whether we can meaningfully protect our rights to our unpatented trade secrets. No assurance can be given that competitors will not independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary information and techniques, or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets or disclose such technology. Because of limited financial resources, we may not have the financial resources to prepare, file, or prosecute all of the patent applications that we might otherwise desire, or to maintain all U.S. and foreign patents that have previously been issued.

Our failure to obtain patent protection or otherwise protect our proprietary technology or proposed products may have a material adverse effect on our competitive position and business prospects. The patent application process takes several years and entails considerable expense. There is no assurance that additional patents will issue from these applications or, if patents do issue, that the claims allowed will be sufficient to protect our technology.

The patent positions of pharmaceutical and biotechnology firms are often uncertain and involve complex legal and factual questions. Furthermore, the breadth of claims allowed in biotechnology patents is unpredictable. We cannot be certain that others have not filed patent applications for technology covered by the patents and applications described above, that the licensors of the technologies were the first to invent the technology that is the subject of such patents or patent applications, or that the patents and applications will provide meaningful protection. Competitors may have filed applications for, or may have received patents and may obtain additional patents and proprietary rights relating to, compounds, products or processes that block or compete with the rights that we hold. We are aware of patent applications filed and patents issued to third parties relating to HFA propellant technology and aerosolized inhalers, and there can be no assurance that any patent applications or patents will not have a material adverse effect on potential products we are developing or may seek to develop in the future.

Patent litigation is widespread in the biotechnology industry. Litigation may be necessary to defend against or assert claims of infringement, to enforce patents issued to us, to protect trade secrets or know-how owned or licensed by us, or to determine the scope and validity of the proprietary rights of third parties. Except as described in “Item 3. Legal Proceedings” below, no third party has asserted that we are infringing such third party’s patent rights or other intellectual property, there can be no assurance that litigation asserting such claims will not be initiated, that we would prevail in any such litigation or that we would be able to obtain any necessary licenses on reasonable terms, if at all. Any such claims against us, with or without merit, as well as claims initiated by us against third parties, can be time-consuming and expensive to defend or prosecute and to resolve. If other companies prepare and file patent applications in the United States that claim technology also claimed by us, we may have to participate in interference proceedings to determine priority of invention, which could result in substantial cost to us even if the outcome is favorable to us. There can be no assurance that third parties will not independently develop equivalent proprietary information or techniques, will not gain access to our trade secrets or disclose such technology to the public or that Adamis can maintain and protect unpatented proprietary technology. We typically require our employees to execute confidentiality agreements upon commencement of employment with us. There can be no assurance, however, that these agreements will provide meaningful protection or adequate remedies for our technology in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of such information, that the parties to such agreements will not breach such agreements or that our trade secrets will not otherwise become known or be discovered independently by our competitors.

Employees

As of June 28, 2013, we had seven full-time employees and no part-time employees. None of our employees is subject to a collective bargaining agreement or represented by a labor or trade union, and we believe that our relations with our employees are good.

Available Information

We are subject to the reporting requirements under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Consequently, we are required to file or furnish reports and information with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, including annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, amendments to those reports, and other information and documents. These reports and other information concerning us may be obtained at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549 or accessed through the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov> or by calling 1-800-SEC-0330. Upon written request to the Company at Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, 11455 El Camino Real, Suite 310, San Diego, CA 92130, Attention: Chief Financial Officer, the Company will provide a copy of the annual report on Form 10-K to any stockholder. The information on our website is not incorporated into, and is not part of, this annual report.

ITEM 1A: RISK FACTORS

You should consider carefully the following information about the risks described below, together with the other information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and in our other public filings in evaluating our business. If any of the following risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition, results of operations and future prospects would likely be materially and adversely affected. In these circumstances, the market price of our common stock would likely decline.

Risks Related to Our Financial Condition

Our auditors have expressed substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Our audited financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2013, were prepared under the assumption that we would continue our operations as a going concern. Our independent registered public accounting firm has included a “going concern” explanatory paragraph in its report on our financial statements for the years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, indicating that we have incurred recurring losses from operations and have limited working capital to pursue our business alternatives, and that these factors raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Uncertainty concerning our ability to continue as a going concern may hinder our ability to obtain future financing. We continue to experience net operating losses. Continued operations and our ability to continue as a going concern are dependent on our ability to obtain additional funding in the near future and thereafter, and there are no assurances that such funding will be available at all or will be available in sufficient amounts or on reasonable terms. Our financial statements do not include any adjustments that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty. Without additional funds from debt or equity financing, sales of assets, sales or out-licenses of intellectual property or technologies, or from a business combination or a similar transaction, we will rapidly exhaust our resources and will be unable to continue operations. If we cannot continue as a viable entity, our stockholders would likely lose most or all of their investment in us.

We require additional financing to continue as a going concern.

We incurred a net loss of approximately \$7.2 million for the year ended March 31, 2013. At March 31, 2013, we had no cash and cash equivalents, no accounts receivable, and significant liabilities and obligations. As described below under the heading, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations -- Liquidity and Capital Resources,” after the end of our fiscal 2013 year, (i) on April 5, 2013, we completed a private placement financing transaction with two investors, pursuant to which we issued 12% Convertible Debentures in the aggregate principal amount of \$575,000 and received gross proceeds of \$575,000, excluding transaction costs and expenses, and (ii) on June 26, 2013, we completed a private placement financing transaction pursuant to which we issued senior secured convertible promissory notes and common stock purchase warrants, and received gross cash proceeds of \$5,300,000, excluding transactions costs, fees and expenses. Nevertheless, absent additional funding, we believe that our cash and cash equivalents will be sufficient to fund our operations only for a relatively short period of time.

We will require significant additional funding in order to sustain our operations and implement our business strategy. The development of our business will require substantial additional capital in the future to commercialize our PFS syringe product, conduct research and develop our cancer and vaccine technologies and other product candidates. We have historically relied upon private sales of our equity and issuances of notes to fund our operations. We currently have no credit facility or committed sources of capital. Delays in obtaining funding to continue operations and support the development and introduction of our products would delay commercial introduction of products, reduce any future revenues and income, require additional funding from other sources, and adversely affect our ability to continue operations and fund research and development efforts. In addition, one or more licensors of patents and intellectual property rights that we have in-licensed could seek to terminate our license agreements if our lack of funding made us unable to comply with the provisions of those agreements. When we seek additional capital, we may seek to sell additional equity and/or debt securities or to obtain a credit facility, which we may not be able to do on favorable terms, or at all. Our ability to obtain additional financing will be subject to a number of factors, including market conditions, our operating performance and investor sentiment. If we are unable to raise additional capital when required or on acceptable terms, we may have to significantly delay, scale back or discontinue the development and/or commercialization of one or more of our product candidates, restrict our operations or obtain funds by entering into agreements on unattractive terms, which would likely have a material adverse effect on our business, stock price and our relationships with third parties with whom we have business relationships, at least until additional funding is obtained. In addition, we have outstanding convertible notes that become due at various times during fiscal 2014, and if those notes are not converted into common stock before their maturity dates, we would require additional funds in order to pay amounts owed under those notes upon their respective maturity dates.

If we do not have sufficient funds to continue operations, we could be required to seek bankruptcy protection or other alternatives that would likely result in our stockholders losing some or all of their investment in us. Any failure to dispel any continuing doubts about our ability to continue as a going concern could adversely affect our ability to enter into collaborative relationships with business partners, make it more difficult to obtain required financing on favorable terms or at all, negatively affect the market price of our common stock and could otherwise have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our management intends to address our capital needs by attempting to secure additional funding through equity or debt financings, sales or out-licensing of intellectual property assets, seeking partnerships with other pharmaceutical companies or third parties to co-develop and fund research and development efforts, or similar transactions. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain any required funding. If we are unsuccessful in securing funding, we will defer, reduce or eliminate certain planned expenditures. There is no assurance that any of the above options will be implemented on a timely basis or that we will be able to obtain additional financing on acceptable terms, if at all. If adequate funds are not available on acceptable terms, we will be required to delay development or commercialization of some or all of our products, to seek to license to third parties the rights to commercialize certain products that we would otherwise seek to develop or commercialize internally, or to reduce resources devoted to product development.

Statements in this Report concerning our future plans and operations are dependent on our ability to secure adequate funding and the absence of unexpected delays or adverse developments.

The statements contained throughout this Annual Report concerning future events or developments or our future activities, including concerning, among other matters, current or planned clinical trials, anticipated research and development activities, anticipated dates for commencement of clinical trials, anticipated completion dates of clinical trials, anticipated meetings with the FDA or other regulatory authorities concerning our product candidates, anticipated dates for submissions to obtain required regulatory marketing approvals, anticipated dates for commercial introduction of products, and other statements concerning our future operations and activities, are forward-looking statements that in each instance assume that we are able to obtain sufficient funding in the near term and thereafter to support such activities and continue our operations and planned activities in a timely manner. There can be no assurance that this will be the case. Also, such statements assume that there are no significant unexpected developments or events that delay or prevent such activities from occurring. Failure to timely obtain sufficient funding, or unexpected development or events, could delay the occurrence of such events or prevent the events described in any such statements from occurring.

We have incurred losses since our inception, and we anticipate that we will continue to incur losses. We may never achieve or sustain profitability.

We incurred net losses of approximately \$38 million since inception and net losses of approximately \$7.2 million for our fiscal year ended March 31, 2013. From inception through March 31, 2013, we have an accumulated deficit of approximately \$38 million. These losses will increase as we continue our research and development activities, seek regulatory approvals for our product candidates and commercialize any approved products. These losses will cause, among other things, our stockholders' equity and working capital to decrease. The future earnings and cash flow from operations of our business are dependent, in part, on our ability to further develop our products and on revenues and profitability from sales of our allergy and respiratory products and product candidates.

There can be no assurance that we will grow or be profitable. There can be no assurance that we will be able to generate sufficient product revenue to become profitable at all or on a sustained basis. We expect to have quarter-to-quarter fluctuations in revenues and expenses, some of which could be significant, due to manufacturing, marketing, research, development, and clinical trial activities. If our product candidates fail in clinical trials or do not gain regulatory approval, or if our products do not achieve market acceptance, we may never become profitable. As we commercialize and market products, we will need to incur expenses for product marketing and brand awareness and conduct significant research, development, testing and regulatory compliance activities that, together with projected general and administrative expenses, are expected to result in substantial operating losses for the foreseeable future. Even if we do achieve profitability, we may not be able to sustain or increase profitability on a quarterly or annual basis.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

We may never commercialize any of our products or earn a profit. Our limited operating history may make it difficult to evaluate our business and our future viability.

We currently have no revenues from product sales and expect to incur substantial net losses for the foreseeable future to further develop and commercialize our product candidates and technologies. We may never be able to commercialize any of our product candidates or be able to generate revenues from products sales. We are in the early stage of operations and development and have only a limited operating history on which to base an evaluation of our business and prospects. Similarly, we acquired rights to the technologies underlying APC-100, APC-200 and APC-300, and the telomerase technology, during 2010 and 2011. Even if we successfully obtain additional funding, we are subject to the risks associated with early stage companies with a limited operating history, including: the need for additional financings; the uncertainty of research and development efforts resulting in successful commercial products, as well as the marketing and customer acceptance of such products; unexpected issues with the FDA or other federal or state regulatory authorities; regulatory setbacks and delays; competition from larger organizations; reliance on the proprietary technology of others; dependence on key personnel; uncertain patent protection; fluctuations in expenses; and dependence on corporate partners and collaborators. Any failure to successfully address these risks and uncertainties could seriously harm our business and prospects. We may not succeed given the technological, marketing, strategic and competitive challenges we will face. The likelihood of our success must be considered in light of the expenses, difficulties, complications, problems and delays frequently encountered in connection with the growth of a new business, the continuing development of new drug technology, and the competitive and regulatory environment in which we operate or may choose to operate in the future.

Some of our potential products and technologies are in early stages of development.

The development of new pharmaceutical products is a highly risky undertaking, and there can be no assurance that any future research and development efforts we might undertake will be successful. Our potential products in oncology and viral fields will require extensive additional research and development before any commercial introduction, as will research and development work on the generic nasal steroid product and other allergy and respiratory products. There can be no assurance that any future research, development or clinical trial efforts will result in viable products or meet efficacy standards. Future clinical or preclinical results may be negative or insufficient to allow us to successfully market our product candidates. Obtaining needed data and results may take longer than planned or may not be obtained at all. Any such delays or setbacks could have an adverse effect on our ability to achieve our financial goals.

We are subject to substantial government regulation, which could materially adversely affect our business. If we do not receive regulatory approvals, we may not be able to develop and commercialize our technologies.

We need FDA approval to market our proposed PFS Syringe or other products in the United States and similar approvals from foreign regulatory authorities to market products outside the United States. We have not yet filed an application with the FDA to obtain approval to market any of our proposed products. The production and marketing of our products and potential products and our ongoing research and development, pre-clinical testing and clinical trial activities are currently subject to extensive regulation and review by numerous governmental authorities in the United States and will face similar regulation and review for overseas approval and sales from governmental authorities outside of the United States. The regulatory review and approval process, which may include evaluation of preclinical studies and clinical trials of our products, as well as the evaluation of manufacturing processes and contract manufacturers' facilities, is lengthy, expensive and uncertain. We have limited experience in filing and pursuing applications necessary to gain regulatory approvals. Most of the product candidates that we are currently developing must undergo rigorous pre-clinical and clinical testing and an extensive regulatory approval process before they can be marketed. This process makes it longer, more difficult and more costly to bring our potential products to market, and we cannot guarantee that any of our potential products will be approved. For example, there can be no assurances that we will file an application with the FDA for marketing approval of our PFS Syringe product, that the FDA will ultimately grant marketing approval for the PFS Syringe product, or concerning the timing of filing a marketing application or obtaining any such FDA approval. The pre-marketing approval process can be particularly expensive, uncertain and lengthy, and many products for which FDA approval has been sought by other companies have never been approved for marketing. In addition to testing and approval procedures, extensive regulations also govern marketing, manufacturing, distribution, labeling, and record-keeping procedures. If we or our collaboration partners do not comply with applicable regulatory requirements, such violations could result in non-approval, suspensions of regulatory approvals, civil penalties and criminal fines, product seizures and recalls, operating restrictions, injunctions, and criminal prosecution.

Regulatory authorities generally have substantial discretion in the approval process and may either refuse to accept an application, or may decide after review of an application that the data submitted is insufficient to allow approval of the proposed product. If regulatory authorities do not accept or approve our applications, they may require that we conduct additional clinical, preclinical or manufacturing studies and submit that data before regulatory authorities will reconsider such application. We may need to expend substantial resources to conduct further studies to obtain data that regulatory authorities believe is sufficient. Depending on the extent of these studies, approval of applications may be delayed by several years, or may require us to expend more resources than we may have available. It is also possible that additional studies may not suffice to make applications approvable. If any of these outcomes occur, we may be forced to abandon our applications for approval.

Failure to obtain FDA or other required regulatory approvals, or withdrawal of previous approvals, would adversely affect our business. Such failure or withdrawal may be encountered due to, among other reasons, lack of efficacy during clinical trials, unforeseen safety issues, inability to follow patients after treatment in clinical trials, inconsistencies between early clinical trial results and results obtained in later clinical trials, varying interpretations of data generated by clinical trials, or changes in regulatory policy during the period of product development in the United States and abroad. In the United States, there is stringent FDA oversight in product clearance and enforcement activities, causing medical product development to experience longer approval cycles, greater risk and uncertainty, and higher expenses. Internationally, there is a risk that we may not be successful in meeting the quality standards or other certification requirements. Even if regulatory approval of a product is granted, this approval may entail limitations on uses for which the product may be labeled and promoted, or may prevent us from broadening the uses of products for different applications. In addition, we may not receive FDA approval to export our potential products in the future, and countries to which potential products are to be exported may not approve them for import.

Manufacturing facilities for our products will also be subject to continual governmental review and inspection. The FDA has stated publicly that compliance with manufacturing regulations will continue to be strictly scrutinized. To the extent we decide to manufacture our own products, a governmental authority may challenge our compliance with applicable federal, state and foreign regulations. In addition, any discovery of previously unknown problems with one of our potential products or facilities may result in restrictions on the potential product or the facility. If we decide to outsource the commercial production of our products, any challenge by a regulatory authority of the compliance of the manufacturer could hinder our ability to bring our products to market.

We rely on third parties to conduct our clinical trials. If these third parties do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or meet expected deadlines, we may be unable to obtain, or may experience delays in obtaining, regulatory approval, or may not be successful in commercializing our planned and future products.

Like many companies our size, we do not have the ability to conduct preclinical or clinical studies for our product candidates without the assistance of third parties who conduct the studies on our behalf. These third parties are usually toxicology facilities and clinical research organizations, or CROs, that have significant resources and experience in the conduct of pre-clinical and clinical studies. The toxicology facilities conduct the pre-clinical safety studies, as well as all associated tasks connected with these studies. The CROs typically perform patient recruitment, project management, data management, statistical analysis, and other reporting functions. We intend to rely on third parties to conduct clinical trials of our product candidates and to use different toxicology facilities and CROs for our pre-clinical and clinical studies.

Our reliance on these third parties for development activities will reduce our control over these activities. If these third parties do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or obligations or meet expected deadlines, or if the quality or accuracy of the clinical data they obtain is compromised due to the failure to adhere to our clinical protocols or for other reasons, we may be required to replace them, and our clinical trials may be extended, delayed or terminated. Although we believe there are a number of third-party contractors that we could engage to continue these activities, replacing a third-party contractor may result in a delay of the affected trial. Accordingly, we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for or successfully commercialize our product candidates.

Delays in the commencement or completion of clinical testing of our product candidates could result in increased costs and delay our ability to generate significant revenues.

Delays in the commencement or completion of clinical testing could significantly impact our product development costs. We do not know whether current or planned clinical trials will begin on time or be completed on schedule, if at all. The commencement of clinical trials can be delayed for a variety of reasons, including delays in:

- obtaining required funding;
- obtaining regulatory approval to commence a clinical trial;
- reaching agreement on acceptable terms with prospective contract research organizations and clinical trial sites;
- obtaining sufficient quantities of clinical trial materials for any or all product candidates;
- obtaining institutional review board approval to conduct a clinical trial at a prospective site; and
- recruiting participants for a clinical trial.

In addition, once a clinical trial has begun, it may be suspended or terminated by us or the FDA or other regulatory authorities due to a number of factors, including:

- failure to conduct the clinical trial in accordance with regulatory requirements;
- inspection of the clinical trial operations or clinical trial site by the FDA or other regulatory authorities resulting in the imposition of a clinical hold;
- failure to achieve certain efficacy and/or safety standards; or
- lack of adequate funding to continue the clinical trial.

Clinical trials require sufficient participant enrollment, which is a function of many factors, including the size of the target patient population, the nature of the trial protocol, the proximity of participants to clinical trial sites, the availability of effective treatments for the relevant disease, the eligibility criteria for our clinical trials and competing trials. Delays in enrollment can result in increased costs and longer development times. Our failure to enroll participants in our clinical trials could delay the completion of the clinical trials beyond current expectations. In addition, the FDA could require us to conduct clinical trials with a larger number of participants than we may project for any of our product candidates. As a result of these factors, we may not be able to enroll a sufficient number of participants in a timely or cost-effective manner.

Furthermore, enrolled participants may drop out of clinical trials, which could impair the validity or statistical significance of the clinical trials. A number of factors can influence the discontinuation rate, including, but not limited to: the inclusion of a placebo in a trial; possible lack of effect of the product candidate being tested at one or more of the dose levels being tested; adverse side effects experienced, whether or not related to the product candidate; and the availability of numerous alternative treatment options that may induce participants to discontinue from the trial.

We, the FDA or other applicable regulatory authorities may suspend clinical trials of a product candidate at any time if we or they believe the participants in such clinical trials, or in independent third-party clinical trials for drugs based on similar technologies, are being exposed to unacceptable health risks or for other reasons.

We may be required to suspend, repeat or terminate our clinical trials if the trials are not well designed, do not meet regulatory requirements or the results are negative or inconclusive, which may result in significant negative repercussions on business and financial condition.

Before regulatory approval for any potential product can be obtained, we must undertake extensive clinical testing on humans to demonstrate the tolerability and efficacy of the product, both on its own terms, and as compared to the other principal drugs on the market that have the same therapeutic indication. We cannot assure you that we will obtain authorization to permit product candidates that are already in the preclinical development phase to enter the human clinical testing phase. In addition, we cannot assure you that any authorized preclinical or clinical testing will be completed successfully within any specified time period by us, or without significant additional resources or expertise to those originally expected to be necessary. We cannot assure you that such testing will show potential products to be safe and efficacious or that any such product will be approved for a specific indication. Further, the results from preclinical studies and early clinical trials may not be indicative of the results that will be obtained in later-stage clinical trials. In addition, we or regulatory authorities may suspend clinical trials at any time on the basis that the participants are being exposed to unacceptable health risks.

Completion of clinical tests depends on, among other things, the number of patients available for testing, which is a function of many factors, including the number of patients with the relevant conditions, the nature of the clinical testing, the proximity of patients to clinical testing centers, the eligibility criteria for tests, as well as competition with other clinical testing programs involving the same patient profile, but different treatments. We will rely on third parties, such as contract research organizations and/or co-operative groups, to assist us in overseeing and monitoring clinical trials, as well as to process the clinical results and manage test requests, which may result in delays or failure to complete trials, if the third parties fail to perform or to meet the applicable standards. A failure by us or such third parties to keep to the terms of a product program development for any particular product candidate or to complete the clinical trials for a product candidate in the envisaged time frame could have significant negative repercussions on our business and financial condition.

We may not complete our clinical trials in the time expected, which could delay or prevent the commercialization of our products, which may adversely affect our future revenues and financial condition.

Although for planning purposes we will forecast the commencement and completion of clinical trials, the actual timing of these events can vary dramatically due to factors such as delays, funding limitations, scheduling conflicts with participating clinicians and clinical institutions and the rate of patient enrollment. Clinical trials involving our product candidates may not commence or be completed as forecast. In certain circumstances, we will rely on academic institutions or clinical research organizations to conduct, supervise or monitor some or all aspects of clinical trials involving our products. We will have less control over the timing and other aspects of these clinical trials than if we conducted them entirely on our own. These trials may not commence or be completed as we expect and may not be conducted successfully. Failure to commence or complete, or delays in, any of our planned clinical trials could delay or prevent the commercialization of our products and harm our business and may adversely affect our future revenues and financial condition.

We are subject to the risk of clinical trial and product liability lawsuits.

The testing of human health care product candidates entails an inherent risk of allegations of clinical trial liability, while the marketing and sale of approved products entails an inherent risk of allegations of product liability and associated adverse publicity. We currently maintain liability insurance coverage of \$5,000,000. Such insurance is expensive, difficult to obtain and may not be available in the future on acceptable terms, or at all. As we conduct additional clinical trials and introduce products into the United States market, the risk of adverse events increases and our requirements for liability insurance coverage are likely to increase. We are subject to the risk that substantial liability claims from the testing or marketing of pharmaceutical products could be asserted against us in the future. There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain or maintain insurance on acceptable terms, particularly in overseas locations, for clinical and commercial activities or that any insurance obtained will provide adequate protection against potential liabilities. An inability to obtain sufficient insurance coverage on reasonable terms or to otherwise protect against potential product liability claims could inhibit our business.

Moreover, our current and future coverages may not be adequate to protect us from all of the liabilities that we may incur. If losses from liability claims exceed our insurance coverage, we may incur substantial liabilities that exceed our financial resources. In addition, a product or clinical trial liability action against us would be expensive and time-consuming to defend, even if we ultimately prevailed. If we are required to pay a claim, we may not have sufficient financial resources and our business and results of operations may be harmed. A product liability claim brought against Adamis in excess of its insurance coverage, if any, could have a material adverse effect upon its business, financial condition and results of operations.

We do not have commercial-scale manufacturing capability, and we lack commercial manufacturing experience. We will likely rely on third parties to manufacture and supply our product candidates.

We do not own or operate manufacturing facilities for clinical or commercial production of product candidates. We do not have any experience in drug formulation or manufacturing, and we lack the resources and the capability to manufacture any of our product candidates on a clinical or commercial scale. Accordingly, we expect to depend on third-party contract manufacturers for the foreseeable future. Any performance failure on the part of our contract manufacturers could delay clinical development, regulatory approval or commercialization of our current or future product candidates, depriving us of potential product revenue and resulting in additional losses.

The manufacture of pharmaceutical products requires significant expertise and capital investment, including the development of advanced manufacturing techniques and process controls. Manufacturers of pharmaceutical products often encounter difficulties in production, particularly in scaling up initial production.

These problems include difficulties with production costs and yields, quality control (including stability of the product candidate and quality assurance testing), shortages of qualified personnel, as well as compliance with strictly enforced federal, state and foreign regulations. If our third-party contract manufacturers were to encounter any of these difficulties or otherwise fail to comply with their obligations or under applicable regulations, our ability to provide product candidates to patients in our clinical trials or commercially would be jeopardized. As an example, our PFS Syringe product is currently manufactured by Catalent Pharma Solutions (an FDA licensed and approved cGMP facility) in Brussels, Belgium and, therefore, is subject to regulation by the Belgian Ministry of Health, as well as the FDA. If we file an application for marketing approval of the product and the FDA grants marketing approval, any delay or interruption in the supply of product could delay the commercial launch of the product or impair our ability to meet demand for the product. Difficulties in supplying products for clinical trials could increase the costs associated with our clinical trial programs and, depending upon the period of delay, require us to commence new trials or qualify new manufacturers at significant additional expense, possibly causing commercial delays or termination of the trials.

Our products can only be manufactured in a facility that has undergone a satisfactory inspection by the FDA and other relevant regulatory authorities. For these reasons, we may not be able to replace manufacturing capacity for our products quickly if we or our contract manufacturer(s) were unable to use manufacturing facilities as a result of a fire, natural disaster (including an earthquake), equipment failure, or other difficulty, or if such facilities were deemed not in compliance with the regulatory requirements and such non-compliance could not be rapidly rectified. An inability or reduced capacity to manufacture our products would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

If we fail to obtain acceptable prices or appropriate reimbursement for our products, our ability to successfully commercialize our products will be impaired.

Government and insurance reimbursements for healthcare expenditures play an important role for all healthcare providers, including physicians and pharmaceutical companies such as Adamis, that plan to offer various products in the United States and other countries in the future. Our ability to earn sufficient returns on our products and potential products will depend in part on the extent to which reimbursement for the costs of such products will be available from government health administration authorities, private health coverage insurers, managed care organizations, and other organizations. In the United States, our ability to have our products eligible for Medicare, Medicaid or private insurance reimbursement will be an important factor in determining the ultimate success of our products. If, for any reason, Medicare, Medicaid or the insurance companies decline to provide reimbursement for our products, our ability to commercialize our products would be adversely affected. There can be no assurance that our potential drug products will be eligible for reimbursement.

There has been a trend toward declining government and private insurance expenditures for many healthcare items and this trend may accelerate with proposed healthcare reform legislation. Third-party payors are increasingly challenging the price of medical and pharmaceutical products.

If purchasers or users of our products and related treatments are not able to obtain appropriate reimbursement for the cost of using such products, they may forego or reduce such use. Significant uncertainty exists as to the reimbursement status of newly approved pharmaceutical products, and there can be no assurance that adequate third-party coverage will be available. Even if our products are approved for reimbursement by Medicare, Medicaid and private insurers, of which there can be no assurance, the amount of reimbursement may be reduced at times or even eliminated. This would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Legislative or regulatory reform of the healthcare system may affect our ability to sell our products profitably.

In both the United States and certain foreign jurisdictions, there have been and are expected to be a number of legislative and regulatory changes to the healthcare system in ways that could impact our ability to sell our products profitably, including the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act signed into law in the United States on March 22, 2010. In recent years, new legislation has been enacted in the United States at the federal and state levels that effects major changes in the healthcare system, nationally and at the state level. These new laws include a prescription drug benefit plan for Medicare beneficiaries and certain changes in Medicare reimbursement. Given the recent enactment of these laws and other federal and state legislation and regulations relating to the healthcare system, it is still too early to determine their impact on the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries and our business. The U.S. Congress continues to consider issues relating to the healthcare system, and future legislation or regulations may affect our ability to market and sell products on favorable terms, which would affect our results of operations, as well as our ability to raise capital, obtain additional collaborators or profitably market our products. Such legislation or regulation may reduce our revenues, increase our expenses or limit the markets for our products. In particular, we expect to experience pricing pressures in connection with the sale of our products due to the trend toward managed health care, the increasing influence of health maintenance organizations and additional legislative proposals.

We have limited sales, marketing and distribution experience.

We have limited experience in the sales, marketing, and distribution of pharmaceutical products. There can be no assurance that we will be able to establish sales, marketing, and distribution capabilities or make arrangements with our current collaborators or others to perform such activities or that such efforts will be successful. If we decide to market any of our new products directly, we must either acquire or internally develop a marketing and sales force with technical expertise and with supporting distribution capabilities. The acquisition or development of a sales, marketing and distribution infrastructure would require substantial resources, which may not be available to us or, even if available, divert the attention of our management and key personnel, and have a negative impact on further product development efforts.

We may seek to enter into arrangements to develop and commercialize our products. These collaborations, if secured, may not be successful.

We have entered into arrangements with third parties regarding development and commercialization of some of our products and may in the future seek to enter into collaborative arrangements to develop and commercialize some of our potential products both in North America and international markets. There can be no assurance that we will be able to negotiate collaborative arrangements on favorable terms or at all or that our current or future collaborative arrangements will be successful. Similarly, we may seek to sell, out-license or enter into other similar arrangements concerning one or more of our products or product candidates, such as our C31G product. There are no assurances that any third party will have an interest in pursuing discussions concerning any such transaction regarding C31G or any other product.

Our strategy for the future research, development, and commercialization of our products is expected to be based in part on entering into various arrangements with corporate collaborators, licensors, licensees, health care institutions and principal investigators and others, and our commercial success is dependent upon these outside parties performing their respective contractual obligations responsibly and with integrity. The amount and timing of resources such third parties will devote to these activities may not be within our control. There can be no assurance that such parties will perform their obligations as expected. There can be no assurance that our collaborators will devote adequate resources to our products.

If we are not successful in acquiring or licensing additional product candidates on acceptable terms, if at all, our business may be adversely affected.

As part of our strategy, we may acquire or license additional product candidates that we believe have growth potential. There are no assurances that we will be able to identify promising product candidates. Even if we are successful in identifying promising product candidates, we may not be able to reach an agreement for the acquisition or license of the product candidates with their owners on acceptable terms or at all.

We may not be able to successfully identify any other commercial products or product candidates to in-license, acquire or internally develop. Moreover, negotiating and implementing an economically viable in-licensing arrangement or acquisition is a lengthy and complex process. Other companies, including those with substantially greater resources, may compete with us for the in-licensing or acquisition of product candidates and approved products. We may not be able to acquire or in-license the rights to additional product candidates and approved products on terms that we find acceptable, or at all. If we are unable to in-license or acquire additional commercial products or product candidates, our ability to grow our business or increase our profits could be severely limited.

If our competitors develop and market products that are more effective than our product candidates or obtain regulatory and marketing approval for similar products before we do, our commercial opportunity may be reduced or eliminated.

The development and commercialization of new pharmaceutical products that target certain cancers and viral conditions, and allergy and other respiratory conditions, is a highly competitive field, and we face competition from numerous sources, including major biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies worldwide. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial and technical resources, and development, production and marketing capabilities than we do. Certain companies have established technologies that may be competitive with our product candidates and any future products that we may develop or acquire. Some of these products may use different approaches or means to obtain results, which could be more effective or less expensive than our products for similar indications. In addition, many of these companies have more experience than we do in pre-clinical testing, clinical trials and manufacturing of compounds, obtaining FDA and foreign regulatory approvals, and brand name exposure and expertise in sales and marketing. We also compete with academic institutions, governmental agencies and private organizations that are conducting research in the same fields.

Competition among these entities to recruit and retain highly qualified scientific, technical and professional personnel and consultants is also intense. As a result, there is a risk that one or more of our competitors will develop a more effective product for the same indications for which we are developing a product or, alternatively, bring a similar product to market before we can do so. Failure to successfully compete will adversely impact the ability to raise additional capital and ultimately achieve profitable operations.

If we suffer negative publicity concerning the safety of our products in development, our sales may be harmed and we may be forced to withdraw such products.

If concerns should arise about the safety of any of our products that are marketed, regardless of whether or not such concerns have a basis in generally accepted science or peer-reviewed scientific research, such concerns could adversely affect the market for these products. Similarly, negative publicity could result in an increased number of product liability claims, whether or not these claims are supported by applicable law.

Our failure to adequately protect or to enforce our intellectual property rights or secure rights to third party patents could materially harm our proprietary position in the marketplace or prevent the commercialization of our products.

Our success depends in part on our ability to obtain and maintain protection in the United States and other countries for the intellectual property covering or incorporated into our technologies and products. The patents and patent applications in our existing patent portfolio are either owned by us or licensed to us. Our ability to protect our product candidates from unauthorized use or infringement by third parties depends substantially on our ability to obtain and maintain valid and enforceable patents. Due to evolving legal standards relating to the patentability, validity and enforceability of patents covering pharmaceutical inventions and the scope of claims made under these patents, our ability to obtain and enforce patents is uncertain and involves complex legal and factual questions for which important legal principles are unresolved.

There is a substantial backlog of patent applications at the United States Patent and Trademark Office, or USPTO. Patents in the United States are issued to the party that is first to invent the claimed invention. There can be no assurance that any patent applications relating to our products or methods will be issued as patents, or, if issued, that the patents will not be challenged, invalidated or circumvented or that the rights granted thereunder will provide a competitive advantage. We may not be able to obtain patent rights on products, treatment methods or manufacturing processes that we may develop or to which we may obtain license or other rights. Even if we do obtain patents, rights under any issued patents may not provide us with sufficient protection for our product candidates or provide sufficient protection to afford us a commercial advantage against our competitors or their competitive products or processes. It is possible that no patents will be issued from any pending or future patent applications owned by us or licensed to us. Others may challenge, seek to invalidate, infringe or circumvent any patents we own or license. Alternatively, we may in the future be required to initiate litigation against third parties to enforce our intellectual property rights. The defense and prosecution of patent and intellectual property claims are both costly and time consuming, even if the outcome is favorable to us. Any adverse outcome could subject us to significant liabilities, require us to license disputed rights from others, or require us to cease selling our future products.

In addition, many other organizations are engaged in research and product development efforts that may overlap with our products. For example, our PFS Syringe product competes against other self-administered epinephrine products, including EpiPen, EpiPen Jr. and Twinject; our allergy and respiratory products will compete with numerous prescription and non-prescription over-the-counter products targeting similar conditions; numerous companies are engaged in research, development and marketing of cancer drugs and have extensive patent portfolios relating to their drug products; and with regard to the Savvy product candidate, Ortho Pharmaceuticals and many other companies offer contraceptive vaginal gel products. Such organizations may currently have, or may obtain in the future, legally blocking proprietary rights, including patent rights, in one or more products or methods under development or consideration by us. These rights may prevent us from commercializing technology, or may require us to obtain a license from the organizations to use the technology. We may not be able to obtain any such licenses that may be required on reasonable financial terms, if at all, and cannot be sure that the patents underlying any such licenses will be valid or enforceable. As with other companies in the pharmaceutical industry, we are subject to the risk that persons located in other countries will engage in development, marketing or sales activities of products that would infringe our patent rights if such activities were conducted in the United States.

Our patents also may not afford protection against competitors with similar technology. We may not have identified all patents, published applications or published literature that affect our business either by blocking our ability to commercialize our product candidates, by preventing the patentability of our products or by covering the same or similar technologies that may affect our ability to market or license our product candidates. For example, patent applications filed with the USPTO are normally maintained in confidence for up to 18 months after their filing. Patent applications filed in countries outside the United States are not typically published until at least 18 months from their first filing date. Similarly, publication of discoveries in the scientific or patent literature often lags behind actual discoveries. Therefore, we or our licensors might not have been the first to invent, or the first to file, patent applications on our product candidates or for their use. The laws of some foreign jurisdictions do not protect intellectual property rights to the same extent as in the United States, and many companies have encountered significant difficulties in protecting and defending these rights in foreign jurisdictions. If we encounter such difficulties or are otherwise precluded from effectively protecting our intellectual property rights in either the United States or foreign jurisdictions, our business prospects could be substantially harmed.

In addition, because of funding limitations and our limited cash resources, we may not be able to devote the resources that we might otherwise desire to prepare or pursue patent applications, either at all or in all jurisdictions in which we might desire to obtain patents, or to maintain already-issued patents.

Even if we receive regulatory approval to market our product candidates, such products may not gain the market acceptance among physicians, patients, healthcare payors and the medical community.

Any products that we may develop may not gain market acceptance among physicians, patients, healthcare payors and the medical community even if they ultimately receive regulatory approval. If these products do not achieve an adequate level of acceptance, we, or future collaborators, may not be able to generate material product revenues and we may not become profitable. The degree of market acceptance of any of our product candidates, if approved for commercial sale, will depend on a number of factors, including:

- demonstration of efficacy and safety in clinical trials;
- the prevalence and severity of any unexpected side effects;
- the introduction and availability of generic substitutes for any of our products, potentially at lower prices (which, in turn, will depend on the strength of our intellectual property protection for such products);
- potential or perceived advantages over alternative treatments;
- the timing of market entry relative to competitive treatments;
- the ability to offer our product candidates for sale at competitive prices;
- relative convenience and ease of administration;
- the strength of marketing and distribution support;
- sufficient third party coverage or reimbursement; and
- the product labeling or product insert (including any warnings) required by the FDA or regulatory authorities in other countries.

If we fail to keep pace with rapid technological change in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries, our products could become obsolete, which may adversely affect our future revenues and financial condition.

Biotechnology and related pharmaceutical technology have undergone and are subject to rapid and significant change. We expect that the technologies associated with biotechnology research and development will continue to develop rapidly. Our future will depend in large part on our ability to maintain a competitive position with respect to these technologies. Any compounds, products or processes that we develop may become obsolete before we recover any expenses incurred in connection with developing these products, which may adversely affect our future revenues and financial condition.

We depend on our officers. If we are unable to retain our management, research, development, and clinical teams and scientific advisors or to attract additional qualified personnel, our product operations and development efforts may be seriously jeopardized.

Our success will be dependent upon the efforts of a small management team and staff, including Dennis J. Carlo, Ph.D. The employment of Dr. Carlo may be terminated at any time by either us or Dr. Carlo. We currently do not have key man life insurance policies covering any of our executive officers or key employees. If key individuals leave us, we could be adversely affected if suitable replacement personnel are not quickly recruited. There is competition for qualified personnel in all functional areas, which makes it difficult to attract and retain the qualified personnel necessary for the operation of our business. Our success also depends in part on our ability to attract and retain highly qualified scientific, commercial and administrative personnel. In order to pursue our development and commercialization strategies, we will need to attract and hire, or engage as consultants, additional personnel with specialized experience in a number of disciplines. If we are unable to attract new employees and retain existing employees, the development and commercialization of our product candidates and any future tests could be delayed or negatively impacted.

The loss of the services of any principal member of our management and research, development and clinical teams could significantly delay or prevent the achievement of our scientific and business objectives. Competition among biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies for qualified employees is intense, and the ability to retain and attract qualified individuals is critical to our success. We may be unable to attract and retain key personnel on acceptable terms, if at all.

We have relationships with consultants and scientific advisors who will continue to assist us in formulating and executing our research, development, regulatory and clinical strategies. These consultants and scientific advisors are not our employees and may have commitments to, or consulting or advisory contracts with, other entities that may limit their availability to us. We will have only limited control over the activities of these consultants and scientific advisors and can generally expect these individuals to devote only limited time to our activities. We also rely on these consultants to evaluate potential compounds and products, which may be important in developing a long-term product pipeline for us. Consultants also assist us in preparing and submitting regulatory filings. Our scientific advisors provide scientific and technical guidance on our drug discovery and development. Failure of any of these persons to devote sufficient time and resources to our programs could harm our business. In addition, these advisors may have arrangements with other companies to assist those companies in developing technologies that may compete with our products.

Our management will be required to devote substantial time to comply with public company regulations.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, as well as rules subsequently implemented by the SEC, impose various requirements on public companies, including with respect to corporate governance practices. Our management and other personnel will need to devote a substantial amount of time to these requirements.

In addition, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires, among other things, that we maintain effective internal controls for financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures. In particular, we must perform system and process evaluation and testing of our internal controls over financial reporting to allow management to report on the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Our compliance with Section 404 may require that we incur substantial accounting and related expense and expend significant management efforts. We may need to hire additional accounting and financial staff to satisfy the ongoing requirements of Section 404. Moreover, if we are not able to comply with the requirements of Section 404, or if we or our independent registered public accounting firm identify deficiencies in our internal controls over financial reporting that are deemed to be material weaknesses, the market price of our stock could decline and we could be subject to sanctions or investigations by the SEC or other regulatory authorities.

Our corporate compliance programs cannot guarantee that we are now or will be in compliance with all potentially applicable regulations.

The development, manufacturing, pricing, sales, and reimbursement of pharmaceutical products, together with our general operations, are subject to extensive regulation by federal, state and other authorities within the United States and numerous entities outside of the United States. We are a small company and we rely on third parties to conduct certain important functions. We also have significantly fewer employees than many other companies that have the same or fewer product candidates in clinical development. If we fail to comply with any of these regulations, we could be subject to a range of regulatory actions, including suspension or termination of clinical trials, restrictions on our products or manufacturing processes, or other sanctions or litigation. In addition, as a publicly-traded company, we are subject to significant regulations, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Failure to comply with potentially applicable laws and regulations could also lead to the imposition of fines, cause the value of our common stock to decline and impede our ability to raise capital or lead to the failure of our common stock to continue to be traded on the OTC Bulletin Board.

We are subject to certain legal proceedings that may adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

We, and the persons who were officers and directors of Adamis at the time of the activities that are subject of the lawsuit, have been named defendants in a lawsuit alleging, among other things, that we made material misrepresentations in private placement memoranda used to offer our common stock to the plaintiffs. In addition, a lawsuit has been filed against us for declaratory relief seeking a declaration that certain patent licenses held by us are invalid. Although we believe that the lawsuits are without merit and that we have substantial defenses to these lawsuits, there can be no assurance as to the outcome of these matters, and a loss in any of these cases could adversely affect our results of operations, our financial condition and liquidity.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

Provisions of our charter documents could discourage an acquisition of our company that would benefit our stockholders and may have the effect of entrenching, and making it difficult to remove, management.

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of us, even if a change of control would benefit our stockholders. In particular, shares of our preferred stock may be issued in the future without further stockholder approval, and upon such terms and conditions, and have such rights, privileges and preferences, as our board of directors may determine, including, for example, rights to convert into our common stock. The rights of the holders of our common stock will be subject to, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of the holders of any of our preferred stock that may be issued in the future. The issuance of our preferred stock, while providing desirable flexibility in connection with possible acquisitions and other corporate purposes, could have the effect of making it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of us. This could limit the price that certain investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock and discourage those investors from acquiring a majority of our common stock. Further, the existence of these corporate governance provisions could have the effect of entrenching management and making it more difficult to change our management.

Our common stock price is expected to be volatile.

The market price of our common stock could be subject to significant fluctuations. Market prices for securities of early-stage pharmaceutical, biotechnology and other life sciences companies have historically been particularly volatile. Some of the factors that may cause the market price of our common stock to fluctuate include:

- relatively low trading volume, which can result in significant volatility in the market price of our common stock based on a relatively smaller number of trades and dollar amount of transactions;
- the results of our current and any future clinical trials of our product candidates;
- the timing and results of ongoing preclinical studies and planned clinical trials of our preclinical product candidates;
- the entry into, or termination of, key agreements, including, among others, key collaboration and license agreements;
- the results and timing of regulatory reviews relating to the approval of our product candidates;
- the initiation of, material developments in, or conclusion of, litigation to enforce or defend any of our intellectual property rights;
- failure of any of our product candidates, if approved, to achieve commercial success;
- general and industry-specific economic conditions that may affect our research and development expenditures;
- the results of clinical trials conducted by others on drugs that would compete with our product candidates;
- issues in manufacturing our product candidates or any approved products;
- the loss of key employees;
- the introduction of technological innovations or new commercial products by our competitors;
- changes in estimates or recommendations by securities analysts, if any, who cover our common stock;
- future sales of our common stock;
- period-to-period fluctuations in our financial results;
- publicity or announcements regarding regulatory developments relating to our products;
- clinical trial results, particularly the outcome of more advanced studies, or negative responses from both domestic and foreign regulatory authorities with regard to the approvability of our products;
- period-to-period fluctuations in our financial results, including our cash and cash equivalents balance, operating expenses, cash burn rate or revenue levels;
- common stock sales in the public market by one or more of our larger stockholders, officers or directors;
- our filing for protection under federal bankruptcy laws;
- a negative outcome in any litigation or potential legal proceedings; or
- other potentially negative financial announcements including: a review of any of our filings by the SEC, changes in accounting treatment or restatement of previously reported financial results or delays in our filings with the SEC.

The stock markets in general have experienced substantial volatility that has often been unrelated to the operating performance of individual companies. These broad market fluctuations may also adversely affect the trading price of our common stock.

In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities, stockholders have often instituted class action securities litigation against those companies. Such litigation, if instituted, could result in substantial costs and diversion of management attention and resources, which could significantly harm our profitability and reputation.

Our common stock is currently traded on the OTCQB and be subject to additional trading restrictions as a “penny stock,” which could adversely affect the liquidity and price of such stock. If our common stock remains subject to the SEC’s penny stock rules, broker-dealers may experience difficulty in completing customer transactions and trading activity in our securities may be adversely affected.

Our common stock currently trades on the OTCQB. The OTCQB, the OTC Bulletin Board and Pink Sheets are viewed by most investors as a less desirable, and less liquid, marketplace. As a result, an investor may find it more difficult to purchase, dispose of or obtain accurate quotations as to the value of our common stock.

Because our common stock is not listed on any national securities exchange, such shares will also be subject to the regulations regarding trading in “penny stocks,” which are those securities trading for less than \$5.00 per share, and that are not otherwise exempted from the definition of a penny stock under other exemptions provided for in the applicable regulations. The following is a list of the general restrictions on the sale of penny stocks:

- Before the sale of penny stock by a broker-dealer to a new purchaser, the broker-dealer must determine whether the purchaser is suitable to invest in penny stocks. To make that determination, a broker-dealer must obtain, from a prospective investor, information regarding the purchaser’s financial condition and investment experience and objectives. Subsequently, the broker-dealer must deliver to the purchaser a written statement setting forth the basis of the suitability finding and obtain the purchaser’s signature on such statement.
- A broker-dealer must obtain from the purchaser an agreement to purchase the securities. This agreement must be obtained for every purchase until the purchaser becomes an “established customer.”

A broker-dealer may not effect a purchase of a penny stock less than two business days after a broker-dealer sends such agreement to the purchaser:

- The Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act, requires that before effecting any transaction in any penny stock, a broker-dealer must provide the purchaser with a “risk disclosure document” that contains, among other things, a description of the penny stock market and how it functions and the risks associated with such investment. These disclosure rules are applicable to both purchases and sales by investors.
- A dealer that sells penny stock must send to the purchaser, within ten days after the end of each calendar month, a written account statement including prescribed information relating to the security.

These requirements can severely limit the liquidity of securities in the secondary market because few brokers or dealers are likely to be willing to undertake these compliance activities. As a result of our common stock not being listed on a national securities exchange and the rules and restrictions regarding penny stock transactions, an investor’s ability to sell to a third party and our ability to raise additional capital may be limited. We make no guarantee that market-makers will make a market in our common stock, or that any market for our common stock will continue.

Our principal stockholders have significant influence over us, they may have significant influence over actions requiring stockholder approval, and your interests as a stockholder may conflict with the interests of those persons.

Based on the number of outstanding shares of our common stock held by our stockholders as of May 31, 2013, our directors, executive officers and their respective affiliates beneficially owned approximately 12% of our outstanding shares of common stock and our largest stockholder owned approximately 29% of the outstanding shares of our common stock. As a result, those stockholders have the ability to exert a significant degree of influence with respect to the outcome of matters submitted to our stockholders for approval, including the election of directors and any merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets. The interests of these persons may not always coincide with our interests or the interests of our other stockholders. This concentration of ownership could harm the market price of our common stock by (i) delaying, deferring or preventing a change in corporate control, (ii) impeding a merger, consolidation, takeover or other business combination involving us, or (iii) discouraging a potential acquirer from making a tender offer of otherwise attempting to obtain control of us. The significant concentration of stock ownership may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock due to investors’ perception that conflicts of interest may exist or arise.

In preparing our consolidated financial statements, our management determined that our disclosure controls and procedures, and that our internal controls over financial reporting, were ineffective as of March 31, 2013, which could result in material misstatements in our financial statements. If we continue to fail to comply with the rules under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 related to disclosure controls and procedures, or, if we discover other material weaknesses and other deficiencies in our internal control and accounting procedures, our stock price could decline significantly and raising capital could be more difficult.

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over our financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. As of March 31, 2013, our management has determined that our disclosure controls and procedures were ineffective, and that there was a material weakness in our internal controls over financial reporting, due to insufficient segregation of duties in our finance and accounting function due to limited personnel, based on the absence of finance and accounting personnel other than the Chief Financial Officer. This resulted in not ensuring appropriate segregation of duties between incompatible functions, and made it more difficult to ensure review of financial reporting issues sufficiently in advance of the dates on which filings are required to be made with the Securities and Exchange Commission and to ensure that financial information (both routine and non-routine) is adequately analyzed and reviewed on a timely basis to detect misstatements. These above deficiencies represent a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting given that they result in a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement to the annual or interim financial statements would not have been prevented or detected. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of our annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

If remedial measures are insufficient to address the ineffectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and our internal controls over financial reporting, or if other material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in our internal controls are discovered or occur in the future and the ineffectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures continues, we may fail to meet our future reporting obligations on a timely basis, our consolidated financial statements may contain material misstatements, we could be required to restate our prior period financial results, our operating results may be harmed, and we could become subject to class action litigation. Internal control deficiencies and ineffective disclosure controls and procedures could also cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information. We can give no assurance that the measures we plan to take in the future will remediate the ineffectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures or that any material weaknesses or restatements of financial results will not arise in the future due to a failure to implement and maintain adequate internal control over financial reporting or adequate disclosure controls and procedures or circumvention of these controls. In addition, even if we are successful in strengthening our controls and procedures, in the future those controls and procedures may not be adequate to prevent or identify irregularities or errors or to facilitate the fair presentation of our consolidated financial statements. If we fail to comply with the rules under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 related to disclosure controls and procedures, or, if we discover additional material weaknesses and other deficiencies in our internal control and accounting procedures, our stock price could decline significantly and raising capital could be more difficult. Moreover, effective internal controls are necessary for us to produce reliable financial reports and are important to helping prevent financial fraud. If we cannot provide reliable financial reports or prevent fraud, our business and operating results could be harmed, investors could lose confidence in our reported financial information, and the trading price of our common stock could drop significantly. We cannot be certain that additional material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in our internal controls will not be discovered in the future.

The rights of the holders of common stock may be impaired by the potential issuance of preferred stock.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation gives our board of directors the right to create new series of preferred stock. As a result, the board of directors may, without stockholder approval, issue preferred stock with voting, dividend, conversion, liquidation or other rights which could adversely affect the voting power and equity interest of the holders of common stock. Preferred stock, which could be issued with the right to more than one vote per share, could be utilized as a method of discouraging, delaying or preventing a change of control. The possible impact on takeover attempts could adversely affect the price of our common stock. Although we have no present intention to issue any additional shares of preferred stock or to create any new series of preferred stock, we may issue such shares in the future, subject to any restrictions that may be contained in the transaction documents relating to our outstanding convertible notes and convertible debentures.

Our stockholders may experience significant dilution as a result of any additional financing using our equity securities and/or debt securities, or as a result of anti-dilution provisions in our Secured Notes and Warrants.

To the extent that we raise additional funds by issuing equity securities or convertible debt securities, our stockholders may experience significant dilution. In addition, sale of additional equity and/or convertible debt securities (with certain excluded categories of issuances) at an effective price per share below the conversion price of the Secured Notes or the exercise price of the Warrants (as applicable) issued in our June 2013 financing transaction will trigger anti-dilution provisions in the Secured Notes or Warrants (as applicable), reducing the conversion prices of those notes or exercise price of those warrants and requiring us to issue a larger number of shares of common stock upon conversion of those notes or exercise of those warrants.

We have not paid dividends on our common stock in the past and do not expect to pay dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future. Any return on investment may be limited to the value of our common stock.

No cash dividends have been paid on our common stock. We expect that any income received from operations will be devoted to our future operations and growth. We do not expect to pay cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Payment of dividends would depend upon our profitability at the time, cash available for those dividends, and other factors as our board of directors may consider relevant. If we do not pay dividends, our common stock may be less valuable because a return on a stockholder's investment will only occur if our stock price appreciates.

Delaware law and our corporate charter and bylaws contain anti-takeover provisions that could delay or discourage takeover attempts that stockholders may consider favorable.

Provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control or changes in our management. For example, our board of directors has the authority to issue up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more series and to fix the powers, preferences and rights of each series without stockholder approval. The rights of the holders of our common stock will be subject to, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of the holders of any of our preferred stock that may be issued in the future. This could limit the price that certain investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock and discourage those investors from acquiring a majority of our common stock. The ability to issue preferred stock could discourage unsolicited acquisition proposals or make it more difficult for a third party to gain control of our company, or otherwise could adversely affect the market price of our common stock. Our bylaws require that any stockholder proposals or nominations for election to our board of directors must meet specific advance notice requirements and procedures, which make it more difficult for our stockholders to make proposals or director nominations.

Furthermore, because we are incorporated in Delaware, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. These provisions may prohibit or restrict large stockholders, in particular those owning 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock, from merging or combining with us, unless one or more exemptions from such provisions apply. These provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws and under Delaware law could discourage potential takeover attempts, could reduce the price that investors might be willing to pay for shares of our common stock in the future, and could result in our market price being lower than it would without these provisions.

A sale of a substantial number of shares of our common stock may cause the price of our common stock to decline and may impair our ability to raise capital in the future.

Our common stock is currently traded on the OTCQB, and there have been and may continue to be periods when it could be considered "thinly-traded," meaning that the number of persons interested in purchasing our common stock at or near bid prices at any given time may be relatively small or non-existent. Finance transactions resulting in a large amount of newly issued shares that become readily tradable, conversion of outstanding convertible notes or debentures and sale of the shares issuable upon conversion of such notes or debentures, or other events that cause stockholders to sell shares, could place downward pressure on the trading price of our stock. In addition, the lack of a robust resale market may require a stockholder who desires to sell a large number of shares of common stock to sell the shares in increments over time to mitigate any adverse impact of the sales on the market price of our stock.

If our stockholders sell, or the market perceives that our stockholders intend to sell for various reasons, including the ending of restriction on resale, substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market, including shares issued upon the exercise of outstanding options, warrants, or upon conversion of outstanding convertible notes or debentures, the market price of our common stock could fall. Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock may make it more difficult for us to sell equity or equity-related securities in the future at a time and price that we deem reasonable or appropriate.

Our obligations to the holders of our convertible Secured Notes are secured by a security interest in substantially all of our assets, so if we default on those obligations, the convertible note holders could foreclose on our assets. The Secured Notes and the associated transaction documents contain covenants that could limit our financing options and liquidity position, which would limit our ability to continue to fund our operations.

As described elsewhere in the Form 10-K under the headings "Business – Recent Developments" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources," on June 26, 2013, we completed a private placement transaction in which we issued Secured Notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$6,502,158. Our obligations under the Secured Notes and the transaction documents relating to the Secured Notes are secured by a security interest in substantially all of our assets and those of our principal subsidiaries. As a result, if we default under our obligations under the Secured Notes or the transaction documents, the holders of the Secured Notes could foreclose on their security interests and liquidate some or all of these assets, which would harm our business, financial condition and results of operations and could require us to reduce or cease operations.

The terms of the Secured Notes and related transaction documents could have negative consequences to us, making it difficult or impossible for us to obtain additional financing to obtain required additional working capital. Additionally, covenants in the transaction documents relating to the Secured Notes impose operating and financial restrictions on us. These restrictions prohibit or limit our ability, without the consent of holders of the Secured Notes, to, among other things: pay cash dividends to our stockholders; redeem outstanding securities; complete certain kinds of debt or equity financing transactions; grant additional security interests, liens or encumbrances on our assets; incur additional indebtedness; sell or dispose of our assets; or engage in transactions with affiliates. These restrictions may limit our ability to obtain additional financing or take advantage of business opportunities.

The conversion of the Secured Notes or other outstanding convertible debentures or notes, or the exercise of warrants issued to the purchasers of the Secured Notes or other holders of outstanding warrants, would have a dilutive impact on our existing stockholders.

The Secured Notes have an initial conversion price of \$0.50 per share. The Secured Notes and related Warrants include anti-dilution provision providing that, with certain exceptions and exemptions for several categories of excluded issuances, if we issue shares of common stock or options, warrants, convertible securities or other common stock equivalents, or common stock equivalents are repriced, at an effective price per share less than the conversion price of the Secured Notes or the exercise price of the Warrants (as applicable), the conversion price of the Secured Notes or the exercise price of the Warrants and number of shares issuable upon exercise of the Warrants (as applicable) will be adjusted downward to equal the per share price of the securities issued in such transaction. If we complete future debt or equity financing transactions involving the issuance of securities, or otherwise issue securities, at an effective price per share below the conversion price of the Secured Notes or the exercise price of the Warrants (as applicable), the conversion price of the Secured Notes or the exercise price of the Warrants (as applicable) would be adjusted, the holders of Secured Notes or Warrants could convert the Secured Notes or exercise the Warrants into a larger number of shares of our Common Stock, and our existing stockholders would suffer dilution, which could be significant.

ITEM 1B: UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2: PROPERTIES

In April 2011, the Company leased approximately 2,400 square feet of office space in San Diego, California. The term of the lease is three years. The rent for the remaining 10 months of the lease term is \$55,283. There are no options to extend the lease term. Total rent expense was \$64,948 and \$71,050 for the years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

ITEM 3: LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In addition to the matters described below, we may become involved in or subject to, routine litigation, claims, disputes, proceedings and investigations in the ordinary course of business, which in our opinion will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, cash flows or results of operations.

Cosmo Bioscience, Inc. et. al. v. Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corp. and Maurizio Zanetti

Cosmo Bioscience, Inc. et. al. v. Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corp. and Maurizio Zanetti was filed in San Diego Superior Court in May 2010. Plaintiffs were affiliated Cosmo Bioscience entities who claimed to have sublicensed certain patented technology from Eurogen BV, an entity wholly owned and controlled by Maurizio Zanetti. Plaintiffs claimed that Dr. Zanetti wrongfully terminated their license, and further that Dr. Zanetti improperly licensed the same technology to Adamis in violation of plaintiffs' exclusive sublicense agreement. Plaintiffs asserted a single claim for declaratory relief seeking a declaration that the Cosmo sublicense was in full force and effect, and that the Adamis license is invalid. On July 26, 2010, Dr. Zanetti filed a motion to compel arbitration on the ground that the license he signed with Cosmo specified that Italian courts and Italian law would govern the license. Also on that date, Adamis filed a motion to stay the litigation pending resolution of any Italian arbitration. Those motions were granted in favor of Dr. Zanetti and Adamis on November 22, 2010, the *Cosmo* litigation was stayed, and the court ordered that the matter be arbitrated in the Italian courts pursuant to the arbitration provisions of the sublicense agreement. Cosmo filed and served on Dr. Zanetti a Notice of Arbitration, seeking to compel arbitration in Italy, on May 14, 2012. Adamis was not a party to arbitration proceedings because it was not a party to the Cosmo license agreement. In December 2012, Adamis and Dr. Zanetti filed motions to dismiss the lawsuit because of the plaintiffs' failure to proceed with arbitration. The court heard the motions in February 2013 and subsequently entered an order dismissing the case because of the plaintiffs' failure to comply with the court's order compelling arbitration. In addition, the court excised its discretion to dismiss with prejudice, thereby precluding the plaintiffs from bringing the suit again.

Curtis Leahy, et. al. v. Dennis J. Carlo, et al.

In May 2010, *Curtis Leahy, et. al. v. Dennis J. Carlo, et al.* was filed in San Diego Superior Court. The plaintiffs – Antaeus Capital Partners, Curtis Leahy, and David Amron – are Adamis shareholders. The defendants named in the Complaint are Adamis, Dennis Carlo, David Marguglio, Robert Hopkins, and Richard Aloï, who are (or, in the case of Mr. Aloï, were) officers and/or directors of Adamis. Plaintiffs assert claims for violations of Section 25401, 25501, and 25504 of the California Corporations Code, and claims for common law fraud and negligent misrepresentation based on the allegations that defendants misrepresented and omitted material information in private placement memoranda distributed by Adamis in 2006 and 2008 regarding, among other things, Adamis' license rights with respect to certain patented anti-viral technology.

On May 27, 2011, plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification seeking to certify a putative class of shareholders who purchased stock pursuant to either or both of Adamis' 2006 and 2008 private placement memoranda. On June 28, 2011, the court issued an order denying the plaintiffs' motion for class certification on the grounds that (1) plaintiffs failed to meet their burden to show that there are common issues of fact to certify the class and (2) the individual plaintiffs were not adequate class representatives. Plaintiffs appealed the court's order denying class certification. The Company filed a motion for summary judgment on March 28, 2012. In June 2013, the Company and plaintiffs entered into preliminary settlement negotiations. There can be no assurances that the lawsuit will be settled or concerning the terms of any such settlement.

The Company continues to believe that the plaintiffs' allegations are without merit and intends to defend against plaintiffs' claims vigorously.

The litigation fees and costs have been submitted to our insurance carrier, which has agreed to pay the fees and costs pursuant to the terms of our insurance policy, subject to a reservation of rights letter.

Agape World, Inc.

Agape World, Inc. is a company involved in an involuntary bankruptcy proceeding filed in 2009. Its principal, Nicholas Cosmo, was indicted on many counts of wire fraud and other claims, based on allegations that he operated a Ponzi scheme through Agape and other entities. Mr. Cosmo pled guilty in 2010 and to the Company's knowledge is serving his sentence in prison. More than three years before the date of this Report on Form 10-K, the bankruptcy trustee of Agape contacted Adamis by telephone, asserting that Agape World paid \$1 million to Adamis for 2 million shares of common stock of Adamis, but that the stock was issued not to Agape World but instead to Mr. Cosmo, a principal of Agape World, and claiming that this constituted a fraudulent transfer. The Company believes that the trustee has recovered the stock from the principal. The Company responded to the trustee denying any fraudulent transfer or any other basis for a claim by the trustee. There has been no further communication between the trustee and Adamis for more than three years, and no suit or any action has been filed against Adamis. Management believes that the trustee has no basis for any fraudulent transfer or other claims against Adamis. Due to the limited nature of discussions with Agape, the early stage of this matter and the facts in this case, the outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

The litigation described in this section could divert management time and attention from Adamis, could involve significant amounts of legal fees and other fees and expenses. An adverse outcome in any such litigation could have a material adverse effect on Adamis.

ITEM 4: MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 5: MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS, AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Price Range of Common Stock

Our common stock is traded on the OTCQB, under the trading symbol ADMP. The following table sets forth the range of high and low sales prices for the common stock as reported on the OTCQB for the periods indicated below. The quotations below reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, markdown or commission, and may not represent actual transactions.

	High	Low
Fiscal 2012		
First Quarter (April 2011 - June 2011)	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.18
Second Quarter (July 2011 - September 2011)	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.17
Third Quarter (October 2011 - December 2011)	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.15
Fourth Quarter (January 2012 - March 2012)	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.15
Fiscal 2013		
First Quarter (April 2012 - June 2012)	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.23
Second Quarter (July 2012 - September 2012)	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.48
Third Quarter (October 2012 - December 2012)	\$ 1.05	\$ 0.57
Fourth Quarter (January 2013 - March 2013)	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.58

As of June 17, 2013, there were approximately 102 holders of record common stock. The number of record holders was determined from the records of our transfer agent and does not include beneficial owners of our common stock whose shares are held in the names of various security brokers, dealers, and registered clearing agencies.

Dividend Policy

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock, and we do not intend to do so in the foreseeable future. Accordingly, our stockholders will not receive a return on their investment unless the value of our shares increases, which may or may not occur. Any future determination to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon our financial condition, operating results, capital requirements, any applicable contractual restrictions and such other factors as our deems relevant.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth, as of March 31, 2013, information with respect to our equity compensation plans, including our 1995 Equity Incentive Plan, the 1995 Directors' Stock Option Plan, the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan and the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan, and with respect to certain other options and warrants.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights(1) (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))(2) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	7,449,601	\$.34	11,439,742
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	1,800,505	\$.77	
	<u>9,250,106</u>		<u>11,439,742</u>

(1) Excludes shares issuable upon exercise of restricted stock units, which do not have an exercise price.

(2) Under the Company's 2009 Equity Incentive Plan, the number of shares available for issuance under the plan increases automatically increase on January 1st of each year in an amount equal to the lesser of (i) five percent of the total number of shares of Common Stock outstanding on December 31st of the preceding calendar year, or (ii) a lesser number of shares of Common Stock determined by the board of directors before the start of a calendar year for which an increase applies.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

Information concerning our sales of unregistered securities during our fiscal year ended March 31, 2013, has previously been reported in reports on Form 10-Q and reports on Form 8-K that we filed during that fiscal year.

ITEM 7: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations should be read together with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes of the Company appearing elsewhere in this Report. This discussion of our financial condition and results of operations contains certain statements that are not strictly historical and are "forward-looking" statements and involve a high degree of risk and uncertainty. Actual results may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements due to other risks and uncertainties that exist in our operations, development efforts and business environment, including those set forth in this Item 7, and in the sections entitled "1A. Risk Factors" and "1. Business" in this Report and uncertainties described elsewhere in this Report. All forward-looking statements included in this Report are based on information available to the Company as of the date hereof, and except as may be required under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, the Company assumes no obligation to update any such forward-looking statement.

General

Company Overview

We are an emerging pharmaceutical company engaged in the development and commercialization of a variety of specialty pharmaceutical products. Our products are concentrated in major therapeutic areas including oncology (cancer), immunology and infectious diseases (viruses) and allergy and respiratory.

We are focused on the development of preventive and therapeutic vaccine products and cancer drugs for patients with unmet medical needs. During 2010, we acquired rights under three exclusive license agreements covering three small molecule compounds, named APC-100, APC-200 and APC-300, that we believe are promising drug candidates for the potential treatment of human prostate cancer (PCa). The intellectual property covered by the agreements was licensed from the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, or WARF.

On August 11, 2011, we announced that we had enrolled the first patient in a Phase 1/2a prostate cancer clinical study relating to the use of the APC-100 product to treat men with castrate-resistant prostate cancer. The study began at the University of Wisconsin Carbone Cancer Center and has been extended to the Wayne State University Karmanos Cancer Institute, assuming adequate funding.

In April 2011, we acquired exclusive rights to patented telomerase-based cancer vaccine technology from the Regents of the University of California. At the same time, we acquired exclusive rights to a related patent from the Dana-Farber/Harvard Cancer Center. We intend to pursue development of the technology initially for what we believe may be a novel cell-based vaccine product for prostate cancer, tentatively named TeloB-VAX.

We have also acquired exclusive license rights to other patented potentially preventative and therapeutic vaccine technology. The vaccine technology may be applicable to certain viral-induced diseases such as influenza and hepatitis B and C, as well as prostate cancer. However, we currently intend to focus initially on the development of one or more of the other recently licensed prostate cancer product candidates and technologies, and as a result the timing of development of this viral vaccine technology is subject to uncertainty.

We are also focused on developing and commercializing products in the anti-inflammatory, allergy and respiratory field. We have developed an Epinephrine Injection USP 1:1000 (0.3mg Pre-Filled Single Dose Syringe) product, or the single dose PFS Syringe product, a pre-filled epinephrine syringe product for use in the emergency treatment of extreme acute allergic reactions, or anaphylactic shock. If launched, the product will compete in a well-established U.S. market. Once we obtain sufficient funding to support the costs of proceeding with the FDA filing for regulatory approval and the costs of a commercial launch of the product, we intend to submit an application to the FDA for marketing approval of the product and to commercially market the product as soon as reasonably practicable after the FDA allows for marketing of the product.

Additional product candidates in our allergy and respiratory product pipeline include a steroid HFA (hydrofluoroalkane) metered dose inhaler product, referred to as APC-1000, for asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, or COPD; and an HFA pressurized metered dose nasal steroid for the treatment of seasonal and perennial allergic rhinitis, referred to as APC-3000.

We also have a contraceptive gel product candidate named Savvy (C31G®). In December 2010, we announced the successful completion of a Phase 3 contraceptive trial of Savvy. The Phase 3 trial was a randomized, double-masked, controlled comparator study to assess whether a gel containing the spermicide C31G was non-inferior to Conceptrol®, a commercially available product containing nonoxynol-9 (N-9). The clinical investigators found that C31G was not inferior in contraceptive efficacy to the comparator drug. In considering commercialization alternatives, we will likely focus on seeking to enter into an out-licensing or similar transaction with organizations that have a focus or business unit in the area of contraception. There are no assurances that any third party will have an interest in pursuing discussions concerning a transaction regarding C31G, or that any C31G product will ever be developed or commercialized.

Our general business strategy is to generate revenue through launch of our allergy and respiratory products in development, in order to generate cash flow to help fund expansion of our allergy and respiratory business, as well as support our future cancer and vaccine product development efforts. To achieve our goals and support our overall strategy, we will need to raise a substantial amount of funding and make substantial investments in equipment, new product development and working capital.

Recent Developments

As described in greater detail below under the heading "Liquidity and Capital Resources," on June 26, 2013, we completed a private placement financing transaction pursuant to which we issued the Secured Notes and common stock purchase warrants to a small number of institutional investors and received gross cash proceeds of \$5,300,000, excluding transactions costs, fees and expenses. The Secured Notes have an aggregate principal amount of \$6,502,158, including \$613,271 of principal amount resulting in the exchange of an outstanding convertible note for the Secured Notes and warrants. The maturity date of the Secured Notes is December 26, 2013. The Secured Notes are convertible into shares of common stock at any time at the discretion of the investor at an initial conversion price per share of \$0.50, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and other similar transactions, and to possible price anti-dilution adjustments. Our obligations under the Secured Notes and the other transaction agreements are guaranteed by our principal subsidiaries, and are secured by a security interest in substantially all of our assets and those of the subsidiaries, pursuant to a Security Agreement.

Going Concern and Management Plan

Our independent registered public accounting firm has included a "going concern" explanatory paragraph in its report on our financial statements for the years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 indicating that we have incurred recurring losses from operations and have limited working capital to pursue our business alternatives, and that these factors raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. As of March 31, 2013, we had no cash, an accumulated deficit of approximately \$38 million and substantial liabilities and obligations. We have no cash reserves, liabilities that exceed our assets and significant cash flow deficiencies. Additionally, we will need significant funding in the short term to continue operations and for the future operations and the expenditures that will be required to conduct the clinical and regulatory work to develop our product candidates.

As previously reported, after the end of our fiscal 2013 year, on April 5, 2013 we completed private placement financing transactions with two investors pursuant to securities purchase agreements, pursuant to which we issued an aggregate of two 12% convertible debentures in the aggregate principal amount of \$575,000 and received gross proceeds of \$575,000, excluding transaction costs and expenses. A portion of the debentures were converted into Common Stock in June 2013, and the remainder of the amount payable at maturity of the debentures was paid in full with a portion of the proceeds from the issuance of the Secured Notes and Warrants, and the debentures are no longer outstanding. In addition, as discussed under the heading "Liquidity and Capital Resources" below, in June 2013 we completed a private placement transaction in which we received \$5.3 million of gross proceeds. However continued operations are dependent on our ability to complete other equity or debt funding transactions. Such capital formation activities may not be available or may not be available on reasonable terms. If we do not obtain additional equity or debt funding in the near future, our cash resources will rapidly be depleted and we will be required to materially reduce or suspend operations, which would likely have a material adverse effect on our business, stock price and our relationships with third parties with whom we have business relationships, at least until additional funding is obtained.

The above conditions raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements included elsewhere herein for the year ended March 31, 2013, were prepared under the assumption that we would continue our operations as a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities during the normal course of business. In preparing these consolidated financial statements, consideration was given to our future business as described elsewhere herein, which may preclude us from realizing the value of certain assets. Our financial statements do not include any adjustments that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty. This basis of accounting contemplates the recovery of our assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. Without additional funds from debt or equity financing, sales of assets, sales or out-licenses of intellectual property or technologies, or from a business combination or a similar transaction, we will soon exhaust our resources and will be unable to continue operations. If we cannot continue as a viable entity, our stockholders may lose some or all of their investment in us.

Our management intends to attempt to secure additional required funding through equity or debt financings, sales or out-licensing of intellectual property assets, seeking partnerships with other pharmaceutical companies or third parties to co-develop and fund research and development efforts, or similar transactions. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain any sources of funding. If we are unsuccessful in securing funding from any of these sources, we will defer, reduce or eliminate certain planned expenditures. There is no assurance that any of the above options will be implemented on a timely basis or that we will be able to obtain additional financing on acceptable terms, if at all. If adequate funds are not available on acceptable terms, we could be required to delay development or commercialization of some or all of our products, to license to third parties the rights to commercialize certain products that we would otherwise seek to develop or commercialize internally, or to reduce resources devoted to product development. In addition, one or more licensors of patents and intellectual property rights that we have in-licensed could seek to terminate our license agreements, if our lack of funding made us unable to comply with the provisions of those agreements. If we do not have sufficient funds to continue operations, we could be required to seek bankruptcy protection or other alternatives that could result in our stockholders losing some or all of their investment in us. Any failure to dispel any continuing doubts about our ability to continue as a going concern could adversely affect our ability to enter into collaborative relationships with business partners, make it more difficult to obtain required financing on favorable terms or at all, negatively affect the market price of our common stock and could otherwise have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Funding that we may receive during fiscal 2014 is expected to be used to satisfy existing obligations and liabilities and working capital needs, to begin building working capital reserves and to fund a number of projects, which may include some or all of the following:

- continue development of our PFS epinephrine syringe product;
- continue development of our generic nasal steroid product candidate;
- pursue the development of other product candidates that we may develop or acquire;
- fund clinical trials and seek regulatory approvals;
- expand research and development activities;
- access manufacturing and commercialization capabilities;
- implement additional internal systems and infrastructure;
- maintain, defend and expand the scope of our intellectual property portfolio; and
- hire additional management, sales, research, development and clinical personnel.

Results of Operations

Our consolidated results of operations are presented for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2013 and for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2012.

Year Ended March 31, 2013 and Year Ended March 31, 2012

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses for fiscal 2013 and 2012 were approximately \$2.0 million and \$2.6 million, respectively. Selling, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of legal fees, accounting and audit fees, consulting expenses, and employee salaries. The elimination of a reserve for product returns accounted for approximately \$168,000 of the decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses. Reductions in salaries and consulting expenses accounted for approximately \$168,000 and \$261,000, respectively, of the decrease during the twelve months ended March 31, 2013.

Research and Development Expenses. Our research and development costs are expensed as incurred. Non-refundable advance payments for goods and services to be used in future research and development activities are recorded as an asset and are expensed when the research and development activities are performed. Research and development expenses were approximately \$1.2 million and \$2.2 million for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, which were expensed. The decrease in research and development expenses for fiscal 2013 compared to fiscal 2012 was primarily due to insufficient capital in fiscal 2013.

Other Income (Expenses). Other income (expense) for fiscal 2013 and 2012 were approximately \$(3,800,000) and \$(30,000), respectively. Other income (expense) consist primarily of changes in the value of derivative and conversion features of our convertible notes payable as well as interest expense paid in connection with various notes payable. The increase in the value of derivative and conversion features of our convertible notes payable, as well as interest expense for fiscal 2013, in comparison to fiscal 2012 was due to the issuance of convertible notes payable in fiscal 2013.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have incurred net losses of approximately \$7.2 million and \$4.9 million for the years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Since our inception, June 6, 2006, and through March 31, 2013, we have an accumulated deficit of approximately \$38.0 million. Since inception and through March 31, 2013, we have financed our operations principally through debt financing and through private issuances of common stock. Since inception, we have raised a total of approximately \$22.7 million in debt and equity financing transactions, consisting of approximately \$9.4 million in debt financing and approximately \$13.3 million in equity financing transactions. We expect to finance future cash needs primarily through proceeds from equity or debt financings, loans, sales of assets, out-licensing transactions, and/or collaborative agreements with corporate partners. We have used the net proceeds from debt and equity financings for general corporate purposes, which have included funding for research and development, selling, general and administrative expenses, working capital, reducing indebtedness, pursuing and completing acquisitions or investments in other businesses, products or technologies, and for capital expenditures.

Net cash used in operating activities from continuing operations for fiscal 2013 and 2012 was approximately \$2.6 million and \$3.3 million, respectively. The decrease in the use of cash was due primarily to an increase in accounts payable and accrued other expenses. We expect net cash used in operating activities to increase going forward as we continue product development and other business activities, assuming that we are able to obtain sufficient funding.

Net cash provided by financing activities from continuing operations was approximately \$2.6 million in fiscal 2013 and approximately \$2.1 million in fiscal 2012. Results for fiscal 2013, were affected by proceeds from the issuance of four notes.

As of March 31, 2013, we had outstanding a total of 12 secured promissory notes to executives of the Company, in the aggregate outstanding principal amount of \$97,122. Each of these notes bears interest at an annual rate of 10% on the total outstanding balance remaining under these loan agreements.

On November 10, 2010, we completed a private placement transaction with Eses Holdings (FZE), a foreign investor (the "Purchaser"), pursuant to a Common Stock Purchase Agreement and a registration rights agreement. The purchase agreement provided for the sale of up to 40 million shares of our common stock to the Purchaser at a price of \$0.25 per share, for up to \$10 million of gross proceeds. An initial closing was held on November 10, 2010, pursuant to which we received \$5 million in gross proceeds and issued 20 million shares of common stock. At subsequent closings linked to the achievement of various milestones, the last of which occurred in February 2012, we received an additional \$2.5 million and issued an additional 10 million shares of common stock.

On May 1, 2012, we exercised our option to terminate the Common Stock Purchase Agreement by sending notice to the Purchaser. Termination of the Common Stock Purchase Agreement means that Purchaser will no longer have the option to purchase the remaining 10 million shares of stock at \$0.25 per share. Certain provisions of the Common Stock Purchase Agreement survive termination, including the Purchaser's right to have an observer attend meetings of the board of directors and to receive certain materials that are provided to the directors in connection with such meetings.

On April 2, 2012, we completed the closing of a private placement financing transaction with Gemini Master Fund, Ltd. ("Gemini") pursuant to a securities purchase agreement. We issued a 10% Senior Convertible Note (the "Gemini April Note") in the aggregate principal amount of \$1.0 million and 1,000,000 shares of our common stock, and received gross proceeds of \$1.0 million, excluding transaction costs and expenses. Interest on the Gemini Note payable at a rate of 10% per annum and was payable on the maturity date. On December 31, 2012, the Gemini April Note was converted in full into 3,869,260 shares of common stock before its maturity date and is no longer outstanding. During the quarter ended December 31, 2012, the Gemini Note and accrued interest payable of approximately \$73,000 was converted at \$.25 per share into 4,293,370 shares of common stock.

On June 11, 2012, we completed the closing of a private placement financing transaction with Gemini. We issued a 10% Senior Convertible Note in the aggregate principal amount of \$500,000 and 500,000 shares of common stock, and received gross proceeds of \$500,000, excluding transaction costs and expenses. As amended the maturity date is July 11, 2013. At March 31, 2013, the net carrying value of this note was \$500,000. In connection with the closing of the June 2013 secured convertible note and warrant financing transaction described below, the Gemini note was exchanged for \$613,271 principal amount of Secured Notes and warrants, and the June 2012 Gemini note is no longer outstanding.

On June 11, 2012, we issued a convertible promissory note in the aggregate principal amount of \$500,000 and 500,000 shares of common stock to The G-Max Trust, and received gross proceeds of approximately \$500,000, excluding transaction costs and expenses. Interest on the outstanding principal balance of the note accrued at a rate of 10% per annum compounded monthly and was payable monthly commencing July 1, 2012. The note was convertible into shares of common stock at any time at a conversion price per share of \$0.55. During January 2013, G-Max elected to convert all of the remaining unconverted principal of the note and related interest into 913,384 shares of common stock, and the note is no longer outstanding.

On October 25, 2012, we issued a zero coupon secured promissory note to the G-Max Trust, evidencing a loan from G-Max to the Company, and received gross proceeds of approximately \$500,000. The note was due and payable on or before six months after the date of the note. At maturity, we agreed to pay G-Max the sum of \$588,000. We issued 176,000 shares as part of the consideration for the loaned proceeds. On December 31, 2012, the note was repaid in full and is no longer outstanding.

On December 31, 2012, we issued a convertible promissory note in the principal amount of \$600,000 and 600,000 shares of common stock to a private investor, and received gross proceeds of \$600,000, excluding transaction costs and expenses. Interest on the outstanding principal balance of the note accrues at a rate of 10% per annum compounded monthly and is payable monthly commencing February 1, 2013. All unpaid principal and interest on the note is due and payable on September 30, 2013. In connection with our June 2013 financing transaction, the holder of the note agreed to extend the maturity date to March 26, 2014. At any time on or before the maturity date, the investor has the right to convert part or all of the principal and interest owed under the note into common stock at a conversion price equal to \$0.55 per share (subject to adjustment for stock dividends, stock splits, reverse stock splits, reclassifications or other similar events affecting the number of outstanding shares of common stock). The proceeds from the note were used to retire the October 25, 2012 note. At March 31, 2013, the net carrying value of this note was \$482,997.

On April 5, 2013, we completed the closing of a private placement financing transaction with two accredited investors pursuant to a Securities Purchase Agreement. Pursuant to the purchase agreement, we issued 12% Convertible Debentures in the aggregate principal amount of \$575,000, and received gross proceeds of \$575,000, excluding transaction costs, fees and expenses. Interest on the debentures is payable in the amount of 12% of the principal amount, regardless of how long the debentures remain outstanding. Principal and interest was due and payable October 5, 2013. The debentures were convertible into shares of common stock at any time at the discretion of the investor at an initial conversion price per share of \$0.50. In June 2013, the noteholders converted a portion of the notes into 208,000 shares of common stock, and \$644,000 of the net proceeds from the Secured Note and warrant private placement transaction discussed below was used to redeem and pay the outstanding amounts due under the notes. As a result, the April 2013 notes are no longer outstanding.

On June 26, 2013, we completed the closing of a private placement financing transaction with a small number of accredited institutional investors. Pursuant to a subscription agreement (the "Purchase Agreement") and other transaction documents, we issued Secured Convertible Promissory Secured Notes, or the Secured Notes, and common stock purchase warrants (the "Warrants") to purchase up to 13,004,316 shares of common stock, and received gross cash proceeds of \$5,300,000, excluding transactions costs, fees and expenses. The Secured Notes have an aggregate principal amount of \$6,502,158, including a \$613,271 principal amount Secured Note issued to Gemini Master Fund Ltd. in exchange for its previously outstanding June 2012 convertible note, which is no longer outstanding. The maturity date of the Secured Notes is December 26, 2013. Our obligations under the Secured Notes and the other transaction documents are guaranteed by our principal subsidiaries and, pursuant to a Security Agreement entered into with the investors, are secured by a security interest in substantially all of our assets and those of the subsidiaries. The Secured Notes are convertible into shares of common stock at any time at the discretion of the investor at an initial conversion price per share of \$0.50. The exercise price of the Warrants is \$0.715 per share, subject to adjustment.

Under the transaction documents, we have agreed to file a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, within 60 days following the closing to register the resale of the shares issuable upon conversion of the Secured Notes and exercise of the Warrants, and to have the registration statement declared effective within 120 days of the closing date. The transaction documents provide for a variety of monetary penalties, which could be material, if the registration statement is not filed or declared effective by the times contemplated in the transaction documents, or does not continue to be effective thereafter.

The transaction documents include restrictions on our ability to engage in certain kinds of transactions while the Secured Notes are outstanding without the consent of the investors, including without limitation: (a) incurring, paying or repaying certain kinds of indebtedness; (b) other than certain permitted liens, creating or incurring any liens, security interests or encumbrances on our property or assets; (c) amending our charter documents (with certain exceptions) in any manner that materially and adversely affects the investors' rights; (d) repurchasing shares of common stock, or repurchasing or reacquiring shares of common stock (with certain exceptions); (e) entering into certain kinds of related party transactions with our officers, directors, employees or affiliates; (f) paying or redeeming any financing related debt or securities, with certain permitted exceptions; (g) entering into any equity line of credit arrangements or issuing any variable priced equity linked instruments; (h) filing any registration statements relating to the offer and sale of shares until the registration statement contemplated by the transaction documents is declared effective; (i) selling, leasing or otherwise disposing of any significant portion of our assets outside the ordinary course of business; or (j) entering into transactions with any of our affiliates (with certain exceptions).

In connection with the closing of a registered underwritten public offering or a registered direct public offering resulting in at least \$10 million of gross proceeds to us, the investors must elect to either have the Secured Notes redeemed at a price equal to 115% of the outstanding principal amount and interest, if any, or convert the Secured Notes effective at the closing of the offering, at a conversion price of 85% of the lowest sales, conversion, exercise or purchase price of any common stock or common stock equivalent issued in connection with the offering if such price is lower than the then-current conversion price. Except in connection with a qualified offering, we may not redeem or prepay the Secured Notes.

The occurrence of any of the following events of default will, at the option of the holder, make all principal, interest and other amounts due on the Secured Note immediately due and payable: (a) the Company (i) fails to pay any installment of principal or interest when due or (ii) fails to pay any interest or other sums due under the Secured Note when due; (b) the Company breaches any material covenant or other term or condition of the transaction documents or the Secured Note, except for a breach of payment, in any material respect and if susceptible to cure, the Company has failed to cure such breach within five days after delivery of a notice of such breach; (c) any material representation or warranty of the Company made in the transaction documents is false or misleading in any material respect; (d) any dissolution, liquidation or winding up by the Company or a material subsidiary of a substantial portion of their business; (e) cessation of operations by the Company or a material subsidiary; (f) the failure by the Company or any material subsidiary to maintain any material intellectual property rights, personal, real property, equipment, leases or other assets which are necessary to conduct its business (whether now or in the future) and which failure could reasonably be expected to result in a material adverse effect on the Company, and such breach is not cured within 20 days

after written notice to the Company from the holder; (g) the Company or any material subsidiary makes an assignment for the benefit of creditors, or applies for or consents to the appointment of a receiver or trustee for it or for a substantial part of its property or business; or such a receiver or trustee shall otherwise be appointed; (h) any money judgment, writ or similar final process is entered or made in a non-appealable adjudication against the Company or any material subsidiary or any of its property or other assets for more than \$100,000 in excess of the Company's or such material subsidiary's insurance coverage, unless stayed vacated or satisfied within 30 days; (i) bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization or liquidation proceedings or other proceedings or relief under any bankruptcy law or any law, or the issuance of any notice in relation to such event, for the relief of debtors is instituted by or against the Company or any subsidiary; (j) an event resulting in the Common Stock no longer being quoted on the OTCQB, failure to comply with the requirements for continued quotation on the OTCQB for a period of 20 consecutive trading days, or notification from the OTCQB that the Company is not in compliance with the conditions for such continued quotation and such non-compliance continues for 20 days following such notification; (k) within 30 days following the consummation of one or more private placement or public offering transactions after the closing date in which the Company has received in the aggregate at least \$10 million of net proceeds, a default by the Company or any material subsidiary under any one or more obligations in an aggregate monetary amount in excess of \$150,000 for more than 30 days after the due date; (l) an SEC or judicial stop trade order or OTCQB suspension; (m) the Company's failure to timely deliver Common Stock to the holder pursuant to and in the form required by the Secured Notes, the subscription agreement and the Warrants or, if required, a replacement Secured Note or Warrant following a partial conversion or exercise; (n) failure by the Company to have reserved for issuance upon conversion of the Secured Notes or upon exercise of the Warrants, the number of shares of Common Stock as required in the transaction documents and to have cured such failure with any applicable time periods provided for in the transaction documents; (o) the restatement of any financial statements filed by the Company with the SEC for any date or period from two years prior to the closing date and until the Secured Notes are no longer outstanding, if the result of such restatement would, by comparison to the unrestated financial statements, have constituted a material adverse effect on the Company; (p) the Company's failure to materially comply with the registration obligations set forth in the subscription agreement; (q) failure by the Company to obtain, within three weeks of the date of issuance of the Secured Notes, all of the fully executed waivers from all prior investors in the Company in connection with any anti-dilution rights that may be triggered as a result of the issuance of the Secured Notes and the Warrants and all of the fully executed consents from all prior secured investors in the Company with regards to the *pari passu* sharing of the security interests of such secured prior investors with the holders of the Secured Notes; (r) a failure by the Company to notify the holders of any material event of which the Company is obligated to notify the holders pursuant to the terms of the transaction documents; (s) a default by the Company of a material term, covenant, warranty or undertaking of any other agreement to which the Company and the holders are parties, or the occurrence of an event of default under any such other agreement to which the Company and the holders are parties which is not cured after any required notice and/or cure period; and (t) the occurrence of an event of default under any other secured note.

Pursuant to the Security Agreement, upon an event of default the investors have the right to foreclose on all of the collateral securing the Company's obligations under the transaction documents, and have customary rights of a secured party to dispose of the collateral to help satisfy payment of our obligations under the transaction documents. In addition, following an event of default or after the maturity date, a default interest rate of 12% per annum applies. Also, during the pendency of an event of default, the conversion price of the Secured Notes will be reduced to be 80% of the lowest volume weighted average price of the common stock for any five consecutive trading days during any 30-day period commencing on the original date of the Secured Notes and before a conversion date upon which some or all of the Secured Note is converted.

The conversion prices of the Secured Notes and the Warrants are subject to anti-dilution provisions providing that, with the exception of certain excluded categories of issuances and transactions, if we issue any shares of common stock or securities convertible into or exercisable for common stock, or if common stock equivalents are repriced, at an effective price per share less than the conversion price of the Secured Notes or the exercise price of the Warrants (as applicable), without the consent of a majority in interest of the investors, the conversion price of the Secured Notes and Warrants will be adjusted downward to equal the per share price of the securities issued or deemed issued in such transaction and the number of shares issuable upon exercise of the Warrants will be proportionately increased.

In connection with a merger, sale of all or substantially all of our assets, certain kinds of tender offers, or certain other kinds of transactions or events, the holder may either (i) accelerate the maturity date of the Secured Note as of the date of the transaction and receive payment for the then outstanding principal amount of the Secured Note and any other amount owed under the transaction documents, or (ii) require us to redeem the Secured Note together with any other amounts owed under the transaction documents.

In the case of a delay in the delivery of conversion shares or warrant shares later than the period provided in the Secured Notes or Warrants, the transaction agreements provide for monetary penalties, which could be material, for each trading day after the required delivery date during which the shares are not delivered. If the Company fails to timely deliver conversion shares or warrant shares and if the holder purchases shares of Common Stock to deliver in satisfaction of a sale by holder of the Common Stock which holder was entitled to receive upon such conversion or exercise, then the Company is obligated to pay to holder the amount by which (A) holder's total purchase price (including brokerage commissions, if any) for the shares of Common Stock so purchased exceeds (B) the aggregate principal and/or interest amount of the Secured Note or Warrant (as applicable) for which such conversion or exercise request was not timely honored, together with interest thereon at a rate of 15% per annum.

If (i) the Company is prohibited from issuing conversion shares, or (ii) an event of default occurs and continues beyond any applicable cure period, or (iii) the Company or any material subsidiary liquidates, dissolves or winds up, then at the holder's election, the Company must pay to holder a sum of money determined by multiplying the amount of outstanding principal amount designated by holder by, at the holder's election, the greater of (i) 115%, or (ii) a fraction the numerator of which is the highest closing price of the Common Stock for the 30 days preceding the date demand is made by holder and the denominator of which is the lowest applicable conversion price during such 30 day period, together with accrued but unpaid interest and any other amounts due under the transaction documents. Upon receipt of such mandatory redemption payment, the corresponding Secured Note principal, interest and other amounts will be deemed paid and no longer outstanding.

The Warrants are exercisable for a period of five years from the date of issuance. The exercise price of the Warrants is \$0.715 per share. The Warrants are generally exercisable for cash, unless a registration statement covering the resale of the warrant shares is not available, in which case the holder may exercise a Warrant on a cashless net exercise basis. The Warrants include a variety of penalties, which could be material, for the Company's failure to timely deliver securities upon exercise.

In connection with certain kinds of mergers, sales of assets or other fundamental transactions, the Company is obligated to purchase the Warrants

from the holders for cash based on the Black Scholes value of the Warrants if that amount is higher than the spread between the per share price payable in the transaction and the exercise price per share of the Warrant, pursuant to procedures described in the Warrants.

For a period of one year after the closing date, the investors have a right of first refusal to purchase securities proposed to be offered and sold in the future by us, other than in connection with certain excluded or exempt issuances.

Pursuant to the terms of the Purchase Agreement, we expect to use the net proceeds to pay operating, general and administrative expenses, including current and accrued salaries to employees, fees to directors, and other obligations incurred in the ordinary course of our business, to make scheduled interest payments on our outstanding December 2012 promissory note, to pay expenses relating to our filing with the FDA for our epinephrine syringe product candidate, and to help fund our acquisition of rights regarding other products, assets or technologies.

As noted above under the heading “Going Concern and Management’s Plan,” at March 31, 2013, Adamis had substantial liabilities and obligations. The availability of any required additional funding cannot be assured. Even taking into account the net proceeds from the transactions described above, if we do not obtain additional equity or debt funding in the near future, our cash resources will rapidly be depleted and we will be required to materially reduce or suspend operations. Even if we are successful in obtaining additional funding to permit us to continue operations at the levels that we desire, substantial time will pass before we obtain regulatory marketing approval for any products and begin to realize revenues from product sales, and during this period Adamis will require additional funds. No assurance can be given as to the timing or ultimate success of obtaining future funding.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our audited financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We evaluate our estimates on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following accounting policies and estimates are most critical to aid you in understanding and evaluating our reported financial results. For further discussion of our accounting policies, see Note 3 in the accompanying notes to our financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Stock-Based Compensation. We account for stock-based compensation transactions in which we receive employee services in exchange for options to purchase common stock. Stock-based compensation cost for restricted stock units (“RSUs”) is measured based on the closing fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. Stock-based compensation cost for stock options is estimated at the grant date based on each option’s fair-value as calculated by the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. We recognize stock-based compensation cost as expense ratably on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period.

Derivative Financial Instruments. Derivatives are recognized as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets and are measured at fair value. The treatment of gains and losses resulting from changes in the fair values of derivative instruments is dependent on the use of the respective derivative instrument and whether they qualify for hedge accounting. As of March 31, 2013, no derivative instruments qualified for hedge accounting.

Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 815 - Derivatives and Hedging provides guidance to determine what types of instruments, or embedded features in an instrument, are considered derivatives. This guidance can affect the accounting for convertible instruments that contain provisions to protect holders from a decline in the stock price, or down-round provisions. Down-round provisions reduce the exercise price of a convertible instrument if a company either issues equity share for a price that is lower than the exercise price of those instruments, or issues new convertible instruments that have a lower exercise price.

The Company recognizes the derivative assets and liabilities at their respective fair values at inception and on each reporting date. The Company utilized a binomial option pricing model (BOPM) to develop its assumptions for determining the fair value of the conversion and anti-dilution features of its notes. See Note 8 in the accompanying financial statements for further discussion of derivative instruments.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

At March 31, 2013, we did not have any off balance sheet arrangements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

None.

ITEM 8: FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements and financial information required by Item 8 are set forth below commencing on page F-1.

ITEM 9: CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

None.

ITEM 9A: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

In connection with the preparation of this annual report on Form 10-K, an evaluation was carried out by our management, with the participation of the Principal Executive Officer and Accounting Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act) as of March 31, 2013. Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Principal Executive Officer and Accounting Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Based on their evaluation, our Principal Executive Officer and Accounting Officer concluded that disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of March 31, 2013, for reasons described below.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management's report on our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) in the Exchange Act), is included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, under the heading "Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting" and is incorporated herein by reference. This report shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, unless we specifically state that the report is to be considered "filed" under the Exchange Act or incorporate it by reference into a filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or under the Exchange Act.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, a company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by a company's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and includes those policies and procedures that:

- Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company;
- Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and
- Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our company have been detected.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2013. In making this assessment, our management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control - Integrated Framework and Internal Control over Financial Reporting-Guidance for Smaller Public Companies. As a result of this assessment, management identified a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of our annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

We identified a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2013, based on the absence of finance and accounting personnel other than the Chief Financial Officer. This resulted in not ensuring appropriate segregation of duties between incompatible functions, and made it more difficult to ensure review of financial reporting issues sufficiently in advance of the dates on which filings are required to be made with the Securities and Exchange Commission and to ensure that financial information (both routine and non-routine) is adequately analyzed and reviewed on a timely basis to detect misstatements. These above deficiencies represent a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting given that they result in a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement to the annual or interim financial statements would not have been prevented or detected.

Based on the material weakness described above, management has concluded that as of March 31, 2013 our internal control over financial reporting were not effective.

We intend to address the weaknesses identified above by increasing the oversight and review procedures of the board of directors with regard to financial reporting, financial processes and procedures and internal control procedures; where possible preparing and reviewing SEC filings farther in advance of required filing dates; and when funding is available considering the addition of finance and accounting personnel.

This Annual Report on Form 10-K does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules that permit us to provide only management's report in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Controls

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred, during the quarter ended March 31, 2013, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B: OTHER INFORMATION

Not Applicable.

PART III

ITEM 10: DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by Item 10 of Part III is incorporated by reference to the registrant's proxy statement, to be filed within 120 days of the registrant's fiscal year end, or will be included in an amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 11: EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by Item 11 of Part III is incorporated by reference to the registrant's proxy statement, to be filed within 120 days of the registrant's fiscal year end, or will be included in an amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 12: SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by Item 12 of Part III is incorporated by reference to the registrant's proxy statement, to be filed within 120 days of the registrant's fiscal year end, or will be included in an amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 13: CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by Item 13 of Part III is incorporated by reference to the registrant's proxy statement, to be filed within 120 days of the registrant's fiscal year end, or will be included in an amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 14: PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by Item 14 of Part III is incorporated by reference to the registrant's proxy statement, to be filed within 120 days of the registrant's fiscal year end, or will be included in an amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

PART IV

ITEM 15: EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Exhibits

The following exhibits are attached hereto or incorporated herein by reference.

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Filed Herewith	Incorporated by Reference	
			Form/File No.	Date
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Share Exchange dated as of October 7, 2004, by and between the Company and Biosyn, Inc.		8-K	10/26/04
3.1	Certificate of Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation		8-K	04/03/09
3.2	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant		8-K	04/03/09
3.3	Certificate of Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant	X		
4.0	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company		S-4/A 333-155322	01/12/09
4.1	Specimen stock certificate for common stock		8-K	04/03/09
*10.1	1995 Equity Incentive Plan		10-Q	08/13/02
*10.2	2005 Equity Incentive Plan		10-K	03/31/06
*10.3	Form of Option Agreement under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan		10-K	03/31/06
*10.4	2009 Equity Incentive Plan		8-K	01/13/11
*10.5	Form of Stock Option Agreement for option awards		8-K	09/16/11
10.6	Form of Option Agreement for Non-Employee Directors		8-K	01/13/11
*10.7	Form of Indemnity Agreement with directors and executive officers		8-K	01/13/11
10.8	Agreement dated as of October 8, 1996 by and among Biosyn, Inc., Edwin B. Michaels and E.B. Michaels Research Associates, Inc. (Confidential treatment has been requested with respect to portions of this agreement.)		10-K	03/31/05
10.9	Patent License Agreement by and among Biosyn, Inc., and certain agencies of the United States Public Health Service		10-K	03/31/05
10.10	License Agreement dated as of May 22, 2001, by and between Crompton Corporation and Biosyn, Inc. (Confidential treatment has been requested for portions of this agreement.)		10-K	03/31/05
10.11	License Agreement dated January 30, 2006, by and between CONRAD, Eastern Virginia Medical School, and Biosyn, Inc. (Confidential treatment has been requested for portions of this agreement.)		10-K	04/02/07
10.12	Amendment to License Agreement dated as of March 15, 2006, by and between Crompton Corporation and Biosyn, Inc.		S-4/A 333-155322	01/12/09
10.13	Funding Agreement dated October 12, 1992, by and between Ben Franklin Technology Center of Southeastern Pennsylvania and Biosyn, Inc.		S-4/A 333-155322	01/12/09
10.14	License Agreement dated July 28, 2006, by and between Nevagen, LLC and Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation		S-4/A 333-155322	01/12/09
10.15	Amendment to License Agreement dated December 29, 2008, by and between Nevagen, LLC and Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation		S-4/A 333-155322	01/12/09
*10.16	Stock Repurchase Agreement dated November 3, 2008, by and between Dennis J. Carlo and Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation		S-4/A 333-155322	01/12/09

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Filed Herewith	Incorporated by Reference	
			Form/File No.	Date
*10.17	Stock Repurchase Agreement dated November 3, 2008, by and between Robert Hopkins and Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation		S-4/A 333-155322	01/12/09
*10.18	Stock Repurchase Agreement dated November 3, 2008, by and between David J. Marguglio and Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation		S-4/A 333-155322	01/12/09
10.19	Amendment to License Agreement dated October 18, 2007, by and between CONRAD, Eastern Virginia Medical School, and Biosyn, Inc.		S-4/A 333-155322	01/12/09
10.20	Amendment to Lease Agreement dated October 30, 2007, by and between HRM II Ltd and Healthcare Ventures Group		S-4/A 333-155322	01/12/09
10.21	Clinical Trial Agreement between Biosyn, Inc. and the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development		S-4/A 333-155322	01/12/09
10.22	Securities Purchase Agreement dated January 11, 2010 between the Registrant and the investors listed therein		8-K	01/14/10
10.23	Form of 10% Senior Secured Convertible Note dated January 11, 2010		8-K	01/14/10
10.24	Form of Security Agreement dated January 11, 2010		8-K	01/14/10
10.25	Assignment, Assumption and Stock Acquisition Agreement dated February 24, 2010 between the Registrant and Colby Pharmaceutical Company		10-K	07/14/10
10.26	Amendment to Assignment, Assumption and Stock Acquisition Agreement dated as of October 16, 2010, between the Registrant and Colby Pharmaceutical Company		8-K	10/19/10
10.27	Form of Amendment to 10% Senior Secured Convertible Notes		8-K	04/04/11
10.28	Amendment to G-Max Convertible Promissory Note		8-K	04/04/11
10.29	Common Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of November 10, 2010, by and between Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation and the Purchaser named therein (Confidential treatment has been granted for portions of this exhibit.)		8-K	11/12/10
10.30	Registration Rights Agreement dated as of November 10, 2010, by and between Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation and the Purchaser named therein		8-K	11/12/10
10.31	Employment Agreement between the Company and Dennis J. Carlo*		8-K	11/12/10
10.32	Employment Agreement between the Company and David J. Marguglio*		8-K	11/12/10
10.33	Employment Agreement between the Company and Robert O. Hopkins*		8-K	11/12/10
10.34	Product Development and Contract Manufacturing Agreement dated November 1, 2010, between Adamis and Beximco		10-Q	02/14/11
10.35	License Agreement between Adamis, the Regents of the University of California and Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Inc.		10-K	07/07/11
10.36	License Agreement dated January 26, 2007, with Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation		10-K	07/07/11
10.37	License Agreement dated January 26, 2007, with Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation		10-K	07/07/11
10.38	License Agreement dated January 2, 2008, with Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation		10-K	07/07/11

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Filed Herewith	Incorporated by Reference	
			Form/File No.	Date
10.39	First Amendment to Common Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of June 30, 2011, by and between the Company and Eses Holdings (FZE)		10-K	07/07/11
10.40	Second Amendment to Common Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of November 10, 2011, by and between the Company and Eses Holdings (FZE)		8-K	11/21/11
10.41	Third Amendment to Common Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of January 31, 2012, by and between the Company and Eses Holdings (FZE)		10-Q	02/14/12
10.42	Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of April 2, 2012		8-K	04/05/12
10.43	10% Senior Convertible Note dated as of April 2, 2012		8-K	04/05/12
10.44	Form of Subsidiary Guarantee dated as of April 2, 2012		8-K	04/05/12
10.45	Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of June 11, 2012		8-K	06/15/12
10.46	10% Senior Convertible Note dated as of June 11, 2012		8-K	06/15/12
10.47	Form of Subsidiary Guarantee dated as of June 11, 2012		8-K	06/15/12
10.48	Convertible Promissory Note dated as of June 11, 2012		8-K	06/15/12
10.49	Zero Coupon Secured Promissory Note dated October 25, 2012		10Q	02/19/13
10.50	Convertible Promissory Note dated December 31, 2012		10-Z	02/19/13
10.51	Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of April 5, 2013		8-K	04/08/13
10.52	12% Convertible Debenture dated April 5, 2013		8-K	04/08/13
10.53	Subscription Agreement dated as of June 26, 2013.		8-K	07/01/13
10.54	Form of Secured Convertible Notes dated June 26, 2013.		8-K	07/01/13
10.55	Form of Warrants dated June 26, 2013.		8-K	07/01/13
10.56	Security Agreement dated June 26, 2013.		8-K	07/01/13
10.57	Intercreditor Agreement dated June 26, 2013.		8-K	07/01/13
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant	X		
23.1	Consent of Mayer Hoffman McCann PC, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	X		
24.1	Power of Attorney (See signature page)	X		
31.1	Certification by CEO pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	X		
31.2	Certification by CFO pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	X		
32.1	Certification by CEO pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	X		
32.2	Certification by CFO pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	X		
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document			
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document			
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document			
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document			
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document			
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document			

* Represents a compensatory plan or arrangement.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of San Diego, State of California.

ADAMIS PHARMCEUTICALS CORPORATION

By: /s/ DENNIS J. CARLO

Dennis J. Carlo
Chief Executive Officer

Dated: July 3, 2013

Power of Attorney

Each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints each of Dennis J. Carlo and Robert O. Hopkins, true and lawful attorney-in-fact, with the power of substitution, for him in any and all capacities, to sign amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact, or his substitute or substitutes, may do or cause to be done by virtue thereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this report has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Name	Title	Date
Principal Executive Officer:		
<u>/s/ DENNIS J. CARLO</u> Dennis J. Carlo	Chief Executive Officer and Director	July 3, 2013
Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer:		
<u>/s/ ROBERT O. HOPKINS</u> Robert O. Hopkins	Vice President, Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary	July 3, 2013
Directors:		
<u>/s/ DAVID J. MARGUGLIO</u> David J. Marguglio	Director	July 3, 2013
<u>/s/ KENNETH M. COHEN</u>	Director	July 3, 2013
<u>/s/ TINA S. NOVA, Ph.D.</u>	Director	July 3, 2013
<u>/s/ CRAIG A. JOHNSON</u>	Director	July 3, 2013

ADAMIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

MARCH 31, 2013 AND 2012

	<u>PAGE</u>
<u>REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM MAYER HOFFMAN MCCANN P.C.</u>	F-1
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	F-2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	F-5 - F-6
<u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-7 - F-22

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of March 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended March 31, 2013. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation and Subsidiaries as of March 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the two year period ended March 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has incurred recurring losses from operations and has limited working capital to pursue its business alternatives. Management's plans with regard to these matters are also described in Note 2. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties.

/s/ Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C.

MAYER HOFFMAN MCCANN P.C.
Certified Public Accountants

Boca Raton, Florida

July 3, 2013

ADAMIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS	<u>March 31, 2013</u>	<u>March 31, 2012</u>
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash	\$ —	\$ 7,519
Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets	64,347	31,520
Debt Issuance Cost	<u>286,582</u>	<u>—</u>
Total Current Assets	350,929	39,039
ASSETS FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS		
	<u>—</u>	<u>130,000</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$ 350,929</u>	<u>\$ 169,039</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts Payable	\$ 2,431,919	\$ 2,020,713
Accrued Other Expenses	754,709	469,279
Accrued Bonuses	101,436	101,436
Conversion Feature Liability	162,456	—
Derivative Liability	50,545	—
Notes Payable	97,683	195,608
Convertible Notes Payable, net	982,997	—
Notes Payable to Related Parties	<u>97,122</u>	<u>105,632</u>
Total Liabilities	<u>4,678,867</u>	<u>2,892,668</u>
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)		
Preferred Stock – Par Value \$.0001; 10,000,000 Shares Authorized; Issued and Outstanding- None	—	—
Common Stock – Par Value \$.0001; 200,000,000 Shares Authorized; 109,656,180 and 101,161,953 Issued, 104,427,992 and 95,933,765 Outstanding, Respectively	10,966	10,116
Additional Paid-in Capital	33,643,449	28,053,816
Accumulated Deficit	(37,977,124)	(30,782,332)
Treasury Stock - 5,228,188 Shares, at cost	<u>(5,229)</u>	<u>(5,229)</u>
Total Stockholders' (Deficit)	<u>(4,327,938)</u>	<u>(2,723,629)</u>
	<u>\$ 350,929</u>	<u>\$ 169,039</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements

ADAMIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Year Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
REVENUE	\$ —	\$ —
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	2,008,255	2,649,234
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	1,209,318	2,165,968
Loss from Operations	(3,217,573)	(4,815,202)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)		
Interest Expense	(2,333,982)	(35,390)
Gain on Sale of Asset	—	5,297
Change in Fair Value Derivative Liability	(122,945)	—
Change in Fair Value of Conversion Feature Liability	(1,390,292)	—
Total Other Income (Expense)	(3,847,219)	(30,093)
Net (Loss) from Continuing Operations	(7,064,792)	(4,845,295)
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS		
Write-down of Discontinued Operations Receivable	(130,000)	(70,000)
Net (Loss) from Discontinued Operations	(130,000)	(70,000)
Net (Loss)	\$ (7,194,792)	\$ (4,915,295)
Basic and Diluted (Loss) Per Share:		
Basic and Diluted (Loss) Per Share from Continuing Operations	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.06)
Basic and Diluted (Loss) Per Share from Discontinued Operations	\$ —	\$ —
Basic and Diluted (Loss) Per Share	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.06)
Basic and Diluted Weighted Average Shares Outstanding	99,599,545	89,477,725

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements

ADAMIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Treasury Stock		Accumulated Deficit	Total
	Shares	Amount		Shares	Amount		
Balance March 31, 2011	86,818,532	8,682	24,483,918	(5,228,188)	(5,229)	(25,867,037)	(1,379,666)
Common Stock Issued for Note Conversions	4,093,101	409	818,210	—	—	—	818,619
Common Stock Issued for Cash at .25 per share	10,000,320	1,000	2,499,080	—	—	—	2,500,080
Common Stock Issued for Services	250,000	25	59,975	—	—	—	60,000
Warrants Issued for Services	—	—	21,000	—	—	—	21,000
Share Based Compensation	—	—	171,633	—	—	—	171,633
Net (Loss)	—	—	—	—	—	(4,915,295)	(4,915,295)
Balance March 31, 2012	101,161,953	\$ 10,116	\$28,053,816	(5,228,188)	\$ (5,229)	\$ (30,782,332)	\$ (2,723,629)
Common Stock Issued for Note Conversions at \$0.25	4,293,370	430	2,912,913	—	—	—	2,913,343
Common Stock Issued for Note Conversions at \$0.55	913,384	91	502,270	—	—	—	502,361
Common Stock Issued for Exercised Warrants	411,473	41	(41)	—	—	—	—
Common Stock Issued for Services	100,000	10	70,990	—	—	—	71,000
Common Stock Issued for Financings	2,776,000	278	1,591,722	—	—	—	1,592,000
Beneficial Conversion Feature	—	—	347,272	—	—	—	347,272
Share Based Compensation	—	—	164,507	—	—	—	164,507
Net (Loss)	—	—	—	—	—	(7,194,792)	(7,194,792)
Balance March 31, 2013	<u>109,656,180</u>	<u>\$ 10,966</u>	<u>\$ 33,643,449</u>	<u>(5,228,188)</u>	<u>\$ (5,229)</u>	<u>\$(37,977,124)</u>	<u>\$ (4,327,938)</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements

ADAMIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Year Ending March 31,

	2013	2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net (Loss)	\$ (7,194,792)	\$ (4,915,295)
Adjustments to Reconcile Net (Loss) to Net		
Cash (Used in) Operating Activities:		
Stock Issued for Interest	75,703	621
Vesting of Options for Compensation	164,507	171,633
Reduction in Notes Payable	(57,925)	—
Change in Derivative Liability Fair Value	122,945	—
Change in Conversion Feature Liability Fair Value	1,390,292	—
Amortization of Discount on Notes Payable	770,033	—
Amortization of Debt Issuance Cost	1,305,418	—
Amortization of Stock Issued for Services	36,792	364,884
Sales Returns Reserve Adjustment	—	(13,151)
Write-down of Discontinued Operations Receivable	130,000	70,000
Change in Assets and Liabilities:		
(Increase) Decrease in:		
Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets	1,381	(20,694)
Increase (Decrease) in:		
Accounts Payable	411,207	757,515
Accrued Other Expenses	285,430	238,628
Net Cash (Used in) Operating Activities	(2,559,009)	(3,345,859)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Cash Received from Sale of Common Stock	—	2,500,080
Issuance of Note Payable	3,099,800	—
Payment of Notes Payable	(539,800)	(390,000)
(Payment of) Proceeds from Notes Payable to Related Parties	(8,510)	4,400
Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities	2,551,490	2,114,480
(Decrease) in Cash	(7,519)	(1,231,379)
Cash:		
Beginning	7,519	1,238,898
Ending	\$ —	\$ 7,519

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements

ADAMIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Year Ending March 31,

	2013	2012
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION		
Cash Paid for Interest	\$ 120,561	\$ 33,859
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NON-CASH FINANCING AND INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Common Stock issued for Exercised Warrants	\$ 41	\$ —
Common Stock issued for Debt Issuance Cost	\$ 1,592,000	\$ —
Note Payable Discounts from Derivative and Convertible Feature Liabilities	\$ 539,764	\$ —
Additional Paid-In Capital from Notes Payable Discount	\$ 347,272	\$ —
Conversion of Sales Return Liability to Notes Payable	\$ —	\$ 147,866
Notes Payable converted to Common Stock	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 818,000
Common Stock issued for Interest	\$ 75,703	\$ 621
Stock Based Compensation Expense	\$ 164,507	\$ 171,633
Warrants Issued for Prepaid Services	\$ —	\$ 21,000
Common Stock Issued for Prepaid Services	\$ 71,000	\$ 60,000
Additional Paid-In Capital Resulting from Reduction in Derivative and Conversion Feature Liabilities	\$ 1,840,000	\$ —
Reduction in Notes Payable	\$ 57,925	\$ —

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE 1: NATURE OF BUSINESS

The company formerly named Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, or Old Adamis, was founded in June 2006 as a Delaware corporation. Effective April 1, 2009, Old Adamis completed a business combination transaction with Cellegy Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or Cellegy. Before the merger, Cellegy was a public company and Old Adamis was a private company. In connection with the consummation of the merger and pursuant to the terms of the definitive merger agreement relating to the transaction, Cellegy was the surviving corporation in the merger and changed its name from Cellegy Pharmaceuticals, Inc. to Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation (the "Company", "Adamis Pharmaceuticals," "Adamis," "we" or "our"), and Old Adamis survived as a wholly-owned subsidiary and changed its corporate name to Adamis Corporation. The Company has two wholly-owned subsidiaries: Adamis Corporation; and Biosyn, Inc., which has rights to the C31G product. Adamis Corporation has two wholly-owned subsidiaries: Adamis Viral Therapies, Inc., or Adamis Viral, which was formed to focus on the Company's cancer and vaccine technologies; and Adamis Laboratories, Inc., or Adamis Labs, which was formed to focus on the Company's allergy and respiratory products.

The Company's general business strategy is to generate revenue through launch of its allergy and respiratory products in development, in order to generate cash flow to help fund expansion of its allergy and respiratory business, as well as support its future cancer and vaccine product development efforts.

NOTE 2: GOING CONCERN

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared using the generally accepted accounting principles applicable to a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities in the normal course of business. However, as shown in the accompanying consolidated financial statements, the Company has sustained substantial losses from continuing operations and has not introduced new revenue producing products since inception. In addition, the Company has used, rather than provided, cash in its continuing operations. Without realization of additional capital, it would be unlikely for the Company to continue as a going concern. Management intends to attempt to secure additional required funding through equity or debt financings, sales or out-licensing of intellectual property assets, seeking partnerships with other pharmaceutical companies or third parties to co-develop and fund research and development efforts, or similar transactions.

The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue in existence.

NOTE 3: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**Principles of Consolidation**

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include Adamis Pharmaceuticals and its wholly-owned operating subsidiaries. All significant intra-entity balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates, and the differences could be material.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, the Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities at the date of purchase of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of the Company's financial instruments, including cash, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate their fair value due to their short-term nature. The Company's notes payable approximate fair value based upon current rates available to the Company for loans with similar maturities. The convertible notes payable have fixed interest rates and conversion features which are based upon the conversion price specified in the agreements. Additionally, one of the convertible notes payable contains price anti-dilution features which are adjusted to fair value on a recurring basis.

Long-Lived Assets

The Company periodically assesses whether there has been permanent impairment of its long-lived assets held and used whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to future net undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated from the use and eventual disposition of the asset.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

Derivatives are recognized as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets and are measured at fair value. The treatment of gains and losses resulting from changes in the fair values of derivative instruments is dependent on the use of the respective derivative instrument and whether they qualify for hedge accounting. As of March 31, 2013, no derivative instruments qualified for hedge accounting. See Note 8 for further discussion of derivative instruments.

Discontinued Operations

As discussed in Note 4, the assets and liabilities at March 31, 2012, related to International Labs, Inc. (“INL”), the company’s former packaging division, have been accounted for as discontinued operations. There are no operations related to INL in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

In accordance with our revenue recognition policy, revenue is recognized when title and risk of loss are transferred to the customer, the sales price to the customer is fixed and determinable, and collectability of the sales price is reasonably assured. Reported revenue is net of estimated customer returns and other wholesaler fees. Our policy regarding sales to customers is that we do not recognize revenue from, or the cost of, such sales, where we believe the customer has more than a demonstrably reasonable level of inventory. We make this assessment based on historical demand, historical customer ordering patterns for purchases, business considerations for customer purchases and estimated inventory levels. If our actual experience proves to be different than our assumptions, we would then adjust such allowances accordingly.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation transactions in which the Company receives employee services in exchange for options to purchase common stock. Stock-based compensation cost for restricted stock units (“RSUs”) is measured based on the closing fair market value of the Company’s common stock on the date of grant. Stock-based compensation cost for stock options is estimated at the grant date based on each option’s fair-value as calculated by the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The Company recognizes stock-based compensation cost as expense ratably on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period.

Research and Development

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. Non-refundable advance payments for goods and services to be used in future research and development activities are recorded as an asset and are expensed when the research and development activities are performed.

Legal Expense

Legal fees are expensed as incurred and are included in selling, general and administrative expenses on the consolidated statements of operations.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the deferred income tax method. Under this method, deferred income taxes are determined based on the estimated future tax effects of differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities given the provisions of enacted tax laws.

Deferred income tax provisions and benefits are based on changes to the assets and liabilities from year to year. In providing for deferred taxes, the Company considers tax regulations of the jurisdictions in which they operate, estimates of future taxable income, and available tax planning strategies. If tax regulations, operating results or the ability to implement tax planning strategies vary, adjustments to the carrying value of deferred tax assets and liabilities may be required. Valuation allowances are recorded related to deferred tax assets based on the “more likely than not” criteria.

The Company accounts for uncertain tax positions in accordance with accounting guidance which requires the Company to recognize the financial statement benefit of a tax position only after determining that the relevant tax authority would, more likely than not, sustain the position following an audit. For tax positions meeting the more likely than not threshold, the amount recognized in the financial statements is the largest benefit that has a greater than 50 percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the relevant tax authority. At the adoption date, the Company applied the guidance to all tax positions for which the statute of limitations remained open. Upon implementation, the Company did not recognize any additional liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits. Accordingly, the adoption of the guidance had no impact on the Company's financial statements. There have been no material changes in unrecognized tax benefits since April 1, 2009.

The Company is subject to income taxes in the United States Federal jurisdiction, California and Florida. The Company is no longer subject to the United States Federal, California or Florida income examinations by tax authorities for the years before the year ended March 31, 2009. The Company recognizes interest and penalty accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in its income tax expense, if any. No interest or penalties have been accrued for all presented periods.

Net Loss Per Share

The Company computes basic loss per share by dividing the loss attributable to holders of common stock for the period by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Since the effect of common stock equivalents was anti-dilutive, all such equivalents were excluded from the calculation of weighted average shares outstanding. Outstanding warrants at March 31, 2013 and 2012 were 1,800,505 and 2,473,245, respectively. The outstanding options at March 31, 2013 and 2012 were 6,723,582 and 5,230,398, respectively. Outstanding restricted stock units at March 31, 2013 and 2012 were 726,019 and 0, respectively.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the March 31, 2012 financial statement presentation to correspond to the current year's classification. Total stockholders' (deficit) and net loss are unchanged due to these reclassifications.

NOTE 4: DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Effective July 18, 2008, the Company's former packaging division (INL) was sold for \$2,654,000. On the closing date, \$2,154,000 was paid to a lender to retire long-term debt. Additionally, \$500,000 of the purchase price was held in escrow to secure any of the Company's indemnification obligations. During 2011 and 2012, the Company settled a total of \$150,000 of the amount held for indemnification obligations. At March 31, 2013 and 2012, assets from discontinued operations consisted of \$0 and \$130,000, respectively.

NOTE 5: CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to credit risk consist principally of cash and accounts payable.

Cash

The Company at times may have cash in excess of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") limit. The Company maintains its cash with larger financial institutions. The Company has not experienced losses on these accounts and management believes that the Company is not exposed to significant risks on such accounts.

Purchases and Accounts Payable

The Company had balances greater than 10% of trade accounts payable at March 31, 2013 with three vendors. Vendor A had a balance that accounted for 23% of total accounts payables, Vendor B had a balance of 14% and Vendor C had a balance of 10% at March 31, 2013. Comparatively, the Company had balances greater than 10% of trade accounts payable at March 31, 2012 with three vendors. Vendor A had a balance that accounted for 26% of total accounts payables Vendor B had a balance of 13% and Vendor C had a balance of 11% at March 31, 2012.

NOTE 6: PREPAID EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT ASSETS

Prepaid expenses and other current assets at March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Prepaid Insurance	\$ 4,000	\$ 3,750
Prepaid Rent	10,827	10,827
Prepaid Consulting Fees	47,333	13,125
Other Current Assets	<u>2,187</u>	<u>3,818</u>
	<u>\$ 64,347</u>	<u>\$ 31,520</u>

NOTE 7: NOTES PAYABLE*Ben Franklin Note*

Biosyn (a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company and previously a wholly owned subsidiary of Cellegy) issued a note payable to Ben Franklin Technology Center of Southeastern Pennsylvania ("Ben Franklin Note") in October 1992, in connection with funding the development of Savvy, a compound then under development to prevent the transmission of HIV/AIDS.

The Ben Franklin Note was recorded at its estimated fair value of \$205,000 and was assumed by Cellegy as an obligation in connection with its acquisition of Biosyn in 2004. The repayment terms of the non-interest bearing obligation include the remittance of an annual fixed percentage of 3.0% applied to future revenues of Biosyn, if any, until the principal balance of \$777,902 (face amount) is satisfied. Under the terms of the obligation, revenues are defined to exclude the value of unrestricted research and development funding received by Biosyn from nonprofit sources. Absent a material breach of contract or other event of default, there is no obligation to repay the amounts in the absence of future Biosyn revenues. Cellegy accreted the discount of \$572,902 against earnings using the interest rate method (approximately 46%) over the discount period of five years, which was estimated in connection with the Ben Franklin Note's valuation at the time of the acquisition.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States emphasize market-based measurement through the use of valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable or market-based inputs. The Ben Franklin Note's peculiar repayment terms outlined above affects its comparability with main stream market issues and also affects its transferability. The value of the Ben Franklin Note would also be impacted by the ability to estimate Biosyn's expected future revenues which in turn hinge largely upon future efforts to commercialize the product candidate, the results of which efforts are not known by the Company. Given the above factors and therefore the lack of market comparability, the Ben Franklin Note would be valued based on Level 3 inputs. As such, management has determined that the Ben Franklin Note will have no future cash flows, as we do not believe the product will create a revenue stream in the future. As a result, the Note had no fair market value at the time of the merger between the Company and Cellegy (see Note 1).

G-Max Trust Notes

On December 29, 2009, the Company issued a Convertible Promissory Note (the "G-Max Note") in the aggregate principal amount of \$500,000 and 500,000 shares of common stock to The G-Max Trust (the "Investor") in connection with a private placement to the Investor for gross proceeds of \$500,500. The market value of the common stock on the date issued was \$0.25 per share, for a total value of \$125,000. A discount on the note payable of \$124,500 was recorded as a result, and was being amortized to interest expense over the term of the G-Max Note. The stock was restricted for six months from the date issued. As of March 31, 2012, the net carrying amount was \$0 and the net unamortized discount was \$0. The interest recognized in the contractual interest coupon was \$0 and \$12,638 for the years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Interest on the outstanding principal balance of the G-Max Note accrued at a rate of 10% per annum compounded monthly and was payable monthly commencing February 1, 2010. All unpaid principal and interest on the G-Max Note was due and payable on June 30, 2011 (the "Maturity Date").

At any time on or before the Maturity Date, the Investor had the right to convert part or all of the principal and interest owed under the G-Max Note into common stock at a conversion price equal to \$0.20 per share (subject to adjustment for stock dividends, stock splits, reverse stock splits, reclassifications or other similar events affecting the number of outstanding shares of common stock). The conversion feature is considered beneficial to the Investor due to the purchase of the discounted shares. The estimated value of the beneficial conversion feature was \$249,500. The entire amount was recorded as interest expense upon issuance as the G-Max Note was convertible at any time. The effective annual interest rate of the G-Max Note was 84.8% after considering the discount and beneficial conversion feature. The G-Max Note was converted into 2,500,000 shares of common stock on June 30, 2011.

On June 11, 2012, the Company issued a convertible promissory note in the aggregate principal amount of \$500,000 and 500,000 shares of common stock to The G-Max Trust, and received gross proceeds of \$500,000, excluding transaction costs and expenses. Interest on the outstanding principal balance of the note accrued at a rate of 10% per annum compounded monthly and is payable monthly commencing July 1, 2012. All unpaid principal and interest on the note is due and payable on April 1, 2013. At any time on or before the maturity date, the investor had the right to convert part or all of the principal and interest owed under the note into common stock at a conversion price equal to \$0.55 per share (subject to adjustment for stock dividends, stock splits, reverse stock splits, reclassifications or other similar events affecting the number of outstanding shares of common stock). The market value of the common stock on the date issued was \$0.74 per share, for a total value of \$370,000. Debt issuance cost of \$370,000 was recorded as a result, and was being amortized over the term of the G-Max Note. The stock is restricted for six months from the date issued. Amortization of the debt issuance cost, which is included in interest expense, was \$370,000 for the year ended March 31, 2013, and the remaining unamortized balance was \$0.

The conversion feature of the G-Max Note was considered beneficial to the investor due to the conversion price for the convertible note being lower than the market value of the common stock on the date the note was issued. The estimated value of the beneficial conversion feature was \$172,727. The beneficial conversion feature was being amortized over the term of the G-Max Note. The effective annual interest rate of the G-Max Note was 111.50% after considering the debt issuance cost and the beneficial conversion feature.

In January 2013, the G-Max Note and accrued interest payable of approximately \$2,000 was converted at \$.55 per share into 913,384 shares of common stock. The entire value of the beneficial conversion feature was recorded as a charge to interest expense as a result.

On October 25, 2012 the Company entered into a zero coupon secured promissory note with The G-Max Trust, evidencing a loan from G-Max to the Company, and received gross proceeds of approximately \$500,000. The note had a stated maturity date of six months after the date of the note, April 25, 2013. At maturity, we agreed to repay G-Max the sum of \$588,000. The note did not have a stated interest rate so long as we repaid the principal balance by the maturity date and there was no other event of default. The note was also due and payable if we completed a financing transaction or series of transactions after the date of the note that result in proceeds to the Company of \$2,000,000 or more. As additional consideration for the loan, we issued to G-Max 176,000 shares of our common stock. Pursuant to the terms of a security agreement and a stock escrow agreement, we issued 700,000 shares of our common stock as collateral for the timely repayment of the note, to be held by a third party escrow agent pursuant to the terms of the escrow agreement. When the loan was repaid, then the collateral shares were to be returned to the Company and cancelled. On December 31, 2012 the note was repaid and the shares held in escrow were cancelled.

Gemini Master Fund, Ltd. Notes

The Company completed a private placement financing transaction (the "January 2010 Financing") with a small number of institutional investors led by Gemini Master Fund, Ltd., pursuant to a Securities Purchase Agreement. The Company issued 10% Senior Secured Convertible Notes (the "Notes") in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$1.5 million and 1,500,000 shares of common stock of the Company, and received gross proceeds of \$1.5 million, excluding transaction costs and expenses. The fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of the transaction was \$ 0.41 per share. A discount of approximately \$600,000 was calculated as a result, and was being amortized to interest expense over the life of the Notes. The stock was restricted for six months from the date issued. As of March 31, 2012, the net carrying amount was \$0 and the net amortized discount was \$0. Interest recognized on the contractual coupon was \$0 and \$11,724 for the years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Interest on the Notes was payable at a rate of 10% per annum and was payable monthly on the first business day of each month. Principal and any accrued and unpaid interest were due and payable on June 30, 2011. The Notes were convertible into shares of the Company's common stock at any time at the discretion of the investor at an initial conversion price per share of \$0.20, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and other similar transactions and subject to the terms of the Notes. The conversion price was also subject to price anti-dilution adjustments providing that if the Company issues equity securities or securities convertible into equity securities at an effective price per share below the conversion price of the Notes (subject to certain exceptions), the conversion price of the Notes would be adjusted downward to equal the price of the new securities. The conversion feature was considered beneficial to the investors due to the purchase of the discounted shares. The estimated value of the beneficial conversion feature was approximately \$2.2 million. The entire amount was recorded as interest expense upon issuance since the Notes were convertible at any time. The effective interest rate of the Notes was 210.4% after considering the discount and beneficial conversion feature.

During April through June 2011, certain of the Gemini Note holders exercised their conversion feature to convert their Notes into shares of the Company's common stock. A total of 1,593,102 shares were issued in the conversion of notes with a total converted amount of \$318,620, including interest. On June 30, 2011, the three remaining Gemini note holders accepted payment of the principal amounts owed. The amount of the Notes paid and retired was \$345,000.

On April 2, 2012, the Company completed the closing of a private placement financing transaction with Gemini Master Fund, Ltd. pursuant to a securities purchase agreement. The Company issued a 10% Senior Convertible Note (the "Gemini Note") in the aggregate principal amount of \$1.0 million and 1,000,000 shares of our common stock, and received gross proceeds of \$1.0 million, excluding transaction costs and expenses. Interest on the Gemini Note is payable at a rate of 10% per annum and is payable on the maturity date of the Gemini Note. Principal and accrued and unpaid interest is due and payable nine months after the date of the Gemini Note. The Gemini Note is convertible into shares of common stock at any time at the discretion of the investor at an initial conversion price per share of \$0.25, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and other similar transactions and subject to the terms of the Gemini Note. The conversion price is also subject to price anti-dilution adjustments providing that with the exception of certain excluded categories of issuances and transactions, if we issue equity securities or securities convertible into equity securities at an effective price per share less than the conversion price of the Gemini Note, the conversion price of the Gemini Note will be adjusted downward to equal the per share price of the new securities. The Company bifurcated the conversion option derivative from the debt. See Note 8. Our obligations under the Gemini Note and the other transaction agreements are guaranteed by our principal subsidiaries, including Adamis Corporation, Adamis Laboratories, Inc. and Adamis Viral, Inc. The market value of the common stock issued on April 2, 2012 was \$0.25 per share, aggregated \$250,000. Debt issuance cost of \$250,000 was recorded as a result and amortized over the term of the Gemini Note, and is included in interest expense. The stock was restricted for six months from the date issued. Debt issuance costs have been fully amortized as of March 31, 2013.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2012, the Gemini Note and accrued interest payable of approximately \$73,000 was converted at \$.25 per share into 4,293,370 shares of common stock. Concurrent with the conversion, the Company settled the related derivative and conversion feature liabilities which had a total fair value of \$1,840,000. The fair value of the derivative and conversion feature liabilities on the day prior to conversion was determined using the intrinsic value. This resulted in an increase to the derivative and conversion feature liabilities of \$354,800. On December 31, 2012, the balance of the adjusted fair value of the derivative and conversion feature liabilities totaling \$1,840,000 was reclassified to additional paid in capital. For further details on the conversion feature see Note 8. The effective annual interest of the Gemini Note was 46.1% after considering the debt issuance cost and the conversion feature.

On June 11, 2012, the Company completed the closing of a private placement financing transaction with Gemini. The Company issued a 10% Senior Convertible Note in the aggregate principal amount of \$500,000 ("Gemini Note II") and 500,000 shares of common stock, and received gross proceeds of \$500,000, excluding transaction costs and expenses. The maturity date was originally nine months after the date of the note, but was extended to July 11, 2013 on the original maturity date. The other material terms and conditions are similar to the Gemini Note described above, except that the initial conversion price per share is \$0.55. The market value of the common stock on the date issued was \$0.74 per share, for a total value of \$370,000. Debt issuance cost of \$370,000 was recorded as a result, and was amortized over the term of the Gemini Note II, and is included in interest expense. The stock was restricted for six months from the date issued. For further details on the conversion feature see Note 8. Debt issuance costs have been fully amortized as of March 31, 2013. At March 31, 2013, the net carrying value of the Gemini Note II was \$500,000. The effective annual interest rate of the Gemini Note II is 22.5% after considering the debt issuance cost and the beneficial conversion feature.

Convertible Promissory Note

On December 31, 2012, the Company issued a convertible promissory note in the principal amount of \$600,000 and 600,000 shares of common stock to a private investor, and received gross proceeds of \$600,000, excluding transaction costs and expenses. Interest on the outstanding principal balance of the note accrues at a rate of 10% per annum compounded monthly and is payable monthly commencing February 1, 2013. All unpaid principal and interest on the note is due and payable on September 30, 2013. At any time on or before the maturity date, the investor has the right to convert part or all of the principal and interest owed under the note into common stock at a conversion price equal to \$0.55 per share (subject to adjustment for stock dividends, stock splits, reverse stock splits, reclassifications or other similar events affecting the number of outstanding shares of common stock). The market value of the common stock on the date issued was \$0.71 per share, for a total value of \$426,000. Debt issuance cost of \$426,000 was recorded as a result, and is being amortized over the term of the note. The stock is restricted for six months from the date issued. Amortization of the debt issuance cost, which is included in interest expense, was \$139,418 for the year ended March 31, 2013, and the remaining unamortized balance at March 31, 2013 was \$286,582.

The conversion feature of the note is considered beneficial to the investor due to the conversion price for the convertible note being lower than the market value of the common stock on the date the note was issued. The estimated value of the beneficial conversion feature was \$174,545. The beneficial conversion feature is being amortized over the term of the note. This resulted in a charge to interest expense of \$57,542 for the year ended March 31, 2013. At March 31, 2013, the net carrying value of the note was \$482,997.

The effective annual interest rate of the note is 107% after considering the debt issuance cost and the beneficial conversion feature.

Notes Payable

On November 30, 2010, the Company entered into a note payable with a drug wholesaler related to sales returns in the amount of \$132,741. The note bears interest at the prime rate, plus 2% (5.25% at March 31, 2013), and originally required monthly payments of \$10,000. The note is currently due on demand. The outstanding balance on this note at March 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$22,441 and \$75,242, respectively.

On May 1, 2011, the Company entered into a non-interest bearing note payable with a drug wholesaler related to sales returns in the amount of \$147,866. The note required monthly payments of \$10,000 with a final payment of \$7,866 due on July 15, 2012. The note is currently due on demand and now bears interest at 12% per annum. The outstanding balance on this note at March 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$94,463 and \$120,366, respectively.

Notes Payable to Related Parties

The Company had notes payable to a related party reflecting loans by related parties to the Company, amounting to \$97,122 and \$105,632 at March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, which bear interest at 10%. Accrued interest, which is included in accrued expenses, in the consolidated balance sheet, related to the notes was \$72,655 and \$63,934 at March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

On various dates during the twelve months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, and included in the amounts above, the Company issued promissory notes to the related party reflecting loans by a related party to the Company, for a total of \$15,890 and \$14,400, respectively, that bear interest at 10% with all principal and interest due on various maturity dates, originally. The principal amount repaid during fiscal 2013 and 2012 was \$24,400 and \$10,000, respectively. Interest continues to accrue on the unpaid principal balances.

NOTE 8: DERIVATIVE LIABILITY AND FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 815 - Derivatives and Hedging provides guidance to determine what types of instruments, or embedded features in an instrument, are considered derivatives. This guidance can affect the accounting for convertible instruments that contain provisions to protect holders from a decline in the stock price, or down-round provisions. Down-round provisions reduce the exercise price of a convertible instrument if a company either issues equity shares for a price that is lower than the exercise price of those instruments, or issues new convertible instruments that have a lower exercise price. We have determined that the conversion feature with the down-round provision on the Gemini notes should be treated as a derivative liability. The Company is required to report the conversion feature liability and the derivative liability resulting from the down-round provision at fair value and record the fluctuation of the fair value in current operations.

The Company recognizes the derivative liabilities at their respective fair values at inception and on each reporting date. The Company values its financial assets and liabilities on a recurring basis and certain nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities on a nonrecurring basis based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In order to increase consistency and comparability in fair value measurements, a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs is used to measure fair value into three broad levels, which are described below:

- Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical assets or liabilities. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to Level 1 inputs.
- Level 2: Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in inactive markets; or model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs are observable or can be derived principally from or corroborated with observable market data.
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs are used when little or no market data is available. The fair value hierarchy gives the lowest priority to Level 3 inputs.

In determining fair value, the Company utilizes valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs to the extent possible as well as considers counterparty credit risk in its assessment of fair value.

The Company recognizes the derivative liabilities at their respective fair values at inception and on each reporting date. The Company utilized a binomial option pricing model (BOPM) to develop its assumptions for determining the fair value of the conversion and anti-dilution features of the Gemini note. Key assumptions at March 31, 2013 for the June 11, 2012 note include a volatility factor of 92.1%, a discount rate of 1, a dividend yield of 0%, expected life of .28 years and a risk free interest rate of .07%.

The Company estimated the original fair values of the embedded conversion and anti-dilution features of the Gemini Note dated April 2, 2012 note to be \$287,600 and \$58,800, respectively. The loss on the convertible feature liability is \$1,397,291 and the loss on the derivative liability is \$96,309. As disclosed in Note 7, the note was converted to common stock during the quarter ended December 31, 2012.

The Company estimated the original fair values of the embedded conversion and anti-dilution features of the Gemini Note II dated June 11, 2012 note to be \$169,455 and \$23,909, respectively. The gain on the convertible feature liability is \$7,000 and the loss on the derivative liability is \$26,636 for the year ended March 31, 2013. The carrying value of the conversion feature at March 31, 2013 is \$162,456 and the carrying value of the anti-dilution feature for the same date is \$50,545.

The derivative liabilities and conversion feature liabilities are considered Level 3 liabilities on the fair value hierarchy as the determination of fair values includes various assumptions about future activities and stock price and historical volatility as inputs.

Significant unobservable inputs for the derivative and conversion feature liabilities include the estimated probability of the occurrence of a down-round financing during the term over which the related debt is convertible and the estimated magnitude of the down-round. These estimates which are unobservable in the market were utilized to value the anti-dilution features of the convertible debt as of March 31, 2013.

The table below provides a reconciliation of beginning and ending balances for the liabilities measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

	Derivative Liability	Convertible Feature Liability	Total
Balance, April 1, 2012	\$ —	—	—
Fair Value at Issuance	82,709	457,055	539,764
Net Change in Fair Value	122,945	1,390,292	1,513,237
Conversion of Debt	(155,109)	(1,684,891)	(1,840,000)
Balance, March 31, 2013	<u>\$ 50,545</u>	<u>\$ 162,456</u>	<u>\$ 213,001</u>

NOTE 9: LEGAL MATTERS

In addition to the matters described below, we may become involved in or subject to, routine litigation, claims, disputes, proceedings and investigations in the ordinary course of business, which in our opinion will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, cash flows or results of operations.

Cosmo Bioscience, Inc. et. al. v. Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corp. and Maurizio Zanetti

Cosmo Bioscience, Inc. et. al. v. Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corp. and Maurizio Zanetti was filed in San Diego Superior Court in May 2010. Plaintiffs were affiliated Cosmo Bioscience entities who claimed to have sublicensed certain patented technology from Eurogen BV, an entity wholly owned and controlled by Maurizio Zanetti. Plaintiffs claimed that Dr. Zanetti wrongfully terminated their license, and further that Dr. Zanetti improperly licensed the same technology to Adamis in violation of plaintiffs' exclusive sublicense agreement. Plaintiffs asserted a single claim for declaratory relief seeking a declaration that the Cosmo sublicense was in full force and effect, and that the Adamis license is invalid. On February 21, 2013, the Court resolved the motion in Adamis' favor and dismissed the action with prejudice, thereby precluding the plaintiffs from bringing the suit again.

Curtis Leahy, et. al. v. Dennis J. Carlo, et al.

In May 2010, *Curtis Leahy, et. al. v. Dennis J. Carlo, et al.* was filed in San Diego Superior Court. The plaintiffs – Antaeus Capital Partners, Curtis Leahy, and David Amron – are Adamis shareholders. The defendants named in the Complaint are Adamis, Dennis Carlo, David Marguglio, Robert Hopkins, and Richard Aloï, who are (or, in the case of Mr. Aloï, were) officers and/or directors of Adamis. Plaintiffs assert claims for violations of Section 25401, 25501, and 25504 of the California Corporations Code, and claims for common law fraud and negligent misrepresentation based on the allegations that defendants misrepresented and omitted material information in private placement memoranda distributed by Adamis in 2006 and 2008 regarding, among other things, Adamis’ license rights with respect to certain patented anti-viral technology.

On May 27, 2011, plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification seeking to certify a putative class of shareholders who purchased stock pursuant to either or both of Adamis’ 2006 and 2008 private placement memoranda. On June 28, 2011, the court issued an order denying the plaintiffs’ motion for class certification on the grounds that (1) plaintiffs failed to meet their burden to show that there are common issues of fact to certify the class and (2) the individual plaintiffs were not adequate class representatives. Plaintiffs have appealed the court’s order denying class certification. The Company filed a motion for summary judgment on March 28, 2012. In June 2013, the Company and plaintiffs have entered into preliminary settlement negotiations.

The litigation fees and costs have been submitted to our insurance carrier who has agreed to pay the fees and costs pursuant to the terms of our insurance policy, subject to a reservation of rights letter.

Agape World, Inc.

Agape World, Inc. is a company involved in an involuntary bankruptcy proceeding filed in 2009. Its principal, Nicholas Cosmo, was indicted on many counts of wire fraud and other claims, based on allegations that he operated a Ponzi scheme through Agape and other entities. Mr. Cosmo pled guilty in 2010 and to the Company’s knowledge is serving his sentence in prison. More than three years before the date of this Report on Form 10-K, the bankruptcy trustee of Agape contacted Adamis by telephone, asserting that Agape World paid \$1 million to Adamis for 2 million shares of common stock of Adamis, but that the stock was issued not to Agape World but instead to Mr. Cosmo, a principal of Agape World, and claiming that this constituted a fraudulent transfer. The Company believes that the trustee has recovered the stock from the principal. The Company responded to the trustee denying any fraudulent transfer or any other basis for a claim by the trustee. There has been no further communication between the trustee and Adamis for more than three years, and no suit or any action has been filed against Adamis. Management believes that the trustee has no basis for any fraudulent transfer or other claims against Adamis. Due to the limited nature of discussions with Agape, the early stage of this matter and the facts in this case, the outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

The litigation described in this section could divert management time and attention from Adamis, could involve significant amounts of legal fees and other fees and expenses. An adverse outcome in any such litigation could have a material adverse effect on Adamis.

NOTE 10: LICENSING AGREEMENTS

On July 28, 2006, the Company entered into a nonexclusive, royalty free license agreement with an entity for the technology used to research and develop new viral therapies, and an exclusive royalty-bearing license requiring a small percentage of revenue received by the Company on future products developed and sold with a payment cap of \$10,000,000. The Company paid the entity an initial license fee and granted one of the entity’s officers the right to purchase 1,000,000 shares of common stock of the Company at price of \$0.001 pursuant to a separate stock purchase agreement. The Company also granted the entity a royalty-free non-exclusive license to use any improvements made on the existing technology for research purposes only. The Company and the entity have the right to sublicense with written permission of each party. In the event that the entity sublicenses or sells the improved technology to a third party, then a portion of the total payments, to be decided by mutual agreement, will be due to the Company.

The Company is obligated to make the following milestone payments to the entity based on commencement of various clinical trials and submissions of an application to the FDA for regulatory approval:

Amount	Date due
\$50,000	Within 30 days of commencement of Phase I/II clinical trial.
50,000	Within 30 days of commencement of a separate Phase II trial as required by the FDA.
300,000	Within 30 days of commencement of a Phase III trial.
500,000	Within 30 days of submission of a biological license application or a new drug application with the FDA.

Total milestone payments are not to exceed \$900,000 and can only be paid one time and will not repeat for subsequent products. At March 31, 2013 and 2012, no milestones have been achieved.

The agreement will remain in effect as long as the patent rights remain in effect. Adamis has the right to terminate the agreement if it is determined that no viable product can come from the technology. Adamis would be required to transfer and assign all filings, rights and other information in its control if termination occurs. Adamis would retain the same royalty rights for license, or sublicense, agreements if the technology is later developed into a product.

Either party may terminate the license agreement in the event of a material breach of the agreement by the other party that has not been cured or corrected within 90 days of notice of the breach.

On September 22, 2006, the Company entered into an agreement with an entity to manufacture an influenza vaccine for the Company. The agreement requires the Company to pay \$70,000 upon commencement of the project, followed by monthly payments based upon services performed until the project is complete. No product has been manufactured and no payments have been made as of March 31, 2013. Once the project begins, the total payments will aggregate \$283,420. The project has an open ended start time. Adamis may terminate the agreement upon notice to the other party, other than reimbursing the other party for non-cancellable materials and supplies ordered, and work in progress, through the date of the termination.

On February 24, 2010, the Company entered into an agreement with Colby Pharmaceutical Company ("Colby") to acquire three separate exclusive license agreements, covering three small molecule anti-inflammatory compounds, named APC-100, APC-200 and APC-300, for the potential treatment of human prostate cancer, or PCa, in exchange for shares of the Company's common stock. Colby licensed the patents, patent applications and related intellectual property relating to the compounds pursuant to license agreements with a third party ("WARF"). Pursuant to the agreement as amended, on February 25, 2010, the Company was assigned and transferred the license agreement relating to the APC-300 compound in consideration of the issuance of 800,000 shares of common stock to Colby. The transfer of the license agreements relating to APC-100 and APC-200 occurred at a subsequent closing, pursuant to an amendment to the original agreement. Under the amendment, Colby assigned and transferred to the Company the license agreements relating to APC-100 and APC-200 in consideration for the issuance to Colby of 5,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock. Additionally, the Company issued 1,250,000 shares to each of two parties related to Colby, for consulting services rendered to the Company in connection with the intellectual property covered by the license agreements.

Under the agreements, with respect to sublicenses granted by the Company, the Company is to pay WARF according to the following schedule:

1. Forty percent (40%) of amounts received under each agreement entered into before an Investigational New Drug ("IND") application is filed by the Company with the Federal Drug Administration ("FDA") for a Product made a subject of the sublicense.
2. Thirty percent (30%) of amounts received under each agreement entered into after the filing of an IND under item (1) above until completion of a Phase I clinical trial by the Company for that Product.
3. Twenty-five percent (25%) of amounts received under each agreement entered into after completion of item (2) above until completion of a Phase II clinical trial by the Company for that Product.
4. Twenty percent (20%) of amounts received under each agreement entered into after completion of item (3) above until a New Drug Application ("NDA") has been approved by the FDA for that Product.
5. Ten percent (10%) of amounts received under each agreement entered into after the NDA has been approved by the FDA for that Product.

Milestone Payments are outlined below:

1. \$25,000 upon the filing of the first IND or comparable regulatory filing for a human therapeutic Product.
2. \$150,000 upon the enrollment of its first patient under a Phase II clinical trial for the first human therapeutic Product.

3. \$200,000 upon the enrollment of its first patient under a Phase III clinical trial for the first human therapeutic Product.
4. \$250,000 for the first NDA or comparable regulatory approval for a human therapeutic Product.

These milestone payments occur only once for each of the compounds

On April 18, 2011, the Company entered into an agreement with The Regents of the University of California (University) and the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Inc. (DFCI) to acquire the Telomerase Reverse Transcriptase as Antigen for Immunization in Cancer. The term of the agreement expires with the last expiration of the last patent covered by the license.

Under the agreement, with respect to sublicenses granted by the Company, the Company is to pay the University and DFCI according to the following schedule:

1. A license issue fee of \$10,000, within thirty (30) days after the effective date.
2. License maintenance fees of \$10,000 per year and payable on the first through third anniversary of the effective date and \$20,000 annually thereafter on each anniversary until commercially selling a licensed product.
3. Milestone payments in the amounts payable according to the following schedule or events:
 - (i) \$25,000 upon dosing of 50% of the patients expected to be enrolled for a Phase I clinical trial for the first indication (if such a trial is needed) of a licensed product;
 - (ii) \$25,000 upon the filing of an IND for the second indication of a licensed product;
 - (iii) \$100,000 upon dosing of the first patient and \$150,000 upon dosing of the 40th patient in a Phase II clinical trial for the first indication of a licensed product;
 - (iv) \$250,000 upon dosing of the first patient for a Phase II clinical trial for the second indication of a licensed product;
 - (v) \$600,000 upon dosing of the first patient for a Phase III clinical trial for the first indication of a licensed product;
 - (vi) \$600,000 upon dosing of the first patient for a Phase III clinical trial for the second indication of a licensed product;
 - (vii) \$1,000,000 upon receipt of US regulatory approval for each indication of a licensed product.
4. An earned royalty of two percent (2%) on net sales of licensed products as defined in the agreement.

In addition, the Company will reimburse the University and DFCI for past and future patent costs as outlined in the agreement.

During the years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company paid license fees and reimbursed patent defense costs related to this agreement of approximately \$7,900 and \$46,200, respectively.

NOTE 11: COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In addition to the matters described in Note 9, the Company may become involved in or subject to, routine litigation, claims, disputes, proceedings and investigations in the ordinary course of business, which in our opinion will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, cash flows or results of operations.

Office Lease

In April 2011, the Company leased approximately 2,400 square feet of office space in San Diego, California. The term of the lease is three years. The rent for the remaining 10 months is \$55,283. There are no options to extend the lease term. Total rent expense was \$64,948 and \$71,050 for the years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

NOTE 12: CAPITAL STRUCTURE

The Company is authorized to issue 200,000,000 shares of common stock and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock with a par value of \$0.0001 per share.

On November 10, 2010, the Company completed a private placement transaction (the "Financing") pursuant to a Common Stock Purchase Agreement (the "Purchase Agreement") and a Registration Rights Agreement (the "Registration Rights Agreement"). The Purchase Agreement provided for the sale of up to 40,000,000 shares of common stock of Adamis to a foreign institutional investor (the "Purchaser"), at a price of \$0.25 per share, for up to \$10 million of gross proceeds. An initial closing was held on November 10, 2010 pursuant to which the Company received \$5,000,000 in gross proceeds and issued 20,000,000 shares of common stock. Proceeds have been reduced by \$36,664 for fees incurred related to the private placement transaction.

During the first fiscal quarter ending June 30, 2011, certain holders of the Gemini Notes exercised their conversion feature to convert their notes into shares of the Company's common stock. A total of 1,593,101 shares were issued in the conversion of notes and accrued interest with a total converted amount of \$318,619.

On June 30, 2011, the holder of the G-Max Note converted the entire \$500,000 principal amount of the note into 2,500,000 shares of common stock at the conversion price stated in the note.

On June 30, 2011, the Purchaser received 2,200,000 shares of common stock at \$0.25 per share in connection with the Financing, for cash proceeds totaling \$550,000. Effective July 21, 2011, the Purchaser received an additional 2,200,000 shares of common stock at \$0.25 per share in connection with the second cash payment of \$550,000 pursuant to the amendment to the Purchase Agreement.

On August 1, 2011, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with a consultant to assist the Company in the evaluation of potential product and technology candidates and related product financing structures and arrangements, and the development of the Company's general business plan. As compensation, the Company issued 250,000 shares of its common stock, with a value of \$60,000. The value was capitalized and was amortized over the five-month term of the agreement.

On November 10, 2011, the Company issued 2,800,000 shares of common stock to the Purchaser under the second amendment to the Purchase Agreement for cash proceeds totaling \$700,000.

On January 31, 2012, the Purchaser received 1,500,000 shares of common stock at \$0.25 per share in connection with the Financing, for cash proceeds totaling \$375,000. Effective February 13, 2012, the Purchaser received an additional 499,680 shares of common stock at \$0.25 per share in connection with the second cash payment of \$124,920. On February 29, 2012, the Purchaser received 800,640 shares of common stock at \$0.25 per share in connection with the Financing, for cash proceeds totaling \$200,160 pursuant to the third amendment to the Purchase Agreement.

On April 2, 2012, the Company issued 1,000,000 shares of common stock to Gemini as part of the \$1,000,000 Gemini Note transaction as described in Note 7 above.

On June 11, 2012, the Company issued 500,000 shares of common stock to G-Max as part of the \$500,000 note transaction described in Note 7 above.

On June 11, 2012, the Company issued 500,000 shares of common stock to Gemini as part of the \$500,000 note transaction described in Note 7 above.

On October 25, 2012, the Company issued 176,000 shares of common stock to G-Max as part of the \$588,000 note transaction described in Note 7 above.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2012, Gemini converted its April 2012 note into common stock, and the Company issued 4,293,370 shares in conversion of the \$1,000,000 principal and interest of \$73,343.

On December 28, 2012, the Company issued 100,000 shares of common stock to a consultant for services to be provided through December 2013.

On December 31, 2012, the Company issued 600,000 shares of common stock to a private investor as part of the \$600,000 note transaction as described in Note 7 above.

On January 18, 2013, G-Max converted its June 2012 note into common stock, and the Company issued 913,384 shares in conversion of the \$500,000 principal and interest of \$2,361.

During the year ended March 31, 2013, the Company issued 411,473 shares of common stock to warrant holders for various strike prices ranging from \$0.20 to \$0.30. The exercised warrants were cashless conversions.

NOTE 13: STOCK OPTION PLANS, SHARES RESERVED AND WARRANTS

The Company has a 2009 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2009 Plan"). The 2009 Plan provides for the grant of incentive stock options, non-statutory stock options, restricted stock awards, restricted stock unit awards, stock appreciation rights, performance stock awards, and other forms of equity compensation (collectively "stock awards"). In addition, the 2009 Plan provides for the grant of performance cash awards. The initial aggregate number of shares of common stock that may be issued initially pursuant to stock awards under the 2009 Plan was 7,000,000 shares. The number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance automatically increase on January 1 of each calendar year, from January 1, 2010 through and including January 1, 2019, by the lesser of (a) 5.0% of the total number of shares of common stock outstanding on December 31 of the preceding calendar year or (b) a lesser number of shares of common stock determined by the Company's board of directors before the start of a calendar year for which an increase applies. On January 1, 2013 and 2012, the number of shares reserved for this issuance increased by 5,175,731 and 4,656,698 respectively, aggregating to 23,239,344 at March 31, 2013.

On August 20, 2010 the Company granted 3,150,398 options to a number of its employees to purchase the Company's common stock. The stock options have an exercise price of \$0.27 per share, which was equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant. 2,525,000 of the stock options vest over a period of three years from the date of the grant, and expire on the 10th anniversary of the grant date of the option and 625,398 of the stock options immediately vest. The Company estimated that the stock options have a fair market value of \$0.12 per share using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Management's assumptions included in the model were volatility of 31.675%, a risk-free interest rate of 2.6% based on the 10-year Treasury Rate at the date of the grant and no dividends. The Company estimated a forfeiture rate of 0%. The Company recorded stock based compensation expense of \$125,465 and a reduction of accrued expenses of \$1,068,786 related to such stock options for the year-ended March 31, 2011. Stock based compensation expense related to these options was \$101,000 for each of the years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

On January 12, 2011, the Company added a board member, who was granted a stock option by the Company to purchase up to 50,000 shares of common stock. The stock option has an exercise price of \$0.21 per share, which was equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant. The stock option vests over a period of three years from the date of the grant, and expire on the 10th anniversary of the grant date of the option. The Company estimated that the stock option has a fair market value of \$0.10 per share using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Management's assumptions included in the model were volatility of 30.865%, a risk-free interest rate of 3.4% based on the 10-year Treasury Rate at the date of the grant and no dividends. The Company estimated a forfeiture rate of 0%. The Company recorded stock based compensation expense of \$832 related to such stock options for each of the years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

On February 10, 2011, the Company added two board members, who were granted stock options by the Company to purchase up to 100,000 shares of common stock. The stock options have an exercise price of \$0.20 per share, which was equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant. The stock options vest over a period of three years from the date of the grant, and expire on the 10th anniversary of the grant date of the options. The Company estimated that the stock options have a fair market value of \$0.10 per share using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Management's assumptions included in the model were volatility of 30.865%, a risk-free interest rate of 3.7% based on the 10-year Treasury Rate at the date of the grant and no dividends. The Company estimated a forfeiture rate of 0%. The Company recorded stock based compensation expense of \$1,668 related to such stock options for each of the years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

On July 11, 2011, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with a consultant to assist the Company in researching its markets and analyzing its opportunities. As part of the compensation, the consultant received a warrant to purchase 300,000 shares of common stock, with an exercise price of \$0.22 and a term of five years. The value of the warrants was \$21,000.

On September 12, 2011, the Company issued options to purchase 1,575,000 shares of common stock to directors, officers and employees of the Company under the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan with an exercise price of \$0.19 per share. One-third of the options vest immediately, and the options become exercisable with respect to the remaining shares over a period of two years. These options were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model during the quarter ended September 30, 2011; the expected volatility was approximately 31% and the risk-free interest rate was approximately 2%, which resulted in a calculated fair value of \$126,000. The Company recorded stock based compensation expense of \$42,000 and \$66,500 for the years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

On September 13, 2011, the Company issued options to purchase 105,000 shares of common stock to the independent directors of the Company under the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan with an exercise price of \$0.18 per share. The options become exercisable with respect to 1/36 of the shares monthly over a period of three years. These options were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model during the quarter ended September 30, 2011; the expected volatility was approximately 31% and the risk-free interest rate was approximately 2%, which resulted in a calculated fair value of \$8,400. The Company recorded stock based compensation expense of \$2,800 and \$1,633 for the years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

On October 11, 2012, the Company issued options to purchase 105,000 shares of common stock to the independent directors of the Company under the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan with an exercise price of \$0.75 per share. The options become exercisable with respect to 1/36 of the shares monthly over a period of three years. These options were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model during the quarter ended December 31, 2012; the expected volatility was approximately 29% and the risk-free interest rate was approximately 2%, which resulted in a calculated fair value of \$32,550. The Company recorded stock based compensation expense of \$5,424 for the year ended March 31, 2013.

On March 6, 2013, the Company issued options to purchase 1,388,184 shares of common stock to directors, officers and employees of the Company under the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan with an exercise price of \$0.67 per share. The options vest monthly in equal amounts over 36 months. These options were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model during the quarter ended March 31, 2013; the expected volatility was approximately 29% and the risk-free interest rate was approximately 2%, which resulted in a calculated fair value of \$388,691. The Company recorded stock based compensation expense of \$10,783 for the year ended March 31, 2013.

The following summarizes the stock option activity for the years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 below:

	2009 Equity Incentive Plan	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contract Life	Non-Plan Stock Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contract Life
Balance as of April 1, 2011	3,550,398	\$ 0.26	9.34 years	100,714	\$ 41.27	2.61 years
Options Granted	1,680,000	\$ 0.19	9.24 years	—	—	—
Options Exercised	—	—	—	—	—	—
Options Canceled	—	—	—	—	—	—
Balance as of March 31, 2012	5,230,398	\$ 0.24	8.69 years	100,714	\$ 41.27	1.60 years
Options Granted	1,493,184	\$ 0.68	9.91 years	—	—	—
Options Exercised	—	—	—	—	—	—
Options Canceled	—	—	—	—	—	—
Balance as of March 31, 2013	<u>6,723,582</u>	\$ 0.34	8.18 years	<u>100,714</u>	\$ 41.27	0.60 years
Exercisable at March 31, 2013	<u>4,653,437</u>	\$ 0.25	7.69 years	<u>100,714</u>	\$ 41.27	0.60 years

The Company has reserved shares of common stock for issuance upon exercise at March 31, 2013 as follows:

Warrants	1,800,505
Non-Plan Stock Options	100,714
2009 Equity Incentive Plan	23,239,344
Total Shares Reserved	<u>25,140,563</u>

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of stock options granted during the years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was approximately \$1,021,000 and \$317,000, respectively.

At March 31, 2013 and 2012, there was approximately \$479,000 and \$222,000, respectively, of unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested option awards. The expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.88 years.

The expiration date of the Old Adamis Warrants was extended three years to November 15, 2015. The following summarizes warrants outstanding at March 31, 2013:

	<u>Warrant Shares</u>	<u>Exercise Price Per Share</u>	<u>Date Issued</u>	<u>Expiration Date</u>
Biosyn Warrants	4,105	\$ 57.97 - \$173.92	October 22, 2004	January 4, 2014
Investor Warrants	275,000	\$ 0.30	September 15, 2010	September 15, 2015
Old Adamis Warrants	1,000,000	\$ 0.50	November 15, 2007	November 15, 2015
Consultant Warrants	221,400	\$ 0.20	January 29, 2010	January 25, 2015
Consultant Warrants	300,000	\$ 0.22	July 11, 2011	July 11, 2016
Total Warrants	<u>1,800,505</u>			

During the year 4,140 Biosyn warrants expired. The strike price of the warrants were between \$67.83 and \$57.97.

On March 6, 2013, the Company issued restricted stock units (RSU's) of 726,019 shares of common stock to directors, officers and employees of the Company under the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan. The value of the award per share is \$0.67. A portion of the award vests on the first anniversary date of issuance with the remaining vesting monthly in equal amounts over 36 months. The fair value of RSU's are \$486,433. At March 31, 2013 none of the RSUs were vested and unrecognized compensation expense will be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately one year.

NOTE 14: INCOME TAXES

At March 31, 2013, the Company had net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$128 million and \$56 million for federal and state purposes, respectively. The net operating loss carry forwards expire through the year 2031. At March 31, 2013, the Company also had research and development credit carry forwards of approximately \$2.8 million and \$200,000 for federal and state purposes, respectively. The federal credits expire through the year 2027 and the state credits expire through the year 2019. The Tax Reform Act of 1986 (the "Act") provides for a limitation on the annual use of net operating loss and research and development tax credit carry forwards following certain ownership changes that could limit the Company's ability to utilize these carry forwards. The Company most likely has experienced various ownership changes, as defined by the Act, as a result of past financings. Accordingly, the Company's ability to utilize the aforementioned carry forwards may be limited. Cellegy's merger with Adamis as described in Note 1, may also impact the ability for the Company to utilize certain of its net operating loss carry forwards. Additionally, U.S. tax laws limit the time during which these carry forwards may be applied against future taxes, therefore, the Company may not be able to take full advantage of these carry forwards for federal income tax purposes. The Company determined that the net operating loss carry forwards relating to Cellegy and Biosyn are limited due to the acquisitions, in 2009 and 2004 and has reflected the estimated amount of usable net operating loss carry forwards in its deferred tax assets below.

The benefit for income taxes from continuing operations consists of the following for the years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Current	\$ —	\$ —
Deferred	<u>(2,707,000)</u>	<u>1,212,000</u>
Total	(2,707,000)	1,212,000
Change in Valuation Allowance	<u>2,707,000</u>	<u>(1,212,000)</u>
Tax Benefit, net	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

At March 31, 2013 and 2012 the significant components of the deferred tax assets from continuing operations are summarized below:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Net Operating Loss Carry forwards	\$ 43,458,000	\$ 40,945,000
Deferred Tax Assets	<u>579,000</u>	<u>385,000</u>
Net Deferred Tax Assets	44,037,000	41,330,000
Less Valuation Allowance	<u>(44,037,000)</u>	<u>(41,330,000)</u>
Net Deferred Tax Assets	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

Deferred income taxes are provided for the temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of the Company's assets and liabilities. In addition to net operating loss carry forwards, differences are primarily attributable to stock compensation expense, depreciation of assets, and accruals.

We have determined at March 31, 2013 and 2012 that a full valuation allowance would be required against all of our operating loss carry forwards and deferred tax assets that we do not expect to be utilized by deferred tax liabilities.

The following table reconciles our losses from continuing operations before income taxes for the years ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Net (Loss)	\$ (7,195,000)	\$ (4,915,000)
Permanent Differences:		
Non-Cash Interest	—	1,000
Meals and Entertainment	2,000	4,000
	<u>\$ (7,193,000)</u>	<u>\$ (4,910,000)</u>
Federal Statutory Rate	34.00%	34.00%
State Income Tax, net of Federal Tax	3.63%	3.63%
Permanent Differences	37.63%	37.63%
Change in Valuation Allowance	<u>2,707,000</u>	<u>(1,211,000)</u>
Expected Tax Benefit	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

NOTE 15: SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On April 5, 2013, the Company issued convertible promissory notes in the principal amount of \$575,000 to private investors, and received gross proceeds of \$575,000, excluding transaction costs and expenses. Interest on the outstanding principal balance of the note accrues at a rate of 12% per annum compounded monthly. All principal and interest on the note is due and payable on October 5, 2013. At any time on or before the maturity date, the investor has the right to convert part or all of the principal and interest owed under the note into common stock at a conversion price equal to \$0.50 per share (subject to adjustment for stock dividends, stock splits, reverse stock splits, reclassifications or other similar events affecting the number of outstanding shares of common stock). The conversion price is also subject to price anti-dilution adjustments. On June 28, 2013, the investors exercised their conversion features to convert a portion of the notes into shares of the Company's common stock. A total of 208,000 shares were issued in the conversion of \$104,000 of principal. The balance of the notes was paid from the net proceeds of the June 2013 private placement transaction described below.

On May 30, 2013, the Company issued common stock upon exercise of an employee stock option. The employee utilized a cashless conversion of 94,442 options with a strike price of \$0.19 and received 68,054 shares of common stock.

On June 21, 2013 the Company converted a warrant for a total of 145,800 shares. The strike prices was \$.20 and the warrant was exercised by means of a cashless conversion with a value of \$94,770.

On June 26, 2013, we completed a private placement financing transaction pursuant to which we issued the Secured Notes and common stock purchase warrants to purchase up to 13,004,316 shares of common stock, to a small number of institutional investors and received gross cash proceeds of \$5,300,000, excluding transactions costs, fees and expenses. The Secured Notes have an aggregate principal amount of \$6,502,158, including \$613,271 of principal amount resulting in the exchange of an outstanding convertible note for the Secured Notes and warrants. The maturity date of the Secured Notes is December 26, 2013. The Secured Notes are convertible into shares of common stock at any time at the discretion of the investor at an initial conversion price per share of \$0.50, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and other similar transactions, and to possible price anti-dilution adjustments. Our obligations under the Secured Notes and the other transaction agreements are guaranteed by our principal subsidiaries, and are secured by a security interest in substantially all of our assets and those of the subsidiaries, pursuant to a Security Agreement. The common stock purchase warrants have a term of five years and have an exercise price of \$0.715 per share, subject to adjustment.

**CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT
TO THE AMENDED AND RESTATED
CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION
OF
ADAMIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION**

Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, a corporation organized under and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (the "Corporation"), certifies that:

FIRST: The name of the Corporation is Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation.

SECOND: The Board of Directors of the Corporation, acting in accordance with the provisions of Sections 141 and 242 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, adopted resolutions to amend Article IV, Paragraph A, of the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Corporation to read in its entirety as follows:

"A. The Company is authorized to issue two classes of stock to be designated, respectively, "Common Stock" and "Preferred Stock". The total number of shares of all classes of capital stock which the Company shall have authority to issue is two hundred ten million (210,000,000), of which two hundred million (200,000,000) shares shall be Common Stock, having a par value of \$0.0001 per share (the "**Common Stock**"), and ten million (10,000,000) shares shall be Preferred Stock, having a par value of \$0.0001 per share (the "**Preferred Stock**")."

THIRD: This Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation was submitted to the stockholders of the Corporation and was duly approved by the required vote of stockholders of the Corporation in accordance with Sections 222 and 242 of the Delaware General Corporation Law.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, said Certificate of Amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation has been duly executed by its authorized officer on this 29th day of October, 2012.

ADAMIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION

By: /s/ Dennis J. Carlo
Dennis J. Carlo, Ph.D.
Chief Executive Officer

EXHIBIT 21.1

SUBSIDIARIES OF ADAMIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION.

Name	State of Incorporation
Biosyn, Inc.	Pennsylvania
Adamis Corporation	Delaware
Adamis Laboratories, Inc.	Delaware
Adamis Viral Therapies, Inc.	Delaware

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

As independent registered public accountants, we hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the following registration statements of our report dated July 3, 2013, included in Adamis Pharmaceutical Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2013.

- * Registration statement on Form S-8, SEC file number 333-159229, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 19, 2009,
- * Registration statement on Form S-8, SEC file number 333-169106, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 30, 2010.
- * Registration statement on Form S-8, SEC file number 333-175383, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 7, 2011.

/s/ Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C.

MAYER HOFFMAN MCCANN PC
Certified Public Accountants
Boca Raton, Florida

July 3, 2013

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Dennis J. Carlo, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and (15d-15(e)) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting disclosure to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 3, 2013

By: /s/ Dennis J. Carlo
Chief Executive Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Robert O. Hopkins, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and (15d-15(e)) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting disclosure to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 3, 2013

By: /s/ Robert O. Hopkins
Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT

The undersigned, Dennis J. Carlo, the Chief Executive Officer of Adamis Pharmaceuticals Corporation (the "Company"), pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, hereby certifies that, to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2013 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ DENNIS J. CARLO

Dennis J. Carlo

Chief Executive Officer

Dated: July 3, 2013

This certification is being furnished to the SEC with this Report on Form 10-K pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and shall not, except to the extent required by such Act, be deemed filed by the Company for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT

The undersigned, Robert O. Hopkins, as Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Adamis Pharmaceuticals, Corporation (the "Company"), pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, hereby certifies that, to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2013 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ ROBERT O. HOPKINS

Robert O. Hopkins

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Dated: July 3, 2013

This certification is being furnished to the SEC with this Report on Form 10-K pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and shall not, except to the extent required by such Act, be deemed filed by the Company for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
