

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

- ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
 TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year-ended December 31, 2012
Commission file number 000-30234



ENERJEX RESOURCES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

88-0422242
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

4040 Broadway
Suite 508
San Antonio, Texas

(Address of principal executive offices)

78209
(Zip Code)

(210) 451-5545

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Name of each exchange on which registered:

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: Common Stock, \$0.001 par value

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act.

Yes No

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by checkmark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common

equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter: approximately \$23.4 million based on a share value of \$0.70.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 67,836,529 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding on April 10, 2013.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

List hereunder the following documents if incorporated by reference and the Part of the Form 10-K (e.g., Part I, Part II, etc.) into which the document is incorporated: (1) Any annual report to security holders; (2) Any proxy or information statement; and (3) Any prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424(b) or (c) under the Securities Act of 1933. The listed documents should be clearly described for identification purposes (e.g., annual report to security holders for fiscal year ended December 24, 1980).

NONE.

ENERJEX RESOURCES, INC.
FORM 10-K
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	5
ITEMS 1 AND 2. BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES	5
ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS	17
ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	31
ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	31
PART II	31
ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	31
ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	33
ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	33
ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	38
ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	38
ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	39
ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	39
ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION	39
Part III	39
ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	39
ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	42
ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	44
ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	45
ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	46
Part IV	47
ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	47

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. The statements contained in this document that are not purely historical are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. Forward-looking statements are statements regarding future events, our future financial performance, and include statements regarding projected operating results. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, beliefs, intentions, strategies, forecasts and assumptions and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated by these forward-looking statements. We have attempted to identify forward-looking statements by terminology including "anticipates," "believes," "can," "continue," "could," "estimates," "expects," "intends," "may," "plans," "potential," "predicts" or "should" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. Although we do not make forward-looking statements unless we believe we have a reasonable basis for doing so, we cannot guarantee their accuracy. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risks emerge from time to time and it is not possible for us to predict all risk factors, nor can we address the impact of all factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause our actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements included in this document are based on information available to us on the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements, except as may otherwise be required by law.

Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including those set forth in the "Risk Factors" section in Part I, Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and elsewhere in this document. The factors impacting these risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

- inability to attract and obtain additional development capital;
- inability to achieve sufficient future sales levels or other operating results;
- inability to efficiently manage our operations;
- effect of our hedging strategies on our results of operations;
- potential default under our secured obligations or material debt agreements;
- estimated quantities and quality of oil reserves;
- declining local, national and worldwide economic conditions;
- fluctuations in the price of oil;
- continued weather conditions that impact our abilities to efficiently manage our drilling and development activities;
- the inability of management to effectively implement our strategies and business plans;
- approval of certain parts of our operations by state regulators;
- inability to hire or retain sufficient qualified operating field personnel;
- increases in interest rates or our cost of borrowing;
- deterioration in general or regional (especially Eastern Kansas and South Texas) economic conditions;
- adverse state or federal legislation or regulation that increases the costs of compliance, or adverse findings by a regulator with respect to existing operations;
- the occurrence of natural disasters, unforeseen weather conditions, or other events or circumstances that could impact our operations or could impact the operations of companies or contractors we depend upon in our operations;
- inability to acquire mineral leases at a favorable economic value that will allow us to expand our development efforts; and
- changes in U.S. GAAP or in the legal, regulatory and legislative environments in the markets in which we operate.

All references in this report to "we," "us," "our," "company" and "EnerJex" refer to EnerJex Resources, Inc. and our wholly-owned operating subsidiaries, EnerJex Kansas, Inc., DD Energy, Inc., Black Sable Energy, LLC, Rantoul Partners, and Working Interest, LLC, unless the context requires otherwise. We report our financial information on the basis of a fiscal year-ended December 31, 2012. We have provided definitions for the oil industry terms used in this report in the "Glossary" beginning on page 15 of this report.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We file annual, quarterly and other reports and other information with the SEC. You can read these SEC filings and reports over the Internet at the SEC's website at www.sec.gov or on our website at www.enerjex.com. You can also obtain copies of the documents at prescribed rates by writing to the Public Reference Section of the SEC at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 am and 3:00 pm. Please call the SEC at (800) SEC-0330 for further information on the operations of the public reference facilities. We will provide a copy of our annual report to security holders, including audited financial statements, at no charge upon receipt to of a written request to us at EnerJex Resources, Inc., 4040 Broadway, Suite 508, San Antonio, Texas 78209.

INDUSTRY AND MARKET DATA

The market data and certain other statistical information used throughout this report are based on independent industry publications, government publications, reports by market research firms or other published independent sources. In addition, some data are based on our good faith estimates.

PART I

ITEMS 1 AND 2. BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES.

Company History

We were formerly known as Millennium Plastics Corporation and were incorporated in the State of Nevada on March 31, 1999. We abandoned a prior business plan focusing on the development of biodegradable plastic materials. In August 2006, we acquired Midwest Energy, Inc., a Nevada corporation pursuant to a reverse merger. After the merger, Midwest Energy became a wholly-owned subsidiary, and as a result of the merger the former Midwest Energy stockholders controlled approximately 98% of our outstanding shares of common stock. We changed our name to EnerJex Resources, Inc. in connection with the merger, and in November 2007 we changed the name of Midwest Energy (now our wholly-owned subsidiary) to EnerJex Kansas, Inc. All of our current operations are conducted through EnerJex Kansas and Black Sable Energy, LLC, and our leasehold interests are held in our wholly-owned subsidiaries DD Energy, Inc., Black Sable Energy, LLC, Working Interest, LLC, and EnerJex Kansas, Inc., and in Rantoul Partners in which we held a 75% general partner interest and which we dissolved as of December 31, 2012.

Significant Developments in 2012

The following briefly describes our most significant corporate developments occurring in 2012:

- On January 23, 2012, we filed a petition seeking recovery of damages arising from breach of contract, legal malpractice, breach of fiduciary duty and fraud in the Circuit Court of Jackson County, Missouri against attorneys Jeffrey T. Haughey, Robert K. Green, and the law firm Husch Blackwell LLP f/k/a Husch Blackwell Sanders, LLC. The petition in this action, *EnerJex Resources, Inc., v. Haughey, et al.*, alleging, among other things, that the defendants violated their fiduciary duties and defrauded us in connection with our stock offering in 2008. The petition alleges economic loss of approximately \$50 million and demands judgment for unspecified actual and punitive damages together with repayment of legal fees paid of over \$484,000.
- On March 30, 2012, we amended the Rantoul Partners General Partnership Agreement to provide for the accelerated funding of capital commitments from one of the general partners, among other things. The general partner funded capital commitments of \$1,000,000 on April 1, 2012, \$1,000,000 on May 1, 2012, and \$650,000 on December 1, 2012.
- On July 10, 2012, we entered into transactions in which we hedged an additional 45,000 barrels of oil and replaced an existing oil hedge that consisted of 27,600 barrels of oil at a price of \$62.20. This was completed pursuant to our fixed price swap agreement with BP Corporation North America, Inc. Following this transaction, our outstanding hedges were as follows:

Period of Time	Barrels Per Month	Average Oil Price
July - December 2012	5,600	\$ 82.39
January - December 2013	4,900	\$ 80.00
January - December 2014	4,450	\$ 80.26
January - December 2015	4,000	\$ 81.96

- On August 15, 2012, we appointed Douglas M. Wright as our Chief Financial Officer.
- On October 5, 2012, we entered into a Share Option Agreement, effective as of August 31, 2012, with Enutroff, LLC, whereby Enutroff, LLC agreed to grant us an option to purchase up to 2,000,000 shares of common stock from Enutroff, LLC at a cash price of \$0.45 per share upon payment of a \$151,000 option fee. On December 31, 2012, we entered into a Securities and Asset Purchase Agreement, effective as of November 30, 2012, to exercise the option to purchase 2,000,000 shares of common stock.
- On November 2, 2012, our Board of Directors approved and authorized the repurchase of up to \$2.0 million of our shares of common stock. The authorization remains valid through December 31, 2013.
- On November 2, 2012, we entered into a Third Amendment to our credit facility with Texas Capital Bank. The Third Amendment i) increased our borrowing base to \$12,150,000, ii) clarified certain continuing covenants and provided a limited waiver of compliance with one of the covenants so clarified for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2011, and iii) added a provision permitting the repurchase of up to 2,000,000 shares of common stock on or before December 31, 2013, subject to certain conditions.

- During 2012, we drilled 91 oil wells and 86 secondary recovery water injection wells on our Cherokee Project acreage, including 58 oil wells and 67 secondary recovery water injection wells on the Rantoul Partner leases. We drilled two dry holes on expansion acreage in our Cherokee Project area during 2012.
- During 2012, we drilled 35 oil wells, 14 secondary recovery water injection wells, and one dry hole in our Mississippian project. The dry hole was drilled on the outer edge of our leasehold.
- On January 24, 2013, all of the general partners mutually agreed to dissolve Rantoul Partners effective December 31, 2012. Working interests in the Rantoul Partners' leases were ratably assigned to each general partner upon dissolution, and the working interests that comprised the assets of Rantoul Partners are now governed by a joint operating agreement. We received a 75% working interest in Rantoul Partners leases upon dissolution, and we concurrently amended our credit agreement with Texas Capital Bank to allow for the dissolution of Rantoul Partners.

Our Business

Our principal strategy is to acquire, develop, explore and produce domestic onshore oil properties. Our business activities are currently focused in Eastern Kansas and South Texas.

Our total net proved oil reserves as of December 31, 2012 were 2.9 million barrels of oil. Of the 2.9 million barrels of total proved reserves, approximately 53% are classified as proved developed producing and approximately 47% are classified as proved undeveloped.

The total PV10 (present value) of our proved reserves as of December 31, 2012 was approximately \$61 million. "PV10" means the estimated future gross revenue to be generated from the production of proved reserves, net of estimated production and future development and abandonment costs, using prices and costs in effect at the determination date, before income taxes, and without giving effect to non-property related expenses, discounted to a present value using an annual discount rate of 10% in accordance with the guidelines of the SEC. PV10 is a non-GAAP financial measure and generally differs from the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows, the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure, because it does not include the effects of income taxes on future net revenues. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Reserves" page 33, for a reconciliation to the comparable GAAP financial measure.

Except where noted, the discussion regarding our business in this Annual Report on Form 10-K is as of December 31, 2012.

The Opportunity in Kansas

According to the Kansas Geological Survey, the State of Kansas has historically been one of the top 10 domestic oil producing regions in the United States. Approximately 44 million barrels of oil were produced in Kansas during 2012. Twenty companies accounted for approximately 35% of the state's total oil production, with the remaining 65% accounted for by more than 3,500 producers.

In addition to significant historical oil production levels in the region, we believe that a confluence of the following factors in Eastern Kansas and the surrounding region make it an attractive area for oil development activities:

- *Numerous Acquisition Opportunities in Fragmented Markets.* The exploration and production business in Eastern Kansas is highly fragmented and consists of many small operators that operate producing oil properties on relatively small budgets. Consequently, numerous acquisition opportunities with drilling and expansion potential exist in the area.
- *Opportunity to Enhance Operational Efficiency of Mature Leases.* Many potential acquisition targets include significant opportunities for enhanced operational efficiencies and increased ultimate recoveries of oil through the application of modern engineering technologies, professional approaches to reservoir engineering and operations management, and the potential application of a number of enhanced oil recovery technologies.
- *Opportunity to Reduce Operating Costs per Barrel Through Economies of Scale.* A significant portion of expenses at the field level are fixed (primarily labor and equipment). These costs are scalable, and lease operating expenses per barrel may be significantly reduced by increasing production in current areas of operation by drilling low risk development wells, acquiring producing properties in close proximity to existing operations, and utilizing modern enhanced oil recovery technologies.
- *Large Oil Reserves in Place and Relatively Low Exploration Risk.* A majority of the oil reserves in Eastern Kansas are present at relatively shallow horizons (most at a depth of less than 3,000 feet) and contain significant volumes of oil in place. These shallow reservoirs often have relatively low reservoir pressure and lack a strong natural drive mechanism. As a result, the ultimate recovery of oil in place can be significantly increased through the application of secondary recovery technologies.

Our Kansas Properties

The table below summarizes our current Eastern Kansas acreage by project name as of December 31, 2012.

Project Name	Developed Acreage		Undeveloped Acreage		Total Acreage	
	Gross	Net ⁽¹⁾	Gross	Net ⁽¹⁾	Gross	Net ⁽¹⁾
Mississippian Project	2,840	2,556	0	0	2,840	2,556
Cherokee Project	2,419	1,680	7,875	7,050	10,293	8,730
Other	904	874	00	00	904	874
Total	6,163	5,110	7,875	7,050	14,037	12,160

(1) Net acreage is based on our net working interest as of December 31, 2012.

Mississippian Project

Our Mississippian Project is located in Woodson and Greenwood Counties in Southeast Kansas, where we owned a 90% working interest in 2,840 gross acres as of December 31, 2012. All of the leases in this project are currently held-by-production (see "Glossary" on page 16 for definition of held-by-production). In addition, we have an agreement in place to acquire a 90% working interest in approximately 1,300 adjacent acres upon fulfilling certain drilling milestones related to our existing acreage in this project. As of December 31, 2012, our Mississippian Project was producing approximately 150 gross barrels of oil per day from the Mississippian formation at a depth of approximately 1,700 feet. We drilled and completed 35 new oil wells, 14 new water injection wells, and one dry hole in this project during 2012. The dry hole was drilled on the outer edge of our leasehold. Water injection from the new injector wellbores commenced in late 2012, and we anticipate initial increases in oil production from water flood operations within six to nine months. According to the Kansas Geological Survey, the Mississippian formation has cumulatively produced more than 1 billion barrels of oil in Kansas and represents more than 25% of the state's 44 million barrels of annual oil production.

Cherokee Project

Our Cherokee Project is located in Miami and Franklin Counties in Eastern Kansas, where we owned an average working interest of 85% in 10,293 gross acres as of December 31, 2012. As of December 31, 2012, approximately 24% of our acreage position in the Cherokee project was held by production, and numerous low risk development opportunities exist on acreage that is currently undeveloped. A majority of the undeveloped leases have between two and five years remaining in the primary term and we are not currently facing any material lease expiration issues. As of December 31, 2012, our Cherokee Project was producing approximately 250 gross barrels of oil per day from the Squirrel formation at a depth of approximately 600 feet. We drilled 91 new oil wells, 86 new water injection wells, and two dry holes in this project during 2012. The two dry holes were drilled on expansion acreage. The Cherokee Project is located in the prolific Paola Rantoul Field, which according to the Kansas Geological Survey has produced approximately 30 million barrels of oil and currently produces 1,000 barrels of oil per day.

On December 14, 2011, we entered into an agreement with Viking Energy Partners, LLC and FL Oil Holdings, LLC (together the "Investors"), effective October 1, 2011, in which we formed a general partnership ("Rantoul Partners") for the purpose of owning and developing certain assets in our Cherokee Project. As part of this agreement, (i) EnerJex contributed certain assets to Rantoul Partners in exchange for an 88.25% ownership interest in Rantoul Partners, and (ii) the Investors contributed \$2.35 million to Rantoul Partners in exchange for an 11.75% ownership interest in Rantoul Partners. The Investors contributed an additional \$2.65 million throughout 2012, and the entire \$5 million of contributed capital was invested in drilling and completion operations on the Rantoul Partners leases by the end of 2012. On January 24, 2013, all of the general partners mutually agreed to dissolve Rantoul Partners effective December 31, 2012. Working interests in the Rantoul Partners leases were ratably assigned to each general partner upon dissolution of Rantoul Partners, and the working interests that comprised the assets of Rantoul Partners are now governed by a joint operating agreement. We received a 75% working interest in the Rantoul Partners leases upon dissolution.

Other

We own an average working interest of 97% in 904 gross acres located in Allen, Anderson, and Linn Counties in Eastern Kansas. As of December 31, 2012, these properties were producing approximately 15 gross barrels of oil per day from multiple formations.

The Opportunity in South Texas

Technological advances in the oil industry have made great strides over the last decade, especially in the area of drilling and completion technologies, mainly through horizontal drilling and artificial fracture stimulation. Multiple sizeable oil deposits were discovered in South Texas during previous decades, but operators lacked the technology to economically produce oil from these reservoirs at the time of discovery. The availability of modern completion technologies, coupled with the current attractive oil price environment, provides an opportunity for operators to economically produce oil from reservoirs that were discovered in the past but were not fully developed due to technology and economic constraints.

Our Texas Properties

The table below summarizes our current South Texas acreage by project name as of December 31, 2011.

Project Name	Developed Acreage		Undeveloped Acreage		Total Acreage	
	Gross	Net ⁽¹⁾	Gross	Net ⁽¹⁾	Gross	Net ⁽¹⁾
El Toro Project	458	183	2,975	1,384	3,433	1,567
Lonesome Dove Project	0	0	1,581	1,581	1,581	1,581
Total	458	183	4,556	2,965	5,014	3,148

(1) Net acreage is based on our net working interest as of December 31, 2012.

El Toro Project

Our El Toro Project is located in Atascosa and Frio Counties in South Texas. As of December 31, 2012, we owned a weighted average working interest of 46% in 3,433 gross acres, of which the majority is not currently held-by-production. As of December 31, 2012, this project was producing approximately 55 gross barrels of oil per day from the Olmos formation at a depth of approximately 4,500 feet. We did not drill any wells in this project and focused 100% of our capital budget on our Eastern Kansas projects during 2012.

Multiple oil fields surround this project, which combined have produced more than 100 million barrels of oil since the 1950's from the Olmos formation. We believe the El Toro Project acreage was neglected due to its relatively tight (low permeability) reservoir characteristics. Recent advances in stimulation technology have enabled us to drill and complete new oil wells in the El Toro project with a high degree of success. As evidence of this success, we believe that our first two wells in this project produced approximately 100% more oil during the initial 12 months of production than the best well in a directly adjacent field. This directly adjacent field was developed in the 1950's and has produced approximately 10 million barrels of oil.

We have completed 12 wells in the El Toro Project since 2009 spanning 8 miles. While petrophysical data obtained from these wells has been consistent across the project acreage, production results have been inconsistent. At least 8 of the 12 wells appear to be economic producers, and we intend to conduct more testing on additional wells that are temporarily shut-in. The 3 most recent wells completed in this project have been successful, although the costs and time lag associated with drilling and completing them significantly exceeded our expectations. This is a direct result of the high demand and limited supply of services and equipment available in the El Toro Project area due to the rapidly developing Eagle Ford Shale play. As a result of increasing costs in this project area, we have decided to focus our resources on our Eastern Kansas properties in the near term. We believe the El Toro project is potentially a horizontal drilling candidate, and we intend to study the horizontal drilling potential of this project during 2013.

Lonesome Dove Project

Our Lonesome Dove Project is located in Lee County in South Texas. As of December 31, 2012, we owned a 100% working interest in 1,581 acres targeting the Taylor Sand formation at a depth of approximately 4,000 feet. We do not have any producing wells in this project, and none of the acreage is held-by-production. Our working interest in the Lonesome Dove Project is reduced to 10% at depths below the Taylor Sand, although we have an agreement with the majority owner of the deep rights wherein we may receive a 15% carried working interest in the first deep well drilled on this acreage at no cost to us. Deeper prospective horizons underlying this acreage include the Eagle Ford Shale and the Austin Chalk formation. We are facing material lease expirations in this project during 2013, and we do not currently have plans to drill any of the Lonesome Dove acreage in the near term.

Our Business Strategy

Our principal strategy focuses on the acquisition and development of shallow oil properties that have low production decline rates and offer abundant drilling opportunities with low risk profiles. We are currently focusing our oil operations in Eastern Kansas and South Texas, with a near term focus on Eastern Kansas due to what we believe are temporary constraints of services and equipment in South Texas as a result of the rapidly developing Eagle Ford Shale play. The principal elements of our business strategy are:

- *Develop Our Existing Properties.* Creating production, cash flow, and reserve growth by developing our extensive inventory of hundreds of drilling locations that we have identified in our existing properties.
- *Maximize Operational Control.* We seek to operate and maintain a substantial working interest in the majority of our properties. We believe the ability to control our drilling inventory will provide us with the opportunity to more efficiently allocate capital, manage resources, control operating and development costs, and utilize our experience and knowledge of oilfield technologies.
- *Pursue Selective Acquisitions and Joint Ventures.* We believe our local presence in Eastern Kansas and South Texas makes us well-positioned to pursue selected acquisitions and joint venture arrangements.
- *Reduce Unit Costs Through Economies of Scale and Efficient Operations.* As we increase our oil production and develop our existing properties, we expect that our unit cost structure will benefit from economies of scale. In particular, we anticipate reducing unit costs by greater utilization of our existing infrastructure over a larger number of wells.

We continually evaluate new oil opportunities in Eastern Kansas and South Texas and plan to evaluate joint venture opportunities with partners who would contribute capital and or operational expertise to develop leases that we currently own or would acquire as part of a joint venture arrangement. This economic strategy is anticipated to allow us to expand our existing operations at attractive terms.

Our future financial results will continue to depend on:

- our ability to source and evaluate potential projects;
- our ability to discover commercial quantities of oil;
- the market price for oil;
- our ability to implement our exploration and development program, which is in part dependent on the availability of capital resources; and
- our ability to cost effectively manage our operations.

We cannot guarantee that we will succeed in any of these respects. Further, we cannot know if the price of crude oil prevailing at the time of production will be at a level allowing for profitable production, or that we will be able to obtain additional funding at terms favorable to us to increase our capital resources. A detailed description of these and other risks that could materially impact our actual results is in "Risk Factors" under ITEM 1A.

Our Board of Directors has implemented a crude oil hedging strategy that will allow management to hedge the majority of our net production.

Drilling Activity

The following table sets forth the results of our drilling activities, including both oil production and water injection wells that were drilled and completed during the year ended December 31, 2012 and the year ended December 31, 2011.

Drilling Activity

Fiscal Year	Gross Wells			Net Wells ⁽¹⁾		
	Total	Successful	Dry	Total	Successful	Dry
2011 - Exploratory	6	0	6	3.4	0	3.4
2012 - Exploratory	-2-	-0-	-2-	-1.8-	-0-	-1.8-
2011 - Development	97	97	0	79.3	79.3	0
2012 - Development	227	226	1	172.6	171.7	0.9

(1) Net wells are based on our net working interest at the end of each respective year.

Net Production, Average Sales Price and Average Production and Lifting Costs

The table below sets forth our net oil production (net of all royalties, overriding royalties and production due to others) for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the average sales prices, average production costs and direct lifting costs per unit of production.

	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011
Net Production		
Oil (Bbl)	96,842	71,729
Average Sales Prices		
Per Bbl of oil	\$ 87.74	\$ 87.63
Average Production Cost ⁽¹⁾		
Per Bbl of oil	\$ 47.95	\$ 63.77
Average Lifting Costs ⁽²⁾		
Per Bbl of oil	\$ 32.03	\$ 47.96

(1) Production costs include all operating expenses, depreciation, depletion and amortization, lease operating expenses (including price a price differentials) and all associated taxes. Impairment of oil properties is not included in production costs.

(2) Direct lifting costs do not include impairment expense or depreciation, depletion and amortization, but does include transportation costs, which is paid to our purchaser as a price differential.

Results of Oil Producing Activities

The following table shows the results of operations from our oil producing activities from the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. Results of operations from these activities have been determined using historical revenues, production costs, depreciation, depletion and amortization of the capitalized costs subject to amortization. General and administrative expenses and interest expense have been excluded from this determination.

	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011
Production revenues	\$ 8,496,519	\$ 6,285,411
Production costs	(3,102,321)	(3,440,228)
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	(1,541,069)	(1,128,712)
Results of operations for producing activities	<u>\$ 3,853,129</u>	<u>\$ 1,716,471</u>

Active Wells

The following table sets forth the number of wells that were actively producing oil or injecting water in which we owned an interest as of December 31, 2012.

Project	Active	
	Gross Oil	Net Oil ⁽¹⁾
El Toro Project	12	4.8
Mississippian Project	173	155.7
Cherokee Project	552	410.5
Other	125	109.8
Total	<u>862</u>	<u>680.8</u>

(1) Net wells are based on our net working interest as of December 31, 2012.

Reserves

Proved Reserves

Our estimated total proved PV10 (present value) before tax of reserves as of December 31, 2012 was \$60.8 million, versus \$53.2 million as of December 31, 2011. Of the 2.9 million net barrels of total proved reserves at December 31, 2012, approximately 53% are classified as proved developed and approximately 43% are classified as proved undeveloped. See "Glossary" on page 17 for our definition of PV10.

Based on an average net oil price of \$84.21 per barrel, and applying an annual discount rate of 10% to the future net cash flow, the estimated PV10 of the 2.9 million barrels, before tax, is calculated as set forth in the following table:

Summary of Proved Oil Reserves as of December 31, 2012

Proved Reserves Category	Gross STB ⁽¹⁾	Net STB ⁽²⁾	PV10 ⁽³⁾ (before tax)
Proved, Developed Producing	2,398,400	1,546,300	34,737,900
Proved, Undeveloped	1,951,600	1,380,800	26,108,400
Total Proved	<u>4,350,000</u>	<u>2,927,100</u>	<u>60,846,300</u>

- (1) STB = one stock-tank barrel.
- (2) Net STB is based upon our net revenue interest, including any applicable reversionary interest.
- (3) See "Glossary" on page 17 for our definition of PV10 and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Reserves" page 33 for a reconciliation to the comparable GAAP financial measure.

Oil Reserves Reported to Other Agencies

We did not file any estimates of total proved net oil reserves with, or include such information in reports to any federal authority or agency, other than the SEC, during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Title to Properties

We believe that we have satisfactory title to or rights in all of our producing properties. As is customary in the oil industry, minimal investigation of title is made at the time of acquisition of undeveloped properties. In most cases, we investigate title and obtain title opinions from counsel or have title reviewed by professional landmen only when we acquire producing properties or before we begin drilling operations. However, any acquisition of producing properties without obtaining title opinions are subject to a greater risk of title defects.

Our properties are subject to customary royalty interests, liens under indebtedness, liens incident to operating agreements and liens for current taxes and other burdens, including mineral encumbrances and restrictions. Further, our debt is secured by liens substantially on all of our assets. These burdens have not materially interfered with the use of our properties in the operation of our business to date, though there can be no assurance that such burdens will not materially impact our operations in the future.

Sale of Oil

We do not intend to refine our oil production. We expect to sell all or most of our production to a small number of purchasers in a manner consistent with industry practices at prevailing rates by means of long-term and short-term sales contracts, some of which may have fixed price components. In 2012 we sold oil to Coffeyville Resources, Plains Marketing LP, and Sunoco, Inc. on a month-to-month basis (i.e., without a long-term contract). We also have an ISDA master agreement and a fixed price swap with BP through December 31, 2015. Under current conditions, we should be able to find other purchasers, if needed. All of our produced oil is held in tank batteries. Each respective purchaser picks up the oil from our tank batteries and transports it by truck to refineries. In addition, our Board of Directors has implemented a crude oil hedging strategy that will allow management to hedge the majority of our net production in an effort to mitigate our exposure to changing oil prices in the intermediate term.

Secondary Recovery and Other Production Enhancement Strategies

When an oil field is first produced, the oil typically is recovered as a result of natural pressure within the producing formation, often assisted by pumps of various types. The only natural force present to move the crude oil to the wellbore is the pressure differential between the higher pressure in the formation and the lower pressure in the wellbore. At the same time, there are many factors that act to impede the flow of crude oil, depending on the nature of the formation and fluid properties, such as pressure, permeability, viscosity and water saturation. This stage of production is referred to as "primary production", which typically only recovers 5% to 15% of the crude oil originally in place in a producing formation.

Production from oil fields can often be enhanced through the implementation of "secondary recovery", also known as waterflooding, which is a method in which water is injected into the reservoir through injector wells in order to maintain or increase reservoir pressure and push oil to the adjacent producing wellbores. We utilize waterflooding as a secondary recovery technique for the majority of our oil properties in Eastern Kansas, even in the early stages of production.

As a waterflood matures over time, the fluid produced contains increasing amounts of water and decreasing amounts of oil. Surface equipment is used to separate the produced oil from water, with the oil going to holding tanks for sale and the water being re-injected into the oil reservoir.

In addition, we may utilize 3D seismic analysis, horizontal drilling, and other technologies and production techniques to improve drilling results and oil recovery, and to ultimately enhance our production and returns. We also believe use of such technologies and production techniques in exploring for, developing, and exploiting oil properties will help us reduce drilling risks, lower finding costs and provide for more efficient production of oil from our properties.

Markets and Marketing

The oil industry has experienced dramatic price volatility in recent years. As a commodity, global oil prices respond to macro-economic factors affecting supply and demand. In particular, world oil prices have risen and fallen in response to political unrest and supply uncertainty in the Middle East, and changing demand for energy in rapidly growing economies, notably India and China. North American prospects have become more attractive as oil prices have risen and as efforts to stimulate the US economy and reduce dependence on foreign oil have increased. Escalating conflicts in the Middle East and the ability of OPEC to control supply and pricing are some of the factors impacting the availability of global supply. The costs of steel and other products used to construct drilling rigs and pipeline infrastructure, as well as drilling and well-servicing rig rates, are impacted by the commodity price volatility.

Our market is affected by many factors beyond our control, such as the availability of other domestic production, commodity prices, the proximity and capacity of oil pipelines, and general fluctuations of global and domestic supply and demand. We have currently entered into two month-to-month sales contracts with Coffeerville Resources, Plains Marketing LP, and Sunoco, Inc., and we do not anticipate difficulty in finding additional sales opportunities, as and when needed.

Oil sales prices are negotiated based on factors such as the spot price or posted price for oil, price regulations, regional price variations, hydrocarbon quality, distances from wells to pipelines, well pressure, and estimated reserves. Many of these factors are outside our control. Oil prices have historically experienced high volatility, related in part to ever-changing perceptions within the industry of future supply and demand.

Competition

The oil industry is intensely competitive and we must compete against larger companies that may have greater financial and technical resources than we do and substantially more experience in our industry. These competitive advantages may better enable our competitors to sustain the impact of higher exploration and production costs, oil price volatility, productivity variances between properties, overall industry cycles and other factors related to our industry. Their advantage may also negatively impact our ability to acquire prospective properties, develop reserves, attract and retain quality personnel and raise capital.

Research and Development Activities

We have not spent a material amount of time or money in the last two years on research and development activities.

Governmental Regulations

Our oil exploration, production and related operations, when developed, are subject to extensive rules and regulations promulgated by federal, state, tribal and local authorities and agencies that impose requirements relating to the exploration and production of oil. For example, laws and regulations often address conservation matters, including provisions for the unitization or pooling of oil properties, the spacing, plugging and abandonment of wells, rates of production, water discharge, prevention of waste, and other matters. Prior to drilling, we are often required to obtain permits for drilling operations, drilling bonds and file reports concerning operations. Failure to comply with any such rules and regulations can result in substantial penalties. Moreover, laws and regulations may place burdens from previous operations on current lease owners that can be significant.

The public attention on the production of oil will most likely increase the regulatory burden on our industry and increase the cost of doing business, which may affect our profitability. Although we believe we are currently in substantial compliance with all applicable laws and regulations, because such rules and regulations are frequently amended or reinterpreted, we are unable to predict the future cost or impact of complying with such laws. Significant expenditures may be required to comply with governmental laws and regulations and may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

The price we may receive from the sale of oil will be affected by the cost of transporting products to markets. Effective January 1, 1995, FERC implemented regulations establishing an indexing system for transportation rates for oil pipelines, which, generally, would index such rates to inflation, subject to certain conditions and limitations. We are not able to predict with certainty the effect, if any, of these regulations on our intended operations. However, the regulations may increase transportation costs or reduce well head prices for oil.

Environmental Matters

Our operations and properties are subject to extensive and changing federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to environmental protection, including the generation, storage, handling, emission, transportation and discharge of materials into the environment, and relating to safety and health. The recent trend in environmental legislation and regulation generally is toward stricter standards, and this trend will likely continue.

These laws and regulations may:

- require the acquisition of a permit or other authorization before construction or drilling commences and for certain other activities;
- limit or prohibit construction, drilling and other activities on certain lands lying within wilderness and other protected areas; and
- impose substantial liabilities for pollution resulting from its operations, or due to previous operations conducted on any leased lands.

The permits required for our operations may be subject to revocation, modification and renewal by issuing authorities. Governmental authorities have the power to enforce their regulations, and violations are subject to fines or injunctions, or both. In the opinion of management, we are in substantial compliance with current applicable environmental laws and regulations, and have no material commitments for capital expenditures to comply with existing environmental requirements. Nevertheless, changes in existing environmental laws and regulations or in interpretations thereof could have a significant impact on us, as well as the oil industry in general.

The Comprehensive Environmental, Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), and comparable state statutes impose strict, joint and several liability on owners and operators of sites and on persons who disposed of or arranged for the disposal of "hazardous substances" found at such sites. It is not uncommon for the neighboring land owners and other third parties to file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by the hazardous substances released into the environment. The Federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended ("RCRA"), and comparable state statutes govern the disposal of "solid waste" and "hazardous waste" and authorize the imposition of substantial fines and penalties for noncompliance. Although CERCLA currently excludes petroleum from its definition of "hazardous substance," state laws affecting our operations may impose clean-up liability relating to petroleum and petroleum related products. In addition, although RCRA classifies certain oil field wastes as "non-hazardous", such exploration and production wastes could be reclassified as hazardous wastes thereby making such wastes subject to more stringent handling and disposal requirements.

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1972, as amended ("Clean Water Act"), and analogous state laws impose restrictions and controls on the discharge of pollutants into federal and state waters. These laws also regulate the discharge of storm water in process areas. Pursuant to these laws and regulations, we are required to obtain and maintain approvals or permits for the discharge of wastewater and storm water and develop and implement spill prevention, control and countermeasure plans, also referred to as "SPCC plans", in connection with on-site storage of greater than threshold quantities of oil. The EPA issued revised SPCC rules in July 2002 whereby SPCC plans are subject to more rigorous review and certification procedures. We believe that our operations are in substantial compliance with applicable Clean Water Act and analogous state requirements, including those relating to wastewater and storm water discharges and SPCC plans.

The Endangered Species Act, as amended ("ESA"), seeks to ensure that activities do not jeopardize endangered or threatened animal, fish and plant species, nor destroy or modify the critical habitat of such species. Under ESA, exploration and production operations, as well as actions by federal agencies, may not significantly impair or jeopardize the species or its habitat. ESA provides for criminal penalties for willful violations of the Act. Other statutes that provide protection to animal and plant species and that may apply to our operations include, but are not necessarily limited to, the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, the Fishery Conservation and Management Act, the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and the National Historic Preservation Act. Although we believe that our operations will be in substantial compliance with such statutes, any change in these statutes or any reclassification of a species as endangered could subject us to significant expenses to modify our operations or could force us to discontinue certain operations altogether.

Personnel

We currently have 20 full-time employees, including field personnel. As production and drilling activities increase or decrease, we may have to continue to adjust our technical, operational and administrative personnel as appropriate. We are using and will continue to use independent consultants and contractors to perform various professional services, particularly in the area of land services, reservoir engineering, geology, drilling, water hauling, pipeline construction, well design, well-site monitoring and surveillance, permitting and environmental assessment. We believe that this use of third-party service providers may enhance our ability to contain operating and general expenses, and capital costs.

Facilities

We currently lease our executive offices at 4040 Broadway, Suite 508, San Antonio, Texas 78209, under a lease which expires in August 2016. We also have a field office located at 2038 South Princeton St. Suite B, Ottawa, Kansas, 66067. We have corporate office space under lease at 27 Corporate Woods, Suite 350, 10975 Grandview Drive, Overland Park, Kansas 66210 that expires September 30, 2013. We are currently not occupying or operating out of this space and we have subleased the space to a third party.

GLOSSARY

Term	Definition
Barrel (Bbl)	The standard unit of measurement of liquids in the petroleum industry, it contains 42 U.S. standard gallons. Abbreviated to "bbl".
Basin	A depression in the crust of the Earth, caused by plate tectonic activity and subsidence, in which sediments accumulate. Sedimentary basins vary from bowl-shaped to elongated troughs. Basins can be bounded by faults. Rift basins are commonly symmetrical; basins along continental margins tend to be asymmetrical. If rich hydrocarbon source rocks occur in combination with appropriate depth and duration of burial, then a petroleum system can develop within the basin.
BOPD	Abbreviation for barrels of oil per day, a common unit of measurement for volume of crude oil. The volume of a barrel is equivalent to 42 U.S. standard gallons.
Carried Working Interest	The owner of this type of working interest in the drilling of a well incurs no capital contribution requirement for drilling or completion costs associated with a well and, if specified in the particular contract, may not incur capital contribution requirements beyond the completion of the well.
Completion/Completing	A well-made ready to produce oil.
Development	The phase in which a proven oil field is brought into production by drilling development wells.
Development Drilling	Wells drilled during the Development phase.
Division Order	A directive signed by the royalty owners verifying to the purchaser or operator of a well the decimal interest of production owned by the royalty owner and other working interest owners. The Division Order generally includes the decimal interest, a legal description of the property, the operator's name, and several legal agreements associated with the process. Completion of this step generally precedes placing the royalty owner or working interest owner on pay status to begin receiving revenue payments.
Drilling	Act of boring a hole through which oil may be produced.
Dry Wells	A well found to be incapable of producing hydrocarbons in sufficient quantities such that proceeds from the sale of such production exceed production expenses and taxes.
Exploration	The phase of operations which covers the search for oil generally in unproven or semi-proven territory.
Exploratory Drilling	Drilling of a relatively high percentage of properties which are unproven.
Farm Out	An arrangement whereby the owner of a lease assigns all or some portion of the lease or licenses to another company for undertaking exploration or development activity.
Field	An area consisting of a single reservoir or multiple reservoirs all grouped on, or related to, the same individual geological structural feature or stratigraphic condition. The field name refers to the surface area, although it may refer to both the surface and the underground productive formations.
Fixed Price Swap	A derivative instrument that exchanges or "swaps" the "floating" or daily price of a specified volume of oil over a specified period, for a fixed price for the specified volume over the same period (typically three months or longer).
Gathering Line/System	Pipelines and other facilities that transport oil from wells and bring it by separate and individual lines to a central delivery point for delivery into a transmission line or mainline.

Gross Acre	The number of acres in which the Company owns any working interest.
Gross Producing Well	A well in which a working interest is owned and is producing oil. The number of gross producing wells is the total number of wells producing oil in which a working interest is owned.
Gross Well	A well in which a working interest is owned. The number of gross wells is the total number of wells in which a working interest is owned.
Held-By-Production (HBP)	Refers to an oil property under lease, in which the lease continues to be in force, because of production from the property.
Horizontal drilling	A drilling technique used in certain formations where a well is drilled vertically to a certain depth and then turned and drilled horizontally. Horizontal drilling allows the wellbore to follow the desired formation.
In-Fill Wells	In-fill wells refers to wells drilled between established producing wells; a drilling program to reduce the spacing between wells in order to increase production and recovery of in-place hydrocarbons.
Oil Lease	A legal instrument executed by a mineral owner granting the right to another to explore, drill, and produce subsurface oil. An oil lease embodies the legal rights, privileges and duties pertaining to the lessor and lessee.
Lifting Costs	The expenses of producing oil from a well. Lifting costs are the operating costs of the wells including the gathering and separating equipment. Lifting costs do not include the costs of drilling and completing the wells or transporting the oil.
Net Acres	Determined by multiplying gross acres by the working interest that the Company owns in such acres.
Net Producing Wells	The number of producing wells multiplied by the working interest in such wells.
Net Revenue Interest	A share of production revenues after all royalties, overriding royalties and other nonoperating interests have been taken out of production for a well(s).
Operator	A person, acting for itself, or as an agent for others, designated to conduct the operations on its or the joint interest owners' behalf.
Overriding Royalty	Ownership in a percentage of production or production revenues, free of the cost of production, created by the lessee, company and/or working interest owner and paid by the lessee, company and/or working interest owner out of revenue from the well.
Pooled Unit	A term frequently used interchangeably with "Unitization" but more properly used to denominate the bringing together of small tracts sufficient for the granting of a well permit under applicable spacing rules.
Probable Reserves	Probable reserves are additional reserves that are less certain to be recovered than proved reserves but which, together with Proved reserves, are as likely as not to be recovered.
Proved Developed Reserves	Proved reserves that can be expected to be recovered from existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods. This definition of proved developed reserves has been abbreviated from the applicable definitions contained in Rule 4-10(a)(2-4) of Regulation S-X.
Proved Developed Non-Producing	Proved developed reserves expected to be recovered from zones behind casings in existing wells or from future production increases resulting from the effects of waterflood operations.
Proved Reserves	Proved reserves are estimated quantities of crude oil which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic, operating methods, and government regulations prior to the time at which contracts providing the right to operate expire, unless evidence indicates that renewal is reasonably certain, regardless of whether deterministic or probabilistic methods are used for the estimation. The project to extract the hydrocarbons must have commenced or the operator must be reasonably certain that it will commence the project within a reasonable time.

Proved Undeveloped Reserves	Proved undeveloped reserves are the portion of proved reserves which can be expected to be recovered from new wells on undrilled proved acreage, or from existing wells where a relatively major expenditure is required for completion. This definition of proved undeveloped reserves has been abbreviated from the applicable definitions contained in Rule 4-10(a) (2-4) of Regulation S-X.
PV10	PV10 means the estimated future gross revenue to be generated from the production of proved reserves, net of estimated production and future development and abandonment costs, using prices and costs in effect at the determination date, before income taxes, and without giving effect to non-property related expenses, discounted to a present value using an annual discount rate of 10% in accordance with the guidelines of the SEC. PV10 is a non-GAAP financial measure. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Reserves" on page 33 for a reconciliation to the comparable GAAP financial measure.
Re-completion	Completion of an existing well for production from one formation or reservoir to another formation or reservoir that exists behind casing of the same well.
Reservoir	The underground rock formation where oil has accumulated. It consists of a porous rock to hold the oil, and a cap rock that prevents its escape.
Reservoir Pressure	The pressure at the face of the producing formation when the well is shut-in. It equals the shut-in pressure at the wellhead plus the weight of the column of oil in the well.
Secondary Recovery	The stage of hydrocarbon production during which an external fluid such as water or natural gas is injected into the reservoir through injection wells located in rock that has fluid communication with production wells. The purpose of secondary recovery is to maintain reservoir pressure and to displace hydrocarbons toward the wellbore. The most common secondary recovery techniques are natural gas injection and waterflooding. Normally, natural gas is injected into the natural gas cap and water is injected into the production zone to sweep oil from the reservoir. A pressure-maintenance program can begin during the primary recovery stage, but it is a form of enhanced recovery.
Shut-In Well	A well which is capable of producing but is not presently producing. Reasons for a well being shut-in may be lack of equipment, market or other.
Stock Tank Barrel or STB	A stock tank barrel of oil is the equivalent of 42 U.S. Gallons at 60 degrees Fahrenheit.
Undeveloped Acreage	Lease acreage on which wells have not been drilled or completed to a point that would permit the production of commercial quantities of oil regardless of whether such acreage contains proved reserves.
Unitize, Unitization	When owners of oil reservoir pool their individual interests in return for an interest in the overall unit.
Waterflood	The injection of water into an oil reservoir to "push" additional oil out of the reservoir rock and into the wellbores of producing wells. Typically a secondary recovery process.
Water Injection Wells	A well in which fluids are injected rather than produced, the primary objective typically being to maintain or increase reservoir pressure, often pursuant to a waterflood.
Water Supply Wells	A well in which fluids are being produced for use in a water injection well.
Wellbore	A borehole; the hole drilled by the bit. A wellbore may have casing in it or it may be open (uncased); or part of it may be cased, and part of it may be open. Also called a borehole or hole.
Working Interest	An interest in an oil lease entitling the owner to receive a specified percentage of the proceeds of the sale of oil production or a percentage of the production, but requiring the owner of the working interest to bear the cost to explore for, develop and produce such oil.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

In the course of conducting our business operations, we are exposed to a variety of risks that are inherent to the oil industry. The following discusses some of the key inherent risk factors that could affect our business and operations. Other factors besides those discussed below or elsewhere in this report also could adversely affect our business and operations, and these risk factors should not be considered a complete list of potential risks that may affect us.

Declining economic conditions and worsening geopolitical conditions could negatively impact our business.

Our operations are affected by local, national and worldwide economic conditions. Markets in the United States and elsewhere have been experiencing extreme volatility and disruption for more than 5 years, due in part to the financial stresses affecting the liquidity of the banking system and the financial markets generally. The consequences of a potential or prolonged recession may include a lower level of economic activity and uncertainty regarding energy prices and the capital and commodity markets.

In addition, actual and attempted terrorist attacks in the United States, Middle East, Southeast Asia and Europe, and war or armed hostilities in the Middle East, Iran, North Korea or elsewhere, or the fear of such events, could further exacerbate the volatility and disruption to the financial markets and economy. The situation in Iraq and Afghanistan, tension over Iran's nuclear program, and more recently the events in Libya, Tunisia and Egypt that resulted in changes to long-standing regimes and other regimes in the Middle East and North Africa have led to further instability in the worldwide economy.

While the ultimate outcome and impact of the current economic conditions cannot be predicted, a lower level of economic activity might result in a decline in energy consumption, which may materially adversely affect the price of oil, our revenues, liquidity and future growth. Instability in the financial markets, as a result of recession or otherwise, also may affect the cost of capital and our ability to raise capital.

We have sustained losses in the past, and our future profitability is subject to many risks inherent in the oil production industry.

Our prospects must be considered in light of the risks, expenses and difficulties frequently encountered in establishing and maintaining a business in the oil industry. There is nothing conclusive at this time on which to base an assumption that our business operations will prove to be successful or that we will be able to operate profitably. Our future operating results will depend on many factors, including:

- the future prices of oil;
- our ability to raise adequate capital;
- success of our development and exploration efforts;
- our ability to manage our operations cost effectively
- effects of our hedging strategies;
- demand for oil;
- the level of our competition;
- our ability to attract and maintain key management, employees and operators;
- transportation and processing fees on our facilities;
- fuel conservation measures;
- alternate fuel requirements or advancements;
- government regulation and taxation;
- technical advances in fuel economy and energy generation devices; and
- our ability to efficiently explore, develop and produce sufficient quantities of marketable oil in a highly competitive and speculative environment while maintaining quality and controlling costs.

To achieve profitable operations, we must, alone or with others, successfully execute on the factors stated above, along with continually developing ways to enhance our production efforts. Despite our best efforts, we may not be successful in our development efforts or obtain required regulatory approvals. There is a possibility that some of our wells may never produce oil in sustainable or economic quantities.

We will need additional capital in the future to finance our planned growth, which we may not be able to raise or may be available only on terms unfavorable to us or our stockholders, which may result in our inability to fund our working capital requirements and harm our operational results.

We have and expect to continue to have substantial capital expenditure and working capital needs. We will need to rely on cash flow from operations and borrowings under our Credit Facility or raise additional cash to fund our operations, pay outstanding long-term debt, fund our anticipated reserve replacement needs and implement our growth strategy, or respond to competitive pressures and/or perceived opportunities, such as investment, acquisition, exploration, work-over and development activities.

If low oil prices, operating difficulties, constrained capital sources or other factors, many of which are beyond our control, cause our revenues or cash flows from operations to decrease, we may be limited in our ability to spend the capital necessary to complete our development, production exploitation and exploration programs. If our resources or cash flows do not satisfy our operational needs, we will require additional financing, in addition to anticipated cash generated from our operations, to fund our planned growth. Additional financing might not be available on terms favorable to us, or at all. If adequate funds were not available or were not available on acceptable terms, our ability to fund our operations, take advantage of opportunities, develop or enhance our business or otherwise respond to competitive pressures would be significantly limited. In such a capital restricted situation, we may curtail our acquisition, drilling, development, and exploration activities or be forced to sell some of our assets on an untimely or unfavorable basis. Our current plans to address a drop in crude oil prices are to maintain hedges covering a portion of our expected future oil production and to reduce both capital and operating expenditures to a level equal to or below cash flow from operations. However, our plans may not be successful in improving our results of operations and liquidity.

If we raise additional funds through the issuance of equity or convertible debt securities, the percentage ownership of our stockholders would be reduced, and these newly issued securities might have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of the securities held by our existing stockholders.

Oil prices are volatile. Future volatility may cause negative change in cash flows which may result in our inability to cover our operating or capital expenditures.

Our future revenues, profitability, future growth and the carrying value of our properties are anticipated to depend substantially on the prices we may realize for our oil production. Our realized prices may also affect the amount of cash flow available for operating or capital expenditures and our ability to borrow and raise additional capital.

Oil prices are subject to wide fluctuations in response to relatively minor changes in or perceptions regarding supply and demand. Historically, the markets for oil have been volatile, and they are likely to continue to be volatile in the future. Among the factors that can cause this volatility are:

- Commodities speculators;
- local, national and worldwide economic conditions;
- worldwide or regional demand for energy, which is affected by economic conditions;
- the domestic and foreign supply of oil;
- weather conditions;
- natural disasters;
- acts of terrorism;
- domestic and foreign governmental regulations and taxation;
- political and economic conditions in oil producing countries, including those in the Middle East and South America;
- impact of the U.S. dollar exchange rates on oil prices;
- the availability of refining capacity;
- actions of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries, or OPEC, and other state controlled oil companies relating to oil price and production controls; and
- the price and availability of other fuels.

It is impossible to predict oil price movements with certainty. A drop in oil prices may not only decrease our future revenues on a per unit basis but also may reduce the amount of oil that we can produce economically. A substantial or extended decline in oil prices may materially and adversely affect our future business enough to force us to cease our business operations. In addition, our reserves, financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and ability to finance and execute planned capital expenditures will also suffer in such a price decline.

Approximately 47% of our total proved reserves as of December 31, 2012 consist of undeveloped reserves, and those reserves may not ultimately be developed or produced.

Our estimated total proved PV10 (present value) before tax of reserves as of December 31, 2012 was \$60.8 million, versus \$53.2 million as of December 31, 2011. Of the 2.9 million net barrels of oil at December 31, 2012, approximately 53% are proved developed producing, and approximately 47% are proved undeveloped. See "Glossary" on page 17 for our definition of PV10.

Assuming we can obtain adequate capital resources, we plan to develop and produce all of our proved reserves, but ultimately some of these reserves may not be developed or produced. Furthermore, not all of our undeveloped or developed non-producing reserves may be ultimately produced in the time periods we have planned, at the costs we have budgeted, or at all.

Because we face uncertainties in estimating proved recoverable reserves, you should not place undue reliance on such reserve information.

Our reserve estimates and the future net cash flows attributable to those reserves at December 31, 2012 were prepared by MHA Petroleum Consultants LLC, an independent petroleum consultant. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of proved reserves and cash flows from such reserves, including factors beyond our control and the control of these independent consultants and engineers. Reserve engineering is a subjective process of estimating underground accumulations of oil that can be economically extracted, which cannot be measured in an exact manner. The accuracy of an estimate of quantities of reserves, or of cash flows attributable to these reserves, is a function of the available data, assumptions regarding future oil prices, expenditures for future development and exploitation activities, and engineering and geological interpretation and judgment. Reserves and future cash flows may also be subject to material downward or upward revisions based upon production history, development and exploitation activities and oil prices. Actual future production, revenue, taxes, development expenditures, operating expenses, quantities of recoverable reserves and value of cash flows from those reserves may vary significantly from the assumptions and estimates in our reserve reports. Any significant variance from these assumptions to actual figures could greatly affect our estimates of reserves, the economically recoverable quantities of oil attributable to any particular group of properties, the classification of reserves based on risk of recovery, and estimates of the future net cash flows. In addition, reserve engineers may make different estimates of reserves and cash flows based on the same available data. The estimated quantities of proved reserves and the discounted present value of future net cash flows attributable to those reserves included in this report were prepared by MHA Petroleum Consultants LLC in accordance with rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, and are not intended to represent the fair market value of such reserves.

The present value of future net cash flows from our proved reserves is not necessarily the same as the current market value of our estimated reserves. We base the estimated discounted future net cash flows from our proved reserves on prices and costs. However, actual future net cash flows from our oil properties also will be affected by factors such as:

- geological conditions;
- assumptions governing future oil prices;
- amount and timing of actual production;
- availability of funds;
- future operating and development costs;
- actual prices we receive for oil;
- changes in government regulations and taxation; and
- capital costs of drilling new wells

The timing of both our production and our incurrence of expenses in connection with the development and production of our properties will affect the timing of actual future net cash flows from proved reserves, and thus their actual present value. In addition, the 10% discount factor we use when calculating discounted future net cash flows may not be the most appropriate discount factor based on interest rates in effect from time to time and risks associated with our business or the oil industry in general.

The differential between the New York Mercantile Exchange, or NYMEX, or other benchmark price of oil and the wellhead price we receive could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

The prices that we receive for our oil production in Eastern Kansas are typically based on a discount to the relevant benchmark prices, such as NYMEX, that are used for calculating hedge positions. In South Texas, the prices that we receive for our oil production are currently based on a premium to NYMEX. The difference between the benchmark price and the price we receive is called a differential. We cannot accurately predict oil differentials. In recent years for example, production increases from competing Canadian and Rocky Mountain producers, in conjunction with limited refining and pipeline capacity from the Rocky Mountain area, have gradually widened this differential. Recent economic conditions, including volatility in the price of oil, have resulted in both increases and decreases in the differential between the benchmark price for oil and the wellhead price we receive. These fluctuations could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows by decreasing the proceeds we receive for our oil production in comparison to what we would receive if not for the differential.

The oil business involves numerous uncertainties and operating risks that can prevent us from realizing profits and can cause substantial losses.

Our development, exploitation and exploration activities may be unsuccessful for many reasons, including weather, cost overruns, equipment shortages and mechanical difficulties. Moreover, the successful drilling of an oil well does not ensure a profit on investment. A variety of factors, both geological and market-related, can cause a well to become uneconomical or only marginally economical. In addition to their cost, unsuccessful wells can hurt our efforts to replace reserves.

The oil business involves a variety of operating risks, including:

- unexpected operational events and/or conditions;
- reductions in oil prices;
- limitations in the market for oil;
- adverse weather conditions;
- facility or equipment malfunctions;
- title problems;
- oil quality issues;
- pipe, casing, cement or pipeline failures;
- natural disasters;
- fires, explosions, blowouts, surface cratering, pollution and other risks or accidents;
- environmental hazards, such as oil spills, pipeline ruptures and discharges of toxic gases;
- compliance with environmental and other governmental requirements; and
- uncontrollable flows of oil or well fluids

If we experience any of these problems, it could affect well bores, gathering systems and processing facilities, which could adversely affect our ability to conduct operations. We could also incur substantial losses as a result of:

- injury or loss of life;
- severe damage to and destruction of property, natural resources and equipment;
- pollution and other environmental damage;
- clean-up responsibilities;
- regulatory investigation and penalties;
- suspension of our operations; and
- repairs to resume operations

Because we use third-party drilling contractors to drill our wells, we may not realize the full benefit of worker compensation laws in dealing with their employees. Our insurance does not protect us against all operational risks. We do not carry business interruption insurance at levels that would provide enough funds for us to continue operating without access to other funds. For some risks, we may not obtain insurance if we believe the cost of available insurance is excessive relative to the risks presented. In addition, pollution and environmental risks generally are not fully insurable. If a significant accident or other event occurs and is not fully covered by insurance, it could impact our operations enough to force us to cease our operations.

Drilling wells is speculative, and any material inaccuracies in our forecasted drilling costs, estimates or underlying assumptions will materially affect our business.

Developing and exploring for oil involves a high degree of operational and financial risk, which precludes definitive statements as to the time required and costs involved in reaching certain objectives. The budgeted costs of drilling, completing and operating wells are often exceeded and can increase significantly when drilling costs rise due to a tightening in the supply of various types of oilfield equipment and related services. Drilling may be unsuccessful for many reasons, including geological conditions, weather, cost overruns, equipment shortages and mechanical difficulties. Moreover, the successful drilling of an oil well does not ensure a profit on investment. Exploratory wells bear a much greater risk of loss than development wells. Substantially all of our wells drilled through December 31, 2012 have been development wells. A variety of factors, both geological and market-related, can cause a well to become uneconomical or only marginally economic. Our initial drilling and development sites, and any potential additional sites that may be developed, require significant additional exploration and development, regulatory approval and commitments of resources prior to commercial development. If our actual drilling and development costs are significantly more than our estimated costs, we may not be able to continue our business operations as proposed and would be forced to modify our plan of operation.

Development of our reserves, when established, may not occur as scheduled and the actual results may not be as anticipated. Drilling activity and lack of access to economically acceptable capital may result in downward adjustments in reserves or higher than anticipated costs. Our estimates will be based on various assumptions, including assumptions over which we have control and assumptions required by the SEC relating to oil prices, drilling and operating expenses, capital expenditures, taxes and availability of funds. We have control over our operations that affect, among other things, acquisitions and dispositions of properties, availability of funds, use of applicable technologies, hydrocarbon recovery efficiency, drainage volume and production decline rates that are part of these estimates and assumptions and any variance in our operations that affects these items within our control may have a material effect on reserves. The process of estimating our oil reserves is extremely complex, and requires significant decisions and assumptions in the evaluation of available geological, geophysical, engineering and economic data for each reservoir. Our estimates may not be reliable enough to allow us to be successful in our intended business operations. Our actual production, revenues, taxes, development expenditures and operating expenses will likely vary from those anticipated. These variances may be material.

Unless we replace our oil reserves, our reserves and production will decline, which would adversely affect our cash flows and income.

Unless we conduct successful development, exploitation and exploration activities or acquire properties containing proved reserves, our proved reserves will decline as those reserves are produced. Producing oil reservoirs generally are characterized by declining production rates that vary depending upon reservoir characteristics and other factors. Our future oil production, and, therefore our cash flow and income, are highly dependent on our success in efficiently developing and exploiting our current reserves and economically finding or acquiring additional recoverable reserves. We may be unable to make such acquisitions because we are:

- unable to identify attractive acquisition candidates or negotiate acceptable purchase contracts with them;
- unable to obtain financing for these acquisitions on economically acceptable terms; or
- outbid by competitors.

If we are unable to develop, exploit, find or acquire additional reserves to replace our current and future production, our cash flow and income will decline as production declines, until our existing properties would be incapable of sustaining commercial production.

In order to exploit successfully our current oil leases and others that we acquire in the future, we will need to generate significant amounts of capital.

The oil exploration, development and production business is a capital-intensive undertaking. In order for us to be successful in acquiring, investigating, developing, and producing oil from our current mineral leases and other leases that we may acquire in the future, we will need to generate an amount of capital in excess of that generated from our results of operations. In order to generate that additional capital, we may need to obtain an expanded debt facility and issue additional shares of our equity securities. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in either obtaining that expanded debt facility or issuing additional shares of our equity securities, and our inability to generate the needed additional capital may have a material adverse effect on our prospects and financial results of operations. If we are able to issue additional equity securities in order to generate such additional capital, then those issuances may occur at prices that represent discounts to our trading price, and will dilute the percentage ownership interest of those persons holding our shares prior to such issuances. Unless we are able to generate additional enterprise value with the proceeds of the sale of our equity securities, those issuances may adversely affect the value of our shares that are outstanding prior to those issuances.

A significant portion of our potential future reserves and our business plan depend upon secondary recovery techniques to establish production. There are significant risks associated with such techniques.

We anticipate that a significant portion of our future reserves and our business plan will be associated with secondary recovery projects that are either in the early stage of implementation or are scheduled for implementation subject to availability of capital. We anticipate that secondary recovery will affect our reserves and our business plan, and the exact project initiation dates and, by the very nature of waterflood operations, the exact completion dates of such projects are uncertain. In addition, the reserves and our business plan associated with these secondary recovery projects, as with any reserves, are estimates only, as the success of any development project, including these waterflood projects, cannot be ascertained in advance. If we are not successful in developing a significant portion of our reserves associated with secondary recovery methods, then the project may be uneconomic or generate less cash flow and reserves than we had estimated prior to investing the capital. Risks associated with secondary recovery techniques include, but are not limited to, the following:

- higher than projected operating costs;
- lower-than-expected production;
- longer response times;
- higher costs associated with obtaining capital;
- unusual or unexpected geological formations;
- fluctuations in oil prices;
- regulatory changes;
- shortages of equipment; and
- lack of technical expertise.

If any of these risks occur, it could adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations.

Any acquisitions we complete are subject to considerable risk.

Even when we make acquisitions that we believe are good for our business, any acquisition involves potential risks, including, among other things:

- the validity of our assumptions about reserves, future production, revenues and costs, including synergies;
- an inability to integrate successfully the businesses we acquire;
- a decrease in our liquidity by using our available cash or borrowing capacity to finance acquisitions;
- a significant increase in our interest expense or financial leverage if we incur additional debt to finance acquisitions;
- the assumption of unknown liabilities, losses or costs for which we are not indemnified or for which our indemnity is inadequate;
- the diversion of management's attention from other business concerns;
- an inability to hire, train or retain qualified personnel to manage the acquired properties or assets;
- the incurrence of other significant charges, such as impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets, asset devaluation or restructuring charges;
- unforeseen difficulties encountered in operating in new geographic or geological areas; and
- customer or key employee losses at the acquired businesses.

Our decision to acquire a property will depend in part on the evaluation of data obtained from production reports and engineering studies, geophysical and geological analyses and seismic and other information, the results of which are often incomplete or inconclusive.

Our reviews of acquired properties can be inherently incomplete because it is not always feasible to perform an in-depth review of the individual properties involved in each acquisition. Even a detailed review of records and properties may not necessarily reveal existing or potential problems, nor will it permit a buyer to become sufficiently familiar with the properties to assess fully their deficiencies and potential. Inspections may not always be performed on every well, and environmental problems, such as ground water contamination, plugging or orphaned well liability are not necessarily observable even when an inspection is undertaken.

We must obtain governmental permits and approvals for drilling operations, which can result in delays in our operations, be a costly and time consuming process, and result in restrictions on our operations.

Regulatory authorities exercise considerable discretion in the timing and scope of permit issuances in the region in which we operate. Compliance with the requirements imposed by these authorities can be costly and time consuming and may result in delays in the commencement or continuation of our exploration or production operations and/or fines. Regulatory or legal actions in the future may materially interfere with our operations or otherwise have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, we are often required to prepare and present to federal, state or local authorities data pertaining to the effect or impact that a proposed project may have on the environment, threatened and endangered species, and cultural and archaeological artifacts. Accordingly, the permits we need may not be issued, or if issued, may not be issued in a timely fashion, or may involve requirements that restrict our ability to conduct our operations or to do so profitably.

Due to our lack of geographic diversification, adverse developments in our operating areas would materially affect our business.

We currently only lease and operate oil properties located in Eastern Kansas and South Texas. As a result of this concentration, we may be disproportionately exposed to the impact of delays or interruptions of production from these properties caused by significant governmental regulation, transportation capacity constraints, curtailment of production, natural disasters, adverse weather conditions or other events which impact this area.

We depend on a small number of customers for all, or a substantial amount of our sales. If these customers reduce the volumes of oil they purchase from us, our revenue and cash flow will decline to the extent we are not able to find new customers for our production.

We currently sell oil to two purchasers in Eastern Kansas: Coffeyville Resources and Plains Marketing, LP. There are approximately five potential purchasers of oil in Eastern Kansas, and it is not likely that there will be a large pool of available purchasers. If a key purchaser were to reduce the volume of oil it purchases from us, our revenue and cash available for operations will decline to the extent we are not able to find new customers to purchase our production at equivalent prices.

We currently sell oil to Sunoco, Inc. in South Texas. The number of purchasers in South Texas are numerous, but increased production volumes from extensive shale drilling activity in the area may result in reduced purchases by various of our purchasers.

We are not the operator of some of our properties and we have limited control over the activities on those properties.

We are not the operator of our Mississippian Project, and our dependence on the operator of this project limits our ability to influence or control the operation or future development of this project. Such limitations could materially adversely affect the realization of our targeted returns on capital related to exploration, drilling or production activities and lead to unexpected future costs.

We may suffer losses or incur liability for events for which we or the operator of a property have chosen not to obtain insurance.

Our operations are subject to hazards and risks inherent in producing and transporting oil, such as fires, natural disasters, explosions, pipeline ruptures, spills, and acts of terrorism, all of which can result in the loss of hydrocarbons, environmental pollution, personal injury claims and other damage to our and others' properties. As protection against operating hazards, we maintain insurance coverage against some, but not all, potential losses. In addition, pollution and environmental risks generally are not fully insurable. As a result of market conditions, existing insurance policies may not be renewed and other desirable insurance may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. The occurrence of an event that is not covered, or not fully covered, by insurance could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our hedging activities could result in financial losses or could reduce our available funds or income and therefore adversely affect our financial position.

To achieve more predictable cash flow and to reduce our exposure to adverse fluctuations in the prices of oil, we have entered into derivative arrangements through December 31, 2015 for volumes up to 160 barrels of oil per day that could result in both realized and unrealized hedging losses. As of December 31, 2012, we had incurred realized and unrealized gain of approximately \$56,000. The extent of our commodity price exposure is related largely to the effectiveness and scope of our derivative activities. For example, the derivative instruments we may utilize may be based on posted market prices, which may differ significantly from the actual crude oil prices we realize in our operations.

Our actual future production may be significantly higher or lower than we estimate at the time we enter into derivative transactions for such period. If the actual amount is higher than we estimate, we will have greater commodity price exposure than we intended. If the actual amount is lower than the nominal amount that is subject to our derivative financial instruments, we might be forced to satisfy all or a portion of our derivative transactions without the benefit of the cash flow from our sale or purchase of the underlying physical commodity, resulting in a substantial diminution of our liquidity. As a result of these factors, our derivative activities may not be as effective as we intend in reducing the volatility of our cash flows, and in certain circumstances may actually increase the volatility of our cash flows. In addition, while we believe our existing derivative activities are with creditworthy counterparties, deterioration in the credit markets may cause a counterparty not to perform its obligation under the applicable derivative instrument or impact their willingness to enter into future transactions with us. If that occurred, then any hedging arrangement with such counterparty would not provide any effective hedge against changes in market conditions.

Our business depends in part on processing facilities owned by others. Any limitation in the availability of those facilities could interfere with our ability to market our oil production and could harm our business.

The marketability of our oil production will depend in part on the availability, proximity and capacity of pipelines and oil processing facilities. The amount of oil that can be produced and sold is subject to curtailment in certain circumstances, such as pipeline interruptions due to scheduled and unscheduled maintenance, excessive pressure, physical damage or lack of available capacity on such systems. The curtailments arising from these and similar circumstances may last from a few days to several months. In many cases, we will be provided only with limited, if any, notice as to when these circumstances will arise and their duration. Any significant curtailment in pipeline capacity or the capacity of processing facilities could significantly reduce our ability to market our oil production and could materially harm our business.

Cost and availability of drilling rigs, equipment, supplies, personnel and other services could adversely affect our ability to execute on a timely basis our development, exploitation and exploration plans.

Shortages or an increase in cost of drilling rigs, equipment, supplies or personnel could delay or interrupt our operations, which could impact our financial condition and results of operations. Drilling activity in the geographic areas in which we conduct drilling activities may increase, which would lead to increases in associated costs, including those related to drilling rigs, equipment, supplies and personnel and the services and products of other vendors to the industry. Increased drilling activity in these areas may also decrease the availability of rigs. We do not have any contracts for drilling rigs and drilling rigs may not be readily available when we need them. Drilling and other costs may increase further and necessary equipment and services may not be available to us at economical prices.

Our exposure to possible leasehold defects and potential title failure could materially adversely impact our ability to conduct drilling operations.

We obtain the right and access to properties for drilling by obtaining oil leases either directly from the hydrocarbon owner, or through a third party that owns the lease. The leases may be taken or assigned to us without title insurance. There is a risk of title failure with respect to such leases, and such title failures could materially adversely impact our business by causing us to be unable to access properties to conduct drilling operations.

Our reserves are subject to the risk of depletion because many of our leases are in mature fields that have produced large quantities of oil to date.

A significant portion of our operations are located in or near established fields in Eastern Kansas and South Texas. As a result, many of our leases are in, or directly offset, areas that have produced large quantities of oil to date. As such, our reserves may be negatively impacted by offsetting wells or previously drilled wells, which could significantly harm our business.

Our lease ownership may be diluted due to financing strategies we may employ in the future.

To accelerate our development efforts we may take on working interest partners who will contribute to the costs of drilling and completion operations and then share in any cash flow derived from production. In addition, we may in the future, due to a lack of capital or other strategic reasons, establish joint venture partnerships or farm out all or part of our development efforts. These economic strategies may have a dilutive effect on our lease ownership and could significantly reduce our operating revenues.

We may face lease expirations on leases that are not currently held-by-production.

We have numerous leases that are not currently held-by-production, some of which have near term lease expirations and are likely to expire. Although we believe that we can maintain our most desirable leases by conducting drilling operations or by negotiating lease extensions, we can make no guarantee that we can maintain these leases.

We are subject to complex laws and regulations, including environmental regulations, which can adversely affect the cost, manner or feasibility of doing business.

Development, production and sale of oil in the United States are subject to extensive laws and regulations, including environmental laws and regulations. We may be required to make large expenditures to comply with environmental and other governmental regulations. Matters subject to regulation include, but are not limited to:

- location and density of wells;
- the handling of drilling fluids and obtaining discharge permits for drilling operations;
- accounting for and payment of royalties on production from state, federal and Indian lands;
- bonds for ownership, development and production of oil properties;
- transportation of oil by pipelines;
- operation of wells and reports concerning operations; and
- taxation.

Under these laws and regulations, we could be liable for personal injuries, property damage, oil spills, discharge of hazardous materials, remediation and clean-up costs and other environmental damages. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations also may result in the suspension or termination of our operations and subject us to administrative, civil and criminal penalties. Moreover, these laws and regulations could change in ways that substantially increase our costs. Accordingly, any of these liabilities, penalties, suspensions, terminations or regulatory changes could materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations enough to possibly force us to cease our business operations.

Our operations may expose us to significant costs and liabilities with respect to environmental, operational safety and other matters.

We may incur significant costs and liabilities as a result of environmental and safety requirements applicable to our oil production activities. We may also be exposed to the risk of costs associated with Kansas Corporation Commission and / or the Texas Railroad Commission requirements to plug orphaned and abandoned wells on our oil leases from wells previously drilled by third parties. In addition, we may indemnify sellers or lessors of oil properties for environmental liabilities they or their predecessors may have created. These costs and liabilities could arise under a wide range of federal, state and local environmental and safety laws and regulations, including regulations and enforcement policies, which have tended to become increasingly strict over time. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of administrative, civil and criminal penalties, imposition of cleanup and site restoration costs, liens and to a lesser extent, issuance of injunctions to limit or cease operations. In addition, claims for damages to persons or property may result from environmental and other impacts of our operations.

Strict, joint and several liability may be imposed under certain environmental laws, which could cause us to become liable for the conduct of others or for consequences of our own actions that were in compliance with all applicable laws at the time those actions were taken. New laws, regulations or enforcement policies could be more stringent and impose unforeseen liabilities or significantly increase compliance costs. If we are not able to recover the resulting costs through insurance or increased revenues, our ability to operate effectively could be adversely affected.

We operate in a highly competitive environment and our competitors may have greater resources than do we.

The oil industry is intensely competitive and we compete with other companies, many of which are larger and have greater financial, technological, human and other resources. Many of these companies not only explore for and produce crude oil but also carry on refining operations and market petroleum and other products on a regional, national or worldwide basis. Such companies may be able to pay more for productive oil properties and exploratory prospects or define, evaluate, bid for and purchase a greater number of properties and prospects than our financial or human resources permit. In addition, such companies may have a greater ability to continue exploration activities during periods of low oil market prices. Our ability to acquire additional properties and to discover reserves in the future will be dependent upon our ability to evaluate and select suitable properties and to consummate transactions in a highly competitive environment. If we are unable to compete, our operating results and financial position may be adversely affected.

We may incur substantial write-downs of the carrying value of our oil properties, which would adversely impact our earnings.

We review the carrying value of our oil properties under the full-cost accounting rules of the SEC on a quarterly basis. This quarterly review is referred to as a ceiling test. Under the ceiling test, capitalized costs, less accumulated amortization and related deferred income taxes, may not exceed an amount equal to the sum of the present value of estimated future net revenues (adjusted for cash flow hedges) less estimated future expenditures to be incurred in developing and producing the proved reserves, less any related income tax effects. In calculating future net revenues, current prices and costs used are those as of the end of the appropriate quarterly period. Such prices are utilized except where different prices are fixed and determinable from applicable contracts for the remaining term of those contracts, including the effects of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges. Two primary factors impacting this test are reserve levels and current prices, and their associated impact on the present value of estimated future net revenues. Revisions to estimates of oil reserves and/or an increase or decrease in prices can have a material impact on the present value of estimated future net revenues. Any excess of the net book value, less deferred income taxes, is generally written off as an expense. Under SEC regulations, the excess above the ceiling is not expensed (or is reduced) if, subsequent to the end of the period, but prior to the release of the financial statements, oil prices increase sufficiently such that an excess above the ceiling would have been eliminated (or reduced) if the increased prices were used in the calculations.

In December 2008, the SEC issued new regulations for oil reserve reporting were effective for years ending on or after December 31, 2009. One of the key elements of the new regulations relate to the commodity prices which are used to calculate reserves and their present value. The new regulations provide for disclosure of oil reserves evaluated using annual average prices based on the prices in effect on the first day of each month rather than the current regulations which utilize commodity prices on the last day of the year.

There was no impairment for the year ended December 31, 2012 or for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Risks Associated with our Debt Financing

Significant and prolonged declines in commodity prices may negatively impact our borrowing base and our ability to borrow overall.

Our borrowing base, which is based on our oil reserves and is subject to review and adjustment on a semi-annual basis and other interim adjustments, may be reduced when it is reviewed. A reduction in our base results in a "loan excess" which is required to be eliminated through payment of a portion of the loan and/or cash collateralization of Letters of Credit obligations; or adding properties to the borrowing base sufficient to offset the "loan excess". A reduction in our borrowing base or the ability to borrow under our Credit Facility, combined with a reduction in cash flow from operations resulting from a decline in oil prices, may require us to further reduce our capital expenditures and our operating activities.

Until we repay the full amount of our outstanding Credit Facility, we may continue to have substantial indebtedness, which is secured by substantially all of our assets.

On December 31, 2012, approximately \$8,500,000 of bank loans were outstanding. If we defaulted in our obligations with respect to the secured debt, the lenders may enforce their rights as secured parties and we may lose all or a portion of our assets or be forced to materially reduce our business activities.

Our substantial indebtedness could make it more difficult for us to fulfill our obligations under our Credit Facility and, therefore, adversely affect our business.

On November 2, 2012, we entered into a Third Amendment to our Credit Facility with the Texas Capital Bank that increased our borrowing base to \$12,150,000. As of December 31, 2012, we had total indebtedness of \$8,500,000 under the Credit Facility. Our substantial indebtedness, and the related interest expense, could have important consequences to us, including:

- our ability to borrow additional amounts for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, execution of our business strategy, or other general corporate purposes;
- being forced to use cash flow to reduce our outstanding balance as a result of an unfavorable borrowing base redetermination;
- our ability to use operating cash flow in other areas of our business because we must dedicate a substantial portion of these funds to service our indebtedness;
- increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;
- placing us at a competitive disadvantage as compared to our competitors that have less leverage;
- our ability to capitalize on business opportunities and to react to competitive pressures and changes in government regulation;
- our ability to, or increasing the cost of, refinancing our indebtedness; and
- our ability to enter into marketing, hedging, optimization and trading transactions by reducing the number of counterparties with whom we can enter into such transactions as well as the volume of those transactions.

The covenants in our Credit Facility impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us.

The Credit Facility imposes significant operating and financial restrictions on us. These restrictions limit our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries, among other things, to:

- incur additional indebtedness and provide additional guarantees;
- pay dividends and make other restricted payments;
- create or permit certain liens;
- use the proceeds from the sales of our oil properties;
- use the proceeds from the unwinding of certain financial hedges;
- engage in certain transactions with affiliates; and
- consolidate, merge, sell or transfer all or substantially all of our assets or the assets of our subsidiaries.

The Credit Facility also contains various affirmative covenants with which we are required to comply. We were in compliance with these covenants as of December 31, 2012. We may be unable to comply with some or all of these covenants in the future. If we do not comply with these covenants and are unable to obtain waivers from our lenders, we would be unable to make additional borrowings under these facilities; our indebtedness under these agreements would be in default and repayment of debt could be accelerated by our lenders. If our indebtedness is accelerated, we may not be able to repay our indebtedness or borrow sufficient funds to refinance it. In addition, if we incur additional indebtedness in the future, we may be subject to additional covenants, which may be more restrictive than those to which we are currently subject.

Risks Associated with our Common Stock

We do not expect to pay dividends to holders of our common stock because of the terms of our debt facility, the terms of our Series A preferred stock, and our need to reinvest cash flow from operations in our business.

It is unlikely that we will pay any dividends to the holders of our common stock in the foreseeable future. The terms of our debt facility require that the lender approve any such distributions, and the lender is unlikely to provide that consent so long as we have significant unpaid indebtedness outstanding. In addition, in the transactions that closed as of December 31, 2010, we issued shares of Series A preferred stock. The terms of that Series A preferred stock require that we pay to the holders of those shares cumulative distributions of \$4,779,460 before making any distributions to the holders of our common stock, unless we concurrently pay to holders of Series A preferred stock a dividend in like amount, on an as-converted-to-common stock basis. Those distributions to the holders of our Series A preferred stock are to be made from one-third of our available adjusted cash from operations, which is our net cash flow from operations less principal repaid to our lender. We presently are unsure how many calendar quarters of operations we will need in order to complete the preferential payments due to the holders of our Series A preferred stock. Even after we complete those distributions, we are likely to elect to retain and reinvest any available cash flow from operations, rather than funding dividend distributions to holders of our common stock.

There are a limited number of stockholders who have significant control over our common stock, allowing them to have significant influence over the outcome of all matters submitted to stockholders for approval, which may conflict with our interests and the interests of other stockholders.

Our directors, officers and principal stockholders (stockholders owning 10% or more of our common stock) and their affiliates beneficially owned approximately 45,181,037 shares or 66.6%, of the outstanding shares of common stock, stock options, and derivatives that could have been converted to common stock at December 31, 2012, and such stockholders will have significant influence over the outcome of all matters submitted to our stockholders for approval, including the election of directors and other corporate actions.

Two of our Directors, R. Atticus Lowe and Lance Helfert, serve on the investment committee of West Coast Asset Management, Inc. West Coast Asset Management is the managing member of West Coast Opportunity Fund, LLC, a private investment vehicle formed for the purpose of investing in a wide variety of securities and financial instruments. West Coast Asset Management's principals also manage Montecito Venture Partners, LLC. West Coast Opportunity Fund and Montecito Venture Partners, LLC together beneficially own 42.8% of our common stock and 50.6% of our Series A preferred stock.

In addition, we engage from time to time in transactions with certain of these significant stockholders.

On December 31, 2010, we entered into a Securities Purchase and Asset Acquisition Agreement with West Coast Opportunity Fund, Montecito Venture Partners, and certain other parties under which we acquired certain assets in exchange for an aggregate 61,618,991 shares of common stock, 4,779,460 shares of Series A preferred stock, and \$1,500,000 cash, as further indicated in Note 2 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Under the Securities Purchase and Asset Acquisition Agreement, Montecito Venture Partners acquired 15,595,540 shares of common stock and 4,779,460 shares of Series A Preferred Stock, and West Coast Opportunity Fund acquired 10,550,415 shares of common stock.

On December 31, 2010, we also entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with Montecito Venture Partners pursuant to which we sold to Montecito Venture Partners 5,025,000 shares of common stock for \$2,010,000 in cash, upon the same terms and conditions as the remaining parties, as further indicated in Note 2 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our large stockholders may have interests that differ from those of other stockholders.

As stated above, West Coast Opportunity Fund and Montecito Venture Partners, affiliates of our Directors Mr. Lowe and Mr. Helfert, beneficially own, as of December 31, 2012, 42.8% of our outstanding common stock and 50.6% of our outstanding Series A preferred stock.

The interests of West Coast Opportunity Fund and Montecito Venture Partners, and their affiliates, may differ from those of our other stockholders. West Coast Opportunity Fund and Montecito Venture Partners, and their affiliates are in the business of making investments in companies and maximizing the return on those investments. They currently have, and may from time to time in the future acquire, interests in businesses that directly or indirectly compete with certain aspects of our business or our suppliers' or customers' businesses.

These stockholders' significant ownership of our voting stock may enable them to influence or effectively control us.

The holders of our outstanding shares of Series A Preferred Stock have dividend, conversion and other rights not shared with common stock holders.

As of April 10, 2013, we had 67,836,529 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding, as well as 4,779,460 shares of our Series A preferred stock issued and outstanding.

So long as any shares of Series A preferred stock are outstanding, we are required to declare dividends each calendar quarter in an aggregate amount equal to one-third of our adjusted net cash from operating activities reduced by any principal amount of debt repayment in such calendar quarter to our institutional lenders and any other secured creditors. This right restricts our ability to use a portion of our net cash flow for other purposes such as developing our assets, strategic acquisitions, and dividends, and has other important consequences to us, including the potential to adversely affect:

- our ability to borrow additional amounts for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, execution of our business strategy, or other general corporate purposes;
- our ability to use a portion of our operating cash flow in other areas of our business because we must dedicate a substantial portion of these funds to pay dividends;
- our ability to capitalize on business opportunities and to react to competitive pressures and changes in government regulation; and;
- our ability to, or increasing the cost of, refinancing our indebtedness

In addition, we cannot declare any dividends with regard to our common stock unless we concurrently pay to holders of Series A preferred stock a dividend in like amount, on an as-converted to common stock basis.

The Series A preferred stock is convertible into 4,779,460 shares of our common stock, and the Series A preferred stock, by its terms, shall convert into common stock on a one-to-one basis (subject to adjustment) once we have paid cumulative dividends of \$4,779,460 with regard to such Series A preferred stock. To date, we have paid cumulative dividends of \$489,960 to the holders of our Series A preferred stock, and the holders of those shares are entitled to receive an additional \$4,289,000 of distributions prior to the conversion of those Series A preferred stock to common stock. The Series A preferred stock is convertible into common stock on a one-for-one basis, and upon conversion of the shares of Series A preferred stock, the common stock issued upon conversion would represent approximately 6.6% of our outstanding common stock. This would dilute the holdings of our existing common stockholders. In addition, the preferred stockholders vote together with our common stockholders, as a single class on an as-converted-to basis.

Furthermore, in the event of liquidation, the holders of our Series A preferred stock would receive priority liquidation payments before payments to common stockholders equal to the liquidation amount of the preferred stock before any distributions would be made to our common stockholders. The current total liquidation amount of our Series A preferred stock is approximately \$4,289,500, so the preferred shareholders would be entitled to receive that amount before any distributions could be made to common stockholders.

Lastly, the preferred stockholders have the right, by majority vote of the shares of preferred stock, to generally approve any issuances by us of equity that is senior to or equal in rights to the preferred stock. Therefore, the preferred stockholders can effectively bar us from entering into a transaction which they feel is not in their best interests even if the transaction would otherwise be in the best interests of EnerJex and its common stockholders.

We have derivative securities currently outstanding and we may issue derivative securities in the future. Exercise of the derivatives will cause dilution to existing and new stockholders.

The exercise of our outstanding options and warrants, will cause additional shares of common stock to be issued, resulting in dilution to our existing and future common stockholders

We have the ability to issue additional shares of our common stock and shares of preferred stock without asking for stockholder approval, which could cause your investment to be diluted.

Our articles of incorporation authorize the Board of Directors to issue up to 100,000,000 shares of common stock and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock. The power of the Board of Directors to issue shares of common stock, preferred stock or warrants or options to purchase shares of common stock or preferred stock is generally not subject to shareholder approval. Accordingly, any additional issuance of our common stock, or preferred stock that may be convertible into common stock, or debt instruments that may be convertible into common or preferred stock, may have the effect of diluting one's investment.

Our common stock is traded on an illiquid market, making it difficult for investors to sell their shares.

Our common stock trades on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board (OTCBB) under the symbol "ENRJ," but trading volume has been minimal. Therefore, the market for our common stock is limited. The trading price of our common stock could be subject to wide fluctuations. Investors may not be able to purchase additional shares or sell their shares within the time frame or at a price they desire.

The price of our common stock may be volatile and you may not be able to resell your shares at a favorable price.

Regardless of whether an active trading market for our common stock develops, the market price of our common stock may be volatile and you may not be able to resell your shares at or above the price you paid for such shares. Many factors beyond our control, including but not limited to the following factors could affect our stock price:

- our operating and financial performance and prospects;
- quarterly variations in the rate of growth of our financial indicators, such as net income or loss per share, net income or loss and revenues;
- changes in revenue or earnings estimates or publication of research reports by analysts about us or the exploration and production industry;
- potentially limited liquidity;
- actual or anticipated variations in our reserve estimates and quarterly operating results;
- changes in oil prices;
- sales of our common stock by significant stockholders and future issuances of our common stock;
- increases in our cost of capital;
- changes in applicable laws or regulations, court rulings and enforcement and legal actions;
- commencement of or involvement in litigation;
- changes in market valuations of similar companies;
- additions or departures of key management personnel;
- general market conditions, including fluctuations in and the occurrence of events or trends affecting the price of oil; and
- domestic and international economic, legal and regulatory factors unrelated to our performance.

Our articles of incorporation, bylaws and Nevada Law contain provisions that could discourage an acquisition or change of control of us.

Our articles of incorporation authorize our Board of Directors to issue preferred stock and common stock without stockholder approval. The election by our Board of Directors to issue Series A preferred stock, and any future election to issue more preferred stock, could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of us. In addition, provisions of the articles of incorporation and bylaws could also make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of us. In addition, Nevada's "Combination with Interested Stockholders' Statute" and its "Control Share Acquisition Statute" may have the effect in the future of delaying or making it more difficult to effect a change in control of us.

These statutory anti-takeover measures may have certain negative consequences, including an effect on the ability of our stockholders or other individuals to (i) change the composition of the incumbent Board of Directors; (ii) benefit from certain transactions which are opposed by the incumbent Board of Directors; and (iii) make a tender offer or attempt to gain control of us, even if such attempt were beneficial to us and our stockholders. Since such measures may also discourage the accumulations of large blocks of our common stock by purchasers whose objective is to seek control of us or have such common stock repurchased by us or other persons at a premium, these measures could also depress the market price of our common stock. Accordingly, our stockholders may be deprived of certain opportunities to realize the "control premium" associated with take-over attempts.

We have no plans to pay dividends on our common stock. You may not receive funds without selling your stock.

We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. We currently intend to retain future earnings, if any, to finance the expansion of our business. Our future dividend policy with regard to our common stock is within the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon various factors, including our business, financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements, investment opportunities and restrictions imposed by our debentures and Credit Facility.

Because our common stock is deemed a low-priced "Penny" stock, an investment in our common stock should be considered high risk and subject to marketability restrictions.

Our common stock is currently deemed to be a penny stock, as defined in Rule 3a51-1 under the Securities Exchange Act, which may make it more difficult for investors to liquidate their investment even if and when a market develops for the common stock. Until the trading price of the common stock consistently trades above \$5.00 per share, if ever, trading in the common stock may be subject to the penny stock rules of the Securities Exchange Act specified in rules 15g-1 through 15g-10. Those rules require broker-dealers, before effecting transactions in any penny stock, to:

- Deliver to the customer, and obtain a written receipt for, a disclosure document;
- Disclose certain price information about the stock;
- Disclose the amount of compensation received by the broker-dealer or any associated person of the broker-dealer;
- Send monthly statements to customers with market and price information about the penny stock; and
- In some circumstances, approve the purchaser's account under certain standards and deliver written statements to the customer with information specified in the rules.

Consequently, the penny stock rules may restrict the ability or willingness of broker-dealers to sell the common stock and may affect the ability of holders to sell their common stock in the secondary market and the price at which such holders can sell any such securities. These additional procedures could also limit our ability to raise additional capital in the future.

If we fail to remain current on our reporting requirements, we could be removed from the OTC Bulletin Board, which would limit the ability of broker-dealers to sell our securities and the ability of stockholders to sell their securities in the secondary market.

Companies trading on the OTCBB, such as us, must be reporting issuers under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and must be current in their reports under Section 13, in order to maintain price quotation privileges on the OTC Bulletin Board. More specifically, FINRA, which regulates trading on the OTC Bulletin Board, has enacted Rule 6530, which determines eligibility of issuers quoted on the OTCBB by requiring an issuer to be current in its filings with the Commission. Pursuant to Rule 6530(e), if we file our reports late with the Commission three times in a two-year period or our securities are removed from the OTCBB for failure to timely file twice in a two-year period then we will be ineligible for quotation on the OTCBB. As a result, the market liquidity for our securities could be severely adversely affected by limiting the ability of broker-dealers to sell our securities and the ability of stockholders to sell their securities in the secondary market.

FINRA sales practice requirements may limit a stockholder's ability to buy and sell our stock.

In addition to the "penny stock" rules described above, FINRA has adopted rules that require that in recommending an investment to a customer, a broker-dealer must have reasonable grounds for believing that the investment is suitable for that customer. Prior to recommending speculative low priced securities to their non-institutional customers, broker-dealers must make reasonable efforts to obtain information about the customer's financial status, tax status, investment objectives and other information. Under interpretations of these rules, the FINRA believes that there is a high probability that speculative low priced securities will not be suitable for at least some customers. The FINRA requirements make it more difficult for broker-dealers to recommend that their customers buy our common stock, which may limit your ability to buy and sell our stock and have an adverse effect on the market for our shares.

Additional Risks and Uncertainties

We are an oil acquisition, exploration and development company. If any of the risks that we face actually occur, irrespective of whether those risks are described in this section or elsewhere in this report, our business, financial condition and operating results could be materially adversely affected.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We may become involved in various routine legal proceedings incidental to our business. However, to our knowledge as of the date of this transition report, there are no materials pending legal proceedings to which we are a party or to which any of our property is subject.

On January 23, 2012, we filed a petition seeking recovery of damages arising from breach of contract, legal malpractice, breach of fiduciary duty and fraud in the Circuit Court of Jackson County, Missouri against attorneys Jeffrey T. Haughey, Robert K. Green, and the law firm Husch Blackwell LLP f/k/a Husch Blackwell Sanders, LLC. The petition in this action, *EnerJex Resources, Inc., v. Haughey, et al.*, alleges, among other things, that the defendants violated their fiduciary duties and defrauded us in connection with our stock offering in 2008. The petition alleges economic loss of approximately \$50 million and demands judgment for unspecified actual and punitive damages together with repayment of legal fees paid of over \$484,000. There can be no assurance of the outcome in the litigation, including whether and in what amount we may recover damages.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

Market Information for Common Stock

Our common stock currently trades on the OTC: BB under the symbol "ENRJ." Our common stock has traded infrequently on the OTC: BB, which limits our ability to locate accurate high and low bid prices for each quarter within the last two years. Therefore, the following table lists the quotations for the high and low sales prices of our common stock for the year ended December 31, 2011 and for the year ended on December 31, 2012. The quotations reflect inter-dealer prices without retail mark-up, markdown, or commissions and may not represent actual transactions. The market price of our common stock has been volatile. For an additional discussion, see "Item 1A: Risk Factors" of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	High	Low
Year Ended December 31, 2011		
Quarter ended March 31, 2011	\$ 1.30	\$ 0.30
Quarter ended June 30, 2011	\$ 1.28	\$ 0.63
Quarter ended September 30, 2011	\$ 0.85	\$ 0.20
Quarter ended December 31, 2011	\$ 0.90	\$ 0.22
Year Ended December 31, 2012		
Quarter ended March 31, 2012	\$ 0.90	\$ 0.70
Quarter ended June 30, 2012	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.60
Quarter ended September 30, 2012	\$ 0.74	\$ 0.60
Quarter ended December 31, 2012	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.46

As of April 10, 2013, there were 1,242 holders of record of our common stock, and 15 holders of record of our Series A preferred stock.

We have never paid or declared any cash dividends on our common stock. We are required by the terms of our Series A preferred stock to declare dividends each calendar quarter in an aggregate amount equal to one-third of our adjusted net cash from operating activities reduced by any principal amount of debt repayment in such calendar quarter to institutional lenders and other secured creditors. This right is senior to the rights of common stockholders to receive dividend payments. We currently intend to retain any future earnings in excess of debt repayments and Series A preferred stock dividends to finance the growth and development of our business and we do not expect to pay any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. In addition, we are contractually prohibited by the terms of our outstanding debt from paying cash dividends on our common stock. Payment of future dividends on common stock, if any, will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements, restrictions contained in current or future financing instruments, including the consent of debt holders and holders of Series A preferred stock, if applicable at such time, and other factors our Board of Directors deems relevant.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

2000/2001 Stock Option Plan

The Board of Directors approved the 2000/2001 Stock Option Plan and our stockholders ratified the plan on September 25, 2000. The total number of options that can be granted under the plan is 200,000 shares and all such shares were previously granted to the former Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Cochennet. On August 3, 2009, we exchanged these outstanding options for 50,000 shares of our restricted common stock. Therefore, all 200,000 shares reserved for issuance under this plan are again available for issuance.

Stock Incentive Plan

The Board of Directors approved the EnerJex Resources, Inc. Stock Option Plan on August 1, 2002 (the "2002-2003 Stock Option Plan"). Originally, the total number of options that could be granted under the 2002-2003 Stock Option Plan was not to exceed 400,000 shares. In September 2007 our stockholders approved a proposal to amend and restate the 2002-2003 Stock Option Plan to increase the number of shares issuable to 1,000,000. On October 14, 2008 our stockholders approved a proposal to amend and restate the 2002-2003 Stock Option Plan to (i) rename it the EnerJex Resources, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan (the "Stock Incentive Plan"), (ii) increase the maximum number of shares of our common stock that may be issued under the Stock Incentive Plan from 1,000,000 to 1,250,000, and (iii) add restricted stock as an eligible award that can be granted under the Stock Incentive Plan.

We had previously granted 238,500 options under this plan. On August 3, 2009, we exchanged all 238,500 outstanding options for 59,700 shares of our restricted common stock. In addition, we granted 151,750 shares of restricted common stock under the Stock Incentive Plan to employees for fiscal 2009 bonuses and 59,300 shares to our officers and directors for the prior rescission of stock options in fiscal 2008. There are currently 900,000 options outstanding under this plan. We approved the issuance 785,000 additional options to new employees.

Stockholder Approval of New Stock Incentive Plan

Because there are not available under our existing 2000/2001 Stock Option Plan or our 2002-2003 Stock Option Plan sufficient shares to cover options that we intend to grant, and because those existing plans are dated and would not allow us to grant tax-qualified incentive stock options, we intend to seek stockholder approval of a new stock incentive plan and to reserve thereunder up to approximately 5,000,000 shares of our common stock for the granting of options and issuance of restricted shares to our employees, officers, directors, and consultants. We have entered into an agreement with Douglas M. Wright, our chief financial officer, that if he is employed with us when that plan has been approved by our stockholders, then we will grant to him under the new stock incentive plan an option for the purchase of 750,000 shares of stock, subject to a vesting arrangement.

General Terms of Plans

Officers (including officers who are members of the Board of Directors), directors, and other employees and consultants and our subsidiaries (if established) will be eligible to receive awards under the 2000/2001 Stock Option Plan and the Stock Incentive Plan. A committee of the Board of Directors will administer the plans and will determine those persons to whom awards will be granted, the number of and type of awards to be granted, the provisions applicable to each grant and the time periods during which the awards may be exercised. No awards may be granted more than ten years after the date of the adoption of the plans.

Non-qualified stock options will be granted by the committee with an option price equal to the fair market value of the shares of common stock to which the non-qualified stock option relates on the date of grant. The committee may, in its discretion, determine to price the non-qualified option at a different price. In no event may the option price with respect to an incentive stock option granted under the plans be less than the fair market value of such common stock to which the incentive stock option relates on the date the incentive stock option is granted. However the price of an incentive stock option will not be less than 110% of the fair market value per share on the date of the grant in the case of an individual then owning more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock of the corporation.

Each option granted under the plans will be exercisable for a term of not more than ten years after the date of grant. Certain other restrictions will apply in connection with the plans when some awards may be exercised.

Restricted stock will have full dividend, voting and other ownership rights, unless otherwise indicated in the applicable award agreement pursuant to which it is granted. If any dividends or distributions are paid in shares of common stock during the restricted period, the applicable award agreement may provide that such shares will be subject to the same restrictions as the restricted stock with respect to which they were paid.

These plans are intended to encourage directors, officers, employees and consultants to acquire ownership of common stock. The opportunity so provided is intended to foster in participants a strong incentive to put forth maximum effort for our continued success and growth, to aid in retaining individuals who put forth such effort, and to assist in attracting the best available individuals in the future.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

The Board of Directors authorized the issuance of the following share amounts: i) 40,000 shares of common stock to an employee of the company as a bonus for services, ii) 60,000 shares to an investor relations firm in exchange for services, and iii) 75,000 shares to a director of the Company for services rendered to the Board of Directors.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Effective November 30, 2012, we purchased 2,000,000 shares of stock from a stockholder of the Company for \$323,035 in cash (including an option payment we previously made to the selling stockholder) and a note payable in the amount of \$825,000 bearing an interest rate of 0.24% per year.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

Not applicable.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section should read in conjunction with the other sections of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including "Item 1: Business" and "Item 8: Financial Statements and Supplementary Data". This section includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. Forward-looking statements such as "will", "believe," "are projected to be" and similar expressions are statements regarding future events or our future performance, and include statements regarding projected operating results. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, beliefs, intentions, strategies, forecasts and assumptions and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated by these forward-looking statements. These risks include, but are not limited to: our ability to deploy capital in a manner that maximizes stockholder value; the ability to identify suitable acquisition candidates or business and investments opportunities; the ability to reduce our operating costs; general economic conditions and our expected liquidity in future periods. These forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and could be affected by the uncertainties and risk factors described throughout this filing and particularly in the "Risk Factors" set forth in Part I, Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. As a result, our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements.

Overview

Our principal strategy is to develop, acquire, explore and produce domestic onshore oil properties. Our business activities are currently focused in Eastern Kansas and South Texas.

Results of Operations

The following table presents selected information regarding our operating results from continuing operations.

Income:

	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011	Difference
Oil revenues(1)	\$ 8,469,519	\$ 6,285,411	\$ 2,184,108
Average price per barrel	\$ 87.74	\$ 87.63	\$ 0.11
Expenses:			
Direct operating costs(2)	\$ 3,102,321	\$ 3,671,228	\$ (568,907)
Depreciation, depletion and amortization (3)	1,541,069	1,128,712	412,357
Total production expenses	4,643,390	4,799,940	(156,550)
Professional fees(4)	1,483,720	1,453,386	30,334
Salaries(5)	601,533	502,924	98,609
Depreciation on other fixed assets	92,398	15,731	76,667
Administrative expenses(6)	808,836	945,013	(136,177)
Total expenses	<u>\$ 7,629,877</u>	<u>\$ 7,716,994</u>	<u>\$ (87,117)</u>

(1) 2012 revenues increased 35% to \$8.5 million from \$6.3 million during fiscal year 2011. Revenues increased due to increased production sales volume. Production sales increased 35% to 96,842 net barrels of oil sold during 2012 compared to production sales of 71,729 in 2011. Production sales increased as a result of the 2012 drilling program in the Cherokee and Mississippian project areas. Realized prices increased slightly to \$87.74 during 2012 compared to realized prices of \$87.63 during 2011.

(2) 2012 lease operating expenses decreased 10% to \$3.1 million from \$3.7 million during 2011. Lease operating expenses decreased due to several factors, including the sale of non-core properties in December 2011, and due to increased leveraging of fixed costs associated with the Cherokee project operations. Lease operating expenses per barrel decreased 33% to \$32.03 in 2012 from \$47.96 per barrel in 2011. Lease operating expenses include transportation expenses, which are paid to our purchasers as part of our price differential.

(3) 2012 depletion expense increased 45% to \$1.6 million compared to \$1.1 million FY2011. Depletion expense increases are primarily a result of increased production levels.

(4) 2012 professional fees were \$1.5 million, unchanged from \$1.5 million during 2011. Professional fees decreased as a result of reduced legal fees and investment banking fees associated with the capital raising transactions in 2011. The decrease was offset by increases in consulting fees, engineering fees, legal fees, and audit fees incurred in 2012.

(5) 2012 salaries and wages expenses increased 20% to \$0.6 million compared to \$0.5 million of salaries and wages expense incurred during 2011. Salaries and wages increased due to the addition of employees during 2012.

(6) 2012 administrative expenses decreased 14% to \$0.8 million compared to \$1.0 million during 2011. Administrative expenses decreased as a result of management's focus on controlling and reducing extraneous expenses.

Reserves

	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011
Proved Reserves		
Total proved PV10 (present value) of reserves	\$ 60,846,300	\$ 53,249,030
Total proved reserves (Bbl)	2,927,000	2,714,150
Average Price (per Bbl)	\$ 84.21	\$ 89.30

Of the 2.9 million barrels of oil at December 31, 2012, approximately 53% are categorized as proved developed producing and approximately 47% are categorized as proved undeveloped.

The following table presents summary information regarding our estimated net proved reserves as of December 31, 2012. All calculations of estimated net proved reserves have been made in accordance with the rules and regulations of the SEC, and, except as otherwise indicated, give no effect to federal or state income taxes. The estimates of net proved reserves are based on the reserve reports prepared by MHA Petroleum Consultants LLC, our independent petroleum consultants. For additional information regarding our reserves, please see Note 15 to our audited financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

Summary of Proved Oil Reserves as of December 31, 2012

Proved Reserves Category	Gross	Net	PV10 (before tax) (1)
Proved, Developed Producing Oil (stock-tank barrels)	2,398,400	1,546,300	\$ 34,737,900
Proved, Undeveloped Oil (stock-tank barrels)	1,951,600	1,380,800	\$ 26,108,400
Total Proved Reserves Oil (stock-tank barrels)	4,350,000	2,927,000	\$ 60,846,300

- (1) The following table shows our reconciliation of our PV10 to our standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows (the most direct comparable measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP). PV10 is our estimate of the present value of future net revenues from estimated proved oil reserves after deducting estimated production and ad valorem taxes, future capital costs and operating expenses, but before deducting any estimates of future income taxes. The estimated future net revenues are discounted at an annual rate of 10% to determine their "present value." We believe PV10 to be an important measure for evaluating the relative significance of our oil properties and that the presentation of the non-GAAP financial measure of PV10 provides useful information to investors because it is widely used by professional analysts and sophisticated investors in evaluating oil companies. Because there are many unique factors that can impact an individual company when estimating the amount of future income taxes to be paid, we believe the use of a pre-tax measure is valuable for evaluating our company. We believe that most other companies in the oil industry calculate PV10 on the same basis. PV10 should not be considered as an alternative to the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows as computed under GAAP.

	As of December 31, 2012	As of December 31, 2011
PV10(before tax)	\$ 61,206,000	\$ 53,249,030
Future income taxes, net of 10% discount	(12,333,000)	(9,602,125)
Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows	<u>\$ 48,873,000</u>	<u>\$ 43,646,905</u>

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is a measure of a company's ability to meet potential cash requirements. We have historically met our capital requirements through debt financing, revenues from operations and the issuance of equity securities. We believe that our historical means of meeting our capital requirements will provide us with adequate liquidity to fund our operations and capital program in 2013.

The following table summarizes total current assets, total current liabilities and working capital at year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011.

The working capital deficit as of December 31, 2012 includes approximately \$492k of accounts payable to Hush Blackwell LLP, which are currently in dispute. The working capital deficit also includes an \$825,000 promissory note related to the common stock and asset repurchase from Enutroff, LLC. The promissory note will fully amortize during 2013 and is therefore considered a current liability.

	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011	Difference
Current Assets	\$ 3,382,621	\$ 5,357,854	\$ (1,975,233)
Current Liabilities	\$ 4,381,712	\$ 3,445,596	\$ (936,116)
Working Capital (deficit)	\$ (999,091)	\$ 1,912,258	\$ (2,911,349)

Senior Secured Credit Facility

On October 3, 2011, the Company and DD Energy, Inc., EnerJex Kansas, Inc., Black Sable Energy, LLC and Working Interest, LLC ("Borrowers") entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with Texas Capital Bank, and other financial institutions and banks that may become a party to the Credit Agreement from time to time. The facilities provided under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement are to be used to refinance Borrowers prior outstanding revolving loan facility with Bank, dated July 3, 2008, and for working capital and general corporate purposes.

At our option, loans under the facility will bear stated interest based on the Base Rate plus Base Rate Margin, or Floating Rate plus Floating Rate Margin (as those terms are defined in the Credit Agreement). The Base Rate will be, for any day, a fluctuating rate per annum equal to the higher of (a) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.50% and (b) the Bank's prime rate. The Floating Rate shall mean, at Borrower's option, a per annum interest rate equal to (i) the Eurodollar Rate plus Eurodollar Margin, or (ii) the Base Rate plus Base Rate Margin (as those terms are defined in the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement). Eurodollar borrowings may be for one, two, three, or six months, as selected by the Borrowers. The margins for all loans are based on a pricing grid ranging from 0.00% to 0.75% for the Base Rate Margin and 2.25% to 3.00% for the Floating Rate Margin based on the Company's Borrowing Base Utilization Percentage (as defined in the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement).

We entered into a First Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement and Second Amended and Restated Promissory Note in the amount of \$50,000,000 with the Texas Capital Bank, which closed on December 15, 2011. The Amendment reflects the addition of Rantoul Partners, as an additional Borrower and adds as additional security for the loans the assets held by Rantoul Partners.

On August 31, 2012, we entered into a Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with Texas Capital Bank. The Second Amendment: (i) reflected the Borrowing Base in effect as of the Second Amendment Closing Date is \$7,000,000 relative to the Proved Reserves attributable to the Borrowing Base Oil and Gas Properties and the Monthly Borrowing Base Reduction is \$0.00 and (ii) reduced the minimum interest rate to 3.75%. In addition, the Second Amendment added additional leases as collateral for the loan.

On November 2, 2012, we entered into a Third Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with the Texas Capital Bank. The Third Amendment reflects the Borrowing Base in effect as of the Third Amendment Closing Date to be \$12,150,000 relative to the Proved Reserves attributable to the Borrowing Base Oil and Gas Properties and the Monthly Borrowing Base Reduction to be \$0.00. In addition, the Third amendment clarified certain continuing covenants and provided a limited waiver of compliance with one of the covenants so clarified for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2011.

On January 24, 2013, we entered into a Fourth Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, which was made effective as of December 31, 2012 with Texas Capital Bank. By executing the Fourth Amendment, Texas Capital Bank consented to the Restructuring Transactions related to the dissolution of Rantoul Partners. In addition, under the Fourth Amendment, Texas Capital Bank terminated a Limited Guaranty, as defined in the Credit Agreement, executed by Rantoul Partners in favor of Texas Capital Bank.

Summary of product research and development that we will perform for the term of our plan.

We do not anticipate performing any significant product research and development under our plan of operation.

Expected purchase or sale of any significant equipment.

We anticipate that we will purchase the necessary production and field service equipment required to produce oil during our normal course of operations over the next 12 months.

Significant changes in the number of employees.

We currently have 20 full-time employees including field personnel. As production and drilling activities increase or decrease, we will adjust our technical, operational and administrative personnel as appropriate. We use and will continue to use independent consultants and contractors to perform various professional services, particularly in the area of land services, reservoir engineering, geology, drilling, water hauling, pipeline construction, well design, well-site monitoring and surveillance, permitting and environmental assessment. We believe that this use of third-party service providers may enhance our ability to contain operating and general expenses, and capital costs.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements.

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to investors.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates.

Our accounting policies and estimates that are critical to our business operations and understanding of our results of operations include those relating to our oil properties, asset retirement obligations and the value of share-based payments. This is not a comprehensive list of all of the accounting policies. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by U.S. GAAP, with no need for our judgment in the application. There are also areas in which our judgment in selecting any available alternative would not produce a materially different result. However, certain of our accounting policies are particularly important to the portrayal of our financial position and results of operations and we may use significant judgment in the application; as a result, they are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty. In applying those policies, we use our judgment to determine the appropriate assumptions to be used in the determination of certain estimates. Those estimates are based on historical experience, observation of trends in the industry, and information available from other outside sources, as appropriate. For a more detailed discussion on the application of these and other accounting policies, see Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, to our consolidated financial statements included in this report.

Oil Properties.

The accounting for our business is subject to special accounting rules that are unique to the oil industry. There are two allowable methods of accounting for oil business activities: the successful efforts method and the full-cost method. We follow the full-cost method of accounting under which all costs associated with property acquisition, exploration and development activities are capitalized. We also capitalize internal costs that can be directly identified with our acquisition, exploration and development activities and do not include any costs related to production, general corporate overhead or similar activities.

Under the full-cost method, capitalized costs are amortized on a composite unit-of-production method based on proved oil reserves. Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense is also based on the amount of estimated reserves. If we maintain the same level of production year over year, the depreciation, depletion and amortization expense may be significantly different if our estimate of remaining reserves changes significantly. Proceeds from the sale of properties are accounted for as reductions of capitalized costs unless such sales involve a significant change in the relationship between costs and the value of proved reserves or the underlying value of unproved properties, in which case a gain or loss is recognized. The costs of unproved properties are excluded from amortization until the properties are evaluated. We review all of our unevaluated properties quarterly to determine whether or not and to what extent proved reserves have been assigned to the properties, and otherwise if impairment has occurred. Unevaluated properties are assessed individually when individual costs are significant.

We review the carrying value of our oil properties under the full-cost accounting rules of the SEC on a quarterly basis. This quarterly review is referred to as a ceiling test. Under the ceiling test, capitalized costs, less accumulated amortization and related deferred income taxes, may not exceed an amount equal to the sum of the present value of estimated future net revenues (adjusted for cash flow hedges) less estimated future expenditures to be incurred in developing and producing the proved reserves, less any related income tax effects. In calculating future net revenues, current prices and costs used are those as of the end of the appropriate quarterly period. Such prices are utilized except where different prices are fixed and determinable from applicable contracts for the remaining term of those contracts, including the effects of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges. Two primary factors impacting this test are reserve levels and current prices, and their associated impact on the present value of estimated future net revenues. Revisions to estimates of oil reserves and/or an increase or decrease in prices can have a material impact on the present value of estimated future net revenues. Any excess of the net book value, less deferred income taxes, is generally written off as an expense. Under SEC regulations, the excess above the ceiling is not expensed (or is reduced) if, subsequent to the end of the period, but prior to the release of the financial statements, oil prices increase sufficiently such that an excess above the ceiling would have been eliminated (or reduced) if the increased prices were used in the calculations.

The process of estimating oil reserves is very complex, requiring significant decisions in the evaluation of available geological, geophysical, engineering and economic data. The data for a given property may also change substantially over time as a result of numerous factors, including additional development activity, evolving production history and a continual reassessment of the viability of production under changing economic conditions. As a result, material revisions to existing reserve estimates occur from time to time. Although every reasonable effort is made to ensure that reserve estimates reported represent the most accurate assessments possible, the subjective decisions and variances in available data for various properties increase the likelihood of significant changes in these estimates.

As of December 31, 2012, 100% of our proved reserves were evaluated by an independent petroleum consultant. All reserve estimates are prepared based upon a review of production histories and other geologic, economic, ownership and engineering data.

Asset Retirement Obligations.

The asset retirement obligation relates to the plug and abandonment costs when our wells are no longer useful. We determine the value of the liability by obtaining quotes for this service and estimate the increase we will face in the future. We then discount the future value based on an intrinsic interest rate that is appropriate for us. If costs rise more than what we have expected there could be additional charges in the future however we monitor the costs of the abandoned wells and we will adjust this liability if necessary.

Share-Based Payments.

The value we assign to the options and warrants that we issue is based on the fair market value as calculated by the Black-Scholes pricing model. To perform a calculation of the value of our options and warrants, we determine an estimate of the volatility of our stock. We need to estimate volatility because there has not been enough trading of our stock to determine an appropriate measure of volatility. We believe our estimate of volatility is reasonable, and we review the assumptions used to determine this whenever we issue a new equity instruments. If we have a material error in our estimate of the volatility of our stock, our expenses could be understated or overstated.

Recent Issued Accounting Standards

See Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies – Recent Issued Accounting Standards, to our consolidated financial statements included in this report.

Effects of Inflation and Pricing

The oil industry is very cyclical and the demand for goods and services of oil field companies, suppliers and others associated with the industry puts extreme pressure on the economic stability and pricing structure within the industry. Material changes in prices impact revenue stream, estimates of future reserves, borrowing base calculations of bank loans and value of properties in purchase and sale transactions. Material changes in prices can impact the value of oil companies and their ability to raise capital, borrow money and retain personnel. We anticipate business costs and the demand for services related to production and exploration will fluctuate while the commodity price for oil remains volatile.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Not applicable.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

Management Responsibility for Financial Information

We are responsible for the preparation, integrity and fair presentation of our financial statements and the other information that appears in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and include estimates based on our best judgment.

We maintain a comprehensive system of internal controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance, at an appropriate cost-benefit relationship, that our financial information is accurate and reliable, our assets are safeguarded and our transactions are executed in accordance with established procedures.

Weaver, Martin & Samyn LLC, an independent registered public accounting firm, is retained to audit our consolidated financial statements. Its accompanying report is based on audits conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States).

Our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, and other information required by this Item 8 are included in this report beginning on page F-1.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our Chief Executive Officer, Robert G. Watson, and our Chief Financial Officer, Douglas M. Wright, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this Report pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(b). Based on the evaluation, Mr. Watson and Mr. Wright concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely altering him to material information relating to us (including our consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in our periodic SEC filings.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as is defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These internal controls are designed to provide reasonable assurance that the reported financial information is presented fairly, that disclosures are adequate and that the judgments inherent in the preparation of financial statements are reasonable. There are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any system of internal control, including the possibility of human error and overriding of controls. Consequently, an effective internal control system can only provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance, with respect to reporting financial information.

Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework and criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2012.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our current directors and executive officers. Our executive officers serve one-year terms.

Name	Age	Position	Board Committee(s)
Robert G. Watson, Jr.	36	President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director	None.
Ryan A. Lowe	32	Director, Senior Vice President of Corporate Development	Audit
James G. Miller	64	Director	Audit; Compensation, Nominating
Lance W. Helfert	39	Director	Compensation, Nominating
Douglas M. Wright	60	Chief Financial Officer	None

Robert G. Watson, Jr. Mr. Watson has served as President, Chief Executive Officer, and Secretary of the Company since December 31, 2010. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Watson co-founded Black Sable Energy, LLC approximately three (3) years ago and served as its Chief Executive Officer. During his tenure at Black Sable, Mr. Watson was responsible for the company's acquisition and development of two grassroots oil projects in South Texas, both of which have been partnered with larger oil and gas companies on a promoted basis. Prior to founding Black Sable, he was a Senior Associate at American Capital, Ltd. (NASDAQ: ACAS), a publicly traded private equity firm and global asset manager with \$18 billion in capital resources under management. Mr. Watson began his career in the Energy Investment Banking Group at CIBC World Markets and subsequently founded and served as the Managing Partner of Centerra Energy Partners.

R. Atticus Lowe. Mr. Lowe is the Chief Investment Officer of West Coast Asset Management, Inc., a registered investment advisor that has invested more than \$200 million in the oil and gas industry on behalf of its principals and clients during the past 10 years. Mr. Lowe serves as a Director and Chairman of the Audit Committee for Black Raven Energy, Inc., a privately held oil and gas company headquartered in Denver, CO. He is a CFA charter holder and a co-author of *The Entrepreneurial Investor*, a book Published by John Wiley & Sons. Mr. Lowe has also been profiled in Oil and Gas Investor magazine and Value Investor Insight, and he has been a featured speaker at the Value Investing Congress in New York and California.

James G. Miller. Mr. Miller retired in 2002 after serving as the Chief Executive Officer of Utilicorp United, Inc.'s business unit responsible for the company's electricity generation and electric and natural gas transmission and distribution businesses which served 1.3 million customers in seven mid-continent states. Utilicorp traded on the New York Stock Exchange and the company was renamed Aquila in 2002. In 2007 its electricity assets in northwest Missouri were acquired by Great Plains Energy Incorporated (NYSE: GXP) for \$1.7 billion and its natural gas properties and other assets were acquired by Black Hills Corporation (NYSE: BKH) for \$940 million. Mr. Miller joined Utilicorp in 1989 through its acquisition of Michigan Gas Utilities, for which he served as the president from 1983 to 1991. Mr. Miller also is a member of the Board of Directors of Guardian 8 Holdings. Mr. Miller currently serves as Board Chair of The Nature Conservancy, Missouri Chapter, for which he has been a Board member for the past 11 years.

Lance W. Helfert. Mr. Helfert is the President and a co-founder of West Coast Asset Management, Inc. (WCAM), an equity and alternative asset manager located in Montecito, California. Mr. Helfert is the head of the investment committee and Board of Directors and steers WCAM's investment strategies. Prior to co-founding WCAM, he managed a portfolio of more than \$1 billion at Wilshire Associates and was involved in a full range of financial strategies at M.L. Stern & Co. Mr. Helfert is a co-author of *The Entrepreneurial Investor*, published by John Wiley & Sons, and has been featured in Kiplinger's Personal Finance, Forbes, Barron's, Fortune Magazine, and the Market Watch for his unique market perspective. Mr. Helfert is also a frequent guest commentator on CNBC and Fox Business networks, and has been a speaker at the Value Investing Congress in New York and California. Mr. Helfert has served on the Board of Directors for Junior Achievement of Southern California and the Tri-Counties Make-A-Wish Foundation.

Douglas M. Wright. Mr. Wright has served as Chief Financial Officer of the Company since August 15, 2012. He is a Certified Public Accountant with more than 25 years of management experience. Most recently, he served as the Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer of Nations Petroleum Company, Ltd., from 2006 to present. Nations Petroleum is a privately held multi-national oil and gas company that grew the production of its core U.S. asset from 300 barrels of oil per day (BOPD) to approximately 5,000 BOPD over a five year period before selling it to Occidental Petroleum, Inc. In this role, Mr. Wright built the company's accounting staff and developed its financial accounting and reporting procedures while arranging \$250 million of mezzanine financing. In 2005 to 2006, he served as a Manager of Financial Reporting for Noble Energy, Inc., where he was responsible for Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) reporting related to its \$3.4 billion acquisition of Patina Oil & Gas Corp. Mr. Wright also served in various managerial roles from 1986 to 1996 at Oryx Energy Co., which was purchased by Kerr McGee Corp. for \$3.1 billion in 1999. During his tenure at Oryx, he was responsible for preparing the company's SEC filings and led its corporate planning department. Mr. Wright began his career at Deloitte & Touche where he served as a manager from 1977 to 1986 and was the firm's designated specialist in the energy field. In this role he was responsible for accounting and audit services of major public corporations.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

None of our executive officers or directors has been the subject of any Order, Judgment, or Decree of any Court of competent jurisdiction, or any regulatory agency permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring suspending or otherwise limiting him from acting as an investment advisor, underwriter, broker or dealer in the securities industry, or as an affiliated person, director or employee of an investment company, bank, savings and loan association, or insurance company or from engaging in or continuing any conduct or practice in connection with any such activity or in connection with the purchase or sale of any securities.

None of our executive officers or directors has been convicted in any criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations) or is the subject of a criminal proceeding, which is currently pending.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), requires our executive officers and directors, and persons who beneficially own more than ten percent of our common stock, to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership with the SEC. Executive officers, directors and greater than ten percent beneficial owners are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based upon a review of the copies of such forms furnished to us and written representations from our executive officers and directors, we believe that as of the date of this report they were all current in their 16(a) reports.

Board of Directors; Independence

Our Board of Directors currently consists of four members. Our directors serve one-year terms.

A majority of the members of the board of a company listed on a national exchange must qualify as "independent," as affirmatively determined by the Board of Directors. Since the Company is not listed on a national exchange, it is not required to comply with these "independence" requirements. At present, our Board of Directors has affirmatively determined that Mr. Miller is an independent director, as defined by Section 803 of the American Stock Exchange Company Guide.

Meetings of the Board

Our board met 5 times during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. Each director attended 75% or more of the meetings of the board and of the committees on which he served, held during the period for which he was a director or committee member, respectively. A number of matters decided by the Board of Directors were done by Unanimous Written Consent in lieu of meeting.

Committees of the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors has two standing committees: an audit committee and a governance, compensation and nominating committee. Each of those committees has the composition and responsibilities set forth below.

Audit Committee

Our Audit Committee consists of one independent director, James G. Miller, and one director who is not independent, Ryan A. Lowe, each of whom has been selected for membership on the Audit Committee by the Board of Directors based on the board's determination that each is fully qualified, through a range of education, experiences in business and executive leadership and service on boards of directors, and an understanding of generally accepted accounting principles, to oversee our internal audit function, assess and select independent auditors, and oversee our financial reporting processes and overall risk management. The Audit Committee has the authority to seek advice and assistance from outside legal, accounting or other advisors and exercises such authority as it deems necessary. The full text of the charter of the Audit Committee can be found in the investor section of our website at www.enerjex.com.

The board has determined that James G. Miller is a financial expert as that term is used in Item 407(d)(5)(ii) of Regulation S-K promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act.

Although the Company is traded on OTCBB, the Board of Directors reviews the American Stock Exchange Company Guide listing standards on an annual basis. Mr. Miller qualifies as an independent director as defined by Section 803 of the American Stock Exchange Company Guide and Section 10A(m) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and Rule 10A-3 thereunder. In light of Mr. Lowe's relationship with West Coast Opportunity Fund, LLC, a significant shareholder of the Company, and his position as our Senior Vice President of Corporate Development, our Board of Directors has determined that he is not independent (as independence is defined in Section 803 of the American Stock Exchange Company Guide).

The Audit Committee met 5 times during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

The Audit Committee has the sole authority to appoint and, when deemed appropriate, replace our independent registered public accounting firm, and has established a policy of pre-approving all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee has, among other things, the responsibility to evaluate the qualifications and independence of our independent registered public accounting firm; to review and approve the scope and results of the annual audit; to review and discuss with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the content of our financial statements prior to the filing of our quarterly reports and annual reports; to review the content and clarity of our proposed communications with investors regarding our operating results and other financial matters; to review significant changes in our accounting policies; to establish procedures for receiving, retaining, and investigating reports of illegal acts involving us or complaints or concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters, and supervise the investigation of any such reports, complaints or concerns; to establish procedures for the confidential, anonymous submission by our employees of concerns or complaints regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters; and to provide sufficient opportunity for the independent auditors to meet with the committee without management present.

Report of The Audit Committee Of The Board

The Company's management is responsible for preparing our financial statements and ensuring they are complete and accurate and prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Weaver, Martin & Samyn, LLC, our independent registered public accounting firm, is responsible for performing an independent audit of our consolidated financial statements and expressing an opinion on the conformity of those financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with our management the audited financial statements of the Company included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 ("10-K").

The Audit Committee has also reviewed and discussed with Weaver Martin & Samyn, LLC the audited financial statements in the 10-K. In addition, the Audit Committee discussed with Weaver Martin & Samyn, LLC those matters required to be discussed by the Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended. Additionally, Weaver Martin & Samyn, LLC provided to the Audit Committee the written disclosures and the letter required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence. The Audit Committee also discussed with Weaver Martin & Samyn LLC its independence from the Company.

Based upon the review and discussions described above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for filing with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

Submitted by the following members of the Audit Committee:

James G. Miller (Chairman)
R. Atticus Lowe

Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee

The governance, compensation and nominating committee is comprised of Messrs, Miller, and Helfert. Mr. Miller serves as the chairman of the governance, compensation and nominating committee. The governance, compensation and nominating committee is responsible for, among other things: (i) identifying, reviewing, and evaluating individuals qualified to become members of the board, (ii) setting the compensation of the chief executive officer and chief financial officer, and (iii) performing other compensation oversight, reviewing and recommending the nomination of board members, and administering our equity compensation plans.

A majority of the members of the governance, compensation and nominating committee are not independent.

The governance, compensation and nominating committee met 3 times during fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to all of our directors, officers and employees, as well as to directors, officers and employees of each subsidiary of the Company. Our Code of Ethics was filed as Exhibit 99.6 to the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended March 31, 2007 which was filed on June 13, 2007. A copy of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics will be provided to any person, without charge, upon request. It is available on our website: enerjexresources.com, or you may contact Robert G. Watson at 210-451-5545 to request a copy of the Code or send your request to EnerJex Resources, Inc., Attn: Robert G. Watson, 4040 Broadway, Suite 508, San Antonio, Texas 78209. If any substantive amendments are made to the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics or if we grant any waiver, including any implicit waiver, from a provision of the Code to any of our officers and directors, we will disclose the nature of such amendment or waiver in a report on Form 8-K.

Limitation of Liability of Directors

Pursuant to the Nevada General Corporation Law, our articles of incorporation exclude personal liability for our directors for monetary damages based upon any violation of their fiduciary duties as directors, except as to liability for any breach of the duty of loyalty, acts or omissions not in good faith or which involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law, or any transaction from which a director receives an improper personal benefit. This exclusion of liability does not limit any right which a director may have to be indemnified and does not affect any Director's liability under federal or applicable state securities laws. We have agreed to indemnify our directors against expenses, judgments, and amounts paid in settlement in connection with any claim against a director if he acted in good faith and in a manner he believed to be in our best interests.

Nevada Anti-Takeover Law and Charter and By-law Provisions

Depending on the number of residents in the state of Nevada who own our shares, we could be subject to the provisions of Sections 78.378 *et seq.* of the Nevada Revised Statutes which, unless otherwise provided in a company's articles of incorporation or by-laws, restricts the ability of an acquiring person to obtain a controlling interest of 20% or more of our voting shares. Our articles of incorporation and by-laws do not contain any provision which would currently keep the change of control restrictions of Section 78.378 from applying to us.

We are subject to the provisions of Sections 78.411 *et seq.* of the Nevada Revised Statutes. In general, this statute prohibits a publicly held Nevada corporation from engaging in a "combination" with an "interested stockholder" for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person became an interested stockholder, unless the combination or the transaction by which the person became an interested stockholder is approved by the corporation's Board of Directors before the person becomes an interested stockholder. After the expiration of the three-year period, the corporation may engage in a combination with an interested stockholder under certain circumstances, including if the combination is approved by the Board of Directors and/or stockholders in a prescribed manner, or if specified requirements are met regarding consideration. The term "combination" includes mergers, asset sales and other transactions resulting in a financial benefit to the interested stockholder. Subject to certain exceptions, an "interested stockholder" is a person who, together with affiliates and associates, owns, or within three years did own, 10% or more of the corporation's voting stock. A Nevada corporation may "opt out" from the application of Section 78.411 *et seq.* through a provision in its Articles of Incorporation or By-laws. We have not "opted out" from the application of this section.

Apart from Nevada law, however, our articles of incorporation and by-laws do not contain any provisions which are sometimes associated with inhibiting a change of control from occurring (i.e., we do not provide for a staggered board, or for "super-majority" votes on major corporate issues). However, we do have 10,000,000 shares of authorized "blank check" preferred stock, which could be used to inhibit a change in control.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

The following table sets forth summary compensation information for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, and the year ended December 31 2011 for our chief executive officer and principal financial officer. We did not have any other executive officers as of the end of 2012 whose total compensation exceeded \$100,000. We refer to these persons as our named executive officers elsewhere in this report.

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Robert G. Watson President, Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer	2012	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 150,000
Douglas M. Wright Chief Financial Officer	2012	\$ 140,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 140,000
Robert G. Watson President, Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer	2011	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 150,000

(11) Douglas M. Wright was hired on August 15, 2012, and the compensation figures in the table above represent his annual compensation rates.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2012 Fiscal Year-End

The following table lists the outstanding equity incentive awards held by our named executive officers as of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

	Fiscal Year	Option Awards				Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unearned Options (#)			
Robert G. Watson, Jr.	2011	-0-	-0-	900,000	\$ 0.40	12/31/2015	

Option Exercises for fiscal 2012

There were no options exercised by our named executive officers in 2012. See "Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans" for a description of our outstanding equity compensation plans.

Because there are not available under our existing 2000/2001 Stock Option Plan or our 2002-2003 Stock Option Plan sufficient shares to cover options that we intend to grant, and because those existing plans are dated and would not allow us to grant tax-qualified incentive stock options, we intend to seek stockholder approval of a new stock incentive plan and to reserve thereunder up to approximately 5,000,000 shares of our common stock for the granting of options and issuance of restricted shares to our employees, officers, directors, and consultants. We have entered into an agreement with Douglas M. Wright, our Chief Financial Officer, that if he is employed with us when that plan has been approved by our stockholders, then we will grant to him under the new stock incentive plan an option for the purchase of 750,000 shares of stock, subject to a vesting arrangement.

Employment Agreements

Robert G. Watson, Jr. – Chief Executive Officer

On December 31, 2010, the Company and Robert G. Watson, Jr., entered into an Employment Agreement pursuant to which (i) we will employ Mr. Watson as its chief executive officer for a term ending on December 31, 2012, (ii) we will pay to Mr. Watson base compensation of \$150,000 plus such discretionary cash bonus as our Board of Directors determines to be appropriate, (iii) we have granted to Mr. Watson an option for the purchase of 900,000 shares of common stock at \$0.40 per share, (A) in which option he will vest in equal monthly increments over a period of 48 months, and in full upon a change of control of the company or the sale of all or substantially all of its assets, and (B) which option will have a term of five (5) years, and (iv) if we terminate Mr. Watson's employment without "Cause" (as defined in the Employment Agreement), then we will pay to Mr. Watson as severance pay (A) the Base Compensation that would have accrued during the remainder of the term of that Employment Agreement, and (B) if that termination occurs after 16 months of employment, we also will pay to Mr. Watson additional severance pay in the amount of \$100,000.

Douglas M. Wright – Chief Financial Officer

On August 15, 2012, the Company and Douglas M. Wright, entered into an Employment Agreement pursuant to which (i) we will employ Mr. Wright as our chief financial officer for a term ending on December 31, 2013, (ii) we will pay to Mr. Wright base compensation of \$140,000 plus such discretionary cash bonus as our chief executive officer determines to be appropriate, and (iii) if we terminate Mr. Wright's employment without "Cause" (as defined in the Employment Agreement), then we will pay to Mr. Wright \$32,500 as severance pay after six (6) months of employment.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

We entered into employment agreements with our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, which could result in payments to such officers because of their resignation, incapacity or disability, or other termination of employment with us or our subsidiaries, or a change in control, or a change in their responsibilities following a change in control.

Director Compensation

The following table sets forth summary compensation information for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 for each of our non-employee directors.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash \$	Stock Awards \$	Option Awards ⁽²⁾ \$	All Other Compensation \$	Total \$
James G. Miller	\$ -0-	\$ 45,000	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ 45,000
Lance W. Helfert	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCK MATTERS.

The following table presents information, to the best of our knowledge, about the ownership of our common stock on December 31, 2012 relating to those persons known to beneficially own more than 5% of our capital stock and by our directors and executive officers. The percentage of beneficial ownership for the following table is based on 67,836,529 shares of outstanding common stock.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and does not necessarily indicate beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Under these rules, beneficial ownership includes those shares of common stock over which the stockholder has sole or shared voting or investment power. It also includes shares of common stock that the stockholder has a right to acquire within 60 days after December 31, 2011 pursuant to options, warrants, conversion privileges or other right. The percentage ownership of the outstanding common stock, however, is based on the assumption, expressly required by the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, that only the person or entity whose ownership is being reported has converted options or warrants into shares of EnerJex's common stock.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner ⁽¹⁾	Number of Shares	Percent of Outstanding Shares of Common Stock ⁽²⁾
Robert G. Watson, Jr., CEO/President and Director ⁽³⁾	4,675,000	6.89%
R. Atticus Lowe, Director ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	128,585	0.19%
Lance W. Helfert, Director ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁷⁾	214,881	0.32%
James G. Miller, Director	2,173,871	3.20%
West Coast Opportunity Fund LLC ⁽⁴⁾ 1205 Coast Village Road Montecito, CA 93108	11,812,103	17.41%
Montecito Venture Partners, LLC ⁽⁵⁾ 1205 Coast Village Road Montecito, California 93108	17,231,583	25.40%
Newman Family Trust	5,000,000	7.37%
John A. Loeffelbein ⁽⁸⁾	3,944,648	5.81%
Directors, Officers and Beneficial Owners as a Group		66.6% ⁽⁹⁾

* Indicates less than one percent.

- (1) As used in this table, "beneficial ownership" means the sole or shared power to vote, or to direct the voting of, security, or the sole or shared investment power with respect to a security (i.e., the power to dispose of, or to direct the disposition of, a security). The address of each person is care of the Registrant, 4040 Broadway, Suite 508, San Antonio, Texas 78209.
- (2) Figures are rounded to the nearest tenth of a percent.
- (3) Includes 675,000 shares under an option granted to Mr. Watson to purchase 900,000 shares of common stock at \$0.40 per share. Mr. Watson vests in that option in equal monthly increments over 48 months commencing January 1, 2011.
- (4) West Coast Asset Management, Inc. (the "Investment Manager") is the Investment Manager to separately managed accounts, some of which are affiliated with the Reporting Persons (the "Accounts"). The Accounts directly own all of the shares reported herein. R. Atticus Lowe and Lance W. Helfert serve on the investment committee of the Investment Manager. Each Reporting Person disclaims beneficial ownership of all securities reported herein, except to the extent of their pecuniary interest therein, if any, and this report shall not be deemed an admission that such Reporting Person is the beneficial owner of the shares for purposes of Section 16 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 or for any other purposes.
- (5) Montecito Venture Partners, LLC is a controlled affiliate of West Coast Asset Management, Inc. Includes 2,417,660 shares of Series A Preferred Stock that is convertible into 2,417,660 shares of the Registrant's common stock.
- (6) Includes 12,388 of the shares beneficially owned by Mr. Lowe by reason of his ownership interest in West Coast Opportunity Fund, LLC, and 1,135,199 of the shares beneficially owned by Mr. Lowe by reason of his ownership interest in Montecito Venture Partners, LLC.
- (7) Includes 70,738 of the shares beneficially owned by Mr. Helfert by reason of his ownership interest in West Coast Opportunity Fund, LLC, and 6,606,201 of the shares beneficially owned by Mr. Helfert by reason of his ownership interest in Montecito Venture Partners, LLC.
- (8) Includes 178,756 of the shares directly owned by John A. Loeffelbein, and 3,765,892 of the shares beneficially owned by John A. Loeffelbein by reason of his ownership interest in Coal Creek Energy, LLC.
- (9) Excludes shares beneficially owned by Mr. Lowe and Mr. Helfert that are already accounted for by West Coast Opportunity Fund, LLC and Montecito Venture Partners, LLC.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth information as of fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 regarding outstanding options granted under our stock option plans and options reserved for future grant under the plans.

Plan Category	Number of shares to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of shares remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding shares reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	900,000	\$ 0.40	550,000
Total	900,000	\$ 0.40	550,000

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.

We describe below transactions and series of similar transactions that have occurred during this fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 to which we were a party or will be a party in which:

- The amounts involved exceeds the lesser of \$120,000 or one percent of the average of our total assets at year end for the last two completed fiscal years (\$311,489); and
- A director, executive officer, holder of more than 5% of our common stock or any member of their immediate family had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

On January 14, 2011, the company repurchased 3,750,000 shares of common stock from Working Interest Holdings, LLC, and then subsequently Working Interest Holdings, LLC disbursed its remaining 15,000,000 shares among its members.

Director Independence

Our Board of Directors has affirmatively determined that Mr. Miller is an independent director, as defined by Section 803 of the American Stock Exchange Company Guide.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

Weaver Martin & Samyn LLC served as our principal independent public accountants for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. Aggregate fees billed to us for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011
Audit Fees (1)	\$ 80,430	\$ 73,250
Audit-Related Fees (2)	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
Tax fees (3)	\$ 15,785	\$ 10,450
All Other Fees (4)	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
Total fees of our principal accountant	<u>\$ 96,215</u>	<u>\$ 83,700</u>

- (1) Audit Fees include fees billed and expected to be billed for services performed to comply with Generally Accepted Auditing Standards (GAAS), including the recurring audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements for such period included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and for the reviews of the consolidated quarterly financial statements included in the Quarterly Reports on Form 10-QSB filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. This category also includes fees for audits provided in connection with statutory filings or procedures related to audit of income tax provisions and related reserves, consents and assistance with and review of documents filed with the SEC.
- (2) Audit-Related Fees include fees for services associated with assurance and reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements. This category includes fees related to assistance in financial due diligence related to mergers and acquisitions, consultations regarding Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, reviews and evaluations of the impact of new regulatory pronouncements, general assistance with implementation of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requirements and audit services not required by statute or regulation.
- (3) Tax fees consist of fees related to the preparation and review of the Company's federal and state income tax returns.
- (4) Other fees

Audit Committee Policies and Procedures

Our Audit Committee pre-approves 100% of the services to be provided to us by our independent auditor. This process involves obtaining (i) a written description of the proposed services, (ii) the confirmation of our Principal Accounting Officer that the services are compatible with maintaining specific principles relating to independence, and (iii) confirmation from our securities counsel that the services are not among those that our independent auditors have been prohibited from performing under SEC rules, as outlined in the Audit Committee charter. The members of the Audit Committee then make a determination to approve or disapprove the engagement of Weaver Martin & Samyn LLC for the proposed services. In fiscal 2011, all fees paid to Weaver Martin & Samyn LLC were unanimously pre-approved in accordance with this policy.

Less than 50 percent of hours expended on the principal accountant's engagement to audit the registrant's financial statements for the most recent fiscal year were attributed to work performed by persons other than the principal accountant's full-time, permanent employees.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

The following information required under this item is filed as part of this report:

1. Financial Statements

	Page
Management Responsibility for Financial Information	38
Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	39
Index to Financial Statements	F-1
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-6

2. Financial Statement Schedules

None.

3. Exhibit Index

Exhibit No.	Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger between Millennium Plastics Corporation and Midwest Energy, Inc. effective August 15, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.3 to the Form 8-K filed on August 16, 2006)
3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, as currently in effect (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Form 10-Q filed on August 14, 2008)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws, as currently in effect (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Form SB-2 filed on February 23, 2001)
4.1	Article VI of Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Millennium Plastics Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.3 to the Form 8-K filed on December 6, 1999)
4.2	Article II and Article VIII, Sections 3 & 6 of Amended and Restated Bylaws of Millennium Plastics Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form SB-2 filed on February 23, 2001)
4.3	Specimen common stock certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Form S-1/A filed on May 27, 2008)
4.4	Certificate of Designation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 8-K filed on January 6, 2011).
10.1	Credit Agreement with Texas Capital Bank, N.A. dated July 3, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the Form 10-I filed on July 10, 2008)
10.2	Promissory Note to Texas Capital Bank, N.A. dated July 3, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Form 10-K filed on July 10, 2008)
10.3	Amended and Restated Mortgage, Security Agreement, Financing Statement and Assignment of Production and Revenues with Texas Capital Bank, N.A. dated July 3, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to the Form 10-K filed on July 10, 2008)
10.4	Security Agreement with Texas Capital Bank, N.A. dated July 3, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to the Form 10-K filed on July 10, 2008)
10.5	Letter Agreement with Debenture Holders dated July 3, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to the Form 10-K filed on July 10, 2008)
10.6†	C. Stephen Cochennet Employment Agreement dated August 1, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K filed on August 1, 2008)
10.7†	Dierdre P. Jones Employment Agreement dated August 1, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Form 8-K filed on August 1, 2008)
10.8†	Amended and Restated EnerJex Resources, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-I filed on October 16, 2008)
10.9	Form of Officer and Director Indemnification Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Form 8-K filed on October 16, 2008)
10.10	Euramerica Letter Agreement Amendment dated September 15, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Form 8-K filed on September 18, 2008)
10.11	Euramerica Letter Agreement Amendment dated October 15, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Form 8-K filed on October 21, 2008)
10.12(a) †	C. Stephen Cochennet Rescission of Option Grant Agreement dated November 17, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38(a) to the Form 10-Q filed on February 23, 2009)
10.12(b) †	Dierdre P. Jones Rescission of Option Grant Agreement dated November 17, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38(b) to the Form 10-Q filed on February 23, 2009)

- 10.12 Daran G. Dammeyer Rescission of Option Grant Agreement dated November 17, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38(c) to the Form 10-Q filed on February 23, 2009)
- 10.12(d) Darrel G. Palmer Rescission of Option Grant Agreement dated November 17, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38(d) to the Form 10-Q filed on February 23, 2009)
- 10.12(e) Dr. James W. Rector Rescission of Option Grant Agreement dated November 17, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38(e) to the Form 10-Q filed on February 23, 2009)
- 10.12(f) Robert G. Wonish Rescission of Option Grant Agreement dated November 17, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38(f) to the Form 10-Q filed on February 23, 2009)
- 10.13 Letter Agreement with Debenture Holders dated June 11, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K filed on June 16, 2009)
- 10.14 Joint Operating Agreement with Pharyn Resources to explore and develop the Brownrigg Lease Press Release dated June 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Form 8-K filed on June 5, 2009)
- 10.15 Amendment 4 to Joint Exploration Agreement effective as of November 6, 2008 between MorMeg, LLC and EnerJex Resource Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Form 10-K filed July 14, 2009)
- 10.16 Waiver from Texas Capital Bank, N.A. dated July 14, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to Form 10-K filed July 14, 2009)
- 10.17 First Amendment to Credit Agreement dated August 18, 2009 (incorporated by reference to the Exhibit 10.12 to the Form 10-Q filed August 18, 2009)
- 10.18 Debenture Holder Amendment Letter dated November 16, 2009 (incorporated by reference to the Exhibit 10.13 to the Form 10-Q filed November 20, 2009)
- 10.19 Standby Equity Distribution Agreement with Paladin Capital Management, S.A. dated December 3, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.52 to the Form S-1 filed on December 9, 2009)
- 10.20 Amendment 5 to Joint Exploration Agreement effective as of December 31, 2009 between MorMeg LLC and EnerJex Resources, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Form 10-Q filed on February 16, 2010)
- 10.21 Second Amendment to Credit Agreement dated January 13, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Form 10-Q filed on February 16, 2010)
- 10.22 Debenture Holder Amendment Letter dated January 27, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Form 10-Q filed on February 16, 2010)
- 10.23 Waiver from Texas Capital Bank, N.A. dated February 10, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Form 10-Q filed on February 16, 2010)
- 10.24 Amendment 6 to Joint Exploration Agreement effective as of March 31, 2010 between MorMeg LLC and EnerJex Resources, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Form 10-K filed on July 15, 2010)
- 10.25 Debenture Holder Amendment Letter dated April 1, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Form 10-K filed on July 15, 2010)
- 10.26 Separation and Settlement Agreement with C. Stephen Cochennet dated December 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K filed on December 28, 2010).
- 10.27 Securities Purchase and Asset Acquisition Agreement between EnerJex Resources, Inc. and West Coast Opportunity Fund, LLC Montecito Venture Partners, LLC; J&J Operating Company, LLC and Frey Living Trust dated December 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K filed on January 6, 2011).
- 10.28 Stock Repurchase Agreement between EnerJex Resources, Inc. and Working Interest Holdings, LLC dated December 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Form 8-K filed on January 6, 2011).
- 10.29 Securities Purchase Agreement between EnerJex Resources, Inc. and various Investors dated December 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Form 8-K filed on January 6, 2011).
- 10.30 Employment Agreement between EnerJex Resources, Inc. and Robert G. Watson dated December 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Form 8-K filed on January 6, 2011).
- 10.31 Joint Development Agreement between EnerJex Resources, Inc. and Haas Petroleum, LLC dated December 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K filed on January 27, 2011).
- 10.32 Joint Operating Agreement between EnerJex Resources, Inc. and Haas Petroleum, LLC and MorMeg, LLC dated December 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Form 8-K filed on January 27, 2011).
- 10.33 Third Amendment to Credit Agreement dated September 29, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the Transition Report on Form 10-K filed on April 21, 2011).
- 10.34 Fourth Amendment to Credit Agreement dated December 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Transition Report on Form 10-K filed on April 21, 2011).
- 10.35 Letter Agreement with Registrant, James Loeffelbein, John Loeffelbein and J&J Operating dated January 14, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 on Form 8-K filed on January 18, 2011).
- 10.36 Form of Securities Purchase Agreement among Registrant and Investors dated March 31, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 on Form 8-K filed on April 4, 2011).
- 10.37 Form of Warrant among Registrant and Investors dated March 31, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 on Form 8-K filed on April 4, 2011).
- 10.38 Form of Stock Redemption Agreement among Registrant and Working Interest Holdings, LLCs dated March 31, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 on Form 8-K filed on April 4, 2011).

10.39	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated October 3, 2011 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 on Form 8-K filed on October 6, 2011).
10.40	Option and Joint Development Agreement by and among Registrant and MorMeg, LLC dated August 2011 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 on Form 8-K filed on November 15, 2011).
10.41	Rantoul Partners General Partnership Agreement dated December 14, 2011 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 on Form 8-K filed on December 14, 2011).
10.42	First Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated December 14, 2011 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 on Form 8-K filed on December 14, 2011).
21.1	List of Subsidiaries
23.1	Miller & Lents, Ltd. Consent Of Independent Petroleum Engineers and Geologists Letter dated April 13, 2012
23.2	Consent Of MHA Petroleum Consultants LLC Independent Petroleum Engineers Letter dated April 10, 2013
23.3	Consent of Weaver & Martin, LLC
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

† Indicates management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this amended report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ENERJEX RESOURCES, INC.

By: /s/ Robert G. Watson
Robert G. Watson, Chief Executive Officer

Date: April 10, 2013

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name	Title	Date
<u>/s/ Robert G. Watson</u> Robert G. Watson	President, Chief Executive Officer, (Principal Financial Officer), Secretary and Director	April 10, 2013
<u>/s/ Douglas M. Wright</u> Douglas M. Wright	Chief Financial Officer	April 10, 2013
<u>/s/ Ryan A. Lowe</u> Ryan A. Lowe	Director and Senior Vice President of Corporate Marketing	April 10, 2013
<u>/s/ Lance W. Helfert</u> Lance Helfert	Director	April 10, 2013
<u>/s/ James G. Miller</u> James G. Miller	Director	April 10, 2013

Index to Financial Statements

	<u>Page</u>
Index to Financial Statements	F-1
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Year Ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011	F-4
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity(Deficit) for the Year Ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011	F-5
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the Year Ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-7

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

EnerJex Resources, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of EnerJex Resources, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of EnerJex Resources, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of its consolidated operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Weaver, Martin & Samyn

Weaver, Martin & Samyn, LLC

Kansas City, Missouri

April 10, 2013

EnerJex Resources, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets

	December 31,	
	2012	2011
Assets		
Current Assets:		
Cash	\$ 767,494	\$ 2,770,440
Accounts receivable	1,221,962	1,454,405
Marketable securities	1,018,573	1,018,573
Deposits and prepaid expenses	374,592	114,436
Total current assets	3,382,621	5,357,854
Fixed assets	629,816	529,371
Accumulated depreciation	(319,939)	(232,508)
Total fixed assets	309,877	296,863
Other Assets		
Oil properties using full-cost accounting:		
Properties not subject to amortization	7,830,828	7,922,734
Properties subject to amortization	25,372,070	17,837,766
Total oil properties using full-cost accounting	33,202,898	25,760,500
Total assets	\$ 36,895,396	\$ 31,415,217
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,384,090	\$ 2,355,692
Accrued liabilities	590,205	123,789
Derivative liability	757,181	959,114
Note Payable	825,000	-
Long-term debt, current	-	7,000
Total current liabilities	4,556,476	3,445,595
Non-Current Liabilities		
Asset retirement obligation	1,336,151	908,790
Derivative liability	1,043,114	1,768,220
Long-term debt	8,500,000	3,826,484
Total non-current liabilities	10,879,265	6,503,494
Total liabilities	15,435,741	9,949,089
Commitments and Contingencies		
Stockholders' Equity (Deficit):		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 4,779,460 shares issued and outstanding	4,780	4,780
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued and outstanding – 73,586,529 at December 31, 2012 and 73,411,279 at December 31, 2011	73,587	73,412
Treasury stock, 5,570,000 shares at December 31, 2012 and 3,750,000 shares at December 31, 2011	(2,551,000)	(1,500,000)
Equity based compensation unearned	(153,876)	(230,813)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(552,589)	(552,589)
Paid in capital	45,352,096	43,556,486
Retained (deficit)	(20,713,343)	(20,450,876)
Total stockholders' equity EnerJex Resources Inc.	21,459,655	20,900,400
Non-controlling interest in subsidiary	-	565,728
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	21,459,655	21,466,128
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit)	\$ 36,895,396	\$ 31,415,217

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

EnerJex Resources, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Operations

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Oil revenues	\$ 8,496,519	\$ 6,516,411
Expenses:		
Direct operating costs	3,102,321	3,671,228
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	1,633,467	1,128,712
Professional fees	1,483,720	1,453,386
Salaries	601,533	502,924
Administrative expense	808,836	960,744
Total expenses	<u>7,629,877</u>	<u>7,716,994</u>
Income (loss) from operations	<u>866,642</u>	<u>(1,200,583)</u>
Other income (expense):		
Interest expense	(302,357)	(463,021)
Gain (loss) on derivatives	55,708	(409,399)
Other income (expense)	121,127	55,741
Total other income (expense)	<u>(125,522)</u>	<u>(816,679)</u>
Income before provision for income taxes	741,120	(2,017,262)
Provision for income taxes	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 741,120</u>	<u>\$ (2,017,262)</u>
Net income (loss) attributed to EnerJex Resources Inc.	\$ 345,992	\$ (2,038,622)
Net income (loss) attributed to non-controlling interest in subsidiary	<u>395,128</u>	<u>21,360</u>
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 741,120</u>	<u>\$ (2,017,262)</u>
Net income (loss) attributed to EnerJex Resources Inc.	345,992	(2,038,622)
Preferred dividends	<u>(608,459)</u>	<u>(56,263)</u>
Net (loss) attributed to EnerJex Resources Inc. common stockholders	<u>(262,467)</u>	<u>(2,094,885)</u>
Net Income (loss) per share- basic and diluted	<u>\$ 0.00-</u>	<u>\$ (.03)</u>
Weighted average shares outstanding	<u>69,714,758</u>	<u>69,029,617</u>

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

EnerJex Resources, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Treasury Stock	Equity Based Compensation Unearned	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Paid in Capital	Retained Deficit	Total Stockholders' Equity EnerJex Resources, Inc.	Non Controlling Interest In Subsidiary	Total Stockholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount								
Balance, January 1, 2011	4,779,460	\$ 4,780	67,459,869	\$ 67,460	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 37,661,719	\$ (18,355,991)	\$ 19,377,968	\$ -	\$ 19,377,968
Stock sold	-	-	5,726,660	5,727	-	-	-	3,430,269	-	3,435,996	-	3,435,996
Stock issued for oil asset and services	-	-	225,000	225	-	-	-	122,275	-	122,500	-	122,500
Stock options and warrants issued	-	-	-	-	-	(536,591)	-	536,591	-	-	-	-
Amortization of stock options and warrants	-	-	-	-	-	305,778	-	-	-	305,778	-	305,778
Acquisition of treasury stock	-	-	-	-	(1,500,000)	-	-	-	-	(1,500,000)	-	(1,500,000)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	(552,589)	-	-	(552,589)	-	(552,589)
Gain on sale of non controlling interest in subsidiary	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,805,632	-	1,805,632	544,368	2,350,000
Dividends paid on preferred stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(56,263)	(56,263)	-	(56,263)
Net loss for the year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,038,622)	(2,038,622)	21,360	(2,017,262)
Balance, December 31, 2011	4,779,460	4,780	73,411,529	73,412	(1,500,000)	(230,813)	(552,589)	43,556,486	(20,450,876)	20,900,400	565,728	21,466,128
Stock Options and Warrants Issued	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	252,925	-	252,925	-	-
Amortization of Stock Options	-	-	-	-	-	76,937	-	-	-	76,938	-	-
Stock Issued for Services	-	-	175,000	175	-	-	-	122,226	-	122,401	-	-
Acquisition of Treasury Stock	-	-	-	-	(1,051,000)	-	-	-	-	(1,051,000)	-	-
Gain on Sale of Partnership Interest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,420,459	-	1,420,459	1,229,540	-
Distribution of Non-Controlling Interest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(592,936)	-
Liquidation of Non-Controlling Interest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,597,460)	-
Dividends Paid on Preferred Stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(608,459)	(608,459)	-	-
Net Income for the Year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	345,992	345,992	395,128	-
Balance December 31, 2012	<u>4,779,460</u>	<u>\$ 4,780</u>	<u>\$ 73,586,529</u>	<u>\$ 73,587</u>	<u>\$ (2,551,000)</u>	<u>\$ (153,876)</u>	<u>\$ (552,589)</u>	<u>\$ 45,352,096</u>	<u>\$ (20,713,343)</u>	<u>\$ 21,459,655</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 21,634,419</u>

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

EnerJex Resources, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net Income (loss)	\$ 741,120	\$ (2,017,262)
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	1,633,467	1,128,712
Stock, options and warrants issued for services	285,230	368,278
Accretion of asset retirement obligation	93,973	87,437
(Gain) on derivatives	(927,039)	(469,495)
(Gain) on sale of fixed assets	(1,378)	-
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to cash from operating activities:		
Accounts receivable	232,443	(1,097,018)
Deposits and prepaid expenses	(93,123)	30,032
Accounts payable	28,398	1,245,844
Accrued liabilities	291,652	(38,021)
Cash flows from operating activities	<u>2,284,743</u>	<u>(761,493)</u>
Cash flows from investing activities		
Purchase of Treasury Stock	(226,000)	(1,500,000)
Purchase of fixed assets	(115,274)	(276,294)
Additions to oil properties	(10,247,539)	(6,288,695)
Sale of oil properties	-	3,825,000
Proceeds from sale of vehicles	11,240	-
Cash flows from investing activities	<u>(10,577,573)</u>	<u>(4,239,989)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities		
Sale of marketable securities	-	1,400,000
Sale of common stock	-	3,435,996
Sale of non-controlling interest in subsidiary	2,650,000	2,350,000
Dividend paid	(433,696)	(56,263)
Borrowings on long-term debt	4,700,000	700,000
Distribution to non-controlling interest in subsidiary	(592,936)	-
Payments on long-term debt	(33,484)	(3,019,630)
Cash flows from financing activities	<u>6,289,884</u>	<u>4,810,103</u>
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(2,002,946)	(191,379)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning	2,770,440	2,961,819
Cash and cash equivalents, end	<u>\$ 767,494</u>	<u>\$ 2,770,440</u>
Supplemental disclosures:		
Interest paid	\$ 195,125	\$ 445,365
Income taxes paid	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
Non-cash transactions:		
Share-based payments issued for services	<u>\$ 452,263</u>	<u>\$ 368,278</u>
Stock issued for oil properties and supporting assets	<u>-</u>	<u>60,000</u>
Treasury stock purchased with a note payable	<u>\$ 825,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
Preferred dividends payable	<u>174,763</u>	<u>-</u>

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

EnerJex Resources, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1 – Summary of Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Our operations are considered to fall within a single industry segment, which are the acquisition, development, exploitation and production of crude oil properties in the United States. Our consolidated financial statements include our wholly owned subsidiaries and our majority owned subsidiary Rantoul Partners (through December 31, 2012). On December 31, 2012 the Rantoul Partners subsidiary was liquidated. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated upon consolidation. Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year financial statements to conform to the current year presentation.

Nature of Business

We are an independent energy company engaged in the business of producing and selling crude oil. This crude oil is obtained primarily by the acquisition and subsequent exploration and development of mineral leases. Development and exploration may include drilling new exploratory or development wells on these leases. These operations are conducted primarily in Eastern Kansas and South Texas.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates included in the consolidated financial statements are: (1) oil revenues and reserves; (2) depreciation, depletion and amortization; (3) valuation allowances associated with income taxes (4) accrued assets and liabilities; (5) stock-based compensation; (6) asset retirement obligations and (7) valuation of derivative instruments. Although management believes these estimates are reasonable, changes in facts and circumstances or discovery of new information may result in revised estimates. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Trade Accounts Receivable

Trade accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear any interest. We regularly review receivables to insure that the amounts will be collected and establish or adjust an allowance for uncollectible amounts as necessary using the specific identification method. Account balances are charged off against the allowance after all means of collection have been exhausted and the potential for recovery is considered remote. There were no reserves for uncollectible amounts in the periods presented.

Share-Based Payments

The value we assign to the options and warrants that we issue is based on the fair market value as calculated by the Black-Scholes pricing model. To perform a calculation of the value of our options and warrants, we determine an estimate of the volatility of our stock. We need to estimate volatility because there has not been enough trading of our stock to determine an appropriate measure of volatility. We believe our estimate of volatility is reasonable, and we review the assumptions used to determine this whenever we issue a new equity instruments.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized when items of income and expense are recognized in the financial statements in different periods than when recognized in the applicable tax return. Deferred tax assets arise when expenses are recognized in the financial statements before the tax returns or when income items are recognized in the tax return prior to the financial statements. Deferred tax assets also arise when operating losses or tax credits are available to offset tax payments due in future years. Deferred tax liabilities arise when income items are recognized in the financial statements before the tax returns or when expenses are recognized in the tax return prior to the financial statements. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the date when the change in the tax rate was enacted.

We routinely assess the reliability of our deferred tax assets. If we conclude that it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized under accounting standards, the tax asset is reduced by a valuation allowance. In addition we routinely assess uncertain tax positions, and accrue for tax positions that are not more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities.

Uncertain Tax Positions

We follow guidance in Topic 740 of the Codification for its accounting for uncertain tax positions. Topic 740 prescribes guidance for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. To recognize a tax position, we determine whether it is more-likely-than-not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation, based solely on the technical merits of the position. A tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not threshold is measured to determine the amount of benefit to be recognized in the financial statements. The amount of tax benefit recognized with respect to any tax position is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon settlement.

We have no liability for unrecognized tax benefits recorded as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. Accordingly, there is no amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate and there is no amount of interest or penalties currently recognized in the statement of operations or statement of financial position as of December 31, 2012. In addition, we do not believe that there are any positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase or decrease within the next twelve months. We recognize related interest and penalties as a component of income tax expense.

Tax years open for audit by federal tax authorities as of December 31, 2012 are the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012. Tax years ending prior to 2009 are open for audit to the extent that net operating losses generated in those years are being carried forward or utilized in an open year.

Fair Value Measurements

Accounting guidance establishes a single authoritative definition of fair value based upon the assumptions market participants would use when pricing an asset or liability and creates a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. Additional disclosures are required, including disclosures of fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy. We incorporate a credit risk assumption into the measurement of certain assets and liabilities.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investment instruments purchased with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents for purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows and other statements. We maintain cash on deposit, which, at times, exceed federally insured limits. We have not experienced any losses on such accounts and believe we are not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and equivalents.

Revenue Recognition

Oil revenues are recognized net of royalties when production is sold to a purchaser at a fixed or determinable price, when delivery has occurred and title has transferred, and if collection of the revenue is probable. Cash received relating to future revenues is deferred and recognized when all revenue recognition criteria are met.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation is on a straight-line method using the estimated lives of the assets. (3-15 years). Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense.

Debt issue costs

Debt issuance costs incurred are capitalized and subsequently amortized over the term of the related debt on the straight-line method of amortization over the estimated life of the debt.

Oil Properties

We follow the full-cost method of accounting under which all costs associated with property acquisition, exploration and development activities are capitalized. We also capitalize internal costs that can be directly identified with our acquisition, exploration and development activities and do not include any costs related to production, general corporate overhead or similar activities.

Under the full-cost method, capitalized costs are amortized on a composite unit-of-production method based on proved oil reserves. Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense is also based on the amount of estimated reserves. If we maintain the same level of production year over year, the depreciation, depletion and amortization expense may be significantly different if our estimate of remaining reserves changes significantly. Proceeds from the sale of properties are accounted for as reductions of capitalized costs unless such sales involve a significant change in the relationship between costs and the value of proved reserves or the underlying value of unproved properties, in which case a gain or loss is recognized. The costs of unproved properties are excluded from amortization until the properties are evaluated. We review all of our unevaluated properties quarterly to determine whether or not and to what extent proved reserves have been assigned to the properties, and otherwise if impairment has occurred. Unevaluated properties are assessed individually when individual costs are significant.

We review the carrying value of our oil properties under the full-cost accounting rules of the SEC on a quarterly basis. This quarterly review is referred to as a ceiling test. Under the ceiling test, capitalized costs, less accumulated amortization and related deferred income taxes, may not exceed an amount equal to the sum of the present value of estimated future net revenues (adjusted for cash flow hedges) less estimated future expenditures to be incurred in developing and producing the proved reserves, less any related income tax effects. In calculating future net revenues, current SEC regulations require us to utilize prices at the end of the appropriate quarterly period. Such prices are utilized except where different prices are fixed and determinable from applicable contracts for the remaining term of those contracts, including the effects of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges. Two primary factors impacting this test are reserve levels and current prices, and their associated impact on the present value of estimated future net revenues. Revisions to estimates of oil reserves and/or an increase or decrease in prices can have a material impact on the present value of estimated future net revenues. Any excess of the net book value, less deferred income taxes, is generally written off as an expense. Under SEC regulations, the excess above the ceiling is not expensed (or is reduced) if, subsequent to the end of the period, but prior to the release of the financial statements, oil prices increase sufficiently such that an excess above the ceiling would have been eliminated (or reduced) if the increased prices were used in the calculations.

The estimates of proved crude oil reserves utilized in the preparation of the financial statements are estimated in accordance with guidelines established by the Securities and Exchange Commission ("*SEC*") and the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("*FASB*"), which require that reserve estimates be prepared under existing economic and operating conditions using a 12-month average price with no provision for price and cost escalations in future years except by contractual arrangements. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates.

Long-Lived Assets

Impairment of long-lived assets is recorded when indicators of impairment are present and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying value. The carrying value of the assets is then reduced to their estimated fair value that is usually measured based on an estimate of future discounted cash flows.

Asset Retirement Obligations

The asset retirement obligation relates to the plug and abandonment costs when our wells are no longer useful. We determine the value of the liability by obtaining quotes for this service and estimate the increase we will face in the future. We then discount the future value based on an intrinsic interest rate that is appropriate for us. If costs rise more than what we have expected there could be additional charges in the future, however, we monitor the costs of the abandoned wells and we will adjust this liability if necessary.

Major Purchasers

For the years ended December 31, 2012, and 2011 we sold all of our produced oil to Coffeyville Resources, Plains Marketing, L.P., and Sunoco, Inc on a month-to-month basis.

Marketable Securities Available for Sale

The Company classifies its marketable equity securities as available-for-sale and they are carried at fair market value, with the unrealized gains and losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income and reported in stockholders' equity. The difference between cost and market totals \$552,589 for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior periods to conform to current presentations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements Applicable to the Company

The Company does not believe there are any recently issued, but not yet effective; accounting standards that would have a significant impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Note 2 – Stock Transactions

The Series A preferred stock is convertible into 4,779,460 shares of our common stock, and the Series A preferred stock, by its terms, shall convert into common stock on a one-to-one basis (subject to adjustment) once the cumulative dividends paid with regard to such stock equal to original principal value of \$1.00 per share. In the event of liquidation, the holders of our Series A preferred stock would receive priority liquidation payments before payments to common shareholders equal to the amount of the stated value of the preferred stock before any distributions would be made to our common shareholders. The preferred stockholders have the right, by majority vote of the shares of preferred stock, to generally approve any issuances by us of equity that is senior to or equal in rights to the preferred stock.

We are required by the terms of our Series A preferred stock to declare dividends each calendar quarter in an aggregate amount equal to one-third of our adjusted net cash from operating activities reduced by any principal amount of debt repayment in such calendar quarter to institutional lenders and other secured creditors. Dividends of \$433,696 and \$56,263 were paid for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 respectively. A dividend of \$174,763 will be paid in the second quarter of 2013 to preferred shareholders of record as of December 31, 2012.

Stock transactions in fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

We issued 60,000 shares at \$0.77 per shares to an Investor Relations firm in exchange for services. The market value of the stock at the date of issuance was \$0.77 per share. We also issued 75,000 shares to a Director of the Company for services and 40,000 shares to an employee of the Company. The market price at the date of issuance for these shares was \$0.60 and \$0.78 respectively.

On November 30, 2012 the Company purchased two million shares of stock from a shareholder of the Company for \$323,035 in cash (including an option payment that we previously made to the selling stockholder) and a note payable of \$825,000 bearing interest at a rate per annum of twenty-four hundredths percent (0.24%) (See footnote 13).

Stock transactions in fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

On March 31, 2011, we issued 5,727,660 shares that were sold at a price of \$0.60 per share.

On March 31, 2011, we entered into a Stock Redemption Agreement with Working Interest Group, LLC whereby we repurchased 3,750,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.40 per share.

On November 14, 2011, we agreed to issue 100,000 shares for the purchase of assets.

On December 31, 2011 we agreed to issue 25,000 shares of our common stock as compensation to a board member for services performed.

Option transactions

Officers (including officers who are members of the Board of Directors), directors, employees and consultants are eligible to receive options under our stock option plans. We administer the stock option plans and we determine those persons to whom options will be granted, the number of options to be granted, the provisions applicable to each grant and the time periods during which the options may be exercised. No options may be granted more than ten years after the date of the adoption of the stock option plans.

Each option granted under the stock option plans will be exercisable for a term of not more than ten years after the date of grant. Certain other restrictions will apply in connection with the plans when some awards may be exercised. In the event of a change of control (as defined in the stock option plans), the vesting date on which all options outstanding under the stock option plans may first be exercised will be accelerated. Generally, all options terminate 90 days after a change of control.

2000-2001 Stock Option Plan

The Board of Directors approved a stock option plan and our stockholders ratified the plan on September 25, 2000. The total number of options that can be granted under the plan is 200,000 shares.

Stock Option Plan

On May 4, 2007, we amended and restated the EnerJex Resources, Inc. Stock Option Plan to rename the plan and to increase the number of shares issuable under the plan to 1,000,000. Our stockholders approved this plan in September of 2007. On October 14, 2008 our stockholders approved a proposal to amend and restate the 2002-2003 Stock Option Plan to (i) rename it the EnerJex Resources, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan (the "Stock Incentive Plan"), (ii) increase the maximum number of shares of our common stock that may be issued under the Stock Incentive Plan from 1,000,000 to 1,250,000, and (iii) add restricted stock as an eligible award that can be granted under the Stock Incentive Plan. At December 31, 2011 there were 900,000 options outstanding.

On December 31, 2010 we granted 900,000 options that vest ratably over a 48 month period and are exercisable at \$0.40 per share to an Officer of the company. The term of the options is 5 years. The fair value of the options as calculated using the Black-Scholes model was \$307,751. The amount recognized as expense in the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$76,938 respectively and the amount of expense to be recognized in future periods is \$153,876. There are 450,000 options vested at December 31, 2012.

On December 1, 2012 we granted 785,000 options that vest ratably every six months over a three year period to four employees of the company. The fair value of the option on the date of the grant was calculated using the Black-Scholes model was \$167,032 using the following weighted average assumptions: exercise price of \$0.70 per share; common stock price of \$0.56 per share; volatility of 67%; term of three years; dividend yield of 0%; interest rate of .47%. The amount recognized as expense in the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$18,825 and the amount of expense to be recognized in future periods is \$148,208. There were no options vested at December 31, 2012.

New Stock Incentive Plan

Because there are not available under our existing 2000/2001 Stock Option Plan or our 2002-2003 Stock Option Plan sufficient shares to cover options that we intend to grant, and because those existing plans are dated and would not allow us to grant tax-qualified incentive stock options, we intend to seek stockholder approval of a new stock incentive plan and to reserve thereunder up to approximately 5,000,000 shares of our common stock for the granting of options and issuance of restricted shares to our employees, officers, directors, and consultants. We have entered into an agreement with Douglas M. Wright, our chief financial officer, that if he is employed with us when that plan has been approved by our stockholders, then we will grant to him under the new stock incentive plan an option for the purchase of 750,000 shares of stock, subject to a vesting arrangement.

Warrant Transactions

On March 31, 2011, we granted 2,838,330 Warrants to each investor that entered into the Securities Purchase Agreement for additional consideration,

each investor received a stock purchase warrant to purchase 1 share of common stock at a price of \$0.90 per share, for each 2 shares of common stock purchased.

Each Warrant was exercisable until December 31, 2011. The fair value at the date of the grant was calculated using the Black-Scholes model and totaled \$74,164, using the following weighted average assumptions: exercise price of \$0.90 per share; common stock price of \$0.85 per share; volatility of 42%; term of nine months; dividend yield of 0%; interest rate of 0.30%. On December 31, 2011 the warrants were extended for an additional nine months to expire September 30, 2012. The fair value at the date of the extension was calculated using the Black-Scholes model and totaled \$154,676, using the following weighted average assumptions: exercise price of \$0.90 per share; common stock price of \$0.90 per share; volatility of 71%; term of nine months; dividend yield of 0%; interest rate of 0.25%. The amount recognized as expense in the year ended December 31, 2011 was based on an estimate of the number of warrants that would be exercised and totaled \$228,840. On September 30, 2012 the warrants were cancelled unexercised.

On May 31, 2012, we granted 250,000 Warrants to an investor relations firm for investor relations services to be performed over the next two years. Each warrant is exercisable until May 31, 2014. The fair value at the date of grant was calculated using the Black-Scholes model and totaled approximately \$86,000 using the following assumptions. The exercise price is \$0.70 per share. The market price of our stock at the grant date was \$0.75 per share. We assumed volatility of 82%, a dividend yield of 0.0%, an interest rate of 0.30% and a two year term.

A summary of stock options and warrants is as follows:

	Options	Weighted Ave. Exercise Price	Warrants	Weighted Ave. Exercise Price
Outstanding January 1, 2011	900,000	\$ 0.40	-	\$ -
Granted	-	-	2,838,330	0.90
Cancelled	-	-	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Outstanding December 31, 2011	900,000	\$ 0.40	2,838,330	\$ 0.90
Granted	785,000	0.70	250,000	0.70
Cancelled	-	-	(2,838,330)	(0.90)
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Outstanding December 31, 2012	<u>1,685,000</u>	<u>\$ 0.54</u>	<u>250,000</u>	<u>\$ 0.70</u>

Note 3 – Asset Retirement Obligation

Our asset retirement obligations relate to the abandonment of oil wells. The amounts recognized are based on numerous estimates and assumptions, including future retirement costs, inflation rates and credit adjusted risk-free interest rates. The following shows the changes in asset retirement obligations:

Asset retirement obligations, January 1, 2011	\$ 883,066
Liabilities incurred during the period	297,800
Liabilities settled during the period	(359,513)
Accretion	87,437
Asset retirement obligations, December 31, 2011	<u>908,790</u>
Liabilities incurred during the period	347,018
Liabilities settled during the year	(1,427)
Accretion	81,770
Asset retirement obligations, December 31, 2012	<u>\$ 1,336,151</u>

Note 4 - Long-Term Debt

Senior Secured Credit Facility

On October 3, 2011, the Company and DD Energy, Inc., EnerJex Kansas, Inc., Black Sable Energy, LLC and Working Interest, LLC ("Borrowers") entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with Texas Capital Bank, and other financial institutions and banks that may become a party to the Credit Agreement from time to time. The facilities provided under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement are to be used to refinance Borrowers prior outstanding revolving loan facility with Bank, dated July 3, 2008, and for working capital and general corporate purposes.

At our option, loans under the facility will bear stated interest based on the Base Rate plus Base Rate Margin, or Floating Rate plus Floating Rate Margin (as those terms are defined in the Credit Agreement). The Base Rate will be, for any day, a fluctuating rate per annum equal to the higher of (a) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.50% and (b) the Bank's prime rate.

The Floating Rate shall mean, at Borrower's option, a per annum interest rate equal to (i) the Eurodollar Rate plus Eurodollar Margin, or (ii) the Base Rate plus Base Rate Margin (as those terms are defined in the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement). Eurodollar borrowings may be for one, two, three, or six months, as selected by the Borrowers. The margins for all loans are based on a pricing grid ranging from 0.00% to 0.75% for the Base Rate Margin and 2.25% to 3.00% for the Floating Rate Margin based on the Company's Borrowing Base Utilization Percentage (as defined in the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement).

We entered into a First Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement and Second Amended and Restated Promissory Note in the amount of \$50,000,000 with the Texas Capital Bank, which closed on December 15, 2011. The Amendment reflects the addition of Rantoul Partners, as an additional Borrower and adds as additional security for the loans the assets held by Rantoul Partners.

We entered into a Second Amendment and Restated Credit Agreement and Second Amended and Restated Promissory Note in the amount of \$50,000,000 with the Texas Capital Bank, which closed on August 31, 2012. The Amendment reflects the following changes: i) the reduction of the minimum interest rate to 3.75%, ii) an increase in the borrowing base to \$7.0 million, iii) the addition of a provision resulting in an event of default if Robert G. Watson ceases to be the chief executive officer of any Borrower for any reason and a successor reasonably acceptable to Administrative Agent is not appointed within one hundred twenty (120) days thereafter, and iv) the addition of new leases to the collateral pool.

We entered into a Third Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement and Second and Restated Promissory Note in the amount of \$50,000,000 with The Texas Capital Bank which closed on November 5, 2012. The Amendment reflects the following changes: i) an increase in the borrowing base to \$12.150 million, ii) the addition of a provision permitting the repurchase of up to 2,000,000 of common stock on or before December 31, 2013, subject to certain liquidity requirements, iii) the amendment of certain financial covenant definitions for the purposes of clarity, and iv) the provision of a limited waiver for the failure to comply with the Interest Coverage Ratio for the period ending December 31, 2011.

Our Current borrowing base is \$12.150 million, of which we had borrowed \$8.5 million as of December 31, 2012. We intend to conduct an additional borrowing base review around the end of the first quarter of 2013 and we expect increases in production and the maturity of existing production to result in an additional borrowing base increase prior to such additional borrowing base review. For the year ended December 31, 2012 the interest rate was 3.75%. This facility expires on October 3, 2015.

We financed the purchase of vehicles through a bank. The notes are for four years and the weighted average interest is 7.2% per annum. Vehicles collateralize these notes. At December 31, 2011 a \$7,000 balance remained on the note. All amounts due on these notes were paid in 2012.

Long-term debt at December 31, 2012 consisted of the credit facility in the amount of \$8,500,000.

Note 5 – Oil Properties

For the year ended December 31, 2011, we sold a number of oil properties for \$3,825,000. In accordance with the full cost method of accounting, the Company did not record a gain or loss on these sales.

Note 6 – Related party transactions

In the normal course of business we utilize the services of stockholders who perform work for us at normal business rates.

Note 7 – Commitments and Contingencies

Rent expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were approximately \$113,000 and \$75,000 respectively. Future non-cancellable minimum lease payments are approximately \$147,000 for 2013, \$76,000 for 2014, \$71,000 for 2015, \$62,000 for 2016 and \$63,000 for 2017. We received rental income from sub rentals of \$50,000 in 2012 and will receive \$37,000 in 2013.

We, as a lessee and operator of oil properties, are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to discharge of materials into, and protection of, the environment. These laws and regulations may, among other things, impose liability on the lessee under an oil lease for the cost of pollution clean-up resulting from operations and subject to the lessee to liability for pollution damages. In some instances, the Company may be directed to suspend or cease operations in the affected area. As of December 31, 2012, we have no reserve for environmental remediation and are not aware of any environmental claims.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company has an outstanding irrevocable letter of credit in the amount of \$25,000 issued in favor of the Texas Railroad Commission. This letter of credit is required by the Texas Railroad Commission by all companies operating in the state of Texas with production greater than limits they prescribe.

Note 8 – Income Taxes

There was no current or deferred income tax expense (benefit) for the year ended December 31, 2011 and the nine month transition period ended December 31, 2010.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the provision for income taxes to the statutory federal rate:

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Statutory tax rate	34.0%	34.0%
Derivative instruments	(94.8)%	7.8%
Oil costs and long-lived assets	30.7%	(0.3)%
Non-deductible expenses	14.9%	(5.1)%
Change in valuation allowance	15.2%	(36.4)%
Effective tax rate	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>

Significant components of the deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Non-current deferred tax asset:		
Oil costs and long-lived assets	\$ 698,339	\$ 609,215
Derivative instruments	612,139	927,333
Net operating loss carry-forward	8,010,770	7,960,080
Valuation allowance	(9,321,248)	(9,496,628)
	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

At December 31, 2012 we have a net operating loss carry forward of approximately \$23,549,000 expiring in 2021-2028 that is subject to certain limitations on an annual basis. A valuation allowance has been established against net operating losses where it is more likely than not that such losses will expire before they are utilized.

The Company incurred a change of control as defined by the Internal Revenue Code. Accordingly, the rules will limit the utilization of the Company's net operating losses. The limitation is determined by multiplying the value of the stock immediately before the ownership change by the applicable long-term exempt rate. It is estimated that \$10.2 million of net operating losses will be subject to an annual limitation. Any unused annual limitation may be carried over to later years. The amount of the limitation may under certain circumstances be increased by the built-in gains in assets held by the Company at the time of the change that are recognized in the five-year period after the change.

Note 9 – Fair Value Measurements

We hold certain financial assets which are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis in accordance with the Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("ASC Topic 820-10"). ASC Topic 820-10 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). ASC Topic 820-10 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. A fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell the asset or transfer the liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under ASC Topic 820-10 are described below:

Level 1. Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that an entity has the ability to access. We believe receivables, payables and our debt approximate fair value at December 31, 2012.

Level 2. Valuations based on quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. We consider the derivative liability to be Level 2. We determine the fair value of the derivative liability utilizing various inputs, including NYMEX price quotations and contract terms.

Level 3. Valuations based on inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. We consider the marketable securities to be a Level 3. Our derivative instruments consist of fixed price commodity swaps.

	Fair Value Measurement		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Crude oil contracts	\$ -	\$ 1,800,295	\$ -
Marketable securities	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,018,573

Note 10 – Derivative Instruments

We have entered into certain derivative or physical arrangements with respect to portions of our crude oil production to reduce our sensitivity to volatile commodity prices and/or to meet hedging requirements under our Credit Facility. We believe that these derivative arrangements, although not free of risk, allow us to achieve a more predictable cash flow and to reduce exposure to commodity price fluctuations. However, derivative arrangements limit the benefit of increases in the prices of crude oil. Moreover, our derivative arrangements apply only to a portion of our production.

We have an Intercreditor Agreement in place between the Company; our counterparty, BP Corporation North America, Inc. (“BP”); and our agent, Texas Capital Bank, N.A., which allows Texas Capital Bank to also act as agent for BP for the purpose of holding and enforcing any liens or security interests resulting from our derivative arrangements. Therefore, we generally are not required to post additional collateral, including cash.

The following derivative contracts were in place at December 31, 2012:

	Term	Monthly Volumes	Price/Bbl	Fair Value
Crude oil swap	1/13-12/14	1,933 Bbls	\$ 76.74	\$ (1,077,333)
Crude oil swap	7/11-12/15	2,517 Bbls	\$ 83.70	(722,962)
				\$ (1,800,295)

Monthly volume is the weighted average throughout the period.

The total fair value is shown as a derivative instrument in both the current and non-current liabilities on the balance sheet. We recorded losses on the derivative contracts for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 of \$871,331 and \$409,399 respectively.

Note 11 – Income (Loss) Per Common Share

The Company reports earnings (loss) per share in accordance with ASC Topic 260-10, “Earnings per Share.” Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing income (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares available. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed similar to basic earnings (loss) per share except that the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if the potential common shares had been issued and if the additional common shares were dilutive.

Potential common shares as of December 31, 2012 include 250,000 warrants, 1,685,000 stock options, and 4,779,460 shares from the conversion of preferred shares. Potential common shares as of December 31, 2011 include 2,838,330 warrants, 900,000 stock options and 4,779,460 from the conversion of preferred shares.

Note 12 – Accounts Payable

The Company's current liabilities at December 31, 2012 and 2011 include accounts payable in the amount of \$2,384,090 and \$2,355,692 respectively. Accounts payable for 2012 and 2011 included \$492,134 payable to former attorneys of the Company that are in dispute.

Note 13 – Note Payable

On November 30, 2012 the Company purchased two million shares of stock from a shareholder of the Company for \$323,035 in cash (including an option payment that we previously made to the selling stakeholder) and a note payable of \$825,000 bearing interest at a rate per annum of twenty-four hundredths percent (0.24%). Principal and accrued interest are payable as follows:

On or before March 31, 2013, \$200,000.00 plus accrued interest.

On or before June 30, 2013, \$200,000.00 plus accrued interest.

On or before September 30, 2013 \$200,000.00 plus accrued interest.

On or before December 31, 2013 \$225,000.00 plus accrued interest.

Note 14 – Subsequent Events

In January 2013 the Company issued an advisor warrants for the purchase of 300,000 shares of the Company's common stock with a strike price equal to \$0.70 per share for investor relation services, and the Company issued 130,000 shares of stock and 35,000 options to employees.

Note 15 – Supplemental Oil Reserve Information (Unaudited)

Results of operations from oil producing activities

The following table shows the results of operations from the Company's oil producing activities. Results of operations from these activities are determined using historical revenues, production costs and depreciation and depletion. The results of operations from the Company's oil producing activities below exclude non-oil revenues, general and administrative expenses, interest income and interest expense. Income tax expense was determined by applying the statutory rates to pretax operating results.

	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011
Production revenues	\$ 8,496,519	\$ 6,285,411
Production costs	(3,102,321)	(3,440,228)
Depletion and depreciation	(1,541,069)	(1,128,712)
Income tax	(1,305,513)	(583,600)
Results of operations for producing activities	<u>\$ 2,547,616</u>	<u>\$ 1,132,871</u>

Capitalized costs

The following table summarizes the Company's capitalized costs of oil properties.

	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011
Unevaluated properties not subject to amortization	\$ 7,830,828	\$ 7,922,734
Properties subject to amortization	30,466,951	21,602,640
Capitalized costs	38,297,779	29,525,374
Accumulated depletion	(5,094,881)	(3,764,874)
Net capitalized costs	<u>\$ 33,202,898</u>	<u>\$ 25,760,500</u>

Cost incurred in property acquisition, exploration and development activities

	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011
Acquisition of properties	\$ -	\$ 1,422,590
Exploration costs	-	-
Development costs	10,247,539	4,926,105
Net capitalized costs	<u>\$ 10,247,539</u>	<u>\$ 6,348,695</u>

Estimated quantities of proved reserves

Our ownership interests in estimated quantities of proved oil reserves and changes in net proved reserves all of which are located in the United States are summarized below. Proved reserves are estimated quantities of oil that geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions. Proved developed reserves are those that are expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods. Reserves are stated in barrels (stb) of oil. Geological and engineering estimates by MHA Petroleum Consultants, LLC of proved oil reserves at one point in time are highly interpretive, inherently imprecise and subject to ongoing revisions that may be substantial in amount. Although every reasonable effort is made to ensure that the reserve estimates are accurate, by their nature reserve estimates are generally less precise than other estimates presented in connection with financial statement disclosures.

	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011
	Oil-stb	Oil-stb
Proved reserves:		
Beginning	2,714,150	2,320,150
Revisions of previous estimates	(193,059)	(130,908)
Purchase of minerals in place	-	700,190
Extension and discoveries	502,751	316,049
Sale of minerals in place	-	(221,365)
Sales of Rantoul Partners interest		(198,187)
Production	(96,842)	(71,729)
Ending	<u>2,927,000</u>	<u>2,714,200</u>

Proved developed reserves for December 31, 2012 and 2011 consisted of 100% oil and totaled 1,546.3 and 643.1 MBbbls, respectively. Proved undeveloped reserves at December 31, 2012 and 2011 were 1,380.8 and 2,071.1 MBbbls, respectively.

Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows

The standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows from our proved reserves for the periods presented in the financial statements is summarized below.

	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011
Future production revenue	\$ 246,535,000	\$ 242,383,840
Future production costs	(69,131,000)	(93,373,850)
Future development costs	(11,766,000)	(12,767,540)
Future cash flows before income tax	165,638,000	136,242,450
Future income taxes	(33,550,000)	(22,864,737)
Future net cash flows	132,088,000	113,377,713
10% annual discount for estimating of future cash flows	(83,215,000)	(69,730,808)
Standardized measure of discounted net cash flows	<u>\$ 48,873,000</u>	<u>\$ 43,646,905</u>

Changes in Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows

The following is a summary of a Standardized Measure of discounted net future cash flows related to the Company's proved oil reserves. The information presented is based on a calculation of estimated proved reserves using discounted cash flows based on the 12-month average price for oil calculated as the unweighted arithmetic average of the first-day-of-the-month price for each month within the 12-month prior period. The additions to estimated proved reserves from new discoveries and extensions could vary significantly from year to year. Additionally, the impact of changes to reflect current prices and costs of reserves proved in prior years could also be significant.

	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011
Balance beginning of year	\$ 43,646,905	\$ 25,304,892
Sales, net of production costs	(5,394,198)	(2,869,339)
Net change in pricing and production costs	2,870,156	11,287,884
Net change in future estimated development costs	(1,001,445)	(702,640)
Purchase of minerals in place	-	16,834,878
Extensions and discoveries	11,274,543	7,598,861
Sale of minerals in place	-	(5,322,346)
Sale of Rantoul Partners interest	-	(4,765,069)
Revisions	(4,329,483)	(3,147,460)
Accretion of discount	5,324,900	3,119,577
Change in income tax	(3,518,817)	(3,692,333)
Balance end of year	<u>\$ 48,872,560</u>	<u>\$ 43,646,905</u>

Exhibit 21.1
Subsidiaries of EnerJex Resources, Inc.
As of December 31, 2012

Name of Subsidiary	State of Incorporation	Percentage Ownership
DD Energy, Inc.	Nevada	100%
EnerJex Kansas, Inc.	Nevada	100%
Black Sable Energy, LLC	Texas	100%
Working Interest, LLC	Kansas	100%



**CONSENT OF MILLER AND LENTS, LTD.
INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM ENGINEERS**

As independent petroleum engineers, Miller and Lents, Ltd. hereby consents to the use of references in the Form 10-K of EnerJex Resources, Inc. of estimates of reserves as of December 31, 2011 and to all references to our firm included in this Form 10-K.

The Form 10-K contains references to a certain report prepared by Miller and Lents, Ltd. for the exclusive use of EnerJex Resources, Inc. The analysis conclusions, and methods contained in the report are based upon information that was in existence at the time the report was rendered and Miller and Lents, Ltd. has not updated and undertakes no duty to update anything contained in the report. While the report may be used as a descriptive resource, investors are advised that Miller and Lents, Ltd. has not verified information provided by others except as specifically noted in the report, and Miller and Lents, Ltd. make no representation or warranty as to the accuracy of such information. Moreover, the conclusions contained in such report are based on assumptions that Miller and Lents, Ltd. believed were reasonable at the time of its preparation and that are described in such report in reasonable detail. However, there are a wide range of uncertainties and risks that are outside of the control of Miller and Lents, Ltd. which may impact these assumptions, including but not limited to unforeseen market changes, actions of governments or individuals, natural events, economic changes, and changes of laws and regulations or interpretation of laws and regulations.

MILLER AND LENTS, LTD.
Texas Registered Engineering Firm No. F-1442

By /s/Leslie A. Fallon
Leslie A. Fallon
Vice President

Houston, Texas
April 13, 2012

TWO HOUSTON CENTER • 909 FANNIN STREET, SUITE 1300 • HOUSTON, TEXAS 77010
TELEPHONE 713-651-9455 • TELEFAX 713-654-9914 • e-mail: mail@millerandlents.com



**CONSENT OF MHA PETROLEUM CONSULTANTS LLC
INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM ENGINEERS**

As independent petroleum engineers, MHA Petroleum Consultants LLC hereby consents to the use of references in the Form 10-K of EnerJex Resources, Inc. of our estimates of reserves as of December 31, 2012 and to all references to our firm included in this Form 10-K.

The Form 10-K contains references to a certain report prepared by MHA Petroleum Consultants LLC for the exclusive use of EnerJex Resources, Inc. The analysis, conclusions, and methods contained in the report are based upon information that was in existence at the time the report was rendered and MHA Petroleum Consultants LLC has not updated and undertakes no duty to update anything contained in the report. While the report may be used as a descriptive resource, investors are advised that MHA Petroleum Consultants LLC has not verified information provided by others except as specifically noted in the report, and MHA Petroleum Consultants LLC makes no representation or warranty as to the accuracy of such information. Moreover, the conclusions contained in such report are based on assumptions that MHA Petroleum Consultants LLC believed were reasonable at the time of its preparation and that are described in such report in reasonable detail. However, there are a wide range of uncertainties and risks that are outside of the control of MHA Petroleum Consultants LLC which may impact these assumptions, including but not limited to unforeseen market changes, actions of governments or individuals, natural events, economic changes, and changes of laws and regulations or interpretation of laws and regulations.

MHA Petroleum Consultants LLC

MHA Petroleum Consultants, LLC

By /s/Leslie S. O'Connor
Leslie A. O'Connor
Vice President

Denver, Colorado
Date: April 10, 2013

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF
EnerJex Resources, Inc.

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement No, 333-154472 on Form S-8 of EnerJex Resources, Inc., relating to our audits of the consolidated financial statements of EnerJex Resources, Inc. included in the Amended Annual Report on Form 10-K of EnerJex Resources, Inc. for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, the nine-month transition period ended December 31, 2010, and the year ended March 31, 2010.

/s/ Weaver Martin & Samyn, LLC

Weaver Martin & Samyn, LLC
Certified Public Accountants

Kansas City, Missouri
Date: April 10, 2013

CERTIFICATION

I, Robert G. Watson, Chief Executive Officer of EnerJex Resources, Inc., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of EnerJex Resources, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's Board of Directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: April 10, 2013

/s/ Robert G. Watson, Jr.

Robert G. Watson, Jr.
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Douglas M. Wright, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of EnerJex Resources, Inc., a Nevada corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation;
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's Board of Directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: April 10, 2013

/s/ Douglas M. Wright

Douglas M. Wright
Chief Financial Officer
