Dear Shareholder:
In 2005, CSX set financial records in its primary business, improved operations and made a significant commitment to expand in high-growth areas. The market environment remained strong, and we continued to build momentum in our performance.

Financial Records Set
CSX Surface Transportation, which includes our freight rail and intermodal businesses, set operating income records in every quarter of 2005. For the year, revenues were a record $8.6 billion, an increase of $578 million over 2004, and operating income was more than $1.5 billion, up $556 million. We ended the year with our eighth consecutive quarter of revenue and operating income growth.

Demand for transportation services in the U.S. created tremendous opportunities for the freight rail industry. Pricing was strong, and fuel surcharges helped partially offset the higher cost of fuel. We expect these conditions to continue for the foreseeable future, and that the company’s underlying performance will continue to improve.

Improving Operations
To succeed in the Surface Transportation business, we must do two things consistently: run trains safely, and run them on time. Our team is making progress on both.

Through greater leadership, discipline and execution, employee injuries in 2005 were down 25 percent, and train accidents declined 17 percent.* While our ultimate goal remains zero injuries and accidents, we are pleased with the progress and view the improvement as a reflection of the increased personal accountability in our workforce.

Employees also gained traction in implementing the ONE Plan, our network simplification and optimization plan, and made real progress in the areas that affect our key service measurements. Over the long-run, as momentum continues to build, we’ll have more satisfied customers, higher volumes and lower cost.

This is a great time to be in the rail industry and at CSX.

Hurricane Katrina
The company’s operating achievements in 2005 were particularly gratifying in light of the severe storm damage inflicted on our important Gulf Coast rail infrastructure.

We are truly fortunate that there were no fatalities or serious injuries in our Gulf Coast workforce. The railroad provided assistance to

*The safety improvements described in this letter refer to FRA Personal Injuries per 200,000 man hours, and accidents as measured by FRA Train Accidents per million train miles.
nearly 300 employees, enabling them to rebuild their lives and our damaged infrastructure at the same time.

Employees responded heroically under extreme circumstances to protect the interests of our customers and shareholders while remaining steadfastly focused on improving operations throughout the 21,000-mile network.

Building for the Future

This is a great time to be in the rail industry and at CSX.

The growth in overall transportation demand is expected to continue over the next 20 years, while the need to transport more and more imported products to the major population centers drives demand for freight rail services. CSX is well positioned to capitalize on this opportunity since its rail and intermodal networks serve the densely-populated Northeast and the rapidly growing Southeast.

In 2006, CSX will increase capital spending 40 percent to $1.4 billion, with much of the capital targeted for expansions to our transportation network. We are expanding train capacity from Chicago, the nation's largest rail hub, to both New York and Florida. We also created plans for a new Intermodal Logistics Center in central Florida to meet the burgeoning demand in that region.

The capacity expansions are intended to support double-digit growth in operating income, earnings and free cash flow over the next five years.

Good for America

Freight rail companies are hiring thousands of new workers, making infrastructure investments, alleviating highway congestion, creating shareholder value and making significant progress in safety and efficiency.

With strong demand and the retirement of many life-long employees in the next five to 10 years, we are bringing railroaders with new skills and ideas into our transportation business. Our training facility in Atlanta hosts a steady stream of bright, energetic people that represent the company's future.

At the same time, the railroads are actively developing and testing new technologies, including some which will make train operations even safer by anticipating potential accidents and automatically stopping a train to avoid them. We are committed to working
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closely with the federal government to ensure the highest performance and safety standards for the new technology.

Legislatively, CSX is encouraged by recent laws that contribute to the preservation of vital rail service. In 2005, we saw funding for clean coal technologies and public-private rail infrastructure projects, an extension of the Federal Terrorism Risk Insurance Program, and meaningful tax and tort reforms in key states.

The company’s public policy goals seek to ensure the continued health of an industry that benefits the economy and the environment.

We are learning the art of communicating with clarity so that concepts like our core values, operating discipline and accountability work their way through our highly-dispersed and dedicated workforce.

In my 29-year career with the company, I’ve never felt more optimistic about the future. We have a firm foundation in place, our market environment is strong, and our employees are energized.

I believe that 2006 will be a year of greater results for our customers and shareholders.

Michael Ward

CSX Renaissance
In the past year, we have used the phrase “Rail Renaissance” to describe favorable conditions in the rail marketplace. As I travel around all of CSX, I also sense a renaissance taking place in our culture.
FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Dollars in Millions (except per share amounts) (Unaudited)

Earnings from Continuing Operations

Operating Revenue $8,618 $8,040 $7,573 $7,916 $7,853
Operating Expense $7,068 $7,040 $7,053 $6,897 $7,003

Operating Income $1,550 $1,000 $520 $1,019 $850

Net Earnings from Continuing Operations $720 $418 $137 $410 $243

Earnings Per Share:
From Continuing Operations $3.33 $1.95 $0.64 $1.93 $1.15
From Continuing Operations, Assuming Dilution $3.17 $1.87 $0.63 $1.85 $1.13
From Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change $– $– $0.26 $(0.20) –
From Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change, Assuming Dilution $– $– $0.25 $(0.19) –

Financial Position

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Short-term Investments $602 $859 $368 $264 $618
Total Assets 24,232 24,605 21,760 20,951 20,801
Long-term Debt 5,093 6,248 6,886 6,519 5,839
Shareholders’ Equity 7,954 6,811 6,448 6,241 5,839

Other Data Per Common Share
Cash Dividends $0.43 $0.40 $0.40 $0.40 $0.80

Employees – Annual Averages
Rail 32,033 32,074 32,892 33,468 35,014
Other 3,076 3,833 4,624 6,471 6,446
Total 35,109 35,907 37,516 39,939 41,460

Prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation. See accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements.

Significant events included in Earnings from Continuing Operations are as follows:

2005
CSX repurchased $1 billion of outstanding debt. CSX recognized a charge of $192 million pretax, $123 million after tax, to repurchase the debt, which primarily reflects the increase in current market value above original issue value. (See Note 12. Debt and Credit Agreements.)
Ohio enacted legislation to gradually eliminate its corporate franchise tax. This legislative change resulted in an income tax benefit of $71 million. (See Note 8. Income Taxes.)
CSX updated its assessment of the unasserted liability exposure for asbestos and other claims, which resulted in recognition of a $38 million pretax, $23 million after tax, favorable change in estimate. (See Note 11. Casualty, Environmental, and Other Reserves.)
CSX conveyed most of its interest in its domestic container-shipping subsidiary, CSX Lines, to a new venture formed with the Carlyle Group for approximately $300 million in cash and securities. CSX Lines was subsequently renamed Horizon. A deferred pretax gain of approximately $127 million resulting from the transaction is being recognized over the 12-year sub-lease term during which the Company continues to sublease vessels and equipment to Horizon. (See Note 3. Divestitures.)
A charge of $34 million pretax, $21 million after tax, was recognized as the initial charge for separation expenses related to the management restructuring announced in 2003. In addition, the Company recorded a credit of $22 million pretax, $13 million after tax related to revised estimates for railroad retirement taxes and the amount of benefits that will be paid to individuals under the 1991 and 1992 separation plans. The net restructuring charge of $22 million, $13 million after tax includes these items. (See Note 5. Management Restructuring.)
2004
A charge of $71 million pretax, $44 million after tax, was recognized for separation expenses related to the management restructuring at Surface Transportation. (See Note 5. Management Restructuring.)
Revenues, operating expenses and after tax income include approximately $63 million, $35 million and $6 million, respectively, representing consolidation of Four Rivers Transportation (“FRT”), a short-line railroad previously accounted for under the equity method, in conjunction with adoption of FASB Interpretation 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. Net equity earnings of FRT of approximately $4 million were included in other income in 2003.
CSX completed a corporate reorganization of Conrail that resulted in the direct ownership of certain Conrail assets by CSXT. This transaction was accounted for at fair value and resulted in a net gain of $16 million after tax, which is included in other income. (See Note 2. Investment In and Consolidated Rail Operations with Conrail.)
A charge of $232 million pretax, $145 million after tax, was recognized in conjunction with the change in estimate of casualty reserves to include an estimate of incurred but not reported claims for asbestos and other occupational injuries to be received over the next seven years. (See Note 11. Casualty, Environmental, and Other Reserves.)
A charge of $108 million pretax, $67 million after tax, was recognized to account for CSX’s entering into two settlement agreements with Maersk that resolved all material disputes pending between the companies arising out of the 1999 sale of the international container-shipping assets. (See Note 19. Commitments and Contingencies.)
2003
Income of $93 million pretax, $57 million after tax, was recognized as a cumulative effect of accounting change, representing the reversal of the accrued liability for crosstie removal costs in conjunction with the adoption of SFAS 143 Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. (See Note 1. Nature of Operations and Significant Accounting Policies.)
A charge of $34 million pretax, $21 million after tax, was recognized as the initial charge for separation expenses related to the management restructuring announced in 2003. In addition, the Company recorded a credit of $22 million pretax, $13 million after tax related to revised estimates for railroad retirement taxes and the amount of benefits that will be paid to individuals under the 1991 and 1992 separation plans. The net restructuring charge of $22 million, $13 million after tax includes these items. (See Note 5. Management Restructuring.)
2002
A charge of $83 million pretax, $43 million after tax, was recognized to write down indefinite lived intangible assets as a cumulative effect of an accounting change and consideration of minority interest.
2001
A charge of $60 million pretax, $37 million after tax, was recognized to account for the settlement of the 1987 New Orleans tank car fire litigation.
The consolidated financial statements of CSX have been prepared by management, which is responsible for their content and accuracy. The statements present the results of operations, cash flows and financial position of the Company in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and, accordingly, include certain amounts based on management’s judgements and estimates.

CSX and its subsidiaries maintain internal controls designed to provide reasonable assurance that assets are safeguarded and transactions are properly authorized by management and are recorded in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Controls include accounting tests, written policies and procedures and a code of corporate ethics routinely communicated to all employees. An internal audit staff monitors compliance with, and the effectiveness of, established policies and procedures.

The Audit Committee of the board of directors composed solely of outside directors, meets periodically with management, internal auditors and the independent auditors to review audit findings, adherence to corporate policies and other financial matters. The firm of Ernst & Young LLP, independent auditors, has been engaged to express opinions on the Company’s consolidated financial statements, on management’s assessment of the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting and on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Its audits were conducted in accordance with the standards of The Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

MICHAEL WARD
Chairman, President and CEO

OSCAR MUNOZ
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Carolyn T. Sizemore
Vice President and Controller
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CSX Corporation ("CSX" and, together with its subsidiaries, the "Company"), based in Jacksonville, FL, owns companies providing rail, intermodal and rail-to-truck transload services that combine to form one of the nation’s leading transportation companies, connecting more than 70 ocean, river and lake ports.

Surface Transportation

**CSX Transportation Inc.**

CSX’s principal operating company, CSX Transportation Inc. ("CSXT"), operates the largest railroad in the eastern United States with approximately 21,000-mile rail network linking commercial markets in 23 states, the District of Columbia, and the Canadian provinces of Ontario and Quebec.

**CSX Intermodal Inc.**

CSX Intermodal Inc. ("Intermodal"), one of the nation’s largest coast-to-coast intermodal transportation providers, is a stand-alone, integrated intermodal company serving customers from origin to destination with its own truck and terminal operations, plus a dedicated domestic container fleet. Containers and trailers are loaded and unloaded from trains, with trucks providing the link between intermodal terminals and the customer.

Surface Transportation Businesses

The rail and intermodal companies are viewed by the Company on a combined basis as Surface Transportation businesses. Together, they serve four primary lines of business:

- Merchandise generated approximately 49% of the Company’s total revenue in 2005 with 2.9 million carloads. The Company’s merchandise business is made up of seven market segments: phosphates and fertilizers; metals; forest products; food and consumer; agricultural products; chemicals; and emerging markets. Emerging markets target high-growth business opportunities in specialized markets such as aggregates, processed materials (for example, cement), waste, military cargo, and machinery.

- Coal, which delivered more than 1.8 million carloads of coal, coke and iron ore to electric utilities and manufacturers in 2005, accounted for approximately 24% of the Company’s total 2005 revenue. The Company serves more than 130 coal mines in nine states, including three of the nation’s top four coal-producing states.

- Intermodal, as described above, offers a cost advantage over long-haul trucking by combining the better economics of longer hauls provided by rail with the short-haul flexibility of trucks through a network of dedicated terminals across North America. Intermodal accounted for approximately 2.2 million units and 16% of the Company’s total revenue in 2005.

- Automotive, which serves plants in eight states and delivers both finished vehicles and auto parts, transported 488,000 carloads generating 10% of the Company’s total revenue in 2005.

- Other revenue, such as demurrage, switching, and other incidental charges, accounted for 1% of the Company’s total 2005 revenue. Demurrage represents charges assessed by railroads for the retention of cars by shippers or receivers of freight beyond a specified period of time. Switching revenue is generated when CSX switches cars between trains for a customer or other railroad.
Divestitures

**International Terminals**

In February 2005, CSX sold its International Terminals business. CSX recognized a gain of $683 million pretax, $428 million after tax, in the fiscal year ended December 30, 2005. These amounts are reported as Discontinued Operations in the Company’s Consolidated Income Statements. All prior activities for this business are presented as Discontinued Operations. (See Note 4. Discontinued Operations.)

**Domestic Container Shipping**

In February 2003, CSX conveyed its interest in the Domestic Container-Shipping business. CSX continues to sublease vessels and equipment to this former business through 2014. Due to these continuing obligations, CSX deferred the gain of $127 million pretax and is amortizing it over the 12-year sub-lease term. (See Note 3. Divestitures.)

**Financial Information about Operating Segments**

See Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for operating revenue, operating income and total assets by segment for each of the last three fiscal years.

**General**

The Company makes available, free of charge through its website at www.csx.com, its annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments thereto, as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Additionally, the Company has posted its code of ethics on its website.

The Company has included the CEO and CFO certifications regarding the Company’s public disclosure required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 as Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2 to this report. Additionally, CSX filed with the NYSE the CEO’s certification regarding the Company’s compliance with the NYSE’s Corporate Governance Listing Standards (“Listing Standards”) pursuant to Section 303A.12(a) of the Listing Standards, which was dated May 26, 2005 and indicated that the CEO was not aware of any violations of the Listing Standards by the Company.

For additional information concerning business conducted by the Company during 2005, see Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data — Note 20. Business Segments.

**Employees**

The Company’s annual average of employees was approximately 35,000 people in 2005 primarily working to provide transportation services.


**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

The information set forth in Item 7. Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under the caption “Risk Factors” is incorporated by reference.

**Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments**

Not applicable.
Item 2. **Properties**

CSX’s properties primarily consist of track and its related infrastructure, locomotives and freight cars and each category is described below.

**Track and Infrastructure**

Serving 23 states, the District of Columbia, Ontario and Quebec, the CSXT rail network extends from New York, Philadelphia and Boston to the Southeast markets of Atlanta, Miami and New Orleans and to the Midwestern cities of East St. Louis, Memphis and Chicago.

The Company’s track structure includes main thoroughfares connecting terminals and yards (referred to as mainline track); track within terminals and switching yards; track adjacent to the mainlines used for passing trains; and track connecting the mainline track to customer locations. As of December 30, 2005, the breakdown of these track miles is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Track Miles</th>
<th>Miles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mainline Track</td>
<td>26,865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminals and Switching Yards</td>
<td>9,774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passing Sidings and Turnouts</td>
<td>1,031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>37,670</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to its physical track structure, CSXT operates 36 major yards and terminals, plus a number of smaller facilities. These sites serve as the links between the Company and its local customers and as sorting facilities where shipments are classified and routed to other areas around the nation. CSXT’s train operations are focused around four major transportation networks: the Coal Network, the Southeastern Corridor, the Interstate 90 Corridor, and the Interstate 95 Corridor.

**Coal Network**

Coal is used to generate more than half of the electricity in the United States. The CSX coal network connects mining operations in nine states with industrial areas in the Northeast as well as many river, lake and seaport facilities. These routes also support CSXT’s strong and growing utility market in the Southeast.

**Southeastern Corridor**

This section of the network runs on the western side of CSXT’s system from Chicago and the Western gateways, through the cities of Atlanta, Nashville and Birmingham to markets throughout the Southeast. The Southeastern Corridor is a vital route for CSXT’s merchandise and Intermodal’s traffic as well as automotive services.

**Interstate 90 (I-90) Corridor**

Chicago and metropolitan areas in New York and New England are linked by CSX’s I-90 corridor. Much of this route has two lanes of track side by side (referred to as double mainline track) supporting high-speed intermodal and automotive services. The I-90 corridor is also a primary route for import traffic moving across the country, through Chicago and into the population centers in the Northeast.

**Interstate 95 (I-95) Corridor**

Charleston, Jacksonville, Miami and many other cities throughout the growing Southeast are connected to the heavily populated northeastern cities of Baltimore, Philadelphia and New York
along CSXT’s I-95 corridor. This route is used for certain CSX merchandise business, which primarily includes food and consumer products along with metals and chemicals.

**Locomotives**

CSXT operates more than 3,700 locomotives, of which approximately 500 are under short-term lease arrangements. Freight locomotives are the power used primarily to pull trains. Switching locomotives are used in yards to sort rail cars so that the right rail car gets attached to the right train in order to get it to its final destination. Auxiliary units are typically used to provide extra traction for heavy trains in hilly terrain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>Owned</th>
<th>Leased</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Locomotives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight</td>
<td>2,922</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>3,384</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary Units</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,328</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>3,790</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Freight Car Fleet**

CSXT provides specialized equipment to safely deliver freight from the source to distributors or end users:

- Gondolas support CSXT’s coal and metals markets and provide transport for woodchips and other bulk commodities.
- Open-top hoppers handle heavy dry bulk commodities that are impervious to weather conditions such as coal, coke, stone, sand, ores and gravel.
- Flat Cars for shipping intermodal containers and trailers.
- Box Cars transport commodities that must be protected from the weather, such as paper products, appliances and building materials. Insulated boxcars deliver food products, canned goods, and certain beverages.
- Covered hoppers have a permanent roof. Lighter bulk commodities such as grain, fertilizer, flour, salt, sugar, clay and lime are shipped in large cars called jumbo covered hoppers. Heavier commodities like cement, ground limestone and glass sand are shipped in small cube-covered hoppers.
- Other cars on the network include but are not limited to center beam cars for transporting lumber and building products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>Owned</th>
<th>Leased</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freight Cars</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gondolas</td>
<td>22,174</td>
<td>8,070</td>
<td>30,244</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-top Hoppers</td>
<td>16,354</td>
<td>3,299</td>
<td>19,653</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat Cars</td>
<td>1,053</td>
<td>18,439</td>
<td>19,492</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Cars</td>
<td>13,240</td>
<td>2,737</td>
<td>15,977</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covered Hoppers</td>
<td>13,199</td>
<td>3,301</td>
<td>16,500</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Cars</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>1,017</td>
<td>1,448</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>66,451</td>
<td>36,863</td>
<td>103,314</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
At any point in time, over half of the railcars on the CSXT system are not owned by CSXT. Examples of these are railcars owned by other railroads, which are interchanged to CSXT; shipper-furnished ("private") cars, which are generally used only in that shipper’s services; and multi-level railcars. These multi-level railcars are used to transport finished motor vehicles, such as sport utility vehicles and light trucks in bi-level cars, while sedans and smaller automobiles are shipped in tri-level cars. Multi-level railcars are used jointly by participating railroads, and the fleet is managed as a nationwide pool to serve the automobile industry. As noted, in certain markets, CSXT uses railcars supplied by railroad customers. For example, chemical shippers typically supply tank cars for the transportation of hazardous and non-hazardous material.


**Item 3. Legal Proceedings**

The Company is involved in routine litigation incidental to its business and is a party to a number of legal actions and claims, various governmental proceedings and private civil lawsuits, including those related to environmental matters, Federal Employers’ Liability Act claims by employees, other personal injury claims, and disputes and complaints involving certain transportation rates and charges. Some of the legal proceedings include claims for compensatory as well as punitive damages, and others purport to be class actions. While the final outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, considering among other things the meritorious legal defenses available and liabilities that have been recorded along with applicable insurance, it is the opinion of CSX management that none of these items will have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial position or liquidity of the Company. An unexpected adverse resolution of one or more of these items, however, could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial position or liquidity of the Company in a particular quarter or fiscal year.

See Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under the caption "Critical Accounting Estimates, Casualty, Environmental and Legal Reserves.”

**Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

There were no matters submitted to a vote of security holders in the fourth quarter of 2005.

**Executive Officers of the Registrant**

Executive officers of CSX are elected by the CSX Board of Directors and generally hold office until the next annual election of officers. There are no family relationships or any arrangement or
understanding between any officer and any other person pursuant to which such officer was
selected. Effective February 23, 2006, the executive officers are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and Age</th>
<th>Business Experience During Past 5 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michael J. Ward, 55</td>
<td>Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of CSX, having been elected as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer in January 2003 and as President in July 2002. He has also served CSX Transportation, Inc., the Company’s rail subsidiary, as President since November 2000 and as President and Chief Executive Officer since October 2002. Previously, Mr. Ward served CSX Transportation as Executive Vice President — Operations from April through November 2000, and as Executive Vice President — Coal Service Group from August 1999 to April 2000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellen M. Fitzsimmons, 45</td>
<td>Senior Vice President — Law and Public Affairs of CSX and CSX Transportation, Inc. since December 2003. Before December 2003, Ms. Fitzsimmons served as Senior Vice President — Law and Corporate Secretary from May 2003 and as Senior Vice President — Law from February 2001 to May 2003. Prior thereto, she served as General Counsel — Corporate at CSX.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarence W. Gooden, 54</td>
<td>Executive Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer of CSX and CSX Transportation, Inc. since April 2004. Before April 2004, Mr. Gooden served as Senior Vice President — Merchandise Service Group, CSX Transportation, Inc. from 2002. Prior to 2002, Mr. Gooden served as President of CSX Intermodal from 2001 to 2002; Senior Vice President — Coal Service Group from 2000 to 2001; and Vice President — System Transportation from 1999 to 2000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert J. Haulter, 52</td>
<td>Senior Vice President — Human Resources and Labor Relations of CSX and CSX Transportation, Inc. since December 2003. Before December 2003, Mr. Haulter served as CSX Senior Vice President — Human Resources from July 2002. Before July 2002, he served CSX Transportation, Inc. as Senior Vice President — Human Resources from May 2002 to July 2002; as Vice President — Human Resources from December 2000 to May 2002; as Assistant Vice President of Operations Support from September 2000 to December 2000; and as Assistant Vice President — Strategic Development from November 1999 to September 2000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name and Age</td>
<td>Business Experience During Past 5 Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony L. Ingram, 57</td>
<td>Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of CSX Transportation, Inc. since March 2004. Before March 2004, Mr. Ingram served as Senior Vice President — Transportation, Network and Mechanical, Norfolk Southern Corporation, from February 2003 to March 2004; and Vice President, Transportation — Operations from March 2000 to February 2003.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscar Munoz, 47</td>
<td>Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of CSX and CSX Transportation, Inc. since May 2003. Before May 2003, Mr. Munoz served as Chief Financial Officer and Vice President, Consumer Services, AT&amp;T Corporation, from January 2001 to May 2003; as Senior Vice President — Finance &amp; Administration, Qwest Communications International, Inc. from June to December 2000; and as Chief Financial Officer &amp; Vice President, U.S. West Retail Markets from April 1999 to May 2000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carolyn T. Sizemore, 43</td>
<td>Vice President and Controller of CSX and CSX Transportation, Inc. since April 2002. Prior to April 2002, Ms. Sizemore served CSX as Assistant Vice President and Assistant Controller from July 2001 to April 2002, and as Assistant Vice President, Financial Planning from June 1999 to July 2001.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information

CSX’s common stock is listed on the New York stock exchange and trades with unlisted privileges on the Midwest, Boston, Cincinnati, Pacific and Philadelphia stock exchanges. The official trading symbol is “CSX.”

Description of Common and Preferred Stocks

A total of 300 million shares of common stock is authorized, of which 218,202,519 shares were outstanding as of December 30, 2005. Each share is entitled to one vote in all matters requiring a vote of shareholders. There are no pre-emptive rights. At January 27, 2006, there were 49,859 common stock shareholders of record. Weighted average common shares outstanding used in the calculation of diluted earnings per share was approximately 228 million as of December 30, 2005, and is expected to increase in 2006 due to anticipated stock option exercises and other incentive programs. (See Note 15. Earnings Per Share.)

A total of 25 million shares of preferred stock is authorized, none of which is currently outstanding.

The following table sets forth, for the quarters indicated, the dividends declared and the high and low share prices of the Company’s common stock. In 2005, the Company increased dividends by 30% from 10 cents to 13 cents per common share.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
<th>4th</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005 Dividends</td>
<td>$0.10</td>
<td>$0.10</td>
<td>$0.10</td>
<td>$0.13</td>
<td>$0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Common Stock Price</td>
<td>$43.54</td>
<td>$44.10</td>
<td>$46.89</td>
<td>$51.60</td>
<td>$51.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Common Stock Price</td>
<td>$36.90</td>
<td>$38.01</td>
<td>$42.48</td>
<td>$42.70</td>
<td>$36.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
<th>4th</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004 Dividends</td>
<td>$0.10</td>
<td>$0.10</td>
<td>$0.10</td>
<td>$0.10</td>
<td>$0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Common Stock Price</td>
<td>$36.26</td>
<td>$33.04</td>
<td>$34.28</td>
<td>$40.46</td>
<td>$40.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Common Stock Price</td>
<td>$28.80</td>
<td>$29.28</td>
<td>$29.96</td>
<td>$33.09</td>
<td>$28.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities Information

As required by SEC Regulation S-K for the quarter ended December 30, 2005, the following table summarizes common shares withheld by CSX on behalf of current and retired employees to
settle the employee’s minimum statutory tax obligation on the distribution of shares that were formerly deferred and any restricted stock that has vested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased</th>
<th>(b) Average Price Paid per Share</th>
<th>(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs</th>
<th>(d) Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan or Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>6,318</td>
<td>$42.07</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(October 1, 2005 – October 28, 2005)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>3,219</td>
<td>45.78</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(October 29, 2005 – November 27, 2005)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>1,363</td>
<td>50.44</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(November 28, 2005 – December 30, 2005)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10,900</td>
<td>$44.21</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item 6. **Selected Financial Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts) (Unaudited)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earnings from Continuing Operations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Revenue</td>
<td>$8,618</td>
<td>$8,040</td>
<td>$7,573</td>
<td>$7,916</td>
<td>$7,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Expense</td>
<td>7,068</td>
<td>7,040</td>
<td>7,053</td>
<td>6,897</td>
<td>7,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Income</td>
<td>$1,550</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$520</td>
<td>$1,019</td>
<td>$850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Earnings from Continuing Operations</td>
<td>$720</td>
<td>$418</td>
<td>$137</td>
<td>$410</td>
<td>$243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings Per Share:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Continuing Operations</td>
<td>$3.33</td>
<td>$1.95</td>
<td>$0.64</td>
<td>$1.93</td>
<td>$1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Continuing Operations, Assuming Dilution</td>
<td>$3.17</td>
<td>$1.87</td>
<td>$0.63</td>
<td>$1.85</td>
<td>$1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$0.26</td>
<td>$(0.20)</td>
<td>$—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change, Assuming Dilution</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$0.25</td>
<td>$(0.19)</td>
<td>$—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial Position</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash, Cash Equivalents and Short-term Investments</td>
<td>$602</td>
<td>$859</td>
<td>$368</td>
<td>$264</td>
<td>$618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>24,232</td>
<td>24,605</td>
<td>21,760</td>
<td>20,951</td>
<td>20,801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Debt</td>
<td>5,093</td>
<td>6,248</td>
<td>6,886</td>
<td>6,519</td>
<td>5,839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shareholders’ Equity</td>
<td>7,954</td>
<td>6,811</td>
<td>6,448</td>
<td>6,241</td>
<td>6,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Data Per Common Share</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Dividends</td>
<td>$0.43</td>
<td>$0.40</td>
<td>$0.40</td>
<td>$0.40</td>
<td>$0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employees — Annual Averages</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail</td>
<td>32,033</td>
<td>32,074</td>
<td>32,892</td>
<td>33,468</td>
<td>35,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3,076</td>
<td>3,833</td>
<td>4,624</td>
<td>6,471</td>
<td>6,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>35,109</td>
<td>35,907</td>
<td>37,516</td>
<td>39,939</td>
<td>41,460</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements.
Significant events included in Earnings from Continuing Operations are as follows:

2005 — CSX repurchased $1.0 billion of outstanding debt. CSX recognized a charge of $192 million pretax, $123 million after tax, to repurchase the debt, which primarily reflects the increase in current market value above original issue value. (See Note 12. Debt and Credit Agreements.)

— Ohio enacted legislation to gradually eliminate its corporate franchise tax. This legislative change resulted in an income tax benefit of $71 million. (See Note 8. Income Taxes.)

— CSX updated its assessment of the unasserted liability exposure for asbestos and other claims, which resulted in recognition of a $38 million pretax, $23 million after tax, favorable change in estimate. (See Note 11. Casualty, Environmental, and Other Reserves.)

2004 — A charge of $71 million pretax, $44 million after tax, was recognized for separation expenses related to the management restructuring at Surface Transportation. (See Note 5. Management Restructuring.)

— Revenues, operating expenses and after tax income include approximately $63 million, $35 million and $6 million, respectively, representing consolidation of Four Rivers Transportation ("FRT"), a short-line railroad previously accounted for under the equity method, in conjunction with adoption of FASB Interpretation 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. Net equity earnings of FRT of approximately $4 million were included in other income in 2003.

— CSX completed a corporate reorganization of Conrail that resulted in the direct ownership of certain Conrail assets by CSXT. This transaction was accounted for at fair value and resulted in a net gain of $16 million after tax, which is included in other income. (See Note 2. Investment In and Integrated Rail Operations with Conrail.)

2003 — Income of $93 million pretax, $57 million after tax, was recognized as a cumulative effect of accounting change, representing the reversal of the accrued liability for crosstie removal costs in conjunction with the adoption of SFAS 143 Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. (See Note 1. Nature of Operations and Significant Accounting Policies.)

— CSX conveyed most of its interest in its domestic container-shipping subsidiary, CSX Lines, to a new venture formed with the Carlyle Group for approximately $300 million in cash and securities. CSX Lines was subsequently renamed Horizon. A deferred pretax gain of approximately $127 million resulting from the transaction is being recognized over the 12-year sub-lease term during which the Company continues to sublease vessels and equipment to Horizon. (See Note 3. Divestitures.)

— A charge of $232 million pretax, $145 million after tax, was recognized in conjunction with the change in estimate of casualty reserves to include an estimate of incurred but not reported claims for asbestos and other occupational injuries to be received over the next seven years. (See Note 11. Casualty, Environmental, and Other Reserves.)

— A charge of $108 million pretax, $67 million after tax, was recognized to account for CSX’s entering into two settlement agreements with Maersk that resolved all material disputes pending between the companies arising out of the 1999 sale of the international container-shipping assets. (See Note 19. Commitments and Contingencies.)
— A charge of $34 million pretax, $21 million after tax, was recognized as the initial charge for separation expenses related to the management restructuring announced in 2003. In addition, the Company recorded a credit of $22 million pretax, $13 million after tax related to revised estimates for railroad retirement taxes and the amount of benefits that will be paid to individuals under the 1991 and 1992 separation plans. The net restructuring charge of $22 million, $13 million after tax includes these items. (See Note 5. Management Restructuring.)

2002 — A charge of $83 million pretax, $43 million after tax, was recognized to write down indefinite lived intangible assets as a cumulative effect of an accounting change and consideration of minority interest.

2001 — A charge of $60 million pretax, $37 million after tax, was recognized to account for the settlement of the 1987 New Orleans tank car fire litigation.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2005 SURFACE TRANSPORTATION HIGHLIGHTS AND CHALLENGES

Operating Results

CSX follows a 52/53 week fiscal reporting calendar. This fiscal calendar allows every quarter to consistently end on a Friday and to be of equal duration (13 weeks). However, in order to maintain this type of reporting calendar, every sixth or seventh year (depending on the Gregorian calendar and when leap year falls), an extra week will be included in one quarter (a 14 week quarter) and, therefore, the full year will have 53 weeks. Fiscal 2004 included 53 weeks while fiscal year 2005 had 52 weeks. All comparisons below utilize these respective years’ reported results.

Revenue

Surface Transportation’s revenue in 2005 increased by 7% or $578 million to a record $8.6 billion while volume decreased by 2%, or 180,000 units. A portion of this volume decrease was due to the additional week included in fiscal year 2004. Revenue per unit increased by 10%, or $105, of which approximately 50% was due to continued pricing efforts and 50% was due to the Company’s fuel surcharge program and traffic mix.

Revenue increased across all commodities. A strong industrial economy, coupled with a shortage of rail, truck and barge capacity allowed for substantial price increases within all merchandise groups. While North American automotive production was relatively flat compared to the prior year, automotive revenue and revenue-per-unit benefited from contractual price escalations and higher fuel surcharges recoveries. Coal volumes and revenue improved significantly due to both increased electrical generation by CSXT-served utilities and price increases. The overall competitive position of Intermodal improved compared to the trucking industry due to shortage of truck capacity and higher fuel prices creating a stronger pricing environment for Intermodal services.
A detailed discussion of significant factors driving changes in volume and revenue is provided under the caption, “Results of Operations”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Years Ended</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52 Weeks</td>
<td>53 Weeks</td>
<td>$ Change</td>
<td>% Change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue and Expense</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Transportation Revenue</td>
<td>$8,618</td>
<td>$8,040</td>
<td>$ 578</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Expense</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor and Fringe</td>
<td>2,856</td>
<td>2,741</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials, Supplies and Other</td>
<td>1,822</td>
<td>1,759</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building and Equipment Rent</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>(49)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland Transportation</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>(50)</td>
<td>(18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conrail Rents, Fees and Services</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>(191)</td>
<td>(75)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restructuring Charge — Net</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>(71)</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for Casualty Claims</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Transportation Expense</td>
<td>7,069</td>
<td>7,047</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Transportation Operating Income</td>
<td>$1,549</td>
<td>$ 993</td>
<td>$ 556</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Ratio</td>
<td>82.0%</td>
<td>87.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

NM — not meaningful

Fuel Costs and Fuel Surcharge Program

Fuel expenses increased 19% to $783 million in 2005, net of $249 million of fuel hedging benefits, due principally to the rising price per gallon of diesel fuel. Fuel hedging activity had a $63 million favorable impact on fuel expense for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004. The average price per gallon of diesel fuel, including benefits from CSX’s fuel hedging program, was $1.31 in 2005 versus $1.10 in 2004.

Fuel cost recovery programs are commonly used within the transportation industry. When the cost of fuel exceeds certain thresholds, CSX shares a portion of the increase with its customers through its fuel surcharge program. In 2005, approximately 50% and 31% of CSX’s revenue was subject to fuel surcharges and cost escalation clauses (which include a fuel element), respectively. As existing contracts are renewed or new contracts are executed, CSX is increasing the number of contracts which include fuel surcharge mechanisms.

Operations

As illustrated in the table below, key service measures show mixed results in 2005. Average train velocity, system dwell and recrew performance declined, while on-time train originations improved compared to the prior year. Cars on line remained essentially flat, indicating that CSXT’s network remained relatively fluid through 2005.

The Company’s Surface Transportation businesses remained focused on producing continuous improvement through several key initiatives. In 2004, CSXT instituted a new network operating plan called the ONE Plan, which defines CSXT’s scheduled train network and is designed to improve service reliability and efficiency. Although anticipated benefits have not been realized on a sustained
CSXT believes the ONE Plan benefits will be attained and remains committed to this initiative. Efforts are ongoing to improve plan execution and to refine the operating plan to reflect changing traffic volumes, operating capabilities, and service requirements.

CSXT is investing to ensure that adequate resources are in place to achieve higher levels of plan execution. CSXT acquired 100 additional locomotives and started implementing a new locomotive plan in late 2005. Locomotive availability and reliability are critical to plan execution. CSXT also hired and trained approximately 2,000 train and engine employees to keep pace with high attrition rates, particularly conductors and engineers. Finally, a two-year program to expand capacity in key corridors commenced in 2005. This expanded capacity will accommodate future growth, improve service reliability and improve efficiency on the targeted corridors.

Hurricane Katrina, which struck the Gulf Coast in late August, had a significant impact on operations in the latter part of 2005. Approximately 100 miles of CSXT’s infrastructure was destroyed by the storm, effectively severing CSXT’s route to and from the New Orleans gateway. CSXT continued service to customers outside of the storm-affected area by rerouting rail traffic through alternative western gateways, including East St. Louis, IL, Memphis, TN, Birmingham, AL, Mobile, AL, and Montgomery, AL. Rerouted traffic added volume to busy corridors and resulted in additional network congestion, which adversely affected overall train velocity and system dwell. Service to local businesses on the Gulf Coast has been restored and previously rerouted Merchandise trains have returned to the New Orleans gateway. Operations should be normalized to pre-hurricane conditions by the end of the first quarter of 2006. A description of the corresponding financial statement implications is presented below under the caption “Hurricane Katrina”.

### RAIL OPERATING STATISTICS (a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Service Measurements</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Velocity, All Trains</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Miles Per Hour)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average System Dwell Time</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Hours) (b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Total Cars-On-Line</td>
<td>233,118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Time Originations</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Time Arrivals</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Recrews (Per Day)</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Amounts for 2005 are estimated.

(b) Beginning in October 2005, the American Association of Railroads adopted a new dwell calculation in an effort to standardize reporting across U.S. railroads. Beginning in 2006 and forward, CSX will adopt this new method.

### Safety

CSXT’s continued efforts to reduce the frequency of personal injuries and train accidents produced significant positive results in 2005. Continued focus on CSXT’s safety leadership and train accident prevention processes produced improvements in both its FRA personal injury frequency index and FRA train accident frequency compared to 2004 results. Both processes use training, awareness, compliance measurement and root cause analysis to prevent incidents and create a safer work environment.
RAIL OPERATING STATISTICS(a)

Fiscal Years Ended

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Measurements</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FRA Personal Injury Frequency Index (Per 200,000 Man Hours)</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRA Train Accidents Frequency (Per Million Train Miles)</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Amounts for 2005 are estimated.

Hurricane Katrina

The following table summarizes the financial impact of Hurricane Katrina during 2005: (See Note 6. Hurricane Katrina.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Statement Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Losses</strong> (Dollars in millions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Fixed assets damages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Interruption Incremental expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost profits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Amounts recorded as receivables from insurance companies. See tables in Note 6. Hurricane Katrina.

As of December 30, 2005, The Company has collected insurance payments of $70 million. Through February 2006, the Company has collected an additional $50 million in insurance recoveries for a total of $120 million.

Capital Investments

Surface Transportation capital expenditures totaled $1.1 billion and $960 million for fiscal years 2005 and 2004, respectively.

2005 CSX CORPORATION OTHER ITEMS

International Terminals Disposal

In February 2005, CSX sold its International Terminals business for net cash proceeds of $1,108 billion. CSX recognized a gain of $683 million pretax, $428 million after tax, for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2005. (See Note 4. Discontinued Operations.)

Debt Repurchase

In June 2005, CSX repurchased $1.0 billion of its publicly-traded notes. The consideration paid for these notes totaled $1.2 billion, including a pretax charge of $192 million for costs to repurchase the debt which primarily reflected the market value above original issue value. CSX used cash on hand to finance this repurchase. During 2005, CSX improved its overall financial position by reducing debt balances from $7.2 billion at December 31, 2004 to $6.0 billion as of December 30,
2005. Corresponding interest expense benefits associated with lower outstanding debt helped offset rising variable interest rates. See Note 12. Debt and Credit Agreements.

**Free Cash Flow**

Free cash flow is considered a non-GAAP financial measure under SEC Regulation G. Management believes, however, that free cash flow is important in evaluating its financial performance and measures an ability to generate cash without incurring additional external financings. Free cash flow is calculated by taking cash provided by operating activities less property additions, other investing activities, and dividends paid plus Conrail free cash flow and proceeds from sale of businesses. Free cash flow should be considered in addition to, rather than a substitute for, cash provided by operating activities. The following table reconciles free cash flow (non-GAAP measure) to cash provided by operating activities (GAAP measure):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free Cash Flow (a)</td>
<td>$1,030</td>
<td>$461</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Add (Deduct) Items from Consolidated Cash Flow Statements:**

- Short-term Investments — Net (b) 33 (247)
- Dividends Paid 93 86
- Net Cash Used in Investing Activities (c) 36 1,240

**Add (Deduct) Items Not Included in Consolidated Free Cash Flow:**

- Conrail Free Cash Flow (d) (103) (115)
- Other Deposits (e) 21 21

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities $1,110 $1,446

(a) Free cash flow as of December 30, 2005 includes net cash proceeds (net of taxes paid) from the International Terminals’ disposition of $640 million and net debt repurchase costs of $123 million.

(b) Short-term Investments — Net represents the net source or (use) of cash resulting from sales and purchases of short-term investments included in the investing section of the Consolidated Cash Flow Statements.

(c) Net Cash Used in Investing Activities includes property additions offset by net cash proceeds (before taxes paid) from the International Terminals’ disposition of $998 million and other investing items.

(d) Conrail Free Cash Flow represents CSX’s 42% economic interest which is not consolidated in the CSX amounts.

(e) Other Deposits are not included in the Company’s Free Cash Flow as these deposits represent assets that are set aside for certain future debt payments.

**2006 Expectations**

**Revenue**

During 2006, CSX expects revenue growth, based in part on continuance of the robust pricing environment experienced in 2005. In addition, the Company expects volume increases of 2-3%. Longer-term, CSX expects average annual revenue growth of 4-6% over the next five years due to
continued strong transportation demand, the Company’s emphasis on price, and volume growth. Lower contributory traffic will continue to be re-priced or replaced by longer haul, more profitable business. The amount of any revenue and volume increase will depend on several factors:

**Economy:** Favorable economic conditions are expected to continue based on the forecasts for key economic indicators such as the gross domestic product, industrial production and overall import levels. Generally, the Company’s revenue is fairly diversified and a large portion is relatively insensitive to significant fluctuations in the general economy. Changes, however, in the macroeconomic environment can impact overall revenue growth.

**Operational Performance:** Service is expected to improve with more consistent execution of the network operating plan, which should result in improved average velocity and more reliable service. Consequently, additional volume may be captured as freight car availability increases due to improved asset utilization and reduced transit times.

**Fuel Prices:** Because of the fuel surcharge program and cost escalation clauses in long-term contracts, which include a fuel element, a portion of the Company’s revenue varies with the price of fuel. In 2004, CSX suspended entering into new swaps in its fuel hedge program and fuel surcharges became the primary vehicle through which CSX manages fuel price volatility. Consequently, CSX anticipates increases in estimated fuel expenses to approach $100 million in 2006, depending on fluctuations in fuel prices.

**Operations**

CSXT expects key operating measurements to improve in 2006 versus the prior year as the initiatives mentioned previously gain momentum, and the emphasis on plan execution continues. Management believes current resource plans are adequate to handle anticipated business levels and to keep the network fluid. CSXT plans to hire approximately 1,860 new train and engine employees, which include locomotive engineers and conductors, to offset anticipated attrition. In addition, CSXT will acquire 100 new high-horsepower locomotives in 2006. Planned capacity and infrastructure investments will also support improved service reliability and volume growth as they are completed throughout the year.

**Capital Investments**

The Company continues to invest in its rail infrastructure, locomotives, freight cars and technology to accommodate safe, efficient and reliable train operations. In anticipation of future volume growth in key corridors, the Company plans to make strategic infrastructure investments as profitability targets are met. Investments include locomotives mentioned previously, track and terminal infrastructure expansion such as the Southeastern corridor between Chicago and Florida and the River Line from Albany to New York City. Investments in the Southeastern corridor are intended, among other things, to support Western coal sourcing from the Colorado, Illinois and Powder River basins, consumer goods shipments from West Coast ports and merchandise and automobile shipments. Investments in the River Line are designed to increase long-term capacity for the I-90 corridor between Chicago and New York.

As a result of these investments and the ongoing needs of the business, the Company expects capital spending of approximately $1.4 billion in 2006 and between $1.3 billion and $1.4 billion in 2007, excluding the impact of Hurricane Katrina.

**Free Cash Flow**

CSX will continue to focus on free cash flow in 2006, with a target of approximately $300 million, including approximately $100 million in anticipated insurance recoveries related to Hurricane Katrina.
Enterprise Risk Management

In the provision of transportation services, the Company must identify, manage and mitigate the inherent business risks that are an integral component of the Company’s business activities. Financial risk, legal, regulatory, and compliance issues, operational risk, and workforce planning are primary risks to the Company’s business. In 2005, the Company began implementation of a formal Enterprise Risk Management ("ERM") program in order to identify, quantify, monitor, and potentially mitigate these risks. ERM is a systematic and ongoing process used to help recognize and manage the critical risks that could impact the Company. ERM assists management’s allocation of financial resources and mitigation efforts and enhances risk oversight by the Board of Directors with oversight by the Board or Board Committees, management is responsible for the development and implementation of risk mitigation policies and directing day-to-day risk management.

The following important risk factors could have a material adverse effect on the Company’s results of operations, financial condition and liquidity, and could cause those results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in the Company’s forward-looking statements.

Competition

The Company experiences competition in the form of pricing, service, reliability and other factors from other transportation providers including railroads and motor carriers that operate similar routes across its service area, and to a less significant extent, barges, ships and pipelines. Transportation providers such as motor carriers and barges utilize public rights-of-way that are built and maintained by governmental entities while CSXT and other railroads must build and maintain rail networks using largely internal resources. The Company could be negatively impacted if the scope and quality of these alternative methods of transportation materially increase, or if legislation is passed providing materially greater opportunities for motor carriers with respect to size or weight restrictions.

Operations and Workforce Planning

In an environment of continued high demand for rail services, CSXT has experienced some network difficulties, including congestion and reduced velocity on its rail system. In addition, changes in demographics, training requirements and the availability of qualified personnel, could each have a negative impact on CSXT’s ability to meet demand for rail service. To meet these challenges, CSXT started implementation of the new network operating plan referred to as the ONE Plan, acquired additional locomotives, started implementing a new locomotive plan, and is hiring and training significant numbers of employees to keep pace with high attrition rates. In 2005, the Company also commenced a two-year program to expand capacity in key corridors. Though these steps have been taken, CSXT cannot be sure that these measures will fully or adequately address the operational issues. The Company also cannot be sure that it will not experience other difficulties related to network capacity, dramatic and unplanned increases in demand for rail service in one or more of our commodity groups, or other events that could have a negative impact on our operational efficiency, any one of which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition, and liquidity.

Employees and Labor Union Relationships

CSXT considers relations with its unions and union employees generally to be good. Most of CSXT’s employees are represented by labor unions and are covered by collective bargaining agreements. The bargaining agreements contain a moratorium clause that precludes serving new bargaining demands until a certain date. Generally speaking, these agreements are bargained nationally by the National Railway Labor Conference, so all bargaining on agreement changes
begins at the same time. The round of bargaining that started in 2000 was recently concluded when agreements were reached with all of the unions.

Most of the moratoriums negotiated in the 2000 round have expired and in November 2004 the parties were free under the collective bargaining agreements to serve new bargaining demands and start a new round of national bargaining. The current status of 2004 negotiations is that CSXT and the other railroads participating in national bargaining are in mediation with eight unions, are bargaining with four other unions and have not started bargaining with one union. The railroads had asked the National Mediation Board for a release from mediation with respect to seven of the eight unions in mediation, but now have informed the National Mediation Board that they are voluntarily withdrawing that request pending a decision in the lawsuit brought by the United Transportation Union which challenges certain aspects of the railroads’ bargaining demands. The outcome of the 2004 round of negotiations is uncertain at this time.

In the rail industry, negotiations have generally taken place over a number of years and previously have not resulted in any extended work stoppages. The agreements reached in the 2000 round of bargaining will continue to remain in effect until new agreements are reached. The parties are not permitted to either strike or lockout until the Railway Labor Act’s lengthy procedures (which include mediation, cooling-off periods, and the possibility of Presidential intervention) are exhausted.

Environmental Laws and Regulation

The Company’s operations are subject to wide-ranging federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations concerning, among other things, emissions to the air, discharges to water, the handling, storage, transportation and disposal of waste and other materials, and cleanup of hazardous material or petroleum releases. The Company generates and transports hazardous and non-hazardous waste and materials in its current operations, and it has done so in its former operations. In certain circumstances, environmental liability can extend to formerly owned or operated properties, leased properties and properties owned by third parties or Company predecessors, as well as to properties currently owned and used by the Company. Environmental liabilities have arisen and may also arise from claims asserted by adjacent landowners or other third parties in toxic tort litigation. The Company has been and may be subject to allegations or findings to the effect that it has violated, or is strictly liable under, environmental laws or regulations, and such violations can result in the Company’s incurring fines, penalties or costs relating to the cleanup of environmental contamination. Although the Company believes it has appropriately recorded current and long-term liabilities for known future environmental costs, it could incur significant costs as a result of any of the foregoing, and may be required to incur significant expenses to investigate and remediate known, unknown or future environmental contamination.

Fuel Costs

Fuel costs represent a significant expense of the Company’s Surface Transportation operations. Fuel prices can vary significantly from period to period and significant increases may have a material adverse effect on results of operations. Furthermore, fuel prices and supply are influenced considerably by international political and economic circumstances. A fuel surcharge recovery program is in place with a considerable number of customers. This program has historically permitted the Company’s Surface Transportation businesses to recover a significant portion of increased fuel costs. Despite the fuel surcharge program, the Company could be negatively impacted if a fuel supply shortage were to arise, whether due to OPEC or other production restrictions, lower refinery outputs, a disruption of oil imports or otherwise, and any subsequent price increases could further increase the potential impact.
Future Acts of Terrorism or War

Terrorist attacks, such as those that occurred in the United States in September 2001, in Spain in March 2004, or in England in July 2005, and any government response thereto or war may adversely affect results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. The Company’s rail lines and physical plant may be direct targets or indirect casualties of acts of terror or war, which could cause significant business interruption and result in increased costs and liabilities and decreased revenues and have a material adverse effect on results of operations, financial condition or liquidity. In addition, insurance premiums charged for some or all of the coverage currently maintained by the Company could increase dramatically or the coverage may no longer be available.

Regulation and Legislation

The Company is subject to various regulatory jurisdictions, including the Surface Transportation Board (“STB”) of the United States Department of Transportation (“DOT”), the Federal Railroad Administration of DOT and other state and federal regulatory agencies for a variety of economic, health, safety, labor, environmental, tax, legal and other matters. Legislation passed by Congress or regulations issued by these agencies can significantly affect the revenues, costs and profitability of the Company’s business. Moreover, the Company could be negatively affected by failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations. In addition, Congressional efforts to reduce or eliminate funding for Amtrak, if successful, could result in significant costs to CSXT, including, but not limited to: loss of revenue from trackage rights; uncertainty relating to operating agreements; loss of other contractual rights, such as indemnification; adverse network implications, such as potential coordination with numerous state commuter rail agencies; and increased payments into the Railroad Retirement system to supplement lost contributions from Amtrak and its employees.

In response to the heightened threat of terrorism in the wake of the September 11, 2001 attacks, federal, state and local governmental bodies are proposing and beginning to adopt various legislation and regulations relating to security issues that impact the transportation industry, including rules and regulations that affect the transportation of hazardous materials. For instance, the District of Columbia enacted legislation that prohibits rail carriers, including CSXT, from transporting certain hazardous materials through the District. CSXT, supported by the United States, is currently challenging the validity of this legislation in the federal courts. Although CSXT and the Federal Government have secured favorable rulings from the US Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit and the STB, legal proceedings continue, and the ultimate outcome is uncertain. The extent to which other governmental bodies will ultimately take similar or related steps is also uncertain. The Company could be negatively impacted by any legislation, regulations, or rules enacted by federal, state or local governmental bodies relating to security issues that affect rail and intermodal transportation.

Safety

The Company faces inherent business risk from exposure to property damage and personal injury claims resulting from train accidents, including derailments. The Company is also subject to exposure to occupational injury claims. While the Company is working diligently to enhance its safety programs and to continue to raise the awareness levels of its employees concerning safety, the Company cannot ensure that it will not experience any material property damage or personal injury or occupational claims in the future or that it will not incur significant costs to defend such claims. Additionally, the Company cannot ensure that existing claims will not suffer adverse development not currently reflected in reserve estimates, as the ultimate outcome of existing claims is subject to numerous factors outside of the Company’s control. The Company engages outside parties to assist with the evaluation of certain of the occupational and personal injury claims, and believes that it is adequately reserved to cover all potential claims. Final amounts determined to be due, however, on any outstanding matters may differ materially from the recorded reserves.
Severe Weather

The Company may face severe weather conditions and other natural occurrences, including floods, fires, hurricanes and earthquakes which may cause significant disruptions to the Company’s operations, and result in increased costs and liabilities and decreased revenues. For information on insurance issues resulting from the effects of Hurricane Katrina on the Company’s results of operations, financial position or liquidity, see Note 6. Hurricane Katrina.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements in this report and in other materials filed with the SEC, as well as information included in oral statements or other written statements made by the Company, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements include, among others, statements regarding:

- Expectations as to results of operations and operational improvements;
- Expectations as to the effect of claims, lawsuits, environmental costs, commitments, contingent liabilities, labor negotiations or agreements on the Company’s financial condition;
- Management’s plans, goals, strategies and objectives for future operations and other similar expressions concerning matters that are not historical facts, and management’s expectations as to future performance and operations and the time by which objectives will be achieved; and
- Future economic, industry or market conditions or performance.

Forward-looking statements are typically identified by words or phrases such as “believe”, “expect”, “anticipate”, “project”, and similar expressions. The Company cautions against placing undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which reflect its good faith beliefs with respect to future events and are based on information currently available to it as of the date the forward-looking statement is made. Forward-looking statements should not be read as a guarantee of future performance or results, and will not necessarily be accurate indications of the times that, or by which, such performance or results will be achieved.

Forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties and actual performance or results could differ materially from those anticipated by these forward-looking statements. The Company undertakes no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement. If the Company does update any forward-looking statement, no inference should be drawn that the Company will make additional updates with respect to that statement or any other forward-looking statements. The following important factors, in addition to those discussed elsewhere, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by these forward-looking statements:

- The Company’s success in implementing its operational objectives and improving Surface Transportation operating efficiency;
- Changes in operating conditions and costs or commodity concentrations;
- Material changes in domestic or international economic or business conditions, including those affecting the rail industry such as customer demand, effects of adverse economic conditions affecting shippers, and adverse economic conditions in the industries and geographic areas that consume and produce freight;
- Labor costs and labor difficulties, including stoppages affecting either the Company’s operations or the customers’ ability to deliver goods to the Company for shipment;
- The inherent risks associated with safety and security, including adverse economic or operational effects from terrorist activities and any governmental response;
• Changes in fuel prices;
• Legislative, regulatory, or legal developments involving taxation, including the outcome of tax claims and litigation; the potential enactment of initiatives to re-regulate the rail industry and the ultimate outcome of shipper and rate claims subject to adjudication;
• Competition from other modes of freight transportation such as trucking and competition and consolidation within the transportation industry generally;
• Natural events such as severe weather conditions, including floods, fire, hurricanes and earthquakes, or other unforeseen disruptions of the Company’s operations, systems, property or equipment; and
• The outcome of litigation and claims, including those related to environmental contamination, personal injuries and occupational illnesses.

Additionally, important factors resulting from Hurricane Katrina that may cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by these forward-looking statements include: the ability to fully restore service in affected areas of CSXT’s rail network; further assessments of the extent of storm-related losses; the price and availability of continued supplies of fuel; the effect of inefficiencies in Company operations and increased operating expenses resulting from storm-related disruptions; loss of customers to competitors that have not been affected by the storm to the same degree in the same locales; and the extent of insurance coverage for the Company’s losses. Other important assumptions and factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements are specified elsewhere in this report and in the Company’s other SEC reports, accessible on the SEC’s website at www.sec.gov and the Company’s website at www.csx.com.

FINANCIAL RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

2005 vs. 2004 Consolidated Results of Operations


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>52 Weeks 2005</th>
<th>53 Weeks 2004</th>
<th>$ Change (Dollars in millions)</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Revenue</td>
<td>$8,618</td>
<td>$8,040</td>
<td>$578</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Expense</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor and Fringe</td>
<td>2,864</td>
<td>2,744</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials, Supplies and Other</td>
<td>1,828</td>
<td>1,753</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building and Equipment Rent</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>(59)</td>
<td>(10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland Transportation</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>(50)</td>
<td>(18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conrail Rents, Fees &amp; Services</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>(191)</td>
<td>(75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restructuring Charge — Net</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>(71)</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for Casualty Claims</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Expense</td>
<td>7,068</td>
<td>7,040</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Income</td>
<td>$1,550</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$550</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.
NM — not meaningful
Operating Revenue

Operating Revenue increased $578 million for the year ended December 30, 2005 to $8.6 billion, compared to $8.0 billion for the prior year as continued yield management efforts coupled with the Company’s fuel surcharge program drove revenue-per-unit improvements across all major markets.

Operating Income

Operating Income for the year ended December 30, 2005 increased $550 million to $1.6 billion as a result of increased operating revenue. Operating expenses remained relatively flat compared to the prior year.

Other Income

Despite the absence of the $16 million net gain after tax related to the Conrail spin-off transaction, Other Income increased $29 million to $101 million for the year ended December 30, 2005, primarily as a result of gains from real estate sales.

Interest Expense

Interest Expense decreased $12 million to $423 million for the year ended December 30, 2005 compared to the prior year comparable period. In June 2005, CSX repurchased $1.0 billion of its publicly-traded notes. As a result of the debt repurchase, interest expense was reduced. The savings (from June through December) were mostly offset by rising variable interest rates.

Net Earnings

Earnings from Continuing Operations were $720 million, or $3.17 per diluted share, for the year ended December 30, 2005 compared to $418 million, or $1.87 per diluted share for the prior year.

Income tax expense increased $97 million to $316 million for the year ended December 30, 2005 as a result of higher Earnings from Continuing Operations. Additionally, the effective income tax rate decreased from 34% in 2004 to 30% in 2005 primarily attributable to legislative changes in Ohio that will gradually eliminate the Ohio corporate franchise tax.

Income from Discontinued Operations, net of tax, was $425 million, or $1.87 per diluted share, for the year ended December 30, 2005 compared to a loss of $79 million, or 35 cents per diluted share, for the prior year. CSX recognized income of $683 million pretax, $428 million after tax, for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2005 as a result of the sale of its International Terminals’ business. Discontinued Operations for the period ended December 31, 2004, includes International Terminals’ net earnings as well as additional income tax expense of $97 million related to undistributed foreign earnings.

Net Earnings were $1.1 billion, or $5.04 per diluted share, for the year ended December 30, 2005, compared to $339 million, or $1.52 per diluted share, for the prior year.
2004 vs. 2003 Consolidated Results of Operations


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>53 Weeks 2004</th>
<th>52 weeks 2003</th>
<th>$ Change</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consolidated</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Dollars in millions)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Revenue</strong></td>
<td>$8,040</td>
<td>$7,573</td>
<td>$467</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Expense</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor and Fringe</td>
<td>2,744</td>
<td>2,656</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials, Supplies and Other</td>
<td>1,753</td>
<td>1,622</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building and Equipment Rent</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland Transportation</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>(25)</td>
<td>(8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conrail Rents, Fees &amp; Services</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>(86)</td>
<td>(25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restructuring Charge — Net</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>223%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for Casualty Claims</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>(232)</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Loss on Sale</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>(108)</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operating Expense</strong></td>
<td>7,040</td>
<td>7,053</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Income</strong></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$520</td>
<td>$480</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

NM — not meaningful

Operating Revenue

Operating Revenue increased $467 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 to $8.0 billion, compared to $7.6 billion for the prior year primarily due to continued yield management strategies as all major markets showed year-over-year improvement in revenue-per-unit coupled with the Company’s fuel surcharge program.

Operating Income

Operating Income for the year ended December 31, 2004 increased $480 million to $1.0 billion, compared to $520 million in the prior year. Operating expenses decreased principally due to the absence of charges totaling $340 million taken in 2003 for (1) the Provision for Casualty Claims, and (2) the Additional Loss on Sale.

Other Income

Other Income decreased $21 million to $72 million for the year ended December 31, 2004, compared to $93 million for the prior year primarily due to a decline in income from real estate and resort operations. This decrease was partially offset by the net gain of $16 million, after tax, related to the Conrail spin-off transaction.
Interest Expense

Interest Expense increased $17 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to the prior year comparable period due to decreased benefits from interest rate swaps and the exchange of Conrail debt as a result of the Conrail spin-off transaction.

Net Earnings

Earnings from Continuing Operations were $418 million, or $1.87 per diluted share, for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to $137 million, or 63 cents per diluted share, for the prior year.

Losses from Discontinued Operations, net of tax, were $79 million, or 35 cents per diluted share, for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to earnings of $52 million, or 23 cents per diluted share, for the prior year. Discontinued Operations for the period ended December 31, 2004, include International Terminals' net earnings as well as additional tax expense of $97 million related to undistributed foreign earnings.

The year ended December 26, 2003 includes an after-tax cumulative effect of accounting change benefit of $57 million, related to the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard ("SFAS") 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations ("SFAS 143").

Net Earnings were $339 million, or $1.52 per diluted share, for the year ended December 31, 2004, compared to $246 million, or $1.11 per diluted share, for the prior year.

The increase in the 2004 effective income tax rate compared to the prior year is primarily attributable to a larger percentage of total pretax earnings being attributable to Conrail equity earnings in 2004 than in 2003. Additionally, 2003 income tax expense was favorably impacted by the cumulative effect of changes in the Company's deferred effective state income tax rates.

2005 vs. 2004 Rail Results of Operations


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rail</th>
<th>52 Weeks 2005 (Dollars in millions)</th>
<th>53 Weeks 2004 (Dollars in millions)</th>
<th>$ Change</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Revenue</td>
<td>$7,256</td>
<td>$6,694</td>
<td>$562</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Expense</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor and Fringe</td>
<td>2,777</td>
<td>2,663</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials, Supplies and Other</td>
<td>1,622</td>
<td>1,540</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>779</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building and Equipment Rent</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>(28)</td>
<td>(7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland Transportation</td>
<td>(433)</td>
<td>(421)</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conrail Rents, Fees &amp; Services</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>(191)</td>
<td>(75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restructuring Charge — Net</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>(67)</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for Casualty Claims</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Expense</td>
<td>5,955</td>
<td>5,853</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Income</td>
<td>$1,301</td>
<td>$841</td>
<td>$460</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Ratio</td>
<td>82.1%</td>
<td>87.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>$23,177</td>
<td>$22,927</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

NM — not meaningful
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Merchandise</th>
<th>Volume (thousands)</th>
<th>Revenue (dollars in millions)</th>
<th>Revenue Per Unit (dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phosphates and Fertilizers</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>$ 351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest Products</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food and Consumer</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Products</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>1,089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Markets</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Merchandise</td>
<td>2,888</td>
<td>2,987</td>
<td>4,228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal, Coke and Iron Ore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>1,726</td>
<td>1,659</td>
<td>1,992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coke and Iron Ore</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Coal, Coke and Iron Ore</td>
<td>1,809</td>
<td>1,730</td>
<td>2,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Rail</td>
<td>5,185</td>
<td>5,224</td>
<td>$7,256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Operating Revenue

CSX categorizes rail revenue in three main lines of business: merchandise, automotive and coal, coke and iron ore. Overall revenue increased $562 million, or 8%, to $7.3 billion in 2005 from $6.7 billion in 2004.

Merchandise

All merchandise markets experienced revenue growth primarily driven by yield improvement efforts and the Company’s fuel surcharge program. Overall merchandise revenue grew 6% to a record $4.2 billion while revenue-per-unit also achieved record levels increasing 9%. Volume declined 3% due to several factors including an additional week included in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, impacts of Hurricane Katrina and shedding of low margin traffic. Food and consumer experienced volume growth due to a continued shift of traffic previously handled by trucks to rail and the acquisition of newly finished railcars to other shippers. Despite strong soybean and corn harvests and increased export demand for grain, volume in agricultural products was flat due to declines in processed products such as flour, sweeteners, and vegetable oils. Emerging markets volumes and revenue were negatively impacted by a significant reduction in shipments of high revenue per unit military traffic. While metals volumes decreased by 5%, the pricing environment remained very favorable and resulted in the highest revenue per unit growth within merchandise at 17%.

Forest products also experienced high revenue per unit increases because of both a favorable pricing environment and a favorable mix shift from short haul low margin traffic towards longer haul more profitable traffic. In addition to production disruption from both Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, chemical volumes were negatively impacted by high natural gas prices and generally high raw materials inventories. Phosphate and fertilizer volumes were down due to high international inventories of phosphates during the first half of the year and several CSX-served plant curtailments.
or closures during 2005. Both the chemicals and phosphate and fertilizer groups experienced high revenue per unit increases of 8% and 9%, respectively.

**Automotive**

Overall volume was down 4% as North American light vehicle production was flat compared to the prior year. However, vehicle production for the Big 3 was unfavorable 6%, including permanent closures of three General Motors plants served by the Company. Growth in new shipments from the newly-opened Hyundai plant in Montgomery, AL continued to partially offset these declines. Revenue-per-unit grew 5% on strong yield management and increases in fuel surcharge rates and coverage resulting in record revenue-per-unit levels. Revenue-per-unit gains more than offset volume weakness and drove overall revenue growth of 1% compared to the prior year.

**Coal, Coke & Iron Ore**

Revenues increased by 17% to a record $2.1 billion due to both strong volume growth of 5%, and revenue per unit improvements of 12% driven by a favorable pricing environment and the Company’s fuel surcharge program. Most markets experienced strong demand as utility inventory levels remained below target levels. CSXT also reached a settlement agreement in a rate case that resulted in an additional $17 million of revenue in 2005.

**Operating Expense**

Total rail operating expenses increased $102 million, or 2% for the year ended December 30, 2005, compared to 2004.

Labor and Fringe Expense increased $114 million or 4% compared to the prior year primarily attributable to increases in incentive compensation and the effects of inflation.

Materials, Supplies and Other expenses increased $82 million, or 5%, primarily due to the effects of inflation, higher reserve requirements for uncollectible accounts, and increased legal fees resulting from the litigation and resolution of certain matters.

Depreciation expense increased $115 million or 17% compared to the prior year primarily attributable to additional assets received as a result of the Conrail spin-off transaction. The rail segment had property additions of approximately $1.1 billion.

Fuel expense increased $127 million or 19% in 2005, net of $249 million of fuel hedging benefits, compared to the prior year primarily due to fuel price increases. Fuel hedging activity had a $63 million favorable impact on fuel expense for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004. The average price per gallon of diesel fuel, including benefits from CSX’s fuel hedging program, was $1.31 in 2005 versus $1.10 in 2004. In addition, the fuel surcharge programs and contractual cost escalation clauses used in most multi-year customer contracts partially offset fuel cost increases.

Building and Equipment Rent decreased $28 million or 7% in 2005, compared to the prior year, as a result of decreased overall volume and lower locomotive lease expense.

Inland transportation, which represents Intermodal’s use of the CSXT rail network, decreased $12 million compared to the prior year. The offsetting expense associated with this amount is reflected in Intermodal’s operating expense, and is thus eliminated at the consolidated level.

Conrail Rents, Fees & Services expense decreased $191 million or 75% in 2005, compared to the prior year, as a result of the Conrail spin-off transaction, which decreased rents paid to Conrail as assets previously leased from Conrail are now owned directly by CSXT. Additionally, Conrail received a tax benefit from the resolution of various federal income tax audit adjustments during 2005, which increases CSX’s equity earnings and offsets Conrail Rents, Fees & Services. (See Note 2. Investment In and Integrated Rail Operations with Conrail.)
Rail operating expense for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2005, included net favorable reserve adjustments of $38 million related to decreasing claim trends. This adjustment is reflected as “Provision for Casualty Claims” in the operating expense detail above. (See Note 11. Casualty, Environmental and Other Reserves.)

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, the rail business segment recorded expense of $67 million for separation expense, pension and postretirement benefit curtailment charges, stock compensation expense and other related expenses. (See Note 5. Management Restructuring.)

Operating Income

Operating income increased $460 million to $1.3 billion in 2005, compared to $841 million in 2004 primarily due to an 8% increase in revenue.

2004 vs. 2003 Rail Results of Operations


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rail</th>
<th>53 weeks 2004</th>
<th>52 weeks 2003</th>
<th>$ Change</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Revenue</td>
<td>$6,694</td>
<td>$6,182</td>
<td>$512</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor and Fringe</td>
<td>2,663</td>
<td>2,522</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials, Supplies and Other</td>
<td>1,540</td>
<td>1,358</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building and Equipment Rent</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland Transportation</td>
<td>(421)</td>
<td>(399)</td>
<td>(22)</td>
<td>(5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conrail Rents, Fees &amp; Services</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>(86)</td>
<td>(25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for Casualty Claims</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>(229)</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restructuring Charge — Net</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Expense</td>
<td>5,853</td>
<td>5,641</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Income</td>
<td>$841</td>
<td>$541</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Ratio</td>
<td>87.4%</td>
<td>91.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>$22,927</td>
<td>$16,333</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

NM — not meaningful
Rail Traffic, Revenue and Revenue per Unit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Volume (thousands)</th>
<th>Revenue (dollars in millions)</th>
<th>Revenue Per Unit (dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Merchandise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphates and Fertilizers</td>
<td>471 460</td>
<td>$ 341 $ 329</td>
<td>$ 724 $ 715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals</td>
<td>380 348</td>
<td>511 435</td>
<td>1,345 1,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest Products</td>
<td>465 459</td>
<td>681 622</td>
<td>1,465 1,355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food and Consumer</td>
<td>245 242</td>
<td>377 351</td>
<td>1,539 1,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Products</td>
<td>356 363</td>
<td>512 497</td>
<td>1,438 1,369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals</td>
<td>564 541</td>
<td>1,069 989</td>
<td>1,895 1,828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Markets</td>
<td>506 476</td>
<td>504 471</td>
<td>996 989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Merchandise</td>
<td>2,987 2,889</td>
<td>3,995 3,694</td>
<td>1,337 1,279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive</td>
<td>507 529</td>
<td>835 853</td>
<td>1,647 1,612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal, Coke and Iron Ore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>1,659 1,570</td>
<td>1,714 1,543</td>
<td>1,033 983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coke and Iron Ore</td>
<td>71 65</td>
<td>66 57</td>
<td>930 877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Coal, Coke and Iron Ore</td>
<td>1,730 1,635</td>
<td>1,780 1,600</td>
<td>1,029 979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>84 35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Rail</td>
<td>5,224 5,053</td>
<td>$6,694 $6,182</td>
<td>$1,281 $1,223</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Operating Revenue

CSXT categorizes revenues in three main areas: merchandise, automotive and coal, coke and iron ore. Overall revenues were up $512 million to $6.7 billion in 2004 from $6.2 billion in 2003.

Merchandise

Merchandise showed strength during 2004 with revenue up 8% on 3% volume growth. All markets showed year-over-year revenue improvement due to pricing, yield management strategies and the Company’s fuel surcharge program. All markets, except agricultural products, experienced increased volumes. Metals realized the most improvement, with 17% revenue growth on 9% volume growth. Strong demand existed across all steel commodity lines as steel production and mill utilization rates were at high levels. Forest products revenue grew 9% on 1% volume growth as a result of strength in panel and lumber markets driven by strong residential construction. Food and consumer revenues grew 7% on 1% volume growth. Food and consumer and forest products volumes were favorable year-over-year primarily due to the 53 week fiscal reporting calendar in 2004. Chemicals revenue grew 8% on 4% volume growth driven by strong customer demand and a rebound in U.S. chemical exports. Emerging markets revenues grew 7% on 6% volume growth, largely driven by strength in aggregates, cement, lime and fly ash. New industrial development is helping serve off — rail markets. Phosphate and fertilizer revenues grew 4% on 2% volume growth. Fertilizer production levels were mixed as high fertilizer prices and hurricane disruptions caused curtailments in production. Although ethanol shipments contributed to growth in agricultural products, revenue increased 3% on declining volume due to a decline in export and bean markets.
Automotive

Volumes declined largely due to a 100,000 unit year-over-year decrease in North American light vehicle production. Downtime at CSXT-served plants also contributed to volume weakness. Price increases drove improvements in revenue-per-unit.

Coal, Coke and Iron Ore

Coal, coke and iron ore revenue increased 11% on 6% volume growth. All lines of business reflect year-over-year revenue-per-unit improvements. Volume growth was driven by gains in export, metallurgical and utility markets. Strength in exports was due to high demand primarily related to Asian steel market needs.

Other

Other revenue for the fiscal year 2004 includes $63 million for FRT, a short-line railroad consolidated in 2004 pursuant to Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Interpretation 46 Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. Prior to 2004, FRT was accounted for under the equity method.

Operating Expense

Total rail operating expenses increased $212 million, or 4% for the year ended December 31, 2004, compared to 2003.

Labor and Fringe Expense increased $141 million or 6% compared to the prior year primarily attributable to the effects of inflation, consolidation of FRT and increases in incentive compensation plan and pension costs. These costs were partially offset by benefits realized from reduced staffing levels.

Materials, Supplies and Other expenses increased $182 million, or 13%, year-over-year primarily due to increased maintenance and crew travel costs, property and sales taxes, coupled with higher track, locomotive, car repair and other costs. Additionally, due to the adoption of SFAS 143 as discussed below, depreciation expense has been decreased and materials, supplies and other expense increased to account for the discontinuance of the accrual of cross-tie removal as a component of depreciation expense.

Depreciation expense increased $85 million or 15% compared to the prior year primarily attributable to assets received as a result of the Conrail spin-off transaction. The rail segment had property additions of approximately $1 billion, but the additional depreciation was offset by the reduction in depreciation associated with the adoption of SFAS 143. In conjunction with the group-life method of accounting for asset costs, CSXT historically accrued crosstie removal costs as a component of depreciation, which is not permitted under SFAS 143. The effect is to decrease depreciation expense and increase Materials, Supplies and Other expense.

Fuel expense increased $90 million or 16% in 2004, net of $63 million of fuel hedging benefits, compared to the prior year primarily due to fuel price increases, while increased volumes were also a factor. The average price per gallon of diesel fuel, including benefits from CSX’s fuel hedging program, was $1.10 in 2004 versus $0.96 in 2003. In addition, the fuel surcharge programs and contractual cost escalation clauses used in most multi-year customer contracts partially offset fuel cost increases.

Building and Equipment Rent remained relatively consistent year-over-year with the slight increase in 2004 resulting from unfavorable asset utilization.

Inland transportation, which represents Intermodal’s use of the CSXT rail network, reduced operating expense by $22 million or 6% year-over-year. The offsetting expense associated with this amount is reflected in Intermodal’s operating expense, and thus eliminates at the consolidated level.
Conrail Rents, Fees & Services expense decreased $86 million or 25% in 2004, compared to the prior year, as a result of the Conrail spin-off transaction, which decreased rents paid to Conrail as assets previously leased from Conrail are now owned directly by CSXT. (See Note 2. Investment In and Integrated Rail Operations with Conrail.)

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, the rail business segment recorded expense of $67 million for separation expense, pension and postretirement benefit curtailment charges, stock compensation expense and other related expenses. (See Note 5. Management Restructuring.)

Rail operating expense for the fiscal year ended December 26, 2003, included a charge of $229 million recorded in conjunction with CSXT’s change in estimate for its casualty reserves to include an estimate of incurred but not reported claims for asbestos and other occupational injuries that could be received over the next seven years. This charge is reflected as “Provision for Casualty Claims” in the operating expense detail above. (See Note 11. Casualty, Environmental and Other Reserves.)

Operating Income

Operating income increased $300 million to $841 million in 2004, compared to $541 million in 2003 primarily due to an 8% increase in revenue coupled with the absence of $229 million provision for casualty claims, offset by $67 million of management restructuring charges and other expense increases as previously discussed.

2005 vs. 2004 Intermodal Results of Operations


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intermodal</th>
<th>52 Weeks 2005</th>
<th>53 Weeks 2004</th>
<th>$ Change</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Revenue</td>
<td>$1,362</td>
<td>$1,346</td>
<td>$16</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor and Fringe</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials, Supplies and Other</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>(19)</td>
<td>(9)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building and Equipment Rent</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>(21)</td>
<td>(14)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland Transportation</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>(5)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restructuring Charge — Net</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Expense</td>
<td>1,114</td>
<td>1,194</td>
<td>(80)</td>
<td>(7)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Income</td>
<td>$248</td>
<td>$152</td>
<td>$96</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Ratio</td>
<td>81.8%</td>
<td>88.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>$305</td>
<td>$313</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

NM — not meaningful
Intermodal Traffic, Revenue and Revenue-per-Unit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Volume (thousands); Revenue (dollars in millions), Revenue per unit (dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International</td>
<td>1,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Intermodal</td>
<td>2,165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

**Operating Revenue**

Intermodal revenue improved 1% due to higher fuel surcharge rates, customer coverage and continued emphasis on multiple ancillary charges, including premise use and per diem charges related to asset utilization. In the domestic market, continued focus on longer hauls in higher density lanes coupled with sustained strength in pricing increased revenue per unit by 7%. Overall, domestic volumes were down compared to the prior year due to ongoing yield management efforts. Strong demand from the parcel sector partially offset volume declines and increased revenue. International volumes and revenue remained flat compared to the prior year. Pricing initiatives were offset by a reduction in long-haul shipments from the west coast. The shift of traffic to east coast ports caused an increase in shorter-haul moves, which negatively impacted revenue per unit.

**Operating Expense**

Intermodal expenses decreased 7% compared to the prior year due to continued service improvements, an emphasis on equipment utilization, and decrease in volume as discussed above. A decline in trucking expenses associated with line-haul moves led to a significant decrease in operating costs associated with a reduction in services. Several productivity initiatives within terminal operations generated efficiencies driving per-unit cost reductions compared to the prior year.
**2004 vs. 2003 Intermodal Results of Operations**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>53 Weeks 2004</th>
<th>52 Weeks 2003</th>
<th>$ Change (Dollars in millions)</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intermodal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Revenue</td>
<td>$1,346</td>
<td>$1,264</td>
<td>$82</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Expense</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor and Fringe</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials, Supplies and Other</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building and Equipment Rent</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland Transportation</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restructuring Charge — Net</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operating Expense</strong></td>
<td>$1,194</td>
<td>$1,154</td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Income</td>
<td>$152</td>
<td>$110</td>
<td>$42</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Ratio</td>
<td>88.7%</td>
<td>91.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>$313</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

NM — not meaningful

### Intermodal Traffic, Revenue and Revenue Per Unit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Volume (thousands)</th>
<th>Revenue (dollars in millions)</th>
<th>Revenue Per Unit (dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intermodal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>1,028</td>
<td>1,060</td>
<td>$795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International</td>
<td>1,278</td>
<td>1,170</td>
<td>501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Intermodal</strong></td>
<td>2,306</td>
<td>2,230</td>
<td>$1,346</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

**Operating Revenue**

Intermodal revenue improved 6% on a 3% volume increase due to strength in most business commodities. Strong gains in truck brokerage continued with the implementation of deal space technology, which is incorporated into the broader Pegasus system. Deal space technology is designed as a pricing, scheduling and capacity reservation system and provides CSX Trucking solicitors real-time information on costs, competitive prices, preferred routes and service. The parcel and international sectors also continued to show year-over-year strength. The parcel group showed improvement in most markets while international volume gains were based on general import growth. The domestic channel did not experience year-over-year growth due to Intermodal’s Network Simplification Initiative which led to overall service improvements across the network, limited some terminals to containers only and improved the profitability of the traffic.
Operating Expense

Intermodal operating expense increased $40 million compared to the prior year due primarily to increases in volume and inflationary factors. Operating income increased to $152 million in 2004, compared to $110 million in the prior year, a 38% improvement.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents decreased $213 million to $309 million at December 30, 2005, from $522 million at December 31, 2004. The decrease in cash is primarily due to the net effect of total consolidated debt repaid of $1.3 billion (see Debt Repurchase below) offset by the net cash proceeds from the sale of CSX’s International Terminals business of $1.1 billion.

Debt Repurchase

In June 2005, CSX repurchased $1.0 billion of its publicly-traded notes. The total consideration paid for these notes totaled $1.2 billion, which includes a pretax charge of $192 million for costs to repurchase the debt which primarily reflects the market value above original issue value. CSX used cash proceeds from the disposition of CSX’s International Terminals business to finance this repurchase. During 2005, CSX improved its overall financial position by reducing debt balances from $7.2 billion at December 31, 2004 to $6.0 billion as of December 30, 2005. As a result of the debt repurchase, CSX expects interest expense savings of approximately $68 million per year. However, these savings will be offset by rising short-term interest rates associated with $1.1 billion of variable rate debt which terms are based on LIBOR. (See Note 12. Debt and Credit Agreements.)

Convertible Debentures

In October 2005, holders had the option to require CSX to purchase their debentures at a purchase price equal to the accreted value of $852.48 per $1,000 principal amount at maturity. As a result, CSX purchased an immaterial aggregate principal amount at maturity of the debentures with cash on hand. The debentures allow holders to require CSX to purchase their debentures in October 2006, October 2008, October 2011, and October 2016, at a purchase price equal to the accreted value of the debentures at the time. The debentures are classified in Current Maturities of Long-term Debt in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Debt Issuances

In August 2004, CSX issued $300 million of floating rate notes with a maturity date of August 3, 2006. The notes bear interest at a rate that varies with LIBOR plus an applicable spread. These notes are not redeemable prior to maturity.

Operating Activities

Cash provided by operations in 2005 was $1.1 billion, compared to $1.4 billion for 2004. Earnings from Continuing Operations were substantially higher than the previous year. However, the Company made significant tax payments attributable to these higher earnings as well as related taxes paid on the sale of CSX’s International Terminals business in 2005.

Investing Activities

Net cash used by investing activities was $36 million in 2005 compared to $1.2 billion in 2004. Included in investing activities is cash spent for capital additions of $1.1 billion and $1.0 billion in 2005 and 2004 respectively. Offsetting the cash spent in 2005, are the net cash proceeds from the disposition of CSX’s International Terminals business.
**Financing Activities**

Financing activities used cash of $1.3 billion during 2005 compared to providing cash of $20 million during 2004 primarily as a result of the debt repurchase completed in June 2005, and an increase in dividends paid, which was partially offset by the proceeds from the exercise of stock options.

**Dividends**

CSX paid $93 million, $86 million, and $86 million in dividends in fiscal years 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The increase in 2005 is a result of the Company increasing dividends by 30%, in the fourth quarter, from 10 cents to 13 cents per share.

**Working Capital**

The Company’s working capital at December 30, 2005 was a deficit of $607 million, compared to a deficit of $314 million at December 31, 2004, primarily driven by reductions in cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments combined with the absence of net assets from CSX’s former International Terminals business. A working capital deficit is not unusual for the Company and other railroads and does not indicate a lack of liquidity. The Company continues to maintain adequate current assets to satisfy current liabilities and maturing obligations when they come due and has sufficient financial capacity to manage its day-to-day cash requirements and any anticipated obligations arising from legal, tax and other regulatory rulings.

**Credit Facilities**

CSX has a $1.2 billion five-year unsecured revolving credit facility expiring in May 2009 and a $400 million 364-day unsecured revolving credit facility expiring in May 2006. The facilities were entered into in May 2004 and May 2005, respectively, on terms substantially similar to the facilities they replaced. Generally, these facilities may be used for general corporate purposes, to support CSX’s commercial paper, and for working capital. Neither of the credit facilities was drawn on as of December 30, 2005. Commitment fees and interest rates payable under the facilities are similar to fees and rates available to comparably rated investment-grade borrowers. In 2005, CSX paid approximately $2 million for total fees associated with the undrawn facility. These credit facilities allow for borrowings at floating (LIBOR-based) rates, plus a spread, depending upon CSX’s senior unsecured debt ratings. At December 30, 2005, CSX was in compliance with all covenant requirements under the facilities.

**Stock Repurchases**

The Board of Directors has authorized CSX to purchase shares of its common stock from time to time in an amount up to approximately $150 million in any fiscal year. Pursuant to this authority, CSX intends to purchase shares of CSX common stock in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions.

**Credit Ratings**

As of December 30, 2005, CSX’s long-term unsecured debt obligations were rated BBB and Baa2 by Standard and Poor’s and Moody’s Investor Service, respectively. In May 2005, Standard and Poor’s raised CSX’s short-term rating from A-3 to A-2 and revised the outlook from negative to stable. In July 2004, Moody’s Investor Service reaffirmed CSX’s short and long-term unsecured debt ratings, but adjusted the outlook from stable to negative. CSX’s short-term commercial paper program is rated A-2 and P-2 by Standard and Poor’s and Moody’s Investor Service, respectively. If CSX’s long-term unsecured bond ratings were reduced to BBB- and Baa3, its undrawn borrowing costs under the $1.2 billion and $400 million revolving credit facilities would not materially increase. If CSX’s short-term commercial paper ratings were reduced to A-3 and P-3, it would increase CSX’s
borrowing costs in the commercial paper market and reduce its access to this source of funds because of the more limited demand for lower rated commercial paper. CSX had no commercial paper outstanding at December 30, 2005 or December 31, 2004.

**Shelf Registration Statements**

CSX currently has $900 million of capacity under an effective shelf registration that may be used, subject to market conditions and board authorization, to issue debt or equity securities at CSX’s discretion. CSX presently intends to use the proceeds from the sale of any securities issued under its shelf registration statement to finance cash requirements, including refinancing existing debt as it matures. While CSX seeks to give itself flexibility with respect to meeting such needs, there can be no assurance that market conditions would permit CSX to sell such securities on acceptable terms at any given time, or at all.

**Schedule of Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments**

The following table sets forth maturities of the Company’s contractual obligations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Obligation</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Thereafter</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Debt (See Note 12)</td>
<td>$1,016</td>
<td>$595</td>
<td>$633</td>
<td>$296</td>
<td>$94</td>
<td>$3,474</td>
<td>$6,108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Leases — Net (See Note 19)</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreements with Conrail (See Note 2)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase Obligations (See Note 19)</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>5,855</td>
<td>7,708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Contractual Obligations</td>
<td>$1,607</td>
<td>$1,201</td>
<td>$1,111</td>
<td>$734</td>
<td>$531</td>
<td>$9,610</td>
<td>$14,863</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following table sets forth maturities of the Company’s other commitments:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Obligation</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Thereafter</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unused Lines of Credit (See Note 12)</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guarantees (See Note 19)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Other Commitments</td>
<td>$464</td>
<td>$37</td>
<td>$16</td>
<td>$1,217</td>
<td>$16</td>
<td>$27</td>
<td>$1,777</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

There are no off-balance sheet arrangements that are reasonably likely to have a material effect on the Company’s financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

**Investment In and Integrated Rail Operations with Conrail**

See background, accounting and financial reporting effects and summary financial information in Note 2. Investment In and Integrated Rail Operations with Conrail.

**Critical Accounting Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires that management make estimates in reporting the amounts of certain assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of certain revenues and expenses during the reporting
period. Actual results may differ from those estimates. Consistent with the prior year, significant estimates using management judgment are made for the following areas:

- Casualty, Environmental and Legal Reserves
- Pension and Postretirement Medical Plan Accounting
- Depreciation Policies for Assets Under the Group-Life Method
- Income Taxes

These estimates and assumptions are discussed with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors on a regular basis.

Casualty, Environmental and Legal Reserves

Casualty

Casualty reserves represent accruals for personal injury and occupational injury claims. Currently, none of these claims are covered by insurance since no individual claim value is expected to exceed the Company’s self-insured retention amount. Personal injury and occupational claims are presented on a gross basis in accordance with SFAS 5, *Accounting for Contingencies* (“SFAS 5”). To the extent the value of an individual claim were to exceed the self-insured retention amount, CSX would present the liability on a gross basis with a corresponding receivable for insurance recoveries. Most of the claims are related to CSXT unless otherwise noted.

*Personal Injury*

CSXT retains an independent actuarial firm to assist management in assessing the value of CSXT’s claims and cases. An analysis is performed by the independent actuarial firm semi-annually and is reviewed by management. The methodology used by the actuary includes a development factor to reflect growth or reduction in the value of CSXT’s personal injury claims. This methodology is based largely on CSXT’s historical claims and settlement activity. Actual results may vary from estimates due to the type and severity of the injury, costs of medical treatments, and uncertainties in litigation.

While the final outcome of casualty-related matters cannot be predicted with certainty, considering among other things, the meritorious legal defenses available and liabilities that have been recorded, it is the opinion of CSX management that none of these items, when finally resolved, will have a material adverse effect on the Company’s results of operations, financial condition, or liquidity. However, should a number of these items occur in the same period, they could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial condition or liquidity in a particular quarter or fiscal year.

*Occupational*

Occupational claims include allegations of exposure to certain materials in the work place, such as asbestos, solvents, and diesel fuel, or alleged physical injuries, such as repetitive stress injuries, carpal tunnel syndrome or hearing loss.

*Occupational — Asbestos*

The Company is party to a number of occupational claims by employees alleging exposure to asbestos in the workplace. The heaviest possible exposure for employees was due to work conducted in and around steam locomotive engines that were phased out in the 1950’s, according to rail industry statistics. However, other types of exposures, including exposure from locomotive component parts and building materials, continued until it was substantially eliminated by 1985.
Asbestos claim filings against the Company have been inconsistent. Accordingly, while the Company had concluded that a probable loss had occurred, prior to 2003 it did not believe it could estimate the range of reasonably possible loss because of the lack of experience with such claims and the lack of detailed employment records for the population of exposed employees. Claim filings increased and when they continued into 2003, the Company concluded that an estimate for incurred but not reported ("IBNR") asbestos exposure liability needed to be recorded. Currently, there is recurring pending legislation regarding the establishment of an asbestos liability trust fund. The impact to the Company of this pending legislation is unknown at this time.

**2003 Provision for Asbestos Change in Estimate**

In 2003, the Company changed its estimate of asbestos reserves to include an estimate of IBNR claims and retained a third party specialist who has extensive experience in performing asbestos and other occupational studies to assist in this estimate. The analysis is performed by the specialist semi-annually and is reviewed by management. The objective of the analysis is to determine the number of estimated IBNR claims and the estimated average cost per claim to be received over the next seven years. Seven years was determined by management to be the time period in which probable claim filings and claim values could be estimated with more certainty.

The Company, with the assistance of the third party specialist, first determined its potentially exposed population from which it was able to derive the estimated number of IBNR claims. The estimated average cost per claim was then determined utilizing recent actual average cost per claim data and national industry data. Based on the assessment, in September 2003 the Company recorded an undiscounted $141 million pre-tax charge for unasserted asbestos claims. Key elements of the assessment included the following:

- An estimate was computed using a ratio of Company employee data to national employment for select years during the period 1938-2001. The Company used railroad industry historical census data because it did not have detailed employment records in order to compute the population of potentially exposed employees.
- The projected incidence of disease was estimated based on epidemiological studies using employees’ age and the duration and intensity of potential exposure while employed.
- An estimate of the future anticipated claims filing rate by type of disease (non-malignant, cancer and mesothelioma) was computed using the Company’s average historical claim filing rates for a 2-year calibration period (i.e. the years management felt were representative of future filing rates).
- An estimate of the future anticipated dismissal rate by type of claim was computed using the Company’s historical average dismissal rates observed for two years.
- An estimate of the future anticipated settlement by type of disease was computed using the Company’s historical average of dollars paid per claim for pending and future claims using the average settlement by type of incident observed during a 3-year time period.

From these assumptions, the Company projected the incidence of each type of disease to the estimated population to determine the total estimated number of employees that could potentially assert a claim. Historical claim filing rates were applied for each type of disease to the total number of employees that could potentially assert a claim to determine the total number of anticipated claim filings by disease type. Historical dismissal rates, which represent claims that are closed without payment, were deducted to calculate the number of future claims by disease type that would likely require payment by the Company. Finally, the number of such claims was multiplied by the average settlement value to estimate the Company’s future liability for IBNR asbestos claims.

Asbestos claim filings are typically sporadic and may include large batches of claims solicited by law firms. To reflect these factors, CSX used a 2-year calibration period during its initial assessment
because the Company believed it would be most representative of its future claim experience. In addition, for non-malignant claims, the number of future claims to be filed against CSX declines at a rate consistent with both mortality and age as there is a decreasing probability of filing claims as the population ages. CSX believes the average claim values by type of disease from the historical 2-year period were most representative of future claim values.

2005 Provision for Asbestos Change in Estimate

In 2004, management had no changes in estimate for asbestos liabilities. In 2005, management updated their assessment of the unasserted liability exposure with the assistance of the third party specialists, which resulted in recognition of a $48 million favorable change in estimate associated with asbestos liabilities. During 2004 and 2005, asbestos related disease claims filed against CSX dropped substantially, particularly bulk claims filed by certain law firms. In 2003, the Company received a significant number of filings. The Company believes the number was attributable to an attempt to file before a new, more restrictive venue law took effect in West Virginia in mid-2003. As a result, management reassessed the calibration period to a 3-year average, excluding the surge in claims originating in West Virginia. Management believes this calibration period provides the best estimate of future filing rates.

The estimated future filing rates and estimated average claim values are the most sensitive assumptions for this reserve. A 10% increase or decrease in either the forecasted number of IBNR claims or the average claim values would result in an approximate $7 million increase or decrease in the liability recorded for unasserted asbestos claims.

The Company, with the assistance of the third party specialist, obtains semi-annual updates of the study. The Company will monitor actual experience against the number of forecasted claims to be received and expected claim payments. More periodic updates to the study will occur if trends necessitate a change.

Other Occupational

2003 Provision for Other Occupational Change in Estimate

In 2003, the Company changed its estimate of occupational reserves to include an estimate of IBNR claims for other occupational injuries as well as asbestos as noted above. The Company engaged a third party specialist to assist in projecting the number of other occupational injury claims to be received over the next seven years. Based on this analysis, the Company established reserves for the probable and reasonably estimable other occupational injury liabilities. In 2003, the Company recorded an undiscounted $65 million pre-tax charge for IBNR other occupational claims for similar reasons as asbestos discussed above.

Similar to the asbestos liability estimation process, the key elements of the assessment included the following:

• An estimate of the potentially exposed population for other occupational diseases was calculated by projecting active versus retired work force from 2002 to 2010 using a growth rate projection for overall railroad employment made by the Railroad Retirement Board in its June 2003 report.

• An estimate of the future anticipated claims filing rate by type of injury, employee type, and active versus retired employee was computed using the Company’s average historical claim filing rates for the 2-year calibration period for all diseases except hearing loss. Because the filing rate for hearing loss claims has been decreasing since 1998, the latest year filing rate was viewed as representative. These calibration periods are the time periods which management felt were representative of future filing rates. An estimate was made to forecast future claims by using the filing rates by disease and the active and retired CSX population each year.
• An estimate of the future anticipated settlement by type of injury was computed using the Company’s historical average of dollars paid per claim for pending and future claims using the average settlement by type of injury observed during a 3-year time period.

2005 Provision for Other Occupational Change in Estimate

In 2004, management had no changes in estimate for other occupational liabilities. During 2005, CSX experienced an unfavorable trend in settlement values for repetitive stress and other injuries, which resulted in the recognition of a $10 million unfavorable change in estimate associated with these liabilities. In connection with the semi-annual updates of the study, the Company will monitor actual experience against the number of forecasted claims to be received and expected claim payments. More periodic updates to the study will occur if trends necessitate a change.

The estimated future filing rates and estimated average claim values are the most sensitive assumptions for this reserve. A 10% increase or decrease in either the forecasted number of IBNR claims or the average claim values would result in an approximate $7 million increase or decrease in the liability recorded for unasserted other occupational claims.

Summary

The amounts recorded by the Company for asbestos and other occupational liabilities are based upon currently known information and judgments based upon that information. Projecting future events, such as the number of new claims to be filed each year, the average cost of disposing of claims, as well as the numerous uncertainties surrounding asbestos and other occupational litigation or legislation in the United States, could cause the actual costs to be higher or lower than projected.

While the final outcome of casualty-related matters cannot be predicted with certainty, considering among other items the meritorious legal defenses available and the liabilities that have been recorded, it is the opinion of management that none of these items, when finally resolved, will have a material effect on the Company’s results of operations, financial position or liquidity. However, should a number of these items occur in the same period, they could have a material effect on the results of operations, financial condition or liquidity in a particular quarter or fiscal year.

Environmental

The Company is a party to various proceedings, including administrative and judicial proceedings, involving private parties and regulatory agencies related to environmental issues. The Company has been identified as a potentially responsible party (“PRP”) at approximately 259 environmentally impaired sites, many of which are, or may be, subject to remedial action under the Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (“CERCLA”), also known as the Superfund Law, or similar state statutes. A number of these proceedings are based on allegations that CSX, or its predecessors, sent hazardous substances to the facilities in question for disposal.

In addition, some of the Company’s land holdings are and have been used for industrial or transportation-related purposes or leased to commercial or industrial companies whose activities may have resulted in releases of various regulated materials onto the property. Therefore, the Company is subject to environmental cleanup and enforcement actions under the Superfund law, as well as similar state laws that may impose joint and several liability for cleanup and enforcement costs on current and former owners and operators of a site without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, which could be substantial. In 2004, the Company assumed $6 million of Conrail environmental liabilities, due to the Conrail spin-off transaction.
At least once each quarter, CSXT reviews its role with respect to each such location, giving consideration to a number of factors, including:

- Type of cleanup required;
- Nature of CSXT’s alleged connection to the location (e.g., generator of waste sent to the site, or owner or operator of the site);
- Extent of CSXT’s alleged connection (e.g., volume of waste sent to the location and other relevant factors);
- Accuracy and strength of evidence connecting CSXT to the location; and
- Number, connection, and financial viability of other named and unnamed PRP’s at the location.

CSXT management estimates its environmental liabilities using guidance from Statement of Position (“SOP”) 96-1, Environmental Remediation Liabilities. Each site is periodically evaluated and the liability is adjusted to the most recent estimates made by management. Based on the review process, the Company has recorded reserves to cover estimated contingent future environmental costs with respect to such sites. Environmental costs are charged to expense when they relate to an existing condition caused by past operations and do not contribute to current or future revenue generation. The recorded liabilities for estimated future environmental costs are undiscounted and include amounts representing the Company’s estimate of unasserted claims, which the Company believes to be immaterial. The liability includes future costs for all sites where the Company’s obligation is (1) deemed probable, and (2) where such costs can be reasonably estimated. The liability includes future costs for remediation and restoration of sites as well as any significant ongoing monitoring costs, but excludes any anticipated insurance recoveries.

Currently, the Company does not possess sufficient information to reasonably estimate the amounts of additional liabilities, if any, on some sites until completion of future environmental studies. In addition, latent conditions at any given location could result in exposure, the amount and materiality of which cannot presently be reliably estimated. Based upon information currently available, however, the Company believes its environmental reserves are adequate to accomplish remedial actions to comply with present laws and regulations, and that the ultimate liability for these matters, if any, will not materially affect its overall results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

Legal

In accordance with SFAS 5, an accrual for a loss contingency is established if information available prior to issuance of the financial statements indicates that it is (1) probable that an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred at the date of the financial statements, and (2) the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. If no accrual is made for a loss contingency because one or both of these conditions are not met, or if an exposure to loss exists in excess of the amount accrued, disclosure of the contingency is made when there is at least a reasonable possibility that a loss or an additional loss may have been incurred.

The Company evaluates all exposures relating to legal liabilities twice quarterly and adjusts reserves when appropriate under the guidance noted above. The amount of a particular reserve may be influenced by factors that include official rulings, newly discovered or developed evidence, or changes in laws, regulations, and evidentiary standards.

Pension and Postretirement Medical Plan Accounting

The Company sponsors defined benefit pension plans, principally for salaried, management personnel. The plans provide eligible employees with retirement benefits based predominantly on years of service and compensation rates near retirement. In addition to the defined benefit pension
plans, the Company sponsors one medical plan and one life insurance plan that provide benefits to full-time, salaried, management employees hired prior to January 1, 2003, upon their retirement if certain eligibility requirements are met. The postretirement medical plans are contributory (partially funded by retirees), with retiree contributions adjusted annually. The life insurance plan is non-contributory.

The accounting for these plans is subject to the guidance provided in SFAS 87, *Employers Accounting for Pensions* ("SFAS 87"), and SFAS 106, *Employers’ Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions* ("SFAS 106"). Both of these statements require that management make certain assumptions relating to the following:

- Long-term rate of return of plan assets;
- Discount rates used to measure future obligations and interest expense;
- Salary scale inflation rates;
- Health care cost trend rates; and
- Other assumptions.

These assumptions are determined as of the beginning of the year. As permitted by SFAS 87, the Company has elected to use a plan measurement date of September 30 to actuarially value its pension and postretirement plans as it provides for more timely analysis. The Company engages independent, external actuaries to compute the amounts of liabilities and expenses relating to these plans subject to the assumptions that the Company selects as of the beginning of the plan year. The Company reviews the discount, salary scale inflation, and health care cost trend rates on an annual basis and makes modifications to the assumptions based on current rates and trends as appropriate. Because the Company reduced its expected long-term rate of return on assets in 2004, management does not anticipate revising this assumption for the next several years to maintain consistency with market cycles.

**Long-term Rate of Return on Plan Assets**

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets reflects the average rate of earnings expected on the funds invested or to be invested to provide for benefits included in the projected benefit obligation. In estimating that rate, the Company gives appropriate consideration to the returns being earned by the plan assets in the funds and the rates of return expected to be available for reinvestment. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is used in conjunction with the market-related value of assets to compute the expected return on assets.

The Company’s expected long-term average rate of return on assets considers the current and projected asset mix of the funds. Management balances market expectations obtained from various investment managers and economists with both market and actual plan historical returns to develop a reasonable estimate of the expected long-term rate of return on assets. As this assumption is long-term, it is adjusted less frequently than other assumptions used in pension accounting.

**Discount Rates**

Discount rates affect the amount of liability recorded and the interest expense component of pension and postretirement expense. Assumed discount rates reflect the rates at which the pension and postretirement benefits could be effectively settled. It is appropriate in estimating those rates to look to available information about rates implicit in current prices of annuity contracts that could be used to effect settlement of the obligation. In making those estimates, employers may also look to rates of return on high-quality fixed-income investments currently available and expected to be available during the period to maturity of the pension benefits. The Company determines the discount rate based on a hypothetical portfolio of high quality bonds with cash flows matching the
plans' expected benefit payments. CSX uses a different discount rate for pension and postretirement benefits due to the different time horizons of future payments for each of the plans.

Each year these discount rates are reevaluated and adjusted to reflect the best estimate of the current effective settlement rates. If interest rates generally decline or rise, the assumed discount rates will change.

**Salary Scale Inflation Rates**

Salary scale inflation rates are based on current trends and historical data accumulated by the Company. The Company reviews recent merit increases and management incentive compensation payments over the past five years in assessment of salary scale inflation rates.

**Health Care Cost Trend Rates**

Health care cost trend rates are based on recent plan experience and industry trends. The Company uses actuarial data to substantiate the inflation assumption for health care costs, representing increases in total plan costs, which include claims and administrative fee cost components. The current assumed health care cost trend rate is 11% for Medicare eligible participants and 12% for non-Medicare eligible participants and is expected to increase slightly before decreasing gradually until reaching 4.5% in 2013 based upon current actuarial projections.

**Other Assumptions**

The calculations made by the actuaries also include assumptions relating to mortality rates, turnover, and retirement age. These assumptions are based on historical data and are approved by management.

**2006 Estimated Pension and Postretirement Expense**

As a result of changes in assumptions for fiscal year 2006, net periodic pension benefit cost and postretirement benefit costs for 2006 are expected to be approximately $62 million and $30 million, respectively, compared to $46 million and $40 million, respectively in 2005. Currently, there is proposed legislation regarding pension plan funding requirements. If the proposed legislation is passed, pension plan funding requirements will be increased. The impact to the Company of this proposed legislation is unknown at this time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increase/ (Decrease) in 2006 (Millions of dollars)</th>
<th>Estimated Expense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pension</td>
<td>OPEB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount Rate:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25% increase</td>
<td>$(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25% decrease</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary Scale Inflation Rate:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25% increase</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25% decrease</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care Cost Trend Rate:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% increase</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% decrease</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003**

The Company is required to estimate and record the effects of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 ("Act"). The Company determined that its medical
plan’s prescription drug benefit will qualify as actuarially equivalent to Medicare Part D based upon a review by the plan’s health and welfare actuary of the plan’s benefit compared with the benefit that would be paid under Medicare Part D. The reduction in the postretirement benefit obligation as a result of the Act was approximately $56 million as of December 31, 2005. (See Note 18. Employee Benefit Plans.)

The Company has applied for the tax free 28% federal reimbursement of total prescription drug claims from $250 to $5,000 paid after January 1, 2006. Combining the financial implications of both cash receipts and lower tax deductible business expenses resulting from the subsidy, the Company expects after tax cash flow savings of approximately $5 million for fiscal year 2006. Additionally, projected postretirement benefit expenses for fiscal year 2006 were reduced by approximately $7 million due to the Act.

**Depreciation Policies for Assets Under the Group-Life Method**

CSXT accounts for its rail assets, including main-line track, locomotives and freight cars, using the group-life method. The group-life method pools similar assets by type and then depreciates each group as a whole. Under the group-life method, the service lives for each group of rail assets are determined by the performance of periodic life studies and management’s assumptions concerning the service lives of its properties. These studies, called life studies, are conducted by a third party expert, analyzed by the Company’s management and approved by the Surface Transportation Board (“STB”) of the U.S. Department of Transportation. Life studies for equipment assets are completed every three years, whereas road and track life studies are completed every six years as required by the STB.

Changes in asset lives due to the results of the life studies could significantly impact future periods’ depreciation expense and thus the Company’s results of operations. Factors taken into account during the life study include:

- Statistical analysis of historical retirements for each group of property;
- Evaluation of current operations;
- Evaluation of technological advances and maintenance schedules;
- Previous assessment of the condition of the assets and outlook for their continued use;
- Expected net salvage expected to be received upon retirement; and
- Comparison of assets to the same asset groups with other companies.

The life studies may also indicate that the recorded amount of accumulated depreciation is deficient (or in excess) of the appropriate amount indicated by the study. Any such deficiency (or excess) is amortized as a component of depreciation expense over the remaining useful life of the asset group until the next required life study.

Although recent experience with life studies has resulted in depreciation rate changes, these modifications have not significantly affected the Company’s annual depreciation expense. In 2003, the Company completed life studies for all of its rail, equipment and track assets, resulting in an increase in the average useful lives of equipment and track assets, while decreasing the average useful lives of many roadway assets. The combination of these adjustments increased depreciation expense by $1 million in 2003 with a decrease of approximately $13 million in 2004. No life studies were required or completed during 2005.

Assets depreciated under the group-life method comprise 95% of the Company’s total fixed assets of $19.5 billion on a net basis at December 30, 2005. The Company’s depreciation expense for the year ended December 30, 2005 amounted to $826 million. A one-percentage point increase (or decrease) in the average life of all group-life assets would result in an $8 million increase (or decrease) to the Company’s annual depreciation expense.
**Income Taxes**

Management uses factors such as applicable law, current information and past experience with similar issues in computing its income tax expense. The Company has not materially changed its methodology for calculating income tax expense for the years presented. The Company does not anticipate any material change in the methodology or assumptions used in determining the Company’s income tax expense.

The Company files a consolidated federal income tax return, which includes its principal domestic subsidiaries. Examinations of the federal income tax returns of CSX have been completed through 1993. Federal income tax returns for 1994 through 2003 currently are under examination. Management believes adequate provision has been made for any adjustments that might be assessed. While the final outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, it is the opinion of CSX management that none of these items will have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial position or liquidity of CSX. An unexpected adverse resolution of one or more of these items, however, could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial condition or liquidity in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year. Also, the Company is party to a number of legal and administrative proceedings, the resolution of which could result in gain realization in amounts that could be material to results of operations, financial condition or liquidity in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year.

**New Accounting Pronouncements and Change in Accounting Policy**

See Note 1. Nature of Operations and Significant Accounting Policies under the caption “New Accounting Pronouncements and Change in Accounting Policy.”

**Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

CSX addresses market risk exposure to fluctuations in interest rates and the risk of volatility in its fuel costs through the use of derivative financial instruments. CSX does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

CSX addresses its exposure to interest rate market risk through a controlled program of risk management that includes the use of interest rate swap agreements. The table below illustrates CSX’s long-term interest rate swap sensitivity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>(Dollars in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest Rate Swap Agreements</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of 1% Increase or Decrease in LIBOR Interest Rate</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During 2003, CSX began a program to hedge its exposure to fuel price volatility through swap transactions. As of December 30, 2005, CSX had hedged approximately 9% of fuel purchases for 2006. At December 30, 2005, a 1% change in fuel prices would result in an increase or decrease in the asset related to the swaps of approximately $1 million. CSX’s rail unit average annual fuel consumption is approximately 603 million gallons. A one-cent change in the price per gallon, excluding gallons hedged, of fuel would affect fuel expense by approximately $5 million annually.

CSX is exposed to loss in the event of non-performance by any counter-party to the interest rate swap or fuel hedging agreements. CSX does not anticipate non-performance by such counterparties, and no material loss would be expected from non-performance.

The following table highlights CSX’s floating rate debt outstanding exclusive of derivative contracts that essentially convert fixed interest rate notes to floating interest rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>(Dollars in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Floating Rate Debt Outstanding</td>
<td>$378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of 1% Variance in Interest Rates</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of CSX Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of CSX Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, and the related consolidated statements of income, cash flows, and changes in shareholders’ equity for each of the three fiscal years in the period ended December 30, 2005. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of CSX Corporation and subsidiaries at December 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three fiscal years in the period ended December 30, 2005, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, in 2004 the Company changed its method of calculating earnings per share, and in 2003 the Company changed its method of accounting for railroad tie removal costs and stock-based compensation.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of CSX Corporation’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 30, 2005, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 13, 2006, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP
Independent Certified Public Accountants

Jacksonville, Florida
February 13, 2006
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Shareholders and Board of Directors of CSX Corporation

We have audited management’s assessment, included in the accompanying CSX Corporation Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A, that CSX Corporation maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 30, 2005, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). CSX Corporation’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management’s assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management’s assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management’s assessment that CSX Corporation maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 30, 2005, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the COSO criteria. Also, in our opinion, CSX Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 30, 2005, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the 2005 consolidated financial statements of CSX Corporation and our report dated February 13, 2006, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP
Independent Certified Public Accountants

Jacksonville, Florida
February 13, 2006
CSX CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS
Fiscal Years Ended
December 30, December 31, December 26,
2005 2004 2003
(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Revenue</th>
<th>$ 8,618</th>
<th>$ 8,040</th>
<th>$ 7,573</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Expense</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor and Fringe</td>
<td>2,864</td>
<td>2,744</td>
<td>2,656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials, Supplies and Other</td>
<td>1,828</td>
<td>1,753</td>
<td>1,622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building and Equipment Rent</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland Transportation</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conrail Rents, Fees and Services</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restructuring Charge — Net</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for Casualty Claims</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Loss on Sale</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Expenses</td>
<td>7,068</td>
<td>7,040</td>
<td>7,053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Income</td>
<td>1,550</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Income and Expense</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Income (Note 7)</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Repurchase Expense (Note 12)</td>
<td>(192)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Expense</td>
<td>(423)</td>
<td>(435)</td>
<td>(418)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings before Income Taxes</td>
<td>1,036</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income Tax Expense (Note 8)</td>
<td>(316)</td>
<td>(219)</td>
<td>(58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings from Continuing Operations</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinued Operations — Net of Tax (Note 4)</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>(79)</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change — Net of Tax</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Earnings</td>
<td>$ 1,145</td>
<td>$ 339</td>
<td>$ 246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Common Share (Note 15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings Per Share:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Continuing Operations</td>
<td>$ 3.33</td>
<td>$ 1.95</td>
<td>$ 0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinued Operations</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>(0.37)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Earnings</td>
<td>$ 5.29</td>
<td>$ 1.58</td>
<td>$ 1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings Per Share, Assuming Dilution:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Continuing Operations</td>
<td>$ 3.17</td>
<td>$ 1.87</td>
<td>$ 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinued Operations</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>(0.35)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Earnings</td>
<td>$ 5.04</td>
<td>$ 1.52</td>
<td>$ 1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Common Shares Outstanding (Thousands)</td>
<td>216,425</td>
<td>214,796</td>
<td>213,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Common Shares Outstanding, Assuming Dilution (Thousands)</td>
<td>228,024</td>
<td>225,030</td>
<td>224,328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Dividends Paid Per Common Share</td>
<td>$ 0.43</td>
<td>$ 0.40</td>
<td>$ 0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
### CSX CORPORATION

#### CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASSETS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Assets:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Cash Equivalents (Note 1)</td>
<td>$309</td>
<td>$522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term Investments</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Receivable — Net (Note 9)</td>
<td>1,202</td>
<td>1,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials and Supplies</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Income Taxes</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Current Assets</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Terminals Assets Held for Sale (Note 4)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Current Assets</td>
<td>2,372</td>
<td>3,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Properties</td>
<td>26,538</td>
<td>25,852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated Depreciation</td>
<td>(6,375)</td>
<td>(5,907)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Properties — Net (Note 10)</td>
<td>20,163</td>
<td>19,945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in Conrail (Note 2)</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affiliates and Other Companies</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Long-term Assets (Note 21)</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>$24,232</td>
<td>$24,605</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS’ EQUITY</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Payable</td>
<td>$954</td>
<td>$870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor and Fringe Benefits Payable</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casualty, Environmental and Other Reserves (Note 11)</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Maturities of Long-term Debt (Note 12)</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term Debt (Note 12)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income and Other Taxes Payable</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Current Liabilities</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Terminals Liabilities Held for Sale (Note 4)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Current Liabilities</td>
<td>2,979</td>
<td>3,317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casualty, Environmental and Other Reserves (Note 11)</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Debt (Note 12)</td>
<td>5,093</td>
<td>6,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Income Taxes</td>
<td>6,082</td>
<td>5,979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Long-term Liabilities (Note 21)</td>
<td>1,471</td>
<td>1,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>16,278</td>
<td>17,794</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Shareholders’ Equity:                  |                   |                   |
| Common Stock, $1 Par Value (Note 14)   | 218               | 216               |
| Other Capital                          | 1,751             | 1,605             |
| Retained Earnings                      | 6,262             | 5,210             |
| Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss   | (277)             | (220)             |
| Total Shareholders’ Equity             | 7,954             | 6,811             |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders’ Equity | $24,232 | $24,605 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
## CSX CORPORATION

### CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOW STATEMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 30,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 26,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Dollars in millions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Operating Activities

- Net Earnings: $1,145
- Adjustments to Reconcile Net Earnings to Net Cash Provided:
  - Depreciation: 833
  - Deferred Income Taxes: (46)
  - Gain on Sale of International Terminals — Net of Tax (Note 4): (428)
  - Provision for Casualty Reserves (Note 11): (38)
  - Additional Loss on Sale: —
  - Insurance Proceeds (Note 6): 29
  - Restructuring Charge (Note 5): —
  - Net Gain on Conrail spin-off — after tax (Note 2): —
  - Other Operating Activities: (103)
- Changes in Operating Assets and Liabilities:
  - Termination of Sale of Accounts Receivable (Note 9): —
  - Accounts Receivable (Note 9): (44)
  - Other Current Assets: (29)
  - Accounts Payable: 54
  - Income and Other Taxes Payable: (402)
  - Other Current Liabilities: 139
- Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities: 1,110

### Investing Activities

- Property Additions: (1,136)
- Insurance Proceeds (Note 6): 41
- Net Proceeds from Sale of International Terminals (Note 4): 1,108
- Purchase of Minority Interest in an International Terminals’ Subsidiary (Note 4): (110)
- Proceeds from Divestitures (Note 3): 28
- Net Cash Used in Investing Activities: (36)

### Financing Activities

- Short-term Debt — Net: (99)
- Long-term Debt Issued: 105
- Long-term Debt Repaid: (1,283)
- Dividends Paid: (93)
- Other Financing Activities: 83
- Net Cash (Used In) Provided by Financing Activities: (1,287)
- Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents: (213)

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

- Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period: 522
- Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period: $ 309

### Supplemental Cash Flow Information

- Interest Paid — Net of Amounts Capitalized: $ 440
- Income Taxes Paid: $ 798

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
## CSX CORPORATION
### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS’ EQUITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Common Shares Outstanding (Thousands)</th>
<th>Common Stock</th>
<th>Other Capital</th>
<th>Retained Earnings (Dollars in millions)</th>
<th>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)</th>
<th>Minimum Pension Liability (a)</th>
<th>Fuel Derivative (b)</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance December 27, 2002</strong></td>
<td>214,687</td>
<td>$215</td>
<td>$1,547</td>
<td>$4,797</td>
<td>$(318)</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$6,241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive Earnings:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Earnings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Comprehensive Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive Earnings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dividends</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stock Option Exercises and Other</strong></td>
<td>384</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance December 26, 2003</strong></td>
<td>215,071</td>
<td>$215</td>
<td>$1,579</td>
<td>$4,957</td>
<td>$(310)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive Earnings:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Earnings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Comprehensive Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive Earnings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dividends</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stock Option Exercises and Other</strong></td>
<td>458</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance December 31, 2004</strong></td>
<td>215,529</td>
<td>$216</td>
<td>$1,605</td>
<td>$5,210</td>
<td>$(292)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6,811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive Earnings:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Earnings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Comprehensive (Loss)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive Earnings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dividends</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stock Option Exercises and Other</strong></td>
<td>2,674</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance December 30, 2005</strong></td>
<td>218,203</td>
<td>$218</td>
<td>$1,751</td>
<td>$6,262</td>
<td>$(307)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>7,954</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Net of taxes of $20 million, $45 million, and $3 million for 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
NOTE 1. Nature of Operations and Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations

CSX Corporation ("CSX" and, together with its subsidiaries, the "Company"), based in Jacksonville, FL, owns companies providing rail, intermodal and rail-to-truck transload services that combine to form one of the nation’s leading transportation companies, connecting more than 70 ocean, river and lake ports.

Surface Transportation

CSX Transportation Inc.

CSX’s principal operating company, CSX Transportation Inc. ("CSXT"), operates the largest railroad in the eastern United States with approximately 21,000-mile rail network linking commercial markets in 23 states, the District of Columbia, and the Canadian provinces of Ontario and Quebec.

CSX Intermodal Inc.

CSX Intermodal Inc. ("Intermodal"), one of the nation’s largest coast-to-coast intermodal transportation providers, is a stand-alone, integrated intermodal company serving customers from origin to destination with its own truck and terminal operations, plus a dedicated domestic container fleet. Containers and trailers are loaded and unloaded from trains, with trucks providing the link between intermodal terminals and the customer.

Surface Transportation Businesses

The rail and intermodal companies are viewed by the Company on a combined basis as Surface Transportation businesses. Together, they serve four primary lines of business:

- Merchandise generated approximately 49% of the Company’s total revenue in 2005 with 2.9 million carloads. The Company’s merchandise business is made up of seven market segments: phosphates and fertilizers; metals; forest products; food and consumer; agricultural products; chemicals; and emerging markets. Emerging markets target high-growth business opportunities in specialized markets such as aggregates, processed materials (for example, cement), waste, military cargo, and machinery.
- Coal, which delivered more than 1.8 million carloads of coal, coke and iron ore to electric utilities and manufacturers in 2005, accounted for approximately 24% of the Company’s total 2005 revenue. The Company serves more than 130 coal mines in nine states, including three of the nation’s top four coal-producing states.
- Intermodal, as described above, offers a cost advantage over long-haul trucking by combining the better economics of longer hauls provided by rail with the short-haul flexibility of trucks through a network of dedicated terminals across North America. Intermodal accounted for approximately 2.2 million units and 16% of the Company’s total revenue in 2005.
- Automotive, which serves plants in eight states and delivers both finished vehicles and auto parts, transported 488,000 carloads generating 10% of the Company’s total revenue in 2005.
- Other revenue, such as demurrage, switching, and other incidental charges, accounted for 1% of the Company’s total 2005 revenue. Demurrage represents charges assessed by railroads for the retention of cars by shippers or receivers of freight beyond a specified period of time. Switching revenue is generated when CSX switches cars between trains for a customer or other railroad.

Basis of Presentation

In the opinion of management, the accompanying consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments necessary to fairly present the financial position of CSX and its subsidiaries at December 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, the Consolidated Income and Cash Flow Statements and Changes in Shareholders’ Equity for the fiscal years ended December 30, 2005, December 31,
2004 and December 26, 2003, such adjustments being of a normal, recurring nature. Certain prior-year data have been reclassified to conform to the 2005 presentation.

Fiscal Year
CSX follows a 52/53 week fiscal reporting calendar. This fiscal calendar allows every quarter to consistently end on a Friday and to be of equal duration (13 weeks). However, in order to maintain this type of reporting calendar, every sixth or seventh year (depending on the Gregorian calendar and when leap year falls), an extra week will be included in one quarter (a 14 week quarter) and, therefore, the full year will have 53 weeks.

- Fiscal year 2005 consisted of 52 weeks ending on December 30, 2005
- Fiscal year 2004 consisted of 53 weeks ending on December 31, 2004
- Fiscal year 2003 consisted of 52 weeks ending on December 26, 2003

Principles of Consolidation
The consolidated financial statements include CSX and its majority-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. Investments in companies that are not majority-owned are carried at cost (if less than 20% owned and the Company has no significant influence) or equity (if the Company has significant influence).

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Short-term Investments
On a daily basis, cash in excess of current operating requirements is invested in various highly liquid investments having a typical maturity date of three months or less at the date of acquisition. These investments are carried at cost, which approximates market value, and are classified as Cash Equivalents. Investments in instruments with maturities less than one year are classified as Short-term Investments.

CSX holds $268 million and $273 million of auction rate securities and classifies these investments as available for sale as of December 30, 2005, and December 31, 2004, respectively. Accordingly, these investments are included in current assets as Short-term Investments on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. On the Consolidated Cash Flow Statements, purchases and sales of these assets are classified within investing activities.

Materials and Supplies
Materials and supplies consist primarily of fuel and parts used in the repair and maintenance of CSXT’s freight car and locomotive fleets, equipment, and track structure, which are carried at average cost.

Properties
All properties are stated at cost less an allowance for accumulated depreciation. Rail assets, including main-line track, locomotives and freight cars are depreciated using the group-life method, which pools similar assets by road and equipment type and then depreciates each group as a whole. The majority of non-rail property is depreciated using the straight-line method on a per asset basis. Amortization expense recorded under capital leases is included in depreciation expense on the Consolidated Income Statements.

Regulations enforced by the Surface Transportation Board ("STB") of the U.S. Department of Transportation require periodic formal studies of ultimate service lives for all railroad assets. Factors taken into account during the life study include:

- Statistical analysis of historical retirements for each group of property;
- Evaluation of current operations;
- Evaluation of technological advances and maintenance schedules;
- Previous assessment of the condition of the assets and outlook for their continued use;
Expected net salvage expected to be received upon retirement; and
Comparison of assets to the same asset groups with other companies.

The results of the life study process determine the service lives for each asset group under the group-life method. These studies are conducted by a third party expert and analyzed by the Company’s management. Resulting service life estimates are subject to review and approval by the STB. Road assets, including main-line track, have estimated service lives ranging from 5 years for system roadway machinery to 80 years for grading. Equipment assets, including locomotives and freight cars, have estimated service lives ranging from 6 years for vehicles to 35 years for work equipment.

Changes in asset lives due to the results of the life studies are applied at the completion of the life study and continue until the next required life study. The life studies may also indicate that the recorded amount of accumulated depreciation is deficient (or in excess) of the amount indicated by the study. Any such deficiency (or excess) amount is amortized as a component of depreciation expense over the remaining useful life of the asset group until the next required life study.

For retirements or disposals of depreciable rail assets that occur in the ordinary course of business, the asset cost (net of salvage value or sales proceeds) is charged to accumulated depreciation and no gain or loss is recognized. For retirements or disposals of non-rail depreciable assets, infrequent disposal of rail assets outside the normal course of business and all dispositions of land, the resulting gains or losses are recognized at the time of disposal. Expenditures that significantly increase asset values or extend useful lives are capitalized. Repair and maintenance expenditures are charged to operating expense when the work is performed.

Properties and other long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or business conditions indicate the carrying amount of such assets may not be fully recoverable. Initial assessments of recoverability are based on estimates of undiscounted future net cash flows associated with an asset or a group of assets in accordance with SFAS 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (“SFAS 144”). Where impairment is indicated, the assets are evaluated, and their carrying amount is reduced to fair value based on undiscounted net cash flows or other estimates of fair value.

**Revenue and Expense Recognition**

The Company recognizes freight revenue using Free-On-Board (“FOB”) Origin pursuant to Emerging Issues Task Force (“EITF”) 91-9, Revenue and Expense Recognition for Freight Services in Process. The Company uses method (5) in the EITF, which provides for the allocation of revenue between reporting periods based on relative transit time in each reporting period. Expenses are recognized as incurred.

Certain key estimates are included in the recognition and measurement of revenue and related accounts receivable under the policies described above:
- unbilled revenue on shipments that have been delivered;
- revenue associated with shipments in transit;
- future adjustments to revenue or accounts receivable for billing corrections and bad debts;
- future adjustments to revenue for overcharge claims filed by customers; and
- incentive-based refunds to customers.

The Company regularly updates the estimates described above based on historical experience.

All other revenue, such as demurrage, switching and other incidental charges are recorded upon completion of the service. Demurrage represents charges assessed by railroads for the retention of cars by shippers or receivers of freight beyond a specified period of time. Switching revenue is generated when CSX switches cars between trains for a customer or other railroad.
Share-Based Compensation

As permitted under SFAS 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation — Transition and Disclosure ("SFAS 148"), CSX has adopted the fair value recognition provisions on a prospective basis and, accordingly, recognized expense for stock options granted in May 2003. No stock options were granted in 2004 or 2005. In addition to stock option expense, stock-based employee compensation expense included in net income consists of restricted stock awards, stock issued to CSX directors and the Company’s Long-term Incentive Program for all periods presented.

The following table illustrates the pro forma effect on net earnings and earnings per share as if the fair value based method had been applied to all outstanding and unvested awards in each period:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
<th>December 26, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net Earnings — As Reported</td>
<td>$1,145</td>
<td>$339</td>
<td>$246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add: Stock-Based Employee Compensation Expense Included in Reported Net Income — Net of Tax</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deduct: Total Stock-Based Employee Compensation Expense Determined under the Fair Value Based Method for all Awards — Net of Tax</td>
<td>(29)</td>
<td>(29)</td>
<td>(34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro Forma Net Earnings</td>
<td>$1,141</td>
<td>$323</td>
<td>$215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Expense on Convertible Debt — Net of Tax</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro Forma Net Earnings, If-Converted</td>
<td>$1,145</td>
<td>$327</td>
<td>$219</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Earnings Per Share:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Basic — As Reported</th>
<th>Basic — Pro Forma</th>
<th>Diluted — As Reported</th>
<th>Diluted — Pro Forma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 5.29</td>
<td>$ 5.27</td>
<td>$ 5.04</td>
<td>$ 5.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 5.15</td>
<td>$ 5.00</td>
<td>$ 4.52</td>
<td>$ 4.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 5.04</td>
<td>$ 4.52</td>
<td>$ 1.11</td>
<td>$ 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 5.04</td>
<td>$ 4.52</td>
<td>$ 1.11</td>
<td>$ 0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As discussed in ‘New Accounting Pronouncements and Change in Accounting Policy’ below, the Company will comply with SFAS 123(R), Share-Based Payment ("SFAS 123(R)"), effective January 1, 2006.

Comprehensive Earnings

CSX reports comprehensive earnings (loss) in accordance with SFAS 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income ("SFAS 130"), in the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders’ Equity. Comprehensive earnings are defined as all changes in shareholders’ equity during a period, other than those resulting from investments by and distributions to shareholders (i.e. issuance of equity securities and dividends). Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss at December 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 consists primarily of minimum pension liabilities partially offset by the fair value of fuel hedging contracts.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company recognizes all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and measures those instruments at fair value. (See Note 13. Derivative Financial Instruments.)
New Accounting Pronouncements and Change in Accounting Policy

SFAS 148 was issued in December 2002. SFAS 148 amends SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation ("SFAS 123"), to provide alternative methods of transition to SFAS 123’s fair value method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and require disclosure of the effects of an entity’s accounting policy with respect to stock-based employee compensation. Effective beginning with fiscal year 2003, CSX has voluntarily adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 and adopted the disclosure requirements of SFAS 148. In accordance with the prospective method of adoption permitted under SFAS 148, stock-based awards issued subsequent to fiscal year 2002 are accounted for under the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 utilizing the Black-Scholes-Merton valuation method and, accordingly, are expensed. (See Note 16. Stock Plans.)

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS 123(R), which is a revision of SFAS 123. Currently, CSX uses the Black-Scholes-Merton formula to estimate the fair value of stock options granted to employees and expects to continue to use this acceptable option valuation model upon the required adoption of SFAS 123(R) on January 1, 2006. Compensation cost for unvested awards that were not recognized under SFAS 123 will be recognized under SFAS 123(R). The new rules must be applied to new and existing unvested awards on the effective date. CSX adopted SFAS 123 using the prospective transition method (which applied only to awards granted, modified or settled after the adoption date). Had CSX adopted SFAS 123(R) in prior periods, the impact of SFAS 123 would have been estimated as described in the disclosure of pro forma net income and earnings per share above. SFAS 123(R) also requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as operating cash flow as required under current literature. This requirement will reduce net operating cash flows and increase net financing cash flows in periods after adoption. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of SFAS 123(R) on its consolidated financial statements, but does not expect the impact to be material.

Currently, CSX’s stock-based employee compensation expense is recognized over the amortization period which could continue beyond the date an employee is eligible for retirement. Upon adoption of SFAS 123(R), CSX will no longer allow automatic vesting when an employee becomes retirement eligible.

In 2001, SFAS 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations ("SFAS 143") was issued. This statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for legal obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated retirement costs. In conjunction with the group-life method of accounting for asset costs, the Company historically accrued crosstie removal costs as a component of depreciation, which is not permitted under SFAS 143. With the adoption of SFAS 143 in fiscal year 2003, the Company recorded pretax income of $93 million, $57 million after tax, as a cumulative effect of an accounting change, representing the reversal of the accrued liability for crosstie removal costs. The adoption of SFAS 143 did not have a material effect on prior reporting periods, and the Company does not believe it will have a material effect on future earnings. On an ongoing basis, depreciation expense will be reduced, while labor and fringe and materials, supplies and other expense will be increased by approximately $12 million as a result of the adoption of SFAS 143.

In 2002, the FASB issued Financial Accounting Standard Interpretation ("FASI") 45, Guarantor’s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others. This statement requires that certain guarantees be recorded at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and additional disclosures be made about guarantees. CSX did not realize a financial statement impact with the adoption of the accounting provisions of this
statement in fiscal year 2003 and does not anticipate a future impact. (See Note 19. Commitments and Contingencies.)

In 2002, SFAS 144 was issued. This statement requires that long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale are no longer measured on a net realizable value basis, and future operating losses are no longer recognized before they occur. In addition, this statement modifies the reporting requirements for discontinued operations. Long-lived assets, whether to be held for disposition or held and used, should be measured at the lower of its carrying amount or fair value less cost to dispose. CSX applied the provisions of this statement relating to the accounting for the conveyance of its wholly-owned subsidiary, CSX Lines, to a third party in 2003 (See Note 3. Divestitures.)

**Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires that management make estimates in reporting the amounts of certain assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of certain revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates. Critical accounting estimates using management judgment are made for the following areas:

1. Casualty, legal and environmental reserves (See Note 11. Casualty, Environmental and Other Reserves)
2. Pension and postretirement medical plan accounting (See Note 18. Employee Benefit Plans)
3. Depreciation policies for its assets under the group-life method (See Note 1. Nature of Operations and Significant Accounting Policies)
4. Income taxes (See Note 8. Income Taxes)

**NOTE 2. Investment In and Integrated Rail Operations with Conrail**

Through a limited liability company, CSX and Norfolk Southern Corporation ("NS") jointly own Conrail Inc. ("Conrail"), whose primary subsidiary is Consolidated Rail Corporation ("CRC"). CSX has a 42% economic interest and 50% voting interest in the jointly owned entity, and NS has the remainder of the economic and voting interests. CSX applies the equity method of accounting to its investment in Conrail.

In August 2004, CSX, NS and Conrail completed a reorganization of Conrail ("Conrail spin-off transaction"), which established direct ownership and control by CSXT and Norfolk Southern Railway ("NSR") of two former CRC subsidiaries, New York Central Lines LLC ("NYC") and Pennsylvania Lines LLC ("PRR"), respectively. Prior to the Conrail spin-off transaction, CSXT operated the routes and used the assets of NYC, and NSR operated the routes and used the assets of PRR, each in accordance with separate operating and lease agreements. Pursuant to the Conrail spin-off transaction, the operating and lease agreements were terminated and NYC and PRR were merged into CSXT and NSR, respectively.

As a part of the Conrail spin-off transaction, the assets and liabilities of NYC and PRR were distributed to CSXT and NSR, respectively. In order to facilitate this distribution, Conrail restructured its existing unsecured and secured public indebtedness, with the consent of Conrail’s debt holders. As a result of the transaction, CSXT and NSR issued new unsecured debt securities in exchange for Conrail debentures and entered into leases and subleases with Conrail to support its secured debt obligations in proportion to their economic ownership percentages.

In 2004, as a result of the transaction, the Company recognized a net gain of $16 million, after tax, which is included in Other Income. This net gain represents the fair value write-up of the assets and liabilities ("net assets") received in excess of the book value of the net assets surrendered.
The Company concluded that it was appropriate to use the fair value of the net assets received as they were more clearly evident than the fair value of the assets surrendered in accordance with EITF 01-2, *Interpretation of APB Opinion 29*, paragraph 1. The fair value was based on an independent appraisal of the distribution.

After the transaction, CSX’s investment in Conrail no longer includes the amounts related to NYC and PRR. Instead the assets and liabilities of NYC are reflected in their respective line items in CSX’s Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The following table illustrates the pro forma effect on the Consolidated Income Statements as if the spin-off transaction had been completed as of the beginning of the periods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
<th>December 26, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As Reported</td>
<td>Effect of Spin-Off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Revenue</td>
<td>8,040</td>
<td>$ —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings from Continuing Operations</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinued Operations</td>
<td>(79)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change — Net of Tax</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Earnings</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Earnings Per Share, Assuming Dilution:

From Continuing Operations 1.87 0.09 1.96 0.63 0.11 0.74
Discontinued Operations (0.35) — (0.35) 0.23 — 0.23
Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change — — — 0.25 — 0.25

Net Earnings $ 1.52 $0.09 $ 1.61 $ 1.11 $0.11 $ 1.22

The Company recorded this spin-off transaction at fair value based on the results of an independent appraisal. Since September 2004, the assets, liabilities, results of operations and cash flows of NYC have been included in CSX’s Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Income and Cash Flow Statements.

**Accounting and Financial Reporting Effects**

For periods prior to the spin-off transaction, the Company’s rail and intermodal operating revenue included revenue from traffic moving on the Conrail property. Operating expenses included costs incurred to handle such traffic and to operate the Conrail lines. Rail operating expense included an expense category, “Conrail Rents, Fees and Services,” which reflected:

2. Equipment rental payments to Conrail through August 2004.
3. Transportation, switching and terminal service charges levied by Conrail in the Shared Assets Areas, which Conrail operates for the joint benefit of CSXT and NSR.
4. Amortization of the fair value write-up arising from the acquisition of Conrail and certain other adjustments. The amortization primarily represents the additional after tax depreciation expense related to the write up of Conrail’s fixed assets when the original purchase price, from the 1997 transaction, was allocated based on fair value.
5. CSX’s 42% share of Conrail’s income before the cumulative effect of accounting change recognized under the equity method of accounting.

Conrail will continue to own, manage and operate the Shared Assets Areas for the joint benefit of CSXT and NSR. The spin-off transaction, however, effectively decreased rents paid to Conrail after the transaction date, as some assets previously leased from Conrail are now owned by CSXT and NSR.

**Detail of Conrail Rents, Fees and Services**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
<th>December 26, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rents, Fees and Services</td>
<td>$97</td>
<td>$280</td>
<td>$357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase Price Amortization and Other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity in Income of Conrail</td>
<td>(36)</td>
<td>(59)</td>
<td>(69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Conrail Rents, Fees and Services</strong></td>
<td><strong>$65</strong></td>
<td><strong>$256</strong></td>
<td><strong>$342</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conrail Financial Information**

Summary financial information for Conrail is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income Statement Information:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>$378</td>
<td>$352</td>
<td>$316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Income</td>
<td>$32</td>
<td>$(18)</td>
<td>$(36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from Continuing Operations</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from Discontinued Operations, Net of Tax</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change, Net of Tax</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Income</strong></td>
<td><strong>$85</strong></td>
<td><strong>$140</strong></td>
<td><strong>$203</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discontinued Operations included in the summary income statement information for Conrail above reflect the results of operations of NYC and PRR prior to the spin-off transaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
<th>December 31, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance Sheet Information:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Assets</td>
<td>$233</td>
<td>$334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property and Equipment and Other Assets</td>
<td>1,242</td>
<td>1,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>$1,475</td>
<td>$1,414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Liabilities</td>
<td>$233</td>
<td>$242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Debt</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Long-term Liabilities</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>1,040</td>
<td>1,053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockholders’ Equity</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liabilities and Stockholders’ Equity</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,475</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,414</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Transactions with Conrail
As listed below, the Company has amounts payable to Conrail representing expenses incurred under the operating, equipment and shared area agreements with Conrail. In exchange for the Conrail advance, the Company has executed two promissory notes with a subsidiary of Conrail which are included in Long-term Debt on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSX Payable to Conrail</td>
<td>$40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promissory Notes Payable to Conrail Subsidiary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.40% CSX Promissory Note due October 2035</td>
<td>$73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.52% CSXT Promissory Note due March 2035</td>
<td>$23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fiscal Years Ended

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
<th>December 26, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest Expense Related to Conrail Advances</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE 3. Divestitures
In February 2003, CSX conveyed its interest in its domestic container-shipping subsidiary, CSX Lines. In consideration of its interest in CSX Lines, CSX received $300 million of proceeds consisting of cash and senior preferred securities. As a result, CSX had a voting interest of approximately 16% of a new venture called Horizon Lines (“Horizon”). The majority interest was held by a unit of The Carlyle Group. Horizon is a shipping and logistics company that operates 16 vessels and approximately 22,100 cargo containers while servicing routes that include Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico and Guam.

The $300 million of gross proceeds from the conveyance was comprised of approximately $240 million of gross cash ($214 million net of transaction costs) and $60 million of senior preferred securities. After the transaction, CSX accounted for its investment in Horizon using the cost method of accounting in accordance with APB 18, The Equity Method for Accounting for Investments in Common Stock.

CSX continues to sublease vessels and equipment to Horizon. Through 2014, the Company remains the primary obligor on 4 lease agreements (3 vessel leases and 1 container lease). The obligation under these lease agreements totals approximately $268 million, $248 million and $229 million as of December 26, 2003, December 31, 2004 and December 30, 2005, respectively. CSX believes Horizon will fulfill its contractual commitments with respect to such leases, and CSX will have no further liabilities for those obligations after 2014.

In accordance with SAB Topic 5U, Gain Recognition on the Sale of a Business or Operating Assets to a Highly Leveraged Entity, CSX has deferred the gain associated with the conveyance of CSX Lines. In early 2003 a pretax gain of approximately $127 million was deferred and is being amortized over the 12-year sub-lease term.

In August 2003, CSX received $15 million in cash from Horizon. This payment represented $3 million of accrued interest and $12 million for the partial redemption of senior preferred securities. After receipt of that payment, CSX’s voting interest was approximately 12%.

In July 2004, CSX received $59 million cash from Horizon. This payment represented $4 million of accrued interest, $48 million for the final redemption of senior preferred securities and $7 million related to a performance payment. At this time, CSX was fully divested of its interest in Horizon.
However, CSX and its affiliates will continue to remain the primary obligor on vessel and equipment leases and will continue to amortize the deferred gain over the sub-lease term that expires in 2014. (See Note 19. Commitments and Contingencies.)

NOTE 4. Discontinued Operations

In February 2005, CSX sold its International Terminals business, which included the capital stock of SL Service, Inc. ("SLSI") to Dubai Ports International FZE ("DPI") for gross cash consideration of $1,142 billion. Of the gross proceeds, approximately $110 million was paid for the purchase of a minority interest in an International Terminals’ subsidiary, which the Company acquired during the first quarter of 2005 and divested as part of the sale to DPI. Other related cash transaction costs amounted to approximately $34 million, including resolution of working capital and long-term debt adjustments.

CSX recognized a gain of $683 million pretax, $428 million after tax, for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2005 as a result of the sale. Consequently, amounts related to this business are reported as Discontinued Operations on the Consolidated Income Statement for all periods presented. On the 2004 Consolidated Balance Sheet, the amounts related to this business are shown as International Terminals Assets/Liabilities Held for Sale.

SLSI also holds certain residual assets and liabilities as a result of prior divestitures and discontinuances. A wholly-owned subsidiary of CSX retains the rights to those assets and indemnifies DPI, SLSI and related entities against those liabilities pursuant to a separate agreement. CSX guarantees the obligations of its subsidiary under this separate agreement.

Consequently, the results of operations and financial position of the Company’s International Terminals business are reported as Discontinued Operations for all periods presented.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
<th>(Dollars in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance Sheet Information:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Receivable — Net</td>
<td>$ 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Current Assets</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Properties — Net</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affiliates and Other Companies</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Long-term Assets</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Terminals Assets Held for Sale</td>
<td>$643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Liabilities</td>
<td>$ 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term Debt</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Deferred Income Taxes</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Long-term Liabilities</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Terminals Liabilities Held for Sale</td>
<td>$386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In 2004, Discontinued Operations on the Consolidated Income Statements includes International Terminals’ net earnings of $18 million as well as additional income tax expense of $97 million related to undistributed foreign earnings.


In December 2004, prior to the completion of this transaction, International Terminals increased its ownership in Asia Container Terminals Ltd, funded by a loan from DPI of $203 million, which is included in International Terminals Liabilities Held for Sale in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

NOTE 5. Management Restructuring

Surface Transportation incurred restructuring charges related to the November 2003 restructuring plan designed to streamline the management structure, eliminate organizational layers and realign certain functions. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, the Company recorded expense of $71 million for separation expense, pension and postretirement benefit curtailment charges, stock compensation expense and other related expenses. Surface Transportation recorded an initial pretax charge related to this reduction of $34 million in 2003. The restructuring initiatives reduced the management Surface Transportation workforce by 863 positions as of December 31, 2004.

The total cost of the program through the fiscal year December 31, 2004 was $105 million for Surface Transportation. The majority of separation benefits were paid from CSX’s qualified pension plan, with the remainder being paid from general corporate funds.

In 2003, the Company recorded a $22 million pretax credit related to a favorable change in estimate for railroad retirement taxes and other benefits included in the 1991 and 1992 separation plans. These plans provided for improvements in productivity, workforce reductions in train crews from three persons to two persons, and other cost reductions. Originally the Company recorded a $1.3 billion charge related to these obligations in 1991 and 1992. As part of the labor agreements entered into to facilitate the reduction of train crews, two payments are due to employees that were either conductors/foremen or trainmen/switchmen. These agreements required lump sum payments that were to be distributed (1) within 30 days of implementation of the 1991 and 1992 labor agreements, and (2) at retirement, death or resignation. Regardless of the employees’ continued service, such employees are entitled to receive the second payment at the time when the employee/employer relationship is severed.
Also in 2003, the Company recorded a $10 million restructuring charge related to another workforce reduction program, substantially all of which was paid as of December 26, 2003.

A net $22 million restructuring charge was recorded in fiscal year 2003 representing the cost of the restructuring initiatives offset by reductions in 1991 and 1992 separation reserves. The associated expense is included in operating expense as “Restructuring Charge — Net” on the Consolidated Income Statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 31,</td>
<td>December 26,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(Dollars in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management Restructuring:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2003 management restructuring plan ...</td>
<td>$71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other workforce reductions</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in estimate for 1991/1992 charge</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restructuring Charge — Net</td>
<td>$71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Company did not record any restructuring charges during the fiscal year ended December 30, 2005.

**NOTE 6. Hurricane Katrina**

In August 2005, Hurricane Katrina caused extensive damage to Company assets on the Gulf Coast. The most significant damage was concentrated on CSXT's approximately 100-mile route starting in New Orleans, LA and going east to Pascagoula, MS and includes damage to track infrastructure and bridges. Service to local businesses on the Gulf Coast has been restored and previously rerouted Merchandise trains have returned to the New Orleans gateway. Operations should be normalized to pre-hurricane conditions by the end of the first quarter of 2006.

In order to determine the proper accounting treatment for the damage, the Company reviewed EITF 01-10, *Accounting for the Impact of the Terrorist Attacks of September 11, 2001* (‘‘EITF 01-10’’), specifically Issue 3, of that consensus, in which the Task Force concluded that insurance recoveries in connection with property and casualty losses should be recognized when realization of the claim for recovery of a loss recognized in the financial statements is deemed probable. Information regarding the Company’s insurance coverage, damage estimates and the allocation of the insurance deductible is as follows:

**Insurance coverage**

The Company has insurance coverage of $535 million, after a $25 million deductible (per occurrence), for the following types of losses:

**Fixed assets**

1. *Replacement value of fixed asset damages* — CSX is entitled to the current replacement cost of the damaged assets. If the Company does not replace the damaged assets, then it is entitled to cash, at a discounted rate. The Company replaced the damaged assets in like kind. The Company’s bridges and track damaged by Hurricane Katrina comprised the majority of these types of losses.

**Business interruption**

2. *Recovery of incremental expenses* — The Company is entitled to recover the increased costs incurred to allow the Company to continue operations and to minimize the overall business impact to the Company during the period of indemnity. These increased costs include off-line (third party) rerouting costs, on-line (internal) rerouting costs, and other costs.
3. Recovery of lost profits — The Company is entitled to recover lost profits, net of associated expenses during the period of indemnity. The period of indemnity extends through the date which the railroad network is restored to its original operations.

The Company’s insurance policies do not prioritize coverage based on types of losses. As claims are submitted to the insurance companies, they are reviewed and preliminary payments made until all losses are incurred and documented. A final payment will be made once the Company and its insurers agree on the total measurement value of the claim. As of December 30, 2005, the Company has collected insurance payments of $70 million. Through February 2006, the Company has collected an additional $50 million in insurance recoveries for a total of $120 million.

**Damage estimates**

The Company estimated damages as follows:

1. **Fixed asset damages**

   Through air and underwater inspections, along with discussions with construction and salvage contractors, the Company’s engineers estimated amounts to replace damaged assets. The cost estimate along the Company’s 100-mile impacted route is based on the replacement value of approximately 39 miles of continuous track, six major bridges, numerous small bridges, signal and communication damage, locomotive repair and facilities damaged throughout the region.

2. **Incremental expenses**

   The Company’s incremental expenses relate primarily to three main areas of anticipated loss: (1) off-line (third party) rerouting costs, (2) on-line (internal) rerouting costs and (3) other costs.

   Off-line rerouting costs were estimated based on projections made using historical volumes moved on other railroad lines. The Company is billed by the other railroads at an agreed upon rate based on the volume of trains or railcars routed to alternative locations.

   On-line rerouting costs were determined by comparing estimates of incremental activity, including railcar miles, railcar days, gross ton-miles and crew starts incurred on the Company’s network to route volume, before and after Hurricane Katrina, through alternative locations. The incremental activity was then used to calculate incremental operating expenses including train crew labor, railcar rentals, railcar maintenance, locomotive maintenance and fuel.

   Other costs include debris removal, maintenance on equipment damaged by water, supplies, environmental expenses, maintenance labor and other various items.

3. **Lost profits**

   The Company’s sales representatives have estimated the impact on revenue at a location and customer-specific level. In order to estimate lost profits, for each shipment lost, the associated expenses for fuel, railcar rentals, locomotive and railcar maintenance that would have been incurred were estimated and netted against the lost revenue.

**Allocation of deductible**

The Company’s insurance policies require its participation in the first $25 million of each loss event, without regard to the category of the covered losses. Although the Company’s insurance policies do not specifically apply the deductible by the types of losses covered, CSX believes it is inconsistent with the form and economic substance of the Company’s policies to attribute the entire deductible to a single component of covered losses. Therefore, the Company allocated the $25 million self-insured retention among the three categories of losses in proportion to the best estimate of the total ultimate losses eligible for recovery under the Company’s insurance policies.
This estimate includes losses incurred at the balance sheet date, including all three categories and expected future losses attributable to incremental expenses and lost profits.

As the Company receives additional information regarding costs and lost revenues, it will continue to refine the estimate for insurance recoveries. As the estimate changes, the Company will reallocate and apply the deductible as appropriate. The Company does not foresee any possible scenario that would result in anything other than a net gain on insurance recoveries.

Management’s estimate of the losses and recoveries by category is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Total Expected for Recovery (Dollars in millions)</th>
<th>% of Recoveries</th>
<th>Allocation of Deductible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Fixed asset damages</td>
<td>$222</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>$(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Interruption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental expenses</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost profits</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$370</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$(25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fixed Asset Damages**

Due to the significant difference between the carrying amount of property damage (net book value of $41 million immediately prior to Hurricane Katrina) and the estimated replacement cost of the property ($222 million), the Company estimates that it will ultimately realize a net gain after an estimated, allocated deductible of $15 million. Because replacement value of damaged fixed assets is significantly greater than the net book value, in 2005 a portion of the gain on the replacement value, to the extent of the loss, was recognized in the period in which the loss was recognized. CSX believes the loss attributable to the allocable deductible will be offset by the recovery of the replacement value of the property. The remaining net gain will be recognized when all contingencies related to the gain are resolved.

**Incremental expenses**

Because incremental expenses incurred to date have been easily quantified shortly after incurrence and CSX’s insurance policies explicitly cover such costs, the Company believes this coverage is more analogous to property damage coverage. As such, the Company has concluded recoveries attributable to incremental costs should be recognized when it is probable the insurance providers will settle the claim for at least the amount of recognized losses.

**Lost profits**

Currently, the financial statements of the Company have been impacted by lost profits, as the Company did not earn revenues associated with covered losses. No amounts have been reflected for estimated insurance recoveries in the Company’s financial statements. The Company believes lost profits are recoverable based on the insurance policy terms. However, because the insurance coverage associated with the recovery of lost profits is similar to a contingent gain due to the subjective nature of the coverage and longer time periods utilized to measure the lost profits as compared to other types of coverage, all contingencies related to the timing and amount of recovery must be resolved prior to recognition in earnings or at the time cash is received. At this time, CSX does not yet believe these amounts meet the probable recovery criterion in EITF 01-10 due to uncertainties associated with the ultimate measurement of the lost profits and expected negotiations with the Company’s insurers. The Company estimates 2005 operating income was impacted by an estimated $30 million of lost profit.
Summary

Gain contingencies subject to FIN 30, *Accounting for Involuntary Conversions of Nonmonetary Assets to Monetary Assets* and SFAS 5, *Accounting for Contingencies* ("SFAS 5"), are not recognized until the period in which all contingencies are resolved. The probable insurance recovery of the replacement cost of fixed assets in excess of book value and the recovery of lost profits are considered to be gain contingencies. The Company believes it is appropriate to defer the net gain (after consideration of the insurance deductible) until all contingencies related to the gain are resolved. Therefore, in measuring the losses incurred at December 30, 2005, attributable to Hurricane Katrina, the Company considered the actual losses reflected in the financial statements, the allocable deductible (based on expected total recoveries from insured losses), and recorded a receivable for the difference based on probable insurance recoveries. The insurance receivable, after insurance proceeds, amounted to $43 million at December 30, 2005 and is included in Accounts Receivable — Net in the Company’s Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The Company believes insurance recoveries, which are included in Materials, Supplies and Other and Labor expense in the Company’s consolidated income statement, are probable and it does not believe there are solvency issues with the Company’s insurers. In accordance with SFAS 95, *Statement of Cash Flows* ("SFAS 95"), cash proceeds received from insurers will be presented as “Insurance Proceeds”, in either cash flows from operating activities or cash flows from investing activities based on the type of cost to which the proceeds relate.

The following table summarizes the financial impact of Hurricane Katrina during 2005:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Statement Impact</th>
<th>Dollars in millions</th>
<th>Net Income Statement Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Losses</strong></td>
<td><strong>Deductible Recognized</strong></td>
<td><strong>Insurance Recoveries Recognized(a)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed assets damages</td>
<td>$ (41)</td>
<td>$—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Interruption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental expenses</td>
<td>(80)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Lost profits</td>
<td>(30)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$(151)</td>
<td>$(8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Amounts recorded as receivables from insurance companies. (See table below)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Balance Sheet Impact</th>
<th>Insurance Proceeds Receivables</th>
<th>Insurance Proceeds Received</th>
<th>Net Insurance Receivable</th>
<th>(Dollars in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fixed assets damages</strong></td>
<td>$ 41</td>
<td>$41</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business Interruption</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental expenses</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost profits</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$113</strong></td>
<td><strong>$70</strong></td>
<td><strong>$43</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NOTE 7. Other Income and Supplemental Data

Other Income and Supplemental Data consists of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
<th>December 26, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Dollars in millions)</td>
<td>$38</td>
<td>$21</td>
<td>$21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interest Income

Income from Real Estate and Resort Operations

Discounts on Sales of Accounts Receivable

Net Gain on Conrail Spin-off, after tax

Minority Interest

Miscellaneous

Total Other Income

Gross Revenue from Real Estate and Resort Operations Included in Other Income above

Operating Expense included Selling, General & Administrative Expenses of $524 million, $557 million and $549 million for fiscal years 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

NOTE 8. Income Taxes

Earnings from Continuing Operations before Income Taxes of $1.0 billion, $637 million, and $195 million for fiscal years 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively represent earnings from domestic operations.

The significant components of deferred tax assets and liabilities include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Dollars in millions)</td>
<td>Assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productivity/Restructuring Charges</td>
<td>$48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Benefit Plans</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerated Depreciation</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Deferred Tax Liabilities</td>
<td>$5,858</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The primary factors in the change in year-end net deferred income tax liability balances include:

- Annual provision for deferred income tax expense;
- Deferred income taxes attributable to Discontinued Operations; and
- Adjustments to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss.

In conjunction with the sale of all the issued and outstanding stock of SL Service, Inc., the Company accrued $97 million of deferred U.S. income tax liability related to undistributed foreign earnings of its foreign subsidiaries that are no longer considered indefinitely invested in offshore operations. This U.S. income tax expense is reflected as a component of Discontinued Operations — Net of Tax on the 2004 Consolidated Income Statements.

Included in the net deferred tax liabilities above are $16 million of deferred taxes included in International Terminals Liabilities Held for Sale in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2004. (See Note 4. Discontinued Operations.)

The Company files a consolidated federal income tax return, which includes its principal domestic subsidiaries. Examinations of the federal income tax returns of CSX have been completed.
through 1993. Federal income tax returns for 1994 through 2003 currently are under examination. Management believes adequate provision has been made for any adjustments that might be assessed.

The breakdown of income tax expense (benefit) between current and deferred is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
<th>December 26, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Dollars in millions)</td>
<td>(Dollars in millions)</td>
<td>(Dollars in millions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>$350</td>
<td>$66</td>
<td>$(66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Current</td>
<td>$362</td>
<td>$80</td>
<td>$(59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>$33</td>
<td>$139</td>
<td>$125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>(79)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Deferred</td>
<td>$(46)</td>
<td>$139</td>
<td>$117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$316</td>
<td>$219</td>
<td>$58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Income tax expense reconciled to the tax computed at statutory rates is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
<th>December 26, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Dollars in millions)</td>
<td>(Dollars in millions)</td>
<td>(Dollars in millions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax at Statutory Rates</td>
<td>$362</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>$223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Income Taxes</td>
<td>(44)</td>
<td>-4%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity in Conrail Earnings</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Items</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income Tax Expense/Rate</td>
<td>$316</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>$219</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The decrease in the 2005 effective income tax rate compared to the prior year is primarily attributable to legislative changes in Ohio that will gradually eliminate the Ohio corporate franchise tax. The 2004 effective income tax rate was higher than the 2003 effective income tax rate because equity in Conrail earnings represented a larger percentage of pretax earnings in 2003 than 2004. Also, 2003 included a favorable state income tax benefit attributable to changes in the Company’s deferred effective state income tax rate.

NOTE 9. Accounts Receivable

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts for the estimated probable losses on uncollectible accounts and other receivables. The allowance is based upon the creditworthiness of customers, historical experience, the age of the receivable and current market and economic conditions. Uncollectible amounts are charged against the allowance account. The allowance for doubtful accounts is maintained against current accounts receivable. Allowances for doubtful accounts of $108 million and $95 million are included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004.

Sale of Accounts Receivable

As of June 2003, CSXT discontinued its accounts receivable securitization program. Prior to that, CSXT sold, without recourse, a revolving pool of accounts receivable to CSX Trade Receivables Corporation (“CTRC”), a wholly-owned, bankruptcy-remote subsidiary. CTRC transferred the accounts receivable to a master trust and caused the trust to issue multiple series of certificates representing undivided interests in the receivables. The certificates issued by the master trust were
sold to investors, and the proceeds from those sales were paid to CSXT. Net losses associated with the sale of receivables were $10 million for the fiscal year ended December 26, 2003 and was included in Other Income.

NOTE 10. Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cost (Dollars in millions)</td>
<td>Accumulated Depreciation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road</td>
<td>$18,861</td>
<td>$3,208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>6,357</td>
<td>2,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Rail</td>
<td>25,218</td>
<td>5,690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermodal</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Surface</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>25,746</td>
<td>5,974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Properties</td>
<td>$26,538</td>
<td>$6,375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE 11. Casualty, Environmental and Other Reserves

Activity related to casualty, environmental and other reserves is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Casualty Reserves</th>
<th>Separation Liabilities</th>
<th>Environmental Reserves</th>
<th>Other Reserves</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Dollars in millions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance December 27, 2002</td>
<td>$ 463</td>
<td>$ 216</td>
<td>$ 35</td>
<td>$136</td>
<td>$ 850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charged to Expense</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Estimate</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>(22)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments</td>
<td>(209)</td>
<td>(24)</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(72)</td>
<td>(318)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance December 26, 2003</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>1,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charged to Expense</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments</td>
<td>(214)</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>(21)</td>
<td>(107)</td>
<td>(362)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conrail Spin-off (a)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclassifications (b)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>(49)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance December 31, 2004</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>1,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charged to Expense</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Estimate</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments</td>
<td>(173)</td>
<td>(34)</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>(78)</td>
<td>(305)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance December 30, 2005</td>
<td>$ 675</td>
<td>$ 121</td>
<td>$ 71</td>
<td>$ 97</td>
<td>$ 964</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) In 2004, the Company assumed $6 million of Conrail environmental liabilities, due to the Conrail Spin-off transaction.
(b) The majority of this line represents obligations arising from the management restructuring program. Most of this liability was paid from CSX’s qualified pension plan and therefore was reclassified to pension liabilities which are included in Other Long-term Liabilities.
Casualty, environmental and other reserves are provided for in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current</td>
<td>Long-term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Dollars in millions)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casualty</td>
<td>$227</td>
<td>$448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separation</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$311</td>
<td>$653</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Casualty**

Casualty reserves represent accruals for personal injury and occupational injury claims. Currently, none of these claims are covered by insurance since no individual claim value is expected to exceed the Company’s self-insured retention amount. Personal injury and occupational claims are presented on a gross basis in accordance with SFAS 5. To the extent the value of an individual claim were to exceed the self-insured retention amount, CSX would present the liability on a gross basis with a corresponding receivable for insurance recoveries. Most of the claims are related to CSXT unless otherwise noted.

**Personal Injury**

CSXT retains an independent actuarial firm to assist management in assessing the value of CSXT’s claims and cases. An analysis is performed by the independent actuarial firm semi-annually and is reviewed by management. The methodology used by the actuary includes a development factor to reflect growth or reduction in the value of CSXT’s personal injury claims. This methodology is based largely on CSXT’s historical claims and settlement activity. Actual results may vary from estimates due to the type and severity of the injury, costs of medical treatments, and uncertainties in litigation. In conjunction with the change in estimate during 2003, the Company recorded a charge of $26 million for personal injury liabilities. Reserves for personal injury claims are $421 million and $383 million at December 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

While the final outcome of casualty-related matters cannot be predicted with certainty, considering among other things, the meritorious legal defenses available and liabilities that have been recorded, it is the opinion of CSX management that none of these items, when finally resolved, will have a material adverse effect on the Company’s results of operations, financial condition, or liquidity. However, should a number of these items occur in the same period, they could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial condition or liquidity in a particular quarter or fiscal year.

**Occupational**

Occupational claims include allegations of exposure to certain materials in the work place, such as asbestos, solvents, and diesel fuel, or alleged physical injuries, such as repetitive stress injuries, carpal tunnel syndrome or hearing loss.

Reserves for asbestos related claims are $141 million and $212 million at December 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively. Reserves for other occupational claims are $113 million and $110 million at December 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

**Occupational — Asbestos**

The Company is party to a number of occupational claims by employees alleging exposure to asbestos in the workplace. The heaviest possible exposure for employees was due to work conducted in and around steam locomotive engines that were phased out in the 1950’s, according to
rail industry statistics. However, other types of exposures, including exposure from locomotive component parts and building materials, continued until it was substantially eliminated by 1985.

Asbestos claim filings against the Company have been inconsistent. Accordingly, while the Company had concluded that a probable loss had occurred, prior to 2003 it did not believe it could estimate the range of reasonably possible loss because of the lack of experience with such claims and the lack of detailed employment records for the population of exposed employees. Claim filings increased and when they continued into 2003, the Company concluded that an estimate for incurred but not reported ("IBNR") asbestos exposure liability needed to be recorded. Currently, there is recurring pending legislation regarding the establishment of an asbestos liability trust fund. The impact to the Company of this pending legislation is unknown at this time.

2003 Provision for Asbestos Change in Estimate

In 2003, the Company changed its estimate of asbestos reserves to include an estimate of IBNR claims and retained a third party specialist who has extensive experience in performing asbestos and other occupational studies to assist in this estimate. The analysis is performed by the specialist semi-annually and is reviewed by management. The objective of the analysis is to determine the number of estimated IBNR claims and the estimated average cost per claim to be received over the next seven years. Seven years was determined by management to be the time period in which probable claim filings and claim values could be estimated with more certainty.

The Company, with the assistance of the third party specialist, first determined its potentially exposed population from which it was able to derive the estimated number of IBNR claims. The estimated average cost per claim was then determined utilizing recent actual average cost per claim data and national industry data. Based on the assessment, in September 2003 the Company recorded an undiscounted $141 million pre-tax charge for unasserted asbestos claims. Key elements of the assessment included the following:

- An estimate was computed using a ratio of Company employee data to national employment for select years during the period 1938-2001. The Company used railroad industry historical census data because it did not have detailed employment records in order to compute the population of potentially exposed employees.
- The projected incidence of disease was estimated based on epidemiological studies using employees’ age and the duration and intensity of potential exposure while employed.
- An estimate of the future anticipated claims filing rate by type of disease (non-malignant, cancer and mesothelioma) was computed using the Company’s average historical claim filing rates for a 2-year calibration period (i.e. the years management felt were representative of future filing rates).
- An estimate of the future anticipated dismissal rate by type of claim was computed using the Company’s historical average dismissal rates observed for two years.
- An estimate of the future anticipated settlement by type of disease was computed using the Company’s historical average of dollars paid per claim for pending and future claims using the average settlement by type of incident observed during a 3-year time period.

From these assumptions, the Company projected the incidence of each type of disease to the estimated population to determine the total estimated number of employees that could potentially assert a claim. Historical claim filing rates were applied for each type of disease to the total number of employees that could potentially assert a claim to determine the total number of anticipated claim filings by disease type. Historical dismissal rates, which represent claims that are closed without payment, were deducted to calculate the number of future claims by disease type that would likely require payment by the Company. Finally, the number of such claims was multiplied by the average settlement value to estimate the Company’s future liability for IBNR asbestos claims.
Asbestos claim filings are typically sporadic and may include large batches of claims solicited by law firms. To reflect these factors, CSX used a 2-year calibration period during its initial assessment because the Company believed it would be most representative of its future claim experience. In addition, for non-malignant claims, the number of future claims to be filed against CSX declines at a rate consistent with both mortality and age as there is a decreasing probability of filing claims as the population ages. CSX believes the average claim values by type of disease from the historical 2-year period were most representative of future claim values.

2005 Provision for Asbestos Change in Estimate

In 2004, management had no changes in estimate for asbestos liabilities. In 2005, management updated their assessment of the unasserted liability exposure with the assistance of the third party specialists, which resulted in recognition of a $48 million favorable change in estimate associated with asbestos liabilities. During 2004 and 2005, asbestos related disease claims filed against CSX dropped substantially, particularly bulk claims filed by certain law firms. In 2003, the Company received a significant number of filings. The Company believes the number was attributable to an attempt to file before a new, more restrictive venue law took effect in West Virginia in mid-2003. As a result, management reassessed the calibration period to a 3-year average, excluding the surge in claims originating in West Virginia. Management believes this calibration period provides the best estimate of future filing rates.

The estimated future filing rates and estimated average claim values are the most sensitive assumptions for this reserve. A 10% increase or decrease in either the forecasted number of IBNR claims or the average claim values would result in an approximate $7 million increase or decrease in the liability recorded for unasserted asbestos claims.

The Company, with the assistance of the third party specialist, obtains semi-annual updates of the study. The Company will monitor actual experience against the number of forecasted claims to be received and expected claim payments. More periodic updates to the study will occur if trends necessitate a change.

Undiscounted liabilities recorded related to asbestos claims are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incurred but not reported claims</td>
<td>$ 54</td>
<td>$132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asserted claims</td>
<td>$ 87</td>
<td>$ 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liability</td>
<td>$141</td>
<td>$212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current liability</td>
<td>$ 37</td>
<td>$ 37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Defense and processing costs, which historically have been and are anticipated in the future to be insignificant, are not included in the recorded liability. The Company is presently self-insured for asbestos-related claims.

Other Occupational

2003 Provision for Other Occupational Change in Estimate

In 2003, the Company changed its estimate of occupational reserves to include an estimate of IBNR claims for other occupational injuries as well as asbestos as noted above. The Company engaged a third party specialist to assist in projecting the number of other occupational injury claims to be received over the next seven years. Based on this analysis, the Company established reserves for the probable and reasonably estimable other occupational injury liabilities. In 2003, the Company recorded an undiscounted $65 million pre-tax charge for IBNR other occupational claims for similar reasons as asbestos discussed above.
Similar to the asbestos liability estimation process, the key elements of the assessment included the following:

- An estimate of the potentially exposed population for other occupational diseases was calculated by projecting active versus retired work force from 2002 to 2010 using a growth rate projection for overall railroad employment made by the Railroad Retirement Board in its June 2003 report.
- An estimate of the future anticipated claims filing rate by type of injury, employee type, and active versus retired employee was computed using the Company’s average historical claim filing rates for the 2-year calibration period for all diseases except hearing loss. Because the filing rate for hearing loss claims has been decreasing since 1998, the latest year filing rate was viewed as representative. These calibration periods are the time periods which management felt were representative of future filing rates. An estimate was made to forecast future claims by using the filing rates by disease and the active and retired CSX population each year.
- An estimate of the future anticipated settlement by type of injury was computed using the Company’s historical average of dollars paid per claim for pending and future claims using the average settlement by type of injury observed during a 3-year time period.

2005 Provision for Other Occupational Change in Estimate

In 2004, management had no changes in estimate for other occupational liabilities. During 2005, CSX experienced an unfavorable trend in settlement values for repetitive stress and other injuries, which resulted in the recognition of a $10 million unfavorable change in estimate associated with these liabilities. In connection with the semi-annual updates of the study, the Company will monitor actual experience against the number of forecasted claims to be received and expected claim payments. More periodic updates to the study will occur if trends necessitate a change.

The estimated future filing rates and estimated average claim values are the most sensitive assumptions for this reserve. A 10% increase or decrease in either the forecasted number of IBNR claims or the average claim values would result in an approximate $7 million increase or decrease in the liability recorded for unasserted other occupational claims.

Undiscounted recorded liabilities related to occupational claims are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Occupational</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incurred But Not Reported</td>
<td>$63</td>
<td>$56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asserted Claims</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liability</td>
<td>$113</td>
<td>$110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Liability</td>
<td>$18</td>
<td>$18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Defense and processing costs, which historically have been and are anticipated in the future to be insignificant, are not included in the recorded liability. The Company is presently self-insured for other occupational-related claims.
A summary of asbestos and other occupational claims activity is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asserted Claims</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Claims — Beginning of Period</td>
<td>11,461</td>
<td>13,479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Claims Filed</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>1,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claims Settled</td>
<td>(1,206)</td>
<td>(2,758)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claims Dismissed</td>
<td>(381)</td>
<td>(438)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Claims — End of Period</td>
<td>10,639</td>
<td>11,461</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approximately 6,000 of the open claims at December 30, 2005 are asbestos claims against the Company’s previously owned international container-shipping business. Because these claims are against multiple vessel owners, the Company’s reserves reflect its portion of those claims. The Company had approximately $11 million and $13 million reserved for those shipping business claims at December 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively. The remaining open claims have been asserted against CSXT.

Summary

The amounts recorded by the Company for asbestos and other occupational liabilities are based upon currently known information and judgments based upon that information. Projecting future events, such as the number of new claims to be filed each year, the average cost of disposing of claims, as well as the numerous uncertainties surrounding asbestos and other occupational litigation or legislation in the United States, could cause the actual costs to be higher or lower than projected.

While the final outcome of casualty-related matters cannot be predicted with certainty, considering among other items the meritorious legal defenses available and the liabilities that have been recorded, it is the opinion of management that none of these items, when finally resolved, will have a material effect on the Company’s results of operations, financial position or liquidity. However, should a number of these items occur in the same period, they could have a material effect on the results of operations, financial condition or liquidity in a particular quarter or fiscal year.

Separation

Separation liabilities at December 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 provide for the estimated costs of implementing workforce reductions, improvements in productivity and other cost reductions at the Company’s major transportation units since 1991. These liabilities are expected to be paid out over the next 15 to 20 years from general corporate funds.

Environmental

The Company is a party to various proceedings, including administrative and judicial proceedings, involving private parties and regulatory agencies related to environmental issues. The Company has been identified as a potentially responsible party (“PRP”) at approximately 259 environmentally impaired sites, many of which are, or may be, subject to remedial action under the Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (“CERCLA”), also known as the Superfund Law, or similar state statutes. A number of these proceedings are based on allegations that CSX, or its predecessors, sent hazardous substances to the facilities in question for disposal.

In addition, some of the Company’s land holdings are and have been used for industrial or transportation-related purposes or leased to commercial or industrial companies whose activities may have resulted in releases of various regulated materials onto the property. Therefore, the Company is subject to environmental cleanup and enforcement actions under the Superfund law, as
well as similar state laws that may impose joint and several liability for cleanup and enforcement costs on current and former owners and operators of a site without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, which could be substantial. In 2004, the Company assumed $6 million of Conrail environmental liabilities, due to the Conrail spin-off transaction.

At least once a quarter, the Company reviews its role with respect to each site identified. Based on the review process, the Company has recorded reserves to cover estimated contingent future environmental costs with respect to such sites. Environmental costs are charged to expense when they relate to an existing condition caused by past operations and do not contribute to current or future revenue generation. The recorded liabilities for estimated future environmental costs are undiscounted and include amounts representing the Company’s estimate of unasserted claims, which the Company believes to be immaterial. The liability includes future costs for all sites where the Company’s obligation is (1) deemed probable, and (2) where such costs can be reasonably estimated. The liability includes future costs for remediation and restoration of sites as well as any significant ongoing monitoring costs, but excludes any anticipated insurance recoveries.

Currently, the Company does not possess sufficient information to reasonably estimate the amounts of additional liabilities, if any, on some sites until completion of future environmental studies. In addition, latent conditions at any given location could result in exposure, the amount and materiality of which cannot presently be reliably estimated. Based upon information currently available, however, the Company believes its environmental reserves are adequate to accomplish remedial actions to comply with present laws and regulations, and that the ultimate liability for these matters, if any, will not materially affect its overall results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

**Other**

Other reserves of $97 million include liabilities for various claims, such as longshoremen disability claims, freight claims, and claims for property, automobile and general liability. As liabilities become known, the Company accrues the estimable and probable amount, in accordance with SFAS 5.

Longshoremen disability reserves represent liability for assessments under Section 8f of the United States Longshore and Harbor Workers’ Compensation Act. These reserves have amounts accrued for second injury fund liabilities, which represent the non-medical portion of employee claims which are paid by the United States Department of Labor and are attributable to an earlier injury to the same employee.

Freight claims represent claims for both freight loss and damage and freight rate disputes. Freight loss and damage claims are liabilities that resulted in lost or damaged customer freight while being handled by the Company’s transportation services. Freight rate disputes represent liabilities for customer claims regarding the rate charged by the Company for its transportation services. Liabilities for freight rate disputes are recorded as a reduction of revenue.

The Company accrues for losses related to property, automobile and general liability. Property and automobile claims represent primary liability and state mandated coverages required satisfying financial responsibility requirements for company property and vehicle fleets. General liability is coverage for liability arising from operations of non-rail CSX subsidiaries.
NOTE 12. Debt and Credit Agreements

Debt is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maturity</th>
<th>Average Interest Rates at December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>(Dollars in millions)</td>
<td>$4,891</td>
<td>$5,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convertible Debentures</td>
<td>2006-2043</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment Obligations</td>
<td>2006-2015</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Obligations, Including Capital Leases</td>
<td>2006-2015</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Current Maturities and Long-term Debt</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,029</td>
<td>$7,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Debt Due within One Year</td>
<td>(936)</td>
<td>(983)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Long Term Debt</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,093</td>
<td>$6,248</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Debt Repurchased

In June 2005, CSX repurchased $1.0 billion of its publicly-traded notes listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Principal Amount Outstanding</th>
<th>Aggregate Principal Amount of Tendered Notes Accepted for Purchase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSX 2.75% Notes due 2006</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>$186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSX 9% Notes due 2006</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSX Floating Rate Notes due 2006</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSX 8.625% Notes due 2022</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSX 7.95% Notes due 2027</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSX 8.10% Notes due 2022</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSX 7.25% Notes due 2027</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSX 7.90% Notes due 2017</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,300</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The consideration paid for these notes totaled $1.2 billion, including a pretax charge of $192 million for costs to repurchase the debt which primarily reflects the market value above original issue value. CSX used net cash proceeds from the disposition of CSX’s International Terminals business, along with cash on hand, to finance this repurchase.

Debt Issuances

In February 2004, CSX executed a $100 million bank financing that matured on February 25, 2005, which bore interest at a rate that varied with LIBOR plus an applicable spread. As of December 31, 2004, CSX had $100 million in aggregate principal amount outstanding under this agreement. CSX settled this obligation with cash at maturity.

In June 2004, CSX executed a $300 million bank financing with a maturity date of December 29, 2004, which bore interest at a rate that varied with LIBOR plus an applicable spread. As of December 31, 2004, CSX repaid the entire aggregate principal amount outstanding under this agreement and terminated this agreement.
In August 2004, CSX issued $300 million of floating rate notes with a maturity date of August 3, 2006. The notes bear interest at a rate that varies with LIBOR plus an applicable spread. These notes are not redeemable prior to maturity.

**Convertible Debentures**

In October 2001, CSX issued $564 million aggregate principal amount at maturity in un-subordinated zero coupon convertible debentures (the “debentures”) due October 30, 2021 for an initial offering price of approximately $462 million. The carrying value of outstanding debentures was $468 million and $463 million, at December 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively. These debentures accrete (increase) in value at a yield to maturity of 1% per year. The accretion rate may be reset on October 30, 2007, October 30, 2011, and October 30, 2016 to a rate based on five-year United States Treasury Notes minus 2.8%. In no event, however, will the yield to maturity be reset below 1% or above 3% per annum. Accretion in value on the debentures is recorded for each period, but will not be paid prior to maturity.

In October 2005, holders had the option to require CSX to purchase their debentures at a purchase price equal to the accreted value of $852.48 per $1,000 principal amount at maturity. As a result, CSX purchased an immaterial aggregate principal amount at maturity of the debentures with cash on hand. Similarly, the debentures allow holders to require CSX to purchase their debentures in October 2006, October 2008, October 2011, and October 2016, at a purchase price equal to the accreted value of the debentures at the time. The debentures are classified in Current Maturities of Long-term Debt in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. CSX may redeem the debentures for cash at any time on or after October 30, 2008, at a redemption price equal to the accreted value of the debentures.

CSX amended the terms of these debentures during the third quarter of 2004 to surrender its right to pay the purchase price, in whole or in part, in shares of CSX’s common stock for debentures tendered to CSX at the option of holders on certain specified purchase dates. As a result, if CSX is required to purchase any of the debentures on any of such dates, CSX will be required to pay the purchase price for such debentures on such specified purchase dates in cash.

Holders may in addition convert their debentures into shares of CSX’s common stock at a conversion rate of 17.75 common shares per debenture, subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments, if any of the following conditions are satisfied:

- If the closing sale price of CSX’s common stock for at least 20 trading days in the 30 trading day period ending on the trading day before the conversion date is more than 120% (which percentage will decline over the life of the debentures to 110% in accordance with the terms of the debentures) of the accreted conversion price per share of CSX’s common stock at that preceding trading day;
- If CSX’s senior unsecured credit ratings are downgraded by Moody’s Investors Service, Inc. to below Ba1 and by Standard & Poor’s Rating Services to below BB+;
- If CSX has called the debentures for redemption (which may occur no sooner than October 30, 2008); or
- Upon the occurrence of specified corporate transactions.

The accreted conversion price of the debentures at December 30, 2005 was $853.98 and the threshold price to be met in order to convert the debentures into common stock was $56.79 per common share.
Short-term Debt Balances and Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short-term Debt</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Average Interest Rates</td>
<td>4.47%</td>
<td>1.10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Long-term Debt Maturities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Years Ending</th>
<th>(Dollars in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$1,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011 and Thereafter</td>
<td>3,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Long-term Debt Maturities</td>
<td>6,108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unamortized discount on convertible bonds included in Current Maturities of Long-term Debt | (80) |
Fair market value of interest rate swap included in Long-term Debt | 1 |
Total Current Maturities and Long-term Debt | $6,029 |

Certain of CSX’s rail unit properties are pledged as security for various rail-related long-term debt issues. In addition, the Company has approximately $68 million in assets, which are specifically designated to fund an equal amount of long-term debt.

Credit Facilities

CSX has a $1.2 billion five-year unsecured revolving credit facility expiring in May 2009 and a $400 million 364-day unsecured revolving credit facility expiring in May 2006. The facilities were entered into in May 2004 and May 2005, respectively, on terms substantially similar to the facilities they replaced. Generally, these facilities may be used for general corporate purposes, to support CSX’s commercial paper, and for working capital. Neither of the credit facilities was drawn on as of December 30, 2005. Commitment fees and interest rates payable under the facilities are similar to fees and rates available to comparably rated investment-grade borrowers. In 2005, CSX paid approximately $2 million for total fees associated with the undrawn facility. These credit facilities allow for borrowings at floating (LIBOR-based) rates, plus a spread, depending upon CSX’s senior unsecured debt ratings. At December 30, 2005, CSX was in compliance with all covenant requirements under the facilities.

NOTE 13. Derivative Financial Instruments

CSX uses derivative financial instruments to manage its overall exposure to fluctuations in interest rates and fuel costs.

Interest Rate Swaps

CSX has entered into various interest rate swap agreements on the following fixed rate notes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maturity Date</th>
<th>Notional Amount (Dollars in millions)</th>
<th>Fixed Interest Rate</th>
<th>Variable Rate at December 30, 2005</th>
<th>Variable Rate at December 31, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 1, 2007</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>7.45%</td>
<td>7.65%</td>
<td>5.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1, 2032</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>8.30%</td>
<td>5.84%</td>
<td>3.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total/Average</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>7.66%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Under these agreements, CSX will pay variable interest based on LIBOR in exchange for a fixed rate, effectively transforming the notes to floating rate obligations. The interest rate swap agreements are designated and qualify as fair value hedges and the gain or loss on the derivative instrument, as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the fixed rate note attributable to the hedged risk, are recognized in current earnings during the period of change in fair values. Hedge effectiveness is measured at least quarterly based on the relative change in fair value of the derivative contract in comparison with changes over time in the fair value of the fixed rate notes. Any change in fair value resulting from ineffectiveness, as defined by SFAS 133, Accounting For Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities ("SFAS 133"), is recognized immediately in earnings.

CSX's interest rate swaps qualify as perfectly effective fair value hedges, as defined by SFAS 133. As such, there was no ineffective portion to the hedge recognized in earnings during the current or prior year periods. Long-term debt has been increased by $1 million and $26 million for the fair market value of the interest rate swap agreements based upon quoted market prices at December 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively. Fair value adjustments are non-cash transactions and, accordingly, have no cash impact on the Consolidated Cash Flow Statements.

The differential to be paid or received under these agreements is accrued based on the terms of the agreements and is recognized in interest expense over the term of the related debt. The related amounts payable to or receivable from counterparties are included in other current liabilities or assets. Cash flows related to interest rate swap agreements are classified as Operating Activities in the Consolidated Cash Flow Statements. For the fiscal years ended December 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, CSX reduced interest expense by approximately $12 million and $32 million, respectively, as a result of the interest rate swap agreements that were in place during each period.

The counterparties to the interest rate swap agreements expose CSX to credit loss in the event of non-performance. CSX does not anticipate non-performance by the counterparties.

Fuel Hedging

In 2003, CSX began a program to hedge a portion of CSXT's future diesel fuel purchases. This program was established to manage exposure to fuel price fluctuations. In order to minimize this risk, CSX has entered into a series of swaps in order to fix the price of a portion of CSXT's estimated future fuel purchases.

Following is a summary of outstanding fuel swaps:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approximate Gallons Hedged (Millions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Price Per Gallon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swap Maturities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated % of Future Fuel Purchases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hedged at December 30, 2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The program limits fuel hedges to a 24-month duration and a maximum of 80% of CSXT's average monthly fuel purchased for any month within the 24-month period, and places the hedges among selected counterparties. Fuel hedging activity favorably impacted fuel expense for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 by $249 million and $63 million, respectively. Fuel hedging activity had no impact on fuel expense for the fiscal year ended December 26, 2003. Ineffectiveness, or the extent to which changes in the fair values of the fuel swaps did not offset changes in the fair values of the expected fuel purchases, was immaterial.

These instruments qualify, and are designated by management, as cash-flow hedges of variability in expected future cash flows attributable to fluctuations in fuel prices. The fair values of
fuel derivative instruments are determined based upon current fair market values as quoted by third party dealers and are recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets with offsetting adjustments to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, a component of Shareholders’ Equity. Amounts are reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss as the underlying fuel that was hedged is consumed by rail operations. The fair value of fuel derivative instruments based upon quoted market prices was $51 million and $118 million as of December 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004. Fair value adjustments are non-cash transactions and, accordingly, have no cash impact on the Consolidated Cash Flow Statements.

CSX suspended entering into new swaps in its fuel hedge program since the third quarter of 2004. CSX will continue to monitor and assess the global fuel marketplace to decide if and when to resume hedging under the program.

The counterparties to the fuel hedge agreements expose CSX to credit loss in the event of non-performance. CSX does not anticipate non-performance by the counterparties.

NOTE 14. Shareholders’ Equity

Common and Preferred Stocks

Common and Preferred Stock consists of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Stock, $1 Par Value</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Common Shares Authorized</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Shares Issued and Outstanding</td>
<td>218,203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preferred Stock</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred Shares Authorized</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred Shares Outstanding</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Holders of Common Stock are entitled to one vote on all matters requiring a vote for each share held. Preferred Stock is senior to common stock with respect to dividends and upon liquidation of CSX.

Prior to October 2003, 3,000,000 shares had been designated as Series B Preferred Stock in conjunction with CSX’s Shareholder Rights Plan. In October 2003, the expiration date of the shareholder rights under the Shareholder Rights Plan was accelerated, resulting in the effective termination of the Plan, and CSX’s Articles of Incorporation were amended to eliminate the designation of shares for Series B Preferred.
NOTE 15. Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic earnings per share and earnings per share, assuming dilution:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
<th>December 26, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Numerator (Millions):</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings from Continuing Operations</td>
<td>$720</td>
<td>$418</td>
<td>$137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Expense on Convertible Debt — Net of Tax</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Earnings from Continuing Operations, If-Converted</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinued Operations — Net of Tax</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>(79)</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change — Net of Tax</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Earnings, If-Converted</td>
<td>1,149</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Expense on Convertible Debt — Net of Tax</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Earnings</td>
<td>$1,145</td>
<td>$339</td>
<td>$246</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Denominator (Thousands): | | | |
| Average Common Shares Outstanding | 216,425 | 214,796 | 213,964 |
| Convertible Debt | 9,728 | 9,728 | 9,932 |
| Stock Options | 1,406 | 360 | 328 |
| Other Potentially Dilutive Common Shares | 465 | 146 | 104 |
| Average Common Shares Outstanding, Assuming Dilution | 228,024 | 225,030 | 224,328 |

| Earnings Per Share: | | | |
| Income from Continuing Operations | $3.33 | $1.95 | $0.64 |
| Discontinued Operations | 1.96 | (0.37) | 0.24 |
| Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change | | | 0.26 |
| Net Earnings | $5.29 | $1.58 | $1.14 |

| Earnings Per Share, Assuming Dilution: | | | |
| Income from Continuing Operations | $3.17 | $1.87 | $0.63 |
| Discontinued Operations | 1.87 | (0.35) | 0.23 |
| Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change | | | 0.25 |
| Net Earnings | $5.04 | $1.52 | $1.11 |

Basic earnings per share are based on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Earnings per share, assuming dilution, is based on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding adjusted for the effect of potentially dilutive common shares from convertible debt and employee stock options and awards. The following table provides information about stock options exercised:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
<th>December 26, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Stock Options Exercised</td>
<td>2,764</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Certain potentially dilutive stock options as of the fiscal years ended 2005, 2004 and 2003 were excluded from the computation of earnings per share, assuming dilution, since their related option exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common shares during the period. The following table provides information about potentially dilutive stock options excluded from the computation of earnings per share:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
<th>December 26, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Shares (Thousands)</td>
<td>2,213</td>
<td>16,374</td>
<td>18,497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Exercise Price</td>
<td>$53.11</td>
<td>$42.88</td>
<td>$42.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A substantial increase in the fair market value of CSX’s stock price could trigger contingent conditions for conversion allowing holders to convert their debentures into CSX common stock, as well as causing an increase in the exercise of stock options. Thus, both could negatively impact basic earnings per share.

NOTE 16. Stock Plans

The Company adopted the fair value based method of accounting for share-based payments effective fiscal year 2003 using the prospective method described in SFAS 148. (See Note 1. Nature of Operations and Significant Accounting Policies under the caption “Share-Based Compensation”.)

Total compensation expense associated with share-based compensation was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
<th>December 26, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stock Based Compensation Expense</td>
<td>$39</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stock Options and Awards

CSX stock option and award plans provide primarily (1) stock options, (2) restricted stock awards to eligible officers and employees, (3) Long-term incentive plans, (4) stock plans for directors, and (5) other plans. Awards granted under the various plans are determined by the Board of Directors.

At December 30, 2005, there were 3,334 current or former employees with grants outstanding under the various plans. A total of approximately 39 million shares were reserved for issuance under the plans of which 6 million were available for new grants. The remaining shares are assigned to outstanding stock options and stock awards.

Stock Options

The fair value of $8.93 for stock options granted in 2003 was estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton option model and incorporating assumptions as listed below. No stock options were granted in 2004 or 2005.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 26, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black-Scholes Assumptions:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Dividend Yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk-free Interest Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Stock Volatility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Term Until Exercise</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stock options have been granted with 10-year terms. Options outstanding at December 30, 2005, are generally exercisable three to ten years after date of grant. The exercise price for options granted equals the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant. A summary of CSX’s
### Stock Option Activity and Related Information

The following table summarizes stock option activity and related information for the fiscal years ended December 30, 2005, December 31, 2004, and December 26, 2003:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
<th>December 26, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weighted-Average</td>
<td>Weighted-Average</td>
<td>Weighted-Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shares (000s)</td>
<td>Shares (000s)</td>
<td>Shares (000s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average Exercise</td>
<td>Average Exercise</td>
<td>Average Exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Price</td>
<td>Price</td>
<td>Price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding at</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of Year</td>
<td>20,594 $39.83</td>
<td>23,297 $39.27</td>
<td>26,022 $40.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3,477 $38.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expired or Canceled</td>
<td>(883) $39.97</td>
<td>(2,186) $37.83</td>
<td>(5,909) $39.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercised</td>
<td>(2,764) $35.56</td>
<td>(517) $23.29</td>
<td>(293) $22.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding at End of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>16,947 $40.30</td>
<td>20,594 $39.83</td>
<td>23,297 $39.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercisable at End of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>8,497 $42.15</td>
<td>7,758 $41.18</td>
<td>7,104 $41.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 30, 2005:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exercise Price</th>
<th>Number Outstanding (000's)</th>
<th>Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)</th>
<th>Weighted-Average Exercise Price</th>
<th>Options Outstanding</th>
<th>Options Exercisable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$20 to $29</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>$24.02</td>
<td>713 $24.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30 to $39</td>
<td>9,523</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>$36.58</td>
<td>2,838 $39.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40 to $49</td>
<td>4,509</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>$44.48</td>
<td>3,571 $44.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50 to $59</td>
<td>2,202</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>$53.12</td>
<td>1,375 $51.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16,947</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>$40.30</td>
<td>8,497 $42.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Restricted Stock Awards

Restricted stock awards vest over a three to five-year employment period. The following table provides information about outstanding restricted stock awards:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 30, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 26, 2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Number of Restricted Stock Awards
  - Outstanding (Thousands) 275 259 249
  - Weighted Average Fair Value at Grant Date $32.72 $31.21 $30.97
  - Restricted Stock Award Expense (Millions) $ 2 $ 2 $ 2

### Long-term Incentive Programs

The CSX Long-term Incentive Program, introduced in 2004, is designed to reward participants for the attainment of certain CSX financial and strategic initiatives designed to align shareholder and employee interests. The objective of the plan is to motivate and reward key members of management and executives for achieving and exceeding a two-year modified free cash flow goal. The award is payable in cash and CSX common stock. The current plan expired on December 30, 2005, and CSX issued 756 thousand shares in 2006 as a result of the achievement of performance targets for the two preceding fiscal years. Additionally, CSX recognized $75 million and $10 million in expense associated with this program for the fiscal years ended December 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.
The Company intends to continue to motivate and reward key members of management and executive officers for achieving certain performance criteria through the use of long-term incentive compensation plans at least partially payable in CSX common stock.

**Stock Plan for Directors**

The Stock Plan for Directors, approved by the shareholders in 1992, governs in part the manner in which directors’ fees and retainers are paid. In 2004, the minimum retainer to be paid in CSX common stock increased from 40% to 50%. In addition, each director may elect to receive up to 100% of the remaining retainer and fees in the form of common stock of CSX. In 1997, shareholders approved amendments to the Plan that would permit additional awards of stock or stock options. The following table provides information about shares issued to directors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
<th>December 26, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shares Issued to Directors (Thousands)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expense (Millions)</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For 2005, the Plan permits each director, in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 409A, to defer receipt of fees. Deferred fee amounts are credited to an unfunded account and may be invested in eight investment choices, including a CSX common stock equivalent fund. Distributions are made in accordance with elections made by the directors consistent with the terms of the Plan. At December 30, 2005, there were 593 thousand shares of common stock reserved for issuance under this plan.

**Employee Stock Purchase Plan**

The 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") allowed eligible employees to purchase CSX common stock at a discount. Specifically, participating employees were able to purchase CSX stock at the lower of 85% of fair market value on December 1 (beginning of the annual offering period) or 85% of fair market value on November 30 of the following year (end of the annual offering period). In effect, employees received a 12-month stock option to purchase CSX stock. Once purchased, the shares were unrestricted and could generally be sold or transferred at any time. Employees purchased approximately 540,000 shares under this plan during 2003. This plan was not extended beyond 2003.

**Shareholder Dividend Reinvestment Plan**

CSX maintains the Shareholder Dividend Reinvestment Plan under which shareholders may purchase additional shares of stock. The following table provides information about shares available for issuance under this plan as of December 30, 2005, December 31, 2004 and December 26, 2003.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Thousands)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 30, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Shares Available for Issuance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Stock Repurchases**

The Board of Directors has authorized CSX to purchase shares of its common stock from time to time in an amount up to approximately $150 million in any fiscal year. Pursuant to this authority, CSX intends to purchase shares of CSX common stock in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions.

**NOTE 17. Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

Fair values of the Company’s financial instruments are estimated by reference to quoted prices from market sources and financial institutions, as well as other valuation techniques. Long-term debt is the only financial instrument of the Company with fair values significantly different from their
carrying amounts. The fair value of long-term debt has been estimated using discounted cash flow analysis based upon the Company’s current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of financing arrangements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fair Value</td>
<td>$6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying Value</td>
<td>$6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE 18. Employee Benefit Plans**

**General**

The Company sponsors defined benefit pension plans principally for salaried, management personnel. The plans provide eligible employees with retirement benefits based predominantly on years of service and compensation rates near retirement. Under the CSX pension plan, employees hired after December 31, 2002 are covered by a cash formula. The cash balance formula provides benefits by utilizing interest and pay credits based upon age, service and compensation.

In addition to the defined benefit pension plans, CSX sponsors one postretirement medical plan and one life insurance plan that provide benefits to full-time, salaried, management employees hired prior to January 1, 2003, upon their retirement if certain eligibility requirements are met. The postretirement medical plan is contributory (partially funded by retirees), with retiree contributions adjusted annually. The life insurance plan is non-contributory.

**Summary of Participants as of January 1, 2005**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pension Plans</th>
<th>Postretirement Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Employees</td>
<td>6,654</td>
<td>4,325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirees and Beneficiaries</td>
<td>11,079</td>
<td>11,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5,980</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23,713</td>
<td>15,885</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As permitted by SFAS 87, *Employers Accounting for Pensions* ("SFAS 87"), the Company has elected to use a plan measurement date of September 30 to actuarially value its pension and postretirement plans as it provides for more timely analysis. The Company engages independent, external actuaries to compute the amounts of liabilities and expenses relating to these plans subject to the assumptions that the Company selects as of the beginning of the plan year.

The benefit obligation for these plans represents the liability of the Company for current and retired employees and is affected primarily by the following:

- Service cost (benefits attributed to employee service during the period)
- Interest cost (interest on the liability due to the passage of time)
- Actuarial gains/losses (experience during the year different from that assumed and changes in plan assumptions)
- Benefits paid to participants

Currently, there is proposed legislation regarding pension plan funding requirements. If the proposed legislation is passed, pension plan funding requirements will be increased. The impact to the Company of this proposed legislation is unknown at this time.

**Cashflows**

Plan assets are amounts that have been segregated and restricted to provide benefits, and include amounts contributed by the Company and amounts earned from investing contributions, less
benefits paid. The Company funds the cost of the postretirement medical and life insurance benefits on a pay-as-you go basis. CSX expects to make cash contributions of approximately $9 million to its pension plans in 2006. The benefits as of December 30, 2005 expected to be paid in each of the next five fiscal years, and in the aggregate for the five fiscal years thereafter are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expected Cashflows</th>
<th>Pension Benefits</th>
<th>Postretirement Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Dollars in millions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$ 147</td>
<td>$ 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thereafter</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,457</td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Plan Assets**

Asset management for the pension fund is founded upon an asset allocation strategy that was developed using asset return simulation in conjunction with projected plan liabilities. The allocation seeks maximization of returns within the constraints of acceptable risks considering the long-term investment horizon. CSX has established a target allocation of 60% equity and 40% fixed income investments. The goal is to maintain assets at or above benefit obligations (long-term liabilities) without corporate contributions.

The distribution of pension plan assets as of the measurement date is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>September 30, 2005</th>
<th>September 30, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Total Assets</td>
<td>Amount (Dollars in millions)</td>
<td>Percent of Total Assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Stocks</td>
<td>$928</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Income</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Cash Equivalents</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,536</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Company provides investment guidelines to both the plan’s fixed income and equity fund managers. Within the broad asset classes that comprise the plan’s investments, common stocks are diversified based on allocations to domestic and foreign stocks as mandated by the Company. Allocations are maintained at within 3% of targets. The U.S. stock segment includes style diversification among managers of large capitalization stocks and small capitalization stocks. The Company limits industry sectors, outlines individual stock issuer concentration and monitors the use or prohibition of derivatives and CSX securities.

Fixed income securities are diversified across fund managers and investment style and are benchmarked to a long duration index. The Company specifies the types of allowable investments such as government, corporate and asset-backed bonds, and limits diversification between domestic and foreign investments and the use of derivatives. Additionally, the Company stipulates minimum credit quality constraints and any prohibited securities.

Individual investments or fund managers are selected in accordance with standards of prudence as it applies to asset diversification and investment suitability. Monitoring fund investment performance is ongoing. Acceptable performance is determined in the context of the long-term return objectives of the fund and appropriate asset class benchmarks.
## Benefit Obligation and Plan Asset Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pension Benefits</th>
<th>Postretirement Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>December 30, 2005</td>
<td>December 31, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Dollars in millions)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actuarial Present Value of Benefit Obligation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated Benefit Obligation</td>
<td>$1,947</td>
<td>$1,825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected Benefit Obligation</td>
<td>2,078</td>
<td>1,941</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Change in Projected Benefit Obligation:

- **Projected Benefit Obligation at Beginning of Plan Year**: $1,941, $1,916, $509, $513
- **Service Cost**: 34, 37, 8, 9
- **Interest Cost**: 107, 111, 24, 25
- **Impact of Plan Changes/Special Termination Benefits**: 2, 51, -2, 20
- **Plan Participants’ Contributions**: —, —, 14, 12
- **Actuarial (Gain) /Loss**: 141, 12, (58), (20)
- **Benefits Paid**: (147), (186), (53), (50)

**Benefit Obligation at End of Plan Year**: $2,078, $1,941, $444, $509

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pension Benefits</th>
<th>Postretirement Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>December 30, 2005</td>
<td>December 31, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Dollars in millions)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Change in Plan Assets:

- **Fair Value of Plan Assets at Beginning of Plan Year**: $1,458, $1,451, N/A, N/A
- **Actual Return on Plan Assets**: 210, 165, N/A, N/A
- **Employer Contributions**: 15, 28, $39, $38
- **Plan Participants’ Contributions**: —, —, 14, 12
- **Benefits Paid**: (147), (186), (53), (50)

**Fair Value of Plan Assets at End of Plan Year**: $1,536, $1,458, $—, $—

**Funded Status**: $ (542), $ (483), $ (444), $ (509)

### Funded Status and Amounts Recognized in Consolidated Balance Sheets

The funded status, or amount by which the benefit obligation exceeds the fair value of plan assets, represents a liability. At December 30, 2005, the status of CSX plans is as follows:

- For plans with a projected benefit obligation in excess of plan assets: $2.1 billion
- For plans with an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets: $1.9 billion
The following table shows the reconciliation of the funded status of the plans with the amount recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pension Benefits</th>
<th>Postretirement Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>December 30, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>December 30, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funded Status</td>
<td>$(542)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrecognized Actuarial Loss</td>
<td>477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrecognized Prior Service Cost</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Quarter Activity:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer Contributions to Pension Plans</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Postretirement Benefits Paid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Amount Recognized in Consolidated Balance Sheet</td>
<td>$ (38)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A liability is recognized if net periodic pension cost (cost of a pension plan for a period, including service cost, interest cost, actual return on plan assets, gain or loss, amortization of unrecognized prior service cost) recognized exceeds amounts the employer has contributed to the plan. An asset is recognized if net periodic pension cost is less than amounts the employer has contributed to the plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pension Benefits</th>
<th>Postretirement Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>December 30, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>December 30, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued Benefit Liability</td>
<td>$(462)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangible Asset</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Amount Recognized in Consolidated Balance Sheet</td>
<td>$(38)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net Periodic Benefit Expense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pension Benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Years Ended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Return on Plan Assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of Prior Service Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of Net Loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Periodic Benefit Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Termination Benefits — Workforce Reduction Program/Curtailments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Periodic Benefit Expense Including Termination Benefits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Curtailments

Due to the termination of employees under the management restructuring plan (see Note 5. Management Restructuring), a curtailment occurred in CSX’s pension plan and postretirement medical plan. The estimated cost of the curtailments of $24 million was included in the management restructuring charge for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004. Due to the curtailments, the Company was required to update its measurement of the assets and obligations of these plans, which affected the net periodic benefit costs beginning in the second quarter of 2004. A substantial portion of benefits provided under the management restructuring initiatives was paid from assets of the Company’s defined benefit pension plans.

The $13 million termination charge in 2003 represents a curtailment charge associated with the retirement of the Company’s former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer.

Additional Minimum Liability

During 2005 and 2004, CSX recorded changes in its minimum pension liability and its shares of changes in Conrail’s minimum pension liability. These changes did not affect net earnings, but are a component of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss on an after tax basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Pension Liability</td>
<td>$(10)</td>
<td>$(26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated Effect Net of Taxes</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conrail Effect Net of Taxes</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assumptions

Weighted-average assumptions used in accounting for the plans are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expected Long-term Return on Plan Assets:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Cost for Plan Year</td>
<td>8.50%</td>
<td>8.90%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Obligation at End of Plan Year</td>
<td>8.50%</td>
<td>8.50%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount Rates:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Cost for Plan Year</td>
<td>5.75%</td>
<td>6.00%</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Obligation at End of Plan Year</td>
<td>5.25%</td>
<td>5.75%</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary Scale Inflation</td>
<td>3.60%</td>
<td>3.20%</td>
<td>3.60%</td>
<td>3.20%</td>
<td>3.20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The net postretirement benefit obligation was determined using the following assumptions for health care cost trend rate for medical plans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postretirement Benefits</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health Care Cost Trend Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Components of Benefit Cost:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-65</td>
<td>12% decreasing to 4.5%</td>
<td>11% decreasing to 4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-65</td>
<td>13% decreasing to 4.5%</td>
<td>11% decreasing to 4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Obligations: Pre-65</td>
<td>11% decreasing to 4.5%</td>
<td>12% decreasing to 4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Obligations: Post-65</td>
<td>12% decreasing to 4.5%</td>
<td>13% decreasing to 4.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A 1% change in the assumed health care cost trend rate would have the following effects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1% Increase</th>
<th>1% Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Dollars in millions)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect on postretirement benefits service and interest cost</td>
<td>$ 1</td>
<td>$(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect on postretirement benefit obligation</td>
<td>$18</td>
<td>$(16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003**

The Company is required to estimate and record the effects of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 ("Act"). The Company determined that its medical plan’s prescription drug benefit will qualify as actuarially equivalent to Medicare Part D based upon a review by the plan’s health and welfare actuary of the plan’s benefit compared with the benefit that would be paid under Medicare Part D. The reduction in the postretirement benefit obligation as a result of the Act was approximately $56 million as of December 30, 2005.

CSX has applied for the tax free 28% federal reimbursement of total prescription drug claims from $250 to $5,000 paid after January 1, 2006.

**Other Plans**

The Company maintains savings plans for virtually all full-time salaried employees and certain employees covered by collective bargaining agreements. Expense associated with these plans was $19 million, $15 million and $16 million for 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Under collective bargaining agreements, the Company participates in a number of multiemployer medical insurance plans providing health insurance coverage to its contract employees. The participating employers make contributions on a pay-as-you-go basis generally based upon the number of its employees participating in the plan. Total contributions of $376 million, $368 million and $360 million were made to these plans in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

**NOTE 19. Commitments and Contingencies**

**Lease Commitments**

The Company has various lease agreements with other parties with terms up to 27 years. Non-cancelable, long-term leases generally include provisions for maintenance, options to purchase and options to extend the terms.

At December 30, 2005, minimum building and equipment rentals and commitments for vessels under these operating leases are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Operating Leases</th>
<th>Sublease Income</th>
<th>Net Lease Commitments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Dollars in millions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$ 232</td>
<td>$ 56</td>
<td>$176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thereafter</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,243</td>
<td>$356</td>
<td>$887</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Operating leases and an equal portion of sublease income include approximately $229 million relating to ongoing operating lease commitments for vessels and equipment, which have been subleased to Horizon. CSX believes Horizon will fulfill its contractual commitments with respect to such leases, and CSX will have no further liabilities for those obligations.
In addition to the commitments in the following table, the Company also has agreements covering equipment leased from Conrail. (See Note 2. Investment In and Integrated Rail Operations with Conrail, for a description of these commitments.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For Years Ended</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
<th>December 26, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rent Expense on Operating Leases</td>
<td>$523</td>
<td>$567</td>
<td>$556</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The majority of rent expense on operating leases relates to net daily rental charges on railroad operating equipment which are not long-term commitments.

The Company recognizes rent expense associated with operating leases that include escalations over their term using the straight-line method.

**Matters Arising Out of Sale of International Container-Shipping Assets**

In 2003, CSX finalized a settlement agreement with Maersk resolving all remaining material disputes pending directly between the two companies, consisting predominantly of two major disputes. The first dispute involved a post-closing working capital adjustment to the sale price for which the Company had recorded a receivable of approximately $70 million. The second dispute involved a claim of 425 million Dutch Guilders (approximately $180 million at then prevailing currency exchange rates) plus interest by European Container Terminals ("ECT") alleging breaches of contract by the Company at the Rotterdam container terminal facility owned by ECT.

Also in 2003, CSX entered into a final settlement agreement with Maersk allocating responsibility between the two companies for third party claims and litigation relating to the assets acquired by Maersk. The two settlements reduced the Company’s 2003 earnings by $108 million pretax, $67 million after tax. This charge is reflected in Operating Expense as an Additional Loss on the Sale of the international container-shipping assets. Neither settlement had a material impact on cash flows.

**Purchase Commitments**

CSXT has a commitment under a long-term maintenance program that currently covers 43% of CSXT’s fleet of locomotives. The agreement is based on the maintenance cycle for each locomotive and is currently predicted to expire no earlier than 2026 and as late as 2031, depending upon when additional locomotives are placed in service. The costs expected to be incurred through the duration of the agreement fluctuate as locomotives are placed into or removed from service or as required maintenance is adjusted. CSXT may terminate the agreement at its option after 2012 though such action will trigger certain liquidated damages provisions. Under the program, CSXT paid $170 million, $151 million and $130 million during the fiscal years ended 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

As a result of agreements executed in August 2005 and February 2006, CSXT has purchase obligations supporting a multi-year plan to acquire additional locomotives between 2006 and 2011. The amount of the ultimate purchase commitment depends upon the model of locomotive acquired and the timing of delivery.
Annual payments under the long-term maintenance program and locomotive purchase obligations are estimated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Payments (Dollars in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-2031</td>
<td>5,855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$7,639</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additionally, the Company has various commitments to purchase technology and communications services. The terms for the various agreements call for the Company to pay $36 million, $31 million and $2 million for the fiscal years ending 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. The largest purchase obligation is for communications services of $24 million per year for the years 2006 through 2007.

**STB Proceeding**

In 2001 Duke Energy Corporation (“Duke”) filed a complaint before the STB alleging that certain CSXT common carrier coal rates were unreasonably high. CSXT and Duke reached a settlement agreement pursuant to which Duke dismissed the STB proceedings with prejudice. Consequently, the Company reversed a $17 million reserve which increased coal, coke and iron ore revenue. Resolution of this matter in 2005 did not have a material impact on the Company’s financial condition, results of operations or liquidity for any period presented. Duke and CSXT have entered into a transportation contract establishing commercial terms for the future transportation of coal to Duke power plants served by CSXT.

**Insurance**

The Company maintains numerous insurance programs, most notably for third-party casualty liability and for Company property damage and business interruption with substantial limits. A specific amount of risk ($25 million per occurrence) is retained by the Company on the casualty program and noncatastrophic property damage. The Company retains $50 million of risk per occurrence for its catastrophic property coverage. For information on insurance issues resulting from the effects of Hurricane Katrina on the Company’s operations and assets, see Note 6 Hurricane Katrina.

**Guarantees**

CSX and its subsidiaries are contingently liable individually and jointly with others as guarantors of approximately $117 million in obligations principally relating to leased equipment, vessels and joint facilities used by the Company in its business operations. Utilizing the Company’s guarantee for these obligations allows the obligor to take advantage of lower interest rates and obtain other favorable terms. Guarantees are contingent commitments issued by the Company that could require CSX or one of its affiliates to make payment to or to perform certain actions for the beneficiary of the guarantee based on another entity’s failure to perform.

1. Guarantee of approximately $85 million of obligations of a former subsidiary, CSX Energy, in connection with a sale-leaseback transaction. CSX is, in turn, indemnified by several subsequent owners of the subsidiary against payments made with respect to this guarantee. CSX management does not expect that CSX will be required to make any payments under this guarantee for which CSX will not be reimbursed.
2. Guarantee of approximately $16 million relating to leases assumed as part of the conveyance of its interest in a former subsidiary, CSX Lines, subsequently renamed Horizon Lines LLC ("Horizon"). CSX believes Horizon will fulfill its contractual commitments with respect to such leases, and CSX will have no further liabilities for those obligations.

3. Guarantee of approximately $13 million of lease commitments assumed by A.P. Moller-Maersk ("Maersk") for which CSX is contingently liable. CSX believes Maersk will fulfill its contractual commitments with respect to such lease commitments, and CSX will have no further liabilities for those obligations.

As of December 30, 2005, the Company has not recognized any liabilities in its financial statements in connection with any guarantees arrangements. The maximum amount of future payments CSX could be required to make under these guarantees is the amount of the guarantees themselves.

Other Legal Proceedings

The Company is involved in routine litigation incidental to its business and is a party to a number of legal actions and claims, various governmental proceedings and private civil lawsuits, including those related to environmental matters, Federal Employers’ Liability Act claims by employees, other personal injury claims, and disputes and complaints involving certain transportation rates and charges. Some of the legal proceedings include claims for compensatory as well as punitive damages, and others purport to be class actions. While the final outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, considering among other things the meritorious legal defenses available and liabilities that have been recorded along with applicable insurance, it is the opinion of CSX management that none of these items will have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial position or liquidity of the Company. An unexpected adverse resolution of one or more of these items, however, could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial position or liquidity of the Company in a particular quarter or fiscal year.

NOTE 20. Business Segments

The Company operates primarily in two business segments: rail and intermodal. The rail segment provides rail freight transportation over a network of approximately 21,000 route miles in 23 states, the District of Columbia and two Canadian provinces. The intermodal segment provides integrated rail and truck transportation services and operates a network of dedicated intermodal facilities across North America. The Company’s segments are strategic business units that offer different services and are managed separately. The rail and intermodal segments are also viewed on a combined basis as Surface Transportation operations.

The Company evaluates performance and allocates resources based on several factors, of which the primary financial measure is business segment operating income. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Nature of Operations and Significant Accounting Policies (See Note 1. Nature of Operations and Significant Accounting Policies.)

Consolidated operating income includes the results of operations of Surface Transportation and other operating income. Other operating income includes the gain amortization on the CSX Lines conveyance, net sublease income from assets formerly included in the Company’s Marine Services segment, and other items.

The International Terminals business segment has been reclassified to Discontinued Operations. (See Note 4. Discontinued Operations.)
Business segment information for the fiscal years ended December 30, 2005, December 31, 2004 and December 26, 2003 is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface Transportation</th>
<th>Rail</th>
<th>Intermodal</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2005</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues from External Customers</td>
<td>$7,256</td>
<td>$1,362</td>
<td>$8,618</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$8,618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment Operating Income</td>
<td>1,301</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>1,549</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets</td>
<td>23,177</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>23,482</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>23,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation Expense</td>
<td>779</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Additions</td>
<td>1,091</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1,116</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2004</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues from External Customers</td>
<td>$6,694</td>
<td>$1,346</td>
<td>$8,040</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$8,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment Operating Income</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets</td>
<td>22,927</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>23,240</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>23,792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation Expense</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Additions</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2003</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues from External Customers</td>
<td>$6,182</td>
<td>$1,264</td>
<td>$7,446</td>
<td>$127</td>
<td>$7,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersegment Revenues for Business Segments</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment Operating Income</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets</td>
<td>16,333</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>16,733</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>17,347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation Expense</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Additions</td>
<td>974</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1,021</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1,042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A reconciliation of the totals reported for the business segments to the applicable line items in the consolidated financial statements is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
<th>December 26, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenues:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total External Revenues for Business Segments</td>
<td>$8,618</td>
<td>$8,040</td>
<td>$7,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersegment Revenues for Business Segments</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elimination of Intersegment Revenues</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Consolidated Revenues</strong></td>
<td>$8,618</td>
<td>$8,040</td>
<td>$7,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Income:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Income for Business Segments</td>
<td>$1,550</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unallocated Corporate Expenses</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Loss on Sale</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(108)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Consolidated Operating Income</strong></td>
<td>$1,550</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$520</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Assets:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>December 30, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets for Business Segments</td>
<td>$23,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in Conrail</td>
<td>603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elimination of Intersegment Payables (Receivables)</td>
<td>(83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-segment Assets (Liabilities)</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Consolidated Assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>$24,232</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation Expense</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation for Business Segments</td>
<td>$818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Segment Depreciation</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Consolidated Depreciation Expense</strong></td>
<td><strong>$826</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Additions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Additions for Business Segments</td>
<td>$1,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-segment Property Additions</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Consolidated Property Additions</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,136</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NOTE 21. Other Long-term Assets and Other Long-term Liabilities

**Other Long-term Assets**

Other Long-term Assets at December 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 consisted of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>December 30, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Plan Assets</td>
<td>$127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term Deposits</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Long-term Assets</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available for Sale Securities</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate Development Costs</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Issuance Costs</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Income Taxes Receivable</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Other Long-term Assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>$790</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Other Long-term Liabilities**

Other Long-term Liabilities at December 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 consisted of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Years Ended</th>
<th>December 30, 2005</th>
<th>December 31, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Pension Plan Liability</td>
<td>$ 554</td>
<td>$ 547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postretirement Benefit Liability</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Deferred Gains</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Long-term Liabilities</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued Deferred Compensation</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Interest</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Lease Payments</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued Sick Leave</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Income Taxes Payable</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Other Long-term Liabilities</td>
<td>$1,471</td>
<td>$1,515</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Dollars in millions)
NOTE 22. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited) (a) (b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(dollars in millions, except per share amounts)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Revenue</td>
<td>$2,108</td>
<td>$2,166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Expense</td>
<td>1,754</td>
<td>1,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Income</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Income (Expense)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Expense</td>
<td>(114)</td>
<td>(110)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Debt Repurchase Expense & (192) & | & & & & & & &
| Earnings from Continuing Operations before Income Taxes | 238 | 159 | 264 | 375 | 40 | 178 | 176 | 243 |
| Income Tax (Expense) Benefit | (84) | 6 | (100) | (138) | (13) | (60) | (62) | (84) |
| Earnings from Continuing Operations | 154 | 165 | 164 | 237 | 27 | 118 | 114 | 159 |
| Discontinued Operations — Net of Tax | 425 | — | — | — | 3 | 1 | 9 | (93) |
| Net Earnings | $579 | $165 | $164 | $237 | $30 | $119 | $123 | $66 |
| Earnings Per Share: | | | | | | | | |
| From Continuing Operations | $0.72 | $0.76 | $0.75 | $1.09 | $0.13 | $0.55 | $0.53 | $0.74 |
| Discontinued Operations | 1.97 | — | — | — | 0.01 | — | 0.04 | (0.43) |
| Net Earnings | $2.69 | $0.76 | $0.75 | $1.09 | $0.14 | $0.55 | $0.57 | $0.31 |
| Earnings Per Share Assuming Dilution: | | | | | | | | |
| From Continuing Operations | $0.68 | $0.73 | $0.72 | $1.03 | $0.13 | $0.53 | $0.51 | $0.71 |
| Discontinued Operations | 1.88 | — | — | — | 0.01 | — | 0.04 | (0.41) |
| Net Earnings | $2.56 | $0.73 | $0.72 | $1.03 | $0.14 | $0.53 | $0.55 | $0.30 |
| Dividend Per Share | $0.10 | $0.10 | $0.10 | $0.13 | $0.10 | $0.10 | $0.10 | $0.10 |
| Market Price | $43.54 | $44.10 | $46.89 | $51.60 | $36.26 | $33.04 | $34.28 | $40.46 |
| Low | $36.90 | $38.01 | $42.48 | $42.70 | $28.80 | $29.28 | $29.96 | $33.09 |

(a) Periods presented are 13-week quarters, except for the fourth quarter of 2004, which was 14 weeks.
(b) All periods presented have been restated for Discontinued Operations.
(c) A charge of $53 million pretax for separation expenses related to the management restructuring announced in November 2003 at the Company’s Surface Transportation units. (See Note 5. Management Restructuring.)
(d) A charge of $15 million pretax for separation expenses related to the management restructuring announced in November 2003 at the Company’s Surface Transportation units. (See Note 5. Management Restructuring.)
(e) CSX completed a corporate reorganization of Conrail that resulted in the direct ownership of certain Conrail assets by CSXT. This transaction was accounted for at fair value and resulted in a net gain of $16 million after tax, which is included in other income. (See Note 2. Investment In and Integrated Rail Operations with Conrail.)
(f) Ohio enacted legislation to gradually eliminate its corporate franchise tax. This legislative change resulted in an income tax benefit of $71 million. (See Note 8. Income Taxes.)
(g) CSX updated its assessment of the unasserted liability exposure, which resulted in recognition of a $38 million pretax, favorable change in estimate. (See Note 11. Casualty, Environmental, and Other Reserves.)
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of December 30, 2005, under the supervision and with the participation of CSX’s Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) and Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”), management has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 30, 2005. There were no changes in the Company’s internal controls over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of 2005 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

CSX’s management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of the management of CSX, including CSX’s CEO and CFO, CSX conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 30, 2005 based on the framework in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”). Based on that evaluation, management of CSX concluded that the Company’s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 30, 2005.

Management’s assessment of the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 30, 2005 has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included elsewhere herein.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no material changes in the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.
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Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant
In accordance with Instruction G(3) of Form 10-K, the information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement, except for the information regarding the executive officers of the Registrant which is included in Part I of this report under the caption “Executive Officers of the Registrant.”

Item 11. Executive Compensation
In accordance with Instruction G(3) of Form 10-K, the information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management
In accordance with Instruction G(3) of Form 10-K, the information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions
In accordance with Instruction G(3) of Form 10-K, the information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services
In accordance with Instruction G(3) of Form 10-K, the information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement.
Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) (1) Financial Statements

See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements on page 56.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

The information required by Rule 3-09 is included in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Investment In and Integrated Rail Operations with Conrail and the Unaudited and Audited Consolidated Financial Statements of Conrail, Inc., filed herewith as Exhibit 99.3*. The information required by Schedule II is included in Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Casualty, Environmental and Other Reserves. All other financial statement schedules are not applicable.

(3) Exhibits


3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 14, 2004)

3.2 Bylaws of the Registrant, amended effective as of May 3, 2006 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on February 13, 2006)

4.1(a) Indenture, dated August 1, 1990, between the Registrant and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as Trustee (incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant’s Form SE, dated September 7, 1990, filed with the Commission)

4.1(b) First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 15, 1991, between the Registrant and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as Trustee (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1(c) to the Registrant’s Form SE, dated May 28, 1992, filed with the Commission)

4.1(c) Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 6, 1997, between the Registrant and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as Trustee (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1(c) to the Registrant’s Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-28523) filed with the Commission on June 5, 1997)

4.1(d) Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 22, 1998, between the Registrant and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as Trustee (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1(d) to the Registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on May 12, 1998)

4.1(e) Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 30, 2001, between the Registrant and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as Trustee (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1(e) to the Registrant’s Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on November 7, 2001)

4.1(f) Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 27, 2003 between the Registrant and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as Trustee (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1(f) to the Registrant’s Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on October 27, 2003)

4.1(g) Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 23, 2004 between the Registrant and JP Morgan Chase Bank, formerly The Chase Manhattan Bank, as Trustee (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1(g) to the Registrant’s Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on November 3, 2004)

Pursuant to Regulation S-K, Item 601(b)(4)(iii), instruments that define the rights of holders of the Registrant’s long-term debt securities, where the long-term debt securities authorized under...
each such instrument do not exceed 10% of the Registrant’s total assets, have been omitted and will be furnished to the Commission upon request.

10.1** CSX Stock Plan for Directors (as amended through January 1, 2004) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 10, 2004)

10.2** Corporate Director Deferred Compensation Plan (as amended through January 1, 2004) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 10, 2004)

10.3** CSX Corporation 2002 Corporate Director Deferred Compensation Plan (as amended through January 1, 2004) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 10, 2004)

10.4** CSX Directors’ Charitable Gift Plan, as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 4, 1994)

10.5** CSX Directors’ Matching Gift Plan (as amended through December 31, 2003) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 10, 2004)

10.6** Special Employment Agreement with M. J. Ward (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant’s Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on November 7, 2001)

10.7** Restricted Stock Award Agreement with M. J. Ward (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant’s Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on November 7, 2001)

10.8** Railroad Retirement Benefits Agreement with M. J. Ward (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Registrant’s Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on February 26, 2003)

10.9** Employment Agreement with O. Munoz (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant’s Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on July 30, 2003)

10.10** Restricted Stock Award Agreement with O. Munoz (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant’s Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on July 30, 2003)

10.11** Form of Employment Agreement with executive officers (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on January 6, 2005)

10.12** Form of Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.17 of the Registrant’s Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 4, 2002)


10.16** Supplementary Savings Plan and Incentive Award Deferral Plan for Eligible Executives of CSX Corporation and Affiliated Companies (as Amended through February 7, 2003) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Registrant’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 10, 2004)

10.17** Special Retirement Plan of CSX Corporation and Affiliated Companies (as amended through February 14, 2001) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Registrant’s Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 4, 2002)
10.18 ** Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan of CSX Corporation and Affiliated Companies (as amended through February 14, 2001) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.24 of the Registrant’s Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 4, 2002)

10.19 ** Senior Executive Incentive Compensation Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Appendix B to the Registrant’s Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the Commission on March 17, 2000)

10.20 * CSX Omnibus Incentive Plan (as Amended through December 8, 2004) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Registrant’s Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 4, 2002)

10.21 ** 1990 Stock Award Plan as Amended and Restated Effective February 14, 1996 (as amended through September 8, 1999) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Registrant’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 7, 2000)

10.22 Transaction Agreement, dated as of June 10, 1997, by and among CSX Corporation, CSX Transportation, Inc., Norfolk Southern Corporation, Norfolk Southern Railway Company, Conrail Inc., Consolidated Rail Corporation, and CRR Holdings LLC, with certain schedules thereto (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10 to the Registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on July 8, 1997)


10.25 Amendment No. 3, dated as of August 1, 2000, to the Transaction Agreement, dated as of June 10, 1997, by and among CSX Corporation, CSX Transportation, Inc., Norfolk Southern Corporation, Norfolk Southern Railway Company, Conrail Inc., Consolidated Rail Corporation, and CRR Holdings LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Registrant’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 1, 2001)
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SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CSX CORPORATION
(Registrant)

By:  /s/ CAROLYN T. SIZEMORE
   Carolyn T. Sizemore
   Vice President and Controller
   (Principal Accounting Officer)

Dated: February 21, 2006

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated on February 21, 2006.
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<tr>
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<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>Director</td>
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<tr>
<td>William C. Richardson</td>
<td>Director</td>
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<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>Director</td>
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<td>Director</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald J. Shepard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CERTIFICATE OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

I, Michael J. Ward, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of CSX Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant’s other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined by Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
   a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
   b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
   c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
   d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant’s other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant’s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
   a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
   b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 21, 2006

/s/ MICHAEL J. WARD
Michael J. Ward
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer
CERTIFICATE OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

I, Oscar Munoz, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of CSX Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant’s other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined by Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

   a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

   b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

   c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

   d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant’s other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant’s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

   a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

   b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 21, 2006

/s/ OSCAR MUNOZ
Oscar Munoz
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of CSX Corporation on Form 10-K for the period ending December 30, 2005 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Michael J. Ward, Chief Executive Officer of the registrant, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to my knowledge, that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the registrant.

Date: February 21, 2006

/s/ MICHAEL J. WARD
Michael J. Ward
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer
CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of CSX Corporation on Form 10-K for the period ending December 30, 2005 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I, Oscar Munoz, Chief Financial Officer of the registrant, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to my knowledge, that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the registrant.

Date: February 21, 2006

/s/ OSCAR MUNOZ
Oscar Munoz
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Corporate Headquarters
500 Water Street, 15th floor
Jacksonville, FL 32202
(904) 359-3200
www.csx.com

Transfer Agent, Registrar, and Dividend Disbursing Agent
The Bank of New York
Securities Servicing
101 Barclay Street, 11 East
New York, NY 10286
(800) 521-5571

Most questions regarding your account may be answered by contacting the automated Bank of New York Shareowner Services Help Line at (800) 521-5571 from your touch-tone phone, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Service representatives are available on the Help Line from 8 a.m. to 7 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday. You may also write to the Bank of New York at the address listed above or on the Internet at www.stockbny.com.

Investor Inquiries
To receive copies of reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, recent press releases, quarterly and annual reports and additional information about CSX Corporation, visit our website at www.csx.com. Institutional investors and financial analysts with questions may contact Investor Relations at (904) 359-4812. Media and public relations inquiries should be directed to CSX Corporate Communications at (904) 366-2949.

Shareholder & Investor Information

Stock Listings
CSX’s common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange and trades with unlisted privileges on the Midwest, Boston, Cincinnati, Pacific and Philadelphia stock exchanges. The official trading symbol is “CSX”.

Annual Meeting of Shareholders
Wednesday, May 3, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. CDT
Arthur R. Outlaw Convention Center
One South Water Street
Mobile, AL 36602

Shareholder House Parties at The Greenbrier
Throughout the year, The Greenbrier offers Shareholder House Parties featuring discounted rates and special activities. The 2006 dates are: April 14-17 (Easter), July 1-5 (Independence Day) and September 1-4 (Labor Day). For information, contact Mary Ann Siers, Reservations Department, The Greenbrier, 300 W. Main Street, White Sulphur Springs, WV 24986, or phone toll-free (800) 624-6070.

The Greenbrier also offers shareholders a 10% discount on its room rates. Reservations are on a space-available basis and do not apply during CSX House Parties or when a shareholder attends a conference.

Independent Auditors
Ernst and Young LLP – Jacksonville, FL

Direct Stock Purchase and Dividend Reinvestment
CSX provides dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plans for shareholders and potential shareholders as a convenient method of acquiring CSX shares through direct purchase, dividend reinvestment and optional cash payments.

CSXDirectInvest℠ permits the purchase and sale of shares directly through The Bank of New York, the company’s transfer agent. Through this plan, no service charges or brokerage commissions apply to share purchases, and sales can be made with minimal charges and commissions. Initial investment for a non-shareholder is $500 plus a $10 one-time enrollment fee. You do not need to own shares of CSX stock to enroll in this plan. However, if you are a current shareholder, the initial investment and enrollment fee are waived.

Other benefits of CSXDirectInvest℠ include the ability to:

- Reinvest dividends automatically in CSX common stock without payment of any brokerage commissions or service charges, or you may receive dividend payments on some or all of your shares.

- Make optional cash investments with as little as $50 per month, or up to $10,000 per month, without any charges or commissions.

- Make gifts of CSX shares to others through the plan.

To obtain a prospectus or other information regarding CSXDirectInvest℠, please call or write The Bank of New York at the phone number or address below. Or, if you prefer, please visit the web site at www.stockbny.com.

The Bank of New York
Securities Servicing
CSX DirectInvest℠
101 Barclay Street, 11 East
New York, NY 10286
(800) 521-5571
Board of Directors

Elizabeth E. Bailey
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Vice President-Legislative Affairs

Peter J. Shudtz
Vice President-Regulatory Affairs and Washington Counsel

Carolyn T. Sizemore†
Vice President and Controller

† Executive officers of the corporation

Unit Officers

Michael J. Ward†
President and CEO
CSX Transportation Inc.

Tony L. Ingram†
Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
CSX Transportation Inc.

James R. Hertwig
President
CSX Intermodal Inc.

John L. West
President
CSX Technology Inc.

Stephen A. Crosby
President
CSX Real Property Inc.

Ted J. Kleisner
President and CEO
The Greenbrier Resort and Club Management Company

† Executive officers of the corporation
CSX Corporation is one of the leading transportation companies, providing rail, intermodal and rail-to-truck transload services. The company’s transportation network spans 21,000 miles, with service to 23 eastern states and the District of Columbia, and connects to more than 70 ocean, river and lake ports.

As part of a targeted investment plan announced in August of 2005, CSX Transportation is expanding its existing rail lines from Chicago to the rapidly-growing Southeast, as well as along its I-90 corridor in the densely-populated Northeast. Both of these corridors are highlighted on the map at right. The expansions will deliver improved customer service levels and volume growth.
