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## our guiding principles

## Delight the Customer

We will act with the customer in mind and deliver a great experience by anticipating the marketplace and exceeding expectations.

## Show Respect

We will value everyone in all aspects of our business and embrace differences to cultivate an inspiring, fun and productive atmosphere.

## Inspire Possibilities

We will deliver creative and innovative ideas in order to continuously improve; and by embracing change, we will leam to grow.

## Deliver Excellence

We will be accountable to achieve results through consistent execution and quality in everything we do for our stakeholders.

## Do What's Right

We will act with courage, demonstrate honest and ethical behavior and be responsible to our customers, our shareholders, our communities, our planet and each other.
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Michael J. Massey
Chief Executive Officer and President

## Dear Fellow Stockholders:

2011 was a year of significant change and decisive actions for Collective Brands. In the face of declining performance at the Payless ShoeSource division, the Company took action to change leadership, address the short-term weakness, rigorously evaluate our strategy and take aggressive action in a new direction... all with the goal of ultimately unlocking shareholder value. Today Collective Brands is:

- Focused on a new North Star for Payless ShoeSource and executing against its strategic initiatives
- Driving continued sales growth and profitability expansion at the Performance + Lifestyle Group
- Conducting a comprehensive review of strategic and financial alternatives to unlock shareholder value

With the change in leadership, we took the opportunity to reassess our strategies and execution across a number of our businesses and elevate the role of our most senior leadership through the restructuring of our Executive Committee. Our teams worked quickly, but thoroughly and with rigorous analytics, to identify the root causes of our underperformance and to take corrective actions, starting with a fundamental shift in strategy. While these corrective actions could not be rolled out in time to fully offset first and second quarter performance, we enter 2012 with our businesses well-positioned to succeed with our target customers and deliver increased shareholder value.

## Payless ShoeSource Transformation

Payless ShoeSource underwent an urgent redirection of its strategy based on a comprehensive analytical review. While we have served, and will continue to serve, a wide array of customers, the comerstone of the strategy is to re-engage with, and re-capture, budget-conscious consumers who have historically made up the largest portion of the Payless customer base. Our over-emphasis on youthful and seasonal fashion in our products and our marketing, combined with increased prices, had made Payless less responsive to the needs of that budget-conscious consumer. The prior strategy was unsustainable as higher prices, driven by higher product costs and self-imposed margin requirements, ultimately drove declining sales volumes.

Payless is implementing a "back-to-its-roots" strategy to reconnect with its core, budget-conscious consumer by:

- Improving the price/value/quality equation
- Tailoring assortments to meet the needs of varying customer segments
- Expanding segment and customer-specific messaging
- Improving the in-store experience
- Continuing to invest in international expansion to connect with consumers globally


With this as our focus, beginning in mid-2011 we made meaningful changes to Payless pricing and messaging to increase our relevance and reconnect with these customers. We expanded our Incredible Value Every Day program from a limited test to an all-store rollout, which was still building at yearend. We broadened our opening price point offerings. We marketed in more appropriate channels with more relevant messaging and imagery. We expanded the localization of our assortments to the specific customers who shop our individual stores. We also began researching and testing elements of a customer loyalty program.

Finally, we announced that we would close approximately 400 Payless stores over the next few years to eliminate weaker, less profitable stores that cannot deliver our desired customer experience. As of year-end, we had closed 298 of these stores and were working to maximize the transfer of customers to other, proximate Payless stores to retain those customers and increase the performance and vibrancy of those nearby stores.

As a result of all of our efforts, we believe the Payless business is now on the right track. In the fourth quarter, Payless delivered improved performance in comparable store sales, customer traffic and footwear units sold. The tough decisions we made in the middle of the year, such as deep markdowns to move through off-strategy product and better aligning price points with customer expectations, are beginning to show in our results. I am proud of the team and the speed with which they developed the new strategy and executed the required changes to our business. While Payless domestic sales of $\$ 2.0$ billion for the year were down 4 percent, and produced an operating loss of $\$ 96$ million, the business today is in a healthier position to serve its customers and compete for the long term. We expect to dramatically improve its performance in 2012.

## Payless ShoeSource International

Payless Intemational continued to deliver top-line growth in 2011 through the opening of new stores. However, we saw a slight decline in same store sales and the business experienced a decline in profitability. We identified the major drivers of this underperformance, which were related to product costs, product pricing and lowered inventory levels. We have examined our pricing by country, making changes as appropriate, and established plans to restore our inventory levels. Further, our international stores will benefit as our domestic pricing, product and promotional changes roll out intemationally.

Payless International delivered strong growth in revenues and profits within its franchising channel. We ended 2011 with 143 franchise stores in 17 countries - up from 62 stores in 10 countries last year. Since the end of 2011, we have added agreements with franchisees in South Korea, Thailand and Vietnam. We plan to grow franchise locations to more than 275 in 2012 and reach 700 franchise stores by year-end 2014.

## Performance + Lifestyle Group Growth

Our Performance + Lifestyle Group (PLG) delivered a 19 percent increase in sales to a record $\$ 1.0$ billion, marking eight consecutive quarters of doubledigit percentage sales growth.

Sperry Top-Sider led this outstanding growth by expanding more than 50 percent at wholesale and even faster in its emerging retail business. Spery expanded its position as a global, year-round, performance and lifestyle brand. We built strong customer affinity across a wide spectrum of age groups and broadened our appeal among women as well as men. Spery retail stores continue to exceed our expectations. We ended the year with 18 stores and plan to expand to more than 30 stores by year-end 2012. Another important component of the Spery strategy is the further expansion of the brand into apparel and accessories. We announced eight new licensing deals in a number of categories including swimwear, watches, optical, hosiery and luggage. These new products will sell in our own Sperry stores as well as through premium retailers and online beginning in spring 2013.

Saucony's sales increased for the 16th consecutive quarter based on the brand's continued success in driving innovation and product leadership in the minimalist and lightweight running category and in intemational markets. We launched updates to our Triumph and Guide models which were well-received in the run-specialty channel. Additional innovative models will continue to roll out at retail throughout the year. Saucony won several trade and media awards in 2011, including the Guide 5 being named Editor's Choice, the new Cortana winning Best Debut, and the Ride 4 winning Best Update by Runner's World.

Stride Rite delivered higher sales at both wholesale and retail in 2011. This was driven primarily by growth in the Stride Rite flagship brand in the 0 to 4 year age category, where it recaptured the number one market share position
in the children's premium footwear segment. Stride Rite also had success with licensed programs such as the Disney Princess ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ franchise, Marvel's Spider-Man@, Lucasfilm's Star Wars ${ }^{\text {TM }}$, and J essica Simpson ${ }^{\circledR}$, which added freshness and excitement to product offerings. At retail, by implementing strict discipline in the back half of the year, we improved our store assortments, customer service model, product strategies and marketing, which combined to produce accelerated sales increases by the fourth quarter. Stride Rite also announced its plan to close approximately 75 stores, 54 of which were closed by year-end, to enhance the overall performance of their store portfolio.

Our Keds business achieved sales gains in 2011 as its core Champion product got younger and gained premium distribution. Ultimately, however, we did not progress as far or as fast as we had hoped and we brought in new leadership. While we are still very early in this transition, we are confident in our emerging brand position and vision for this iconic brand.

Our International growth at PLG outpaced our outstanding domestic growth, as we continue to take all of our iconic brands global. We expanded our wholesale distribution and licensing agreements, primarily in Asia and Latin America. Several of these agreements include provisions for our partners to operate franchised retail stores. We had 36 stores operating internationally under the franchise or license model at year end.

## Conclusion

While we took significant actions across many of our businesses to make them stronger, our near-term financial results and stock performance suffered. In 2011 sales growth was a modest 2.5 percent, which was insufficient to deliver profit growth, primarily due to asset impairments, higher product costs and higher markdowns to clean out product purchased under the old strategy.

However, today at Collective Brands we are more effective and efficient - aligned behind clear strategies and initiatives across all our businesses. We are listening more closely to our customers and making faster adjustments to the business to drive financial performance. We are providing stronger leadership and making more rapid decisions.

We are transforming the Payless business model. Early results show we are on the right track. Our strategy to transform the Stride Rite business - through merchandise, store operations and marketing - is also starting to payoff. We recognize opportunities to improve profitability and we are going after them.

Our management team and the Board of Directors remain committed to unlocking shareholder value and we are continuing to conduct a strategic review to identify the most effective means of accomplishing this goal.

I thank all of the Collective Brands associates worldwide for their efforts to move our businesses forward and position the Company for financial success in 2012.
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## Forward Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements relating to such matters as anticipated financial performance, business prospects, technological developments, products, future store openings and closings, international expansion opportunities, possible strategic initiatives, new business concepts, capital expenditure plans, fashion trends, consumer spending patterns and similar matters. Statements including the words "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "believes," "seeks," or variations of such words and similar expressions are forward-looking statements. We note that a variety of factors could cause actual results and experience to differ materially from the anticipated results or expectations expressed in our forward-looking statements. The risks and uncertainties that may affect the operations, performance, development and results of our business include, but are not limited to, the following: litigation including intellectual property and employment matters; the inability to renew material leases, licenses or contracts upon their expiration on acceptable terms; changes in consumer spending patterns; changes in consumer preferences and overall economic conditions; the impact of competition and pricing; changes in weather patterns; the financial condition of the suppliers and manufacturers; changes in existing or potential duties, tariffs or quotas and the application thereof; changes in relationships between the United States and foreign countries, changes in relationships between Canada and foreign countries; economic and political instability in foreign countries, or restrictive actions by the governments of foreign countries in which suppliers and manufacturers from whom we source are located or in which we operate stores or otherwise do business; changes in trade, intellectual property, customs and/or tax laws; fluctuations in currency exchange rates; availability of suitable store locations on acceptableconnn sould causn acluers
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## PART I

## ITEM 1. 8

## General

Collective Brands, Inc. ("Collective Brands" or the "Company") is a leader in bringing compelling lifestyle, fashion and performance brands for footwear and related accessories to consumers worldwide. We operate a portfolio of brands and private brand labels sold at multiple price points through multiple selling channels including retail, wholesale, e-commerce, licensing, and franchising. Collective Brands consists of three lines of business: Payless ShoeSource ("Payless"), Collective Brands Performance + Lifestyle Group ("PLG"), and Collective Licensing ("CLI"). Payless is one of the largest footwear specialty retailers in the world. We serve the everyday needs and fashion wants of women, moms, kids, teens, and men. Payless is dedicated to providing incredible values for on-trend and validated styles of footwear and accessories. PLG is a leading provider of iconic performance and lifestyle brands, each with strong marketplace positions focused on distinct and targeted consumer segments. PLG markets performance and lifestyle footwear and related accessories for adults and children under well-known brand names including Sperry Top-Sider ${ }^{\circledR}$, Saucony ${ }^{\circledR}$, Stride Rite ${ }^{\circledR}$, and Keds ${ }^{\circledR}$. CLI is a brand development and licensing company that specializes in building, launching, licensing and growing brands focused on the youth lifestyle market, including Above the Rim ${ }^{\circledR}$, Airwalk ${ }^{\circledR}$, Spot-Bilt ${ }^{\circledR}$, Clinch Gear ${ }^{\circledR}$, Lamar ${ }^{\circledR}$, Sims ${ }^{\circledR}$, Strikeforce ${ }^{\circledR}$, and Vision Street Wear ${ }^{\circledR}$. CLI's vision is to create the leading youth lifestyle and athletic fashion branded licensing company in the world. All references to years are to our fiscal year unless otherwise stated. Fiscal year 2011 ended on January 28, 2012.

At the end of 2011, there were 4,303 Payless stores, owned or franchised, in 34 countries and territories. Payless owned stores in the United States, Canada, Caribbean, Central America, and South America sold over 120 million pairs of footwear and 50 million units of accessories through nearly 450 million customer visits during 2011. Payless sells a broad assortment of footwear including casual and dress shoes, sandals, boots, slippers, and other footwear categories in a self-selection shopping format. We also sell a broad array of accessories such as handbags, jewelry, bath-and-beauty products, and hosiery. Our stores feature a variety of mainstream and designer footwear brands including Airwalk ${ }^{\circledR}$, American Eagle ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$, Champion ${ }^{\circledR}$, Christian Siriano for Payless ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$, Dexter ${ }^{\circledR}$, Isabel Toledo for Payless ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$, Lela Rose for Payless ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$, and Safe T Step ${ }^{\circledR}$. Generally, North American stores are company-owned; stores in the Central and South American regions (as defined in the "Stores" section below) operate as joint-ventures; and stores in Europe, Asia, and the Middle East are franchised. Stores operate in a variety of real estate formats. Approximately a quarter of the company-owned stores are mallbased while the rest are located in strip centers, central business districts, and other real estate formats. We also operate payless.com ${ }^{\circledR}$ where customers buy our products online and store associates order products for customers that are not sold in all of our stores or are out of stock. Payless stores possess an average of approximately $\$ 60,000$ worth of inventory at cost depending upon the time of year (see "Seasonality" section). We focus our marketing efforts in a variety of traditional and electronic channels primarily on female, budget-conscious consumers who appreciate trend-right product. Our core customer is a female head-of-household about 35-54 years old with children. Her average annual household income is about $\$ 65,000$ and she is more likely to be African-American or Hispanic as compared to overall women self-purchasers of footwear in the U.S. Payless's most addressable consumer segment tends to be households with annual income of less than $\$ 75,000$ in the market for non-athletic footwear below $\$ 30$ per pair.

PLG is a leading provider of iconic performance and lifestyle brands, each with unique personalities and strong marketplace positions focused on distinct and targeted consumer segments. PLG is predominantly a wholesaler of footwear, selling its products mostly in North America in a wide variety of retail formats including premier department stores, specialty stores, and athletic and sporting good stores. PLG markets products in countries outside North America through owned operations, independent distributors, and licensees. PLG designs and markets categories of footwear and related accessories under various brands and trademarks, including:

| Brand and/or trademark | Product categories |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sperry Top-Sider ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Sperry ${ }^{\circledR}$ | Nautical performance, outdoor recreational, dress-casual, <br> and casual footwear for adults and children; and, apparel and <br> accessories |
| Saucony ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Saucony Originals ${ }^{\circledR}$ | Technical running, minimalist, athletic lifestyle, outdoor <br> trail, and fashion athletic shoes for adults and children; and, <br> athletic apparel and accessories |
| Keds ${ }^{\circledR}$, Pro-Keds ${ }^{\circledR}$, and Grasshoppers ${ }^{\circledR}$ | Fashion-athletic and casual footwear and accessories for <br> adults and children |
| Stride Rite ${ }^{\circledR}$, Robeez $^{\circledR}$, and other trademarks | Children's dress, athletic, and casual footwear, boots and <br> sandals |

PLG also markets its products directly to consumers through a variety of owned formats: Stride Rite children's stores, outlet stores, Stride Rite store-in-stores, Sperry Top-Sider stores, and e-commerce sites. At the end of 2011, there were 356 PLG stores, owned or franchised, in 10 countries.

## History

Payless was founded in Topeka, Kansas in 1956 with a strategy of selling low-cost, high-quality family footwear on a self-service basis. In 1962, Payless became a public company. In 1979, it was acquired by The May Department Stores Company ("May Company"). On May 4, 1996, Payless became an independent public company again as a result of a spin-off from May Company. In 1998, we reorganized forming a new Delaware corporation, Payless ShoeSource Inc., which changed its name to Collective Brands, Inc. in August 2007. In March 2007, we acquired Collective Licensing, a Denver-based brand development, management and licensing company. In August 2007, we completed our acquisition of The Stride Rite Corporation.

The Stride Rite Corporation was founded in Boston, Massachusetts in 1919, as the Green Shoe Manufacturing Company ("Green Shoe"). Green Shoe became a public company in 1960 and was listed on the New York Stock Exchange. It adopted The Stride Rite Corporation name in 1966 in recognition of its wellrespected brand name. The first Stride Rite children's store was opened in 1972. The Sperry Top-Sider and Keds brand names were acquired from Uniroyal in 1979. During 2005, The Stride Rite Corporation completed its acquisition of Saucony and in 2006 it purchased Robeez. In the third quarter of 2009, Collective Brands, Inc. announced that The Stride Rite Corporation will do business as Collective Brands Performance + Lifestyle Group.

Our principal executive offices are located at 3231 Southeast Sixth Avenue, Topeka, Kansas 66607-2207, and our telephone number is (785) 233-5171. Our common stock is listed for trading on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "PSS."

## Segments and Geographic Areas

We operate our business in four reporting segments: Payless Domestic, Payless International, PLG Wholesale and PLG Retail. See Note 16 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion on financial results by segment.
(i) The Payless Domestic reporting segment is comprised primarily of domestic retail stores under the Payless ShoeSource name, the Company's sourcing unit and Collective Licensing.
(ii) The Payless International reporting segment is comprised of international retail stores under the Payless ShoeSource name in Canada, the South American Region, the Central American Region, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands, as well as franchising arrangements under the Payless ShoeSource name.
(iii) The PLG Wholesale reporting segment consists of PLG's global wholesale operations.
(iv) The PLG Retail reporting segment consists of PLG's owned Stride Rite children's stores, PLG's outlet stores, store-in-stores at select Macy's Department Stores and Sperry Top-Sider retail stores.

## Stores

At the end of 2011, we operated a total of 4,496 wholly-owned or joint-ventured retail stores. This was comprised of 3,499 in the Payless Domestic segment, 661 stores in the Payless International segment, and 336 stores in the PLG Retail segment. In addition, we franchised 163 stores.

The average size of a store in the Payless Domestic segment is approximately 3,200 square feet. Depending upon the season and the sales volume of the store, stores employ a varying number of associates, including a store manager or shared store manager. Stores use a combination of full-time and part-time associates. By including materially remodeled stores in our calculation as new stores, Payless Domestic stores were 12 years old on average at the end of 2011. Payless stores operate in a variety of real estate formats such as shopping malls, central business districts, free-standing buildings, strip centers, and leased departments in ShopKo ${ }^{\circledR}$ stores. As of year-end, there were $144 \mathrm{ShopKo}^{\circledR}$ locations, and they are included in the table below.

Payless Domestic

| Alabama | 30 | Louisiana | 50 | Oklahoma | 42 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Alaska | 8 | Maine | 11 | Oregon | 45 |
| Arizona | 78 | Maryland | 66 | Pennsylvania | 141 |
| Arkansas | 38 | Massachusetts | 80 | Rhode Island | 13 |
| California | 477 | Michigan | 117 | South Carolina | 24 |
| Colorado | 47 | Minnesota | 48 | South Dakota | 13 |
| Connecticut | 42 | Mississippi | 36 | Tennessee | 37 |
| Delaware | 7 | Missouri | 64 | Texas | 363 |
| District of Columbia | 7 | Montana | 13 | Utah | 49 |
| Florida | 246 | Nebraska | 30 | Vermont | 7 |
| Georgia | 82 | Nevada | 28 | Virginia | 73 |
| Hawaii | 14 | New Hampshire | 18 | Washington | 82 |
| Idaho | 29 | New Jersey | 120 | West Virginia | 9 |
| Illinois | 143 | New Mexico | 27 | Wisconsin | 83 |
| Indiana | 52 | New York | 240 | Wyoming | 4 |
| Iowa | 30 | North Carolina | 55 |  |  |
| Kansas | 31 | North Dakota | 6 | Guam | 2 |
| Kentucky | 28 | Ohio | 113 | Saipan | 1 |
|  |  |  | Total Payless Domestic | $\mathbf{3 , 4 9 9}$ |  |

We opened our first wholly-owned international store in Canada in 1997 and since then our international presence has grown substantially. We entered Latin America in 2000, and primarily operate stores there through joint ventures. In 2009, we franchised our first stores in the Middle East.

The average size of our stores in the Payless International segment is approximately 2,800 square feet. By including materially remodeled stores in our calculation as new stores, our international stores were on average seven years old at the end of 2011. Our international stores operate in a variety of real estate formats, including shopping malls, central business districts, free-standing buildings, and strip centers.

As of year-end 2011, we had 661 stores in 14 foreign countries or territories that were either wholly-owned or operated as joint ventures. This includes 17 store-in-store locations within two retailers in Colombia.

| Payless Owned \& Joint Venture Stores |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada |  | Central America |  | South America |  |
| Alberta | 40 | Costa Rica | 25 | Ecuador | 38 |
| British Columbia | 39 | Dominican Republic | 18 | Colombia | 67 |
| Manitoba | 10 | El Salvador | 22 |  |  |
| New Brunswick | 7 | Guatemala | 47 | Total South America | 105 |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | 3 | Honduras | 22 | Other Countries and Territories |  |
| Nova Scotia | 11 | Jamaica | 10 | Puerto Rico | 68 |
| Ontario | 131 | Nicaragua | 13 | U.S. Virgin Islands | 5 |
| Prince Edward Island | 2 | Panama | 20 |  |  |
| Quebec | 36 | Trinidad/Tobago | 17 | Total Other Territories | 73 |
| Saskatchewan | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Canada | $\underline{\underline{289}}$ | Total Central America | $\underline{\underline{194}}$ |  |  |

In addition, our franchisees operated 143 stores in 17 countries or regions.
Payless Franchised Stores

| Bahrain | 2 | Morocco | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Egypt | 2 | Peru | 21 |
| Indonesia | 18 | Philippines | 21 |
| Israel | 10 | Russia | 14 |
| Jordan | 2 | Saudi Arabia | 29 |
| Kuwait | 5 | Singapore | 3 |
| Lebanon | 1 | Turkey | 2 |
| Malaysia | 5 | United Arab Emirates | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| Mexico | 2 | Total | $\underline{\mathbf{1 4 3}}$ |

Since year-end, we have signed agreements with franchisees to operate stores in South Korea, Thailand, and Vietnam.

Stride Rite children's stores are located primarily in larger regional shopping centers, clustered generally in the major marketing areas of the U.S. The average size of a Stride Rite children's store is approximately 1,300 square feet. Outlet stores average approximately 2,800 square feet because outlet stores carry a broad range of footwear for adults in addition to children's footwear. Most of our outlet stores are located in shopping centers consisting only of outlet stores. Sperry Top-Sider stores average approximately 1,600 square feet and tend to be located in affluent areas that embrace the nautical lifestyle that the brand projects. Five of the Sperry stores have
a side-by-side Saucony store too, which are counted as one store in the table below. By including materially remodeled stores in our calculation as new stores, PLG Retail segment stores were on average approximately seven years old at the end of 2011. We also operate Stride Rite shoe departments within select Macy's stores. This provides us with a capital efficient, additional distribution channel for our products.

The number of owned retail stores by type for the PLG Retail segment is represented in the following table:

|  | PLG Retail |
| :---: | :---: |
| Stride Rite children's stores | 204 |
| Outlet stores | 96 |
| Sperry Top-Sider stores | 18 |
| Macy's store-in-stores | 18 |
| Total PLG Retail stores | 336 |

PLG also franchised 20 free-standing stores outside North America. Each of these stores is branded "Stride Rite" and sells all our owned brands in children's sizes.

PLG Franchised Stores

| Brunei | 1 | Singapore | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Hong Kong | 2 | South Korea | 2 |
| Indonesia | 1 | Turkey | $\underline{4}$ |
| Malaysia | 4 | Total | $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{2 0}}}$ |
| Philippines | 4 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

In addition to the owned and franchised PLG retail stores, we had 97 stores managed by licensed dealers (not included in the store counts above) at the end of 2011. A licensed dealer is an independent shoe retailer that sells a high percentage of Stride Rite products. We generate sales from dealers by selling them our product. Dealers do not pay franchise or on-going fees, but must abide by certain requirements in exchange for permission to use the Stride Rite brand. Of the total, 81 stores were located in the U.S. and 16 were located internationally, predominantly in Latin America. Our sales representatives monitor the dealers' assortments and appearance. We give guidance to the dealers on store remodeling. Dealers are not obliged to participate in our store promotions.

## International Business

In 2011, $18 \%$ of Collective Brands sales came from outside the U.S. We derived sales from approximately 100 countries or territories through retailing, wholesaling, e-commerce, licensing, and franchising.

Payless International sales were $\$ 469.6$ million or $14 \%$ of total Company sales in 2011. Of the Payless International stores we wholly-own or operate through joint ventures, $44 \%$ are located in Canada; $46 \%$ are located in Latin America and the Caribbean; and $10 \%$ are located in Puerto Rico.

PLG Wholesale sells footwear in over 90 countries and territories. International wholesale sales totaled $\$ 156$ million in 2011. We use our owned operations, independent distributors and licensees to market our various product lines outside of the U.S. The largest portion of our international PLG Wholesale sales came from Europe, followed by Canada. We record revenue from foreign sources through the sale of products by our companyowned sales offices in Canada, Germany, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom, as well as through sales to independent distributors in other countries.

In addition to owned and distributor operations, we also sell our branded products through licensing and development arrangements in multiple selling channels. These partners sell PLG products on-line as well as through wholesale and retail. Their retail business is conducted through stand-alone and store-in-store formats. We have 10 partners operating in 16 countries and territories.

Licensing and development enables us to sell in certain international markets without incurring development costs and the capital commitment required to maintain foreign operations, employees, inventories, and localized marketing programs. We assist in designing products that are appropriate to each foreign market, but are consistent with our global brand positioning. Licensing and development partners independently market and distribute our branded products in their respective territories with product and marketing support provided by us.

## Store and Wholesale Operations Management

Collective Brands manages certain support functions such as information technology and finance in a mostly centralized fashion from its Topeka, Kansas and Lexington, Massachusetts offices. The Company also manages other support functions, such as loss prevention and store-level human resources, in a more decentralized fashion.

Our Retail Operations team is responsible for managing the operations of our stores, including functions such as opening and closing, store displays, inventory management, staffing, and managing the customer experience. Positions and scope are as follows:

| Title (or position) | Span of Control (or scope) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Store Leader | Manage one store |
| Multiple Store Leader | Manage two stores |
| Group Leader | Manage one store; generally oversee four others |
| District Leader | Oversee approximately 25 stores |
| Director of Retail Operations | Oversee approximately 300 stores |

The international reporting structure is very similar to Payless Domestic. However, the international span of control is more narrow than domestic as we have fewer international stores that tend to be spread further apart.

The PLG Wholesale business is divided by major brands, each with its own dedicated sales forces. Generally each sales executive is assigned a specific geographic region.

Retail locations are managed by a Store Manager who reports to a District Leader. District Leaders typically oversee approximately $25-30$ stores. These positions are responsible for managing the operations of our stores, including functions such as opening and closing, store displays, inventory management, and staffing.

Stride Rite children's stores offer customers a full-service experience that includes personalized sizing and fitting of each child by trained specialists. Outlet stores offer limited service in conjunction with a self-selection environment. Sperry stores and store-in-store locations offer full-service and self-selection shopping together.

## Employees

At the end of 2011, Collective Brands employed approximately 30,000 associates worldwide. Over 26,000 associates worked in stores, while the remaining associates worked in other capacities such as corporate support, Asia-based procurement/sourcing, licensing, and distribution centers. Our associate base was $47 \%$ full-time and $53 \%$ part-time and we had 128 associates under collective bargaining agreements at year end.

At year-end, nearly 23,000 associates worked in the Payless Domestic segment, of which approximately $40 \%$ are full-time and $60 \%$ are part-time.

The Payless International segment employed over 3,800 associates at year-end, of which approximately $80 \%$ are full-time and $20 \%$ are part time.

Approximately 900 associates at year-end were employed in various sales and support capacities in the PLG Wholesale segment, almost all of which were full-time associates.

The PLG Retail segment employed approximately 2,300 associates at year-end, of which approximately $30 \%$ are full-time and $70 \%$ are part-time.

## Competition

As a multi-channel provider of footwear and accessories, we face several different forms of competition.
The retail footwear and accessories market is highly competitive. It is comprised of department stores, footwear specialty stores, discount mass-merchandisers, sporting goods stores, and online competitors. The primary competitive levers to establish points of differentiation in our industry are merchandise selection, flow and timing, pricing, attractive styles, product quality and aesthetics, durability, comfort, convenience, and in-store experience.

We seek to compete effectively by getting to market with differentiated, trend-right merchandise before mass-market discounters. Payless strives to get trend-right merchandise to market at the same time but at more compelling values than department stores and specialty retailers. Main competitors include DSW, Famous Footwear, J.C. Penney, Kmart, Kohl's, Macy's, Marshall's, Ross Stores, Sears, Target, TJ Maxx, and Wal-Mart.

Internationally, we also seek to compete effectively by getting to market with differentiated, trend-right merchandise before mass-market discounters, but at more compelling values than department stores and specialty retailers. Our main competitors in Canada are Spring, The Shoe Company, SportChek, Sears Canada, Walmart Canada, and Zellers. About $60 \%$ of our stores in Canada are in malls. The competitive environment in Canada is becoming more fragmented due to online and other competitors. In addition, the U.S. dollar exchange rate impacts competition.

In Latin America, our competition varies by country. In Central America (except for Panama), we have two larger corporate competitors: Adoc and MD. In Colombia, our main competitors are Spring Step, Bata, and Calzatodo. We also compete in Latin America against small independent operators that vary by country.
*
On a wholesale level, we also compete with many suppliers of footwear. PLG Wholesale's most significant competitors include:

PLG Wholesale Brand

| Saucony | Sperry <br> Top-Sider | $\underline{\text { Keds }}$ | Stride Rite |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Coach | Converse Haan | Lacoste |

We compete in the children's retail shoe industry with numerous businesses, ranging from large retail chains to single store operators. The chains include Children's Place, Dillard's, Gap, Gymboree, Nordstrom, and Target.

## Seasonality

As an international multi-channel provider of footwear and accessories, we have operations that are impacted by certain seasonal factors - some of which are common across segments and channels while others are not. For the most part, our business is characterized by four high-volume seasons: Easter, the arrival of warm weather, back-to-school, and the arrival of cool weather. In preparation for each of these periods, we increase our inventory levels in our retail stores to support the increased demand for our products. For our wholesale business, we increase our inventory levels approximately three months in advance of these periods to support the increased demands from our wholesale customers for these products. We offer styles particularly suited for the relevant season such as sandals in the spring and boots during the fall. Our cash position tends to be higher in June as well as September to October, due primarily to cash received from sales during the Easter season and the cash received from sales during back-to-school, respectively. Our cash position tends to be lowest around February to March when Easter inventories are built-up but not yet sold.

Sales are typically highest for Payless Domestic in the first quarter followed closely by the third then second quarters with lower sales in the fourth quarter.

- Our stores tend to have their highest sales around the Easter selling season because customers often shop our stores for holiday-specific footwear and accessories. This is typically about 1-3 weeks in advance of the holiday.
- In second quarter, the arrival of warm weather in most major markets is a meaningful sales catalyst, but typically not quite as strong as Easter.
- Back-to-school is a significant sales catalyst in the third quarter as well as the start of cool weather which drives our boot business.
- We have lower sales in the fourth quarter compared to the other three quarters. Though holiday season customer traffic is busy relative to many other months, it does not disproportionately spike like other retailers because footwear tends to be less giftable than other retail alternatives. Furthermore, January tends to be our slowest month and we focus on clearance and spring transition.

Payless International seasonality tends to largely resemble Payless Domestic with a few important differences.

- First, a greater share of sales tends to come in December compared to Payless Domestic. In fact, December typically means more to Latin America than Easter does to Payless Domestic. In Puerto Rico and Latin America many workers get an incremental paycheck for the Christmas season.
- Secondly, compared to Payless Domestic, back-to-school is even more important for Payless International. Many Latin Americans come together and shop as family units. Back-to-school is culturally and economically a time to spend together.
- Finally, paydays are times when proportionally more is spent on footwear than on other days of a month.

The PLG Wholesale business is customarily driven by demand for spring (i.e. first half) and fall (i.e. second half) seasonal product lines.

- PLG Wholesale sales tend to be more first half-weighted compared to second half due to the nature of our brands, as well as meeting the wholesale customers' seasonal needs of their end-consumers.
- The wholesale segment's business is usually about three months earlier than Collective Brands' other three segments.
- The wholesale segment tends to have its highest inventory position around January to February and its lowest inventory position around the November to December time frame.

Retail seasonal sales volume for our Stride Rite children's stores and outlet stores tends to be approximately equivalent for the first half of the year versus the second half of the year.

- The arrival of warm weather in the first half of the year is the biggest annual sales catalyst. Easter tends not to be as significant compared to Payless.
- In the second half of the year, back-to-school and the merchandise mix shift to boots are significant sales drivers.
- PLG Retail tries to balance promotions evenly between the periods.

In their short history, Sperry Top-Sider stores have seen reasonably consistent seasonal sales patterns with notable spikes around Christmas and Father's Day.

## Supply Chain

We run an integrated supply chain that supports the product life-cycle from concept to liquidation across all reporting segments. In 2011, we sold approximately 160 million pairs of footwear through retail and wholesale combined.

## 度 1

We strive to get product to the right store at the right time and have product available for our wholesale customers at the right time in order to drive sales and margin growth. We do this through the use of a variety of systems and models related to planning, forecasting, pricing, and allocations. This helps us align our promotions, product flow, and pricing with customer shopping patterns.

We build and manage total inventory plans globally across multiple selling channels. Assortments are targeted based on customer demand and planned with specific product lifecycles. We base our decisions on how to stock stores using several criteria:

- For the Payless Domestic and Payless International segments, approximately $60 \%-65 \%$ of stores' product portfolio is the same and we localize the balance. We employ a variety of tools based on lifestyle, demographics, shopping behavior, and appetite for fashion to increasingly localize our products in stores.
- We also consider seasonality and climate by geography which impacts the timing of our inventory distribution. We have sandal and boot zones to help guide our product flow and pricing.
- In addition, we use historical precedent of stores' sales volumes and the categories of products they tend to sell.
- We also account for footwear sizes in how to stock stores. In retail, we use a size assortment matrix tool when allocating inventory to reduce aged product and markdowns in the least productive sizes.

All this is done to deliver proportionately correct assortments. Our goal is to optimize product flow and freshness as we transition between selling periods. This is intended to drive sales and improve the profitability of those sales by reducing markdowns and aged inventory.

For Payless stores in North America, our goal is to optimize price throughout products' lifecycles by using tools which enable us to change pricing for every product at a "store price cluster" level. A store price cluster is a group of stores (usually about 125) with similar levels of price elasticity for a particular product.

## 6

Our design, product development, and sourcing functions come together in our global supply chain organization. We utilize agents, as well as internal product design and development capabilities, to drive trendright designs and improve speed to market of new products. Our Asia-based teams, responsible for product development and sourcing, perform several functions including sample creation and quality control. For strategic commodities and inputs (e.g. outsoles, components), we negotiate directly with suppliers of raw materials and generally require our factories to use our preferred suppliers.

We procure products two different ways - through our direct sourcing organization or by engaging third party agents to assist in the procurement of products which we cannot or do not want to procure ourselves. Over $72 \%$ of our footwear in 2011 was procured by our direct sourcing organization - $65 \%$ for Payless and $96 \%$ for PLG. In 2011, we procured a higher percentage of our Payless products from third party agents versus the prior year in an effort to utilize the agents more for additional product trend insights.

Our sourcing team is closely aligned with our core factory base which serves the bulk of our manufacturing needs. We balance several considerations in allocating our workflow to factories such as: present and future capacity needs, costs, compliance with regulatory issues, and the impact of product complexity. Thirty-two core factories accounted for $68 \%$ of Collective Brand's footwear purchases. We have more than 100 other footwear factories with which we do a smaller amount of business.

Factories in China were a direct source of $82 \%$ of our footwear units in 2011 compared to $84 \%$ in 2010 . We are diversifying our manufacturing base not only between countries but also within China in order to reduce
costs. In 2011, we sourced $15 \%$ of our footwear from Vietnam and the remaining $3 \%$ from a variety of countries including Indonesia, Thailand, and western hemisphere countries. Products are manufactured to meet our specifications and standards. We do not purchase "seconds" or "overruns".

## 直

We maintain a flexible and efficient global logistics network that enables speed to market while mitigating transportation costs. Our systems provide perpetual planning and forecasting solutions, support multiple distribution centers, and provide information to allow us to optimize initial distribution planning and case pack configuration. During 2011, our days from order commitment-to-store ("supply chain days") increased slightly versus the prior year due to ongoing implementation of a sourcing diversification strategy which involves sourcing footwear from more remote locations. Our efforts to reduce product costs by sourcing more from outside China increased logistics distance and complexity, which added to the supply chain days. This was partially offset by the impact of improved execution, process enhancements, and optimizing our physical distribution. To ensure product arrives at locations when our customers need it, we commit earlier to assortments given the slight increase in supply chain days.

We target high-demand, in-season product for accelerated delivery ("rapid re-order") at both retail and wholesale. Through rapid re-order we attempt to maximize sales and margin on high-demand, proven items. Through rapid re-order, we reduce approximately three weeks worth of supply chain days from certain product runs.

Stores generally receive new merchandise on average twice a week from one of our pool points which are used to manage the flow-through of inventory from our distribution centers ("DCs") to our stores in an effort to maintain a constant flow of fresh and replenished merchandise. We currently use six DCs worldwide which are listed below along with their approximate size:

1. We lease an 802,000 square foot DC in Brookville, Ohio which currently serves approximately 2,400 Payless stores in North America, all Stride Rite stores, and Stride Rite's U.S. wholesale business.
2. We own a 520,000 square foot DC in Louisville, Kentucky which serves U.S. wholesale operations for Keds, Saucony, and Sperry Top-Sider. It also serves Sperry retail stores.
3. We lease a 414,000 square foot DC in Redlands, California which serves approximately 1,800 Payless stores in North America.
4. We contract for 67,500 square feet of distribution space, together with contiguous showroom and office space, in Mississauga, Ontario which serves our PLG Wholesale business in Canada.
5. We lease a 94,000 square feet of distribution and showroom space in Heerhugowaard, The Netherlands to support our PLG operations in Europe.
6. We contract with a third-party in Colon, Panama to operate a distribution facility for our Payless operations in Latin America.

We lease an 87,000 square feet of office space in multiple locations in China, Taiwan, and Vietnam. The functions of our associates in these Asia locations are primarily related to sourcing, inspection, costing, and logistics.

## Intellectual Property

Through our wholly-owned subsidiaries, we own certain copyrights, trademarks, patents and domain names which we use in our business and regard as valuable assets.

The trademarks and service marks used in our Payless business include Payless ${ }^{\circledR}$, Payless ShoeSource ${ }^{\circledR}$, and various logos used on our Payless ShoeSource store signs and in advertising, including our traditional yellow and orange signage and our orange and blue circle " P " logos. The domain names include Payless.com ${ }^{\circledR}$, as well as derivatives of Payless ShoeSource. We also own and use the following trademarks and brands in Payless stores: Airwalk ${ }^{\circledR}$, Above the Rim ${ }^{\circledR}$, and Spot-Bilt ${ }^{\circledR}$.

Currently, we have agreements in place regarding the following brands:

| Trademark | Licensor | Expires |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blue's Clues, SpongeBob SquarePants, and Pro-Slime | MTV Networks, a division of Viacom International, Inc. | December 31, 2012 |
| The Last Airbender ${ }^{\circledR}$ | MTV Networks, a division of Viacom International, Inc. | December 31, 2012 |
| Star Wars ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Star Wars: The Clone Wars ${ }^{\circledR}$ properties | Lucasfilm Ltd. | December 31, 2012 |
| American Ballet Theatre ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ | Ballet Theatre Foundation, Inc. | January 31, 2013 |
| Superball ${ }^{\circledR}$ | Wham-o, Inc. | December 31, 2013 |
| Various Disney characters and properties | Disney Enterprises, Inc. | December 31, 2013 |
| Dexter ${ }^{\circledR}$ | HH Brown Shoe Company, Inc. | December 31, 2014 |
| Champion ${ }^{\circledR}$ | HBI Branded Apparel Enterprises, LLC | June 30, 2015 |
| American Eagle ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ | American Eagle Outfitters | None |

We have agreements for development, licensing, marketing and distribution regarding the following designer brands:

Trademark
Silvia Tcherassi for Payless ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Lela Rose for Payless ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
Unforgettable Moments by Lela Rose ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$
Isabel Toledo for Payless ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
Christian Siriano for Payless ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$

Designer
Silvia Tcherassi
Lela Rose
Lela Rose
Isabel Toledo
Christian Siriano

Expires
September 1, 2012
July 31, 2013
July 31, 2013
August 31, 2013
December 31, 2013

The Silvia Tcherassi for Payless mark is used primarily in Latin America. Through agents, Payless also utilizes various character marks from time-to-time.

Collective Licensing markets trademarks outside of Payless stores including Above the Rim ${ }^{\circledR}$, Airwalk ${ }^{\circledR}$, Clinch Gear ${ }^{\circledR}$, Dukes ${ }^{\text {TM }}$, genetic ${ }^{\circledR}$, Hind ${ }^{\circledR}$, Lamar ${ }^{\circledR}$, LTD ${ }^{\circledR}$, Rage ${ }^{\circledR}$, Skate Attack ${ }^{\circledR}$, Ultra-Wheels ${ }^{\circledR}$, and Vision Street Wear ${ }^{\circledR}$. We are also the exclusive agent for the Sims ${ }^{\circledR}$ brand mark. Collective Licensing's focus is on the growing active sport lifestyle market driven predominantly by action sports and performance athletic trends. It is positioned with its authentic brand portfolio to reach both the younger consumer with strong ties to board sports, as well as appeal to the broad range of consumers drawn to this established lifestyle and fashion.

The trademarks and service marks used by our Performance + Lifestyle Group include Grasshoppers ${ }^{\circledR}$, Keds ${ }^{\circledR}$, Robeez ${ }^{\circledR}$, Saucony ${ }^{\circledR}$, Sperry Top-Sider ${ }^{\circledR}$, and Stride Rite ${ }^{\circledR}$. These marks extend to the various logos, packaging, store signs, point-of-purchase displays, and other marketing contexts in which we use them. The domain names include grasshoppers.com, keds.com, robeez.com, saucony.com, sperrytopsider.com, and striderite.com.

Through our Keds group, we license the Champion ${ }^{\circledR}$ name to Hanesbrands, Inc. for footwear. We also have other licensees of our Keds and Stride Rite marks.

We have entered into license agreements with various licensors, which are summarized in the following table:

| Trademark | Licensor | Expires |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Various Star Wars and Clone Wars characters and properties | Lucasfilm Ltd. | December 31, 2012 |
| Various Disney ${ }^{\circledR}$ characters and properties | Disney Consumer Products, Inc. | December 31, 2013 |
| Superball ${ }^{\circledR}$ | Wham-o, Inc. | December 31, 2013 |
| Jessica Simpson ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ | VCJS, LLC | December 31, 2013 |
| Hello Kitty | Sanrio, Inc. | December 31, 2013 |
| Various Marvel characters and properties | Marvel Characters, BV | December 31, 2013 |
| Various Sesame Street characters and properties | Seasame Workshop | June 30, 2014 |

## Backlog

W
Our backlog of orders as of January 28, 2012 was approximately $\$ 354$ million compared to approximately $\$ 343$ million as of January 29, 2011. Of the January 28, 2012 backlog, the amount relating to orders for the first quarter of 2012 was $\$ 193$ million. Of the January 29, 2011 backlog, $\$ 191$ million related to orders for the first quarter of 2011. The backlog of orders in the first quarter of 2012 reflects the anticipation of a greater mix of reorders versus future orders compared to the first quarter of 2011 and, as such, we expect fewer cancellations in the first quarter of 2012 than we had in the first quarter of 2011. Due to the variability of the timing between future orders, reorders and cancellations, backlog does not necessarily translate directly into net sales.

## Environmental Liability

In connection with the acquisition of PLG, we acquired a property with a related environmental liability. The liability as of January 28,2012 was $\$ 0.5$ million, $\$ 0.1$ million of which was included as an accrued expense and $\$ 0.4$ million of which was included in other long-term liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet. The assessment of the liability and the associated costs were based upon available information after consultation with environmental engineers, consultants and attorneys assisting the Company in addressing these environmental issues. As of January 28, 2012, the Company estimated the total cost related to this environmental liability to be $\$ 6.3$ million, including $\$ 5.8$ million of costs that have already been paid. Actual costs to address the environmental conditions may change based upon further investigations, the conclusions of regulatory authorities about information gathered in those investigations, the inherent uncertainties involved in estimating conditions in the environment, and the costs of addressing such conditions.

## Available Information

We file or furnish our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 with the SEC electronically. Copies of any of these documents will be provided in print to any shareholder who submits a request in writing to Collective Brands, Inc., Attn: Investor Relations, 3231 Southeast Sixth Avenue, Topeka KS 66607 or calls our Investor Relations Department at (785) 559-5321. The public may read or request a copy of any materials we file with the SEC at the Public Reference Room at 100 F Street N.E.,

Washington, D.C. 20549, on official business days during the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains a website that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The address of that site is http://www.sec.gov.

We maintain an investor relations website at www.collectivebrands.com. On our investor relations website, one can access free of charge our reports that are filed with the SEC, the Guidelines for our Board of Directors, and the charters for the Board of Directors, the Audit and Finance Committee and the Compensation, Nominating and Governance Committee. No portion of our website or the information contained in or connected to the website is a part of, or incorporated into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## Directors of the Company

Listed below are the names and present principal occupations or, if retired, most recent occupations of the Company's Directors:

## Name

## Principal Occupation

David Scott Olivet ${ }^{\left(1^{*}\right)(2)(4)}$
Daniel Boggan Jr. ${ }^{(2)}$

Executive Chairman, RED Digital Cinema and Chairman, Oakley Inc.
Retired Senior Vice President of the National Collegiate Athletic Association

Inc., from 1995 to 2000, ultimately serving as the company's President and CEO. He also served as a principal/ partner for eight years for a Southern California-based lifestyle and performance brand sales agency, Performance Sales West. Mr. Pettet graduated from Pepperdine University with a B.S. in Management, received a certificate degree in Entrepreneurship from California State University - Fullerton, and participated in Executive Education in Business Strategy at Dartmouth University.

Darrel J. Pavelka is 56 years old and has served as Executive Vice President - Global Supply Chain since September 2007. Prior to that, he served as Senior Vice President - Merchandise Distribution, Planning and Supply Chain from September 2004 to September 2007. He also served as Senior Vice President — International Operations and Supply Chain from March 2003 to September 2004, Senior Vice President - Merchandise Distribution from 1999 to 2003, Vice President of Retail Operations for Division R from 1997 to 1999, Vice President of Stores Merchandising from 1995 to 1997, Director of Stores Merchandising from 1990 to 1995, Director of Distribution for Women's from 1987 to 1990, Manager of Stores Merchandising for Division R from 1983 to 1987, and Manager of the Northeast store expansion from 1980 to 1983.

Douglas J. Treff is 54 years old and has served as the Company's Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer since September 2007. Prior to joining the Company, he served as Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer for Sears Canada, Inc. from 2006 to 2007. From 2000 to 2006 he served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for Deluxe Corporation and from 1990 to 2000, as Chief Financial Officer and other leadership roles in finance at Wilsons, The Leather Experts, Inc.

Betty J. Click is 49 years old and has served as Senior Vice President - Human Resources for Collective Brands, Inc. since July 2008. Prior to that, she served as Vice President, HR Operations and Learning and Development from December 2005 to August 2008 and as Vice President, HR Solutions from June 2002 to December 2005. Prior to joining Collective Brands, Inc., she spent 21 years with Verizon Communications, Inc. (formerly GTE) and served in various positions of increasing responsibility to support the Company's evolution and expansion, including Vice President - Human Resources Corporate Staff and Executive Director of Compensation Strategy.

Douglas G. Boessen is 49 years old and has served as Division Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since December 2008. He previously served as Vice President, Corporate Controller from 2004 to 2008. From 2000 to 2004, he was Vice President, Financial Planning and Analysis, from 1999 to 2000 he was Director - Strategic Planning and from 1997 to 1999 he served as Associate Controller for the Company. Prior to joining Payless, he served as Senior Manager at Arthur Andersen LLP.

## ITEM 1A.

## We May be Unable to Compete Effectively in the Competitive Worldwide Footwear Industry

We face a variety of competitive challenges from other domestic and international footwear retailers and wholesalers, including a number of competitors that have substantially greater financial and marketing resources than we do. These competitors include mass-market discount retailers, department stores including, other footwear retailers and wholesalers. We compete with these competitors on the basis of:

- developing fashionable, high-quality merchandise in an assortment of sizes, colors and styles that appeals to our target consumers;
- anticipating and responding to changing consumer demands in a timely manner;
- ensuring product availability and optimizing supply chain effectiveness;
- the pricing of merchandise;
- creating an acceptable value proposition for consumers;
- providing an inviting, customer friendly shopping environment;
- using a customer focused sales staff to provide attentive, product knowledgeable customer service; and
- providing strong and effective marketing support.

Mass-market discount retailers aggressively compete with us on the basis of price and have added significant numbers of locations. Accordingly, there is substantial pressure on us to maintain the value proposition of our footwear and the convenience of our store locations. In addition, it is possible that massmarket discount retailers will increase their investment in their retail footwear operations, thereby achieving greater market penetration and placing additional competitive pressures on our business. If we are unable to respond effectively to these competitive pressures, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected.

## The Worldwide Footwear Industry is Heavily Influenced by General Economic Cycles Including Commodity and Labor Prices

Footwear is a cyclical industry that is heavily dependent upon the overall level of consumer spending. Purchases of footwear and related goods tend to be highly correlated with the cycles of the levels of disposable income of our consumers. As a result, any substantial deterioration in general economic conditions could adversely affect our business. Further, the profitability of our industry is dependent on the prices of commodities used to make and transport our products (including cotton, rubber, petroleum, etc.) as well as labor prices. If we are unable to mitigate the impact of the deterioration of general economic conditions and the impact of higher commodity and labor prices, our results of operations and financial condition would be materially adversely affected.

## A Majority of our Operating Expenses are Fixed Costs that are not Directly Dependent Upon our Sales Performance

A majority of our operating expenses are fixed costs that are not directly dependent on our sales performance. These fixed costs include the leasing costs of our stores, our debt service expenses and, because stores require minimum staffing levels, the majority of our labor expenses. If our sales decline, we may be unable to reduce or offset these fixed operating expenses in the short term. Accordingly, the effect of any sales decline is magnified because a larger percentage of our earnings are committed to paying these fixed costs. As a result, our net earnings and cash flow would be disproportionately affected negatively as a result of a decline in sales.

## We May be Unable to Maintain or Increase our Sales Volume and Profitability

Our Payless stores have a substantial market presence in the United States and we currently derive a significant majority of our revenue from our U.S. stores. Our PLG retail stores and our PLG wholesale businesses also derive a significant majority of their revenue from U.S. sources. Because of our substantial market presence, because the U.S. footwear retailing industry is mature and because our domestic store count is decreasing, for us to increase our domestic sales volume on a unit basis, we must capture market share from our competitors. We have attempted to capture additional market share through a variety of strategies; however, if we are not successful we may be unable to increase or maintain our sales volumes and profitability in the United States, adversely affecting our business, results of operations and financial condition.

## Our Payless Domestic and Payless International Reporting Segments are Heavily Influenced by the Economic Condition of our Core Customer Base

Our Payless Domestic and Payless International reporting segments are highly dependent on the disposable income of our core customer base. High unemployment and rising prices for staple products like gasoline and food are factors that have a particularly negative impact on the disposable income of our core customer base. If the economic condition of certain segments of our core customer base does not improve, our financial results may be negatively impacted. Also, any deterioration in the general economic conditions for our core customer base could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

## We May Have Unseasonable Weather Where our Stores are Concentrated

We increase our inventory levels to support the increased demand for our products, as well as to offer styles particularly suited for the relevant season, such as sandals in the early summer season and boots during the winter season. If the weather conditions for a particular season vary significantly from those typical for such season, such as an unusually cold early summer or an unusually warm winter, consumer demand for the seasonally appropriate merchandise that we have available in our stores could be adversely affected and negatively impact net sales and margins. Lower demand for seasonally appropriate merchandise may leave us with an excess inventory of our seasonally appropriate products, forcing us to sell these products at significantly discounted prices and adversely affecting our net sales margins and operating cash flow. Conversely, if weather conditions permit us to sell our seasonal product early in the season, this may reduce inventory levels needed to meet our customers' needs later in that same season. Consequently, our results of operations are highly dependent on somewhat predictable weather conditions and our ability to react to changes in weather conditions.

## We May be Unable to Adjust to Constantly Changing Fashion Trends

Our success depends, in large part, upon our ability to gauge the evolving fashion tastes of our consumers and to provide merchandise that satisfies such fashion tastes in a timely manner. The worldwide footwear industry fluctuates according to changing fashion tastes and seasons, and merchandise usually must be ordered well in advance of the season, frequently before consumer fashion tastes are evidenced by consumer purchases. In addition, the cyclical nature of the worldwide footwear industry also requires us to maintain substantial levels of inventory, especially prior to peak selling seasons when we build up our inventory levels. As a result, if we fail to properly gauge the fashion tastes of consumers, or to respond in a timely manner, this failure could adversely affect consumer acceptance of our merchandise and leave us with substantial unsold inventory. If that occurs, we may be forced to rely on markdowns or promotional sales to dispose of excess, slow-moving inventory, which would negatively impact financial results.

The results of our wholesale businesses are affected by the buying plans of our customers, which include large department stores and smaller retailers. No customer accounts for $10 \%$ or more of our wholesale business. Our wholesale customers may not inform us of changes in their buying plans until it is too late for us to make the necessary adjustments to our product lines and marketing strategies. While we believe that purchasing decisions in many cases are made independently by individual stores or store chains, we are exposed to decisions by the controlling owner of a store chain that could decrease the amount of footwear products purchased from us. In addition, the retail industry periodically experiences consolidation. We face a risk that our wholesale customers may consolidate, restructure, reorganize, file for bankruptcy or otherwise realign in ways that could decrease the number of stores or the amount of shelf space that carry our products. We also face a risk that our wholesale customers could develop in-house brands or utilize the private labeling of footwear products, which would negatively impact financial results.

## We May be Unsuccessful in Opening New Stores, Including Stores Operated by Franchise Partners, or Relocating Existing Stores to New Locations, Adversely Affecting our Ability to Grow

Our growth, in part, is dependent upon our ability to expand our retail operations by opening new stores, as well as relocating existing stores to new locations and extending our expiring leases, on a profitable basis.

Our ability to open new stores and relocate existing stores to new locations on a timely and profitable basis is subject to various contingencies, some of which are beyond our control. These contingencies include our ability to:

- locate suitable store sites;
- negotiate acceptable lease terms;
- build-out or remodel sites on a timely and cost effective basis;
- hire, train and retain qualified managers and personnel;
- identify long-term shopping patterns;
- identify suitable franchise partners;
- obtain adequate capital resources; and
- successfully integrate new stores into our existing operations.

In addition, the opening of stores outside of the United States is subject to a number of additional contingencies, including compliance with local laws and regulations and cultural issues. Also, because we operate a number of our international stores under joint ventures, issues may arise in our dealings with our joint venture partners or their compliance with the joint venture agreements.

We may be unsuccessful in opening new stores or relocating existing stores for any of these reasons. In addition, we cannot be assured that, even if we are successful in opening new stores or relocating existing stores, those stores will achieve levels of sales and profitability comparable to our existing stores.

## We Rely on Third Parties to Manufacture and Distribute Our Products

We depend on third party manufacturers to manufacture the merchandise that we sell. If these manufacturers are unable to secure sufficient supplies of raw materials, produce products that meet our quality standards or maintain adequate manufacturing and shipping capacity, they may be unable to provide us with timely delivery of products. In addition, if the prices charged by these third parties increase for reasons such as increases in the price of raw materials, increases in labor costs or currency fluctuations, our cost of manufacturing would increase, adversely affecting our results of operations. We also depend on third parties to transport and deliver our products. Due to the fact that we do not have any independent transportation or delivery capabilities of our own, if these third parties are unable to transport or deliver our products for any reason, or if they increase the price of their services, our operations and financial performance would be adversely affected.

We require our third party manufacturers to meet our standards in terms of working conditions and other matters before we are willing to contract with them to manufacture our merchandise. As a result, we may not be able to obtain the lowest possible manufacturing costs. In addition, any failure by our manufacturers to meet these standards, to adhere to labor or other laws or to diverge from our mandated labor practices, and the potential negative publicity relating to any of these events, could harm our business and reputation.

We do not have long-term agreements with any of our third party manufacturers, and any of these manufacturers may unilaterally terminate their relationship with us at any time. There is also substantial competition among footwear retailers for quality manufacturers. To the extent we are unable to secure or maintain relationships with quality manufacturers, our business could be harmed.

## There are Risks Associated with Our Importation of Products

We import finished merchandise into the United States and other countries in which we operate from the People's Republic of China and other countries. Substantially all of this imported merchandise is subject to:

- customs, duties and tariffs imposed by the governments of countries into which this merchandise is imported; and
- penalties imposed for, or adverse publicity relating to, violations of labor and wage standards by foreign contractors.

The United States and countries in which our merchandise is manufactured or imported may from time to time impose additional new quotas, tariffs, duties or other restrictions on our merchandise or adversely change
existing restrictions or interpretations. These additional taxes and regulations could adversely affect our ability to import, and/or the cost of our products which could have a material adverse impact on the results of operations of our business.

Manufacturers in China are our major suppliers. During 2011, China was a direct source of approximately $82 \%$ of our merchandise based on cost. In addition to the products we import directly, a significant amount of the products we purchase from other suppliers has been imported from China. Any deterioration in the trade relationship between the United States and China or any other disruption in our ability to import footwear, accessories, or other products from China or any other country where we have stores could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

In addition to the risks of foreign sourcing stemming from international trade laws, there are also operational risks of relying on such imported merchandise. Our ability to successfully import merchandise derived from foreign sources into the United States is dependent on stable labor conditions in the major ports we utilize to import or export product. Any instability or deterioration of the labor environment in these ports, such as the work stoppage at West Coast ports in 2002, could result in increased costs, delays or disruption in product deliveries that could cause loss of revenue, damage to customer relationships or materially affect our business.

If we are unable to maintain our current Customs-Trade Partnership Against Terrorism ("C-TPAT") status, it would increase the time it takes to get products into our stores. Such delays could materially impact our ability to move the current product in our stores to meet customer demand.

## Our International Operations are Subject to Political and Economic Risks

In 2011, $18 \%$ of our sales were generated outside the United States and almost all of our merchandise was manufactured outside the United States. We are accordingly subject to a number of risks relating to doing business internationally, any of which could significantly harm our business, including:

- political and economic instability;
- inflation;
- quotas;
- regulation of importation of goods from certain countries;
- exchange controls and currency exchange rates;
- foreign tax treaties and policies;
- restrictions on the transfer of funds to and from foreign countries;
- ability to import product; and
- increase in duty rates

Certain countries have increased or are considering increases to duty rates. If the Company is unable to mitigate the impact of these increased duty rates or any other costs, it would adversely impact the profitability of our foreign operations which would adversely impact our financial position and results of operations.

Our financial performance on a U.S. dollar denominated basis is also subject to fluctuations in currency exchange rates. These fluctuations could cause our results of operations to vary materially.

From time to time, we may enter into agreements seeking to reduce the effects of our exposure to currency fluctuations, but these agreements may not be effective in reducing our exposure to currency fluctuations or may not be available at a cost effective price.

## We May be Unable to Effectively Protect Our Trademarks and Other Intellectual Property Rights

We believe that our trademarks and other intellectual property are important to our business and are generally sufficient to permit us to carry on our business as presently conducted. We cannot, however, know whether we will be able to secure patents or trademark protection for our intellectual property in the future or whether that protection will be adequate for future products. Further, we face the risk of ineffective protection of intellectual property rights in the countries where we source and distribute our products. If we are compelled to prosecute infringing parties or defend our intellectual property, we will incur additional costs. Costs associated with the defense of our intellectual property could be substantial and occupy a significant amount of management time and resources. These costs could negatively impact our results of operations and financial condition.

## Adverse Changes in Our Results of Operations and Financial Position Could Impact Our Ability to Meet Our Debt Covenants

We are subject to financial covenants under our Term Loan Facility, Revolving Credit Facility and our Senior Subordinated Notes (collectively, the "Credit Facilities"). These financial covenants are based largely on our results of operations and financial position. In the event of adverse changes in our results of operations or financial position, including those resulting from changes in generally accepted accounting principles, we may be unable to comply with the financial covenants contained in our Credit Facilities in which case we would be in default. To avoid a default, we may seek an amendment that would likely involve up-front costs, increased interest rate margins and additional covenants. If we were unable to negotiate an amendment of our Credit Facilities and defaulted on them we could be required to make immediate repayment. A default could also trigger increases in interest rates and difficulty obtaining other sources of financing. Such an event would adversely impact our financial position and results of operations.

## We May be Subject to Liability if We Infringe the Trademarks or Other Intellectual Property Rights of Third Parties

We may be subject to liability if we infringe the trademarks or other intellectual property rights of third parties. If we were to be found liable for any such infringement, we could be required to pay substantial damages and could be subject to injunctions preventing further infringement. Litigation could occupy a significant amount of management time and resources. Further, large verdicts and judgments against us may increase our exposure to legal claims in the future. Such payments and injunctions could materially adversely affect our financial results.

## We Rely on Brands We Do Not Own

As discussed in Item 1 of this Form 10-K under the caption "Intellectual Property", we license trademarks and brands through various agreements with third parties. We, through our agents, also utilize various character marks from time-to-time. If we are unable to renew or replace any trademark, brand or character mark that accounts for a significant portion of our revenue, our results could be adversely affected.

## Adverse Occurrences at Our Distribution Centers or the Ports We Use Could Negatively Impact Our Business

We currently use six distribution centers, which serve as the source of replenishment of inventory for our stores and serve our wholesale operations. If complications arise with any of our operating distribution centers or our distribution centers are severely damaged or destroyed, our other distribution centers may not be able to support the resulting additional distribution demands and we may be unable to locate alternative persons or entities capable of doing so. This may adversely affect our ability to deliver inventory on a timely basis, which could adversely affect our results of operations.

## Unstable Credit Markets Could Affect Our Ability to Obtain Financing

Our Senior Subordinated Notes are due on August 1, 2013, our Term Loan Facility matures on August 17, 2014 and our Amended Revolving Loan Facility matures on August 16, 2016. In the event we need additional financing, or we need to refinance our current debt, there can be no assurances that these funds will be available on a timely basis or on reasonable terms. Failure to obtain such financing could constrain our ability to operate or grow the business. In addition, any ratings downgrade of our securities, or any negative impacts on the credit market, generally, could negatively impact the cost or availability of capital.

## There are Risks Associated with Our Acquisitions

Any acquisitions or mergers by us will be accompanied by the risks commonly encountered in acquisitions of companies. These risks include, among other things, higher than anticipated acquisition costs and expenses, the difficulty and expense of integrating the operations and personnel of the companies and the loss of key employees and customers as a result of changes in management.

In addition, geographic distances may make integration of acquired businesses more difficult. We may not be successful in overcoming these risks or any other problems encountered in connection with any acquisitions.

Our acquisitions may cause large one-time expenses or create goodwill or other intangible assets that could result in significant impairment charges in the future. We also make certain estimates and assumptions in order to determine purchase price allocation and estimate the fair value of acquired assets and liabilities. If our estimates or assumptions used to value acquired assets and liabilities are not accurate, we may be exposed to losses that may be material.

## Adverse Changes in our Market Capitalization or Anticipated Future Operating Performance May be an Indication of Goodwill or Other Intangible Asset Impairment, Which Could Have a Material Impact on our Financial Position and Results of Operations

We assess goodwill, which is not subject to amortization, for impairment on an annual basis or at any other interim reporting date when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the book value of these assets may exceed their fair value. We develop an estimate of the fair value of each reporting unit using both a market approach and an income approach. A significant adverse change in our market capitalization or anticipated future operating performance could result in the book value of a reporting unit exceeding its fair value, resulting in a goodwill impairment charge, which could adversely impact our financial position and results of operations.

We also assess certain finite and indefinite lived intangible assets for impairment on an annual basis or at any other interim reporting date when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the book value of these assets may exceed their fair value. Any significant changes in our anticipated future operating performance may be an indication of impairment to our trademarks or any other intangible asset. Any such impairment charges, if significant, would adversely impact our financial position and results of operations.

## The Operation of our Business is Dependent on our Information Systems

We depend on a variety of information technology systems for the efficient functioning of our business and security of our information and certain information of our customers. Our inability to continue to maintain and upgrade these information systems or to meet changing data security and privacy standards could disrupt or reduce the efficiency of our operations or result in fines or litigation. In addition, potential problems with the implementation of new or upgraded systems as our business grows or with maintenance of existing systems could also disrupt or reduce the efficiency of our operations.

## Failure to Maintain the Security of Personally Identifiable and Other Information of our Customers, Stockholders and Employees Could Negatively Impact Our Business

In connection with our business, we collect and retain significant volumes of certain types of personally identifiable and other information pertaining to our customers, stockholders and employees. Theft, loss, fraudulent use or misuse of customer, stockholder, employee or our other data by cybercrime or otherwise, could adversely impact our reputation and could result in significant costs, fines, litigation or regulatory action against us.

## An Outbreak of Infectious Diseases May Have a Material Adverse Effect on Our Ability to Purchase Merchandise from Manufacturers and Our Operations

An outbreak and spread of infectious diseases in countries in which our manufacturers are located could impact the availability or timely delivery of merchandise. Although our ability to purchase and import our merchandise has not been negatively impacted to date, an outbreak of infectious diseases could prevent the manufacturers we use from manufacturing our merchandise or hinder our ability to import those goods to the countries in which our stores are located, either of which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

## ITEM 1B.

None.

## ITEM 2.

Collective Brands uses six distribution facilities worldwide, which are listed below along with their approximate size:

1. We lease an 802,000 square foot Distribution Center ("DC") in Brookville, Ohio which currently serves approximately 2,400 Payless stores in North America, all Stride Rite stores, and PLG's U.S. wholesale business.
2. We own a 520,000 square foot DC in Louisville, Kentucky which serves U.S. wholesale operations for Keds, Saucony, and Sperry Top-Sider. It also serves Sperry retail stores.
3. We lease a 414,000 square foot DC in Redlands, California which serves approximately 1,800 Payless stores in North America.
4. We contract for 67,500 square feet of distribution space, together with contiguous showroom and office space, in Mississauga, Ontario which serves our PLG Wholesale business in Canada.
5. We lease a 94,000 square feet of distribution and showroom space in Heerhugowaard, The Netherlands to support our PLG operations in Europe.
6. We contract with a third-party in Colon, Panama to operate a distribution facility for our Payless operations in Latin America.

We lease an 87,000 square feet of office space in multiple locations in China, Taiwan, and Vietnam. The functions of our associates in these Asia locations are primarily related to sourcing, inspection, costing, and logistics.

## Retail Properties

The total square footage for our retail stores as of January 28, 2012, January 29, 2011 and January 30, 2010 was approximately 13.5 million, 14.6 million and 14.6 million, respectively. These square footage numbers do not include our franchised stores.

## Payless Domestic and Payless International

We lease substantially all of our Payless stores. Leases on new or relocated stores typically have initial terms of five or ten years and either one or two renewal options. Renewals on existing stores are, on average, approximately three years. This is because we have negotiated significant occupancy cost reductions with shorter terms on many of our stores.

During 2012, approximately 800 of our leases are due to expire. In addition, approximately 300 leases as of January 28, 2012 were month-to-month tenancies. Of all the roughly 1,100 expiring or month-to-month leases, approximately 200 have modifications that are pending execution. Leases usually require payment of base rent, applicable real estate taxes, common area expenses and, in some cases, percentage rent based on the store's sales volume.

In addition to our stores, we own a 290,000 square foot headquarters building in Topeka, Kansas. We lease approximately 10,000 square feet of office space in New York, N.Y. for our design center. We also lease 6,300 square feet of office space for our Collective Licensing headquarters in Englewood, Colorado. We lease office space totaling nearly 19,000 square feet in Latin America and another 3,800 square feet in Canada.

## PLG Retail and PLG Wholesale

We lease all of our PLG stores. Our leases typically have an initial term of up to ten years and may include a renewal option. Leases usually require payment of base rent, applicable real estate taxes, common area expenses and, in some cases, percentage rent based on the store's sales volume and merchants association fees.

We lease approximately 148,000 square feet of office space at our PLG headquarters building in Lexington, Massachusetts. We also lease approximately 24,000 square feet of call center space in Richmond, Indiana. We lease showroom space to conduct business with wholesale customers, the trade, and media in New York and London totaling 10,000 and 2,600 square feet, respectively.

## ITEM 3.

There are no pending legal proceedings other than ordinary, routine litigation incidental to the business to which the Company is a party or of which its property is subject, none of which the Company expects to have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

## ITEM 4.

None.

## PART II

## ITEM 5. II

There were approximately 8,900 registered holders of the Company's Common Stock as of January 28, 2012, compared to approximately 9,300 registered holders as of January 29, 2011.

## Common Stock and Market Prices

The Company's common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the trading symbol PSS. The quarterly intraday price ranges of the common stock in 2011 and 2010 were:

| $\underline{\text { Fiscal Quarter }}$ | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High | Low | High | Low |
| First | \$23.96 | \$19.17 | \$26.65 | \$18.54 |
| Second | 21.11 | 11.65 | 24.37 | 14.99 |
| Third | 15.60 | 9.11 | 17.69 | 12.41 |
| Fourth | 16.78 | 11.38 | 21.90 | 15.07 |
| Year | \$23.96 | \$ 9.11 | \$26.65 | \$12.41 |

Since becoming a public company in 1996, we have not paid a cash dividend on outstanding shares of common stock. We are subject to certain restrictions contained in our senior secured revolving loan facility, the indenture governing our $8.25 \%$ senior subordinated notes and our term loan which restrict our ability to pay dividends. We do not currently plan to pay any dividends.

## Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

On May 26, 2011, May 27, 2010 and May 21, 2009, 19,644 shares, 22,300 shares and 37,937 shares, respectively, were credited to an account under the Company's Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Management Directors as the annual restricted stock grant portion of their director's fees. In addition, Mr. Olivet received 5,226 shares on June 17, 2011. Each director is permitted to defer receipt of a portion of their compensation including their annual restricted stock grant pursuant to the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Management Directors. In the past three years, non-management directors have deferred an aggregate of 71,493 shares under the Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Management Directors. These grants were made as partial compensation for the recipients' services as directors. The offer and issuance of these securities are exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, as transactions by an issuer not involving any public offering or alternatively, registration of such shares was not required because their issuance did not involve a "sale" under Section 2(3) of the Securities Act of 1933.

## Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table provides information about purchases by the Company (and its affiliated purchasers) of equity securities that are registered by the Company pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act, during the quarter ended January 28, 2012:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased ${ }^{(1)}$ | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (in thousands) |  | (in thousands) | (in millions) |
| 10/30/11-11/26/11 | 4 | \$15.05 | - | \$124.0 |
| 11/27/11-12/31/11 | 6 | 14.11 | - | \$124.0 |
| 01/01/12 - 01/28/12 | 5 | 14.26 | - | \$124.0 |
| Total | 15 | \$14.29 | - | \$124.0 ${ }^{(2)}$ |

[^0]
## New York Stock Exchange Corporate Governance Matters

In 2011, the Company changed its Peer Group to align with the competitors used in determining bonuses under its performance-based incentive plans.

Companies comprising the New Peer Group are: Ross Stores, Inc., DSW, Inc., Limited Brands, Inc., Wolverine World Wide, Inc., The Children's Place Retail Stores, Deckers Outdoor Corp., The Jones Group, Inc., Brown Shoe Company, Inc., Genesco, Inc., Abercrombie \& Fitch Co., Foot Locker, Inc., Aeropostale, Inc., ANN, Inc., Urban Outfitters, Inc., American Eagle Outfitters, Inc., and Ascena Retail Group, Inc.

Companies comprising the Old Peer Group are: Gap, Inc., Limited Brands, Inc., V. F. Corporation, Skechers USA, Inc., Timberland Company, Ross Stores Inc., TJX Companies, Inc., Brown Shoe Company, Inc., Genesco Inc., Shoe Carnival, Inc., Finish Line, Inc., and Foot Locker, Inc.

The graph below compares the cumulative total stockholder return on Collective Brands, Inc. Common Stock against the cumulative returns of the Standard and Poor's Corporation Composite Index (the "S\&P 500 Index"), the New Peer Group and the Old Peer Group. The graph assumes $\$ 100$ was invested on February 3, 2007, (the end of fiscal 2006) in Collective Brands, Inc. Common Stock, in the S\&P 500 Index, the New Peer Group and the Old Peer Group and assumes the reinvestment of dividends.

## Comparison of 5 Year Cumulative Total Returns of The Company, the S\&P Index, New Peer Group and Old Peer Group



Investment Value at End of Fiscal Year:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collective Brands, Inc. | $\$ 100.00$ | $\$ 50.34$ | $\$ 30.52$ | $\$ 56.29$ | $\$ 57.89$ | $\$ 47.77$ |
| S\&P 500 Index | $\$ 100.00$ | $\$ 98.17$ | $\$ 59.52$ | $\$ 79.24$ | $\$ 96.09$ | $\$ 101.21$ |
| New Peer Group | $\$ 100.00$ | $\$ 79.86$ | $\$ 38.89$ | $\$ 74.92$ | $\$ 103.78$ | $\$ 130.03$ |
| Old Peer Group | $\$ 100.00$ | $\$ 91.12$ | $\$ 57.35$ | $\$ 101.83$ | $\$ 130.21$ | $\$ 183.33$ |

## ITEM 6.

Our summary consolidated financial information set forth below should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

|  | Fiscal Year ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | $2007{ }^{(2)}$ |
|  | (dollars in millions, except per share) |  |  |  |  |
| Selected Statements of (Loss) Earnings Data: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$3,461.7 | \$3,375.7 | \$3,307.9 | \$3,442.0 | \$3,035.4 |
| Cost of sales ${ }^{(3)}$ | 2,432.2 | 2,174.5 | 2,166.9 | 2,432.8 | 2,044.5 |
| Gross margin | 1,029.5 | 1,201.2 | 1,141.0 | 1,009.2 | 990.9 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 1,046.3 | 1,011.5 | 982.4 | 1,007.2 | 899.4 |
| Impairment of goodwill | 10.0 | - | - | 42.0 | - |
| Restructuring charges | - | - | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Operating (loss) profit from continuing operations | (26.8) | 189.7 | 158.5 | (40.2) | 91.3 |
| Interest expense, net | 38.5 | 48.0 | 59.7 | 67.1 | 32.3 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 0.5 | 1.7 | 1.2 | - | - |
| (Loss) earnings from continuing operations before income taxes | (65.8) | 140.0 | 97.6 | (107.3) | 59.0 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 84.0 | 17.4 | 9.4 | (48.0) | 8.6 |
| (Loss) earnings from continuing operations Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | (149.8) | 122.6 | 88.2 | (59.3) | 50.4 |
|  | - | - | 0.1 | (0.7) | - |
| Net (loss) earnings | (149.8) | 122.6 | 88.3 | (60.0) | 50.4 |
| Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests | (10.4) | (9.8) | (5.6) | (8.7) | (7.7) |
| Net (loss) earnings attributable to Collective Brands, Inc. | \$ (160.2) | \$ 112.8 | \$ 82.7 | \$ (68.7) | \$ 42.7 |
| Basic (loss) earnings per share from continuing operations attributable to Collective Brands, Inc. common shareholders | \$ (2.66) | \$ 1.77 | \$ 1.29 | \$ (1.08) | \$ 0.66 |
| Diluted (loss) earnings per share from continuing operations attributable to Collective Brands, Inc. common shareholders | \$ (2.66) \$ | \$ 1.75 | \$ 1.28 | \$ (1.08) | \$ 0.65 |
| Selected Balance Sheet Data: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working capital | \$ 537.5 | \$ 601.3 | \$ 659.2 | \$ 556.5 | \$ 525.1 |
| Property and equipment, net | 369.4 | 432.3 | 464.2 | 521.4 | 551.0 |
| Total assets | 2,047.2 | 2,268.5 | 2,284.3 | 2,251.3 | 2,415.2 |
| Total long-term debt | 609.9 | 664.5 | 849.3 | 913.2 | 922.3 |
| Collective Brands, Inc. shareowners' equity ${ }^{(4)}$ | 644.8 | 822.9 | 735.2 | 622.3 | 702.9 |
| Selected Other Financial Data: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital expenditures | \$ 98.9 | \$ 97.6 | \$ 84.0 | \$ 129.2 | \$ 167.4 |
| Present value of operating leases | 919.4 | 981.1 | 1,072.3 | 1,123.5 | 1,203.5 |
| Net sales growth, continuing operations | 2.5\% | \% 2.0\% | \% (3.9)\% | \% 13.4\% | - 8.5\% |
| Same-store sales growth, continuing operations ${ }^{(5)}$ | (2.7)\% | \% (2.2)\% | \% (2.3)\% | \% (3.6)\% | \% (0.9)\% |
| Return on equity, including discontinued operations ${ }^{(6)}$ | (19.5)\% | \% 15.3\% | - 13.3\% | (9.8)\% | \% 6.1\% |
| Return on net assets, including discontinued |  |  |  |  |  |
| Return on invested capital, continuing operations ${ }^{(7)}$ | (4.5) \% | \% 10.8\% | \% 9.3\% | (1.4)\% | \% 6.2\% |
| Stores open (at year-end) . . | 4,496 | 4,844 | 4,833 | 4,877 | 4,892 |

[^1](2) Beginning in 2007, results include the effects of the acquisitions of PLG (acquired August 17, 2007) and Collective Licensing, Inc. (acquired March 30, 2007) as of the date of those acquisitions.
${ }^{(3)}$ In the fourth quarter of 2008, we recorded charges of $\$ 88.2$ million related to the impairment of trademarks. In the second quarter of 2011 we recorded charges of $\$ 31.1$ million related to the impairment of trademarks.
(4) During 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 we repurchased $\$ 48.4$ million ( 2.4 million shares), $\$ 1.9$ million (153 thousand shares), $\$ 7.6$ million ( 389 thousand shares), $\$ 63.9$ million ( 3.8 million shares), and $\$ 18.7$ million ( 1.2 million shares) respectively, of common stock under our stock repurchase programs and in connection with our employee stock purchase, deferred compensation and stock incentive plans.
(5) Same-store sales are presented on a 52 week fiscal basis for all years. Same-store sales are calculated on a weekly basis and exclude third-party liquidation sales. Generally, if a store is open the entire week in each of the last two years being compared, its sales are included in the same-store sales calculation for the week. Our Payless and Stride Rite children's e-commerce businesses are considered stores in this calculation. PLG stores are included in the same-store sales calculation starting in fiscal year 2009.
${ }^{(6)}$ The Company believes return on equity and return on net assets provide useful information regarding its profitability, financial condition and results of operations.
(7) The Company uses return on invested capital as a means to measure its efficiency at allocating capital under its control toward profitable investments and the metric is a component of the long-term cash incentive award calculation for certain senior executives.

## ITEM 7. EIV <br> LI

## Overview

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD\&A") is intended to help the reader understand Collective Brands, Inc., our operations and our present business environment. MD\&A is provided as a supplement to - and should be read in connection with - our Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying notes thereto contained in Item 8 of this report. MD\&A includes the following sections:

- Our Business - a brief description of our business and key 2011 events.
- Consolidated Review of Operations - an analysis of our consolidated results of operations for the three years presented in our Consolidated Financial Statements.
- Reporting Segment Review of Operations - an analysis of our results of operations for the three years presented in our Consolidated Financial Statements for our four reporting segments: Payless Domestic, Payless International, PLG Wholesale and PLG Retail.
- Liquidity and Capital Resources - an analysis of cash flows, aggregate financial commitments and certain financial condition ratios.
- Critical Accounting Policies - a discussion of our critical accounting policies that involve a higher degree of judgment or complexity. This section also includes the impact of new accounting standards.


## Our Business

Collective Brands, Inc. consists of three lines of business: Payless ShoeSource ("Payless"), Collective Brands Performance + Lifestyle Group ("PLG"), and Collective Licensing. We operate a portfolio of brands through multiple selling channels including retail, wholesale, licensing and franchising. Payless is one of the world's largest footwear retailers and is dedicated to providing incredible values of on-trend and validated styles of footwear and accessories. PLG markets products at wholesale and retail for children and adults under brand names that include Saucony ${ }^{\circledR}$, Sperry Top-Sider ${ }^{\circledR}$, Stride Rite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Keds ${ }^{\circledR}$. Collective Licensing is a youth lifestyle marketing and global licensing business within the Payless Domestic segment.

We measure the performance of our business using several metrics, but rely primarily on net sales, samestores sales, operating profit (loss) from continuing operations, adjusted earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization ("Adjusted EBITDA"), net debt and free cash flow (see "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" section). We also measure the performance of our business using our reporting segments' net sales and operating (loss) profit (see "Reporting Segment Review of Operations" section).

## 4011

In 2011, weak retail sales in Payless Domestic, particularly in the first three quarters of the year, drove down our overall results. Sales in our Payless Domestic segment decreased $\$ 86.4$ million from 2010. At the same time, we had continued strong sales in our PLG Wholesale segment. In order to improve our Payless business, we initiated a strategic redirection based on a comprehensive and analytical review. While we have served, and will continue to serve, a wide array of customers, the cornerstone of the strategy is to re-engage with, and re-capture, budget conscious customers who have historically made up the largest portion of the Payless customer base. Beginning in the second half of 2011, we made meaningful changes to the Payless pricing and messaging to increase our relevance and reconnect with these customers.

Higher footwear product costs on like-products also negatively impacted our financial performance. The increase in like-product costs in 2011 was driven by higher commodity and labor costs that impacted all of our reporting segments. We expect like-product cost increases to continue in 2012. First quarter like-product costs are expected to increase a high-single digit percentage and second quarter increases are expected to be mid-single digits.

Several significant pre-tax charges also negatively impacted our results in 2011. These charges are summarized in the following table by location on the Consolidated Statement of (Loss) Earnings and by reporting segment:

|  | Location on Consolidated Statement of (Loss) Earnings | Payless Domestic | Payless International | PLG Retail | PLG Wholesale | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Q2 2011 increase in impairment of tangible assets | Cost of sales | \$26.2 | \$2.5 | \$3.1 | \$ 0.7 | \$ 32.5 |
| Impairment of trademarks | Cost of sales | 7.6 | - | - | 23.5 | 31.1 |
| Impairment of goodwill | Impairment of goodwill | 10.0 | - | - | - | 10.0 |
| CEO severance | SG\&A | 10.0 | - | - | - | 10.0 |
| Lease termination costs | Cost of sales | 9.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | - | 10.6 |
| Severance costs related to store closings | SG\&A | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | 2.4 |
| Impact of third-party store liquidations ${ }^{1}$ |  | 1.6 | 0.1 | - | - | 1.7 |
| Strategic review expenses | SG\&A | 3.4 | - | 0.4 | - | 3.8 |
| Total |  | \$70.1 | \$3.4 | \$4.4 | \$24.2 | \$102.1 |

1 The impact of third-party store liquidations represents the impact on operating loss and impacts gross margin and SG\&A on the Consolidated Statement of (Loss) Earnings.

The 2011 charges described in the table above represent the following:

- Q2 2011 increase in impairment of tangible assets: Due to a decline in our retail businesses, we recorded a $\$ 34.1$ million non-cash tangible asset impairment charge in the second quarter of 2011. This charge represented a $\$ 32.5$ million increase from the charge we recorded in the second quarter of 2010.
- Impairment of trademarks and impairment of goodwill: As a result of the decline in performance of our domestic retail businesses, we revised our financial projections related to certain trademarks and reporting units in the second quarter of 2011. These revisions indicated a potential impairment of our goodwill and intangible assets and, as such, we assessed the fair value of these items to determine if their book value exceeded their fair value. As a result of this assessment, we determined that the book value of certain indefinite-lived trademarks and goodwill exceeded their fair value and we recognized $\$ 31.1$ million of pre-tax impairment charges for our indefinite-lived trademarks and $\$ 10.0$ million of pre-tax impairment charges for goodwill in 2011.
- CEO severance: We recorded severance charges of $\$ 10.0$ million related to the departure of our former Chief Executive Officer. These charges included a one-time cash payment of $\$ 6.8$ million and the acceleration of share-based compensation expense related to outstanding awards of $\$ 3.2$ million.
- Lease termination costs, severance related to store closings and impact of third party store liquidations: In 2011 we announced that, as part of our efforts to optimize the performance of our Payless and Stride Rite store fleet, we would close approximately 475 under-performing and low-volume, non-strategic stores over the next three years with approximately 350 of those closings coming in 2011. We closed 298 Payless stores in 2011 in the U.S., Canada and Puerto Rico and 54 Stride Rite Children's locations. We took these actions to optimize the profitability of markets by removing many low sales volume stores that were cash flow negative or slightly positive but could not support the assortments and staffing that we believe our stores should offer. In fiscal 2010, the stores closed this year had sales of approximately $\$ 125$ million. Once all of the approximately 475 stores are closed, we anticipate an annual improvement in operating profit between $\$ 18$ million and $\$ 22$ million including the benefit of estimated sales transfer to remaining locations from closed stores.

Costs associated with this plan, which consist of lease termination costs, employee termination costs, and other exit costs, will be recorded at fair value when they are incurred. We estimate these costs will total
$\$ 20$ million to $\$ 25$ million of which $\$ 13.0$ million was recorded in 2011; however, the ultimate financial impact of this plan is dependent upon the actual exit transactions. In 2011, we recorded $\$ 10.6$ million of lease termination costs and $\$ 2.4$ million of severance costs as part of this plan. Approximately 250 stores were operated by a third-party store liquidator as they closed. These third-party liquidation stores contributed $\$ 1.7$ million to our operating loss for the year.

- Strategic review expenses: Our Board of Directors, together with management, is conducting a review of strategic and financial alternatives to further enhance shareholder value. We have recorded $\$ 3.8$ million of pre-tax expenses associated with this strategic review.

In addition to the pre-tax adjustments described above, we also recognized a valuation allowance on domestic deferred tax assets that increased our provision for income taxes by $\$ 134.5$ million in 2011.

## Consolidated Review of Operations

The following discussion summarizes the significant factors affecting consolidated operating results for the fiscal years ended January 28, 2012 (2011), January 29, 2011 (2010), and January 30, 2010 (2009). Each year contains 52 weeks of operating results. References to years relate to fiscal years rather than calendar years unless otherwise designated. Results for the past three years were as follows:


[^2](2) Return on equity is computed as net (loss) earnings, including discontinued operations, divided by beginning shareowners' equity attributable to Collective Brands, Inc. We believe that return on equity provides useful information regarding our profitability, financial condition and results of operations.
(3) Return on net assets is computed as net (loss) earnings from continuing operations before income taxes plus discontinued operations, plus the loss on early extinguishment of debt, plus net interest expense and the interest component of operating leases, divided by beginning of year net assets, including present value of operating leases. We believe that return on net assets provides useful information regarding our profitability, financial condition and results of operations.
${ }^{(4)}$ Return on invested capital is computed as operating (loss) profit from continuing operations, adjusted for income taxes at the applicable effective rate, divided by the average amount of long-term debt and shareowners' equity. We use return on invested capital as a means to measure our efficiency at allocating capital under our control toward profitable investments. The metric is also a component of the long-term cash incentive award calculation for certain senior executives.

## 

Our 2011 net loss attributable to Collective Brands, Inc. was $\$ 160.2$ million, or $\$ 2.66$ per diluted share, compared to 2010 net earnings attributable to Collective Brands, Inc. of $\$ 112.8$ million, or $\$ 1.75$ per diluted share. The decrease in net earnings attributable to Collective Brands, Inc. was driven by the recognition of a valuation allowance recorded against our federal domestic net deferred tax assets ( $\$ 134.5$ million), as well as decreased gross margins primarily due to tangible ( $\$ 32.5$ million) and intangible asset impairment charges (\$31.1 million), lease termination costs ( $\$ 10.6$ million), goodwill impairment ( $\$ 10.0$ million), increased selling, general and administrative expenses due to CEO and store closing severance ( $\$ 12.4$ million) and strategic review expenses ( $\$ 3.8$ million), partially offset by lower compensation-related expense ( $\$ 13.1$ million). Increased promotional activity and higher product costs also drove the decline from last year.

Our 2010 net earnings attributable to Collective Brands, Inc. was $\$ 112.8$ million, or $\$ 1.75$ per diluted share compared to 2009 net earnings attributable to Collective Brands, Inc. of $\$ 82.7$ million, or $\$ 1.28$ per diluted share. The increase in net earnings attributable to Collective Brands, Inc. was primarily driven by improved gross margins, higher net sales and lower interest expense, partially offset by increased selling, general and administrative expenses.

## Es

Net sales at our retail stores are recognized at the time the sale is made to the customer. Net sales for wholesale and e-commerce transactions are recognized when title passes and the risks or rewards of ownership have transferred to the customer, based on the shipping terms. All sales are net of estimated returns and promotional discounts and exclude sales tax.

With the exception of total net sales, the table below summarizes net sales information for our retail stores for each of the last three fiscal years. Stores operated under franchise agreements are excluded from these calculations. Same-store sales are calculated on a weekly basis and exclude stores that closed with the services of a third-party liquidator. Generally, if a store is open the entire week in each of the last two years being compared, its sales are included in the same-store sales calculation for the week. Our Payless and Stride Rite children's e-commerce net sales are included in the same-store sales calculation.

Percent increases (decreases) are as follows:

|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total net sales | 2.5\% | 2.0\% | (3.9)\% |
| Same-store sales | (2.7) | (2.2) | (2.3) |
| Average selling price per unit | (1.4) | 0.1 | 4.1 |
| Unit volume | (2.1) | (2.4) | (6.2) |
| Footwear average selling price per unit | 3.2 | 1.4 | 6.1 |
| Footwear unit volume | (7.2) | (4.8) | (8.8) |
| Non-footwear average selling price per unit | (9.3) | 3.4 | 5.3 |
| Non-footwear unit volume | 13.2 | 5.6 | 3.8 |

Please refer to the "Reporting Segment Review of Operations" section for the details of the changes in net sales for each of our reporting units.

## gs

Cost of sales includes cost of merchandise sold and our buying, occupancy, warehousing and product movement costs, as well as depreciation of stores and distribution centers, net intellectual property litigation costs, tangible asset impairment charges, impairment of trademarks and lease termination costs.

Cost of sales was $\$ 2,432.2$ million for 2011, up $11.9 \%$ from $\$ 2,174.5$ million in 2010. The increase in cost of sales from 2010 to 2011 is primarily due to the impact of tangible and intangible asset impairment charges, lease termination costs, higher product costs and a greater mix of wholesale products, which have a higher cost of sales than our retail products.

Cost of sales was $\$ 2,174.5$ million for 2010, up $0.4 \%$ from $\$ 2,166.9$ million in 2009. The increase in cost of sales from 2009 to 2010 is primarily due to the impact of higher sales, offset by lower product costs in the first half of the year and lower occupancy costs in 2010 compared to 2009. Product costs were flat in the third quarter of 2010 compared to the third quarter 2009 and were higher in the fourth quarter of 2010 compared to the fourth quarter of 2009 .

## H

Gross margin rate for 2011 was $29.7 \%$ compared to a gross margin rate of $35.6 \%$ for 2010 . The gross margin rate decreased principally due to the impact of intangible and tangible asset impairment charges, lease termination costs, higher product costs and increased promotional activity.

Gross margin rate for 2010 was $35.6 \%$ compared to a gross margin rate of $34.5 \%$ for 2009 . The increase in gross margin rate is primarily due to lower product costs in the first half of the year and lower occupancy costs. Product costs were flat in the third quarter of 2010 compared to the third quarter 2009 and were higher in the fourth quarter of 2010 compared to the fourth quarter of 2009.

## 磁空

In 2011, selling, general and administrative expenses were $\$ 1,046.3$ million, an increase of $3.4 \%$ from $\$ 1,011.5$ million in the 2010 period. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales were $30.2 \%$ in 2011 compared with $30.0 \%$ in 2010. The increase in SG\&A expenses in 2011 compared to 2010 is primarily due to the impact of the severance costs of $\$ 12.4$ million and continued marketing investments in the PLG Wholesale and Payless Domestic reporting segments, partially offset by the impact of lower compensationrelated expenses.

In 2010, selling, general and administrative expenses were $\$ 1,011.5$ million, an increase of $3.0 \%$ from $\$ 982.4$ million in the 2009 period. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales were $30.0 \%$ in 2010 compared with $29.7 \%$ in 2009. The increase of $0.3 \%$ as a percentage of net sales is primarily due to greater brand-building investments across the business to support wholesale and international expansion, as well as increases in payroll and related costs to facilitate the growth of our PLG business.

## Hfis

We assess goodwill for impairment annually and at any other date when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the book value of these assets may exceed their fair value. This assessment is performed at a reporting unit level. A reporting unit is a component of a segment that constitutes a business, for which discrete financial information is available, and for which the operating results are regularly reviewed by management. We
develop an estimate of the fair value of each reporting unit using both a market approach and an income approach. If potential for impairment exists, the fair value of the reporting unit is subsequently measured against the fair value of its underlying assets and liabilities, excluding goodwill, to estimate an implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill.

A change in events or circumstances, including a decision to hold an asset or group of assets for sale, a change in strategic direction, or a change in the competitive environment could adversely affect the fair value of one or more reporting units. In the second quarter of 2011, due to the departure of our former CEO and subsequent change in strategy, along with our commitment to close underperforming retail stores (which took place after the second quarter close but before our filing), we revised our financial projections related to certain reporting units. These circumstances indicated a potential impairment of our goodwill and, as such, we assessed the fair value of our goodwill to determine if its book value exceeded its fair value. We determined that the book value of our goodwill did exceed its fair value and we recorded an impairment charge of $\$ 10.0$ million within the Payless Domestic reporting segment in the second quarter of 2011.

During the third quarter of 2011, we performed our required annual goodwill impairment test and concluded there was no additional impairment of goodwill.

## 嘷

Interest income and expense components were:

| (dollars in millions) | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest expense | \$38.9 | \$48.7 | \$60.8 |
| Interest income | (0.4) | (0.7) | (1.1) |
| Interest expense, net | \$38.5 | \$48.0 | \$59.7 |

The decline in interest expense in 2011 compared to 2010 is primarily a result of decreased borrowings on our Term Loan Facility and Senior Subordinated Notes and an average lower rate on our Term Loan Facility.

The decline in interest expense in 2010 compared to 2009 is primarily a result of decreased borrowings of $\$ 184.0$ million on our Term Loan Facility and Senior Subordinated Notes and an average lower rate on our Term Loan Facility. The decline in interest income in 2010 compared to 2009 is primarily a result of lower interest rates on our invested cash balance.

## (1)

In 2011, the loss on early extinguishment of debt relates to the acceleration of deferred debt costs and amortization of the discount on our Senior Subordinated Notes in proportion to the amounts extinguished. In 2010, the loss on early extinguishment of debt relates to the acceleration of deferred debt costs on our Term Loan Facility in proportion to the amounts extinguished. In 2009, the loss on early extinguishment of debt relates to the premium, in excess of par, paid to redeem a portion of our Senior Subordinated Notes, the acceleration of the discount on the Senior Subordinated Notes and the acceleration of deferred debt costs on both our Senior Subordinated Notes and our Term Loan Facility in proportion to the amounts extinguished.

The effective tax rate was a negative $127.7 \%$ in 2011 versus $12.4 \%$ in 2010 . Our effective tax rate differs from the US statutory rate principally due to the impact of a valuation allowance recorded against our domestic net deferred tax assets which increased our provision for income taxes by $\$ 134.5$ million, the impact of our operations conducted in jurisdictions with rates lower than the US statutory rate and the ongoing implementation of tax efficient business initiatives. The effective income tax rate reconciliation is depicted in Note 11 of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

We recorded $\$ 75.8$ million of net unfavorable discrete events in 2011, inclusive of recording a valuation allowance of $\$ 80.8$ million against domestic deferred tax assets arising in prior years because of a change in circumstances that caused a change in judgment about the realization of the domestic deferred tax assets. The Company recorded $\$ 7.8$ million of net favorable discrete events in 2010 relating primarily to the resolution of outstanding tax audits.

Our $\$ 65.8$ million pre-tax loss was comprised of a $\$ 172.1$ million domestic pre-tax loss, partially offset by foreign pre-tax income of $\$ 106.3$ million. The reduction from the Company's federal statutory rate related to foreign earnings results primarily from its offshore entities in Asia (China, Hong Kong, Taiwan, and Vietnam) which source the majority of the Company's product and are subject to substantially lower local country income taxes. The Company's weighted average foreign effective tax rate for fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009 was $14.8 \%, 9.2 \%$ and $11.8 \%$, respectively. The weighted average foreign effective tax rate is higher for 2011 due to payment of an audit settlement in Asia for years 2002 to 2009. Similarly, the rate differential on foreign earnings is lower than prior years due to the Asian audit settlement as well as US deferred taxes provided on certain foreign earnings which are not permanently reinvested.

Our estimate of uncertainty in income taxes is based on the framework established in income taxes accounting guidance. This guidance prescribes a recognition threshold and a measurement standard for the financial statement recognition and measurement of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The recognition and measurement of tax benefits is often highly judgmental. Determinations regarding the recognition and measurement of a tax benefit can change as additional developments occur relative to the issue. Accordingly, our future results may include favorable or unfavorable adjustments to our unrecognized tax benefits.

We anticipate that it is reasonably possible that the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits at January 28, 2012 will decrease by up to $\$ 2.3$ million within the next 12 months due to potential settlements of on-going examinations with tax authorities and the potential lapse of the statutes of limitations in various taxing jurisdictions. To the extent that these tax benefits are recognized, the effective tax rate will be favorably impacted by up to $\$ 1.5$ million.

The Company records valuation allowances against its deferred tax assets, when necessary, in accordance with the framework established in income taxes accounting guidance. Realization of deferred tax assets (such as net operating loss carryforwards) is dependent on future taxable earnings and is therefore uncertain. We assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate will be recovered from future taxable income. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance based upon an analysis of both positive and negative evidence, including, but not limited to, the consideration of operating results and other objectively verifiable evidence that is available. If our near-term forecasts are not achieved, we may be required to record additional valuation allowances against our deferred tax assets. This could have a material impact on our financial position and results of operations in a particular period. The establishment of a valuation allowance does not have any impact on cash, nor does such an allowance preclude us from using the loss carryforwards or utilizing other deferred tax assets if we generate sufficient taxable income.

Our current year results generated a three year cumulative pre-tax loss in our domestic jurisdiction. The cumulative loss resulted in a requirement to record a non-cash valuation allowance on domestic deferred tax assets of $\$ 105.7$ million during the third quarter, and $\$ 33.1$ million in the fourth quarter, as realization of the deferred tax assets were not more likely than not. Of the $\$ 138.8$ million increase in domestic valuation allowance during 2011, $\$ 80.8$ million relates to assets established in a prior year, and $\$ 58.0$ million relates to deferred tax assets established in the current year. Our total valuation allowance at January 28, 2012 is $\$ 155.0$ million comprised of the domestic valuation allowance of $\$ 149.0$ million and a foreign valuation allowance of $\$ 6.0$ million relating primarily to net operating losses in countries where a pattern of profitability has not yet been established.

In assessing the future realization of deferred tax assets for which a valuation allowance was not established, we concluded it is more likely than not that the assets will be realized. This conclusion was based in large part upon management's assessment that we will generate sufficient quantities of taxable income from offshore operations in future years in the appropriate tax jurisdictions. Further, a specific review of our foreign deferred tax assets was conducted with Canada being the most significant jurisdiction. Management has concluded that no valuation allowance is necessary on foreign deferred tax assets totaling $\$ 16.8$ million. If near-term forecasts are not achieved, we may be required to record additional valuation allowances against our deferred tax assets. This could have a material impact on our financial position, and results of operations in a particular period.

The realizability of deferred tax assets will continue to be monitored quarterly. The establishment of a valuation allowance does not have any impact on cash, nor does such an allowance preclude us from using our loss carryforwards or utilizing other deferred tax assets in the future. When the deferred tax assets currently subject to a valuation allowance are ultimately realized in the future, the benefit will be recorded in the Consolidated Statement of (Loss) Earnings, except for $\$ 23.1$ million related to AOCI, which will be credited to Collective Brands, Inc. shareowners' equity.

As of January 28, 2012, we have not provided tax on our cumulative undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries of approximately $\$ 244$ million, because it is our intention to reinvest these earnings indefinitely. The calculation of the unrecognized deferred tax liability related to these earnings is complex and is not practicable. If earnings were distributed, we would be subject to U.S. taxes and withholding taxes payable to various foreign governments. Based on the facts and circumstances at that time, we would determine whether a credit for foreign taxes already paid would be available to reduce or offset the U.S. tax liability.

## 别竞

Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests represent our joint venture partners' share of net earnings or losses on applicable international operations.

## A

The Company uses certain non-GAAP financial measures to assess performance. These measures are included as a complement to results provided in accordance with GAAP because we believe these non-GAAP financial measures help us explain underlying performance trends in our business and provide useful information to both management and investors. These measures should be considered in addition to results prepared in accordance with GAAP, but should not be considered a substitute for or superior to GAAP results.

We use adjusted earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization ("adjusted EBITDA") as a non-GAAP performance measure because we believe it reflects the Company's core operating performance by excluding certain charges and the impact of the effect of financing and investing activities by eliminating the effects of interest, depreciation and amortization costs. The following table presents the reconciliation of net earnings to adjusted EBITDA:

| (dollars in millions) | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net (loss) earnings | \$(149.8) | \$122.6 | \$ 88.3 |
| Earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax | - | - | (0.1) |
| Provision for income taxes | 84.0 | 17.4 | 9.4 |
| Net interest expense (including loss on early exting debt) | 39.0 | 49.7 | 60.9 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 129.3 | 135.4 | 139.9 |
| Q2 2011 increase in impairment of tangible assets | 32.5 | - | - |
| Impairment of tradenames | 31.1 | - | - |
| Impairment of goodwill | 10.0 | - | - |
| CEO severance | 10.0 | - | - |
| Lease termination costs | 10.6 | - | - |
| Severance costs related to store closings | 2.4 | - | - |
| Impact of third-party store liquidations | 1.7 | - | - |
| Strategic review expenses | 3.8 | - | - |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$ 204.6 | \$325.1 | \$298.4 |

The decrease in adjusted EBITDA in 2011 compared to 2010 is primarily driven by lower gross margins and an increase in selling, general and administrative expenses.

The increase in Adjusted EBITDA in 2010 compared to 2009 is primarily driven by improvement in gross margin and higher sales, partially offset by an increase in selling, general and administrative expenses.

We also use free cash flow, which is a non-GAAP performance measure, because we believe it provides useful information about our liquidity, our ability to make investments and to service debt. Free cash flow is defined as cash flow provided by operating activities less capital expenditures. The following table presents our calculation of free cash flow:

| (dollars in millions) | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flow provided by operating activities | \$ 45.7 | \$271.5 | \$307.6 |
| Less: Capital expenditures | 98.9 | 97.6 | 84.0 |
| Free cash flow | \$(53.2) | \$173.9 | \$223.6 |

The decrease in free cash flow in 2011 compared to 2010 is primarily related to a decrease in cash flow provided by operating activities as a result of decreases in cash generated from net earnings and annualizing the extension of payment terms which reduced the favorable impact of changes to accounts payable compared to previous years.

The decrease in free cash flow in 2010 compared to 2009 is primarily driven by lower cash flow provided by operating activities. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities was driven by changes in the components of working capital due to increases in inventories (primarily due to more units at year-end 2010 versus lower-than-normal units at year-end 2009, a greater mix of higher-cost products at Payless and PLG, and higher costs per unit) and accounts receivable, partially offset by the impact of increases in accounts payable due to the extension of payment terms to our vendors and increases in net earnings.

Finally, we use net debt, which is a non-GAAP performance measure, because we believe it provides useful information about the relationship between our long-term debt obligations and our cash and cash equivalents balance at a point in time. Net debt is defined as total debt less cash and cash equivalents. The following table presents our calculation of net debt:

| (dollars in millions) | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total debt | \$609.9 | \$664.5 | \$849.3 |
| Less: cash and cash equivalents | 181.3 | 324.1 | 393.5 |
| Net debt | \$428.6 | \$340.4 | \$455.8 |

The increase in net debt as of 2011 compared to 2010 was primarily due to a decreased cash balance in 2011 driven by unfavorable working capital changes related to accrued expenses and lower earnings.

The decrease in net debt as of 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily due to our use of operating cash flow to pay down debt in 2010.

## Reporting Segment Review of Operations

We operate our business using four reporting segments: Payless Domestic, Payless International, PLG Retail and PLG Wholesale. We evaluate the performance of our reporting segments based on segment net sales and segment operating profit (loss) from continuing operations as a measure of overall performance of the Company. The following table reconciles reporting segment net sales to consolidated net sales and operating profit (loss) from continuing operations to our consolidated operating profit (loss) from continuing operations for the three years presented in our Consolidated Financial Statements:

The following table presents the change in store count during 2011, 2010 and 2009 by reporting segment. We consider a store relocation to be both a store opening and a store closing.

|  | Payless Domestic | Payless International | PLG <br> Retail | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal year ended January 28, 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning store count | 3,794 | 667 | 383 | 4,844 |
| Stores opened | 45 | 31 | 15 | 91 |
| Stores closed | (340) | (37) | (62) | (439) |
| Ending store count | 3,499 | 661 | 336 | 4,496 |
| Fiscal year ended January 29, 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning store count | 3,827 | 643 | 363 | 4,833 |
| Stores opened | 43 | 42 | 27 | 112 |
| Stores closed | (76) | (18) | (7) | (101) |
| Ending store count | 3,794 | 667 | 383 | $\underline{4,844}$ |
| Fiscal year ended January 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning store count | 3,900 | 622 | 355 | 4,877 |
| Stores opened | 29 | 44 | 11 | 84 |
| Stores closed | (102) | (23) | (3) | (128) |
| Ending store count | 3,827 | 643 | 363 | 4,833 |

As of January 28, 2012, we franchised 143 Payless and 20 PLG Retail stores compared to 62 Payless and 8 PLG Retail stores as of January 29, 2011. We had no franchised Payless or PLG Retail stores as of January 30, 2010. Franchised stores are not reflected in the table above.

For the Payless Domestic segment, our store activity plan for fiscal year 2012 includes a net decrease of approximately 65 stores. Including relocations as both a store opening and a store closing, this includes approximately 25 new stores and 90 store closings. For the Payless International segment, our store activity plan for fiscal year 2012 includes a net increase of approximately 5 stores. Including relocations as both a store opening and a store closing, this includes approximately 20 new stores and 15 store closings. For the PLG Retail segment, our store activity plan for fiscal year 2012 includes a net increase of approximately 10 stores. Including relocations as both a store opening and a store closing, this includes approximately 20 new stores and 10 store closings. We review our store activity plan at least on an annual basis.

The Payless Domestic reporting segment is comprised primarily of operations from our domestic retail stores under the Payless ShoeSource name, the Company's sourcing operations and Collective Licensing. The following table presents selected financial data for our Payless segment for each of the past three fiscal years:

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Percen | hange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 | 2011 vs. 2010 | 2010 vs. 2009 |
|  | (doll | lars | in million |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$1,972.9 |  | ,059.3 |  | ,153.2 | (4.2)\% | (4.4)\% |
| Operating (loss) profit from continuing operations | \$ (96.0) | \$ | 75.2 | \$ | 98.1 | NM*\% | (23.3)\% |
| Operating (loss) profit from continuing operations as \% of net sales | (4.9)\% |  | 3.7\% |  | 4.6\% |  |  |

For the fiscal year 2011, net sales for the Payless Domestic reporting segment decreased $4.2 \%$ or $\$ 86.4$ million, to $\$ 1,972.9$ million, from 2010. The sales decline for 2011 was primarily due to higher retail prices that did not provide a compelling value to our core customer. Our failure to connect with our core customer, primarily in the first three quarters of the year, resulted in Payless Domestic's comparable store sales declining $3.6 \%$ for the year. Operating fewer stores also contributed to the Payless Domestic decline in sales.

For the fiscal year 2010, net sales for the Payless Domestic reporting segment decreased $4.4 \%$ or $\$ 93.9$ million, to $\$ 2,059.3$ million, from 2009. The decrease in net sales from 2009 to 2010 is primarily due to comparable store sales declines driven by weaker economic conditions, lower traffic and fewer stores. These declines were partially offset by gains in fitness footwear and accessories.

As a percentage of net sales, operating loss from continuing operations was $4.9 \%$ for 2011 compared to operating profit of $3.7 \%$ for 2010 . The percentage decrease is primarily driven by an increase in tangible asset impairments, impairment of goodwill of $\$ 10.0$ million, impairment of trademarks of $\$ 7.6$ million, CEO severance of $\$ 10.0$ million, lease termination costs of $\$ 9.3$ million, and strategic review expenses of $\$ 3.4$ million. Higher product costs this year compared to last year also drove the percent decrease.

As a percentage of net sales, operating profit from continuing operations decreased to $3.7 \%$ for 2010 compared to $4.6 \%$ for 2009 . The percentage decrease is primarily due to the deleveraging of fixed costs due to lower net sales as well as increased marketing investments.


Our Payless International reporting segment includes retail operations under the Payless ShoeSource name in Canada, the Central and South American Regions, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands, as well as franchising arrangements under the Payless ShoeSource name. For all years presented, our franchising operations were not significant. As a percent of net sales, operating profit from continuing operations in the Payless International segment is higher than in the Payless Domestic segment primarily due to lower payroll-related expenses.

|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2011 vs. 2010 | 2010 vs. 2009 |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$469.6 | \$460.3 | \$422.4 | 2.0\% | 9.0\% |
| Operating profit from continuing operations | \$ 32.6 | \$ 55.6 | \$ 34.1 | (41.4)\% | 63.0\% |
| Operating profit from continuing operations as \% of net sales | 6.9\% | 12.1\% | 8.1\% |  |  |

For the fiscal year 2011, net sales for the Payless International reporting segment increased $2.0 \%$ or $\$ 9.3$ million, to $\$ 469.6$ million, from 2010. Net sales increases for the Payless International reporting segment were driven by Latin America and were partially offset by sales declines in Puerto Rico and Canada. Our comparable store sales for Payless International were down $0.9 \%$ for the year.

For the fiscal year 2010, net sales for the Payless International reporting segment increased $9.0 \%$ or $\$ 37.9$ million, to $\$ 460.3$ million, from 2009. Net sales for the Payless International reporting segment increased due to higher sales in Central and South America, driven by positive comparable store sales and higher store count. Our sales in Canada were impacted by favorable foreign exchange rates of $\$ 15.7$ million.

As a percentage of net sales, operating profit from continuing operations decreased to $6.9 \%$ for 2011 compared to $12.1 \%$ for 2010 . The percentage decrease is primarily due to tangible asset impairment charges, increased promotional activity and higher product costs this year compared to last year. The operating profit decline from 2010 to 2011 was primarily driven by Canada and Puerto Rico where higher retail prices did not provide a compelling value to our core customer.

As a percentage of net sales, operating profit from continuing operations increased to $12.1 \%$ for 2010 compared to $8.1 \%$ in the for 2009 . The percentage increase is primarily due to increased gross margin rates in all regions and the leveraging of fixed costs due to higher net sales.

The PLG Wholesale reporting segment is comprised of PLG's wholesale operations, which primarily includes the Saucony, Sperry Top-Sider, Stride Rite and Keds brands.

|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2011 vs. 2010 | 2010 vs. 2009 |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$778.6 | \$628.4 | \$513.9 | 23.9\% | 22.3\% |
| Operating profit from continuing operations | \$ 43.9 | \$ 61.7 | \$ 30.0 | (28.8)\% | 105.7\% |
| Operating profit from continuing operations as \% of net sales | $5.6 \%$ | \% 9.8\% | 5.8\% |  |  |

For the fiscal year 2011, net sales for the PLG Wholesale reporting segment increased $23.9 \%$ or $\$ 150.2$ million, to $\$ 778.6$ million, from 2010. The increase in net sales is due to global gains in the Sperry Top-Sider, Saucony, and Stride Rite brands.

For the fiscal year 2010, net sales for the PLG Wholesale reporting segment increased $22.3 \%$ or $\$ 114.5$ million, to $\$ 628.4$ million, from 2009. The increase in net sales was driven primarily by higher sales for Sperry Top-Sider and Saucony due to increased demand for these brands.

As a percentage of net sales, operating profit from continuing operations decreased to $5.6 \%$ for 2011 compared to $9.8 \%$ in 2010. The percentage decrease was primarily due to impairment of trademarks of $\$ 23.5$ million, higher product costs, and higher marketing costs, offset by the leveraging of fixed costs due to higher net sales.

As a percentage of net sales, operating profit from continuing operations increased to $9.8 \%$ for 2010 compared to $5.8 \%$ in 2009 . The percentage increase was primarily due to the leveraging of fixed costs due to higher net sales, offset by higher product, freight and marketing costs.

The PLG Retail reporting segment is comprised of operations from PLG's owned Stride Rite children's stores, PLG's outlet stores, store-in-stores at select Macy's Department Stores and Sperry Top-Sider stores.

|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2011 vs. 2010 | 2010 vs. 2009 |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$240.6 | \$227.7 | \$218.4 | 5.7\% | 4.3\% |
| Operating loss from continuing operations | \$ (7.3) | \$ (2.8) | \$ (3.7) | 160.7\% | (24.3)\% |
| Operating loss from continuing operations as \% of net sales | (3.0) | \% (1.2)\% | $\% \quad(1.7)$ |  |  |

For fiscal year 2011, net sales for the PLG Retail reporting segment increased $5.7 \%$ or $\$ 12.9$ million, to $\$ 240.6$ million, from 2010. The increase in net sales was primarily due to the contribution of the Sperry retail stores and a $1.8 \%$ comparable store sales increase.

For fiscal year 2010, net sales for the PLG Retail reporting segment increased $4.3 \%$ or $\$ 9.3$ million, to $\$ 227.7$ million, from 2009. The increase in net sales was due to an increase in the number of stores, offset by lower comparable store sales of $1.6 \%$.

As a percentage of net sales, operating loss from continuing operations increased to $3.0 \%$ for 2011 compared to $1.2 \%$ for 2010. The percentage increase was primarily due the increase in asset impairment charges and higher product costs in 2011 compared to 2010.

As a percentage of net sales, operating loss from continuing operations decreased to $1.2 \%$ for 2010 compared to $1.7 \%$ for 2009 . Operating loss as a percentage of net sales was positively impacted by selling, general and administrative expense improvement initiatives and fewer markdowns.

## \#7

Our cash position tends to be higher in June as well as September to October, due primarily to the seasonality of our business, which is impacted by the cash received for sales during Easter and back-to-school, respectively. Our cash position tends to be lowest around February to March when Easter inventories are built-up but not yet sold. Any materially adverse change in customer demand, fashion trends, competitive market forces or customer acceptance of our merchandise mix and retail locations, uncertainties related to the effect of competitive products and pricing, risks associated with foreign global sourcing or economic conditions worldwide could affect our ability to continue to fund our needs from business operations. Internally generated cash flow from operations has been our primary source of cash and we believe projected operating cash flows and current credit facilities will be adequate to fund our working capital requirements, scheduled debt repayments, and to support the development of our short-term and long-term operating strategies. Substantially all of our real estate is financed through operating leases.

We ended 2011 with a cash and cash equivalents balance of $\$ 181.3$ million, a decrease of $\$ 142.8$ million from 2010. Our decrease in cash and cash equivalents from 2010 is primarily driven by lower operating results, voluntary early debt repayments of $\$ 50.0$ million, capital expenditures and working capital requirements, primarily accounts receivable and accrued expenses. Significant sources and (uses) of cash are summarized below:

| (dollars in millions) | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net (loss) earnings | \$(149.8) | \$ 122.6 | \$ 88.3 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 131.7 | 138.2 | 143.2 |
| Other non-cash items | 183.3 | 36.7 | 36.2 |
| Working capital (increases) decreases | (102.3) | (16.8) | 64.6 |
| Other operating activities | (17.2) | (9.2) | (24.7) |
| Cash flow provided by operating activities | 45.7 | 271.5 | 307.6 |
| Payments for capital expenditures | (98.9) | (97.6) | (84.0) |
| Other investing activities | (1.4) | - | (16.2) |
| Cash flow used in investing activities | (100.3) | (97.6) | (100.2) |
| Net (purchases) issuances of common stock | (16.9) | (53.2) | 0.6 |
| Payments of debt and deferred financing costs | (56.9) | (185.2) | (67.0) |
| Net distributions to noncontrolling interests | (13.2) | (7.7) | (0.7) |
| Issuance of debt | - | - | 2.1 |
| Cash flow used in financing activities | (87.0) | (246.1) | (65.0) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | (1.2) | 2.8 | 1.8 |
| (Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | \$(142.8) | \$ (69.4) | \$ 144.2 |

As of January 28, 2012, our foreign subsidiaries and joint ventures had $\$ 134.7$ million in cash located in financial institutions outside of the United States. A majority of this cash represents undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries, which are indefinitely reinvested. In the event of a distribution to the United States, those earnings could be subject to United States federal and state income taxes, net of foreign tax credits.

Cash flow from operations was $\$ 45.7$ million in 2011 compared to $\$ 271.5$ million in 2010 and $\$ 307.6$ million in 2009. The change in cash flow from operations from 2011 compared with 2010 is primarily due to lower cash generated from net earnings and decreases in accounts payable and accrued expenses compared to 2010. The decrease in net earnings was offset by certain non-cash charges primarily related to the recognition of our valuation allowance and tangible and intangible asset impairments. The decrease in the cash flow provided by accounts payable is due to annualizing the extension of payment terms which reduced the favorable impact in 2011 compared to 2010. The decrease in accrued expenses is primarily due to lower variable compensation related accruals.

The change in cash flow from operations from 2010 compared with 2009 is primarily due to changes in working capital due to increases in inventories and accounts receivable; offset by increases in accounts payable and net earnings. The increase in inventories was driven by more units at year-end 2010, returning them to a more normal year-end level, a greater mix of higher-cost products at Payless and PLG, and higher costs per unit. The increase in accounts receivable was due to revenue growth in our PLG Wholesale reporting segment. The increase in accounts payable is primarily due to the extension of payment terms with our merchandise vendors.

We contributed $\$ 0.4$ million, $\$ 1.6$ million and $\$ 9.5$ million to the PLG pension plan in 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. We plan on making $\$ 4.0$ million in contributions in 2012. Our contributions in 2012 and beyond will depend upon market conditions, interest rates and other factors and may vary significantly in future years based upon the plan's funded status. We believe our internal cash flow will finance all of these future contributions.

## 4

In 2011, our capital expenditures totaled $\$ 98.9$ million. Capital expenditures for new and relocated stores were $\$ 26.0$ million, capital expenditures to remodel existing stores were $\$ 36.6$ million, capital expenditures for information technology hardware and systems development were $\$ 26.3$ million, capital expenditures for supply chain were $\$ 2.7$ million and capital expenditures for other necessary improvements including corporate expenditures were $\$ 7.3$ million. We expect that cash paid for capital expenditures during 2012 will be approximately $\$ 80$ million to $\$ 85$ million. We intend to use internal cash flow from operations and available financing from the Amended Revolving Loan Facility, if necessary, to finance all of these capital expenditures. We also acquired certain intangible assets during 2011, which totaled $\$ 1.4$ million.

In 2010, our capital expenditures totaled $\$ 97.6$ million. Capital expenditures for new and relocated stores were $\$ 24.5$ million, capital expenditures to remodel existing stores were $\$ 21.1$ million, capital expenditures for information technology hardware and systems development were $\$ 33.3$ million, capital expenditures for supply chain were $\$ 4.7$ million and capital expenditures for other necessary improvements including corporate expenditures were $\$ 14.0$ million.

In 2009, our capital expenditures totaled $\$ 84.0$ million. Capital expenditures for new and relocated stores were $\$ 23.7$ million, capital expenditures to remodel existing stores were $\$ 20.4$ million, capital expenditures for information technology hardware and systems development were $\$ 27.2$ million, capital expenditures for supply chain were $\$ 5.0$ million and capital expenditures for other necessary improvements including corporate expenditures were $\$ 7.7$ million. We also acquired certain trademarks, including Above the Rim, during 2009.

## 4

The Company has made the following common stock repurchases:

| (dollars in millions, shares in thousands) | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dollars | Shares | Dollars | Shares | Dollars | Shares |
| Stock repurchase program | \$14.9 | 993 | \$59.8 | 3,626 | \$6.0 | 274 |
| Employee stock purchase, deferred compensation and stock incentive plans | 3.8 | 212 | 4.1 | 208 | 1.6 | 115 |
|  | \$18.7 | 1,205 | \$63.9 | 3,834 | \$7.6 | 389 |

On August 17, 2007, we entered into a $\$ 725$ million term loan (the "Term Loan Facility") and a $\$ 350$ million Amended and Restated Loan and Guaranty Agreement (the "Revolving Loan Facility"). The Term Loan Facility ranks pari passu in right of payment and have the lien priorities specified in an intercreditor agreement executed by the administrative agent to the Term Loan Facility. On August 16, 2011, we amended our $\$ 350$ million Revolving Loan Facility with a $\$ 300$ million Second Amended and Restated Loan and Guaranty Agreement ("Amended Revolving Loan Facility" and collectively with the Term Loan Facility, the "Loan Facilities"). The Loan Facilities are senior secured loans guaranteed by substantially all of the assets of the borrower and the guarantors, with the Amended Revolving Loan Facility having first priority in accounts receivable, inventory and certain related assets and the Term Loan Facility having first priority in substantially all of the borrower's and the guarantors' remaining assets, including intellectual property, the capital stock of
each domestic subsidiary, any intercompany notes owned by the borrower and the guarantors, and $66 \%$ of the stock of non-U.S. subsidiaries directly owned by borrower or a guarantor.

The Term Loan Facility will mature on August 17, 2014. The Term Loan Facility will amortize quarterly in annual amounts of $1.0 \%$ of the original amount, reduced ratably by any prepayments, with the final installment payable on the maturity date. The Term Loan Agreement provides for customary mandatory prepayments, subject to certain exceptions and limitations and in certain instances, reinvestment rights, from (a) the net cash proceeds of certain asset sales, insurance recovery events and debt issuances, each as defined in the Term Loan Agreement, and (b) $25 \%$ of excess cash flow, as defined in the Term Loan Agreement, subject to reduction. The mandatory prepayment is not required if the total leverage ratio, as defined in the Term Loan Agreement, is less than 2.0 to 1.0 at fiscal year end. Based on our excess cash flow as of January 28, 2012, we are not required to make such a mandatory prepayment. Loans under the Term Loan Facility will bear interest at the Borrower's option, at either (a) the Base Rate as defined in the Term Loan Facility agreement plus $1.75 \%$ per annum or (b) the Eurodollar (LIBOR-indexed) Rate plus $2.75 \%$ per annum, with such margin to be agreed for any incremental term loans.

In 2010 and 2009, not including our required quarterly payments, we repaid $\$ 178.0$ million and $\$ 18.0$ million of our outstanding Term Loan Facility balance, respectively. We made no repayments, other than our required quarterly payments, on our Term Loan Facility balance in 2011. The balance remaining on our Term Loan Facility as of January 28, 2012 was $\$ 484.4$ million.

On August 24, 2007, we entered into an interest rate swap arrangement for $\$ 540$ million to hedge a portion of our variable rate Term Loan Facility. The interest rate swap provides for a fixed interest rate of approximately $7.75 \%$, portions of which mature on a series of dates through May of 2012. The balance of the Term Loan Facility that is hedged under the interest rate swap is $\$ 90$ million as of the end of 2011. This derivative instrument is designated as a cash flow hedge for accounting purposes.

The Amended Revolving Loan Facility matures on August 16, 2016 and bears interest at the London InterBank Offer Rate ("LIBOR"), plus a variable margin of $1.75 \%$ to $2.25 \%$ or the base rate as defined in the agreement. The Amended Revolving Loan Facility provides increased flexibility for investments, incurrence of indebtedness and restricted payments including prepayments on our Senior Subordinated Notes, subject to excess line availability tests. The facility will be available as needed for general corporate purposes. As of January 28, 2012 the borrowing base on our Amended Revolving Loan Facility was $\$ 300.0$ million less $\$ 28.6$ million in outstanding letters of credit or $\$ 271.4$ million. We did not have any borrowings on our Revolving Loan Facility at any time during 2011.

In July 2003, we sold $\$ 200.0$ million of $8.25 \%$ Senior Subordinated Notes (the "Senior Subordinated Notes") for $\$ 196.7$ million, due 2013. The discount of $\$ 3.3$ million is being amortized to interest expense over the life of the Notes. The Notes are guaranteed by all of our domestic subsidiaries. Interest on the Notes is payable semi-annually. We may, on any one or more occasions, redeem all or a part of the Notes at $100 \%$ of their face value, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on the Senior Subordinated Notes redeemed.

In 2011 and 2009, we redeemed $\$ 50.0$ million and $\$ 23.0$ million of our outstanding Senior Subordinated Notes, respectively. We made no such redemptions in 2010. The balance remaining on our Senior Subordinated Notes as of January 28, 2012 was $\$ 125.0$ million, which is recorded net of a $\$ 0.4$ million discount. We are subject to financial covenants under our Loan Facilities. We have a financial covenant under our Term Loan Facility agreement that requires us to maintain, on the last day of each fiscal quarter, a total leverage ratio of not more than 4.0 to 1 . As of January 28, 2012 our leverage ratio, as defined in our Term Loan Facility agreement, was 2.6 to 1 and we were in compliance with all of our covenants. We expect, based on our current financial projections, to be in compliance with our covenants for the next twelve months.

The Loan Facilities and the Senior Subordinated Notes contain other various covenants, including those that may limit our ability to pay dividends, repurchase stock, accelerate the retirement of debt or make certain investments.

虽
Our financial commitments as of January 28, 2012, are described below:

|  | Cash Payments Due by Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

(1) We lease substantially all of our stores and are committed to making lease payments over varying lease terms. Operating lease obligations represent the total lease obligations due to landlords, including obligations related to closed stores, as well as our obligations related to leases that we have sublet. In instances where failure to exercise renewal options would result in an economic penalty, the calculation of lease obligations includes renewal option periods.
${ }^{(2)}$ Interest on long-term debt includes the expected interest payments on our $8.25 \%$ Senior Subordinated Notes, the portion of our Term Loan Facility that is fixed at approximately $7.75 \%$ under our interest rate swap and the unhedged portion of our Term Loan Facility that varies based on LIBOR rates. The interest rates used for the unhedged portion of our Term Loan Facility were based on our estimate of the forward LIBOR rate curve as of January 28, 2012.
${ }^{(3)}$ Our royalty obligations consist of minimum royalty payments for the purchase of branded merchandise.
${ }^{(4)}$ Our pension obligations consist of projected pension payments related to our pension plans.
${ }^{(5)}$ Our service agreement obligations consist of minimum payments for services that we cannot avoid without penalty.
${ }^{(6)}$ Our employment agreement obligations consist of minimum payments to certain of our executives and assume bonus target payouts are met.

Amounts not reflected in the table above:
We issue cancelable purchase orders to various vendors for the purchase of our merchandise. As of January 28, 2012, we had merchandise purchase obligations in the amount of $\$ 301.8$ million for which we will likely take delivery.

Our liability for unrecognized tax benefits, excluding interest and penalties, is $\$ 31.8$ million as of January 28 , 2012. We are unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate of the amount and period of related future payments on this balance.

A summary of key financial information for the periods indicated is as follows:

Debt-capitalization Ratio* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\quad$| $\frac{\mathbf{2 0 1 1}}{\mathbf{4 8 . 6}} \quad \frac{\mathbf{2 0 1 0}}{44.7 \%} \quad \frac{\mathbf{2 0 0 9}}{53.6 \%}$ |
| :--- |

* Debt-to-capitalization has been computed by dividing total debt by capitalization. Total debt is defined as long-term debt including current maturities, notes payable and borrowings under the revolving line of credit, if applicable. Capitalization is defined as total debt and shareowners' equity. The debt-to-capitalization ratio, including the present value of future minimum rental payments under operating leases as debt and as capitalization, was $70.3 \%, 66.7 \%$, and $72.3 \%$, respectively, for the periods referred to above.

The increase in the debt-capitalization ratio from 2010 to 2011 is primarily due to a decrease in shareowners' equity due to a net loss attributable to Collective Brands, Inc.

The decrease in the debt-capitalization ratio from 2009 to 2010 is primarily due to a lower debt balance as a result of our early extinguishment of debt and an increase in shareowners' equity due to increased earnings attributable to Collective Brands, Inc.

## Critical Accounting Policies

MD\&A is based upon our Consolidated Financial Statements, which were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These principles require us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes thereto. Actual results may differ from these estimates, and such differences may be material to the Consolidated Financial Statements. We believe that the following critical accounting policies involve a higher degree of judgment or complexity. See the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a complete discussion of our significant accounting policies.

## t

Merchandise inventories in our stores are valued by the retail method and are stated at the lower of cost, determined using the first-in, first-out ("FIFO") basis, or market. Prior to shipment to a specific store, inventories are valued at the lower of cost using the FIFO basis, or market. The retail method is widely used in the retail industry due to its practicality. Under the retail method, cost is determined by applying a calculated cost-to-retail ratio across groupings of similar items, known as departments. As a result, the retail method results in an averaging of inventory costs across similar items within a department. The cost-to-retail ratio is applied to ending inventory at its current owned retail valuation to determine the cost of ending inventory on a department basis. Current owned retail represents the retail price for which merchandise is offered for sale on a regular basis, reduced for any permanent or clearance markdowns. As a result, the retail method normally results in an inventory valuation that is lower than a traditional FIFO cost basis.

Inherent in the retail method calculation are certain significant management judgments and estimates including markdowns and shrinkage, which can significantly impact the owned retail and, therefore, the ending inventory valuation at cost. Specifically, the failure to take permanent or clearance markdowns on a timely basis can result in an overstatement of cost under the retail method. We believe that our application of the retail method reasonably states inventory at the lower of cost or market.

Wholesale inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market using the FIFO method.
We make ongoing estimates relating to the net realizable value of inventories, based upon our assumptions about future demand and market conditions. If we estimate that the net realizable value of our inventory is less
than the cost of the inventory recorded on our books, we reduce inventory to the estimated net realizable value. If changes in market conditions result in reductions in the estimated net realizable value of our inventory below our previous estimate, we would increase our reserve in the period in which we made such a determination. We have continually managed these risks in the past and believe we can successfully manage them in the future. However, our revenues and operating margins may suffer if we are unable to effectively manage these risks.

## 辟

We evaluate our stores on a quarterly basis to determine if their assets are recoverable. Our primary indicator that store assets may not be recoverable is if a store's projected future undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying amount of the store's assets. The underlying estimates of cash flows include estimates of future net sales, gross margin rates and store expenses as well as any potential for changes related to occupancy costs, store closures and transfer sales. These assumptions are based upon the stores' past and expected future performance. An indicator of impairment may also exist if we commit to a plan to close stores. If an indicator of impairment exists, we model estimated future cash flows on a store-by-store basis and compare the present value, using an appropriate discount rate, of these cash flows to the carrying amount of the assets. An impairment loss is recognized when the carrying amount of the store's assets exceeds their fair value.

Our impairment calculations require us to apply judgment in estimating future net sales, gross margin rates and store expenses as well as any potential for changes related to occupancy costs, store closures and transfer sales. We also apply judgment in estimating asset fair values, including the selection of an appropriate discount rate. If we do not meet our projections, we may incur significant impairment charges in future periods.

The Company has defined benefit pension plans. One of the plans is frozen and no longer accrues future retirement benefits. Major assumptions used in the accounting for the other employee benefit plan include the discount rate, expected return on plan assets and rate of increase in employee compensation levels. Assumptions are determined based on our data and appropriate market indicators, and are evaluated each year as of the plan's measurement date. A change in any of these assumptions would have an effect on net periodic pension and postretirement benefit costs reported in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

We use a cash flow matching approach for determining the appropriate discount rate for the defined benefit pension plan. The approach is derived from U.S. Treasury rates, plus an option-adjusted spread varying by maturity, to derive hypothetical "Aa" corporate bond rates. The calculation of pension expense is dependent on the determination of the assumptions used. A 100 basis point decrease in the discount rate and a 100 basis point decrease in the expected long-term return on assets would increase the Company's annual pension expense by $\$ 1.0$ million and $\$ 0.7$ million, respectively.

## 奖

Our estimate of uncertainty in income taxes is based on the framework established in income taxes accounting guidance. This guidance prescribes a recognition threshold and a measurement standard for the financial statement recognition and measurement of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The recognition and measurement of tax benefits is often highly judgmental. Determinations regarding the recognition and measurement of a tax benefit can change as additional developments occur relative to the issue. Accordingly, our future results may include favorable or unfavorable adjustments to our unrecognized tax benefits.

We record valuation allowances against our deferred tax assets, when necessary, in accordance with the framework established in income taxes accounting guidance. Realization of deferred tax assets (such as net operating loss carryforwards) is dependent on future taxable earnings and is therefore uncertain. We assess the
likelihood that our deferred tax assets in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate will be recovered from future taxable income. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance to recognize the extent to which, more likely than not, the future tax benefits will not be realized. If our near-term forecasts are not achieved, we may be required to record additional valuation allowances against our deferred tax assets. This could have a material impact on our financial position and results of operations in a particular period.

Our current year results generated a three year cumulative pre-tax loss in our domestic jurisdiction. The cumulative loss resulted in a requirement to record a non-cash valuation allowance on domestic deferred tax assets of $\$ 105.7$ million during the third quarter of 2011 , and $\$ 33.1$ million in the fourth quarter of 2011, as realization of the deferred tax assets was not more likely than not. Of the $\$ 138.8$ million increase in domestic valuation allowance during 2011, $\$ 80.8$ million relates to assets established in a prior year, and $\$ 58.0$ million relates to deferred tax assets established in the current year. Our total valuation allowance at January 28, 2012 is $\$ 155.0$ million comprised of the domestic valuation allowance of $\$ 149.0$ million and a foreign valuation allowance of $\$ 6.0$ million relating primarily to net operating losses in countries where a pattern of profitability has not yet been established. The establishment of a valuation allowance does not have any impact on cash, nor does such an allowance preclude us from using the loss carryforwards or utilizing other deferred tax assets if we generate sufficient taxable income. If the deferred tax assets currently subject to a valuation allowance are ultimately realized in the future, the benefit will likely be recorded in the Consolidated Statement of (Loss) Earnings, except for $\$ 23.1$ million related to accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income ("AOCI"), which will be credited to Collective Brands, Inc. shareowners' equity. As of January 28, 2012, we have $\$ 16.8$ million of net deferred tax assets that have not been reduced by a valuation allowance.

## 367

We assess goodwill for impairment annually and at any other date when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the book value of our reporting units may exceed their fair value. A reporting unit is a component of a segment that constitutes a business, for which discrete financial information is available, and for which the operating results are regularly reviewed by management. We have five reporting units for the purposes of assessing goodwill: Payless Domestic, Payless International, PLG Wholesale, PLG Retail, and Collective Licensing.

The goodwill impairment test involves a two-step process. The first step is a comparison of each reporting unit's fair value to its book value. If the book value of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, goodwill is considered potentially impaired and the Company must complete the second step of the goodwill impairment test. The amount of impairment is determined by comparing the implied fair value of reporting unit goodwill to the book value of the goodwill in the same manner as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. Specifically, we would allocate the fair value to all of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit in a hypothetical analysis that would calculate the implied fair value of goodwill. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than the recorded goodwill, we would recognize an impairment charge for the difference.

The fair value of the reporting units is determined using a combined income and market approach. The income approach uses a reporting unit's projection of estimated cash flows and is discounted using a weightedaverage cost of capital that reflects current market conditions. The market approach may involve use of the guideline transaction method, the guideline company method, or both. The guideline transaction method makes use of available transaction price data of companies engaged in the same or a similar line of business as the respective reporting unit. The guideline company method uses market multiples of publicly traded companies with operating characteristics similar to the respective reporting unit. We consider value indications from both the income approach and market approach in estimating the fair value of each reporting unit in our analysis. We also compare the aggregate fair value of our reporting units to our market capitalization plus a control premium at each reporting period.

Our goodwill balance by reporting segment and reporting unit as of January 28, 2012 is as follows:

| Reporting Segment | Reporting Unit | Goodwill Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (in millions) |
| PLG Wholesale | PLG Wholesale | \$239.6 |
| Payless Domestic | Collective Licensing | 30.2 |
| Total | . . . . . | \$269.8 |

Management judgment is a significant factor in determining whether an indicator of impairment has occurred. We rely on estimates in determining the fair value of each reporting unit, which include the following critical quantitative factors:

- Anticipated future cash flows and long-term growth rates for each reporting unit. The income approach to determining fair value relies on the timing and estimates of future cash flows, including an estimate of long-term growth rates. The projections use our estimates of economic and market conditions over the projected period including growth rates in sales and estimates of expected changes in operating margins. Our projections of future cash flows are subject to change as actual results are achieved that differ from those anticipated. Actual results could vary significantly from estimates.

A 550 basis point decrease in our assumed future cash flow growth rates, keeping all other variables constant, would cause our Collective Licensing reporting unit to fail step one of the goodwill impairment test. A 650 basis point decrease in our assumed future cash flow growth rates, keeping all other variables constant, would cause our PLG Wholesale reporting unit to fail step one of the goodwill impairment test. Under this scenario, we would be required to perform step two of the goodwill impairment test, which could result in the recognition of a significant impairment charge.

- Selection of an appropriate discount rate. The income approach requires the selection of an appropriate discount rate, which is based on a weighted average cost of capital analysis. The discount rate is subject to changes in short-term interest rates and long-term yield, as well as variances in the typical capital structure of marketplace participants in our industry. The discount rate is determined based on assumptions that would be used by marketplace participants, and for that reason, the capital structure of selected marketplace participants is used in the weighted average cost of capital analysis. Because the selection of the discount rate is dependent on several variables, it is possible that the discount rate could change from year to year.

A 300 basis point increase in our assumed discount rate, keeping all other variables constant, would cause our Collective Licensing reporting unit to fail step one of the goodwill impairment test. A 425 basis point increase in our assumed discount rate, keeping all other variables constant, would cause our PLG Wholesale reporting unit to fail step one of the goodwill impairment test. Under either scenario described above, we would be required to perform step two of the goodwill impairment test, which could result in the recognition of a significant impairment charge.

In the second quarter of 2011, due to underperformance in our retail businesses, we revised our financial projections related to our reporting units. These revisions indicated a potential impairment of our goodwill and, as such, we assessed the fair value of our reporting units to determine if their book value exceeded their fair value. As a result of this assessment, we determined that the book value of goodwill exceeded its fair value and we recognized $\$ 10.0$ million of pre-tax impairment charges for goodwill in the second quarter of 2011. During the third quarter 2011, we performed our required annual goodwill impairment test and concluded there was no impairment of goodwill.

In future periods, if our goodwill were to become impaired, the resulting impairment charge could have a material impact on our financial position and results of operations.

Indefinite-lived intangible assets consist of certain trademarks that are not amortized, but are tested for impairment annually and more frequently if circumstances indicate potential impairment, through a comparison of their fair value to their carrying amount.

Favorable leases, certain trademarks and other intangible assets with finite lives are amortized over their useful lives using the straight-line method. Customer relationships are amortized based on the time period over which the benefits of the asset are expected to occur.

Each period we evaluate whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining estimated useful life of each intangible asset. If we were to determine that events and circumstances warrant a change to the estimate of an intangible asset's remaining useful life, then the remaining carrying amount of the intangible asset would be amortized prospectively over that revised remaining useful life.

The impairment test for indefinite-lived trademarks compares each trademark's fair value to its book value. If the book value of a trademark exceeds its fair value, the trademark is considered impaired and the Company recognizes an impairment charge for the difference. The fair values of our trademarks are determined using either the relief from royalty method or the excess earnings method, which are forms of the income approach. The relief from royalty method is based on the theory that the owner of the trademark is relieved of paying a royalty or license fee for the use of the trademark. The excess earnings method calculates the value of the trademark by discounting its future cash flows. The Company evaluates the fair value of $\$ 301.4$ million of its indefinite-lived trademarks using the relief from royalty method and the remaining $\$ 33.0$ million of its indefinite-lived trademarks using the excess earnings method.

Management judgment is a significant factor in determining whether an indicator of impairment for trademarks has occurred. We rely on estimates in determining the fair value of certain trademarks using the relief from royalty method, which include the following critical quantitative factors:

- Anticipated future revenues and long-term growth rates for each trademark. The relief from royalty approach to determining fair value relies on the timing and estimates of future revenues, including an estimate of long-term growth rates. Our projections of future revenues are subject to change based on actual performance and the collection of additional information. Because our financial performance is dependent upon several factors including, but not limited to, general economic cycles, fashion trends and the behavior of core customers, actual results could vary significantly from estimates and our projections may change significantly from period to period. See "Risk Factors" for a more detailed list of factors that could impact our results.

The following table illustrates the impairment charge for those trademarks valued using the relief from royalty method at various basis point ("bp") decreases in our assumed revenue growth rates, keeping all other variables constant (potential impairment in millions):

| Decrease in revenue growth rate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| BP Decrease  <br> 200  <br> 300 $\$ 0.0$ <br> 400 $\$ 0.9$ <br> 500 $\$ 3.5$ <br>  $\$ 5.9$$.$Potential Impairment |  |

- Reasonable market royalty rate for each trademark. The relief from royalty approach used in determining fair value requires selection of appropriate royalty rates for each trademark. The rates selected depend upon, among other things, licensing agreements involving similar trademarks, historical and forecasted operating profit for each trademark and qualitative factors such as market awareness, history, longevity, and market size.

While we do not expect the market royalty rate to change significantly from period to period, a small change in the royalty rate used in determining the fair value of our trademarks could result in a significant impairment charge. The following table illustrates the impairment charge for those trademarks valued using the relief from royalty method at various bp decreases in our assumed market royalty rates, keeping all other variables constant:

| Decrease in royalty rate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| BP Decrease | Potential Impairment |
| 25 | \$ 0.0 |
| 50 | \$ 5.3 |
| 75 | \$14.3 |
| 100 | \$23.9 |

- Selection of an appropriate discount rate. The relief from royalty approach requires the selection of an appropriate discount rate, which is based on a weighted average cost of capital analysis. The discount rate is subject to changes in short-term interest rates and long-term yield as well as variances in the typical capital structure of marketplace participants in the Company's industry, as well as market conditions that impact the overall value of the Company. Because the selection of the discount rate is dependent on several variables, it is possible that the discount rate could change significantly from year to year.
The following table illustrates the impairment charge for those trademarks valued using the relief from royalty method at various basis point ("bp") increases in our assumed discount rate, keeping all other variables constant (potential impairment in millions):

| Increase in discount rate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{B P}$ Decrease | Potential Impairment |
| 100 | $\$ 3.4$ |
| 200 | $\$ 12.4$ |
| 300 | $\$ 20.7$ |
| 400 | $\$ 28.5$ |

We also rely on estimates in determining the fair value of certain trademarks using the excess earnings method, which include the following critical quantitative factors:

- Anticipated future cash flows and long-term growth rates for each trademark. The excess earnings approach to determining fair value relies on the timing and estimates of future cash flows, including an estimate of long-term growth rates. Our projections of future cash flows are subject to change based on actual performance and the collection of additional information. Because our financial performance is dependent upon several factors including, but not limited to, general economic cycles, fashion trends and the behavior of core customers, actual results could vary significantly from estimates and our projections may change significantly from period to period. See "Risk Factors" for a more detailed list of factors that could impact our results.

The following table illustrates the impairment charge for those trademarks valued using the excess earnings method at various basis point ("bp") decreases in our assumed cash flow growth rates, keeping all other variables constant (potential impairment in millions):

| Decrease in cash flow growth rate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| BP Decrease Potential Impairment <br> 200 $\$ 0.0$ <br> 300 $\$ 0.1$ <br> 400 $\$ 1.1$ <br> 500 $\$ 2.0$. |  |

- Selection of an appropriate discount rate. The excess earnings approach requires the selection of an appropriate discount rate, which is based on a weighted average cost of capital analysis. The discount rate is subject to changes in short-term interest rates and long-term yield as well as variances in the typical capital structure of marketplace participants in the Company's industry, and the overall value of the Company. Because the selection of the discount rate is dependent on several variables, it is possible that the discount rate could change significantly from year to year.


## Foreign Currency Risk

We have operations in foreign countries; therefore, our cash flows in U.S. dollars are impacted by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. We adjust our retail prices, when possible, to reflect changes in exchange rates to mitigate this risk. To further mitigate this risk, we may, from time to time, enter into forward contracts to purchase or sell foreign currencies. For the 52 weeks ended January 28, 2012, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates did not have a material impact on our operations or cash flows.

A significant percentage of our footwear is sourced from the People's Republic of China (the "PRC"). The national currency of the PRC, the Yuan, is currently not a freely convertible currency. The value of the Yuan depends to a large extent on the PRC government's policies and upon the PRC's domestic and international economic and political developments. Since 1994, the official exchange rate for the conversion of the PRC's currency was pegged to the U.S. dollar at a virtually fixed rate of approximately 8.28 Yuan per U.S. dollar. However, during 2005, the PRC's government revalued the Yuan and adopted a more flexible system based on a trade-weighted basket of foreign currencies of the PRC's main trading partners. Under the new "managed float" policy, the exchange rate of the Yuan may shift each day up to $0.5 \%$ in either direction from the previous day's close, and as a result, the valuation of the Yuan may increase incrementally over time should the PRC central bank allow it to do so, which could significantly increase the cost of the products we source from the PRC. As of January 27, 2012, the last day of trading in our fiscal year, the exchange rate was 6.28 Yuan per U.S. dollar compared to 6.57 Yuan per U.S. dollar at the end of our 2010 fiscal year and 6.82 Yuan per U.S. dollar at the end of our 2009 fiscal year.
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## Report of Management

Management is responsible for the preparation, integrity and objectivity of the financial information included in this annual report. The financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States applied on a consistent basis.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts. Although the financial statements reflect all available information and management's judgment and estimates of current conditions and circumstances, and are prepared with the assistance of specialists within and outside the Company, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Management has established and maintains an internal control structure to provide reasonable assurance that assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition that the accounting records provide a reliable basis for the preparation of financial statements, and that such financial statements are not misstated due to material fraud or error. Internal controls include the careful selection of associates, the proper segregation of duties and the communication and application of formal policies and procedures that are consistent with high standards of accounting and administrative practices. An important element of this system is a comprehensive internal audit and loss prevention program.

Management continually reviews, modifies and improves its systems of accounting and controls in response to changes in business conditions and operations and in response to recommendations by the independent registered public accounting firm and reports prepared by the internal auditors.

Management believes that it is essential for the Company to conduct its business affairs in accordance with the highest ethical standards and in conformity with the law. This standard is described in the Company's code of ethics, which is publicized throughout the Company.

## Audit and Finance Committee of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors, through the activities of its Audit and Finance Committee (the "Committee"), participates in the reporting of financial information by the Company. The Committee meets regularly with management, the internal auditors and the independent registered public accounting firm. The Committee reviewed the scope, timing and fees for the annual audit and the results of the audits completed by the internal auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, including the recommendations to improve certain internal controls and the follow-up reports prepared by management. The independent registered public accounting firm and the internal auditors have free access to the Committee and the Board of Directors and attend each regularly scheduled Committee meeting.

The Committee consists of five outside directors all of whom have accounting or financial management expertise. The members of the Committee are Daniel Boggan Jr., Robert F. Moran, John F. McGovern, David Scott Olivet, and Matthew A. Ouimet. The Committee regularly reports the results of its activities to the full Board of Directors.

## Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of Collective Brands, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company. With the participation of the Principal Executive Officer and the Principal Financial and Accounting Officer, our management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework and criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, our management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of January 28, 2012.

Collective Brands, Inc.'s independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte \& Touche LLP, has issued an attestation report dated March 22, 2012 on our internal control over financial reporting which is included on page 58.

## /s/ Michael J. Massey

Chief Executive Officer and President
(Principal Executive Officer)
/s/ Douglas G. Boessen
Division Senior Vice President Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

## Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareowners of Collective Brands, Inc. Topeka, Kansas

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Collective Brands, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of January 28, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of January 28, 2012, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule as of and for the year ended January 28, 2012, of the Company and our report dated March 22, 2012, expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedule.
/s/ DELOITTE \& TOUCHE LLP
Kansas City, Missouri
March 22, 2012

## Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareowners of Collective Brands, Inc. Topeka, Kansas

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Collective Brands, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of January 28, 2012 and January 29, 2011, and the related consolidated statements of (loss) earnings, equity and comprehensive (loss) income, and cash flows for each of the three fiscal years in the period ended January 28, 2012. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15 (b). These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company as of January 28, 2012 and January 29, 2011, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three fiscal years in the period ended January 28, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of January 28, 2012, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated March 22, 2012, expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## /s/ DELOITTE \& TOUCHE LLP

Kansas City, Missouri
March 22, 2012

## COLLECTIVE BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF (LOSS) EARNINGS (dollars in millions, except per share)

|  | 52 Weeks Ended |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { January 28, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } 29, \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January 30, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| Net sales | \$3,461.7 | \$3,375.7 | \$3,307.9 |
| Cost of sales | 2,432.2 | 2,174.5 | 2,166.9 |
| Gross margin | 1,029.5 | 1,201.2 | 1,141.0 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 1,046.3 | 1,011.5 | 982.4 |
| Impairment of goodwill | 10.0 | - | - |
| Restructuring charges | - | - | 0.1 |
| Operating (loss) profit from continuing operations | (26.8) | 189.7 | 158.5 |
| Interest expense | 38.9 | 48.7 | 60.8 |
| Interest income | (0.4) | (0.7) | (1.1) |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | 0.5 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| Net (loss) earnings from continuing operations before income taxes | (65.8) | 140.0 | 97.6 |
| Provision for income taxes | 84.0 | 17.4 | 9.4 |
| Net (loss) earnings from continuing operations | (149.8) | 122.6 | 88.2 |
| Earnings from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | - | - | 0.1 |
| Net (loss) earnings | (149.8) | 122.6 | 88.3 |
| Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests | (10.4) | (9.8) | (5.6) |
| Net (loss) earnings attributable to Collective Brands, Inc. | \$ (160.2) | \$ 112.8 | \$ 82.7 |
| Basic (loss) earnings per share attributable to Collective Brands, Inc. common shareholders | \$ (2.66) | \$ 1.77 | \$ 1.29 |
| Diluted (loss) earnings per share attributable to Collective Brands, Inc common shareholders | \$ (2.66) | \$ 1.75 | \$ 1.28 |

## COLLECTIVE BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (dollars in millions)

|  | $\underset{2012}{\text { January }} 28,$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |
| Current Assets: |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 181.3 | \$ 324.1 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts and returns reserve as of January 28, 2012 and January 29, 2011 of $\$ 6.3$ and \$6.0, respectively | 146.0 | 114.4 |
| Inventories, net | 563.4 | 531.7 |
| Current deferred income taxes | 7.2 | 30.7 |
| Prepaid expenses | 61.5 | 55.1 |
| Other current assets | 21.8 | 22.2 |
| Total current assets | 981.2 | 1,078.2 |
| Property and equipment, net | 369.4 | 432.3 |
| Intangible assets, net | 384.7 | 428.4 |
| Goodwill | 269.8 | 279.8 |
| Deferred income taxes | 10.7 | 10.1 |
| Other assets | 31.4 | 39.7 |
| Total Assets | \$2,047.2 | \$2,268.5 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY |  |  |
| Current Liabilities: |  |  |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$ 5.1 | \$ 5.1 |
| Accounts payable | 291.6 | 287.4 |
| Accrued expenses | 147.0 | 184.4 |
| Total current liabilities | 443.7 | 476.9 |
| Long-term debt | 604.8 | 659.4 |
| Deferred income taxes | 122.4 | 65.4 |
| Other liabilities | 202.8 | 212.4 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 17) |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |
| Collective Brands, Inc. shareowners' equity: |  |  |
| Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; 25,000,000 shares authorized; none issued . . . . . . . | - | - |
| Common stock, $\$ .01$ par value; 240,000,000 shares authorized; 75,000,000 issued; $60,577,077$ and $61,466,038$ shares outstanding in 2011 and 2010 , respectively ... | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Treasury stock, $\$ .01$ par value; 14,422,923 and 13,533,962 shares in 2011 and 2010, respectively | (0.1) | (0.1) |
| Additional paid-in-capital . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | (0.1) | (2.5) |
| Retained earnings | 665.8 | 834.9 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of income taxes . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | (21.6) | (10.1) |
| Collective Brands, Inc. shareowners' equity . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 644.8 | 822.9 |
| Noncontrolling interests | 28.7 | 31.5 |
| Total equity . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 673.5 | 854.4 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$2,047.2 | \$2,268.5 |

## COLLECTIVE BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES <br> CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME

|  | Collective Brands, Inc. Shareowners' |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non- } \\ \text { controlling } \\ \text { Interests } \end{gathered}$ | Total Equity | Comprehensive Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Outstanding Common Stock |  | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other <br> Comprehensive <br> Loss |  |  |  |
|  | Shares | Dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (dollars in millions, shares in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at January 31, 2009 | 63,725 | \$ 0.7 | \$ 17.8 | \$639.4 | \$(35.6) | \$ 23.7 | \$646.0 |  |
| Net earnings | - | - | - | 82.7 | - | 5.6 | 88.3 | \$ 88.3 |
| Translation adjustments | - | - | - | - | 8.0 | 0.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 |
| Net change in fair value of derivative, net of taxes of $\$ 2.2$ (Note 6) | - | - | - | - | 3.9 | - | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| Changes in unrecognized amounts of pension benefits, net of taxes of $\$ 0.3$ (Note 8) . . . . | - | - | - | - | 1.4 | - | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Issuances of common stock under stock plans | 882 | - | 8.2 | - | - | - | 8.2 |  |
| Purchases of common stock | (389) | ) - | (7.6) | - | - | - | (7.6) |  |
| Amortization of unearned nonvested shares | - | - | 5.4 | - | - | - | 5.4 |  |
| Stock option expense | - | - | 10.9 | - | - | - | 10.9 |  |
| Restricted stock cancellation | (62) | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Contributions from noncontrolling interests | - | - | - | - | - | 5.5 | 5.5 |  |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | - | - | - | - | - | (6.2) | (6.2) |  |
| Comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 101.7 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (5.7) |
| Comprehensive income attributable to Collective Brands, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96.0 |
| Balance at January 30, 2010 | 64,156 | 0.7 | 34.7 | 722.1 | (22.3) | 28.7 | 763.9 |  |
| Net earnings | - | - | - | 112.8 | - | 9.8 | 122.6 | 122.6 |
| Translation adjustments | - | - | - | - | 6.2 | 0.7 | 6.9 | 6.9 |
| Net change in fair value of derivatives, net of taxes of $\$ 2.7$ (Note 6) | - | - | - | - | 5.0 | - | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Changes in unrecognized amounts of pension benefits, net of taxes of $\$ 0.7$ (Note 8) | - | - | - | - | 1.0 | - | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Issuances of common stock under stock plans | 1,183 | - | 10.7 | - | - | - | 10.7 |  |
| Purchases of common stock | $(3,834)$ | (0.1) | (63.8) | - | - | - | (63.9) |  |
| Amortization of unearned nonvested shares | - | - | 7.9 | - | - | - | 7.9 |  |
| Stock option expense | - | - | 8.0 | - | - | - | 8.0 |  |
| Restricted stock cancellation | (39) | ) - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Contributions from noncontrolling interests | - | - | - | - | - | 3.1 | 3.1 |  |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | - | - | - | - | - | (10.8) | (10.8) |  |
| Comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 135.5 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (10.5) |
| Comprehensive income attributable to Collective Brands, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$125.0 |
| Balance at January 29, 2011 | 61,466 | \$ 0.6 | \$ (2.5) | \$834.9 | \$(10.1) | \$ 31.5 | \$854.4 |  |

# COLLECTIVE BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES <br> CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME - (Continued) (dollars in millions, shares in thousands) 



Outstanding common stock is net of shares held in treasury and is presented net of treasury stock of $\$ 0.1$ million in 2011 and 2010 and $\$ 0.2$ million in 2009. Treasury stock is accounted for using the par value method. Treasury share activity for the last three years is summarized below:

|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | es in thous |  |
| Balance, beginning of year | 13,534 | 23,975 | 24,406 |
| Issuances of common stock: |  |  |  |
| Stock options, employee stock purchases and stock appreciation rights | (212) | (625) | (507) |
| Deferred compensation plan | (8) | - | (5) |
| Net restricted stock grants | (96) | (519) | (308) |
|  | (316) | $(1,144)$ | (820) |
| Purchases of common stock | 1,205 | 3,834 | 389 |
| Retirement of Treasury Stock | - | $(13,131)$ | - |
| Balance, end of year | $\underline{\underline{14,423}}$ | 13,534 | $\underline{\underline{23,975}}$ |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

## COLLECTIVE BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

|  | 52 Weeks Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{2012}{\overline{J a n u a r y}} \mathbf{2 8 ,}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January 29, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January 30, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |  |
| Operating Activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net (loss) earnings | \$(149.8) | \$ 122.6 |  | 88.3 |
| Earnings from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | - | - |  | (0.1) |
| Adjustments for non-cash items included in net (loss) earnings: |  |  |  |  |
| Loss on impairment and disposal of assets | 46.3 | 12.2 |  | 11.8 |
| Impairment of goodwill and indefinite-lived tradenames | 41.1 | - |  | - |
| Depreciation and amortization | 131.7 | 138.2 |  | 143.2 |
| Provision for losses on accounts receivable | 1.7 | 2.0 |  | 2.9 |
| Share-based compensation expense | 12.7 | 16.6 |  | 16.4 |
| Deferred income taxes | 81.0 | 4.2 |  | 3.8 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 0.5 | 1.7 |  | 1.2 |
| Other, net | - | - |  | (0.1) |
| Changes in working capital: |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts receivable | (34.2) | (20.7) |  | 0.6 |
| Inventories | (31.1) | (86.7) |  | 53.2 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (8.6) | (7.5) |  | 15.2 |
| Accounts payable | 5.4 | 92.2 |  | 20.2 |
| Accrued expenses | (33.8) | 5.9 |  | (24.6) |
| Changes in other assets and liabilities, net | (16.8) | (7.6) |  | (15.2) |
| Contributions to pension plans | (0.4) | (1.6) |  | (9.5) |
| Net cash provided by discontinued operations | - | - |  | 0.3 |
| Cash flow provided by operating activities | 45.7 | 271.5 |  | 307.6 |
| Investing Activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Capital expenditures | (98.9) | (97.6) |  | (84.0) |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | - | - |  | 2.8 |
| Intangible asset additions | (1.4) | - |  | (19.0) |
| Cash flow used in investing activities | (100.3) | (97.6) |  | (100.2) |
| Financing Activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from notes payable | - | - |  | 0.9 |
| Repayment of notes payable | - | - |  | (0.9) |
| Proceeds from issuance of debt | - | - |  | 1.2 |
| Repayment of debt | (55.1) | (185.2) |  | (66.1) |
| Payment of deferred financing costs | (1.8) | - |  | - |
| Issuances of common stock | 1.8 | 10.7 |  | 8.2 |
| Purchases of common stock | (18.7) | (63.9) |  | (7.6) |
| Contributions by noncontrolling interests | - | 3.1 |  | 5.5 |
| Distribution to noncontrolling interests | (13.2) | (10.8) |  | (6.2) |
| Cash flow used in financing activities | (87.0) | (246.1) |  | (65.0) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | (1.2) | 2.8 |  | 1.8 |
| (Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (142.8) | (69.4) |  | 144.2 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 324.1 | 393.5 |  | 249.3 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 181.3 | \$ 324.1 |  | 393.5 |
| Supplemental cash flow information: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest paid | \$ 40.4 | \$ 47.6 |  | 60.1 |
| Income taxes paid | \$ 29.0 | \$ 15.7 |  | 14.3 |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued capital additions | \$ 13.4 | \$ 12.4 |  | 13.1 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

## COLLECTIVE BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

## H0y

Collective Brands, Inc. consists of three lines of business: Payless ShoeSource ("Payless"), Collective Brands Performance + Lifestyle Group ("PLG"), and Collective Licensing. Payless is dedicated to democratizing fashion and design in footwear and accessories and inspiring fun, fashion possibilities for the family at a great value. PLG markets the leading brand of high-quality children's shoes in the United States under the Stride Rite brand. PLG also markets products for children and adults under well-known brand names, including Sperry Top-Sider, Saucony, and Keds. Collective Licensing is a youth lifestyle marketing and global licensing business within the Payless Domestic segment.

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Company, all wholly-owned subsidiaries and all subsidiaries and joint ventures in which the Company owns a controlling interest. The Company's Central American and South American Regions use a December 31 year-end, primarily to match the local countries' statutory reporting requirements. The effect of this one-month lag on the Company's financial position and results of operations is not significant. All intercompany amounts have been eliminated.

The Company's fiscal year ends on the Saturday closest to January 31. Fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009 ended on January 28, 2012, January 29, 2011, and January 30, 2010, respectively. Fiscal years 2011, 2010, and 2009 contain 52 weeks of results. References to years in these financial statements and notes relate to fiscal years rather than calendar years.

## 4fin

Management makes estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported within the Consolidated Financial Statements. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

## E

Net sales ("sales") for transactions at the Company's retail stores are recognized at the time the sale is made to the customer. Sales for wholesale and e-commerce transactions are recognized when title passes and the risks or rewards of ownership have transferred to the customer based on the shipping terms, the price is fixed and determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. All sales are net of estimated returns, promotional discounts and exclude sales tax.

The Company has established an allowance for merchandise returns and markdowns based on historical experience, product sell-through performance by product and customer, current and historical trends in the footwear industry and changes in demand for its products. The returns allowance is recorded as a reduction to revenues for the estimated sales value of the projected merchandise returns and as a reduction in cost of sales for the corresponding cost amount. Allowances for markdowns are recorded as a reduction of revenue based on historical experience. From time to time actual results will vary from the estimates that were previously established.

Products are sold Free On Board ("FOB") shipping point for wholesale customers. Any shipping charges that the Company pays are recorded as cost of sales and any reimbursement is recorded as revenue.

## COLLECTIVE BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

## fer

The Company records a liability in the period in which a gift card is issued and proceeds are received. As gift cards are redeemed, this liability is reduced and revenue is recognized as a sale. The estimated value of gift cards expected to go unused is recognized ratably in proportion to actual redemptions as gift cards are redeemed.

## GF

Cost of sales includes the cost of merchandise sold and the Company's buying, occupancy, warehousing, product development and product movement costs, as well as depreciation of stores and the distribution centers, net litigation charges related to intellectual property, store impairment charges and trademark impairments.

Certain of the Company's lease agreements provide for scheduled rent increases during the lease term, as well as provisions for renewal options. Rent expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease from the time at which the Company takes possession of the property. In instances where failure to exercise renewal options would result in an economic penalty, the calculation of straight-line rent expense includes renewal option periods. Also, landlord-provided tenant improvement allowances are recorded in other liabilities and amortized as a credit to rent expense over the term of the lease. Substantially all rental expense is recorded in cost of sales on the Consolidated Statement of (Loss) Earnings.

## H

Costs associated with the opening of new stores are expensed as incurred and are recorded in cost of sales.

## 5

Advertising costs and sales promotion costs are expensed at the time the advertising takes place. Selling, general and administrative expenses include advertising and sales promotion costs of $\$ 176.9$ million, $\$ 159.2$ million and $\$ 145.5$ million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

## 6

The Company engages in co-op advertising programs with some of its wholesale customers. Co-op advertising funds are available to all wholesale customers in good standing. Wholesale customers receive reimbursement under this program if they meet established advertising guidelines and trademark requirements. Costs are accrued on the basis of sales to qualifying customers and accounted for as an operating expense if the Company receives, or will receive, an identifiable benefit in exchange for the consideration and the Company can reasonably estimate the fair value of the benefit identified.

Compensation expense associated with share-based awards is recognized over the requisite service period, which is the period between the grant date and the award's stated vesting date. Share-based awards are expensed under the straight-line attribution method, with the exception of market or performance-based awards that are expensed under the tranche specific attribution method. With the exception of market-based awards, the actual expense recognized over the vesting period will be based on only those shares that vest. The amount of sharebased compensation recognized during a period is based on the value of the portion of the awards that are

## COLLECTIVE BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

expected to vest. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. This analysis is evaluated quarterly and the forfeiture rate is adjusted as necessary.

The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method, which requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial statements and tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

The Company's estimate of uncertainty in income taxes is based on the framework established in the accounting for income taxes guidance. This guidance addresses the determination of how tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed on a tax return should be recorded in the financial statements. The Company recognizes the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The Company includes its reserve for unrecognized tax benefits, as well as related accrued penalties and interest, in other long term liabilities on its Consolidated Balance Sheets and in the provision for income taxes in its Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Earnings.

The Company records valuation allowances against its deferred tax assets, when necessary, in accordance with the framework established in the income taxes accounting guidance. Realization of deferred tax assets (such as net operating loss carryforwards) is dependent on future taxable earnings and is therefore uncertain. The Company assesses the likelihood that its deferred tax assets in each of the jurisdictions in which it operates will be recovered from future taxable income. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance to recognize the extent to which, more likely than not, the future tax benefits will not be realized.

## 晀

Cash equivalents consist of liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less. Amounts due from banks and credit card companies of $\$ 14.0$ million and $\$ 13.5$ million for the settlement of credit card transactions are included in cash and cash equivalents as of January 28, 2012, and January 29, 2011, respectively, as they are generally collected within three business days. Cash equivalents are stated at cost, which approximates fair value.

## 堆

The Company makes ongoing estimates relating to the collectability of its accounts receivable and maintains a reserve for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments. In determining the amount of the reserve, the Company considers its historical level of credit losses and makes judgments about the creditworthiness of significant customers based on ongoing credit evaluations. These evaluations include, but are not limited to, analyzing its customer's financial statements, maintaining a credit watch list to monitor accounts receivable exposure and reviewing the customer's prior payment history.

## t

Merchandise inventories in the Company's stores are valued by the retail method and are stated at the lower of cost, determined using the first-in, first-out ("FIFO") basis, or market. The retail method is widely used in the

## COLLECTIVE BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

retail industry due to its practicality. Under the retail method, cost is determined by applying a calculated cost-to-retail ratio across groupings of similar items, known as departments. As a result, the retail method results in an averaging of inventory costs across similar items within a department. The cost-to-retail ratio is applied to ending inventory at its current owned retail valuation to determine the cost of ending inventory on a department basis. Current owned retail represents the retail price for which merchandise is offered for sale on a regular basis, reduced for any permanent or clearance markdowns. As a result, the retail method normally results in an inventory valuation that approximates a traditional FIFO cost basis.

Wholesale inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market using the FIFO method.
The Company makes ongoing estimates relating to the net realizable value of inventories, based upon its assumptions about future demand and market conditions. If the Company's estimate of the net realizable value of its inventory is less than the cost of the inventory recorded on its books, a reduction to the estimated net realizable value is recorded. If changes in market conditions result in an increase in the estimated net realizable value of the Company's inventory above its previous estimate, such recoveries would be recognized as the related goods are sold.

Substantially all of the Company's inventories are finished goods.

## 確

Property and equipment are recorded at cost and are depreciated on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives. The costs of repairs and maintenance are expensed when incurred, while expenditures for store remodels, refurbishments and improvements that significantly add to the productive capacity or extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Projects in progress are stated at cost, which includes the cost of construction and other direct costs attributable to the project. No provision for depreciation is made on projects in progress until such time as the relevant assets are completed and put to use. The estimated useful life for each major class of property and equipment is as follows:

| Buildings <br> Leasehold improvements | 10 to 30 years <br> the lesser of 10 years or the remaining expected lease term that is <br> reasonably assured (which may exceed the current non-cancelable <br> term) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 2 to 10 years |
| Property under capital lease | 10 to 30 years |

The following is a summary of the components of property and equipment:

| (dollars in millions) | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land | \$ | 5.9 | \$ | 6.7 |
| Buildings and leasehold improvements |  | 671.3 |  | 711.6 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment |  | 680.3 |  | 677.6 |
| Projects in progress |  | 59.9 |  | 55.4 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization |  | $(1,048.0)$ |  | $(1,019.0)$ |
| Property and equipment, net | \$ | 369.4 |  | 432.3 |

Depreciation expense for 2011, 2010 and 2009 was $\$ 116.0$ million, $\$ 119.5$ million and $\$ 121.5$ million, respectively.

## COLLECTIVE BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

The Company evaluates its store assets on a quarterly basis to determine if its assets are recoverable by analyzing historical results, trends, stores identified for closure and other qualitative considerations. If an indicator of impairment exists, the Company models estimated future cash flows on a store-by-store basis and compares the undiscounted future cash flows to the carrying amount of the store's assets. If the carrying value exceeds the undiscounted cash flows, the Company compares the present value, using an appropriate discount rate, of these cash flows to the carrying amount of the assets to calculate the impairment charge. The underlying estimates of cash flows include estimates of future revenues, gross margin rates and store expenses as well as any potential for changes related to occupancy costs, store closures and transfer sales. These assumptions are based upon the stores' past and expected future performance.

For the 52 weeks ended January 28, 2012, the impairment testing indicated that $\$ 56.0$ million of the Company's assets had a fair value of $\$ 13.8$ million and, as such, the Company recorded a $\$ 42.2$ million impairment charge in cost of sales on the Consolidated Statement of (Loss) Earnings. The following table summarizes the asset impairment charges by reporting segment:

| (dollars in millions) | 52 Weeks Ended |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{J_{2012}} \overline{\text { January }} 28,$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January 29, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } 30, \end{gathered}$ |
| Payless Domestic | \$32.9 | \$6.3 | \$4.8 |
| Payless International | 5.2 | 1.1 | 0.2 |
| PLG Wholesale | 0.7 | - | - |
| PLG Retail | 3.4 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| Total | \$42.2 | \$8.8 | \$6.0 |

The Company retains its normal expected losses related primarily to workers' compensation, physical loss to property and business interruption resulting from such loss and comprehensive general, product, and vehicle liability. The Company purchases third-party coverage for losses in excess of the normal expected levels. Provisions for losses expected under these programs are recorded based upon estimates of the aggregate liability for claims incurred utilizing actuarial calculations based on historical results.

Local currencies are the functional currencies for most foreign subsidiaries. Accordingly, assets and liabilities of these subsidiaries are translated at the rate of exchange at the balance sheet date. Adjustments from the translation process are accumulated as part of other comprehensive (loss) income and are included as a separate component of equity. The changes in foreign currency translation adjustments were not adjusted for income taxes since they relate to indefinite term investments in non-United States subsidiaries. Income and expense items of these subsidiaries are translated at average rates of exchange. As of fiscal year-end 2011, 2010 and 2009 , cumulative translation adjustments included in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income were $\$ 18.6$ million, $\$ 19.7$ million and $\$ 12.8$ million, respectively. The Company has recorded foreign currency transaction gains (losses) of $\$ 3.4$ million, ( $\$ 0.3$ ) million and $\$ 0.5$ million in the Consolidated Statement of (Loss) Earnings in fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

For those foreign subsidiaries operating in a highly inflationary economy or having the U.S. Dollar as their functional currency, net non-monetary assets are translated at historical rates and net monetary assets are translated at current rates. Transaction adjustments are included in the determination of net (loss) earnings.

## COLLECTIVE BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

## 4

The Company records a liability equal to the fair value of the estimated future cost to retire an asset; if the liability's fair value can be reasonably estimated. The Company's asset retirement obligation ("ARO") liabilities are primarily associated with the disposal of personal property and trade fixtures which, at the end of a lease, the Company is contractually obligated to remove in order to restore the facility back to a condition specified in the lease agreement. The Company estimates the fair value of these liabilities based on current store closing costs

## COLLECTIVE BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

The estimate of fair value is highly subjective and requires significant judgment related to the estimate of the magnitude and timing of future reporting unit cash flows. If the Company determines that the estimated fair value of any reporting unit is less than the reporting unit's carrying value, then it will recognize an impairment charge.

## 3463

Indefinite-lived intangible assets are not amortized, but are tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if circumstances indicate potential impairment, through a comparison of fair value to its carrying amount. Favorable leases, certain trademarks and other intangible assets with finite lives are amortized over their useful lives using the straight-line method. Customer relationships are amortized using an economic patterning technique based on when the benefits of the asset are expected to be used.

The estimated useful life for each class of intangible assets is as follows:

## Favorable lease rights

Trademarks and other intangible assets
Customer relationships

A weighted-average period of 3 years. Favorable lease rights are amortized over the term of the underlying lease, including renewal options in instances where failure to exercise renewals would result in an economic penalty.

3 to 20 years
8 years

Each period the Company evaluates whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining estimated useful life of each intangible asset or its remaining book value. If the Company were to determine that events and circumstances warrant a change to the estimate of an intangible asset's remaining useful life, then the remaining carrying amount of the intangible asset would be amortized prospectively over that revised remaining useful life. If the Company were to determine that the fair value of trademarks with a finite life was lower than its book value, then it would record an impairment charge.

The estimate of fair value is highly subjective and requires significant judgment. If the Company determines that the estimated fair value of any intangible asset is less than its carrying value, then it will recognize an impairment charge.

## t

The Company participates in interest rate related derivative instruments to manage its exposure on its debt instruments and forward contracts to hedge a portion of certain foreign currency purchases. The Company records all derivative instruments on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as either assets or liabilities measured at fair value in accordance with the framework established for derivatives and hedging and the framework established for fair value measurements and disclosures. For interest rate contracts, the Company uses a mark-to-market valuation technique based on an observable interest rate yield curve and adjusts for credit risk. For foreign currency contracts, the Company uses a mark-to-market technique based on observable foreign currency exchange rates and adjusts for credit risk. Changes in the fair value of these derivative instruments are recorded either through net (loss) earnings or as other comprehensive loss, depending on the type of hedge designation. Gains and losses on derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are reported in other comprehensive loss and reclassified into (loss) earnings in the periods in which earnings are impacted by the hedged item.
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```
F
```

The Company may be involved in legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business. Except for income tax contingencies, it records accruals for contingencies to the extent that it concludes that their occurrence is probable and that the related liabilities are estimable and it records anticipated recoveries under existing insurance contracts when assured of recovery. The Company considers many factors in making these assessments, including the progress of the case, opinions or views of legal counsel, prior case law, the experience of the Company or other companies in similar cases, and its intent on how to respond. Because litigation and other contingencies are inherently unpredictable and excessive verdicts do occur, these assessments can involve a series of complex judgments about future events and can rely heavily on estimates and assumptions.

## Note 2 - Quarterly Results (Unaudited)

The tables below summarize quarterly results for the last two years. Quarterly results are determined in accordance with annual accounting policies and all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, except as noted below) necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods have been included; however, certain items are based upon estimates for the entire year.

|  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter | First | Second | Third | Fourth ${ }^{(2)}$ | Year |
|  | (dollars in millions, except per share) |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$869.0 | \$882.4 | \$ 894.4 | \$815.9 | \$3,461.7 |
| Grossessmenu.6505-95.1494vTJ1022 0.4s |  |  |  |  |  |
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(2) In the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company recorded $\$ 7.4$ million of lease termination costs in cost of sales, $\$ 2.4$ million of severance related to store closings and $\$ 1.7$ million of strategic review expenses in selling, general and administrative expenses.

## Note 3 - Exit Costs

In 2011, the Company announced that, as part of its efforts to optimize the performance of its Payless and Stride Rite store fleet, it would close approximately 475 under-performing and low-volume, non-strategic stores in the next three years. During 2011, the Company closed 352 stores, of which 298 of the stores are Payless locations in the U.S., Canada and Puerto Rico and 54 are Stride Rite Children's locations.

In accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 420, "Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations," costs associated with this plan, which consist of lease termination costs, employee termination costs, and other exit costs, are recorded at fair value. The Company estimates that these costs will total $\$ 20$ million to $\$ 25$ million of which $\$ 13.0$ million was recorded in 2011 ; however, the ultimate financial impact of this plan is dependent upon the actual exit transactions. The lease termination costs will be recorded on the earlier of the date that the store is closed or lease buyouts are negotiated with the landlords. Employee severance costs, which consist of severance payments made to certain terminated associates, are recorded ratably over the associate's required service period from the date the termination has been communicated to the associate.

The significant components of the exit costs incurred as of January 28, 2012 are summarized as follows:

|  | Accrual Balance as of January 29, 2011 | Charges to Date | Cash <br> Payments | Accrual Balance as of January 28, 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (dollars | millions) |  |
| Lease termination costs | \$- | \$10.6 | \$(9.0) | \$1.6 |
| Employee severance costs | - | 2.4 | (0.7) | 1.7 |
| Total | \$- | \$13.0 | \$(9.7) | \$3.3 |

Of the $\$ 10.6$ million of lease termination costs, $\$ 9.3$ million are recorded in the Payless Domestic reporting segment; $\$ 0.8$ million are recorded in the PLG Retail reporting segment and $\$ 0.5$ million are recorded in the Payless International reporting segment. The lease termination charges are recorded within cost of sales on the Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Earnings and are recorded within accrued expenses on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Of the $\$ 2.4$ million of employee severance costs, $\$ 2.0$ million are recorded in the Payless Domestic reporting segment, $\$ 0.3$ million are recorded in the Payless International reporting segment and $\$ 0.1$ million are recorded in the PLG Retail reporting segment. The employee severance costs are recorded within selling, general and administrative expenses on the Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Earnings and are recorded within accrued expenses on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.
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## Note 4 - Intangible Assets and Goodwill

The following is a summary of the Company's intangible assets:

|  | $\underset{2012}{\text { January }} 28,$ | $\underset{2011}{\text { January } 29,}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |
| Intangible assets subject to amortization: |  |  |
| Favorable lease rights: |  |  |
| Gross carrying amount | \$ 20.5 | \$ 24.8 |
| Less: accumulated amortization | (17.6) | (19.8) |
| Carrying amount, end of period | 2.9 | 5.0 |
| Customer relationships: |  |  |
| Gross carrying amount | 74.2 | 74.2 |
| Less: accumulated amortization | (53.7) | (45.2) |
| Carrying amount, end of period | 20.5 | 29.0 |
| Trademarks and other intangible assets: |  |  |
| Gross carrying amount | 39.9 | 38.5 |
| Less: accumulated amortization | (13.0) | (9.6) |
| Carrying amount, end of period | 26.9 | 28.9 |
| Total carrying amount of intangible assets subject to amortization | 50.3 | 62.9 |
| Indefinite-lived tradenames | 334.4 | 365.5 |
| Total intangible assets | \$384.7 | \$428.4 |

The Company recorded $\$ 31.1$ million of impairment charges related to its indefinite-lived trademarks and acquired $\$ 1.4$ million of other intangible assets during 2011.

Amortization expense on intangible assets is as follows:

|  | 52 Weeks Ended |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { January 28, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { January 29, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } 30, \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |
| Amortization expense on intangible assets | \$12.8 | \$16.2 | \$19.5 |

The Company expects amortization expense for the next five years to be as follows (in millions):
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The following is a summary of the carrying amount of goodwill, by reporting segment:

|  | Payless Domestic | PLG <br> Wholesale | onsolidated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ollars in m |  |
| Balance as of January 30, 2010 | \$ 40.2 | \$239.6 | \$279.8 |
| Goodwill Adjustments | - | - | - |
| Balance as of January 29, 2011 | \$ 40.2 | \$239.6 | \$279.8 |
| Goodwill Impairment | (10.0) | - | (10.0) |
| Balance as of January 28, 2012 | \$ 30.2 | \$239.6 | \$269.8 |

The Company's accumulated goodwill impairment as of January 28, 2012 was $\$ 52.0$ million, $\$ 42.0$ million of which relates to the PLG Retail reporting segment and $\$ 10.0$ million of which relates to the Payless Domestic reporting segment.

## Note 5 - Long-term Debt

On August 17, 2007, the Company entered into a $\$ 725$ million term loan (the "Term Loan Facility") and a $\$ 350$ million Amended and Restated Loan and Guaranty Agreement (the "Revolving Loan Facility"). The Term Loan Facility ranks pari passu in right of payment and have the lien priorities specified in an intercreditor agreement executed by the administrative agent to the Term Loan Facility. On August 16, 2011, the Company amended its $\$ 350$ million Revolving Loan Facility with a $\$ 300$ million Second Amended and Restated Loan and Guaranty Agreement ("Amended Revolving Loan Facility" and collectively with the Term Loan Facility, the "Loan Facilities"). The Loan Facilities are senior secured loans guaranteed by substantially all of the assets of the borrower and the guarantors, with the Amended Revolving Loan Facility having first priority in accounts receivable, inventory and certain related assets and the Term Loan Facility having first priority in substantially all of the borrower's and the guarantors' remaining assets, including intellectual property, the capital stock of each domestic subsidiary, any intercompany notes owned by the borrower and the guarantors, and $66 \%$ of the stock of non-U.S. subsidiaries directly owned by borrower or a guarantor.

The Term Loan Facility will mature on August 17, 2014. The Term Loan Facility will amortize quarterly in annual amounts of $1.0 \%$ of the original amount, reduced ratably by any prepayments, with the final installment payable on the maturity date. The Term Loan Agreement provides for customary mandatory prepayments, subject to certain exceptions and limitations and in certain instances, reinvestment rights, from (a) the net cash proceeds of certain asset sales, insurance recovery events and debt issuances, each as defined in the Term Loan Agreement, and (b) $25 \%$ of excess cash flow, as defined in the Term Loan Agreement, subject to reduction. The mandatory prepayment is not required if the total leverage ratio, as defined in the Term Loan Agreement, is less than 2.0 to 1.0 at fiscal year end. Based on our excess cash flow projections as of January 28, 2012, we are not required to make such a mandatory prepayment. Loans under the Term Loan Facility will bear interest at the Borrower's option, at either (a) the Base Rate as defined in the Term Loan Facility agreement plus $1.75 \%$ per annum or (b) the Eurodollar (London Inter-Bank Offer Rate ("LIBOR")-indexed) Rate plus $2.75 \%$ per annum, with such margin to be agreed for any incremental term loans.

The Amended Revolving Loan Facility matures on August 16, 2016 and bears interest at LIBOR, plus a variable margin of $1.75 \%$ to $2.25 \%$ or the base rate, as defined in the agreement. The Amended Revolving Loan Facility provides increased flexibility for investments, incurrence of indebtedness and restricted payments including prepayments on our Senior Subordinated Notes, subject to excess line availability tests. The facility will be available as needed for general corporate purposes.
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In July 2003, the Company sold $\$ 200.0$ million of $8.25 \%$ Senior Subordinated Notes (the "Notes") for $\$ 196.7$ million, due 2013. The discount of $\$ 3.3$ million is being amortized to interest expense over the life of the Notes. The Notes are guaranteed by all of the Company's domestic subsidiaries. Interest on the Notes is payable semi-annually. Under the terms of the note covenants the Company may, on any one or more occasions, redeem all or a part of the Notes at the redemption price of $100.0 \%$ of its face value, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on the Notes redeemed.

The Loan Facilities and the Senior Subordinated Notes contain various covenants including those that may limit the Company's ability to pay dividends, repurchase stock, accelerate the retirement of debt or make certain investments. As of January 28, 2012, the Company was in compliance with all of its covenants.

Long-term debt and capital-lease obligations were:

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (dollars | millions) |
| Term Loan Facility ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$484.4 | \$489.4 |
| Senior Subordinated Notes ${ }^{(2)}$ | 124.6 | 174.1 |
| Revolving Loan Facility ${ }^{(3)}$ | - | - |
| Capital-lease obligations | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| Total debt | 609.9 | 664.5 |
| Less: current maturities of long-term debt | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| Long-term debt | \$604.8 | \$659.4 |

${ }^{(1)}$ As of January 28, 2012 and January 29, 2011, the fair value of the Company's Term Loan was $\$ 479.5$ million, and $\$ 489.4$ million, respectively, based on market conditions and perceived risks as of those dates.
${ }^{(2)}$ As of January 28, 2012 and January 29, 2011, the fair value of the Company's senior subordinated notes was $\$ 125.6$ million and $\$ 177.8$ million, respectively, based on trading activity as of those dates.
${ }^{(3)}$ As of January 28, 2012, the Company's borrowing base on its revolving loan facility was $\$ 300.0$ million less $\$ 28.6$ million in outstanding letters of credit, or $\$ 271.4$ million. The variable interest rate, including the applicable variable margin at January 28, 2012, was $2.30 \%$.

Future debt maturities as of January 28, 2012 are as follows:

|  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Subordinated } \\ \text { Notes }}}{\text { Senit }}$ | Term Loan Facility | Capital-lease obligations | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (dollars in | ilions) |  |
| 2012 | \$ - | \$ 5.0 | \$0.1 | \$ 5.1 |
| 2013 | 125.0 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 130.1 |
| 2014 | - | 474.4 | 0.1 | 474.5 |
| 2015 | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2016 | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Thereafter | - | - | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Total | \$125.0 | \$484.4 | \$0.9 | \$610.3 |
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## Note 6 - Derivatives

The Company has entered into an interest rate contract for an initial amount of $\$ 540$ million to hedge a portion of its variable rate $\$ 725$ million Term Loan Facility ("interest rate contract"). The interest rate contract provides for a fixed interest rate of approximately $7.75 \%$, portions of which mature on a series of dates through May of 2012. As of January 28, 2012, the Company has hedged $\$ 90$ million of its Term Loan Facility.

The Company has also entered into a series of forward contracts to hedge a portion of certain foreign currency purchases ("foreign currency contracts"). The foreign currency contracts provide for a fixed exchange rate and mature over a series of dates through October of 2012. As of January 28, 2012 the Company has hedged $\$ 23.9$ million of its forecasted foreign currency purchases. The fair value, amounts classified in other comprehensive (loss) income ("OCl"), and the amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income ("AOCI") on the foreign currency contracts were not significant for any periods presented.

The interest rate contract is designated as a cash flow hedging instrument. The change in the fair value of the interest rate contract is recorded as a component of AOCI and reclassified into earnings in the periods in which earnings are impacted by the hedged item. The following table presents the fair value of the Company's hedging portfolio related to its interest rate contract:

|  | Location on Consolidated Balance Sheet | Fair Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\overline{J_{2012}} \overline{\text { January } 28,}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { January 29, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | (dollars in millions) |  |
| Interest rate contract | Other liabilities | \$ - | \$1.3 |
| Interest rate contract | Accrued expenses | \$2.0 | \$6.1 |

It is the Company's policy to enter into derivative instruments with terms that match the underlying exposure being hedged. As such, the Company's derivative instruments are considered highly effective, and the net gain or loss from hedge ineffectiveness is not significant. Realized gains or losses on the hedging instruments occur when a portion of the hedge settles or if it is probable that the forecasted transaction will not occur. The impact of the derivative instruments on the Consolidated Financial Statements is as follows:

|  | Gain (Loss) in AOCI 0 | Recognized Derivative |  | Gain (Loss) AOCI in | lassified from Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 52 Weel | s Ended | Location on Consolidated | 52 We | Ended |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { January 28, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January 29, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | Statement of Earnings (Loss) | $\begin{gathered} \text { January 28, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January 29, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |
| Interest rate contract | \$1.0 | \$(2.2) | Interest expense | \$(7.5) | \$(7.5) |

The Company expects $\$ 2.0$ million of the fair value of the interest rate contract recorded in AOCI to be recognized in earnings during the next 12 months. This amount may vary based on changes to LIBOR and foreign currency exchange rates.
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## Note 7 - Fair Value Measurements

The Company's estimates of fair value for financial assets and financial liabilities are based on the framework established in the fair value accounting guidance. The framework is based on the inputs used in valuation, gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets, and requires that observable inputs be used in the valuations when available. The three levels of the hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1: observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets
Level 2: inputs other than the quoted prices in active markets that are observable either directly or indirectly

Level 3: unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which requires the Company to develop its own assumptions

The following table presents financial assets and financial liabilities that the Company measures at fair value on a recurring basis (not including the Company's pension plan assets). The Company has classified these financial assets and liabilities in accordance with the fair value hierarchy:

| Estimated Fair Value Measurements    <br> Quoted Prices in <br> Active Markets <br> (Level 1)    | Significant <br> Observable Other <br> Inputs (Level 2) | Significant <br> (dollars in | Unobservable Inputs <br> (Level 3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

${ }^{(1)}$ The fair value of the interest rate contract is determined using a mark-to-market valuation technique based on an observable interest rate yield curve and adjusting for credit risk.

## Note 8 - Pension Plans

The Company has a pension plan that covers a select group of management employees ("Payless Plan"), a pension plan that covers certain PLG employees ("PLG Plan"), and a pension plan that covers certain employees in Asia ("Asia Plan"). To calculate pension expense, the Company uses assumptions to estimate the total benefits ultimately payable to each management employee and allocates this cost to service periods. The actuarial assumptions used to calculate pension expense are reviewed annually by management for reasonableness. The measurement date used for these plans for the 2011 actuarial valuation was January 28, 2012.

The Payless Plan is a nonqualified, supplementary account balance defined benefit plan for a select group of management employees. The plan is an unfunded, noncontributory plan.
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Included in AOCI are the following pre-tax amounts that have not yet been recognized in net periodic benefit cost:

|  | Unrecognized Prior Service Cost | Unrecognized Losses/(Gains) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { AOCI } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |
| Amount at January 29, 2011 | \$ 7.0 | \$12.7 | \$19.7 |
| Amortization recognized | (1.6) | (1.5) | (3.1) |
| New amounts recognized | - | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| Amount at January 28, 2012 | \$ 5.4 | \$15.6 | \$21.0 |

The amount of unrecognized pre-tax loss and pre-tax prior service cost included in AOCI and expected to be recognized in net periodic benefit cost during fiscal year 2012 is $\$ 2.1$ million and $\$ 1.6$ million, respectively.

The following information provides a summary of the funded status of the plan, amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, and major assumptions used to determine these amounts:

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (dollars in | millions) |
| Change in projected benefit obligation: |  |  |
| Obligation at beginning of year | \$42.5 | \$46.6 |
| Service cost | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Interest cost | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Actuarial loss | 4.4 | 3.0 |
| Settlement distribution | - | (7.2) |
| Benefits paid | (4.7) | (2.5) |
| Obligation at end of year | \$44.8 | \$42.5 |
| Assumptions: |  |  |
| Discount rate | 3.25\% | 4.50\% |
| Salary increases | 4.0\% | 4.0\% |

As the plan is unfunded, the total benefit obligation at the end of each fiscal year is recognized as a liability on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Of the $\$ 44.8$ million liability recognized as of January 28, 2012, $\$ 4.1$ million is recorded in accrued expenses and $\$ 40.7$ million is recorded in other liabilities.

The components of net periodic benefit costs for the plan were:

|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (dolla | rs in millio | s) |
| Components of pension expense: |  |  |  |
| Service cost | \$ 0.8 | \$ 0.8 | \$ 0.6 |
| Interest cost | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.5 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.5 |
| Amount recognized due to settlement | - | 2.2 | - |
| Total | \$ 5.7 | \$ 7.7 | \$ 5.2 |
| Assumptions: |  |  |  |
| Discount rate | 4.50\% | 5.00\% | 6.50\% |
| Salary increases | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.0\% |
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Estimated future benefit payments for the next five years and the aggregate amount for the following five years for this plan are:

|  | (dollars in millions) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | \$ 4.1 |
| 2013 | 4.1 |
| 2014 | 4.2 |
| 2015 | 4.3 |
| 2016 | 4.3 |
| 2017-2 | 17.7 |

The PLG Plan is a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan, which no longer accrues future benefits, that covers certain eligible PLG associates. Prior to the freezing of the plan, eligible PLG associates accrued pension benefits at a fixed unit rate based on the associate's service and compensation.

Included in AOCI are the following pre-tax amounts that have not yet been recognized in net periodic pension cost:

|  | (dollars in millions) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Amount at January 31, 2010 | \$20.6 |
| Amortization recognized | (1.1) |
| New amounts recognized | 16.9 |
| Amount at January 29, 2011 | \$36.4 |

The following tables present information about benefit obligations, plan assets, annual expense, assumptions and other information about PLG's defined benefit pension plan:

2011
2010
(dollars in millions)

| Change in projected benefit obligation: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obligation at prior measurement date | \$ 85.5 | \$78.4 |
| Interest cost | 4.8 | 4.6 |
| Actuarial loss | 13.4 | 5.5 |
| Benefits paid | (3.3) | (3.0) |
| Obligation at end of year | \$100.4 | \$85.5 |
| Assumptions: |  |  |
| Discount rate | 4.70\% | 5.75\% |
| Salary increases | n/a | n/a |
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The following table summarizes the change in plan assets:

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (dollars in | millions) |
| Fair value of plan assets at prior measurement date | \$ 69.4 | \$ 61.9 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 1.9 | 8.9 |
| Employer contributions | 0.4 | 1.6 |
| Benefits paid | (3.3) | (3.0) |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | \$ 68.4 | \$ 69.4 |
| Underfunded status at end of year | \$(32.0) | \$(16.1) |

The $\$ 32.0$ million and $\$ 16.1$ million liabilities recognized as of January 28, 2012 and January 29, 2011, respectively, are included in other long-term liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The components of net periodic benefit costs for the plan were:

|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |
| Interest cost | \$ 4.8 | \$ 4.6 | \$ 4.5 |
| Expected return on assets | (5.4) | (5.0) | (3.6) |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.8 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 0.5 | \$ 0.9 | \$ 2.7 |
| Assumptions: |  |  |  |
| Discount rate | 5.75\% | 5.90\% | 6.25\% |
| Expected long-term return on plan assets | 8.00\% | 8.25\% | 8.25\% |
| Salary increases | n/a | n/a | n/a |

Both the accumulated and projected benefit obligations as of January 28, 2012 and January 29, 2011 were $\$ 100.4$ million and $\$ 85.5$ million, respectively.

The Company expects $\$ 2.6$ million of pre-tax net loss included in AOCI to be recognized in net periodic benefit cost during fiscal year 2012.

In selecting the expected long-term rate of return on assets, the Company considers the average rate of earnings expected on the funds invested or to be invested to provide for the benefits of this plan. This includes considering the plan's asset allocation and the expected returns likely to be earned over the life of the plan. This basis is consistent with the prior year. The calculation of pension expense is dependent on the determination of the assumptions used. A 100 basis point change in the discount rate and a 100 basis point change in the expected long-term return on assets would increase the Company's annual pension expense by $\$ 1.0$ million and $\$ 0.7$ million, respectively. As the result of stopping the accrual of future benefits, a salary growth assumption is no longer applicable.

The long term annualized time-weighted rate of return is calculated on the basis of a three year rolling average using market values and is expected to be at least $1 \%$ higher than the composite benchmark for the plan. Investment managers are evaluated semi-annually against commonly accepted benchmarks to ensure adherence to the stated strategy and that the risk posture assumed is commensurate with the given investment style and objectives.
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The Company's written investment policy for the PLG Plan establishes investment principles and guidelines and defines the procedures that will be used to control, evaluate and monitor the investment practices for the plan. An administrative committee designated by the Board of Directors provides investment oversight for the plan. Stated investment objectives are:

- Maintain a portfolio of secure assets of appropriate liquidity and diversification that will generate investment returns, combined with expected future contributions, that should be sufficient to maintain the plan's funded state or improve the funding level of the plan if it is in deficit.
- To control the long-term costs of the plan by maximizing return on the assets subject to meeting the objectives above.

The plan's target allocation per the investment policy and weighted average asset allocations by asset category are:

|  | Target Allocation | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic equity securities | 48\% - 58\% | 52\% | 54\% |
| International equity securities | 10\% - 14\% | 11\% | 12\% |
| Domestic fixed income securities | 32\% - 38\% | 35\% | 32\% |
| Cash | 0\% - 5\% | 2\% | 2\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

The portfolio is designed to achieve a balanced return of current income and modest growth of capital, while achieving returns in excess of the rate of inflation over the investment horizon in order to preserve purchasing power of plan assets. All plan assets are required to be invested in liquid securities.

The PLG pension plan assets are valued at fair value. The Company's estimates of fair value for these pension plan assets are based on the framework established in the fair value accounting guidance. The three levels of the hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1: observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets
Level 2: inputs other than the quoted prices in active markets that are observable either directly or indirectly

Level 3: unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which requires the Company to develop its own assumptions
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The following table presents the PLG pension plan assets that the Company measures at fair value on a recurring basis. The Company has classified these financial assets in accordance with the fair value hierarchy:

|  | Estimated Fair Value Measurements |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1) | Significant <br> Observable <br> Other <br> Inputs <br> (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total <br> Fair <br> Value |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |  |
| As of January 28, 2012: |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic equity securities | \$ 3.6 | \$32.1 | \$- | \$35.7 |
| International equity securities | 7.7 | - | - | 7.7 |
| Domestic fixed income securities | 23.7 | - | - | 23.7 |
| Cash | 1.3 | - | - | 1.3 |
| Total | \$36.3 | \$32.1 | \$- | \$68.4 |
| As of January 29, 2011: |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic equity securities | \$ 3.5 | \$34.2 | \$- | \$37.7 |
| International equity securities | - | 8.0 | - | 8.0 |
| Domestic fixed income securities | 22.2 | - | - | 22.2 |
| Cash | 1.5 | - | - | 1.5 |
| Total | \$27.2 | \$42.2 | \$- | \$69.4 |

The Company contributed $\$ 0.4$ million to this pension plan during the 2011 fiscal year and plans to make $\$ 4.0$ million of contributions during the 2012 fiscal year. The Company's future contributions will depend upon market conditions, interest rates and other factors and may vary significantly in future years based upon the plan's funded status as of the 2012 measurement date.

Estimated future benefit payments for the next five years and the aggregate amount for the following five years for this plan are:

|  | (dollars in millions) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | \$ 3.6 |
| 2013 | 3.7 |
| 2014 | 3.9 |
| 2015 | 4.2 |
| 2016 | 4.4 |
| 2017-2021 | 25.8 |

The Asia Plan is a nonqualified, supplementary account balance defined benefit plan for a select group of employees in Asia. The plan is an unfunded, noncontributory plan. As the plan is unfunded, the total benefit obligation at the end of each fiscal year is recognized as a liability on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Of the $\$ 5.0$ million liability recognized as of January 28,2012 , $\$ 0.1$ million is recorded in accrued expenses and $\$ 4.9$ million is recorded in other liabilities. Of the $\$ 5.2$ million liability recognized as of January 29, 2011, \$0.1 million was recorded in accrued expenses and $\$ 5.1$ million was recorded in other liabilities. The components of the funded status, the components of net periodic benefit costs and the estimated future benefit payments for the next five years for the Asia Plan were not significant for 2010 or 2011.
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## Note 9 - Defined Contribution Plans

The Company has two qualified profit sharing plans offered by Payless ShoeSource ("Payless Profit Sharing Plans") that cover full-time associates who have worked for the Company for 60 days and have attained age 21 or part-time associates who have completed one full year of employment and have attained age 21. The Payless Profit Sharing Plans are defined contribution plans that provide for Company contributions at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Full-time associates are eligible for a Company matching contribution upon completion of 180 days of employment. Part-time associates must complete one full year of employment to be eligible for the Company match. The Payless Profit Sharing Plans provide that the Company has discretion to contribute up to $2.5 \%$ of its pre-tax earnings from continuing operations as defined by the Payless Profit Sharing Plans. Associate contributions up to $5 \%$ of their pay are eligible for the match. Associates may voluntarily contribute to the Payless Profit Sharing Plans on both a pre-tax and after-tax basis. Total profit sharing contributions made for the Plans for the 2011, 2010 and 2009 plan years were $\$ 0.9$ million, $\$ 3.6$ million and $\$ 2.6$ million, respectively.

PLG also provides a qualified safe harbor defined contribution plan ("401(k) Plan") for its associates. This qualified defined contribution plan enables eligible associates to defer a portion of their salary to be held by the trustees of the plan and invested as self-directed by associates. Associates are eligible to join the 401(k) Plan on the first of the month following the completion of six months of employment and the attainment of age 21 . The matching contribution is $100 \%$ on the first $3 \%$ of salary deferred and $50 \%$ on the next $3 \%$ of salary deferred. Matching contributions are made on a regular basis as salary is deferred and are not subject to a true-up at the end of the year. Total profit sharing contributions for this plan for 2011, 2010 and 2009 plan years were $\$ 2.1$ million, $\$ 1.9$ million and $\$ 2.6$ million, respectively.

## Note 10 - Share-Based Compensation

Under its equity incentive plans, the Company currently grants share appreciation vehicles consisting of stock options, stock-settled stock appreciation rights ("stock-settled SARs") and cash-settled stock appreciation rights ("cash-settled SARs"), as well as full value vehicles consisting of nonvested shares and phantom stock units. Appreciation vehicles granted under the 1996 and 2006 Stock Incentive Plans are granted at the fair market value on the date of grant and may be exercised only after stated vesting dates or other vesting criteria, as applicable, have been achieved. Generally, vesting of appreciation vehicles is conditioned upon continued employment with the Company, although appreciation vehicles may be exercised during certain periods following retirement, termination, disability or death. Historically, the Company has used treasury shares for settlement of share-based compensation.

Under the 1996 Stock Incentive Plan, which expired in April 2006, the Company was authorized to grant a maximum of $15,600,000$ shares, of which no more than $1,200,000$ could be issued pursuant to non-vested share grants. Appreciation vehicles granted under the plan had a maximum term of 10 years and could vest on a graded schedule or a cliff basis. The exercise prices of appreciation vehicles equaled the average of the high and low trading prices of the Company's stock on the grant date. Non-vested shares granted under the plan could be granted with or without performance restrictions. Restrictions, including performance restrictions, lapse over periods of up to ten years, as determined at the date of grant. Associates who received non-vested shares paid no monetary consideration.

On May 25, 2006, the Company's shareowners approved the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. On May 21, 2009, the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan was amended to allow the Company to grant a maximum of $4,987,000$ shares. Appreciation vehicles to be granted under the plan have a maximum term of seven years and can vest on a graded schedule, a cliff basis or based on performance. The exercise price of an appreciation vehicle may not be less than the fair market value of the Company's stock on the grant date. Associates who receive full value vehicles
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pay no monetary consideration. Awards under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan can be granted with or without performance restrictions. Restrictions, including performance restrictions, lapse over periods of up to seven years, as determined at the date of grant.

On May 25, 2006, the Company's shareowners approved amendments to and restatement of the Stock Plan for Non-Management Directors (the "Director Plan"). Under the Company's amended and restated Director Plan, each Director who is not an officer of the Company is eligible to receive share-based compensation in the form of non-qualified stock options and/or stock awards, including, but not limited to, restricted and unrestricted stock awards. All shares of common stock issued under the Director Plan are subject to restrictions on transferability and to forfeiture during a specified restricted period. The Director Plan provides for the issuance of not more than 350,000 shares of common stock, subject to adjustment for changes in the Company's capital structure. The Company may not, without stockholder approval, amend the Director Plan in a manner that would increase the number of shares of common stock available for awards, decrease the exercise price of any award, or otherwise materially increase benefits or modify eligibility requirements.

Under the Company's Amended Stock Purchase Plan, a maximum of 6,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock may be purchased by employees at a $5 \%$ discount. The current terms of the Stock Purchase Plan are such that the plan is non-compensatory. As a result, the purchase of shares by employees does not give rise to compensation cost.

Transactions for stock options for fiscal year 2011 as well as information about stock options outstanding, vested or expected to vest, and exercisable at January 28, 2012 were as follows:

|  | 52 Weeks Ended January 28, |  | As of January 28, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|  | (units in thousands) |  | (in years) | (in millions) |
| Outstanding at beginning of period | 1,461 | \$19 |  |  |
| Granted | - | - |  |  |
| Exercised | (81) | 17 |  |  |
| Forfeited or expired | (220) | 20 |  |  |
| Outstanding at end of period | 1,160 | 19 | 1 | \$ - |
| Vested and expected to vest at end period | 1,160 | 19 | 1 | \$ - |
| Exercisable at end of period | 1,160 | 19 | 1 | \$ - |

The aggregate intrinsic value was calculated using the difference between the current market price and the grant price for only those awards that have a grant price that is less than the current market price.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during 2011, 2010 and 2009 was $\$ 0.4$ million, $\$ 3.0$ million and $\$ 2.3$ million, respectively. The cash received from option exercises for 2011, 2010 and 2009 was $\$ 1.3$ million, $\$ 10.1$ million and $\$ 7.2$ million, respectively, and excludes the cash received from the Company's employee stock purchase and deferred compensation plans. There was not a significant tax benefit realized for the deductions from options exercised during 2011, 2010 or 2009. The Company did not grant any stock options in 2011, 2010 or 2009.
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HR
During 2011, the Company granted 226 thousand maximum share equivalents in the form of 407 thousand stock-settled SARs under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. Of this amount, 213 thousand stock-settled SARs are subject to a three-year graded vesting schedule, 54 thousand stock-settled SARs are subject to a three-year cliff vesting schedule and 140 thousand stock-settled SARs are subject to a performance condition and a three-year graded vesting schedule. For the stock-settled SARS that are subject to performance condition and a three-year graded vesting schedule, the performance grants vest only if the performance condition is met. As of January 28, 2012, the Company has assessed the likelihood that the performance condition will be met and has recorded the related expense based on the estimated outcome.

Upon exercise of a stock-settled SAR, employees will receive a number of shares of common stock equal in value to the appreciation in the fair market value of the underlying common stock from the grant date to the exercise date of the stock-settled SAR. All of the stock-settled SARs issued by the Company since 2010 contain an appreciation cap, which limits the appreciation for which shares of common stock will be granted to $125 \%$ of the fair market value of the underlying common stock on the grant date of the stock-settled SAR. As a result of the appreciation cap, a maximum of $5 / 9$ of a share of common stock may be issued for each stock-settled SAR granted. Prior to 2010 , the appreciation cap was $200 \%$, a maximum of $2 / 3$ of a share of common stock for each stock-settled SAR granted.

Transactions for stock-settled SARs for fiscal year 2011 and information about stock-settled SARs outstanding, stock-settled SARs vested or expected to vest and stock-settled SARs exercisable at January 28, 2012 were as follows:

|  | Stock-SettledSARs | Weighted Average Exercise Price | As of January 28, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|  | (units in thousands) |  | (in years) | (in millions) |
| Outstanding at beginning of period | 5,513 | \$18 |  |  |
| Granted | 408 | 17 |  |  |
| Exercised | (628) | 12 |  |  |
| Forfeited or expired | (433) | 22 |  |  |
| Outstanding at end of period ${ }^{1}$ | 4,860 | 19 | 3 | \$6.4 |
| Vested and expected to vest at end of period | 4,821 | 19 | 3 | \$6.3 |
| Exercisable at end of period | 3,580 | 20 | 3 | \$3.6 |

1 The 4,860 thousand stock-settled SARs outstanding at the end of the period could be settled for a maximum of 3,127 thousand shares based upon the maximum appreciation cap in place at the time of grant. Included in the stock-settled SARs outstanding at the end of the period are 133 thousand performance shares that will be forfeited due to the 2011 performance criteria not being met.

The aggregate intrinsic value was calculated using the difference between the current market price and the grant price for only those awards that have a grant price that is less than the current market price.

The total intrinsic value of stock-settled SARs exercised during 2011, 2010 and 2009 was $\$ 2.0$ million, $\$ 0.6$ million and $\$ 0.1$ million, respectively. There was no significant tax benefit realized for the deductions from stock-settled SARs exercised during 2011, 2010 and 2009. The weighted average fair value of units granted per unit for 2011, 2010 and 2009 were $\$ 8, \$ 8$ and $\$ 5$, respectively.
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## 

During 2011, the Company granted 404 thousand nonvested shares under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. The Company granted 171 thousand shares subject to a three-year cliff vesting schedule, 133 thousand nonvested shares that are subject to a performance condition and a three-year graded vesting schedule, 100 thousand nonvested shares that are subject to a performance condition and a three-year cliff vesting schedule. For the nonvested shares that are subject to performance condition, the performance grant vests only if the performance condition is met. As of January 28, 2012, the Company has assessed the likelihood that the performance condition will be met and has recorded the related expense based on the estimated outcome.

During 2011, the Company granted 8 thousand phantom nonvested shares. Each phantom nonvested share is worth the cash value of one share of common stock. The Company granted six thousand phantom nonvested shares that are subject to a performance condition and a three-year cliff vesting schedule, one thousand phantom nonvested shares that are subject to a three-year cliff vesting schedule and one thousand phantom nonvested shares that are subject to a performance condition and a three-year graded vesting schedule. For the phantom nonvested shares that are subject to performance condition, the performance grant vests only if the performance condition is met. As of January 28, 2012, the Company has assessed the likelihood that the performance condition will be met and has recorded the related expense based on the estimated outcome.

During 2011, the Company granted 63 thousand nonvested shares under the Director Plan. Pursuant to the provisions of the Director Plan, certain Directors elected to defer this compensation into 38 thousand share units that will be issued as common stock subsequent to the Directors' resignation from the Board. The nonvested shares and share units granted under the Director Plan will vest on May 26, 2012. Deferral does not affect vesting. Deferred share units are excluded from the summary table of nonvested shares.

Excluding deferred shares under the Director Plan, transactions for nonvested shares and share units for the fiscal year 2011 were as follows:
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Total share-based compensation expense is summarized as follows:

|  | 52 Weeks Ended |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \overline{J a n u a r y} 28, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January 29, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January 30, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |
| Cost of sales | \$ 3.2 | \$ 4.1 | \$ 4.1 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 9.5 | 12.5 | 12.3 |
| Share-based compensation expense before income taxes | \$12.7 | \$16.6 | \$16.4 |

No amount of share-based compensation has been capitalized. As of January 28, 2012, the Company had unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested awards of approximately $\$ 6.7$ million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 0.8 years.

## Note 11 - Income Taxes

Earnings (loss) before income taxes and noncontrolling interest include the following components:

|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | s in milli |  |
| Domestic | \$(172.1) | \$ 26.2 | \$ 2.1 |
| Foreign | 106.3 | 113.8 | 95.5 |
| Total | \$ (65.8) | \$140.0 | \$97.6 |

The provision for income taxes consisted of the following:
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The reconciliation between the statutory federal income tax rate and the effective income tax rate as applied to continuing operations was as follows:

|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (dollars in | millions) |  |  |
| Statutory federal income tax rate | 35.0\% | \$ (23.0) | 35.0\% | \$ 49.0 | 35.0\% | \$ 34.2 |
| State and local income taxes, net of federal tax benefit | 7.8 | (5.2) | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Federal domestic valuation allowance | (162.3) | 106.8 | - | - | - | - |
| State valuation allowance | (42.0) | 27.7 | - | - | - | - |
| Rate differential on foreign earnings, net of valuation allowance | 18.0 | (11.8) | (17.8) | (24.9) | (20.2) | (19.7) |
| Decrease in tax reserves | 10.6 | (7.0) | (3.8) | (5.3) | (5.4) | (5.3) |
| Federal employment tax credits | 3.2 | (2.1) | (1.1) | (1.6) | (1.6) | (1.5) |
| Other, net | 2.0 | (1.4) | (0.8) | (1.1) | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Effective income tax rate | (127.7)\% | 84.0 | 12.4\% | \$ 17.4 | 9.6\% | \$ 9.4 |

The Company's effective tax rates have differed from the U.S. statutory rate principally due to the impact of its operations conducted in jurisdictions with rates lower than the U.S. statutory rate, the benefit of jurisdictional and employment tax credits, and either favorable or unfavorable discrete events. The Company has recorded net unfavorable discrete events of $\$ 75.8$ million in 2011, and net favorable discrete events of $\$ 7.8$ million and $\$ 7.9$ million in 2010 and 2009, respectively. The discrete events in 2009 and 2010 relate primarily to the resolution of outstanding tax audits, and the unfavorable discrete events in 2011 relate primarily to the establishment of a valuation allowance against domestic deferred tax assets.

The rate differential on foreign earnings, net of valuation allowance, arises primarily from the Company's offshore entities in Asia (China, Hong Kong, Taiwan, and Vietnam) which source the majority of the Company's product and are subject to substantially lower local country income taxes. The Company's weighted average foreign effective tax rate for fiscal years 2011,2010 and 2009 was $14.8 \%, 9.2 \%$ and $11.8 \%$, respectively. The weighted average foreign effective tax rate is higher for 2011 due to payment of an audit settlement in Asia for years 2002 to 2009. Similarly, the rate differential on foreign earnings is lower than prior years due to the Asian audit settlement as well as US deferred taxes provided on certain foreign earnings which are not permanently reinvested.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of the total amounts of gross unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |
| Gross unrecognized tax benefits at beginning of year | 52.1 | 58.3 | 55.0 |
| Increases in tax positions for prior years | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
| Decreases in tax positions for prior years | - | - | - |
| Increases in tax positions for current year | 0.4 | 0.6 | 7.9 |
| Settlements | (0.2) | (6.5) | (3.4) |
| Lapse in statute of limitations | (20.9) | (1.7) | (1.4) |
| Gross unrecognized tax benefits at end of year | \$ 31.8 | \$52.1 | \$58.3 |

The portions of the unrecognized tax benefits as of January 28, 2012, January 29, 2011 and January 30, 2010 which will favorably impact the effective tax rate if recognized are $\$ 19.1$ million, $\$ 22.2$ million and $\$ 34.6$ million, respectively.
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The net amount of interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits included in the provision for income taxes in the Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Earnings was a benefit of $\$ 4.3$ million and $\$ 1.8$ million in 2011 and 2010, respectively and an expense of $\$ 1.1$ million in 2009 . Accrued interest and penalties as of January 28, 2012, January 29, 2011 and January 30, 2010 were $\$ 3.0$ million, $\$ 7.3$ million, and $\$ 9.1$ million, respectively. The Company's U.S. federal income tax returns have been examined by the Internal Revenue Service through 2007. The Company has certain state and foreign income tax returns in the process of examination or administrative appeal.

The Company anticipates that it is reasonably possible that the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits at January 28,2012 will decrease by up to $\$ 2.3$ million within the next 12 months due to potential settlements of on-going examinations with tax authorities and the potential lapse of the statutes of limitations in various taxing jurisdictions. To the extent that these tax benefits are recognized, the effective tax rate will be favorably impacted by up to $\$ 1.5$ million.

Major components of deferred tax assets (liabilities) were as follows:

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (dollars in | millions) |
| Deferred Tax Assets: |  |  |
| Accrued expenses and reserves | \$ 103.4 | \$ 93.9 |
| Tax net operating losses and tax credits | 111.2 | 69.0 |
| Other | 8.9 | 11.1 |
| Gross deferred tax assets | 223.5 | 174.0 |
| Less: valuation allowance | (155.0) | (15.4) |
| Deferred tax assets | \$ 68.5 | \$ 158.6 |
| Deferred Tax Liabilities: |  |  |
| Short term assets basis differences | \$ - | \$ (5.5) |
| Depreciation/amortization and basis differences | (152.5) | (164.5) |
| Other | (21.4) | (13.2) |
| Deferred Tax Liabilities | (173.9) | (183.2) |
| Net deferred tax liability | $\underline{\text { \$(105.4) }}$ | \$ (24.6) |

The deferred tax assets and (liabilities) are included on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as follows:

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |
| Current deferred income tax assets | \$ 7.2 | \$ 30.7 |
| Current deferred income tax liability | (0.9) | - |
| Deferred income tax assets (noncurrent) | 10.7 | 10.1 |
| Deferred income tax liability (noncurrent) | (122.4) | (65.4) |
|  | \$(105.4) | \$(24.6) |

The Company provides a valuation allowance against net deferred tax assets if, based on operating results and other objectively verifiable evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.
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The Company's current year results generated a three year cumulative pre-tax loss in its domestic jurisdiction. The cumulative loss resulted in the Company recording a non-cash valuation allowance on domestic deferred tax assets of $\$ 105.7$ million during the third quarter, and $\$ 33.1$ million in the fourth quarter, as realization of the deferred tax assets was not more likely than not. Of the $\$ 138.8$ million increase in domestic valuation allowance during 2011, $\$ 80.8$ million relates to assets established in a prior year, and $\$ 58.0$ million relates to deferred tax assets established in the current year. The Company's total valuation allowance at January 28, 2012 is $\$ 155.0$ million comprised of the domestic valuation allowance of $\$ 149.0$ million and a foreign valuation allowance of $\$ 6.0$ million relating primarily to net operating losses in countries where a pattern of profitability has not yet been established. The establishment of a valuation allowance does not have any impact on cash, nor does such an allowance preclude the Company from using its loss carryforwards or utilizing other deferred tax assets in the future. If the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets is reversed in the future, the benefit will likely be recorded in the Consolidated Statement of (Loss) Earnings, except for $\$ 23.1$ million related to AOCI, which will be credited to Collective Brands, Inc. shareowners' equity.

During 2011, the Company recorded a deferred income tax provision of $\$ 81.0$ million in the Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Earnings. The Company recorded an increase to deferred tax assets of $\$ 0.3$ million related to items within AOCI. The remaining change in its net deferred tax liabilities relates to foreign currency translation.

At January 28, 2012, deferred tax assets for federal, state and foreign net operating loss carryforwards are $\$ 48.8$ million, less a valuation allowance of $\$ 44.0$ million. These net operating loss carryforwards will expire as follows:

|  | Expiration | Amount | Valuation Allowance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | sin millio |  |
| Federal net operating losses | 2031 | \$30.2 | \$(30.2) |
| State net operating losses | 2012-2016 | 0.7 | (0.7) |
| State net operating losses | 2017-2021 | 1.8 | (1.8) |
| State net operating losses | 2022-2026 | 3.2 | (3.2) |
| State net operating losses | 2027-2031 | 3.3 | (3.3) |
| Foreign net operating losses | Indefinite | 5.0 | (4.8) |
| Foreign net operating losses | 2020 | 0.2 | - |
| Foreign net operating losses | 2029-2031 | 4.4 | - |
| Total |  | \$48.8 | \$(44.0) |

At January 28, 2012, deferred tax assets for federal, state and foreign tax credits are $\$ 59.4$ million, less a valuation allowance of $\$ 58.2$ million. These credits will expire as follows:

|  | Expiration | Amount | Valuation Allowanc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |
| Federal foreign tax credit carryforwards | 2018-2021 | \$29.5 | \$(29.5) |
| Federal general business credit carryforwards | 2028-2031 | 11.6 | (11.6) |
| State income tax credit carryforwards | 2012-2016 | 2.1 | (2.1) |
| State income tax credit carryforwards | 2017-2021 | 3.5 | (3.5) |
| State income tax credit carryforwards | 2012-2028 | 4.1 | (4.1) |
| State income tax credit carryforwards | Indefinite | 6.5 | (6.5) |
| Puerto Rico alternative minimum tax credit carryforward | Indefinite | 2.1 | (0.9) |
| Total |  | \$59.4 | $\stackrel{\text { \$(58.2) }}{ }$ |
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The Company also has a deferred tax asset relating to a federal capital loss carryforward of $\$ 1.7$ million, expiring in 2012, and a charitable contributions carryforward of $\$ 1.3$ million, expiring in 2016, which have been fully reserved with a valuation allowance.

As of January 28, 2012, the Company has not provided US tax on its cumulative undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries of approximately $\$ 244$ million, because it is the Company's intention to reinvest these earnings indefinitely. The calculation of the unrecognized deferred tax liability related to these earnings is complex and the calculation is not practicable. If earnings were distributed, the Company would be subject to US taxes and withholding taxes payable to various foreign governments. Based on the facts and circumstances at that time, the Company would determine whether a credit for foreign taxes already paid would be available to reduce or offset the US tax liability.

## Note 12 - Earnings Per Share

The Company calculates earnings per share under earnings per share accounting guidance which states that unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are considered participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that treats a participating security as having rights to earnings that would otherwise have been available to common shareholders.

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net earnings available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share include the effect of conversions of stock options and stock-settled stock appreciation rights. Earnings per share has been computed as follows:

|  | 52 Weeks Ended |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } 28, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January 29, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { January } 30, \end{gathered}$ |
|  | (dollars in millions, except per share amounts; shares in thousands) |  |  |
| Net (loss) earnings attributable to Collective Brands, Inc. from continuing operations | \$ (160.2) | \$ 112.8 | \$ 82.6 |
| Less: net earnings allocated to participating securities ${ }^{(1)}$ | - | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| Net (loss) earnings available to common shareholders from continuing operations | \$ (160.2) | \$ 111.0 | \$ 81.5 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - basic | 60,230 | 62,579 | 63,127 |
| Net effect of dilutive stock options | - | 154 | 139 |
| Net effect of dilutive SARs | - | 606 | 227 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted | 60,230 | 63,339 | 63,493 |
| Basic (loss) earnings per share attributable to common shareholders from continuing operations | \$ (2.66) | \$ 1.77 | \$ 1.29 |
| Diluted (loss) earnings per share attributable to common shareholders from continuing operations | \$ (2.66) | \$ 1.75 | \$ 1.28 |

[^3]
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All of the Company's stock options and stock settled SARs outstanding were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the 52 weeks ended January 28, 2012 as their effects were antidilutive. The Company excluded approximately 3.8 million and 4.8 million stock options and stock-settled SARs from the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the fifty-two weeks ended January 29, 2011 and January 30, 2010, respectively, as their effects were antidilutive.

## Note 13 - Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities

Major components of accrued expenses included:

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (dollars | nillions) |
| Profit sharing, bonus and salaries | \$ 47.3 | \$ 76.0 |
| Sales, use and other taxes | 27.3 | 30.3 |
| Accrued construction in process | 9.0 | 8.4 |
| Worker's compensation and genera | 8.3 | 9.8 |
| Accrued interest | 6.8 | 10.8 |
| Accrued occupancy | 6.3 | 6.9 |
| Accrued excess property | 5.8 | 0.1 |
| Straight-line rent | 5.6 | 5.8 |
| Accrued income taxes | 4.6 | 5.1 |
| Current portion of pension plan | 4.2 | 4.9 |
| Accrued advertising | 4.0 | 4.4 |
| Accrued royalty | 3.4 | 4.0 |
| Other accrued expenses | 14.4 | 17.9 |
| Total | \$147.0 | \$184.4 |

Major components of other liabilities included:

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |
| Pension plans | \$ 77.6 | \$ 58.9 |
| Straight-line rent | 29.0 | 30.1 |
| Worker's compensation and general liability insurance reserves | 20.9 | 19.0 |
| Noncurrent income taxes | 20.0 | 44.7 |
| Deferred tenant improvement allowances, net | 18.9 | 23.5 |
| Deferred compensation | 15.6 | 15.8 |
| Asset retirement obligation | 7.1 | 7.9 |
| Long-term compensation | 7.4 | 5.1 |
| Other liabilities | 6.3 | 7.4 |
| Total | \$202.8 | \$212.4 |
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## Note 14 - Lease Obligations

Rental expense for the Company's operating leases consisted of:

|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |
| Minimum rentals | \$327.9 | \$314.4 | \$313.5 |
| Contingent rentals based on sales | 8.7 | 7.8 | 7.4 |
| Real property rentals | 336.6 | 322.2 | 320.9 |
| Equipment rentals | 0.1 | 4.4 | 4.7 |
| Total | \$336.7 | \$326.6 | \$325.6 |

Most store lease agreements contain renewal options and include escalating rents over the lease terms. Certain leases provide for contingent rentals based upon gross sales. Cumulative expense recognized on the straight-line basis in excess of cumulative payments is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. Certain lease agreements provide for scheduled rent increases during the lease term, as well as provisions for renewal options. Rent expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease from the time at which the Company takes possession of the property. In instances where failure to exercise renewal options would result in an economic penalty, the calculation of straight-line rent expense includes renewal option periods. Also, landlord-provided tenant improvement allowances are recorded as a liability and amortized as a credit to rent expense.

Future minimum lease payments under capital leases and non-cancelable operating lease obligations as of January 28, 2012, were as follows:

|  | Capital | Operating Leases | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |
| 2012 | \$0.1 | \$ 252.1 | \$ 252.2 |
| 2013 | 0.1 | 206.9 | 207.0 |
| 2014 | 0.1 | 157.7 | 157.8 |
| 2015 | 0.1 | 115.3 | 115.4 |
| 2016 | 0.1 | 89.4 | 89.5 |
| 2017 and thereafter | 0.9 | 196.7 | 197.5 |
| Minimum lease payments | \$1.4 | \$1,018.1 | \$1,019.4 |
| Less: imputed interest component . | 0.5 |  |  |
| Present value of net minimum lease payments of which $\$ 0.1$ million is included in current liabilities | \$0.9 |  |  |

At January 28, 2012, the total amount of minimum rentals to be received in the future under non-cancelable subleases was $\$ 1.5$ million.
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## Note 15 - Common Stock Repurchases

The Company has repurchased the following:

|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dollars | Shares | Dollars | $\underline{\text { Shares }}$ | Dollars | Shares |
|  | (dollars in millions, shares in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock repurchase program | \$14.9 | 993 | \$59.8 | 3,626 | \$6.0 | 274 |
| Employee stock purchase, deferred compensation and stock incentive plans | 3.8 | 212 | 4.1 | 208 | 1.6 | $\underline{115}$ |
|  | \$18.7 | 1,205 | \$63.9 | 3,834 | \$7.6 | 389 |

As of January 28, 2012, the Company had approximately $\$ 124$ million of remaining common stock repurchase authorization from its Board of Directors. Under the terms of the Company's Senior Subordinated Notes, Term Loan Facility and Revolving Loan Facility, the Company is restricted on the amount of common stock it may repurchase. This limit may increase or decrease on a quarterly basis based upon the Company's net earnings.

## Note 16 - Segment Reporting

The Company has four reporting segments: (i) Payless Domestic, (ii) Payless International, (iii) PLG Wholesale and (iv) PLG Retail. The Company has defined its reporting segments as follows:
(i) The Payless Domestic reporting segment is comprised primarily of domestic retail stores under the Payless ShoeSource name, the Company's sourcing unit and Collective Licensing.
(ii) The Payless International reporting segment is comprised of international retail stores under the Payless ShoeSource name in Canada, the South American Region, the Central American Region, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands as well as franchising arrangements under the Payless ShoeSource name.
(iii) The PLG Wholesale reporting segment consists of PLG's global wholesale operations.
(iv) The PLG Retail reporting segment consists of PLG's owned Stride Rite children's stores, PLG's Outlet stores, store-in-stores at select Macy's Department Stores and Sperry Top-Sider stores.

Payless International's operations in the Central American and South American Regions are operated as joint ventures in which the Company maintains a $60 \%$ ownership interest. Noncontrolling interest represents the Company's joint venture partners' share of net earnings or losses on applicable international operations. Certain management costs for services performed by Payless Domestic and certain royalty fees and sourcing fees charged by Payless Domestic are allocated to the Payless International segment. The total costs and fees amounted to $\$ 37.4$ million, $\$ 39.3$ million and $\$ 36.0$ million during 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
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The reporting period for operations in the Central and South American Regions use a December 31 yearend. The effect of this one-month lag on the Company's financial position and results of operations is not significant. Information on the segments is as follows:

|  | Payless <br> Domestic | Payless <br> International | PLG <br> Wholesale | PLG <br> Retail | $\underline{\text { Consolidated }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal year ended January 28, 2012: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$1,972.9 | \$469.6 | \$778.6 | \$240.6 | \$3,461.7 |
| Operating (loss) profit | (96.0) | 32.6 | 43.9 | (7.3) | (26.8) |
| Interest expense | 38.9 | - | - | - | 38.9 |
| Interest income | (0.2) | (0.2) | - | - | (0.4) |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | 0.5 | - | - | - | 0.5 |
| Net (loss) earnings before taxes | \$ (135.2) | \$ 32.8 | \$ 43.9 | \$ (7.3) | \$ (65.8) |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 94.1 | \$ 15.9 | \$ 16.1 | \$ 5.6 | \$ 131.7 |
| Total assets | \$ 834.6 | \$232.3 | \$912.0 | \$ 68.3 | \$2,047.2 |
| Additions to long-lived assets | \$ 66.4 | \$ 15.0 | \$ 2.4 | \$ 15.1 | \$ 98.9 |
| Fiscal year ended January 29, 2011: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$2,059.3 | \$460.3 | \$628.4 | \$227.7 | \$3,375.7 |
| Operating profit (loss) | 75.2 | 55.6 | 61.7 | (2.8) | 189.7 |
| Interest expense | 48.6 | 0.1 | - | - | 48.7 |
| Interest income | (0.5) | (0.2) | - | - | (0.7) |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | 1.7 | - | - | - | 1.7 |
| Net earnings (loss) before taxes | \$ 25.4 | \$ 55.7 | \$ 61.7 | \$ (2.8) | \$ 140.0 |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 97.9 | \$ 16.8 | \$ 17.1 | \$ 6.4 | \$ 138.2 |
| Total assets | \$1,039.3 | \$258.4 | \$905.3 | \$ 65.5 | \$2,268.5 |
| Additions to long-lived assets | \$ 73.3 | \$ 11.7 | \$ 6.6 | \$ 6.0 | \$ 97.6 |
| Fiscal year ended January 30, 2010: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$2,153.2 | \$422.4 | \$513.9 | \$218.4 | \$3,307.9 |
| Operating profit (loss) from continuing operations | 98.1 | 34.1 | 30.0 | (3.7) | 158.5 |
| Interest expense | 60.7 | 0.1 | - | - | 60.8 |
| Interest income | (1.0) | (0.1) | - | - | (1.1) |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | 1.2 | - | - | - | 1.2 |
| Net earnings (loss) from continuing operations before taxes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 98.4 | \$ 17.4 | \$ 21.7 | \$ 5.7 | \$ 143.2 |
| Total assets | \$1,151.1 | \$201.5 | \$866.3 | \$ 65.4 | \$2,284.3 |
| Additions to long-lived assets | \$ 66.6 | \$ 17.5 | \$ 4.1 | \$ 3.6 | \$ 91.8 |

The following is a summary of net sales by geographical area:

|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | lars in milli |  |
| Domestic | \$2,836.1 | \$2,785.9 | \$2,781.8 |
| International | 625.6 | 589.8 | 526.1 |
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The following is a summary of long-lived assets by geographical area:

|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ars in mi |  |
| Domestic | \$302.3 | \$363.4 | \$396.7 |
| International | 67.1 | 68.9 | 67.5 |

## Note 17 - Commitments and Contingencies

As of January 28, 2012, the Company has $\$ 80.9$ million of royalty obligations consisting of minimum royalty payments for the purchase of branded merchandise, $\$ 84.4$ million of future estimated pension obligations related to the Company's pension plans, $\$ 19.2$ million of service agreement obligations relating to minimum payments for services that the Company cannot avoid without penalty and $\$ 21.2$ million of employment agreement obligations related to minimum payments to certain of the Company's executives.

There are no pending legal proceedings other than ordinary, routine litigation incidental to the business to which the Company is a party or of which its property is subject, none of which the Company expects to have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

## Note 18 - Environmental Liability

The Company owns a property with a related environmental liability. The liability as of January 28, 2012 was $\$ 0.5$ million, $\$ 0.1$ million of which was included as an accrued expense and $\$ 0.4$ million of which was included in other long-term liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet. The assessment of the liability and the associated cost were based upon available information after consultation with environmental engineers, consultants and attorneys assisting the Company in addressing these environmental issues. The Company estimates the total cost related to this environmental liability to be $\$ 6.3$ million, including $\$ 5.8$ million of costs that have already been paid. Actual costs to address the environmental conditions may change based upon further investigations, the conclusions of regulatory authorities about information gathered in those investigations and due to the inherent uncertainties involved in estimating conditions in the environment and the costs of addressing such conditions.

## Note 19 - Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, "Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs" ("ASU 2011-04"), which is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. This guidance amends certain accounting and disclosure requirements related to fair value measurements. The Company does not believe ASU 2011-04 will have a significant impact on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, "Presentation of Comprehensive Income" ("ASU 2011-05"), which is effective for annual reporting periods, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The provisions of ASU 2011-05 require companies to display adjustments for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income ("OCI") to net income in both net income and OCI in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The Company does not believe ASU 2011-05 will have a significant impact on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, "Testing Goodwill for Impairment", which is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011;
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however, early adoption is permitted. Under the new guidance, an entity has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If the entity determines that this threshold is not met, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. The Company does not believe ASU 2011-08 will have a significant impact on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Note 20 - Related Party Transactions

The Company maintains banking relationships with certain financial institutions that are affiliated with some of the Company's Latin America joint venture partners. Total deposits in these financial institutions at the end of 2011 and 2010 were $\$ 10.7$ million and $\$ 12.7$ million, respectively. There were no borrowings from the Company's Latin American partners as of the end of 2011 and 2010.

## Note 21 - Shareholder Protection Rights Plan

In the third quarter of 2011, the Company adopted a short duration Rights Plan to protect shareholder rights. Under the Rights Plan, the Shareholder Rights ("the Rights") will become exercisable if a person or group acquires $15 \%$ or more of Collective Brands, Inc. outstanding common stock. The Record Date for the issuance of the Rights was September 6, 2011 and the Rights will expire on August 15, 2012 unless earlier redeemed or terminated.

## Note 22 - Subsidiary Guarantors of Senior Notes - Consolidating Financial Information

The Company has issued Notes guaranteed by certain of its subsidiaries (the "Guarantor Subsidiaries"). The Guarantor Subsidiaries are direct or indirect $100 \%$ owned domestic subsidiaries of the Company. The guarantees are full and unconditional and joint and several.

The following supplemental financial information sets forth, on a consolidating basis, the Condensed Statements of (Loss) Earnings for the Company (the "Parent Company"), for the Guarantor Subsidiaries and for the Company's non-guarantor subsidiaries (the "Non-guarantor Subsidiaries") and total consolidated Collective Brands, Inc. and subsidiaries for the 52 week periods ended January 28, 2012, January 29, 2011, and January 30, 2010, Condensed Balance Sheets as of January 28, 2012, and January 29, 2011 and the Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the 52 week periods ended January 28, 2012, January 29, 2011, and January 30, 2010. With the exception of operations in the Central and South American Regions in which the Company has a $60 \%$ ownership interest, the Non-guarantor Subsidiaries are direct or indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Guarantor Subsidiaries. The equity investment for each subsidiary is recorded by its parent in Other Assets.

The Non-guarantor Subsidiaries are made up of the Company's operations in the Central and South American Regions, Canada, Mexico, Germany, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom, Ireland, Australia, Bermuda, Saipan and Puerto Rico and the Company's sourcing organization in Hong Kong, Taiwan, China, Vietnam, Indonesia and Brazil. The operations in the Central and South American Regions use a December 31 year-end. Operations in the Central and South American Regions are included in the Company's results on a one-month lag relative to results from other regions. The effect of this one-month lag on the Company's financial position and results of operations is not significant.

Under the indenture governing the Notes, the Company's subsidiaries in Singapore are designated as unrestricted subsidiaries. The effect of these subsidiaries on the Company's financial position and results of operations and cash flows is not significant. The Company's subsidiaries in Singapore are included in the Non-guarantor Subsidiaries.
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## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF (LOSS) EARNINGS

|  | 52 Weeks Ended January 28, 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Parent } \\ & \text { Company } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Guarantor Subsidiaries | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Non-guarantor } \\ \text { Subsidiaries }\end{array}$ | Eliminations | $\underline{\text { Consolidated }}$ |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$ | \$2,907.1 | \$1,522.4 | \$(967.8) | \$3,461.7 |
| Cost of sales | - | 2,123.2 | 1,244.6 | (935.6) | 2,432.2 |
| Gross margin | - | 783.9 | 277.8 | (32.2) | 1,029.5 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 4.0 | 902.3 | 172.2 | (32.2) | 1,046.3 |
| Impairment of goodwill | - | 10.0 | - | - | 10.0 |
| Operating (loss) profit | (4.0) | (128.4) | 105.6 | - | (26.8) |
| Interest expense | 49.9 | 25.5 | 0.5 | (37.0) | 38.9 |
| Interest income | - | (36.2) | (1.2) | 37.0 | (0.4) |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | 0.5 | - | - | - | 0.5 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | 105.8 | (81.4) | - | (24.4) | - |
| (Loss) earnings before income taxes | (160.2) | (36.3) | 106.3 | 24.4 | (65.8) |
| Provision for income taxes | - | 69.5 | 14.5 | - | 84.0 |
| Net (loss) earnings | (160.2) | (105.8) | 91.8 | 24.4 | (149.8) |
| Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests | - | - | (10.4) | - | (10.4) |
| Net (loss) earnings attributable to Collective |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brands, Inc. | \$(160.2) | \$ (105.8) | \$ 81.4 | \$ 24.4 | \$ (160.2) |

## COLLECTIVE BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF (LOSS) EARNINGS

|  | 52 Weeks Ended January 29, 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Parent Company | Guarantor Subsidiaries | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-guarantor } \\ & \text { Subsidiaries } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$ | \$2,950.8 | \$1,440.6 | \$(1,015.7) | \$3,375.7 |
| Cost of sales | - | 2,015.6 | 1,073.7 | (914.8) | 2,174.5 |
| Gross margin | - | 935.2 | 366.9 | (100.9) | 1,201.2 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 4.1 | 855.8 | 252.5 | (100.9) | 1,011.5 |
| Restructuring charges | - | - | - | - | - |
| Operating (loss) profit | (4.1) | 79.4 | 114.4 | - | 189.7 |
| Interest expense | 45.3 | 33.4 | 0.1 | (30.1) | 48.7 |
| Interest income | - | (30.7) | (0.1) | 30.1 | (0.7) |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | - | 1.7 | - | - | 1.7 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | (144.7) | (92.6) | - | 237.3 | - |
| Earnings before income taxes | 95.3 | 167.6 | 114.4 | (237.3) | 140.0 |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes | (17.5) | 22.9 | 12.0 | - | 17.4 |
| Net earnings | 112.8 | 144.7 | 102.4 | (237.3) | 122.6 |
| Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests | - | - | (9.8) | - | (9.8) |
| Net earnings attributable to Collective Brands Inc. | \$ 112.8 | \$ 144.7 | \$ 92.6 | \$ (237.3) | \$ 112.8 |
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|  | 52 Weeks Ended January 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Parent } \\ & \text { Company } \end{aligned}$ | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | $\underline{\text { Consolidated }}$ |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$ | \$2,917.0 | \$1,212.5 | \$(821.6) | \$3,307.9 |
| Cost of sales | - | 2,022.8 | 864.4 | (720.3) | 2,166.9 |
| Gross margin | - | 894.2 | 348.1 | (101.3) | 1,141.0 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 2.1 | 832.0 | 249.6 | (101.3) | 982.4 |
| Restructuring charges | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 |
| Operating (loss) profit from continuing operations | (2.1) | 62.1 | 98.5 | - | 158.5 |
| Interest expense | 24.4 | 43.4 | 0.1 | (7.1) | 60.8 |
| Interest income | - | (8.1) | (0.1) | 7.1 | (1.1) |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | 1.0 | 0.2 | - | - | 1.2 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | (100.1) | (88.4) | - | 188.5 | - |
| Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes | 72.6 | 115.0 | 98.5 | (188.5) | 97.6 |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes . . . | (10.1) | 15.0 | 4.5 | - | 9.4 |

Net earnings from continuing operations
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## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

|  | As of January 29, 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Parent } \\ \text { Company } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Guarantor <br> Subsidiaries | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non-guarantor } \\ \text { Subsidiaries } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|  |  |  | (dollars in milli |  |  |
|  | ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Current Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | \$ 122.4 | \$201.7 | \$ | \$ 324.1 |
| Accounts receivable, net | - | 103.4 | 21.2 | (10.2) | 114.4 |
| Inventories | - | 418.5 | 122.5 | (9.3) | 531.7 |
| Current deferred income taxes | - | 23.1 | 7.6 | - | 30.7 |
| Prepaid expenses | 28.4 | 15.8 | 10.9 | - | 55.1 |
| Other current assets | - | 276.9 | 150.9 | (405.6) | 22.2 |
| Total current assets | 28.4 | 960.1 | 514.8 | (425.1) | 1,078.2 |
| Property and equipment, net | - | 361.3 | 71.0 | - | 432.3 |
| Intangible assets, net | - | 399.4 | 29.0 | - | 428.4 |
| Goodwill | - | 142.9 | 136.9 | - | 279.8 |
| Deferred income taxes | - | - | 10.1 | - | 10.1 |
| Other assets | 1,538.6 | 916.4 | 22.1 | $(2,437.4)$ | 39.7 |
| Total Assets | \$1,567.0 | \$2,780.1 | \$783.9 | \$(2,862.5) | \$2,268.5 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$ | \$ 5.1 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 5.1 |
| Accounts payable | - | 140.0 | 237.1 | (89.7) | 287.4 |
| Accrued expenses | 103.8 | 376.4 | 32.9 | (328.7) | 184.4 |
| Total current liabilities | 103.8 | 521.5 | 270.0 | (418.4) | 476.9 |
| Long-term debt | 637.0 | 484.3 | 67.1 | (529.0) | 659.4 |
| Deferred income taxes | - | 64.0 | 1.4 | - | 65.4 |
| Other liabilities | 3.3 | 191.9 | 17.2 | - | 212.4 |
| Commitments and contingencies |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collective Brands, Inc. shareowners' equity | 822.9 | 1,518.4 | 396.7 | $(1,915.1)$ | 822.9 |
| Noncontrolling interests | - | - | 31.5 | - | 31.5 |
| Total equity | 822.9 | 1,518.4 | 428.2 | $(1,915.1)$ | 854.4 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | $\underline{\text { \$1,567.0 }}$ | \$2,780.1 | $\underline{\$ 783.9}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$(2,862.5)}}$ | \$2,268.5 |
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|  | 52 Weeks Ended January 28, 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Parent } \\ & \text { Company } \end{aligned}$ | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net (loss) earnings | \$(160.2) | \$(105.8) | \$ 91.8 | \$ 24.4 | \$(149.8) |
| Adjustments for non-cash items included in net earnings | 1.0 | 288.5 | 25.5 | - | 315.0 |
| Changes in working capital | 98.7 | (105.9) | (87.6) | (7.5) | (102.3) |
| Other, net | 127.4 | (129.5) | 1.8 | (16.9) | (17.2) |
| Cash flow provided by (used in) operating activities | 66.9 | (52.7) | 31.5 | - | 45.7 |
| Investing Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital expenditures | - | (74.8) | (24.1) | - | (98.9) |
| Intangible asset additions | - | (0.9) | (0.5) | - | (1.4) |
| Cash flow used in investing activities | - | (75.7) | (24.6) | - | (100.3) |
| Financing Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net repayment of debt or notes payable | (50.0) | 54.1 | (59.2) | - | (55.1) |
| Payment of deferred financing costs | - | (1.8) | - | - | (1.8) |
| Net purchases of common stock | (16.9) | - | - | - | (16.9) |
| Net distributions to noncontrolling interests | - | - | (13.2) | - | (13.2) |
| Cash flow (used in) provided by financing activities | (66.9) | 52.3 | (72.4) | - | (87.0) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | - | - | (1.2) | - | (1.2) |
| Decrease in cash and cash equivalents | - | (76.1) | (66.7) | - | (142.8) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | - | 122.4 | 201.7 | - | 324.1 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ - | \$ 46.3 | \$135.0 | \$ - | \$ 181.3 |
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|  | 52 Weeks Ended January 29, 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Parent <br> Company | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | $\underline{\text { Consolidated }}$ |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings | \$ 112.8 | \$ 144.7 | \$102.4 | \$(237.3) | \$ 122.6 |
| Adjustments for non-cash items included in net earnings | 0.3 | 156.5 | 18.1 | - | 174.9 |
| Changes in working capital | 72.6 | (159.3) | 68.0 | 1.9 | (16.8) |
| Other, net | (132.5) | (33.0) | (79.1) | 235.4 | (9.2) |
| Cash flow provided by operating activities | 53.2 | 108.9 | 109.4 | - | 271.5 |
| Investing Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital expenditures | - | (82.2) | (15.4) | - | (97.6) |
| Cash flow used in investing activities | - | (82.2) | (15.4) | - | (97.6) |
| Financing Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net repayment of debt or notes payable | - | (184.1) | (1.1) | - | (185.2) |
| Net purchases of common stock | (53.2) | - | - | - | (53.2) |
| Net distributions to noncontrolling interests | - | - | (7.7) | - | (7.7) |
| Cash flow used in financing activities | (53.2) | (184.1) | (8.8) | - | (246.1) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | - | - | 2.8 | - | 2.8 |
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents | - | (157.4) | 88.0 | - | (69.4) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | - | 279.8 | 113.7 | - | 393.5 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ | \$ 122.4 | \$201.7 | \$ - | \$ 324.1 |
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## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

|  | 52 Weeks Ended January 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Parent } \\ & \text { Company } \end{aligned}$ | Guarantor Subsidiaries | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Non-guarantor } \\ & \text { Subsidiaries } \end{aligned}$ | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings | \$ 82.7 | \$100.1 | \$ 94.0 | \$(188.5) | \$ 88.3 |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | - | (0.1) | - | - | (0.1) |
| Adjustments for non-cash items included in net earnings | 1.1 | 159.3 | 18.8 | - | 179.2 |
| Changes in working capital | 34.9 | 102.8 | (43.0) | (30.1) | 64.6 |
| Other, net | (95.8) | (95.5) | (11.0) | 177.9 | (24.4) |
| Cash flow provided by operating activities | 22.9 | 266.6 | 58.8 | (40.7) | 307.6 |
| Investing Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital expenditures | - | (66.3) | (17.7) | - | (84.0) |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | - | 2.8 | - | - | 2.8 |
| Dividends received - related party | - | - | 1.6 | (1.6) | - |
| Issuance of intercompany debt | - | (19.0) | - | 19.0 |  |
| Other, net | - | (1.8) | (17.2) | - | (19.0) |
| Cash flow used in investing activities | - | (84.3) | (33.3) | 17.4 | (100.2) |
| Financing Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net repayment of debt or notes payable, including deferred financing costs . . | (23.5) | (42.6) | (9.8) | 11.0 | (64.9) |
| Net issuances of common stock | 0.6 | - | - | - | 0.6 |
| Net distributions to noncontrolling interests | - | - | (0.7) | - | (0.7) |
| Net distributions to parent | - | (1.6) | (10.7) | 12.3 | - |
| Cash flow used in financing activities | (22.9) | (44.2) | (21.2) | 23.3 | (65.0) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | - | - | 1.8 | - | 1.8 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | - | 138.1 | 6.1 | - | 144.2 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | - | 141.7 | 107.6 | - | 249.3 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ - | \$279.8 | \$113.7 | \$ - | \$ 393.5 |

## ITEM 9.



None.

## ITEM 9A.

## Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-K for fiscal 2011, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on this evaluation, our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) are effective and designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in periodic reports filed with the SEC is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified. Our principal executive officer and principal financial officer also concluded that our controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Act is accumulated and communicated to management including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

## Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Management's annual report on internal control over financial reporting and the report of independent registered public accounting firm are incorporated by reference to pages 57 and 58 of Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

## Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## ITEM 9B.

None.

## PART III

## ITEM 10.

The Board of Directors has established a standing Audit and Finance Committee which currently consists of Mr. John F. McGovern - Chairman, Mr. Daniel Boggan Jr., Mr. Robert F. Moran, Mr. David Scott Olivet, and Mr. Matthew A. Ouimet. The Board has determined that each of the members of the Audit and Finance Committee are audit committee financial experts (as that term is defined under Item 407(d) of Regulation S-K) and are independent.

Our Code of Ethics is applicable to all associates including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, and persons performing similar functions and is available on our website at www.collectivebrands.com. The charters for the Board of Directors, the Audit and Finance Committee, and the Compensation Nominating and Governance Committee are also available on our investor relations website. The Company intends to satisfy its disclosure requirements under Item 5.05(c) of Form 8-K, regarding an amendment to or waiver from a provision of its Code of Ethics by posting such information on our website at www.collectivebrands.com.

Information with respect to our directors and the nomination process is incorporated herein by reference to information included in the Company's definitive proxy statement to be filed in connection with its Annual Meeting to be held on May 24, 2012 under "Charters and Corporate Governance Principles - Selection of Directors" and "About Stockholder Proposals and Nominations for our 2013 Annual Meeting."
a) Directors - The information set forth in the Company's definitive proxy statement to be filed in connection with its Annual Meeting to be held on May 24, 2012, under the captions "Election of Directors Directors and Nominees for Director" and "Additional Information - Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" is incorporated herein by reference.
b) Executive Officers - Information regarding the Executive Officers of the Company is as set forth in Item 1 of this report under the caption "Executive Officers of the Company." The information set forth in the Company's definitive proxy statement to be filed in connection with its Annual Meeting to be held on May 24, 2012, under the caption "Additional Information - Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" is incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 11.

No member of the Compensation, Nominating and Governance Committee (Messrs. Markee, Ms. Magnum, Messrs. Wheeler and Weiss) has served as one of the Company's officers or employees or had a relationship requiring disclosure under any paragraph of Item 404 of Regulation S-K. None of the Company's executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table (included in the Company's proxy statement) serve as a member of the board of directors or as a member of a compensation committee of any other company that has an executive officer serving as a member of the Company's Board or the Compensation, Nominating and Governance Committee.

The information set forth in the Company's definitive proxy statement to be filed in connection with its Annual Meeting to be held on May 24, 2012, under the captions "Board Compensation," "Compensation, Nominating and Governance Committee," "Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation," "Compensation, Nominating and Governance Committee Report," "Compensation, Discussion and Analysis," "Summary Compensation Table," "Fiscal 2011 Grants of Plan-Based Awards," "Outstanding Equity Awards at the end of Fiscal 2011," "Fiscal 2011 Options Exercises and Stock Vested," "Pension Benefits for Fiscal 2011," "Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for Fiscal 2011," and "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" is incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 12. <br> $I$

The information set forth in the Company's definitive proxy statement to be filed in connection with its Annual Meeting to be held on May 24, 2012, under the caption "Beneficial Stock Ownership of Directors, Nominees, Executive Officers and More Than Five Percent Owners" is incorporated herein by reference.

The following table summarizes information with respect to the Company's equity compensation plans at January 28, 2012 (shares in thousands):

| Plan category | Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Issued Upon Exercise) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders ${ }^{(1)}$ | 4,568 ${ }^{(2)}$ | \$19.05 ${ }^{(3)}$ | 1,205 ${ }^{(4)}$ |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders ${ }^{(5)}$ | $639{ }^{(6)}$ |  | - |
| Total | 5,207 |  | 1,205 ${ }^{(4)}$ |

${ }^{(1)}$ Consists of the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan ("2006 Plan") and the Company's Stock Plan for Non-Management Directors ("Director Plan.")
(2) Includes grants under the 2006 Plan of 743 thousand stock options and 4,860 thousand SARs which could be settled for a maximum of 3,127 thousand shares based upon the maximum appreciation cap in place at the time of grant. SARs outstanding includes 27 thousand performance-vested SARs granted at target, which could be settled for a maximum of 15 thousand shares, that will be forfeited due to the 2011 performance criteria not being met. Further included are 438 thousand shares of common stock subject to outstanding restricted stock awards; 197 thousand shares of stock subject to outstanding performance-vested restricted stock units, of which 106 thousand will be forfeited due to the 2011 performance criteria not being met; and 63 thousand shares of stock subject to outstanding Board of Director stock grants made under the Director Plan.
(3) Represents the weighted-average exercise price of outstanding SARs and options and is calculated without taking into account the 698 thousand shares of common stock subject to unvested stock awards.
${ }^{(4)}$ Includes up to 1,058 thousand shares that may be issued under the Company's 2006 Plan; up to 147 thousand shares that can be issued under the Company's Director Plan. The amount does not include up to 5,262 thousand shares that may be purchased under the Collective Brands Stock Ownership Plan and forfeited performance-vested shares as described in (2) above.
${ }^{(5)}$ Consists of the Collective Brands Inc., Deferred Compensation Plan (the "CBI DCP"), the Collective Brands Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Management Directors (the "Director DCP"), and standalone equity grants.
(6) Represents 418 thousand attraction stock options granted to Mr. Rubel in July 2005 under the terms of his employment agreement; Director stock and cash retainers that were deferred into an aggregate of 181 thousand shares of stock under the Director DCP; and employee compensation that has been deferred into 40 thousand shares under the CBI DCP. The value of these deferred shares are based on the fair market value on the date of deferral and they do not have an exercise price.

## ITEM 13.



The information set forth in the Company's definitive proxy statement to be filed in connection with its Annual Meeting to be held on May 24, 2012 under the captions "Election of Directors - Directors and Nominees for Director," "Charters and Corporate Governance Principles - Independence of Directors and Nominees for Director" and "Related Party Transactions" is incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 14.

The information regarding principal accounting fees and services is incorporated herein by reference to the material under the heading "Principal Accounting Fees and Services" of the Company's definitive proxy statement to be filed in connection with its Annual Meeting to be held on May 24, 2012.

## PART IV

## ITEM 15.

(a) Financial Statements:

The following financial statements are set forth under Item 8 of this Annual Report on form 10-K:
Page
Reports of Deloitte \& Touche LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm ..... 58
Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Earnings ..... 60
Consolidated Balance Sheets ..... 61
Consolidated Statements of Equity and Comprehensive (Loss) Income ..... 62
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows ..... 64
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements ..... 65
(b) Financial Statements Schedules:

## SCHEDULE II-VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

|  | Balance at beginning of period | Charged to costs and expenses | Deductions ${ }^{(1)}$ | Balance at end of period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (dollars in millions) |  |  |  |
| Year ended January 28, 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 4.0 | \$ 1.7 | \$ (1.6) | \$ 4.1 |
| Deferred tax valuation allowance . | 15.4 | 139.6 | - | 155.0 |
| Sales return reserve | 3.6 | 51.5 | (51.6) | 3.5 |
| Year ended January 29, 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 4.2 | \$ 2.0 | \$ (2.2) | \$ 4.0 |
| Deferred tax valuation allowance | 11.4 | 4.0 | - | 15.4 |
| Sales return reserve | 3.3 | 49.2 | (48.9) | 3.6 |
| Year ended January 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 3.8 | \$ 2.9 | \$ (2.5) | \$ 4.2 |
| Deferred tax valuation allowance | 8.1 | 3.3 | - | 11.4 |
| Sales return reserve | 3.0 | 45.1 | (44.8) | 3.3 |

(1) With regard to allowances for doubtful accounts, deductions relate to uncollectible receivables (both accounts and other receivables) that have been written off, net of recoveries. For the deferred tax valuation allowance, deductions relate to deferred tax assets that have been written off. For sales returns, deductions related to actual returns.
(c) Exhibits

Number
Description
3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Payless ShoeSource, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company").(1)
3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company.(2)
3.3 Certificate of Amendment of the Company's Certificate of Incorporation.(3)
4.1 Indenture, dated as of July 28, 2003, among Payless ShoeSource, Inc. and each of the Guarantors named therein and Wells-Fargo Bank Minnesota, National Association as Trustee, related to the 8.25\% Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2013.(4)
4.2 Exchange and Registration Rights Agreement, Dated July 28, 2003, among Payless ShoeSource, Inc. and each of Guarantors named therein and Goldman Sachs \& Co. as representative of the Several Purchasers.(4)
4.3 Stockholder Protection Rights Agreement, dated as of August 24, 2011 (the "Rights Agreement"), between Collective Brands, Inc. (the "Company") and Computershare Trust Company, N.A., as Rights Agent, including as Exhibit A the forms of Rights Certificate and of Election to Exercise and as Exhibit B the form Certificate of Designation and Terms of the Participating Preferred Stock of the Company.(5)
10.1 Sublease, dated as of April 2, 1996, by and between The May Department Stores Company and Payless ShoeSource, Inc.(5)
10.2 Payless ShoeSource, Inc. 1996 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended September 18, 2003.(6) $\pm$
10.3 2006 Collective Brands, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan, as amended May 21, 2009* $\pm$
10.4 Stock Plan for Non-Management Directors of Collective Brands, Inc., as amended August 17, 2007.(7) $\pm$
10.5 Form of Employment Agreement between Collective Brands, Inc. (formerly Payless ShoeSource, Inc.), and certain of its executives including Darrel Pavelka, Douglas Treff, Michael Massey \& Betty Click.(8) $\pm$
10.6 Form of Amendment to Employment Agreement between Collective Brands, Inc. and certain of its executives including Darrel Pavelka, Douglas Treff, Michael Massey, Douglas G. Boessen and Betty Click.(13) $\pm$
10.7 Collective Brands, Inc. Supplementary Retirement Account Plan, as amended and restated January 1, 2008.(7) $\pm$
10.8 Employment Agreement between Collective Brands, Inc. and Douglas G. Boessen.(13) $\pm$
10.9 Employment Agreement between LuAnn Via and Payless ShoeSource, Inc.(12) $\pm$
10.10 Amendment 1 to Employment Agreement between Payless ShoeSource, Inc. and LuAnn Via dated December 19, 2008.(13) $\pm$
10.11 Form of Change of Control Agreement between Collective Brands, Inc. (formerly Payless ShoeSource, Inc.) and certain of its executives including Darrel Pavelka, Douglas Treff, Michael Massey and Betty Click.(9) $\pm$
10.12 Form of Performance Share Unit Award Agreement. * $\pm$
10.13 Form of Amendment to the Change of Control Agreement between Collective Brands, Inc. and certain of its executives including Darrel Pavelka, Douglas Treff, Michael Massey and Betty Click.(13) $\pm$
10.14 Change of Control Agreement between Collective Brands, Inc. and Douglas G. Boessen effective December 30, 2008.(13) $\pm$
10.15 Form of Directors' Indemnification Agreement.(8)

Form of Officers' Indemnification Agreement.(9) $\pm$
Collective Brands, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Management Directors, as amended January 1, 2008.(14) $\pm$

Collective Brands, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan as amended August 17, 2007.(7) $\pm$
Second Amended and Restated Loan and Guaranty Agreement, dated August 16, 2011, among the Borrower, the guarantors party thereto, the Lenders party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as the Administrative Agent, Wells Fargo Capital Finance, LLC as Sole Lead Arranger and Sole Bookrunner, Bank of America, N.A. as Syndication Agent and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Documentation Agent(17)
10.21 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Collective Brands, Inc. and Matthew E. Rubel accepted and agreed to December 19, 2008.(13) $\pm$
10.22 Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement.(14) $\pm$
10.23 Form of Stock Settled Stock Appreciation Rights Award Agreement.(14) $\pm$
10.242006 Collective Brands, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan, amended August 17, 2007.(7) $\pm$
10.25 Term Loan Agreement, dated as of August 17, 2007 (the "Term Loan"), among Collective Brands Finance, Inc. as Borrower, and the Lenders party thereto and CitiCorp North America, Inc., as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent.(12)
10.26 CEO Restricted Stock Award Agreement.(11) $\pm$
10.27 Amended and Restated Change of Control Agreement between Collective Brands, Inc. and Matthew E. Rubel agreed and accepted December 19, 2008.(13) $\pm$
10.28 Second Amendment to the Term Loan Agreement dated as of March 11, 2008.(7)
10.29 Stock Appreciation Award Modification Agreement between Matthew E. Rubel and Collective Brands, Inc., dated May 18, 2011.(18) $\pm$
10.30 Waiver and Release Agreement with Matthew E. Rubel, dated June 15, 2011. .(15) $\pm$
10.31 Collective Brands, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended November 10, 2011*
10.32 Employment Agreement between The Stride Rite Corporation and Gregg Ribatt dated January 7, 2008(15)
10.33 Amendment 1 to Employment Agreement between The Stride Rite Corporation and Gregg Ribatt dated December 19, 2008(15)
10.34 Change of Control Agreement between Collective Brands, Inc. and Gregg Ribatt dated January 7, 2008(15)
10.35 Amendment 1 to the Change of Control Agreement between The Stride Rite Corporation and Gregg Ribatt dated December 19, 2008(15)
10.36 Employment Agreement between Collective Licensing International LLC and Bruce Pettet (15)
10.37 Amendment 1 to Employment Agreement between Collective Licensing International LLC and Bruce Pettet(15)
10.38 Change of Control Agreement between Collective Licensing International LLC and Bruce Pettet(15)
10.39 Amendment 1 to Change of Control Agreement between Collective Licensing International LLC and Bruce Pettet(15)
10.40 Amendment 2 to the Employment Agreement between Payless ShoeSource, Inc. and LuAnn Via dated November 22, 2011(16)
21.1 Subsidiaries of the Company.*
23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.*
31.1 Certification Pursuant to Rules 13(a)-14(a) and 15(d)-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, of the Chief Executive Officer, President and Chairman of the Board.*
31.2 Certification Pursuant to Rules 13(a)-14(a) and 15(d)-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, of the Division Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.*
32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350 of the Chief Executive Officer and President.*
32.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350 of the Division Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.*
101.INS XBRL Instance Document. $\infty$
101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document. $\infty$
101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document. $\infty$
101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document. $\infty$
101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbse Document. $\infty$
101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document. $\infty$
$\pm$ Indicates management contract or compensatory plan, contract or agreement.

* Filed herewith.
$\infty$ Furnished herewith. In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in these exhibits shall not be deemed to be "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to liability under that section, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, except as expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

1. Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File Number 1-14770) filed with the SEC on June 3, 1998.
2. Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File Number 1-14770) filed with the SEC on November 13, 2008.
3. Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File Number 1-14770) filed with the SEC on August 17, 2007.
4. Incorporated by reference from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File Number 1-14770) for the quarter ended August 2, 2003, filed with the SEC on September 12, 2003.
5. Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current report on Form 8-K (File Number 1014770) filed with the SEC on August 24, 2011.
6. Incorporated by reference from the Company's Registration Statement on Form 10 (File Number 1-11633) dated February 23, 1996, as amended through April 15, 1996.
7. Incorporated by reference from the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (File Number 333-109388) filed with the SEC on October 2, 2003, as amended.
8. Incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File Number 1-14770) for the year ended February 2, 2008, filed with the SEC on April 1, 2008.
9. Incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File Number 1-14770) for the year ended February 1, 2003, filed with the SEC on April 18, 2003.
10. Incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File Number 1-14770) for the year ended January 31, 2004, filed with the SEC on April 9, 2004.
11. Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File Number 1-14770) filed with the SEC on June 4, 2007.
12. Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File Number 1-14770) filed with the SEC on July 9, 2008.
13. Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File Number 1-14770) filed with the SEC on December 23, 2008.
14. Incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File Number 1-14770) for the year ended January 31, 2009, filed with the SEC on March 30, 2009.
15. Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File Number 1-14770) filed with the SEC on June 15, 2011.
16. Incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 8-K (File Number 1-4770) filed with the SEC on November 23, 2011.
17. Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current report on Form 8-K (File Number 1-4770) filed with the SEC on August 17, 2011.
18. Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current report on Form 8-K (File Number 1-4770) filed with the SEC on May 18, 2011.

The Company will furnish to stockholders upon request, and without charge, a copy of the 2011 Annual Report and the 2012 Proxy Statement, portions of which are incorporated by reference in the Form 10-K. The Company will furnish any other Exhibit at cost.
(d) Financial Statement Schedules have been either omitted due to inapplicability or because required information is shown in the Consolidated Financial Statements, Notes thereto, or Item 15(b).

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or $15(\mathrm{~d})$ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

COLLECTIVE BRANDS, INC.
Date: March 22, 2012
By: /s/ Douglas G. Boessen
Douglas G. Boessen
Division Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| /s/ Michael J. Massey | Date: March 22, 2012 | /s/ Douglas G. Boessen | Date: March 22, 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chief Executive Officer and President (Principal Executive Officer) |  | Division Senior Vice President - Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) |  |
| /s/ Matthew A. Ouimet | Date: March 22, 2012 | /s/ Daniel Boggan Jr. | Date: March 22, 2012 |
| Director |  | Director |  |
| /s/ David Scott Olivet | Date: March 22, 2012 | /s/ Mylle H. Mangum | Date: March 22, 2012 |
| Director and Chairman of the Board |  | Director |  |
| /s/ Robert C. Wheeler | Date: March 22, 2012 | /s/ John F. McGovern | Date: March 22, 2012 |
| Director |  | Director |  |
| /s/ Michael A. Weiss | Date: March 22, 2012 | /s/ Robert F. Moran | Date: March 22, 2012 |
| Director |  | Director |  |
| /s/ Richard L. Markee | Date: March 22, 2012 |  |  |
| Director |  |  |  |

NON-MANAGEMENT DIRECTORS
Daniel Boggan Jr. ${ }^{(3)}$
Retired Senior Vice President National Collegiate Athletic Association

Mylle H. Mangum ${ }^{(2)\left(4^{*}\right)}$
Chief Executive Officer
IBT Enterprises, LLC
Richard L. Markee ${ }^{(4)}$
Executive Chairman
Vitamin Shoppe, Inc.
John F. McGovern ${ }^{(2)\left(3^{*}\right)}$
Former Executive Vice President/
Chief Financial Officer
Georgia-Pacific Corporation
Robert F. Moran ${ }^{(3)}$
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer PetSmart, Inc.
D. Scott Olivet ${ }^{(1)\left(2^{*}\right)(3)}$

Executive Chairman, RED Digital Cinema and Chairman, O akley Inc.

Matthew A. Ouimet ${ }^{(3)}$
President and Chief Executive Officer
Cedar Fair Entertainment
Michael A. Weiss ${ }^{(4)}$
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer
Express, Inc.
Robert C. Wheeler ${ }^{(4)}$
Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Hill's Pet Nutrition, Inc.
(1) Non-Executive Chairman of the Board
(2) Executive Committee of the Board
(3) Audit and Finance Committee
(4) Compensation, Nominating and Governance Committee

* Chairman

SENIOR MANAGEMENT
COLLECTIVE BRANDS, INC.
Michael J. Massey ${ }^{+}$
Chief Executive Officer and President
Darrel J. Pavelka ${ }^{+}$
Executive Vice President
Global Supply Chain
Douglas J. Treff ${ }^{+}$
Executive Vice President
Chief Administrative Officer
Betty J. Click ${ }^{+}$
Senior Vice President
Human Resources

## Brian P. Amkraut

Division Senior Vice President
Store Development
Douglas G. Boessen
Division Senior Vice President Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

COLLECTIVE BRANDS, INC. LEADERSHIP

Paul J. Fenaroli
Division Senior Vice President
Corporate Strategy
Jeffrey A. Lauro
Division Senior Vice President Chief Information Officer

David W. Milton
Division Senior Vice President
Global Logistics
PAYLESS SHOESOURCE, INC.

## LuAnn Via ${ }^{+}$

President and Chief Executive Officer

## Stephen J. Gish

Executive Vice President
Retail Operations and Franchising
Robert C. Bruennig
Senior Vice President
GMM/Men's, Kids' and Athletics
Vincent P. DeSantis
Senior Vice President
Chief Marketing Officer
Michael F. McBreen
Division Senior Vice President Product Development

Edward J. Schloesslin
Division Senior Vice President
Human Resources
Kathleen Godsay Trainor
Division Senior Vice President Sourcing

Philip D. Vostrejs
Division Senior Vice President
Merchandise Distribution and Planning

## COLLECTIVE BRANDS

PERFORMANCE + LIFESTYLE GROUP

Gregg S. Ribatt ${ }^{+}$
President and Chief Executive Officer
Frank A. Caruso
Senior Vice President Chief Administrative Officer

Richard J. Blackshaw
President
Keds
Philip L. Blake
Division Senior Vice President Sourcing

Daniel S. Brausch
Senior Vice President International

## Sharon Price John

President
Stride Rite Children’s Group

## Craig L. Reingold

President
Sperry Top-Sider
Michael T. Smith
Division Senior Vice President Finance

Richie J. Woodworth
President
Saucony
COLLECTIVE LICENSING INTERNATIONAL, LLC

Bruce T. Pettet ${ }^{+}$
President and Chief Executive Officer

## CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS

Collective Brands, Inc.
3231 S.E. Sixth Avenue
Topeka, KS 66607-2207
785.233.5171

## COMMON STOCK

Shares of Collective Brands, Inc. are listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange. The trading symbol is PSS.

## INFORMATION REQUESTED

Copies of the corporation's annual report to stockholders; the Form 10-K, annual report to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"); the Form 10-Q, quarterly reports to the SEC; current reports to the SEC on Form 8-K; and quarterly earnings releases are available on the corporation's website at www.collectivebrands.com, or by writing to Investor Relations at the corporate headquarters or by calling Investor Relations at 785.559.5321.

## COLLECTIVE BRANDS ON THE INTERNET

Recent press releases issued by the Corporation are available at www.collectivebrands.com.

## STOCKHOLDER INOUIRIES

Stockholder inquiries regarding stock transfer, lost certificates or address changes should be directed to the stock transfer agent and registrar:

Computershare Trust Company, N.A.
P.O. Box 43078

Providence, RI 02940-3078
781.575.4706

Computershare requests that certificates be sent by registered mail. Their e-mail address is as follows: web.queries@computershare.com. Stockholders can view their account balance and more at www.computershare.com.

Securities analysts, stockholders and investment professionals should direct inquiries regarding Collective Brands, Inc. and its business to Investor Relations at 785.559.5321.



[^0]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Includes an aggregate of approximately 15 thousand shares of our common stock that was repurchased in connection with our employee stock purchase and stock incentive plans.
    (2) On March 2, 2007, our Board of Directors authorized an aggregate of $\$ 250$ million of share repurchases. The timing and amount of share repurchases, if any, are limited by the terms of our Credit Agreement and Senior Subordinated Notes.

[^1]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ All years presented include 52 weeks. The reporting for our operations in the Central and South American Regions use a December 31 year-end.

[^2]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Percent of sales columns for the provision for income taxes represents effective income tax rates.

[^3]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Net earnings allocated to participating securities is calculated based upon a weighted average percentage of participating securities in relation to total shares outstanding. Net losses are not allocated to participating securities.

