

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ TO _____

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 333-61610

**BRAINSTORM CELL
THERAPEUTICS INC.**

(Exact Name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

20-8133057
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

110 East 59th Street
New York, NY
(Address of principal executive
offices)

10022
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 212-557-9000

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, \$0.00005 par value	Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated
filer

Accelerated
filer

Non-accelerated
filer
(Do not check if a
smaller reporting
company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The approximate aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the issuer as of June 30, 2008 (the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter), was \$8,669,955.

As of April 1, 2009, the number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, \$0.00005 par value per share, was 55,241,418.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None.

BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS, INC.
ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008
TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM		Page
PART I		
1.	Business	3
1A.	Risk Factors	16
1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	21
2.	Properties	21
3.	Legal Proceedings	22
4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	22
PART II		
5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	22
6.	Selected Financial Data	23
7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	23
7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	26
8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	27
9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	77
9A.	Controls and Procedures	77
9B.	Other Information	78
PART III		
10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	79
11.	Executive Compensation	82
12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	86
13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	90
14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	92
PART IV		
15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	93

**PART I
SPECIAL NOTE**

Unless otherwise specified in this annual report on Form 10-K, all references to currency, monetary values and dollars set forth herein shall mean United States (U.S.) dollars.

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This annual report contains numerous statements, descriptions, forecasts and projections, regarding Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. and its potential future business operations and performance. These statements, descriptions, forecasts and projections constitute “forward-looking statements,” and as such involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance and achievements to be materially different from any results, levels of activity, performance and achievements expressed or implied by any such “forward-looking statements.” Some of these are described under “Risk Factors” in this annual report. In some cases you can identify such “forward-looking statements” by the use of words like “may,” “will,” “should,” “could,” “expects,” “hopes,” “anticipates,” “believes,” “intends,” “plans,” “estimates,” “predicts,” “likely,” “potential,” or “continue” or the negative of any of these terms or similar words. These “forward-looking statements” are based on certain assumptions that we have made as of the date hereof. To the extent these assumptions are not valid, the associated “forward-looking statements” and projections will not be correct. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these “forward-looking statements” are reasonable, we cannot guarantee any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. It is routine for our internal projections and expectations to change as the year or each quarter in the year progresses, and therefore it should be clearly understood that the internal projections and beliefs upon which we base our expectations may change prior to the end of each quarter or the year. Although these expectations may change, we may not inform you if they do and we undertake no obligation to do so. We caution investors that our business and financial performance are subject to substantial risks and uncertainties. In evaluating our business, prospective investors should carefully consider the information set forth under the caption “Risk Factors” in addition to the other information set forth herein and elsewhere in our other public filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 1. Description of Business.

Company Overview

Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. (“Brainstorm” or the “Company”) is an emerging company developing stem cell therapeutic products based on breakthrough technologies enabling the in-vitro differentiation of bone marrow stem cells to neural-like cells. We aim to become a leader in adult stem cell transplantation for neurodegenerative diseases. Our focus is on utilizing the patient’s own bone marrow stem cells to generate NTF cells that may provide an effective treatment for Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (“ALS”), Parkinson’s Disease (“PD”) and spinal cord injury.

Our core technology, NurOwn™, was developed through collaboration between prominent neurologist, Prof. Eldad Melamed, Former Head of Neurology of the Rabin Medical Center and member of the Scientific Committee of the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson’s Research, and expert cell biologist Dr. Daniel Offen, of the Felsenstein Medical Research Center of Tel Aviv University.

The Company’s team is among the first to have successfully demonstrated release of dopamine from differentiated bone marrow cells. Moreover, in research conducted by this team, implantation of these differentiated cells into the brain of animal models that had been induced to Parkinsonian behavior markedly improved their symptoms.

Our aim is to provide neural stem cell transplants that “restore” damaged dopaminergic nerve cells and diseased tissue by augmentation with healthy neurotrophic factor producing cells;

The team is also among the first to demonstrate creation of glial-like cells from differentiated bone marrow cells that produce and secrete neurotrophic factors (NTF) including GDNF, BDNF, NGF and IGF-1. Transplantation of these cells into rats model of PD, reduced the motor dysfunction by 50%, inhibited the induced dopamine depletion and restored the dopaminergic cells' terminals. Moreover, in-vivo imaging revealed that the engrafted cells migrated toward the lesion, indicating their survival and integration in the brain tissues. Therefore, Brainstorm's aim is to use this technology to maintain, preserve and restore the damaged and remaining dopaminergic cells in the patient's brain, protecting them from further degeneration.

Further studies indicated that the Brainstorm's NTF cells protect motor-neuron cells against various toxins and stress that are relevant in the pathophysiology of ALS. Therefore, the Company decided to focus on ALS and one of the first applications that will be tested in clinical studies will be intra muscular injection of the NTF cells in ALS patients.

Brainstorm holds exclusive worldwide rights to commercialize the NurOwn™ technology, through a licensing agreement with Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. ("Ramot"), the technology transfer company of the Tel Aviv University. The agreement also provides for further research, funded by Brainstorm, to be performed by Prof. Melamed, Dr. Offen and members of their research team at the Felsenstein Medical Research Center. The results of this research are licensed to us under the terms of the license agreement. We have access to the research results of an R&D team comprised of approximately 10 experts in the technology field, including molecular and cell biologists, pharmacologists and animal model experts

Our Approach

Our research team led by Prof. Melamed and Dr. Offen has achieved expansion of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells and their differentiation into both types of brain cells, neurons-like and astrocyte-like, each having different therapeutic potential, as follows:

NurOwn™ program 1 - Dopaminergic neuron-like cells - human bone marrow derived dopamine producing neural cells for restorative treatment in PD. Human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells were isolated and expanded. Subsequent differentiation of the cell cultures in a proprietary differentiation medium generated cells with neuronal-like morphology and showing protein markers specific to neuronal cells. Moreover, the in-vitro differentiated cells were shown to express enzymes and proteins required for dopamine metabolism, particularly the enzyme tyrosine hydroxylase. Most importantly, the cells produce and release dopamine in-vitro. Further research consisting of implanting these cells in an animal model of PD (6-OHDA induced lesions), showed the differentiated cells exhibit long-term engraftment, survival and function in vivo. Most importantly, such implantation resulted in marked attenuation of their symptoms, essentially reversing their Parkinsonian movements.

NurOwn™ program 2 - Neurotrophic-factors ("NTF") secreting cells - human bone marrow derived NTF secreting cells for treatment of PD, ALS and spinal cord injury. In-vitro differentiation of the expanded human bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells in a proprietary medium leads to the generation of neurotrophic-factors secreting cells. The in-vitro differentiated cells were shown to express and secrete GDNF, as well as other NTFs, into the growth medium. GDNF is a neurotrophic-factor, previously shown to protect, preserve and even restore neuronal function, particularly dopaminergic cells in PD, but also neuron function in other neurodegenerative pathologies such as ALS and Huntington's disease. Unfortunately, therapeutic application of GDNF is hampered by its poor brain penetration and stability. Attempting to infuse the protein directly to the brain is impractical and the alternative, using GDNF gene therapy, suffers from the limitations and risks of using viral vectors. Our preliminary results show that our NTF secreting cells, when transplanted into a 6-OHDA lesion PD rat model, show significant efficacy. Within weeks of the transplantation, there was an improvement of more than 50% in the animals' characteristic disease symptoms.

We already optimized the proprietary processes for induction of differentiation of human bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells into differentiated cells that produce dopamine and/or NTFs for transplantation into PD and ALS patients. The optimization and process development will be conducted in Good Manufacturing Practice ("GMP"). Once the optimization of the process is completed, we intend to evaluate the safety and efficacy of our various cell transplants in animal models. Based on the results in animals we intend to use the differentiated cell products for conducting clinical trials to assess the efficacy of the cell therapies in ALS and PD patients.

Our technology is based on the NurOwn™ products - an autologous cell therapeutic modality, comprising the extraction of the patient bone marrow, processed into the appropriate neuronal-like cells and re-implanted into the patient's muscles or brain. This approach is taken in order to increase patient safety and minimize any chance of immune reaction or cell rejection.

We believe that the therapeutic modality will comprise the following:

- Bone marrow aspiration from patient;
- Isolation and expansion of the mesenchymal stem cells;
- Differentiation of the expanded stem cells into neuronal-like dopamine producing cells and/or neurotrophic-factor secreting cells; and
- Autologous transplantation into the patient.

History

The Company was incorporated under the laws of the State of Washington on September 22, 2000, under the name Wizbang Technologies, Inc. and acquired the right to market and sell a digital data recorder product line in certain states in the U.S. Subsequently, the Company changed its name to Golden Hand Resources Inc. On July 8, 2004, the Company entered into the licensing agreement with Ramot to acquire certain stem cell technology and decided to discontinue all activities related to the sales of digital data recorder product. On November 22, 2004, the Company changed its name from Golden Hand Resources Inc. to Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. to better reflect its new line of business in development of novel cell therapies for neurodegenerative diseases. On October 25, 2004, the Company opened its wholly-owned subsidiary, Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd. in Israel. On December 18, 2006, the stockholders of the Company approved a proposal to change the state of incorporation of the Company from the State of Washington to the State of Delaware. The reincorporation was completed on December 21, 2006 through the merger of the Company into a newly formed, wholly-owned Delaware subsidiary of Brainstorm, also named Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc.

Recent Developments

Stem Cell Therapy

Our activities are within the stem cell therapy field. Stem cells are non-specialized cells with a potential for both self-renewal and differentiation into cell types with a specialized function, such as muscle, blood or brain cells. The cells have the ability to undergo asymmetric division such that one of the two daughter cells retains the properties of the stem cell, while the other begins to differentiate into a more specialized cell type. Stem cells are therefore central to normal human growth and development, and also are a potential source of new cells for the regeneration of diseased and damaged tissue. Stem cell therapy aims to restore diseased tissue function by the replacement and/or addition of healthy cells by stem cell transplants.

Currently, two principal platforms for cell therapy products are being explored: (i) embryonic stem cells ("ESC"), isolated from the inner mass of a few days old embryo; and (ii) adult stem cells, sourced from bone marrow, cord blood and various organs. Although ESCs are the easiest to grow and differentiate, their use in human therapy is limited by safety concerns associated with their tendency to develop Teratomas (a form of tumor) and their potential to elicit an immune reaction. In addition, ESC has generated much political and ethical debate due to their origin in early human embryos.

Cell therapy using adult stem cells does not suffer from the same concerns. Bone marrow is the tissue where differentiation of stem cells into blood cells (haematopoiesis) occurs. In addition, it harbors stem cells capable of differentiation into mesenchymal (muscle, bone, fat and other) tissues. Such mesenchymal stem cells have also been shown capable of differentiating into nerve, skin and other cells. In fact, bone marrow transplants have been safely and successfully performed for many years, primarily for treating leukemia, immune deficiency diseases, severe blood cell diseases, lymphoma and multiple myeloma. Moreover, bone marrow may be obtained through a simple procedure of aspiration, from the patient himself, enabling autologous cell therapy, thus obviating the need for donor matching, circumventing immune rejection and other immunological mismatch risks, as well as avoiding the need for immunosuppressive therapy. We believe bone marrow, in particular autologous bone marrow, capable of *in-vitro* growth and multipotential differentiation, presents a preferable source of therapeutic stem cells.

Neurodegenerative Diseases

Studies of neurodegenerative diseases suggest that symptoms that arise in afflicted individuals are secondary to defects in neuron cell function and neural circuitry and, to date, cannot be treated effectively with systemic drug delivery. Consequently, alternative approaches for treating neurodegenerative diseases have been attempted, such as transplantation of cells capable of replacing or supplementing the function of damaged neurons. For such cell replacement therapy to work, implanted cells must survive and integrate, both functionally and structurally, within the damaged tissue.

Parkinson's Disease

Background

PD is a chronic, progressive disorder, affecting certain nerve cells, which reside in the Substantia Nigra of the brain and which produce dopamine, a neurotransmitter that directs and controls movement. In PD, these dopamine-producing nerve cells break down, causing dopamine levels to drop below the threshold levels and resulting in brain signals directing movement to become abnormal. The cause of the disease is unknown.

Over four million people suffer from PD in the western world, of whom about 1.5 million are in the United States. In over 85% of cases, PD occurs in people over the age of 65. Prevalence of PD is increasing in line with the general aging of the population. We believe the markets for pharmaceutical treatments for PD have a combined value of approximately \$4 billion per year. However, these costs are dwarfed when compared to the total economic burden of the disease, which has been estimated by the National Institute of Neurological Disease ("NINDS") to exceed \$26 billion annually in the U.S. alone, including costs of medical treatment, caring, facilities and other services, as well as loss of productivity of both patients and caregivers.

Description

The classic symptoms of PD are shaking (tremor), stiff muscles (rigidity) and slow movement (bradykinesia). A person with fully developed PD may also have a stooped posture, a blank stare or fixed facial expression, speech problems and difficulties with balance or walking. Although highly debilitating, the disease is not life threatening and an average patient's life span is approximately 15 years.

Current Treatments

Current drug therapy for PD primarily comprises dopamine replacement, either directly (levodopa), with dopamine mimetics or by inhibition of its breakdown. Thus, the current drugs focus on treating the symptoms of the disease and do not presume to provide a cure.

Levodopa, which remains the standard and most potent PD medication available, has a propensity to cause serious motor response complications (“MRCs”) with long-term use. Moreover, effective drug dosage often requires gradual increase, leading to more adverse side effects and eventual resistance to their therapeutic action. This greatly limits patient benefit. Therefore, physicians and researchers are continuously seeking levodopa-sparing strategies in patients with early-stage disease to delay the need for levodopa, as well as in patients with late stage disease who no longer respond to therapy.

Prescription drugs to treat PD currently generate sales of over \$1 billion and the market is expected to grow to approximately \$2.3 billion by 2010, driven by the increase in size of the elderly population and the introduction of new PD therapies that carry a higher price tag than the generic levodopa.

Another method for treating PD is Deep Brain Stimulation (“DBS”), which consists of transplanting electrodes deep into the brain to provide permanent electrical stimulation to specific areas of the brain and to cause a delay in the activity in those areas. However, DBS is problematic as it often causes uncontrollable and severe side effects such as bleeding in the brain, infection and depression. In addition, like drug therapy, DBS focuses on treating the symptoms of PD and does not provide a cure.

There is a greatly unsatisfied need for novel approaches towards management of PD. These include development of neurotrophic agents for neuroprotection and/or neurorestoration, controlling levodopa-induced adverse side effects, developing compounds targeting nondopaminergic systems (e.g., glutamate antagonists) controlling the motor dysfunction such as gait, freezing, and postural imbalance, treating and delaying the onset of disease-related dementia and providing simplified dosing regimens.

In addition to the symptomatic drug development approaches, there is an intense effort to develop cell and gene therapeutic “curative” approaches to restore the neural function in patients with PD, by (i) replacing the dysfunctional cells with dopamine producing cell transplant, or by (ii) providing growth factors and proteins, such as glial derived neurotrophic factor (“GDNF”), that can maintain or preserve the patient’s remaining dopaminergic cells, protecting them from further degeneration. Preclinical evaluation of cell therapeutic approaches based on transplantation of dopaminergic neurons differentiated *in-vitro* from ESC, have been successful in ameliorating the parkinsonian behavior of animal models, as has direct gene therapy with vectors harboring the GDNF gene. However, these approaches are limited, in the first case, by the safety and ethical considerations associated with use of ESC, and, in the second case, by the safety risks inherent to gene therapy.

In fact, PD is the first neurodegenerative disease for which cell transplantation has been attempted in humans, first with adrenal medullary cells and, later, with tissue grafts from fetal brains. About 300 such fetal transplants have already been performed and some benefits have been observed, mainly in younger patients. However, this approach is not only impractical but greatly limited by the ethical issues influencing the availability of human fetuses. The above considerations have led to intensive efforts to define and develop appropriate cells from adult stem cells.

Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis

ALS, often referred to as “Lou Gehrig's disease,” is a progressive neurodegenerative disease that affects nerve cells in the brain and the spinal cord. Motor neurons reach from the brain to the spinal cord and from the spinal cord to the muscles throughout the body. The progressive degeneration of the motor neurons in ALS eventually leads to death. As motor neurons degenerate, they can no longer send impulses to the muscle fibers that normally result in muscle movement. With voluntary muscle action progressively affected, patients in the later stages of the disease may become completely paralyzed. However, in most cases, mental faculties are not affected.

Approximately 5,600 people in the U.S. are diagnosed with ALS each year. It is estimated that as many as 30,000 Americans and 100,000 people across the western world may have the disease at any given time. Consequently, the total estimated cost of treating ALS patients is approximately \$1.25 billion per year in the U.S. and \$3 billion per year in the western world.

Description

Early symptoms of ALS often include increasing muscle weakness or stiffness, especially involving the arms and legs, speech, swallowing or breathing.

ALS is most often found in the 40 to 70 year age group with the same incidence as Multiple Sclerosis (“MS”). There appear to be more MS sufferers because MS patients tend to live much longer, some for 30 years or more. The life expectancy of an ALS patient averages about two to five years from the time of diagnosis. However, up to 10% of ALS patients will survive more than ten years.

Current Treatments

The physician bases medication decisions on the patient's symptoms and the stage of the disease. Some medications used for ALS patients include:

- Riluzole - the only medication approved by the FDA to slow the progress of ALS. While it does not reverse ALS, Riluzole has been shown to reduce nerve damage. Riluzole may extend the time before a patient needs a ventilator (a machine to help breathe) and may prolong the patient's life by several months;
- Baclofen or Diazepam - these medications may be used to control muscle spasms, stiffness or tightening (spasticity) that interfere with daily activities; and
- Trihexyphenidyl or Amitriptyline - these medications may help patients who have excess saliva or secretions, and emotional changes.

Other medications may be prescribed to help reduce such symptoms as fatigue, pain, sleep disturbances, constipation, and excess saliva and phlegm.

Spinal Cord Injury

Background

A Spinal Cord Injury (“SCI”) is damage or trauma to the spinal cord that results in a loss or impaired function causing reduced mobility or feeling. Common causes of damage are trauma (car accident, gunshot, falls, sports injuries, etc.) or disease (Transverse Myelitis, Polio, Spina Bifida, Friedreich's Ataxia, etc.). The spinal cord does not have to be severed in order for a loss of functioning to occur. In most people with SCI, the spinal cord is intact, but the cellular damage to it results in loss of functioning.

Description

A spinal cord injury usually begins with a sudden, traumatic blow to the spine that fractures or dislocates vertebrae. The damage begins at the moment of injury when displaced bone fragments, disc material, or *ligaments* bruise or tear into spinal cord tissue. Most injuries to the spinal cord do not completely sever it. Instead, an injury is more likely to cause fractures and compression of the vertebrae, which then crush and destroy the *axons*, extensions of nerve cells that carry signals up and down the spinal cord between the brain and the rest of the body. An injury to the spinal cord can damage a few, many, or almost all of these axons. Some injuries will allow almost complete recovery. Others will result in complete paralysis. There are an estimated 10,000 to 12,000 spinal cord injuries every year in the United States, and a quarter of a million Americans are currently living with spinal cord injuries. Additionally, 55 percent of spinal cord injury victims are between 16 and 30 years old. The cost of managing the care of spinal cord injury patients approaches \$4 billion each year.

Current Treatments

Improved emergency care for people with spinal cord injuries and aggressive treatment and rehabilitation can minimize damage to the nervous system and even restore limited abilities. Respiratory complications are often an indication of the severity of spinal cord injury. About one-third of those with injury to the neck area will need help with breathing and require respiratory support. Treatment for acute traumatic spinal cord injuries consisting of giving a high dose of methylprednisolone appears to reduce the damage to nerve cells if it is given within the first 8 hours after injury. Rehabilitation programs combine physical therapies with skill-building activities and counseling to provide social and emotional support.

Our Approach

We intend to focus our efforts to develop cell therapeutic treatments for PD based on the expansion of human mesenchymal stem cells from adult bone marrow and their differentiation into neuron like cells, such as neurons that produce dopamine and astrocytes (glial cells) that produce neurotrophic factors ("NTF") including GDNF, BDNF, NGF and IGF-1. Our aim is to provide neural stem cell transplants that (i) "replace" damaged dopaminergic nerve cells and diseased tissue by augmentation with healthy dopamine producing cells; and (ii) maintain, preserve and restore the damaged and remaining dopaminergic cells in the patient's brain, protecting them from further degeneration.

The research team led by Prof. Melamed and Dr. Offen has achieved expansion of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells and their differentiation into both types of brain cells, neurons and astrocytes, each having therapeutic potential, as follows:

NurOwn™ program 1 - DA neuron-like cells - human bone marrow derived dopamine producing neural cells for restorative treatment in PD. Human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells were isolated and expanded. Subsequent differentiation of the cell cultures in a proprietary differentiation medium generated cells with neuronal-like morphology and showing protein markers specific to neuronal cells. Moreover, the *in-vitro* differentiated cells were shown to express enzymes and proteins required for dopamine metabolism, particularly the enzyme tyrosine hydroxylase. Most importantly, the cells produce and release dopamine *in-vitro*. Further research consisting of implanting these cells in an animal model of PD (6-OHDA induced lesions), showed the differentiated cells exhibit long-term engraftment, survival and function *in vivo*. Most importantly, such implantation resulted in marked attenuation of their symptoms, essentially reversing their Parkinsonian movements.

NurOwn™ program 2 - Neurotrophic-factors ("NTF") secreting cells - human bone marrow derived NTF secreting cells for treatment of PD, ALS and spinal cord injury. *In-vitro* differentiation of the expanded human bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells in a special proprietary medium leads to the generation of neurotrophic-factors secreting cells. The *in-vitro* differentiated cells were shown to express and secrete GDNF, as well as other NTFs, into the growth medium. GDNF is a neurotrophic-factor, previously shown to protect, preserve and even restore neuronal function, particularly dopaminergic cells in PD, but also neuron function in other neurodegenerative pathologies such as ALS and Huntington's disease. Unfortunately, therapeutic application of GDNF is hampered by its poor brain penetration and stability. Attempting to infuse the protein directly to the brain is impractical and the alternative, using GDNF gene therapy, suffers from the limitations and risks of using viral vectors. Our preliminary results show that our NTF secreting cells, when transplanted into a 6-OHDA lesion PD rat model, show significant efficacy. Within weeks of the transplantation, there was an improvement of more than 50% in the animals' characteristic disease symptoms.

We intend to optimize the proprietary processes for induction of differentiation of human bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells into differentiated cells that produce dopamine and/or NTFs for transplantation into PD and ALS patients. The optimization and process development will be conducted in compliance with FDA guidelines for Good Tissue Practice (“GTP”) and Good Manufacturing Practice (“GMP”). Once the optimization of the process is completed, we intend to evaluate the safety and efficacy of our various cell transplants in animal models. Based on the results in animals we intend to use the differentiated cell products for conducting clinical trials to assess the efficacy of the cell therapies in PD and ALS patients.

Our technology is based on the NurOwn™ products - an autologous cell therapeutic modality, comprising the extraction of the patient bone marrow, processed into the appropriate neuronal cells and re-implanted into the patient’s brain. This approach is taken in order to increase patient safety and minimize any chance of immune reaction or cell rejection.

We believe that the therapeutic modality will comprise the following:

- Bone marrow aspiration from patient;
- Isolating and expanding the mesenchymal stem cells;
- Differentiating the expanded stem cells into neuronal-like dopamine producing cells and/or neurotrophic-factor secreting cells; and
- Implantation of the differentiated cells into the patient from whom the bone marrow was extracted.

Business Strategy

Our efforts are currently focused on the development of the technology to convert the process from the lab stage to the clinical stage, with the following main objectives:

- Developing the cell differentiation process according to health regulation guidelines;
- Demonstrating safety and efficacy, first in animals and then in patients; and
- Setting up centralized facilities to provide NurOwn™ therapeutic products and services for transplantation in patients.

We intend to enter into strategic partnerships as we progress towards advanced clinical development and commercialization with companies responsible for advanced clinical development and commercialization. This approach is intended to generate an early inflow of up-front and milestone payments and to enhance our capacities in regulatory and clinical infrastructure while minimizing expenditure and risk.

Business Model

Our objective is to have the proprietary procedure adopted by many medical centers, throughout the U.S. and Europe, for the treatment of PD, ALS, spinal cord injury and other neurodegenerative diseases. Our intended procedure for the replacement of the degenerated neurons with healthy functional cells derived by differentiation of bone marrow, may be among the earliest successes of stem cell technologies and could be the starting point for a massive market potential in the area of autologous transplantation. A central laboratory would be responsible for processing bone marrow extracted from patients, enabling the production of the cells required for the transplantation. Transplantation would be carried out by the medical centers, with revenues shared with us on an agreed basis.

We will consider seeking cooperation with a major strategic marketing partner, having established distribution channels and the ability to gain relatively fast access to the target markets.

Our approach will be optimized by working with a major partner. We believe there is a substantial market opportunity and cooperation with a strategic partner would facilitate a more rapid and broad market penetration, by leveraging the partner's market credibility and the proven ability to provide service and support across a large and geographically spread target market.

Potential strategic partners include:

- Private Medical Center Chains - interested in expanding their service offerings and being associated with an innovative technology, thereby enhancing their professional standing and revenue potential; and
- Major Pharmaceutical and/or Medical Device Companies - seeking new product opportunities and/or wishing to maintain interest in the market, which may shift away from drugs towards surgical treatment.

We cannot assure you that we will succeed in finding strategic partners that are willing to enter into collaborations for our potential products at the appropriate stage of development, on economic terms that are attractive to us or at all.

Our business model calls for significant investments in research and development. Our research and development expenditures (i) in 2008 were \$2,097,000, which included \$219,000 in stock-based compensation and (ii) in 2007 were \$2,265,000, which included \$783,000 in stock-based compensation.

Intellectual Property

We have filed the following patent and trademark applications:

WO2004/046348 METHODS, NUCLEIC ACID CONSTRUCTS AND CELLS FOR TREATING NEURODEGENERATIVE DISORDERS. National phase filings in Israel, Canada, Japan, Europe, and the United States. Substantive examinations have been initiated in some jurisdictions, including the U.S. and Europe. A patent was granted in Singapore.

WO2006/134602 ISOLATED CELLS AND POPULATIONS COMPRISING SAME FOR THE TREATMENT OF CNS DISEASES. National phase filings in the U.S., Australia, Europe, India, Israel, New Zealand, Singapore, Japan and China. Substantive examinations have been initiated in some jurisdictions, including Israel and Europe. A patent was allowed in South Africa.

WO2007/066338 ISOLATED OLIGODENDROCYTE-LIKE CELLS AND POPULATIONS COMPRISING SAME FOR THE TREATMENT OF CNS DISEASES.

Two new provisional applications were submitted in the U.S. in 2008:

61/071,054: INDUCTION OF HUMAN MESENCHYMAL STEM CELLS INTO DOPAMINE-PRODUCING CELLS WITH DIFFERENT DIFFERENTIATION PROTOCOLS

A joint Brainstorm-Ramot provisional patent application:

61/071,970: MESENCHYMAL STEM CELLS FOR THE TREATMENT OF CNS DISEASES

In addition, the Company has a trademark on NurOwn™, the technologies for inducing the differentiation of mesenchymal stromal stem cells into neuronal-like cells.

The patent applications, as well as relevant know-how and research results are licensed from Ramot. We intend to work with Ramot to protect and enhance our mutual intellectual property rights by filing continuations and new patent applications on any improvements and any new discoveries arising in the course of research and development.

Research and License Agreement with Ramot

On July 8, 2004, we entered into our Research and License Agreement (the “Original Ramot Agreement”) with Ramot, the technology licensing company of Tel Aviv University, which Agreement was amended on March 30, 2006 by the Amended Research and License Agreement (described below). Under the terms of the Original Ramot Agreement, Ramot granted to us an exclusive license to (i) the know-how and patent applications on the above-mentioned stem cell technology developed by the team led by Prof. Melamed and Dr. Offen, and (ii) the results of further research to be performed by the same team on the development of the stem cell technology. Simultaneously with the execution of the Original Ramot Agreement, we entered into individual consulting agreements with Prof. Melamed and Dr. Offen pursuant to which all intellectual property developed by Prof. Melamed or Dr. Offen in the performance of services thereunder will be owned by Ramot and licensed to us under the Original Ramot Agreement.

As of November 4, 2004, we entered into three-year consulting agreements with Prof. Melamed and Dr. Offen, under which we paid each of them an annual consulting fee of \$72,000 and we issued each of them warrants to purchase 1,097,215 shares of our Common Stock (each grant equaling 3% of our issued and outstanding shares at such time). Each of the warrants is exercisable for a five-year period beginning on November 4, 2005. The consulting agreements expired in November 2007 and we are currently in the final stage of negotiations with Prof. Melamed and Dr. Offen to renew the agreements.

Under the Original Ramot Agreement, we agreed to fund further research relating to the licensed technology in an amount of \$570,000 per year for an initial period of two years, and for an additional two-year period if certain research milestones are met.

In consideration for the license, we originally agreed to pay Ramot:

- An up-front license fee payment of \$100,000;
- An amount equal to 5% of all Net Sales of Products (as those terms are defined in the Original Ramot Agreement); and
- An amount equal to 30% of all Sublicense Receipts (as such term is defined in the Original Ramot Agreement).

In addition, under the Original Ramot Agreement, we issued to Ramot and its designees, warrants to purchase an aggregate of 10,606,415 shares of our Common Stock (29% of our issued and outstanding shares as of November 4, 2004). Each of the warrants is exercisable for a five-year period beginning on November 4, 2005.

On March 30, 2006, we entered into an Amended Research and License Agreement (the “Amended Research and License Agreement”) with Ramot. Under the Amended Research and License Agreement, the funding of further research relating to the licensed technology in an amount of \$570,000 per year has been reduced to \$380,000 per year. Moreover, under the Amended Research and License Agreement, the initial period of time that we have agreed to fund the research has been extended from an initial period of two (2) years to an initial period of three (3) years. The Amended Research and License Agreement also extends the additional two-year period in the Original Ramot Agreement to an additional three-year period, if certain research milestones are met. In addition, the Amended Research and License Agreement reduces certain royalties payments that we may have to pay from five percent (5%) to three percent (3%) of all Net Sales (as defined therein) in cases of third party royalties. The Amended Research and License Agreement also reduces potential payments concerning sublicenses from 30% to 20-25% of Sublicense Receipts (as defined in the agreement).

We entered into a Second Amended and Restated Research and License Agreement with Ramot on July 26, 2007. Like the Original Ramot Agreement, the amended license agreement imposes on us development and commercialization obligations, milestone and royalty payment obligations and other obligations. As of June 30, 2007, we owed Ramot an aggregate of \$513,249 in overdue payments and patent fees under the original license agreement with Ramot. On August 1, 2007, we obtained a waiver and release from Ramot pursuant to which Ramot agreed to an amended payment schedule regarding our payment obligations under the amended license agreement and waived all claims against us resulting from our previous breaches, defaults and non-payment under the original license agreement. The payments described in the waiver and release cover all of our payment obligations (including interest) that were past due and not yet due pursuant to the Original Ramot Agreement. The waiver and release amended and restated the original payment schedule under the Original Ramot Agreement as follows:

Payment Date	Amount
September 5, 2007	\$ 100,000
November 20, 2007	\$ 150,000
February 20, 2008	\$ 150,000
May 20, 2008	\$ 150,000
August 4, 2008	\$ 90,000

In addition, in the event that the “research period”, as defined in the license agreement, is extended for an additional three year period in accordance with the terms of the license agreement, then we must make the following payments to Ramot during the first year of the extended research period:

Payment Date	Amount
August 4, 2008	\$ 60,000
November 20, 2008	\$ 150,000
February 20, 2009	\$ 170,000

If we fail to make a payment to Ramot on any required payment date, and we do not cure the default within seven business days of notice of the default, all claims of Ramot against us which were waived and released by the waiver and release will be reinstated. As of the date hereof, the May 20, 2008 payment of \$150,000 and the August 4, 2008 payment of \$90,000 have not been paid and the Company is currently negotiating a postponement of such payments with Ramot.

In addition, on August 1, 2007, we entered into the Second Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement with Ramot. The amended Registration Rights Agreement provides Ramot with demand and piggyback registration rights whereby if we propose to register any of our Common Stock under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, for sale for our own account including for the account of any of our shareholders or for ACCBT’s account in connection with the public offering of such Common Stock, then Ramot may request that we file, or include within a registration statement to be filed, the shares of Common Stock underlying the warrants held by Ramot.

Government Regulations and Supervision

Once fully developed, we intend to market our bone marrow derived differentiated neurotrophic-factor secreting cell products, NurOwn™, for autologous transplantation in patients by neurosurgeons in medical facilities in the U.S., Europe, Japan and the Pacific Rim. Accordingly, we believe our research and development activities and the manufacturing and marketing of our technology are subject to the laws and regulations of governmental authorities in the United States and other countries in which our technology and products will be marketed. Specifically, in the U.S., the FDA, among other agencies, regulates new biological product approvals (“BLA”) to establish safety and efficacy, as well as appropriate production of these products. Governments in other countries have similar requirements for testing and marketing.

As we are currently in the research and development stage of our technology and NurOwn™ cell product, we have initiated the process of seeking regulatory approval from the FDA and other regulatory agencies. We have retained/recruited expert regulatory consultants and employees to assist us in our approaches to the FDA. In our efforts to obtain regulatory approval, we have had a pre Investigational New Drug (“IND”) meeting with the FDA and we are planning to retain such expert regulatory consultants to assist the Company in its approach to the EMEA in order to get regulatory approval in Europe. We have also engaged a regulatory consultant to assist us with the regulatory authorities in Israel.

Regulatory Process in the United States

Regulatory approval of new biological products is a lengthy procedure leading from development of a new product through pre-clinical animal testing and clinical studies in humans. This process takes a number of years, is regulated by the FDA and requires the expenditure of significant resources. There can be no assurance that our technology will ultimately receive regulatory approval. We summarize below our understanding of the regulatory approval requirements that may be applicable to us if we pursue the process of seeking an approval from the FDA.

The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and other federal statutes and regulations govern or influence the research, testing, manufacture, safety, labeling, storage, record-keeping, approval, distribution, use, reporting, advertising and promotion of our future products. Non-compliance with applicable requirements can result in civil penalties, recall, injunction or seizure of products, refusal of the government to approve or clear product approval applications or to allow us to enter into government supply contracts, withdrawal of previously approved applications and criminal prosecution.

The FDA has developed and is continuously updating the requirements with respect to cell and gene therapy products and has issued documents concerning the regulation of cellular and tissue-based products, as new biological products. In order to file for a BLA, we will be required to develop our stem cell product in accordance with the regulatory guidelines for cell therapy and manufacture the cell products under GMP. GMP, or Good Manufacturing Practice, is a standard set of guidelines for pharmaceutical and bio-pharmaceutical production operations and facilities by the FDA and other health regulatory authorities, which apply caution in allowing any biologically active material to be administered into the human body.

Although there can be no assurance that the FDA will not choose to change its regulations, current regulation proposes that cell products which are manipulated, allogeneic, or as in our case, autologous but intended for a different purpose than the natural source cells (NurOwn™ are bone marrow derived and are intended for transplantation into the brain or into the muscles) must be regulated through a "tiered approach intended to regulate human cellular and tissue based products only to the extent necessary to protect public health". Thus the FDA requires: (i) preclinical laboratory and animal testing; (ii) submission of an IND exemption which must be effective prior to the initiation of human clinical studies; (iii) adequate and well-controlled clinical trials to establish the safety and efficacy of the product for its intended use; (iv) submission to the FDA of a BLA; and (v) review and approval of the BLA as well as inspections of the manufacturing facility for GMP compliance, prior to commercial marketing of the product.

Generally, in seeking an approval from the FDA for sale of a new medical product, an applicant must submit proof of safety and efficacy. Such proof entails extensive pre-clinical studies in the lab and in animals and, if approved by the agency, in humans. The testing, preparation of necessary applications and processing of those applications by the FDA is expensive and may take several years to complete. There can be no assurance that the FDA will act favorably or in a timely manner in reviewing submitted applications, and an applicant may encounter significant difficulties or costs in its efforts to obtain FDA approvals. This, in turn, could delay or preclude the applicant from marketing any products it may develop. The FDA may also require post-marketing testing and surveillance of approved products, or place other conditions on the approvals. These requirements could cause it to be more difficult or expensive to sell the products, and could therefore restrict the commercial applications of such products. Product approvals may be withdrawn if compliance with regulatory standards is not maintained or if problems occur following initial marketing. For patented technologies, delays imposed by the governmental approval process may materially reduce the period during which an applicant will have the exclusive right to exploit such technologies.

In order to conduct clinical trials of the proposed product, the manufacturer or distributor of the product will have to file an IND submission with the FDA for its approval to commence human clinical trials. The submission must be supported by data, typically including the results of pre-clinical and laboratory testing. Following submission of the IND, the FDA has 30 days to review the application and raise safety and other clinical trial issues. If an applicant is not notified of objections within that period, clinical trials may be initiated at a specified number of investigational sites with the number of patients, as applied. Clinical trials which are to be conducted in accordance with good clinical practice (“GCP”) guidelines are typically conducted in three sequential phases. Phase I represents the initial administration of the drug or biologic to a small group of humans, either healthy volunteers or patients, to test for safety and other relevant factors. Phase II involves studies in a small number of patients to explore the efficacy of the product, to ascertain dose tolerance and the optimal dose range and to gather additional data relating to safety and potential adverse effects. Once an investigational drug is found to have some efficacy and an acceptable safety profile in the targeted patient population, multi-center Phase III studies are initiated to establish safety and efficacy in an expanded patient population and multiple clinical study sites. The FDA reviews both the clinical plans and the results of the trials and may request an applicant to discontinue the trials at any time if there are significant safety issues.

In addition, the manufacturer of our cell therapy product, whether it is performed in-house or by a contract manufacturer, should be registered as a biologic product manufacturer with the FDA product approval process. The FDA may inspect the production facilities on a routine basis for compliance with the GMP and GTP guidelines for cell therapy products. The regulations of the FDA require that we, and/or any contract manufacturer, design, manufacture and service products and maintain documents in the prescribed manner with respect to manufacturing, testing, distribution, storage, design control and service activities. The FDA may prohibit a company from promoting an approved product for unapproved applications and reviews product labeling for accuracy.

Competition

We face significant competition in our efforts to develop our products and services, including: (i) cell therapies competing with NurOwn™ and its applications and (ii) other treatments or procedures to cure or slow the effects of PD and other neurodegenerative diseases. There are a number of companies developing cell therapies. Among them are companies that are involved in the controversial fetal cell transplant or ESC-derived cell therapy, as well as companies developing adult stem cells. Other companies are developing traditional chemical compounds, new biological drugs, cloned human proteins and other treatments, which are likely to impact the markets, which we intend to target. We believe that as an autologous bone marrow derived product that has shown proof of concept *in-vitro* and in animal studies, NurOwn™ has a first mover advantage in the adult stem cell space and such space has competitive advantages over the fetal cell or ESC-derived cell space as it has a long safety record and does not have the same ethical limitations.

Employees

We currently have six scientific and administrative employees, four of whom are full-time. None of our employees is represented by a labor union and we believe that we have good relations with our employees.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We maintain a website at www.brainstorm-cell.com. We make available through our website, free of charge, our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file those reports with, or furnish them to, the Securities and Exchange Commission. We also similarly make available, free of charge through our website, the reports filed with the SEC by our executive officers, directors and 10% stockholders pursuant to Section 16 under the Exchange Act. We are not including the information contained at www.stockeryale.com or at any other Internet address as part of, or incorporating it by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

We operate in a rapidly changing environment that involves a number of risks, some of which are beyond our control. Forward looking statements in this report and those made from time to time by us through our senior management are made under the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward looking statements concerning the expected future revenues, earnings or financial results or concerning project plans, performance, or development of products and services, as well as other estimates related to future operations are necessarily only estimates of future results and there can be no assurance that actual results will not materially differ from expectations. Forward-looking statements represent management's current expectations and are inherently uncertain. We do not undertake any obligation to update forward-looking statements. If any of the following risks actually occurs, our financial condition and operating results could be materially adversely affected.

Our business in the foreseeable future will be based on technology licensed from Ramot and if this license were to be terminated for any reason, including failure to pay the required research funding or royalties, we would need to change our business strategy and we may be forced to cease our operations. We entered into a Second Amended and Restated Research and License Agreement with Ramot on July 31, 2007 (the "Amended Agreement"). The Amended Agreement imposes on us development and commercialization obligations, milestone and royalty payment obligations and other obligations.

On August 1, 2007, we obtained a waiver and release from Ramot pursuant to which Ramot agreed to an amended payment schedule regarding our payment obligations under the Amended Agreement and waived all claims against us resulting from our previous breaches and non-payment under the original license agreement. The payments described in the waiver and release cover all of our payment obligations (including interest) that were past due and not yet due pursuant to the original license agreement. To date, we have not yet made the May 2008 payment of \$150,000, the August 2008 payment of \$90,000 or some payments for patents to Ramot. In March 2009, we received a written breach warning from Ramot and we are currently negotiating a new agreement with Ramot to postpone such payments. If we fail to negotiate an agreement with Ramot, Ramot will have the right to terminate the license and all claims waived by Ramot pursuant to the August 2007 waiver and release may be reinstated. If Ramot elects to terminate our license, we would need to change our business strategy and we may be forced to cease our operations.

We need to raise additional capital. If we are unable to raise additional capital on favorable terms and in a timely manner, we will not be able to execute our business plan and we could be forced to restrict or cease our operations. We will need to raise additional funds to meet our anticipated expenses so that we can execute our business plan. We expect to incur substantial and increasing net losses for the foreseeable future as we increase our spending to execute our development programs. Our auditors have expressed in their audit report that there is substantial doubt regarding our ability to continue as a going concern.

Pursuant to the subscription agreement with ACCBT, we expected to issue and sell additional shares and warrants to ACCBT through November 2008 for aggregate consideration of up to \$5,000,000. As of December 31, 2008, ACCBT had invested up to \$3.8 million in the Company pursuant to the subscription agreement. Even if ACCBT purchases all of the shares and warrants under the subscription agreement, we will still need to secure additional funds to effect our plan of operations. Recent funding installments from ACCBT have not been made when expected and we continue to be in need of raising additional cash.

We may not be able to raise additional funds on favorable terms, or at all. If we are unable to obtain additional funds on favorable terms and in a timely fashion, we will be unable to execute our business plan and we will be forced to restrict or cease our operations.

Assuming we raise additional funds through the issuance of equity, equity-related or debt securities, these securities may have rights, preferences or privileges (including registrations rights) senior to those of the rights of our common stock and our stockholders will experience additional dilution.

Disruption in financial and currency markets could have a negative effect on our business. As has been widely reported, financial markets in the U.S., Europe, Asia and elsewhere have been experiencing extreme disruption in recent months, including, among other things, extreme volatility in security prices, severely diminished liquidity and credit availability, rating downgrades of certain investments and declining valuations of others. Governments have taken unprecedented actions intended to address extreme market conditions that include severely restricted credit and declines in real estate values. While currently these conditions have not impaired our ability to operate our business, there can be no assurance that there will not be a further deterioration in financial markets and confidence in major economies, which can then lead to challenges in the operation of our business. These economic developments affect businesses such as ours in a number of ways, including our ability to obtain the financing that is necessary to continue operating our business. We are unable to predict the likely duration and severity of the current disruption in financial markets and adverse economic conditions and the effects they will have on our business and financial condition.

Our company has a history of losses and we expect to incur losses for the foreseeable future. We had no revenues for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2008 or December 31, 2007. As a development stage company, we are in the early stages of executing our business plan. Our ability to operate successfully is materially uncertain and our operations are subject to significant risks inherent in a developing business enterprise. Most notably, we do not expect that any therapies resulting from our or our collaborators' research and development efforts will be commercially available for a significant number of years, if at all. We also do not expect to generate revenues from strategic partnerships or otherwise for at least the next 12 months, and likely longer. Furthermore, we expect to incur substantial and increasing operating losses for the next several years as we increase our spending to execute our development programs. These losses are expected to have an adverse impact on our working capital, total assets and stockholders' equity, and we may never achieve profitability.

The field of stem cell therapy is new and our development efforts may not yield an effective treatment of human diseases. Except for bone marrow transplants for neoplastic disease, the field of stem cell therapy remains largely untested in the clinical setting. Our intended cell therapeutic treatment methods for PD and ALS involve a new approach that has never been proven to work in human testing. We are still conducting experimental testing in animals for our treatment, which, together with other stem cell therapies, may ultimately prove ineffective in treatment of human diseases. If we cannot successfully implement our stem cell therapy in human testing, we would need to change our business strategy and we may be forced to cease our operations.

Our ability to commercialize the products we intend to develop will depend upon our ability to prove the efficacy and safety of these products according to government regulations. Our present and proposed activities are subject to extensive and rigorous regulation by governmental authorities in the U.S. and other countries. To clinically test, produce and market our proposed future products for human use, we must satisfy mandatory procedural and safety and efficacy requirements established by the FDA and comparable state and foreign regulatory agencies. Typically, such rules require that products be approved by the government agency as safe and effective for their intended use prior to being marketed. The approval process is expensive, time consuming and subject to unanticipated delays. It takes years to complete the testing of a product, and failure can occur at any stage of testing. Our product candidates may not be approved. In addition, our product approvals could be withdrawn for failure to comply with regulatory standards or due to unforeseen problems after the product's marketing approval.

We may not be able to obtain regulatory approval of potential products, or may experience delays in obtaining such approvals, and we may consequently never generate revenues from product sales because of any of the following risks inherent in the regulation of our business:

- We may not be successful in obtaining the approval to perform clinical studies, an investigational new drug application, or IND, with respect to a proposed product;
- Preclinical or clinical trials may not demonstrate the safety and efficacy of proposed products satisfactory to the FDA or foreign regulatory authorities; or
- Completion of clinical trials may be delayed, or costs of clinical trials may exceed anticipated amounts (for example, negative or inconclusive results from a preclinical test or clinical trial or adverse medical events during a clinical trial could cause a preclinical study or clinical trial to be repeated, additional tests to be conducted or a program to be terminated, even if other studies or trials relating to the program are successful).

We may not be able to succeed in our business model of seeking to enter into collaborations at appropriate stages of development. We intend to enter into strategic partnerships as we progress towards advanced clinical development and commercialization with companies responsible for such activities. We intend to provide strategic partners with services required to process the NurOwn™ products for the clinical trials. It may be difficult for us to find third parties that are willing to enter into collaborations for our potential products at the appropriate stage of development, on economic terms that are attractive to us or at all. If we are not able to continue to enter into acceptable collaborations, we could fail in our strategy of generating an early inflow of up-front and milestone payments and to enhance our capacities in regulatory and clinical infrastructure while minimizing expenditure and risk and we could be required to undertake and fund further development, clinical trials, manufacturing and marketing activities solely at our own expense.

We may be dependent upon a company with which we enter into collaborations to conduct clinical trials and to commercialize our potential products. If we are ultimately successful in executing our strategy of securing collaborations with companies that would undertake advanced clinical development and commercialization of our products, we may not have day-to-day control over their activities. Any such collaborator may adhere to criteria for determining whether to proceed with a clinical development program under circumstances where we might have continued such a program. Potential collaborators may have significant discretion in determining the efforts and amount of resources that they dedicate to our collaborations or may be unwilling or unable to fulfill their obligations to us, including their development and commercialization. Potential collaborators may underfund or not commit sufficient resources to the testing, marketing, distribution or other development of our products. They may also not properly maintain or defend our intellectual property rights or they may utilize our proprietary information in such a way as to invite litigation that could jeopardize or potentially invalidate our proprietary information or expose us to potential liability. Potential collaboration partners may have the right to terminate the collaboration on relatively short notice and if they do so or if they fail to perform or satisfy their obligations to us, the development or commercialization of products would be delayed and our ability to realize any potential milestone payments and royalty revenue would be adversely affected.

We face significant competition in our efforts to develop cell therapies for PD, ALS and other neurodegenerative diseases. We face significant competition in our efforts to develop cell therapies and other treatment or procedures to cure or slow the effects of PD, ALS and other neurodegenerative diseases. Among our competitors are companies that are involved in the fetal cell transplant or embryonic stem cell derived cell therapy and companies developing adult stem cells. Other companies are developing traditional chemical compounds, new biological drugs, cloned human proteins and other treatments, which are likely to impact the markets that we intend to target. Many of our competitors possess longer operating histories and greater financial, managerial, scientific and technical resources than we do and some possess greater name recognition and established customer bases. Many also have significantly more experience in preclinical testing, human clinical trials, product manufacturing, the regulatory approval process and marketing and distribution than we do. All of these factors put us at a competitive disadvantage.

If Ramot is unable to obtain patents on the patent applications and technology exclusively licensed to us or if patents are obtained but do not provide meaningful protection, we may not be able to successfully market our proposed products. We rely upon the patent application as filed by Ramot and the license granted to us by Ramot under the Original Ramot Agreement. We agreed under the Original Ramot Agreement to seek comprehensive patent protection for all inventions licensed to us under the Original Ramot Agreement. However, we cannot be sure that any patents will be issued to Ramot as a result of its domestic or future foreign patent applications or that any issued patents will withstand challenges by others.

We also rely upon unpatented proprietary technology, know-how and trade secrets and seek to protect them through confidentiality agreements with employees, consultants and advisors. If these confidentiality agreements are breached, we may not have adequate remedies for the breach. In addition, others may independently develop or otherwise acquire substantially the same proprietary technology as our technology and trade secrets.

As a result of our reliance on consultants, we may not be able to protect the confidentiality of our technology, which, if disseminated, could negatively impact our plan of operations. We currently have relationships with two academic consultants who are not employed by us, and we may enter into additional relationships of such nature in the future. We have limited control over the activities of these consultants and can expect only limited amounts of their time to be dedicated to our activities. These persons may have consulting, employment or advisory arrangements with other entities that may conflict with or compete with their obligations to us. Our consultants typically sign agreements that provide for confidentiality of our proprietary information and results of studies. However, in connection with every relationship, we may not be able to maintain the confidentiality of our technology, the dissemination of which could hurt our competitive position and results of operations. To the extent that our scientific consultants develop inventions or processes independently that may be applicable to our proposed products, disputes may arise as to the ownership of the proprietary rights to such information, we may expend significant resources in such disputes and we may not win those disputes.

The price of our stock is expected to be volatile. The market price of our common stock has fluctuated significantly, and is likely to continue to be highly volatile. To date, the trading volume in our stock has been relatively low and significant price fluctuations can occur as a result. An active public market for our common stock may not continue to develop or be sustained. If the low trading volumes experienced to date continue, such price fluctuations could occur in the future and the sale price of our common stock could decline significantly. Investors may therefore have difficulty selling their shares.

Your percentage ownership will be diluted by future offerings of our securities, upon the conversion of outstanding convertible promissory notes into shares of common stock and by options, warrants or shares we grant to management, employees, directors and consultants. If we issue all of the shares and warrants to ACCBT Corp. as provided for in the subscription agreement, it will have a significant dilutive effect on your percentage ownership in the Company. In addition, in order to meet our financing needs described above, we may issue additional significant amounts of our common stock and warrants to purchase shares of our common stock. The precise terms of any future financings will be determined by us and potential investors and such future financings may also significantly dilute your percentage ownership in the Company.

In November 2004 and February 2005, the Company's Board of Directors adopted and ratified the Global Plan and the U.S. Plan (the "Global Plan" and "U.S. Plan" respectively and the "Plans" together), and further approved the reservation of 9,143,462 shares of our common stock for issuance under the Plans (the "Shares"). Our shareholders approved the Plans and the issuance of the Shares in a special meeting of shareholders that was held on March 28, 2005.

On April 28, 2008, the Board approved the amendment and restatement of the Plans to increase the number of shares available for issuance under the Plans by an additional 5,000,000 shares. Our shareholders approved the amendment and restatement of the Plans on June 5, 2008. We have made and intend to make further option grants under the Plans or otherwise issue warrants or shares of our common stock to individuals under the Plans. For example, as of April 1, 2009:

- under our Global Plan we have granted and not canceled a total of 8,161,778 options with various exercise prices and expiration dates, to officers, directors, services providers, consultants and employees.
- under our U.S. Plan we have issued an additional 830,000 shares of restricted stock and options for grants to Scientific Advisory Board members, service providers, consultants and directors.

Such issuances will, if and when made (and if options or warrants are subsequently exercised), dilute your percentage ownership in the Company.

As of April 1, 2009, we have issued convertible notes that have not yet been converted or repaid in an aggregate principal amount of \$150,000 to an investor. The holder of a convertible note may choose to convert all or part of the outstanding principal and interest amount of such holder's note into shares of our common stock on or prior to the maturity date of the respective note. The maximum number of shares that are issuable pursuant to outstanding convertible notes is 3,000,000.

ACCBT Corp. holds equity participation rights that could affect our ability to raise funds. Pursuant to the subscription agreement with ACCBT Corp., a company under the control of Mr. Chaim Lebovits, our President, we granted ACCBT Corp. the right to acquire additional shares of our common stock whenever we issue additional shares of common stock or other securities of the Company, or options or rights to purchase shares of the Company or other securities directly or indirectly convertible into or exercisable for shares of the Company (including shares of any newly created class or series). This participation right could limit our ability to enter into equity financings and to raise funds from third parties.

You may experience difficulties in attempting to enforce liabilities based upon U.S. federal securities laws against us and our non-U.S. resident directors and officers. Our principal operations are located through our subsidiary in Israel and our principal assets are located outside the U.S. Our President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and some of our directors are foreign citizens and do not reside in the U.S. It may be difficult for courts in the U.S. to obtain jurisdiction over our foreign assets or these persons and as a result, it may be difficult or impossible for you to enforce judgments rendered against us or our directors or executive officers in U.S. courts. Thus, should any situation arise in the future in which you have a cause of action against these persons or entities, you are at greater risk in investing in our company rather than a domestic company because of greater potential difficulties in bringing lawsuits or, if successful, collecting judgments against these persons or entities as opposed to domestic persons or entities.

Political, economic and military instability in Israel may impede our ability to execute our plan of operations. Our principal operations and the research and development facilities of the scientific team funded by us under the Original Ramot Agreement are located in Israel. Accordingly, political, economic and military conditions in Israel may affect our business. Since the establishment of the State of Israel in 1948, a number of armed conflicts have occurred between Israel and its Arab neighbors. Since October 2000, terrorist violence in Israel increased significantly and until they were recently revived, negotiations between Israel and Palestinian representatives had effectively ceased. Ongoing or revived hostilities or other factors related to Israel could harm our operations and research and development process and could impede our ability to execute our plan of operations.

Investors may face significant restrictions on the resale of our stock due to the way in which stock trades are handled by broker-dealers. Brokers may be less willing to execute transactions in securities subject to "penny stock" rules. This may make it more difficult for investors to dispose of shares of our common stock and cause a decline in the market value of our stock. Because of large broker-dealer spreads, investors may be unable to sell the stock immediately back to the broker-dealer at the same price the broker-dealer sold the stock to the investor. In some cases, the stock may fall quickly in value. Investors may be unable to reap any profit from any sale of the stock, if they can sell it at all. The market among broker-dealers may not be active. Investors in penny stocks often are unable to sell stock back to the dealer that sold them the stock. The mark-ups or commissions charged by the broker-dealers may be greater than any profit a seller may make.

The trading price of our common stock entails additional regulatory requirements, which may negatively affect such trading price. Our common stock is currently listed on the OTC Bulletin Board, an over-the-counter electronic quotation service, which stock currently trades below \$5.00 per share. We anticipate the trading price of our common stock will continue to be below \$5.00 per share. As a result of this price level, trading in our common stock would be subject to the requirements of certain rules promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). These rules require additional disclosure by broker-dealers in connection with any trades generally involving any non-NASDAQ equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. Such rules require the delivery, before any penny stock transaction, of a disclosure schedule explaining the penny stock market and the risks associated therewith, and impose various sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell penny stocks to persons other than established customers and accredited investors (generally institutions). For these types of transactions, the broker-dealer must determine the suitability of the penny stock for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written consent to the transaction before sale. The additional burdens imposed upon broker-dealers by such requirements may discourage broker-dealers from effecting transactions in our common stock. As a consequence, the market liquidity of our common stock could be severely affected or limited by these regulatory requirements.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Item 2. PROPERTIES

The address of our principal executive offices is 110 East 59th Street, New York, NY 10022, where we have a license to use office space and receive general office services. We have paid rent in the past, but are currently not required to do so.

On December 1, 2004, our Israeli subsidiary, Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd. (the "Subsidiary") entered into a lease agreement for the lease of premises in 12 Basel Street, Petach Tikva, Israel, which include approximately 600 square meters of office and laboratory space. The term of the lease is 36 months, with two options to extend: one for an additional 24 months (the "First Option"); and one for an additional 36 months (the "Second Option"). Rent is to be paid on a quarterly basis in the following amounts: (i) NIS 17,965 (approximately \$5,200) per month during the first 12 months of the lease; (ii) NIS 19,527 (approximately \$5,700) per month during the following 24 months of the lease; (iii) NIS 22,317 (approximately \$6,500) per month during the First Option period; and (iv) NIS 23,712 (approximately \$6,900) per month during the Second Option period.

We have recently expanded our Petach Tikva facility to include an animal research facility. The new animal research facility began operations the week of April 7, 2008.

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On April 17, 2008, Chapman, Spira & Carson, LLC (“CSC”) filed a breach of contract complaint in the Supreme Court of the State of New York (the “Court”) against the Company. The complaint alleges that CSC performed its obligations to the Company under a consulting agreement entered into between the parties and that the Company failed to provide CSC with the compensation outlined in the consulting agreement. The complaint seeks compensatory damages in an amount up to approximately \$896,667, as well as costs and attorneys’ fees. On June 5, 2008, the Company filed an answer with the Court. The Company believes CSC’s claims are without merit. We intend to vigorously defend our actions. We cannot predict the scope, timing or outcome of this matter. We cannot predict what impact, if any, this matter may have on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flow.

From time to time, we may become involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of operations in the normal course of business, which we consider routine and incidental to our business. We currently are not a party to any legal proceedings, other than as described above, the adverse outcome of which, in management’s opinion, would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operation or financial condition.

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

There were no matters submitted to a vote of security holders during the quarter ended December 31, 2008.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Small Business Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Market Information

Our common stock is currently traded on the OTC Bulletin Board operated by the NASD (OTC BB) under the symbol “BCLI”. The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the high and low sales prices for our common stock as reported on the OTB BB.

Quarter Ended	High	Low
December 31, 2008	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.06
September 30, 2008	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.15
June 30, 2008	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.24
March 31, 2008	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.32
December 31, 2007	\$ 1.13	\$ 0.40
September 30, 2007	\$ 1.15	\$ 0.40
June 30, 2007	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.26
March 31, 2007	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.23

We believe that a number of factors may cause the market price of our common stock to fluctuate significantly. These factors are described in Management's Discussion and Analysis in Item 7 below.

Dividends

We have not paid or declared any cash or other dividends on our common stock within the last two years. Any future determination as to the payment of dividends will depend upon our results of operations, and on our capital requirements, financial condition and other factors relevant at the time.

Record Holders

As of April 7, 2009, there were approximately 78 holders of record of our common stock.

Equity Compensation Plans

Information regarding our equity compensation plans and the securities authorized under the plans is included in Item 12 below.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

On April 13, 2009, the Company agreed to issue 250,000 shares of its common stock to Rasheda Ali in full satisfaction of \$25,000 owed by the Company to Ms. Ali for services rendered to the Company.

On April 13, 2009, the Company, in consideration for certain legal services, agreed to issue to Sagiv Rotenberg, an option to purchase 200,000 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$0.10 per share, with such option to vest and become exercisable on the first anniversary of the grant date.

These transactions did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions and we believe that such transactions were exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1933 pursuant to Section 4(2) thereof and Regulation D promulgated thereunder.

Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Not required.

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Company Overview

The Company is a leading company developing stem cell therapeutic products based on breakthrough technologies enabling the *in-vitro* differentiation of bone marrow stem cells to neural-like cells. We aim to become a leader in adult stem cell transplantation for neurodegenerative diseases. Our focus is on utilizing the patient's own bone marrow stem cells to generate neuron-like cells that may provide an effective treatment initially for ALS, PD and spinal cord injury.

Our core technology was developed through a collaboration between prominent neurologist, Prof. Eldad Melamed, Head of Neurology of the Rabin Medical Center and member of the Scientific Committee of the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research, and expert cell biologist Dr. Daniel Offen, of the Felsenstein Medical Research Center of Tel Aviv University.

The Company's team is among the first to demonstrate creation of neurotrophic-factor secreting cells (glial cells) from *in-vitro* differentiated bone marrow cells that produce neurotrophic factors ("NTF") including GDNF, BDNF, NGF and IGF-1.

The team is also among the first to have successfully demonstrated release of dopamine from *in-vitro* differentiated bone marrow cells. Moreover, in research conducted by this team, implantation of these differentiated cells into brains of animal models that had been induced to Parkinsonian behavior markedly improved their symptoms.

Our aim is to provide neural stem cell transplants that (i) "replace" damaged dopaminergic nerve cells and diseased tissue by augmentation with healthy dopamine producing cells; and (ii) maintain, preserve and restore the damaged and remaining dopaminergic cells in the patient's brain, protecting them from further degeneration.

Brainstorm holds exclusive worldwide rights to commercialize the NurOwn™ technology, through a licensing agreement with Ramot, the technology transfer company of Tel Aviv University. The agreement also provides for further research, funded by Brainstorm, to be performed by Prof. Melamed, Dr. Offen and members of their research team at the Felsenstein Medical Research Center. The results of this research are licensed to us under the terms of the license agreement.

On December 21, 2007, we entered into a Cooperative Research Agreement with Rutgers University. Pursuant to the Cooperative Research Agreement, our subsidiary and Rutgers University will work jointly in researching the use of differentiated stem cells for the treatment of spinal cord injury. This research project began in January 2008 and is expected to conclude during 2009.

On April 1, 2008, we started to operate our new animal house and we are conducting new experiments following our work plan.

We are currently in the developmental stage of our technology and products and we are going to begin the process of seeking regulatory approval from regulatory agencies in the U.S., Israel and Europe. Our efforts are directed at the development of the technology from the lab to the clinic with the following main objectives:

- Developing the cell differentiation process according to Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) and the European agency for evaluation of medical product (“EMA”) guidelines;
- Demonstrating safety and efficacy first in animals and then in human patients; and
- Setting up centralized facilities to provide NurOwn™ therapeutic products and services for transplantation in patients.

As a result of limited cash resources at this time and the faster path through necessary clinical trials, the Company recently determined to focus all of its efforts on ALS, and for now will not allocate resources towards PD. As a result of this new focus and the Company’s limited cash resources, the Company recently significantly downsized its employee base.

Results of Operations

The Company has been a development stage company since its inception. For the period from inception (September 22, 2000) until December 31, 2008, the Company has not earned any revenues from operations. The Company does not expect to earn revenues from operations until 2013. In addition, the Company has incurred operating costs and expenses of approximately \$3,472,000 during the year ending December 31, 2008, and approximately \$35,960,000 for the period from inception (September 22, 2000) until December 31, 2008. Operating expenses incurred since inception were approximately \$11,689,000 for general and administrative expenses and \$21,504,000 for research and development costs.

Research and Development, net:

Research and development expenses, net for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 were \$1,639,000 and \$1,925,000, respectively, which includes stock-based compensation expense in each of the years. Stock-based compensation decreased by \$564,000 to \$219,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008 from \$783,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007. In addition, the Company grant from The Office of the Chief Scientist increased by \$118,000 to \$458,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008 from \$340,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Therefore, although there was a decrease in research and development, net expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008 from the research and development expenses, net for the year ended December 31, 2007, research and development expenses, excluding stock-based compensation expenses, and participation from The Office of the Chief Scientist have increased by \$396,000 to \$1,878,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008 from \$1,482,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase in research and development expenses, excluding stock-based compensation, is primarily due to an increase in salary expenses as we had a greater number of (i) employees until the recent downsize of the employee base due to the Company's current financial condition and (ii) subcontractors due in part to the Cooperative Research Agreement with Rutgers University. The increase was also due in part on an expansion of our research activities, including operating our new animal house.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 were \$1,629,000 and \$2,990,000, respectively. General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008 consisted of \$509,000 in stock-based compensation expenses and \$1,120,000 in salary, legal, audit, public and investor relations and other expenses. General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2007 consisted of \$1,895,000 in stock-based compensation expenses and \$1,095,000 in other expenses.

General and administrative expenses, excluding stock-based compensation expenses, have increased primarily due an increase in salary expenses as we have a greater number of employees and consultants.

Financial Expenses

Financial expenses decreased by \$1,125,000 to \$204,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008 from \$1,329,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007.

The decrease in financial expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008 is primarily attributable to a decrease in amortization of the discount on short-term convertible loans.

Net Loss

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2008 was \$3,472,000, as compared to a net loss of \$6,244,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007. Net loss per share for the year ended December 31, 2008 was \$0.07, as compared to a net loss per share of \$0.21 for the year ended December 31, 2007. The decrease in the net loss is mainly due to a decrease in stock-based compensation expenses and a decrease in amortization of discount on short-term convertible loans. The weighted average number of shares of common stock used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share for the year ended December 31, 2008 was 49,040,500, compared to 29,278,452 year ended December 31, 2007. This increase was due to (i) the issuance of shares in a private placement, (ii) the conversion of convertible loans, (iii) the exercise of warrants and (iv) the issuance of shares to service providers.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company has financed its operations since inception primarily through private sales of its common stock and the issuance of convertible promissory notes. At December 31, 2008, we had \$59,000 in total current assets and \$2,859,000 in total current liabilities.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$1,734,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008. Cash used for operating activities in the year ended December 31, 2008 was primarily for payment of salaries and fees to our employees, consultants, subcontractors and services providers and purchase of laboratory materials.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$156,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008. Cash used for investing activities in the year ended December 31, 2008 was primarily for building the animal house.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$1,806,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008 and is primarily attributable to funds received from ACCBT under the Subscription Agreement.

We have a licensing agreement with Ramot under which we owe approximately \$95,000 per quarter. In addition, we have an agreement with a lender under which we must pay approximately \$30,000 over the next three months.

Our other material cash needs for the next 12 months will include payment of employee salaries, payments for pre clinical and clinical trials in ALS and animal experiments, lease payments, payments to Ramot, payments with respect to patents, payment of construction fees for facilities to be used in our research and development, payment of fees to our consultants and legal advisors and capital equipment expenses.

On July 2, 2007, we entered into the Subscription Agreement with ACCBT, pursuant to which we agreed to sell and issue (i) up to 27,500,000 shares of our common stock for an aggregate subscription price of up to \$5.0 million, and (ii) for no additional consideration, warrants to purchase up to 30,250,000 shares of our common stock. Subject to certain closing conditions, separate closings of the purchase and sale of the shares and the warrants were scheduled to take place from August 30, 2007 through November 15, 2008. To date, we have received an aggregate of approximately \$4 million from ACCBT and we do not have an estimated date by when we expect the future payment of up to \$1.0 million.

We will need to raise substantial additional capital in order to meet our anticipated expenses. If we are not able to raise substantial additional capital, we may not be able to continue to function as a going concern and we may have to cease operations. Even if we obtain funding sufficient to continue functioning as a going concern, we will be required to raise a substantial amount of capital in the future in order to reach profitability and to complete the commercialization of our products. Our ability to fund these future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including the following:

- our ability to obtain funding from third parties, including any future collaborative partners;
- the scope, rate of progress and cost of our clinical trials and other research and development programs;
- the time and costs required to gain regulatory approvals;
- the terms and timing of any collaborative, licensing and other arrangements that we may establish;
- the costs of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing patents, patent applications, patent claims, trademarks and other intellectual property rights;
- the effect of competition and market developments; and
- Future pre-clinical and clinical trial results.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future material effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, or capital resources.

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Not required.

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
(A development stage company)**

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2008

U.S. DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS

INDEX

	<u>Page</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	28
Consolidated Balance Sheets	29
Consolidated Statements of Operations	30
Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency)	31
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	34
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	35

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS Inc. (A Development Stage Company)

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS Inc. and subsidiary (a development stage company) (the "Company") as of December 31, 2008, and the related consolidated statement of income, stockholders' deficiency, and cash flows for the year then ended and for the period from September 22, 2000 (date of inception) to December 31, 2008. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's Board of Directors and management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audits.

The financial statements for the period from September 22, 2000 (inception) through December 31, 2007, were audited by other auditors. The consolidated financial statements for the period from September 22, 2000 (inception) through December 31, 2007 included a net loss of \$32,488,000. Our opinion on the consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' deficiency and cash flows for the period from September 22, 2000 (inception) through December 31, 2008, insofar as it relates to amounts for prior periods through December 31, 2007, is based solely on the report of other auditors. The other auditors report dated April 13, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion, and included an explanatory paragraph concerning an uncertainty about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern, and regarding the status of the Company research and development license agreement with Ramot.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS Inc. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2008, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended and for the period from September 22, 2000 (date of inception) to December 31, 2008, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company is a development stage enterprise engaged in development of novel cell therapies for neurodegenerative diseases, particularly Parkinson's disease, based on the acquired technology and research to be conducted and funded by the Company as discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements. The Company's operating losses since inception through December 31, 2008 and the Company research and development license breach of agreement with Ramot, (see Note 3) raise substantial doubts about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans concerning these matters are also described in Note 1 to the financial statements. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties.

/s/ Brightman Almagor Zohar & Co.
Brightman Almagor Zohar & Co.
Certified Public Accountants
A Member Firm of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

Tel Aviv, Israel
April 13, 2009

Audit.Tax.Consulting.Financial Advisory.

Member of
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
(A development stage company)

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

ASSETS	December 31,	
	2008	2007
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	2	86
Restricted cash (Note 10b)	36	35
Accounts receivable and other current asset (Note 5)	21	137
Total current assets	59	258
LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS:		
Prepaid expenses	11	9
Severance pay fund	62	75
Total long-term investments	73	84
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET (Note 6)	743	739
DEFERRED CHARGES (Note 8)	-	2
Total assets	875	1,083
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Short term credit from bank	72	-
Trade payables	744	838
Other accounts payable and accrued expenses (Note 7)	1,672	1,049
Short-term convertible loans (Note 8)	172	396
Short-term loans (Notes 8a and 9)	199	945
Total current liabilities	2,859	3,228
LONG-TERM LOAN (Note 8a)	-	200
ACCRUED SEVERANCE PAY	92	83
Total liabilities	2,951	3,511
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 10)		
STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY:		
Stock capital: (Note 11)		
Common stock of \$ 0.00005 par value - Authorized: 800,000,000 shares at December 31, 2008 and 2007; Issued and outstanding: 55,241,418 and 41,004,409 shares at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively	3	2
Additional paid-in-capital	33,881	30,058
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(35,960)	(32,488)
Total stockholders' deficiency	(2,076)	(2,428)
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficiency	875	1,083

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
(A development stage company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

	Year ended December 31,		Period from September 22, 2000 (inception date) through December 31,
	2008	2007	2008
Operating costs and expenses:			
Research and development	2,097	2,265	22,302
Less - participation by the Israeli Office of the Chief Scientist	<u>(458)</u>	<u>(340)</u>	<u>(798)</u>
Research and development, net	1,639	1,925	21,504
General and administrative	<u>1,629</u>	<u>2,990</u>	<u>11,689</u>
Total operating costs and expenses	3,268	4,915	33,193
Financial expenses, net	<u>204</u>	<u>1,329</u>	<u>2,550</u>
	3,472	6,244	35,743
Taxes on income (Note 12)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>53</u>
Loss from continuing operations	3,472	6,244	35,796
Net loss from discontinued operations	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>164</u>
Net loss	<u>3,472</u>	<u>6,244</u>	<u>35,960</u>
Basic and diluted net loss per share from continuing operations	<u>0.07</u>	<u>0.21</u>	
Weighted average number of shares outstanding used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share	<u>49,040,500</u>	<u>29,278,452</u>	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
(A development stage company)

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

	Common stock		Additional paid-in capital	Deferred stock-based compensation	Deficit accumulated during the development stage	Total stockholders' equity (deficiency)
	Number	Amount				
Balance as of September 22, 2000 (date of inception)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stock issued on September 22, 2000 for cash at \$0.00188 per share	8,500,000	\$ 1	\$ 16	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 17
Stock issued on March 31, 2001 for cash at \$0.0375 per share	1,600,000	(*) -	60	-	-	60
Contribution of capital	-	-	8	-	-	8
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(17)	(17)
Balance as of March 31, 2001	10,100,000	1	84	-	(17)	68
Contribution of capital	-	-	11	-	-	11
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(26)	(26)
Balance as of March 31, 2002	10,100,000	1	95	-	(43)	53
Contribution of capital	-	-	15	-	-	15
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(47)	(47)
Balance as of March 31, 2003	10,100,000	1	110	-	(90)	21
2-for-1 stock split	10,100,000	(*) -	-	-	-	-
Stock issued on August 31, 2003 to purchase mineral option at \$0.065 per share	100,000	(*) -	6	-	-	6
Cancellation of shares granted to Company's Former President	(10,062,000)	(*) -	(*) -	-	-	-
Contribution of capital	-	-	15	-	-	15
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(73)	(73)
Balance as of March 31, 2004	10,238,000	1	131	-	(163)	(31)
Stock issued on June 24, 2004 for private placement at \$0.01 per share, net of \$25,000 issuance expenses	8,510,000	(*) -	60	-	-	60
Contribution capital	-	-	7	-	-	7
Stock issued in 2004 for private placement at \$0.75 per unit	1,894,808	(*) -	1,418	-	-	1,418
Cancellation of shares granted to service providers	(1,800,000)	(*) -	-	-	-	-
Deferred stock-based compensation related to options granted to employees	-	-	5,979	(5,979)	-	-
Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation related to shares and options granted to employees	-	-	-	584	-	584
Compensation related to shares and options granted to service providers	2,025,000	(*) -	17,506	-	-	17,506
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(18,840)	(18,840)
Balance as of March 31, 2005	<u>20,867,808</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>	<u>\$ 25,101</u>	<u>\$ (5,395)</u>	<u>\$ (19,003)</u>	<u>\$ 704</u>

(*) Represents an amount less than \$1.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
(A development stage company)

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)
U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

	<u>Common stock</u>		<u>Additional paid-in compensation</u>	<u>Deferred stock-based stage</u>	<u>Deficit accumulated during the development (deficiency)</u>	<u>Total stockholders' equity (deficiency)</u>
	<u>Number</u>	<u>capital</u>				
Balance as of March 31, 2005	20,867,808	\$ 1	\$ 25,101	\$ (5,395)	\$ (19,003)	\$ 704
Stock issued on May 12, 2005 for private placement at \$0.8 per share	186,875	(*) -	149	-	-	149
Stock issued on July 27, 2005 for private placement at \$0.6 per share	165,000	(*) -	99	-	-	99
Stock issued on September 30, 2005 for private placement at \$0.8 per share	312,500	(*) -	225	-	-	225
Stock issued on December 7, 2005 for private placement at \$0.8 per share	187,500	(*) -	135	-	-	135
Forfeiture of options granted to employees	-	-	(3,363)	3,363	-	-
Deferred stock-based compensation related to shares and options granted to directors and employees	200,000	(*) -	486	(486)	-	-
Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation related to options and shares granted to employees and directors	-	-	51	1,123	-	1,174
Stock-based compensation related to options and shares granted to service providers	934,904	(*) -	662	-	-	662
Reclassification due to application of EITF 00-19	-	-	(7,906)	-	-	(7,906)
Beneficial conversion feature related to a convertible bridge loan	-	-	164	-	-	164
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(3,317)	(3,317)
Balance as of March 31, 2006	22,854,587	1	15,803	(1,395)	(22,320)	(7,911)
Elimination of deferred stock compensation due to implementation of SFAS 123(R)	-	-	(1,395)	1,395	-	-
Stock-based compensation related to shares and options granted to directors and employees	200,000	-	1,168	-	-	1,168
Reclassification due to application of EITF 00-19	-	-	7,191	-	-	7,191
Stock-based compensation related to options and shares granted to service providers	1,147,225	(*) -	453	-	-	453
Warrants issued to convertible note holder	-	-	11	-	-	11
Warrants issued to loan holder	-	-	110	-	-	110
Beneficial conversion feature related to convertible bridge loans	-	-	1,086	-	-	1,086
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(3,924)	(3,924)
Balance as of December 31, 2006	<u>24,201,812</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>	<u>\$ 24,427</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ (26,244)</u>	<u>\$ (1,816)</u>

(*) Represents an amount less than \$1.
The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
(A development stage company)

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)
U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

	Common stock		Additional paid-in Capital and subscription of shares	Deferred stock-based compensation	Deficit accumulated during the development stage	Total stockholders' equity (deficiency)
	Number	Amount				
Balance as of December 31, 2006	24,201,812	\$ 1	\$ 24,427	\$ -	\$ (26,244)	\$ (1,816)
Stock-based compensation related to options and shares granted to service providers	544,095	(*) -	1,446	-	-	1,446
Warrants issued to convertible note holder	-	-	109	-	-	109
Stock-based compensation related to shares and options granted to directors and employees	200,000	(*) -	1,232	-	-	1,232
Beneficial conversion feature related to convertible loans	-	-	407	-	-	407
Conversion of convertible loans	725,881	(*) -	224	-	-	224
Exercise of warrants	3,832,621	(*) -	214	-	-	214
Stock issued for private placement at \$0.1818 per unit, net of finder's fee	11,500,000	1	1,999	-	-	2,000
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(6,244)	(6,244)
Balance as of December 31, 2007	41,004,409	2	30,058	-	(32,488)	(2,428)
Stock-based compensation related to options and stock granted to service providers	90,000	-	33	-	-	33
Stock-based compensation related to stock and options granted to directors and employees	-	-	731	-	-	731
Conversion of convertible loans	3,644,610	(*) -	1,276	-	-	1,276
Exercise of warrants	1,860,000	(*) -	-	-	-	-
Exercise of options	17,399	(*) -	3	-	-	3
Stock issued for private placement at \$0.1818 per unit, net of finder's fee	8,625,000	1	1,499	-	-	1,500
Subscription of shares	-	-	281	-	-	281
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(3,472)	(3,472)
Balance as of December 31, 2008	55,241,418	\$ 3	\$ 33,881	\$ -	\$ (35,960)	\$ (2,076)

*) Represents an amount less than \$ 1.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

U.S. dollars in thousands

	Year ended December 31,		Period from September 22, 2000 (inception date) through December 31,
	2008	2007	2008
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	(3,472)	(6,244)	(35,960)
Less – loss for the period from discontinued operations	-	-	164
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation	151	99	368
Amortization of deferred charges	2	62	150
Severance pay, net	23	5	31
Accrued interest on loans	113	237	430
Amortization of discount on short-term loans	41	972	1,865
Change in fair value of options and warrants	-	-	(795)
Expenses related to shares and options granted to service providers	33	1,446	20,166
Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation related to options granted to employees	731	1,232	4,888
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable and prepaid expenses	116	(95)	(21)
Increase (decrease) in trade payables	(94)	117	744
Increase in other accounts payable and accrued expenses	623	398	1,666
Erosion of restricted cash	(1)	(3)	(6)
Net cash used in continuing operating activities	(1,734)	(1,774)	(6,310)
Net cash used in discontinued operating activities	-	-	(23)
Total net cash used in operating activities	(1,734)	(1,774)	(6,333)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchase of property and equipment	(154)	(347)	(1,080)
Restricted cash	-	-	(29)
Investment in lease deposit	(2)	-	(11)
Net cash used in continuing investing activities	(156)	(347)	(1,120)
Net cash used in discontinued investing activities	-	-	(16)
Total net cash used in investing activities	(156)	(347)	(1,136)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of Common stock and warrants, net	1,781	1,750	5,868
Proceeds from loans, notes and issuance of warrants, net	-	673	2,061
Credit from bank	72	-	72
Proceeds from exercise of warrants and options	3	214	28
Repayment of short-term loans	(50)	(490)	(601)
Net cash provided by continuing financing activities	1,806	2,147	7,428
Net cash provided by discontinued financing activities	-	-	43
Total net cash provided by financing activities	1,806	2,147	7,471
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(84)	26	2
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the period	86	60	-
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period	2	86	2
Non-cash financing activities:			
Non-cash proceeds from issuance of Common stock and warrants, net	-	250	-
Conversion of debt to shares	1,276	-	-
Non-cash repayment of short-term loans	-	(250)	-
Interest paid	-	17	-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 1 - GENERAL

- A. Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. (formerly: Golden Hand Resources Inc.) (the "Company") was incorporated in the State of Washington on September 22, 2000.
- B. On May 21, 2004, the former major stockholders of the Company entered into a purchase agreement with a group of private investors, who purchased from the former major stockholders 6,880,000 shares of the then issued and outstanding 10,238,000 shares of Common Stock.
- C. On July 8, 2004, the Company entered into a licensing agreement with Ramot of Tel Aviv University Ltd. ("Ramot"), an Israeli corporation, to acquire certain stem cell technology (see Note 3). Subsequent to this agreement, the Company decided to focus on the development of novel cell therapies for neurodegenerative diseases, particularly Parkinson's disease, based on the acquired technology and research to be conducted and funded by the Company.
Following the licensing agreement dated July 8, 2004, the management of the Company decided to abandon all old activities related to the sale of the digital data recorder product. The discontinuation of this activity was accounted for under the provision of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard ("SFAS") 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets".
- D. On November 22, 2004, the Company changed its name from Golden Hand Resources Inc. to Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. to better reflect its new line of business in the development of novel cell therapies for neurodegenerative diseases. BCT owns all operational property and equipment.
- E. On October 25, 2004, the Company formed a wholly-owned subsidiary in Israel, Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd. ("BCT").
- F. On December 2006, the Company changed its state of incorporation from Washington to Delaware.
- G. On September 17, 2006, the Company's Board determined to change the Company's fiscal year-end from March 31 to December 31.
- H. Since its inception, the Company has devoted substantially most of its efforts to research and development, recruiting management and technical staff, acquiring assets and raising capital. In addition, the Company has not generated revenues. Accordingly, the Company is considered to be in the development stage, as defined in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 7, "Accounting and reporting by development Stage Enterprises" ("SFAS No. 7").

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 1 - GENERAL (Cont.)

GOING CONCERN

As reflected in the accompanying financial statements, the Company's operations for the year ended on December 31, 2008, resulted in a net loss of \$3,472 and the Company's balance sheet reflects a net stockholders' deficiency of \$2,076, accumulated deficit of \$35,960 and working capital deficiency of \$2,800. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue to operate as a going concern. The Company's ability to continue operating as a "going concern" is dependent on several factors, among them is its ability to raise sufficient additional working capital. Management's plans in this regard include, among others, raising additional cash from current and potential stockholders and lenders.

Accordingly, as a result of the current economic situation and the difficulty to raise immediate fund to support all of the Company's projects, the Company decided to reduce its activity and focus only on the effort to reach clinical trials in ALS in 2009.

The Company also reduced its general and administrative expenses and ceased and delayed some development projects until it is able to obtain sufficient financing. There can be no assurance that sufficient revenues will be generated and that additional funds will be available on terms acceptable to the Company, or at all.

The Company depends on Ramot to conduct its research and development activities. As discussed in Note 3, the Company didn't make a certain payment in 2008 to Ramot. As a result, the Company did not meet the payment schedule according to the agreement with Ramot and Ramot is entitled to terminate the research and license agreement.

These financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of assets carrying amounts or the amount and classification of liabilities that may be required should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

NOTE 2 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Basis of presentation:

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles applied on a consistent basis.

B. Use of estimates:

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

C. Financial statement in U.S. dollars:

The functional currency of the Company is the U.S. dollar ("dollar") since the dollar is the currency of the primary economic environment in which the Company has operated and expects to continue to operate in the foreseeable future. Part of the transactions of the subsidiary, are recorded in new Israeli shekels ("NIS"); however, a substantial portion of the subsidiary's costs is incurred in dollars or linked to the dollar. Accordingly, management has designated the dollar as the currency of its subsidiary's primary economic environment and thus it is their functional and reporting currency.

Transactions and balances denominated in dollars are presented at their original amounts. Non-dollar transactions and balances have been remeasured to dollars in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard 52, "Foreign Currency Translation". All transaction gains and losses from remeasurement of monetary balance sheet items denominated in non-dollar currencies are reflected in the statement of operations as financial income or expenses, as appropriate.

D. Principles of consolidation:

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated upon consolidation.

E. Cash equivalents:

Cash equivalents are short-term highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to cash with maturities of three months or less as of the date acquired.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 2 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

F. Property and equipment:

Property and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is calculated by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

The annual depreciation rates are as follows:

	%
Office furniture and equipment	7
Computer software and electronic equipment	33
Laboratory equipment	15
Leasehold improvements	Over the shorter of the lease term (including the option) or useful life

G. Impairment of long-lived assets:

The Company's and its subsidiary's long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" ("SFAS 144") whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the assets to the future undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the assets. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds their fair value. During 2007 and 2008, no impairment losses were identified.

H. Research and development expenses, net:

Research and development expenses, are charged to the statement of operations as incurred.

Royalty-bearing grants from the Government of Israel for funding approved research and development projects are recognized at the time the Company is entitled to such grants, on the basis of the costs incurred and applied as a deduction from research and development expenses. Such grants are included as a deduction of research and development costs since at the time received it is not probable the Company will generate sales from these projects and pay the royalties resulting from such sales.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 2 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

I. Severance pay:

The liability of the subsidiary for severance pay is calculated pursuant to the Severance Pay Law in Israel, based on the most recent salary of the employees multiplied by the number of years of employment as of the balance sheet date and is presented on an undiscounted basis.

The subsidiary's employees are entitled to one month's salary for each year of employment or a portion thereof. The subsidiary's liability for all of its employees is fully provided by monthly deposits with insurance policies and by an accrual. The value of these policies is recorded as an asset in the Company's balance sheet.

The deposited funds may be withdrawn only upon the fulfillment of the obligation pursuant to Severance Pay Law in Israel or labor agreements. The value of the deposited funds is based on the cash surrendered value of these policies.

Severance expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008, year ended December 31, 2007 were \$83, \$41, respectively.

J. Accounting for stock-based compensation:

Effective April 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 123 (Revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment," ("SFAS 123(R)") which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors including employee stock options under the Company's stock plans based on estimated fair values. SFAS 123(R) supersedes the Company's previous accounting under Accounting Principles Board Opinion 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB 25"). In March 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin 107 ("SAB 107") relating to SFAS 123(R). The Company has applied the provisions of SAB 107 in its adoption of SFAS 123(R).

SFAS 123(R) requires companies to estimate the fair value of equity-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense over the requisite service periods in the Company's consolidated statement of operations.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)**

NOTE 2 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

J. Accounting for stock-based compensation: (Cont.)

The Company recognizes compensation expense for the value of non-employee awards, which have graded vesting, based on the accelerated attribution method over the requisite service period of each award, net of estimated forfeitures.

The Company recognizes compensation expense for the value of employee awards that have graded vesting, based on the straight-line method over the requisite service period of each of the awards, net of estimated forfeitures.

The Company estimates the fair value of restricted shares based on the market price of the shares at the grant date and estimates the fair value of stock options granted using a Black-Scholes options pricing model. The option-pricing model requires a number of assumptions, of which the most significant are, expected stock price volatility and the expected option term (the time from the grant date until the options are exercised or expire). Expected volatility was calculated based upon actual historical stock price movements over the period, equal to the expected option term. The expected option term was calculated for options granted to employees and directors in accordance with SAB-107 and SAB 110, using the "simplified" method. Grants to non-employees are based on the contractual term. The Company has historically not paid dividends and has no foreseeable plans to issue dividends. The risk-free interest rate is based on the yield from U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bonds with an equivalent term.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 2 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

K. Basic and diluted net loss per share:

Basic net loss per share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each year. Diluted net loss per share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each year, plus the dilutive potential of the Common Stock considered outstanding during the year, in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard 128, "Earnings per Share."

All outstanding stock options and warrants have been excluded from the calculation of the diluted loss per share for the year ended December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, since all such securities have an anti-dilutive effect.

L. Income taxes:

The Company and its subsidiary account for income taxes in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." This Statement requires the use of the liability method of accounting for income taxes, whereby deferred tax asset and liability account balances are determined based on the differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The Company and its subsidiary provide a valuation allowance, if necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to their estimated realizable value.

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB interpretation ("FIN") 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an Interpretation of FASB Statement 109". FIN 48 establishes a single model to address accounting for uncertain tax positions. FIN 48 clarified the accounting for income taxes by prescribing the minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on recognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. The adoption of the provisions of FIN 48 did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

M. Fair value of financial instruments:

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and prepaid expenses, trade payables and other accounts payable approximate their fair value due to the short-term maturity of these instruments.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 2 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

N. Impact of recently issued accounting standards:

In May 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 162, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. SFAS No. 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and provides entities with a framework for selecting the principles used in preparation of financial statements that are presented in conformity with GAAP. The current GAAP hierarchy has been criticized because it is directed to the auditor rather than the entity, it is complex, and it ranks FASB Statements of Financial Accounting Concepts, which are subject to the same level of due process as FASB Statements of Financial Accounting Standards, below industry practices that are widely recognized as generally accepted but that are not subject to due process. The FASB believes the GAAP hierarchy should be directed to entities because it is the entity (not its auditors) that is responsible for selecting accounting principles for financial statements that are presented in conformity with GAAP. The adoption of FASB 162 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 2 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

N. Impact of recently issued accounting standards (cont.):

In May 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) APB 14-1, "Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)" ("APB 14-1"). APB 14-1 requires the issuer to separately account for the liability and equity components of convertible debt instruments in a manner that reflects the issuer's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. The guidance will result in companies recognizing higher interest expense in the statement of operations due to amortization of the discount that results from separating the liability and equity components. APB 14-1 will be effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently assessing the impact of APB 14-1 on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. EITF 03-6-1, "Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities" ("FSP EITF 03-6-1"). FSP EITF 03-6-1 establishes that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities as defined in Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 03-6, "Participating Securities and the Two-Class Method under FASB Statement No. 128", and should be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method as described in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 128, "Earnings per Share". FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those years. All prior-period earnings per share data presented shall be adjusted retrospectively to conform to the provisions of FSP EITF 03-6-1. Early application is not permitted. The adoption of FSP EITF 03-6-1 is not expected to have an impact on the Company's financial position.

EITF Issue No. 07-5

In June 2008, the FASB Emerging Items Task Force reached a consensus on EITF Issue No. 07-5, "Determining Whether an Instrument (or an Embedded Feature) Is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock". The Consensus was reached on the following three issues:

1. The way an entity should evaluate whether an instrument (or embedded feature) is indexed to its own stock.
2. The way the currency in which the strike price of an equity-linked financial instrument (or embedded equity-linked feature) is denominated affects the determination of whether the instrument is indexed to an entity's own stock.
3. The way an issuer should account for market-based employee stock option valuation instruments.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 2 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.)

N. Impact of recently issued accounting standards: (Cont.)

This consensus will affect entities with (1) options or warrants on their own shares (not within the scope of Statement 150), including market-based employee stock option valuation instruments; (2) forward contracts on their own shares, including forward contracts entered into as part of an accelerated share repurchase program; and (3) convertible debt instruments and convertible preferred stock. Also affected are entities that issue equity-linked financial instruments (or financial instruments that contain embedded equity-linked features) with a strike price that is denominated in a foreign currency.

The consensus is effective for fiscal years (and interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2008. The consensus must be applied to outstanding instruments as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the issue is adopted as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings for that fiscal year. Early application is not permitted.

The Company is currently evaluating the effect of EITF 07-5 and has not yet determined the impact of the consensus on its financial position or results of operations.

NOTE 3 - RESEARCH AND LICENSE AGREEMENT

- A. On July 8, 2004, the Company entered into a research and license agreement (the "Original Agreement") with Ramot. The license agreement grants the Company an exclusive, worldwide, royalty-bearing license to develop, use and sell certain stem cell technology. In consideration of the license, the Company was required to remit an upfront license fee payment of \$100; royalties at a rate of 5% of all net sales of products and 30% of all sublicense receipts. In addition, the Company granted Ramot and certain of its designees fully vested warrants to purchase 10,606,415 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.01 per share. The Company will also fund, through Ramot, further research in consideration of \$570 per year for an initial two-year period and for a further two-year period if certain research milestones are met. Ramot may terminate the agreement if the Company fails to reach certain development milestones or materially breaches the agreement.

On March 30, 2006, the Company entered into an Amended Research and License Agreement with Ramot, for the purpose of amending and restating the Original Agreement. According to the agreement, the initial period was amended to an initial research period of three years. The Amended Research and License Agreement also extends the additional two-year research period in the Original Agreement to an additional three-year research period if certain research milestones are met. The Amended Research and License Agreement retroactively amends the consideration to \$380 per year, instead of \$570 per year. As a consequence, an amount of \$300 was charged to the statement of operations as research and development expenses in the year ended in March 31, 2006. In addition, the Amended Research and License Agreement reduces royalties that the Company may have to pay Ramot, in certain cases, from 5% to 3% of net sales and also reduces the sublicense receipt from 30% to 20%-25% of sublicense receipts.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 3 - RESEARCH AND LICENSE AGREEMENT (Cont.)

A. Cont.

On July 26, 2007, the Company entered into a Second Amended and Restated Research and License Agreement with Ramot. On August 1, 2007, the Company obtained a waiver and release from Ramot pursuant to which Ramot agreed to an amended payment schedule regarding the Company's payment obligations under the Amended Research and License Agreement, dated March 30, 2006, and waived all claims against the Company resulting from the Company's previous defaults and non-payment under the Original Agreement and the Amended Research and License Agreement. The payments described in the waiver and release covered all payment obligations that were past due and not yet due pursuant to the Original Agreement. The waiver and release amends and restates the original payment schedule under the Original Agreement as follows:

<u>Payment date</u>	<u>Amount</u>
September 5, 2007	100
November 20, 2007	150
February 20, 2008	150
May 20, 2008	150
August 4, 2008	90

In addition, in the event that the "research period," as defined in the Amended Research and License Agreement, is extended for an additional three year period in accordance with the terms of the Amended Research and License Agreement, then the Company is obligated to the following payments to Ramot during the first year of the extended research period:

<u>Payment date</u>	<u>Amount</u>
August 4, 2008	60
November 20, 2008	150
February 20, 2009	170

If the Company fails to make a payment to Ramot on any required payment date, and the Company does not cure the default within seven business days of notice of the default, all claims of Ramot against the Company, which were waived and released by the waiver and release, may be reinstated.

In March 2009, the Company received a breach warning from Ramot and the parties are currently negotiating an updated agreement to postpone the payments. The Company verbally agreed with Ramot on the principles of such agreement but no agreement has been signed yet.

As of April 13, 2009, the Company has paid to Ramot the first three payments, a total of \$400, but has not made yet the last two payments totaling \$240 nor paid for the extended research period. As a result, the Company is in breach of the new agreement with Ramot and Ramot may terminate the research and license agreement. The Company is negotiating with Ramot to postpone the payments.

In addition, on August 1, 2007, the Company entered into the Second Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement with Ramot. According to the Second Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement, Ramot waived their demand for registration rights, according to the amended registration rights agreement dated March 31, 2006, and instead agreed to piggyback registration rights in the event that the Company files a registration statement.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 3 - RESEARCH AND LICENSE AGREEMENT (Cont.)

A. Cont.

The warrants issued pursuant to the agreement were issued to Ramot and its designees effective as of November 4, 2004. Each of the warrants is exercisable for a seven-year period beginning on November 4, 2005.

Ramot has instructed the Company that the warrants will be issued as follows: Ramot shall be issued 60% of the warrants, the two consultants, or trustees for their benefit, shall each be issued, in addition to the Consultants' warrants described in Note 4, 15% of the Ramot warrants, Mr. Yosef Levy, a member of the research team, shall be issued 8% of the Ramot warrants and Mrs. Pnina Green, a member of the research team, shall be issued 2% of the Ramot warrants.

B. The Company's total current obligation to Ramot as of December 31, 2008, is in the amount of \$772. The amount includes \$475 for the extended research period.

NOTE 4 - CONSULTING AGREEMENTS

A. On July 8, 2004, the Company entered into two consulting agreements with Prof. Eldad Melamed and Dr. Daniel Offen (together, the "Consultants"), upon which the Consultants shall provide the Company scientific and medical consulting services in consideration for a monthly payment of \$6 each. In addition, the Company granted each of the Consultants a fully vested warrant to purchase 1,097,215 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.01 per share. The warrants issued pursuant to the agreement were issued to the Consultants effective as of November 4, 2004. Each of the warrants is exercisable for a seven-year period beginning on November 4, 2005.

B. As of December 31, 2008, the Company has a total obligation of \$226 for services rendered by the Consultants.

NOTE 5 - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE AND PREPAID EXPENSES

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
Government authorities	12	102
Prepaid expenses and other current asset	9	35
	<u>21</u>	<u>137</u>

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 6 - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
Cost:		
Office furniture and equipment	9	9
Computer software and electronic equipment	101	86
Laboratory equipment	347	237
Leasehold improvements	655	625
	1,112	957
Accumulated depreciation:		
Office furniture and equipment	2	1
Computer software and electronic equipment	64	40
Laboratory equipment	95	54
Leasehold improvements	208	123
	369	218
Depreciated cost	743	739

Depreciation expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 were \$151 and \$99, respectively.

NOTE 7 - OTHER ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED EXPENSES

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
Employee and payroll accruals	176	193
Ramot accrued expenses	475	95
Accrued expenses	1,021	761
	1,672	1,049

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 8 - SHORT-TERM CONVERTIBLE LOANS

- A. On September 10, 2007, the Company entered into a payment agreement with the lender with respect to the Convertible Promissory Notes issued during 2006.

Pursuant to the agreement, the Company agreed to pay the outstanding amount due under the Convertible Promissory Notes, plus any accrued interest and penalties, in accordance with the following schedule:

<u>Payment date</u>	<u>Amount</u>
August 16, 2007	100
November 30, 2007	100
January 15, 2008	175
February 28, 2008	175
April 30, 2008	175
June 30, 2008	175
August 31, 2008	175
November 30, 2008	175
January 31, 2009	200

The lender agreed that upon payment of the foregoing amounts in accordance with the foregoing schedule, all of the Company's outstanding obligations owed to the lender under the Convertible Promissory Notes will be satisfied in full. The lender also waived any breach or default that may have arisen prior to the date of the agreement from the failure of the Company to make payments under any of the Convertible Promissory Notes. In addition, the lender waived his conversion rights.

According to the provisions of EITF 02-4, the modification of terms of the convertible loans payments is in the scope of FASB No. 15 "Accounting by Debtors and Creditors for Troubled Debt Restructurings". According to the payment agreement, the carrying amount of the loan is not in excess of total future payments and, therefore, in accordance with FASB No. 15, no gain or loss is recognized. As a result of this agreement, an amount of \$200 was included in long-term loan on the balance sheet for the year ended December 31, 2007.

On April 13, 2008 the Company reached a new agreement with the lender, see Note 9b.

- B. On November 14, 2006, the Company issued a \$50 Convertible Promissory Note to a stockholder. Interest on the original note accrues at the rate of 12% per annum and was due and payable in full on February 12, 2007.

On August 20, 2007, the stockholder waived all the interest accrued through August 20, 2007 and afterwards. On November 12, 2007, the Company repaid the \$50 loan to the stockholder.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 8 - SHORT-TERM CONVERTIBLE LOANS (Cont.)

- C. On December 12, 2006, the Company issued a \$200 Convertible Promissory Note to a third party. Interest on the note accrues at the rate of 8% per annum and was due and payable in full on December 31, 2007. The note could become immediately due and payable upon the occurrence of certain events of default, as defined in the note. The third party had the right at any time prior to the close of business on the maturity date to convert all or part of the outstanding principal and interest amount of the note into shares of Common Stock. The conversion price, as defined in the note, was 75% (60% upon the occurrence of an event of default) of the average of the last bid and ask price of the Common Stock as quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board for the five trading days prior to the Company's receipt of the third party written notice of election to convert, but in no event will the conversion price be greater than \$0.35 or more than 4,000,000 shares of Common Stock be issued. The conversion price will be adjusted in the event of a stock dividend, subdivision, combination or stock split of the outstanding shares.

In addition, the Company granted to the third party warrants to purchase 200,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.45 per share. The warrants are fully vested and exercisable at any time after December 2006 until the second anniversary of the issue date. The fair value of the warrants amounts to \$23.

The Company agreed to pay a finder's fee of 10% of the loan. The finder's fee totaling \$20 was charged to deferred charges and is amortized as financial expense over the note period.

In accordance with APB 14, the Company allocated the proceeds of the convertible note issued with detachable warrants based on the relative fair values of the two securities at the time of issuance. As a result, the Company recorded in its statement of changes in stockholders' equity an amount of \$12 with respect to the warrants and the convertible note was recorded in the amount of \$188.

The beneficial conversion feature ("BCF"), in the amount of \$133, embedded in the note was calculated based on a conversion rate of 60%, as defined upon the occurrence of an event of default. The amount was recorded as discount on the note against additional paid-in capital and is amortized to financial expenses over the note period.

The balance as of December 31, 2007 was comprised as follows:

Note	200
Accrued interest	16
	<u>216</u>

On February 21, 2008, the third party converted the entire accrued principal and interest into 619,523 shares of Common Stock.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 8 - SHORT-TERM CONVERTIBLE LOANS (Cont.)

- D. On January 26, 2007, the Company issued a \$25 Convertible Promissory Note to a stockholder. Interest on the original note accrued at the rate of 12% per annum and was due and payable in full on February 28, 2007. The BCF, in the amount of \$8, embedded in the note was recorded as discount on the note against additional paid-in capital and was amortized to financial expenses over the note period.

The Company did not pay the loan on the original maturity date. On May 1, 2007, the Company and the creditor agreed that the payment of the \$25 for the above Convertible Promissory Note and payment of \$50 of the Convertible Promissory Note from the stockholder dated November 14, 2006 would be deferred to May 31, 2007.

For the deferral of the maturity dates, the Company granted on March 25, 2007 to the stockholder, warrants to purchase 75,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.45 per share. The warrants are fully vested and are exercisable at any time after March 25, 2007 until the second anniversary of the issue date. The fair value of the warrants in the amount of \$20 was recorded as financial expense for the year end December 31, 2007.

On August 13, 2007, the Company repaid the \$25 loan to the stockholder. On August 20, 2007, the stockholder waived all the interest accrued through August 20, 2007 and afterwards. On November 12, 2007, the Company repaid the \$50 loan to the stockholder.

- E. On February 5, 2007, the Company issued a \$50 Convertible Promissory Note to a stockholder. Interest on the note accrues at the rate of 8% per annum and was due and payable in full on February 5, 2008. The stockholder had the right at any time prior to the close of business on the maturity date to convert all or part of the outstanding principal and interest amount of the note into shares of Common Stock. The conversion price, as defined in the note, was 75% (60% upon the occurrence of an event of default) of the average of the last bid and ask price of the Common Stock as quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board for the five trading days prior to the Company's receipt of the third party written notice of election to convert, but in no event will the conversion price be greater than \$0.35 or more than 2,000,000 shares of Common Stock be issued. The conversion price will be adjusted in the event of a stock dividend, subdivision, combination or stock split of the outstanding shares.

In addition, the Company granted to the stockholder warrants to purchase 50,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.45 per share. The warrants are fully vested and exercisable at any time after February 5, 2007 until the second anniversary of the issue date. The fair value of the warrants is \$8.

In accordance with APB 14, the Company allocated the proceeds of the convertible note issued with detachable warrants based on the relative fair values of the two securities at the time of issuance. As a result, the Company recorded in its statement of changes in stockholders' equity an amount of \$4 with respect to the warrants and the convertible note was recorded in the amount of \$46.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 8 - SHORT-TERM CONVERTIBLE LOANS (Cont.)

E. Cont.

The BCF, in the amount of \$37, embedded in the note was calculated based on a conversion rate of 60%, as defined upon the occurrence of an event of default and according to the notes' effective conversion price. The amount was recorded as discount on the note against additional paid-in-capital and is amortized in full to financial expense due to converting the loan into shares.

On May 28, 2007, the stockholder converted the entire accrued principal and interest amount of \$51 into 210,812 shares of Common Stock.

F. On March 5, 2007, the Company issued a \$150 Convertible Promissory Note to a third party. Interest on the note accrues at the rate of 8% per annum and was due and payable in full on March 5, 2008. The note will become immediately due and payable upon the occurrence of certain events of default, as defined in the note. The third party has the right at any time prior to the close of business on the maturity date to convert all or part of the outstanding principal and interest amount of the note into shares of Common Stock. The conversion price, as defined in the note, will be 75% (60% upon the occurrence of an event of default) of the average of the last bid and ask price of the Common Stock as quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board for the five trading days prior to the Company's receipt of the third party written notice of election to convert, but in no event shall the conversion price be greater than \$0.35 or more than 3,000,000 shares of Common Stock be issued. The conversion price will be adjusted in the event of a stock dividend, subdivision, combination or stock split of the outstanding shares.

In addition, the Company granted to the third party warrants to purchase 150,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.45 per share. The warrants are fully vested and are exercisable at any time after March 5, 2007 until the second anniversary of the issue date. The fair value of the warrants is \$43.

In accordance with APB 14, the Company allocated the proceeds of the convertible note issued with detachable warrants based on the relative fair values of the two securities at the time of issuance. As a result, the Company recorded in its statement of changes in stockholders' equity for 2007 an amount of \$22 with respect to the warrants and the convertible note was recorded in the amount of \$128.

The Company agreed to pay a finder's fee of \$15; \$13 was allocated to deferred charges and is amortized as financial expense over the note period and \$2 was allocated to stockholder's equity.

The BCF, in the amount of \$122, embedded in the note was calculated based on a conversion rate of 60%, as defined upon the occurrence of an event of default and according to the notes' effective conversion price. The amount was recorded as discount on the note against additional paid-in capital and is amortized to financial expense over the note period.

The company did not pay the loan on the original maturity date, and is negotiating with the third party for new payment terms.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 8 - SHORT-TERM CONVERTIBLE LOANS (Cont.)

The balance of the convertible loan, is comprised as follows:

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
Note	150	150
Discount	-	(24)
Accrued interest	<u>22</u>	<u>10</u>
	<u><u>172</u></u>	<u><u>136</u></u>

- G. On March 14, 2007, the Company issued a \$50 Convertible Promissory Note to a third party. Interest on the note accrues at the rate of 8% per annum and was due and payable in full on March 14, 2008. The note will become immediately due and payable upon the occurrence of certain events of default, as defined in the note. The third party has the right at any time prior to the close of business on the maturity date to convert all or part of the outstanding principal and interest amount of the note into shares of Common Stock. The conversion price, as defined in the note, will be 75% of the average of the last bid and ask price of the Common Stock as quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board for the five trading days prior to the Company's receipt of the third party written notice of election to convert, but in no event shall the conversion price be greater than \$0.35 or more than 2,000,000 shares of Common Stock be issued. The conversion price will be adjusted in the event of a stock dividend, subdivision, combination or stock split of the outstanding shares.

In addition, the Company granted to the third party warrants to purchase 50,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.45 per share. The warrants are fully vested and are exercisable at any time after March 14, 2007 until the third anniversary of the issue date. The fair value of the warrants is \$16.

In accordance with APB 14, the Company allocated the proceeds of the convertible note issued with detachable warrants based on the relative fair values of the two securities at the time of issuance. As a result, the Company recorded in its statement of changes in stockholders' equity an amount of \$9 with respect to the warrants and the convertible note was recorded in the amount of \$41.

The BCF, in the amount of \$26, embedded in the note was calculated based on a conversion rate of 75% and according to the notes' effective conversion price. The amount was recorded as discount on the note against additional paid-in capital and is amortized in full to financial expense due to converting the loan into shares.

On June 27, 2007, the third party converted the entire accrued principal and interest amount of \$51 into 225,347 shares of Common Stock.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 8 - SHORT-TERM CONVERTIBLE LOANS (Cont.)

H. On April 10, 2007, the Company issued a \$25 Convertible Promissory Note to a third party. Interest on the note accrues at the rate of 8% per annum and is due and payable in full on April 10, 2008. The note will become immediately due and payable upon the occurrence of certain events of default, as defined in the note. The third party has the right at any time prior to the close of business on the maturity date to convert all or part of the outstanding principal and interest amount of the note into shares of Common Stock. The conversion price, as defined in the note, will be 75% (60% upon the occurrence of an event of default) of the average of the last bid and ask price of the Common Stock as quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board for the five trading days prior to the Company's receipt of the third party written notice of election to convert, but in no event shall the conversion price be greater than \$0.35 or more than 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock be issued. The conversion price will be adjusted in the event of a stock dividend, subdivision, combination or stock split of the outstanding shares.

In addition, the Company granted to the third party warrants to purchase 25,000 of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.45 per share. The warrants are fully vested and are exercisable at any time after April 10, 2007, until the second anniversary of the issue date. The fair value of the warrants is \$6.

In accordance with APB 14, the Company allocated the proceeds of the convertible note issued with detachable warrants based on the relative fair values of the two securities at the time of issuance. As a result, the Company recorded in its statement of changes in stockholders' equity an amount of \$4 with respect to the warrants and the convertible note was recorded in the amount of \$21.

The BCF, in the amount of \$12, embedded in the note was calculated based on a conversion rate of 75% and according to the notes' effective conversion price. The amount was recorded as discount on the note against additional paid-in capital and is amortized to financial expense over the note period.

The balance as of December 31, 2007, is comprised as follows:

Note	25
Discount	(4)
Accrued interest	<u>1</u>
	<u>22</u>

On February 18, 2008, the third party converted the entire accrued principal and interest amount of \$27 into 75,937 shares of Common Stock.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 8 - SHORT-TERM CONVERTIBLE LOANS (Cont.)

- I. On May 6, 2007, the Company issued a \$250 Convertible Promissory Note to a stockholder. Interest on the note accrues at the rate of 8% per annum and is due and payable in full on May 6, 2008. The note will become immediately due and payable upon the occurrence of certain events of default, as defined in the note. The stockholder has the right at any time prior to the close of business on the maturity date to convert all or part of the outstanding principal and interest amount of the note into shares of Common Stock. The conversion price, as defined in the note, will be 75% (60% upon the occurrence of an event of default) of the average of the last bid and ask price of the Common Stock as quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board for the five trading days prior to the Company's receipt of the third party written notice of election to convert, but in no event shall the conversion price be greater than \$0.35 or more than 5,000,000 shares of Common Stock be issued. The conversion price will be adjusted in the event of a stock dividend, subdivision, combination or stock split of the outstanding shares.

In addition, the Company granted to the stockholder warrants to purchase 250,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.45 per share. The warrants are fully vested and are exercisable at any time after May 6, 2007 until May 31, 2010. The fair value of the warrants is \$82.

In accordance with APB 14, the Company allocated the proceeds of the convertible note issued with detachable warrants based on the relative fair values of the two securities at the time of issuance. As a result, the Company recorded in its statement of changes in stockholders' equity for 2007 an amount of \$46 with respect to the warrants and the convertible note was recorded in the amount of \$204.

The BCF, in the amount of \$129, embedded in the note was calculated based on a conversion rate of 75% and according to the notes' effective conversion price. The amount was recorded as discount on the note against additional paid-in capital and is amortized to financial expense over the note period.

On August 30, 2007, as part of a private placement with the stockholder (Note 11b(1)(f)), the stockholder surrendered to the Company the \$250 Promissory Note and the 250,000 warrants issued to the stockholder. The amount of \$250 paid by the investor on May 6, 2007 was considered as part of the private placement payment.

- J. On July 3, 2007, the Company issued a \$30 Convertible Promissory Note to a third party. Interest on the note accrues at the rate of 8% per annum and is due and payable in full on July 3, 2008. The note will become immediately due and payable upon the occurrence of certain events of default, as defined in the note. The third party had the right at any time prior to the close of business on July 3, 2008 to convert all or part of the outstanding principal and interest amount of the note into shares of Common Stock. The conversion price, as defined in the note, will be 75% (60% upon the occurrence of an event of default) of the average of the last bid and ask price of the Common Stock as quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board for the five trading days prior to the Company's receipt of the third party written notice of election to convert, but in no event shall the conversion price be greater than \$0.35 or more than 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock be issued. The conversion price will be adjusted in the event of a stock dividend, subdivision, combination or stock split of the outstanding shares.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 8 - SHORT-TERM CONVERTIBLE LOANS (Cont.)

J. Cont.

In addition, the Company granted to the third party warrants to purchase 30,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.45 per share. The warrants are fully vested and are exercisable at any time after July 3, 2007 until the second anniversary of the issue date. The fair value of the warrants is \$12.

In accordance with APB 14, the Company allocated the proceeds of the convertible note issued with detachable warrants based on the relative fair values of the two securities at the time of issuance. As a result, the Company recorded in its statement of changes in stockholders' equity an amount of \$5 with respect to the warrants and the convertible note was recorded in the amount of \$25.

The BCF, in the amount of \$15, embedded in the note was calculated based on a conversion rate of 75% and according to the notes' effective conversion price. The amount was recorded as discount on the note against additional paid-in capital and is amortized to financial expense over the note period.

The balance as of December 31, 2007 was comprised as follows:

Note	30
Discount	(10)
Accrued interest	<u>1</u>
	<u>21</u>

On June 5, 2008, the third party converted the entire accrued principal and interest amount of \$32 into 92,008 shares of Common Stock.

K. On July 3, 2007, the Company issued a \$100 Convertible Promissory Note to a third party. Interest on the note accrues at the rate of 8% per annum and is due and payable in full on July 3, 2008. The note becomes immediately due and payable upon the occurrence of certain events of default, as defined in the note. The third party had the right at any time prior to the close of business on the maturity date to convert all or part of the outstanding principal and interest amount of the note into shares of Common Stock. The conversion price, as defined in the note, will be 75% of the average of the last bid and ask price of the Common Stock as quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board for the five trading days prior to the Company's receipt of the third party written notice of election to convert, but in no event shall the conversion price be greater than \$0.35 or more than 2,000,000 shares of Common Stock be issued.

In addition, the Company granted to the third party warrants to purchase 100,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.45 per share. The warrants are fully vested and are exercisable at any time after July 3, 2007 until the third anniversary of the issue date. The fair value of the warrants is \$44.

In accordance with APB 14, the Company allocated the proceeds of the convertible note issued with detachable warrants based on the relative fair values of the two securities at the time of issuance. As a result, the Company recorded in its statement of changes in stockholders' equity an amount of \$19 with respect to the warrants and the convertible note was recorded in the amount of \$81.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 8:- SHORT-TERM CONVERTIBLE LOANS (Cont.)

K. Cont.

The BCF, in the amount of \$82, embedded in the note was calculated based on a conversion rate of 75% and according to the notes' effective conversion price. The amount was recorded as discount on the note against additional paid-in capital and is amortized in full to financial expense due to converting the loan into shares.

On September 5, 2007, the third party converted the entire accrued principal and interest amount of \$101 into 289,722 shares of Common Stock.

L. According to EITF 00-19 "Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in a Company's Own Stock", in order to classify warrants and options (other than employee stock options) as equity and not as liabilities, the Company must have sufficient authorized and unissued shares of Common Stock to provide for settlement of those instruments that may require share settlement.

All notes issued during the year ended December 31, 2007, include a provision that limits the maximum number of shares to be issued upon conversion. EITF 00-19 was analyzed for all warrants issued during 2007 and it was determined that equity classification is appropriate.

NOTE 9 - SHORT-TERM LOANS

A. On February 8, 2006, the Company issued a \$189 Promissory Note due June 8, 2006, with interest of 8% to a third party (the "Lender"). In addition, the Company granted to the Lender warrants to purchase 189,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.50 per share. The warrants are fully vested and are exercisable at any time after February 8, 2006 until the third anniversary of the issue date.

The Company agreed to pay \$22 for due diligence and legal fees. The fees were amortized over a four-month period ended June 8, 2006.

The fair value of the warrants amounted to approximately \$79. The Company estimated the fair value of the warrants using a Black-Scholes options pricing model, with the following assumptions: volatility of 119%, risk free interest rate of 4.66%, dividend yield of 0% and an expected life of 36 months.

In accordance with EITF 00-19 (see Note 8(L) above for further discussion), the warrants were recorded as a liability at their entire fair value and the residual amount (the difference between the amounts invested and the fair value of the warrants at the date of issuance) was allocated to the note.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 9 - SHORT-TERM LOANS (Cont.)

A. Cont.

As a result, an amount equal to the fair value allocated to the warrants was recorded as discount on the note, and was amortized to financial expense over a four-month period ended June 8, 2006.

On October 3, 2006, the Company issued a warrant to purchase 630,000 shares of Common Stock at a purchase price of \$0.3 per share to the Lender under the Lender's agreement to extend the maturity date of the note to December 31, 2006 and to waive any and all interest or fees. The warrants are fully exercisable and expire after three years.

The fair value of the warrants is \$110. The Company estimated the fair value of the warrants using a Black-Scholes options pricing model, with the following assumptions: volatility of 101.7%, risk free interest rate of 4.5%, dividend yield of 0% and an expected life of 36 months. The amount of \$110 was recorded as financial expense. In accordance with FASB 15 "Accounting by Debtors and Creditors for Troubled Debt Restructuring" and in accordance with EITF 02-4 "Determining whether a Debtor's Modifications or Exchange of Debt Instruments are Within the Scope of FASB 15", the Company recorded the fair value of the warrants as a discount on the note with a corresponding credit to equity. The discount was amortized as financial expense over a three-month period ended December 31, 2006.

On July 30, 2007, the third party and the Company agreed on loan termination under the terms as follows:

1. The third party shall exercise the 630,000 warrants issued on October 3, 2006.
2. The exercise price shall be used to pay the principal of the loan.
3. The Company shall pay \$17 for the accrued interest.

B. On April 13, 2008, the Company entered into a new agreement with a lender which the lender agreed to partially defer and partially convert to the Company's Common Stock the payment of \$1,250 after payment of \$100 owed by the Company to the lender based on the payment agreement between the two parties (see Note 8a).

Pursuant to the new agreement, the Company agreed to pay \$250 of the Debt in accordance with the following schedule:

<u>Payment Date</u>	<u>Amount</u>
May 30, 2008	50
July 31, 2008	50
September 30, 2008	50
December 31, 2008	50
February 28, 2009	50

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 9 - SHORT-TERM LOANS (Cont.)

B. Cont.

In addition, the Company issued 2,857,142 shares of common stock to the lender in lieu of the repayment of \$1,000 of the Debt.

The lender agreed that upon payment of the foregoing amounts in accordance with the foregoing schedule and the receipt of the stock grant, all of the Company's outstanding obligations owed to the lender under the notes will be satisfied in full. The lender also waived any breach or default that may have arisen prior to the date of the new agreement from the failure of the Company to make payments to the lender under any of past agreements.

As of December 31, 2008 the Company paid the first payment to the lender and the last four installments have not been paid yet. On April 2, 2009, the Company and the lender agreed to convert the entire debt to shares (see note 14a).

Since the outcome of the issuance of the shares was to relieve the debtor from its obligation, based on paragraph 16a of FASB No 140 "accounting for transfer and servicing of financial assets and extinguishment of liabilities" the company derecognized the liability with the difference recognized in earning.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 10 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

- A. On December 1, 2004, the Israeli subsidiary entered into a lease agreement for the lease of its facilities. The term of the lease is 36 months, with two options to extend: one for an additional 24 months (the "First Option"); and one for an additional 36 months (the "Second Option"). Rent is to be paid on a quarterly basis in the following amounts: (i) NIS 17,965 (approximately \$5) per month during the first 12 months of the lease; (ii) NIS 19,527 (approximately \$5) per month during the following 24 months of the lease; (iii) NIS 22,317 (approximately \$6) per month during the First Option period; and (iv) NIS 23,712 (approximately \$6) per month during the Second Option period. On December 1, 2007, the lease agreement has expired and the Israeli subsidiary has entered into the First Option.

On July 25, 2006 the Company entered into a lease agreement for it's office in the US at a monthly rate of \$2.5. On February 6, 2008 the landlord agreed to waive any amount owed by the Company to the landlord and let the company continue to occupy the office for no additional consideration. Therefore, amount of \$35 was deducted from expenses in the general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The facilities and vehicles of the Company and its subsidiary are rented under operating leases that expire on various dates. Aggregate minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable leases as of December 31, 2008 are as follows:

Period ending December 31,	<u>Facilities</u>	<u>Vehicles</u>	<u>Total</u>
2009	81	42	123
2010	86	34	120
2011	86	1	87
	<u>253</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>330</u>

Total rent expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 were \$70 and \$90 respectively.

- B. The Company's subsidiary gave a bank guarantee in the amount of \$36 to secure its obligation under the facilities lease agreement. Accordingly, an amount of \$ 36 is represented in the balance sheet as restricted cash.
- C. On March 20, 2006, the Company entered into a Termination Agreement and General Release (the "Termination Agreement") with Dr. Yaffa Beck, the Company's former President and Chief Executive Officer who resigned her position as an officer and director of the Company on November 10, 2005.

Under the Termination Agreement, the Company and Dr. Beck agreed to terminate their employment relationship effective February 9, 2006. Pursuant to the Termination Agreement, the Company paid in 10 monthly installments beginning March 1, 2006 a total of \$47 to Dr. Beck. In addition, as per the original terms of the grant, options previously granted to Dr. Beck to acquire 800,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.15 per share, which are fully vested, will be exercisable until February 9, 2010. All compensation expense related to such vested options was previously recorded in the statement of operations. All other options previously granted to Dr. Beck were forfeited. As a consequence, in the year ended March 31, 2006, of deferred stock compensation in the amount of \$3,363, was eliminated against additional paid-in capital and compensation expense in the amount of \$104 was reversed.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 10 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Cont.)

C. Cont.

Such Termination Agreement settles all of Dr. Beck's claims against the Company. No further claims can be raised by either party following the signing of the Termination Agreement.

As of December 31, 2008, there is still an unpaid balance of \$17 to Dr. Beck regarding this Termination Agreement.

D. Commitments to pay royalties to the Chief Scientist:

The Company's subsidiary obtained from the Chief Scientist of the State of Israel grants for participation in research and development for the years 2007 and 2008 and, in return, the subsidiary is obligated to pay royalties amounting to 3% of its future sales up to the amount of the grant. The grant is linked to the exchange rate of the dollar and bears interest of Libor per annum.

Through December 31, 2008, total grants obtained amounted to \$798.

NOTE 11 - STOCK CAPITAL

A. The rights of Common Stock are as follows:

Holders of Common Stock have the right to receive notice to participate and vote in general meetings of the Company, the right to a share in the excess of assets upon liquidation of the Company and the right to receive dividends, if declared.

The Common Stock is registered and publicly traded on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board service of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. under the symbol BCLI.

B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options:

1. Private placements:

- a) On June 24, 2004, the Company issued to investors 8,510,000 shares of Common Stock for total proceeds of \$60 (net of \$25 issuance expenses).
- b) On February 23, 2005, the Company completed a private placement for sale of 1,894,808 units for total proceeds of \$1,418. Each unit consists of one share of Common Stock and a three-year warrant to purchase one share of Common Stock at \$2.50 per share. This private placement was consummated in three tranches which closed in October 2004, November 2004 and February 2005.
- c) On May 12, 2005, the Company issued to an investor 186,875 shares of Common Stock for total proceeds of \$149 at a price of \$0.8 per share.
- d) On July 27, 2005, the Company issued to investors 165,000 shares of Common Stock for total proceeds of \$99 at a price of \$0.6 per share.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 11 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.)

B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)

1. Private placements: (Cont.)

- e) On August 11, 2005, the Company signed a private placement agreement with investors for the sale of up to 1,250,000 units at a price of \$0.8 per unit. Each unit consists of one share of Common Stock and one warrant to purchase one share of Common Stock at \$1.00 per share. The warrants are exercisable for a period of three years from issuance. On September 30, 2005, the Company sold 312,500 units for total net proceeds of \$225. On December 7, 2005, the Company sold 187,500 units for total net proceeds of \$135.
- f) On July 2, 2007, the Company entered into an investment agreement, pursuant to which the Company agreed to sell up to 27,500,000 shares of Common Stock, for an aggregate subscription price of up to \$5 million and warrants to purchase up to 30,250,000 shares of Common Stock. Separate closings of the purchase and sale of the shares and the warrants shall take place as follows:

<u>Purchase date</u>	<u>Purchase price</u>	<u>Number of subscription shares</u>	<u>Number of warrant shares</u>
August 30, 2007	\$1,250 (includes \$250 paid as a convertible loan (Note 8i))	6,875,000	7,562,500
November 15, 2007	\$ 750	4,125,000	4,537,500
February 15, 2008	\$ 750	4,125,000	4,537,500
May 15, 2008	\$ 750	4,125,000	4,537,500
July 30, 2008	\$ 750	4,125,000	4,537,500
November 15, 2008	\$ 750	4,125,000	4,537,500

At each closing date, the Company shall deliver to the investor the number of shares and warrants, subject to customary closing conditions and the delivery of funds, described above. The warrants shall have the following exercise prices: (i) the first 10,083,333 warrants have an exercise price of \$0.20 per share; (ii) the next 10,083,333 warrants will have an exercise price of \$0.29 per share; and (iii) the final 10,083,334 warrants issued will have an exercise price of \$0.36 per share. All warrants will expire on November 5, 2011.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 11:- STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.)

B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)

1. Private placements: (Cont.)

f) Cont.

As of December 31, 2008, the investor completed payment of the first four installments and \$281 of the fifth installment and the Company issued to the investor and its designee an aggregate of 19,250,000 shares of common stock and a warrant to purchase 10,083,333 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.20 per share, a warrant to purchase 10,083,333 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.29 per share and a warrant to purchase 1,008,334 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.36 per share. The warrants may be exercised at any time and expire on November 5, 2011.

The investor did not complete his obligation based on the investment agreement above. The Company is negotiating with the investor on continuance of payments. The investor continued to invest in the company during 2009.

In addition, the Company agreed to issue an aggregate of 1,250,000 shares of Common Stock to a related party as an introduction fee for the investment. The shares shall be issued pro rata to the funds received from the investor.

As of December 31, 2008, 875,000 shares of Common Stock had been issued as an introduction fee.

2. Share-based compensation to employees and to directors:

a) Options to employees and directors:

On November 25, 2004, the Company's stockholders approved the 2004 Global Stock Option Plan and the Israeli Appendix thereto (which applies solely to participants who are residents of Israel) and on March 28, 2005, the Company's stockholders approved the 2005 U.S. Stock Option and Incentive Plan, and the reservation of 9,143,462 shares of Common Stock for issuance in the aggregate under these stock option plans.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 11:- STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.)

- B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)
 - 2. Share-based compensation to employees and to directors:
 - a) Options to employees and directors:

Each option granted under the plans is exercisable until the earlier of ten years from the date of grant of the option or the expiration dates of the respective option plans. The 2004 and 2005 options plans will expire on November 25, 2014 and March 28, 2015, respectively. The exercise price of the options granted under the plans may not be less than the nominal value of the shares into which such options are exercised. The options vest primarily over three or four years. Any options that are canceled or forfeited before expiration become available for future grants.

On June 5, 2008, the Company's stockholders approved to amend and restate the Company's 2004 Global Share Option Plan and 2005 U.S. Stock Option and Incentive Plan to increase the number of shares of common stock available for issuance under these stock option plans in the aggregate by 5,000,000 shares.

As of December 31, 2008, 5,151,684 options are available for future grants.

On May 27, 2005, the Company granted one of its directors an option to purchase 100,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.75 per share. The options are fully vested and expire after 10 years.

On February 6, 2006, the Company entered into an amendment to the Company's option agreement with the Company's Chief Financial Officer. The amendment changes the exercise price of the 400,000 options granted to him on February 13, 2005 from \$0.75 to \$0.15 per share.

On May 2, 2006, the Company granted to one of its directors an option to purchase 100,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.15 per share. The options are fully vested and expire after 10 years. The compensation related to the options, in the amount of \$48, was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On June 22, 2006, the Company entered into an amendment to the Company's option agreement with two of its employees. The amendment changes the exercise price of 270,000 options granted to them from \$0.75 to \$0.15 per share. The excess of the fair value resulting from the modification, in the amount of \$2, was recorded as general and administration expense over the remaining vesting period of the option.

On September 17, 2006, the Company entered into an amendment to the Company's option agreement with one of its directors. The amendment changes the exercise price of 100,000 options granted to the director from \$0.75 to \$0.15 per share.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 11:- STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.)

B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)

2. Share-based compensation to employees and to directors: (Cont.)

On March 21, 2007, the Company granted to one of its directors an option to purchase 100,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.15 per share. The option is fully vested and is exercisable for a period of 10 years. The compensation related to the option, in the amount of \$43, was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On July 1, 2007, the Company granted to one of its directors an option to purchase 100,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.15 per share. The option is fully vested and is exercisable for a period of 10 years. The compensation related to the option, in the amount of \$38, was recorded as general and administrative expense. On October 22, 2007, the Company and the director agreed to cancel and relinquish all the options which were granted on July 1, 2007.

On July 16, 2007, the Company granted to one of its directors an option to purchase 100,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.15 per share. The option is fully vested and is exercisable for a period of 10 years. The compensation related to the option, in the amount of \$75, was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On August 27, 2007, the Company granted to one of its directors an option to purchase 100,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.15 per share. The option is fully vested and is exercisable for a period of 10 years. The compensation related to the option, in the amount of \$84, was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On October 23, 2007, the Company granted to its CEO an option to purchase 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.87 per share. The option vests with respect to 1/6 of the option on each six month anniversary and expires after 10 years. The total compensation related to the option is \$733, which is amortized over the vesting period as general and administrative expense.

On November 5, 2008, the Company entered into an amendment to the Company's option to purchase 1,000,000 shares of common stock agreement with the Company's CEO. The amendment changes the exercise price of the option from \$0.87 to \$0.15 per share. The compensation related the modification of the purchase price in the amount of \$4 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

A summary of the Company's option activity related to options to employees and directors, and related information is as follows:

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 11 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.)

B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)

2. Share-based compensation to employees and to directors: (Cont.)

	Year ended December 31, 2008			Year ended December 31, 2007		
	Amount of options	Weighted average exercise price	Aggregate intrinsic value	Amount of options	Weighted average exercise price	Aggregate intrinsic value
		\$	\$		\$	\$
Outstanding at beginning of period	5,280,760	0.372	-	2,850,760	0.188	\$ 332
Granted	170,000	0.49	-	2,540,000	0.57	-
Exercised	(17,399)	0.15	-	-	-	-
Cancelled	-	-	-	(110,000)	0.179	-
Outstanding at end of period	<u>5,433,361</u>	<u>*0.244</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>5,280,760</u>	<u>0.372</u>	<u>\$ 1,663</u>
Vested and expected-to-vest at end of period	<u>4,324,437</u>	<u>0.238</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>3,158,354</u>	<u>0.195</u>	<u>\$ 1,427</u>

*) During 2008, the Company extended the exercise period for some of its employees that were terminated. The extension was accounted for as modification in accordance with SFAS 123(R). According to SFAS 123(R), modifications are treated as an exchange of the original award, resulting in additional compensation expense based on the difference between the fair value of the new award and the original award immediately before modification. Applying modification accounting resulted in additional compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2008, amounted to \$6.

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total intrinsic value (the difference between the fair market value of the Company's shares on December 31, 2008 and 2007 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on December 31, 2008 and 2007.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 11 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.)

B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)

2. Share-based compensation to employees and to directors: (Cont.)

The options outstanding as of December 31, 2008, have been separated into exercise prices, as follows:

<u>Exercise price</u>	<u>Options outstanding as of December 31, 2008</u>	<u>Weighted average remaining contractual life</u>	<u>Options exercisable as of December 31, 2008</u>
<u>\$</u>		<u>Years</u>	
0.15	2,838,361	4.15	2,838,361
0.49	170,000	0.83	170,000
0.75	105,000	4.94	99,688
0.28	10,000	0.83	10,000
0.4	180,000	6.01	127,500
0.47	780,000	5.41	480,000
0.39	250,000	5.75	165,556
0.5	100,000	0.67	100,000
0.15	<u>1,000,000</u>	8.81	<u>333,333</u>
	<u>5,280,760</u>	<u>5.17</u>	<u>4,324,438</u>

Compensation expense recorded by the Company in respect of its stock-based employee compensation award in accordance with SFAS 123(R) for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 amounted to \$731 and \$1,232, respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 11 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.)

B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)

2. Share-based compensation to employees and to directors: (Cont.)

The fair value of the options is estimated at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes options pricing model with the following assumptions used in the calculation:

	Year ended	
	December 31,	
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
Expected volatility	112%-165%	93% - 115%
Risk-free interest	0.37%-3.73%	3.34% - 4.51%
Dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected life of up to (years)	1-10	5 - 6
Forfeiture rate	0	0%

b) Restricted shares to directors:

On May 2, 2006, the Company issued to two of its directors 200,000 restricted shares of common stock (100,000 each). The restricted shares are subject to the Company's right to repurchase them at a purchase price of par value (\$0.00005). The restrictions of the shares shall lapse in three annual and equal portions commencing with the grant date. The compensation related to the stocks issued amounted to \$104, which will be amortized over the vesting period as general and administrative expenses.

On April 20, 2007, based on a board resolution dated March 21, 2007, the Company issued to its director 100,000 restricted shares of common stock. The restricted shares are subject to the Company's right to repurchase them at a purchase price of par value (\$0.00005). The restrictions of the shares shall lapse in three annual and equal portions commencing with the grant date. The compensation related to the shares issued amounted to \$47, which will be amortized over the vesting period as general and administrative expenses.

In addition, on April 20, 2007, based on a board resolution dated March 21, 2007, the Company issued to another director 100,000 restricted shares of common stock. The restricted shares are not subject to any right to repurchase, and the compensation related to the shares issued amounted to \$47 was recorded as prepaid general and administrative expenses in the three months ended March 31, 2007.

On August 27, 2008 the Company issued to its director 960,000 shares of common stock upon a cashless exercise by a shareholder of a warrant to purchase 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$.01 per share that was acquired by the shareholder from Ramot. The shares were allocated to the director by the shareholder.

3. Shares and warrants to service providers:

The Company accounts for shares and warrant grants issued to non-employees using the guidance of SFAS 123(R), "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" and EITTF 96-18, "Accounting for Equity Instruments that are Issued to Other than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services," whereby the fair value of such option and warrant grants is determined using a Black-Scholes options pricing model at the earlier of the date at which the non-employee's performance is completed or a performance commitment is reached.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 11:- STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.)

B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)

3. Shares and warrants to service providers: (Cont.)

Issuance date	a) Warrants: Number of warrants issued	Exercised	Forfeited	Outstanding	Exercise Price \$	Warrants exercisable	Exercisable through
November 2004	12,800,845	3,141,925	40,000	9,618,920	0.01	9,618,920	November 2012
December 2004	1,800,000	1,800,000		-	0.00005	-	-
February 2005	1,894,808		1,894,808	-	2.5	-	-
May 2005	47,500			47,500	1.62	47,500	May 2010
June 2005	30,000			30,000	0.75	30,000	June 2010
August 2005	70,000		70,000	-	0.15	-	-
September 2005	3,000	3,000		-	0.15	-	-
September 2005	36,000			36,000	0.75	36,000	September 2010
September-December 2005	500,000		500,000	-	1	-	-
December 2005	20,000	20,000		-	0.15	-	-
December 2005	457,163			457,163	0.15	457,163	July 2010
February 2006	230,000			230,000	0.65	153,333	February 2008
February 2006	40,000			40,000	1.5	40,000	February 2011
February 2006	8,000			8,000	0.15	8,000	February 2011
February 2006	189,000	97,696	91,304	-	0.5	-	-
May 2006	50,000			50,000	0.0005	50,000	May 2016
May -December 2006	48,000			48,000	0.35	48,000	May - December 2011
May -December 2006	48,000			48,000	0.75	48,000	May - December 2011
May 2006	200,000			200,000	1	200,000	May 2011
June 2006	24,000			24,000	0.15	24,000	June 2011
May 2006	19,355			19,355	0.15	19,355	May 2011
October 2006	630,000	630,000		-	0.3	-	-
December 2006	200,000		200,000	-	0.45	-	-
March 2007	200,000			200,000	0.47	200,000	March 2012
March 2007	500,000			500,000	0.47	291,667	March 2017
March 2007	50,000			50,000	0.15	50,000	March 2010
March 2007	15,000			15,000	0.15	15,000	February 2012
February 2007	50,000			50,000	0.45	50,000	February 2009
March 2007	225,000			225,000	0.45	225,000	March 2009
March 2007	50,000			50,000	0.45	50,000	March 2010
April 2007	33,300			33,300	0.45	33,300	April 2009
May 2007	250,000		*250,000	-	0.45	-	-
July 2007	500,000			500,000	0.39	236,111	July 2017
September 2007	500,000			500,000	0.15	500,000	August 2017
August 2007	7,562,500			7,562,500	0.2	7,562,500	November 2011
July 2007	30,000			30,000	0.45	30,000	July 2009
July 2007	100,000			100,000	0.45	100,000	July 2010
October 2007	200,000			200,000	0.15	150,000	August - October 2017
November 2007	2,520,833			2,520,833	0.20	2,520,833	November 2011
November 2007	2,016,667			2,016,667	0.29	2,016,667	November 2011
April 2008	4,537,500			4,537,500	0.29	4,537,500	November 2011
August 2008	3,529,166			3,529,166	0.29	3,529,166	November 2011
August 2008	1,083,333			1,083,333	0.36	1,008,333	November 2011
November 2008	100,000			100,000	0.15	100,000	
	<u>43,323,970</u>	<u>5,692,621</u>	<u>3,046,112</u>	<u>34,585,237</u>		<u>33,986,349</u>	

*) See Note 8i.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 11 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.)

- B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)
3. Shares and warrants to service providers: (Cont.)
- a) Warrants:

The fair value for the warrants to service providers was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model, with the following weighted-average assumptions for the year ended December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007; weighted average volatility of 126%-165% and 108%, 93%-115%, respectively, risk free interest rates of 0.37%-2.12% and 3.3%-4.5%, respectively dividend yields of 0% and a weighted average life of the options of 1-9 and 6-7 years, respectively.

- b) Shares:

On June 1 and June 4, 2004, the Company issued 40,000 and 150,000 shares of Common Stock for 12 months of filing services and legal and due-diligence services, respectively, with respect to a private placement. Compensation expense related to filing services, totaling \$26, is amortized over a 12-month period. Compensation related to legal services, totaling \$105 was recorded as equity issuance cost and had no effect on the statement of operations.

On July 1 and September 22, 2004, the Company issued 20,000 and 15,000 shares to a former director for financial services for the first and second quarters of 2004, respectively. Related compensation in the amount of \$39 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On February 10, 2005, the Company signed an agreement with one of its service providers according to which the Company issued the service provider 100,000 restricted shares at a purchase price of \$0.00005 par value under the U.S Stock Option and Incentive Plan of the Company. The restricted shares are subject to the Company's right to repurchase them within one year of the grant date as follows: (i) in the event that the service provider breaches his obligations under the agreement, the Company shall have the right to repurchase the restricted shares at a purchase price equal to par value; and (ii) in the event that the service provider has not breached his obligations under the agreement, the Company shall have the right to repurchase the restricted shares at a purchase price equal to the then fair market value of the restricted shares.

In March and April 2005, the Company signed an agreement with four members of its Scientific Advisory Board according to which the Company issued to the members of the Scientific Advisory Board 400,000 restricted shares at a purchase price of \$0.00005 par value under the U.S Stock Option and Incentive Plan (100,000 each). The restricted shares will be subject to the Company's right to repurchase them if the grantees cease to be members of the Company's Advisory Board for any reason. The restrictions of the shares shall lapse in three annual and equal portions commencing with the grant date.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 11 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.)

- B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)
 - 3. Shares and warrants to service providers: (Cont.)
 - b) Shares:

In July 2005, the Company issued to its legal advisors 50,000 shares for legal services for 12 months. The compensation related to the shares in the amount of \$37.5 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

In January 2006, the Company issued to two service providers 350,000 restricted shares at a purchase price of \$0.00005 par value under the U.S Stock Option and Incentive Plan of the Company. The restricted shares are subject to the Company's right to repurchase them within 12 months from the grant date as follows: (i) in the event that the service providers breach their obligations under the agreement, the Company shall have the right to repurchase the restricted shares at a purchase price equal to the par value; and (ii) in the event that the service providers have not breached their obligations under the service agreements, the Company shall have the right to repurchase the restricted shares at a purchase price equal to the fair market value of the restricted shares. Related compensation in the amount of \$23 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On March 6, 2006, the Company issued to its legal advisor 34,904 shares of Common Stock. The shares are in lieu of \$18.5 payable to the legal advisor. Related compensation in the amount of \$18.5 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On April 13, 2006, the Company issued to service providers 60,000 shares at a purchase price of \$0.00005 par value under the U.S Stock Option and Incentive Plan of the Company. Related compensation in the amount of \$25.8 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On May 9, 2006, the Company issued to its legal advisor 65,374 shares of Common Stock in lieu of payment for legal services. Related compensation in the amount of \$33 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On June 7, 2006, the Company issued 50,000 shares of Common Stock for filing services for 12 months. Related compensation in the amount of \$24.5 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On May 5, 2006, the Company issued 200,000 shares to a finance consultant for his services. Related compensation in the amount of \$102 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On August 14, 2006, the Company issued 200,000 shares to a service provider. Related compensation in the amount of \$68 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On August 17, 2006, the Company issued 100,000 shares to a service provider. Related compensation in the amount of \$35 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 11 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.)

- B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)
 - 3. Shares and warrants to service providers: (Cont.)
 - b) Shares:

On September 17, 2006, the Company issued to its legal advisor 231,851 shares of Common Stock. The shares are in lieu of \$63 payable to the legal advisor.

During April 1 and September 30, 2006, the Company issued to its business development advisor, based on an agreement, 240,000 shares of Common Stock. Related compensation in the amount of \$74 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On January 3, 2007, the Company issued to its legal advisor 176,327 shares of Common Stock. The shares are for the \$45 payable to the legal advisor. Related compensation in the amount of \$49 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On April 12, 2007, the Company issued to its filing and printing service providers 80,000 shares of Common Stock. The shares issued are for the \$15 payable to the service provider. Related compensation in the amount of \$30 was recorded as general and administrative expense. In addition, the Company is obligated to issue the filing and printing service providers additional shares, in the event that the total value of the shares previously issued (as quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board or such other exchange where the Common Stock is quoted or listed) is less than \$0.20, on March 20, 2008. In no event shall the Company issue more than 30,000 additional shares to the service providers. As a result, the Company recorded a liability in the amount of \$20.

On April 12, 2007, the Company issued to its legal advisor 108,511 shares of Common Stock. The shares are for \$29 payable to the legal advisor. Related compensation in the amount of \$40 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On May 18, 2007, the Company issued to its legal advisor 99,257 shares of Common Stock. The shares are for \$33, payable to the legal advisor. Related compensation in the amount of \$33 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 11:- STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.)

- B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)
3. Shares and warrants to service providers: (Cont.)
- b) Shares:

On October 29, 2007, the Company issued to a scientific advisory board member 80,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock for scientific services. Compensation of \$67 was recorded as research and development expense.

On May 20, 2008, the Company issued to its finance advisor 90,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The shares are for \$35 payable to the finance advisor for introduction fee of past convertible loans. Related compensation in the amount of \$36 was recorded as finance expenses.

A summary of the Company's stock awards activity related to shares issued to service providers and related information is as follows:

	Year ended December 31, 2008		Year ended December 31, 2007	
	Amount of shares	Weighted average issue price	Amount of shares	Weighted average issue price
	\$		\$	
Outstanding at beginning of period	2,851,224	0.86	2,307,129	0.97
Issued	90,000	0.40	544,095	0.40
Outstanding at end of period	<u>2,941,224</u>	<u>0.85</u>	<u>2,851,224</u>	<u>0.86</u>

- c) Stock-based compensation recorded by the Company in respect of shares and warrants granted to service providers amounted to \$13 and \$1,466 for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The total stock-based compensation expense, related to shares, options and warrants granted to employees and service providers, was comprised, at each period, as follows:

	Year ended December 31,		Period from September 22, 2000 (inception date) through December 31,
	2008	2007	2008
Research and development	219	783	16,625
General and administrative	509	1,895	7,583
Financial expenses, net	36	20	56
Total stock-based compensation expense	764	2,698	24,264

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 12 - TAXES ON INCOME

A. Tax rates applicable to the income of the subsidiary:

In June 2004, an amendment to the Income Tax Ordinance (No. 140 and Temporary Provision), 2004 was passed by the "Knesset" (Israeli parliament) and on July 25, 2005, another law was passed, the amendment to the Income Tax Ordinance (No. 147) 2005, according to which the corporate tax rate is to be progressively reduced to the following tax rates: 2004 - 35%, 2005 - 34%, 2006 - 31%, 2007 - 29%, 2008 - 27%, 2009 - 26%, 2010 and thereafter - 25%.

B. Tax laws applicable to the income of the Subsidiary:

Income Tax (Inflationary Adjustments) Law, 1985:

According to the law, the results for tax purposes are measured based on the changes in the Israeli Consumer Price Index ("CPI").

The Law for the Encouragement of Capital Investments, 1959 ("the Law"):

According to the Law, BCT is entitled to various tax benefits by virtue of "beneficiary enterprise" status granted, as defined by this Law.

In March 2005, the Israeli Parliament passed the Arrangements Law for fiscal year 2005, which includes a broad and comprehensive amendment to the provisions of the above Law ("Amendment No. 60 to the Law").

The principal benefits by virtue of the Law are:

Tax benefits and reduced tax rates under the Alternative Track of Benefits; and

The Company is tax exempt for a benefit period of two years and in the five/eight subsequent years of the benefit period is subject to a reduced tax rate of 10%-25%.

C. Changes in the tax laws applicable to the income of the Subsidiary:

In February 2008, the "Knesset" (Israeli parliament) passed an amendment to the Income Tax (Inflationary Adjustments) Law, 1985, which limits the scope of the law beginning in 2008 and thereafter. Beginning in 2008, the results for tax purposes will be measured in nominal values, excluding certain adjustments for changes in the Consumer Price Index carried out in the period up to December 31, 2007. The amended law includes, inter alia, the elimination of the inflationary additions and deductions and the additional deduction for depreciation starting in 2008.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 12 - TAXES ON INCOME (Cont.)

D. Deferred income taxes:

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets are as follows:

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
Operating loss carryforward	29,316	27,540
Net deferred tax asset before valuation allowance	13,192	12,215
Valuation allowance	(13,192)	(12,215)
Net deferred tax asset	-	-

As of December 31, 2008, the Company has provided valuation allowances of \$13,192 in respect of deferred tax assets resulting from tax loss carryforward and other temporary differences. Management currently believes that because the Company has a history of losses, it is more likely than not that the deferred tax regarding the loss carryforward and other temporary differences will not be realized in the foreseeable future.

E. Available carryforward tax losses:

As of December 31, 2008, the Company has an accumulated tax loss carryforward of approximately \$10,200. Carryforward tax losses in the U.S. can be carried forward and offset against taxable income in the future for a period of 20 years. Utilization of U.S. net operating losses may be subject to substantial annual limitations due to the "change in ownership" provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and similar state provisions. The annual limitation may result in the expiration of net operating losses before utilization.

F. Loss from continuing operations, before taxes on income, consists of the following:

	Year ended December 31,	
	2008	2007
United States	(1,776)	(5,007)
Israel	(1,696)	(1,237)
	(3,472)	(6,244)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 12 - TAXES ON INCOME (Cont.)

- G. The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various states and foreign jurisdictions. The Company is not currently subject to any IRS or state tax examinations but years 2001-2007 remain open for examination.

The Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007. The Company has accumulated tax loss carryforwards of approximately \$11,000 and has taken a full valuation allowance against deferred tax assets. As a result, there are no tax benefits existing subject to adjustment under FIN 48.

- H. BCT has not received final tax assessments since its incorporation.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. dollars in thousands (except share data)

NOTE 13 - TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

		Year ended December 31,	
		2008	2007
A.	Fees and related benefits and compensation expenses in respect of options granted to a member of the Board who is a related party	23	128
B.	Salary to the Company president which controls the company's main shareholder	59	-
C.	Financial expenses (income) connected to convertible loan from related party (Note 8b)	-	(3)
D.	As for transactions with Ramot, see Note 3.		

NOTE 14 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS (Cont.)

- A. On March 29, 2009, the Company's Board passed the following resolutions:
1. Issuance of 2,500,000 restricted shares of common stock to a lender. The shares are for the \$200 unpaid loan to the lender (see note 9b).
 2. Issuance of 1,800,000 restricted shares to the Company's chief technology advisor. The shares are for the \$180 unpaid debt to the advisor.
 3. Allocation of 1,000,000 shares of common stock for a frame program for conversion suppliers and consultants debt to shares of the Company common stock.
- B. On April 13, 2009, the Company's Board passed the following resolutions:
1. Issuance of 250,000 restricted shares to a Company advisor. The shares are for \$25 unpaid debt to the advisor.
 2. Grant option to purchase 200,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.10 per share to the Company's legal advisor for legal services. The option vests and becomes exercisable on the first anniversary of the grant date.
 3. Elect Abraham (Rami) Efrati, the Company's CEO, to the Board of Directors.

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this annual report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")). Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as a result of the material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting described below, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective, as of the end of the period covered by this report, to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that the information required to be disclosed by us in such reports is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008 based on the criteria set forth in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

A material weakness is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. Management identified the following material weakness in its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008:

- The Company did not maintain effective controls over certain aspects of the financial reporting process because we lacked a sufficient complement of personnel with a level of accounting expertise and an adequate supervisory review structure that is commensurate with the Company's financial reporting requirements. Specifically, our Chief Financial Officer handles all the accounting issues of the Company alone because we recently terminated the Company's accountant as part of the downsizing of the Company's staff.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Management's Remediation Initiatives

We plan to develop policies and procedures for training of personnel or external advisers to verify that we have a sufficient number of personnel with knowledge, experience and training in the application of generally accepted accounting principles commensurate with our financial reporting and U.S. GAAP requirements. Where necessary, we will supplement personnel with qualified external advisors. Additionally, where appropriate and if we have the resources, we plan to identify training on accounting principles and procedures that would benefit our accounting and finance personnel.

Internal Control Enhancements Implemented During the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2008

We implemented a new ERP software system that strengthens our internal control over financial reporting. The Company started to work with the new ERP system on January 1, 2008. In November 2008, we terminated some employees who operated the ERP system. Therefore, the ERP system currently operates only as bookkeeping software.

Also, during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, we hired a full-time bookkeeper and salary controller with relevant accounting experience, skills and knowledge, thereby increasing internal accounting expertise. On October 31, 2008, we terminated the bookkeeper due to the Company's financial situation.

Inherent Limitations on Internal Control

A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple errors. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Other than as described above, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.

On April 13, 2009, the Board of Directors elected Abraham (Rami) Efrati to the Board of Directors, provided that Mr. Efrati will tender his resignation from the Board of Directors at such time as his employment with the Company terminates. Mr. Efrati joined the Company in October 2007 as our Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Efrati has not been named to any committees of the Board of Directors, nor does the Company expect that he will be named to any committees of the Board of Directors. There are no arrangements or understandings between Mr. Efrati and any other persons pursuant to which Mr. Efrati was selected as a director.

PART III

Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.

Set forth below is a summary description of the principal occupation and business experience of each of the Company's directors and executive officers:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position</u>
Abraham Efrati	59	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Chaim Lebovits	38	President
David Stolick	43	Chief Financial Officer
Irit Arbel	49	Director
Jonathan C. Javitt	52	Director
Moshe Lion	47	Director
Robert Shorr	55	Director
Malcolm Taub	63	Director

Abraham (Rami) Efrati joined the Company in October 2007 as our Chief Executive Officer. On April 13, 2009, Mr. Efrati was elected to the Board of Directors. In 2004, Mr. Efrati founded, and is currently the Chief Executive Officer of, Pro-Int Ltd., a private company. In 2005, Mr. Efrati co-founded, and is currently the Chief Executive Officer of, TeleFlight Technologies Ltd., a technology company specializing in research and development of micro electronics solutions mainly oriented for unmanned systems. From 1997 until 2004, Mr. Efrati served as the Vice President, Sales, Business Development and Marketing for the government project division of NICE-Systems Ltd., a leading provider of solutions that capture, manage and analyze unstructured multimedia content and transactional data enabling companies and public organizations to enhance business and operational performance, address security threats and behave proactively.

Chaim Lebovits joined the Company in July 2007 as our President. Mr. Lebovits controls ACC Holdings, a holding company which controls three subsidiaries: (i) C&L Natural Resources; (ii) ACC Resources; and (iii) ACCBT. C&L Natural Resources focuses on oil production in West Africa and operates an oil and gas field with proven reserves of 20 million barrels of oil and an option to discover up to an additional 100 million barrels of oil. ACC Resources holds 10 permits for gold exploration in Burkina Faso. ACCBT focuses on new and emerging biotechnologies. Mr. Lebovits has been at the forefront of mining and natural resource management in the African region for close to a decade. Mr. Lebovits serves as the President and as a director of Dominion Minerals Corp., a company that trades on the OTC BB.

David Stolick joined the Company in February 2005 as our Chief Financial Officer. From January 1995 to April 2005, Mr. Stolick was Corporate Controller of M-Systems Flash Disk Pioneers Ltd., a NASDAQ listed company. In 1994, he served as Deputy Controller of Electronics Line Ltd., an Israeli publicly traded company, and from 1991 until 1994 he was Audit Manager at Goldstein, Sabbo, and Tebet Accountants. Mr. Stolick holds a B.A. in Economics and Accounting from Ben-Gurion University. He has been qualified as a certified accountant in Israel since 1993.

Dr. Irit Arbel joined the Company in May 2004 as a director and as our President. She served as President until she resigned in November 2004. Dr. Arbel was President and CEO of Pluristem Life Systems, Inc. from 2002 to June 2004, and was Israeli Sales Manager of Merck, Sharp & Dohme from 1998 to 2002. From 1995 to 1997, Dr. Arbel served as the head of research for Hadassa-Ein Karem Hospital in Jerusalem. Dr. Arbel specialized in the use of pharmaceuticals for neurology, ophthalmology and dermatology treatments. Dr. Arbel earned her Post Doctorate degree in 1997 in Neurobiology, after performing research in the area of Multiple Sclerosis. Dr. Arbel also holds a Chemical Engineering degree from the Technion, Israel's Institute of Technology.

Dr. Jonathan C. Javitt joined the Company as a director in August 2007. Dr. Javitt is a physician with a background in information technology, health economics, and public health. Since 2001, Dr. Javitt has served as a Senior Fellow of the Potomac Institute for Policy Studies, and since 1998 has also served as Chairman and CEO of Health Directions, LLC, an investment and consulting group that focuses on healthcare information technology and biotechnology. Dr. Javitt has been a founder of health information technology companies that have become part of Siemens, Inc., United Health Group, Inc., and Aetna, Inc. Currently, he serves as Managing Director for Health Care and Life Sciences of BTI, Inc. and is a Director of Flexscan, Inc. Since 1988, Dr. Javitt has also served as a Professor of Ophthalmology (adjunct) at the Johns Hopkins University. Dr. Javitt has considerable expertise and experience in the development of new drugs, having worked extensively over the past twenty years with Merck, Inc., Pfizer, Inc., Allergan, Inc., Alcon, Inc., OSI, Inc., and others in bringing new drugs and medical devices to the marketplace.

Mr. Moshe Lion joined the Company as a director in July 2007. Since 1999, Mr. Lion has been a senior partner of Lion, Orlitzki and Partners, a member of Moore Stephens international partnership. Mr. Lion also serves as a director for Elbit Medical Imaging Ltd. Previously, Mr. Lion was Chairman of Israel Railways, Director of the Israel Council for Higher Education and the Wingate Institute for Physical Education, Director of Elscint Ltd., Director of Massad Bank and Director of Bank Tefahot. Prior to that, Mr. Lion served as Director General of the Israeli Prime Minister's Office as well as an economic advisor to the Israeli Prime Minister. He also served as the Head of the Bureau of the Israeli Prime Minister's Office. Mr. Lion holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in accounting and economics and a Master's Degree in Law (LL.M.) from Bar Ilan University.

Dr. Robert Shorr joined the Company as a director in March 2005. Since 2000, Dr. Shorr has served as President and CEO of Comerstone Pharmaceuticals, a bio technology company. Since 1998, he has also served as Director of Business Development at the State University of New York at the Stony Brook Center for Advanced Technology. From 1998 until 2002, Dr. Shorr was Vice-President of Science and Technology (CSO) of United Therapeutics, a NASDAQ listed company. He has served as trustee at the Tissue Engineering Charities, Imperial College, London since 1999. Prior to 1998 he held management positions at Enzon Inc., a NASDAQ listed company, and AT Biochem of which he was also founder. Dr. Shorr also served on the Board of Directors of Biological Delivery Systems Inc., a NASDAQ listed company. Dr. Shorr holds both a Ph.D. and a D.I.C. from the University of London, Imperial College of Science and Technology as well as a B.Sc. from the State University of New York.

Malcolm Taub joined the Company as a director in March 2009. Since 1995, Mr. Taub has been the Managing Member of Malcom S. Taub LLP, a law firm which practices in the area of commercial litigation, among other practice areas. Mr. Taub also works on art transactions, in the capacity of an attorney and consultant. My Taub has also served as a principal of a firm that provides consulting services to private companies going public in the United States. Mr. Taub has acted as a consultant to the New York Stock Exchange in its Market Surveillance Department. Mr. Taub acts as a Trustee of The Gateway Schools of New York and The Devereux Glenholme School in Washington, Connecticut. Mr. Taub has served as an adjunct professor at Long Island University, Manhattan Marymount College and New York University Real Estate Institute. Mr. Taub holds a B.A. degree from Brooklyn College and a J.D. degree from Brooklyn Law School. Mr. Taub serves on the Board of Directors of Safer Shot, Inc. (formerly known as Monumental Marketing Inc.), a company which trades on the Pink Sheets.

Certain Arrangements

Under the Security Holders Agreement (described in detail under the heading "Change in Control" in Item 12 below), ACCBT is entitled to nominate 50.1% of our Board of Directors. Dr. Jonathan C. Javitt and Moshe Lion were nominated pursuant to this provision.

Family Relationships

No director or executive officer is related by blood, marriage or adoption to any other director or executive officer.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

None.

Code of Ethics

On May 27, 2005, our Board of Directors adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to, among other persons, members of our Board of Directors, officers, employees, contractors, consultants and advisors. A copy of the Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is posted on the Company's website at www.brainstorm-cell.com.

Audit Committee

On February 7, 2008, the Board of Directors established a standing Audit Committee in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibilities to stockholders concerning the Company's financial reporting and internal controls, and facilitates open communication among the Audit Committee, Board of Directors, outside auditors and management. The Audit Committee discusses with management and the Company's outside auditors the financial information developed by the Company, the Company's systems of internal controls and the Company's audit process. The Audit Committee is solely and directly responsible for appointing, evaluating, retaining and, when necessary, terminating the engagement of the independent auditor. The independent auditors meet with the Audit Committee (both with and without the presence of the Company's management) to review and discuss various matters pertaining to the audit, including the Company's financial statements, the report of the independent auditors on the results, scope and terms of their work, and their recommendations concerning the financial practices, controls, procedures and policies employed by the Company. The Audit Committee preapproves all audit services to be provided to the Company, whether provided by the principal auditor or other firms, and all other services (review, attest and non-audit) to be provided to the Company by the independent auditor. The Audit Committee coordinates the Board of Directors' oversight of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, disclosure controls and procedures and code of conduct. The Audit Committee is charged with establishing procedures for (i) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Company regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters; and (ii) the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of the Company of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters. The Audit Committee reviews all related party transactions on an ongoing basis, and all such transactions must be approved by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee is authorized, without further action by the Board of Directors, to engage such independent legal, accounting and other advisors as it deems necessary or appropriate to carry out its responsibilities. The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Audit Committee, which is available in the corporate governance section of the Company's website at www.brainstorm-cell.com. The Audit Committee currently consists of Mr. Lion (Chairman), Dr. Arbel and Mr. Taub, each of whom is independent as defined under applicable Nasdaq listing standards.

The Board of Directors does not have a standing nominating committee, instead each member of the Board of Directors participates in the consideration of director nominees. Due to the size of the Company, the Board of Directors does not currently have a policy regarding stockholder recommendations of director nominees. The Board of Directors does not have any specific, minimum qualifications for director nominees, but considers a variety of factors in selecting director nominees, including, but not limited to the following: integrity, education, business acumen, knowledge of the Company's business and industry, age, experience, diligence, conflicts of interest and the ability to act in the interests of all stockholders.

Audit Committee Financial Expert

The Board of Directors has determined that Moshe Lion is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K. Mr. Lion is independent as defined under applicable Nasdaq listing standards.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act requires our executive officers and directors, and persons who own more than 10% of our Common Stock (collectively, the "Reporting Persons"), to file reports regarding ownership of, and transactions in, our securities with the Securities and Exchange Commission and to provide us with copies of those filings. Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms received by us, or written representations from the Reporting Persons, we believe that during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, all Reporting Persons complied with the applicable requirements of Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, except for the following:

- ACCBT Corp. filed one late Form 4, reporting a total of four transactions late.

There are no known failures to file a required Form 3, Form 4 or Form 5.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation

The following table sets forth certain summary information with respect to the compensation paid during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 earned by each of the following individuals: (i) the Chief Executive Officer, (ii) the President, and (iii) the Chief Financial Officer (together, the "Named Executive Officers"). In the table below, columns required by the regulations of the SEC have been omitted where no information was required to be disclosed under those columns.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE (*)

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	All Other Compensation (\$)(2)	Total (\$)
Abraham (Rami) Efrati	2008	179,889	246,153	61,255	487,297(3)
Chief Executive Officer and Director	2007	39,565	46,130	11,468	97,163
Chaim Lebovits	2008	56,405	-	2,937	59,342(4)
President	2007	-	-	-	-
David Stolick	2008	104,784	80,230	40,173	225,187(5)
Chief Financial Officer	2007	86,931	299,365	34,057	420,353

(*)Each of the Named Executive Officers is paid in New Israeli Shekel (NIS); the amounts above are the U.S. dollar equivalent. The conversion rate used was the average of the end of month's rate between the U.S. dollar and the New Israeli Shekels (NIS) as published by the Bank of Israel, the central bank of Israel.

(1) The value of the option awards are determined in accordance with SFAS 123(R) as disclosed in Footnote 2(j) to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. There can be no assurance that the amounts calculated under SFAS 123(R) will be realized and amounts realized could ultimately exceed the amounts calculated under SFAS 123(R).

(2) Includes management insurance (which includes pension, disability insurance and severance pay), payments towards such employee's education fund, amounts paid for use of a Company car and Israeli social security.

(3) In 2008, Mr. Efrati earned a salary of \$179,889 and other compensation in the amount of \$61,255, totaling \$241,144, but only \$165,780 was paid to Mr. Efrati in 2008 due to the Company's lack of funds. The Total reflects total compensation earned in 2008.

(4) In 2008, Mr. Lebovits earned a salary of \$56,405, and other compensation in the amount of \$2,937, totaling \$59,342, but only \$27,489 was paid to Mr. Lebovits in 2008 due to the Company's lack of funds. The Total reflects total compensation earned in 2008.

(5) In 2008, Mr. Stolick earned a salary of \$104,784 and other compensation in the amount of \$40,173, totaling \$144,956, but only \$120,156 was paid to Mr. Stolick in 2008 due to the Company's lack of funds. The Total reflects total compensation earned in 2008.

Executive Employment Agreement and Termination of Employment and Change-in-Control Arrangements

Abraham Efrati. Pursuant to his employment agreement dated October 7, 2007, Mr. Efrati is entitled to an initial base salary of 50,000 NIS per month (approximately \$14,285).

Mr. Efrati is entitled to coverage under our Manager's Insurance Policy. Mr. Efrati is also entitled to an education fund and the use of a Company car.

Mr. Efrati's employment under his employment agreement is "at will". It may be terminated by him upon giving notice of ninety (90) days prior to his departure until the first anniversary of his employment and upon notice of one hundred and eighty (180) days notice after the first anniversary. The Company may terminate Mr. Efrati's employment with the same amount of notice, however the Company may also terminate Mr. Efrati by giving payment for the notice period in lieu of prior notice and may terminate Mr. Efrati without any notice or any compensation whatsoever if such termination is for cause (as "cause" is defined in Mr. Efrati's employment agreement).

Mr. Efrati has also agreed not to compete with the Company or solicit the Company's customers or employees during the term of his employment and for a period of twelve (12) months following the termination of his employment for any reason.

Chaim Lebovits. On February 11, 2008, the Company agreed that it would provide Mr. Lebovits with a salary of 37,450 NIS per month (approximately \$10,400) starting February 15, 2008. The Company and Mr. Lebovits have not yet entered into a written agreement.

David Stolick. Pursuant to his employment agreement effective as of February 13, 2005 (the "Stolick Effective Date"), Mr. Stolick was entitled to an initial base salary of 20,000 NIS per month (approximately \$4,470), which was increased six (6) months subsequent to the Stolick Effective Date, to 28,000 NIS per month. Mr. Stolick was granted, pursuant to the Company's Global Plan, an option to purchase 400,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at a price per share of \$0.75 each, which options will vest and become exercisable in thirty-six (36) equal monthly installments from the Stolick Effective Date. This option shall be exercisable by Mr. Stolick for a ten (10) year period following the Stolick Effective Date, but in any case not later than two (2) years after termination of the agreement. On February 6, 2006, the Company's Board of Directors approved the repricing of this option, such that said option now has an exercise price of \$0.15 per share as opposed to \$0.75 per share. Mr. Stolick is entitled to coverage under the Company's Directors' and Officers' liability insurance policy and to a written undertaking from the Company and its subsidiary to indemnify and release him to the full extent possible in accordance with the Israeli Companies Law 5759-1999 and the applicable laws of the State of Delaware.

Mr. Stolick's employment agreement has no stated term and is terminable by either party upon 90 days prior notice or by the Company without prior notice in the event of a termination for cause. In the event that Mr. Stolick resigns as a result of constructive discharge, or in the event of termination of employment by reason of Mr. Stolick's disability or death, 67% of the remaining unvested options granted to Mr. Stolick shall vest immediately as of the date of the notice of termination, and Mr. Stolick or his successor shall be entitled to exercise the vested options from the date of such termination until the earlier of two (2) years thereafter or their expiration date. Mr. Stolick is prohibited, during the term of his employment and for a period of 12 months thereafter, from competing with the Company or its subsidiary or soliciting any of the Company's or its subsidiary's customers or employees.

Terms of Option Awards

Mr. Stolick was granted, pursuant to the Company's Global Plan, an option to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at a price per share of \$0.15 each, which options were fully vested and exercisable on the date of grant, May 2, 2006. In addition, on March 21, 2007, Mr. Stolick was granted, pursuant to the Company's Global Plan, an option to purchase 350,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at a price per share of \$0.47 each, which options will vest and become exercisable in 36 equal monthly installments from the date of grant, provided Mr. Stolick is employed by or providing services to the Company on each applicable vesting date. These options shall be exercisable by Mr. Stolick for a ten (10) year period following the date of grant, but in any case not later than two (2) years after termination of his employment agreement.

On October 23, 2007, Mr. Efrati was granted, pursuant to the Company's Global Plan, an option to purchase 1,000,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at a price per share of \$0.87 each, which options will vest and become exercisable with respect to 1/6 of the shares subject to the option on each six-month anniversary of the date of grant, provided Mr. Efrati is employed by or providing services to the Company on each applicable vesting date. This option shall be exercisable by Mr. Efrati for a ten (10) year period following the date of grant. On November 5, 2008, the Company's Board of Directors approved the repricing of this option, such that said option now has an exercise price of \$0.15 per share as opposed to \$0.87 per share.

Outstanding Equity Awards

The following table sets forth information regarding equity awards granted to any Named Executive Officer that are outstanding as of December 31, 2008. In the table below, columns required by the regulations of the SEC have been omitted where no information was required to be disclosed under those columns.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT DECEMBER 31, 2008

Name	Option Awards			Option Expiration Date
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	
Abraham (Rami) Efrati	333,333	666,667(1)	0.15	10/22/17
Chaim Lebovits	—	—	—	—
David Stolick	400,000	—	0.15	2/13/15
	100,000	—	0.15	5/1/16
	204,167	145,833(2)	0.47	3/20/17

(1) Options for the purchase of approximately 166,666 shares vest and become exercisable on April 15, 2009, October 15, 2009, April 15, 2010 and October 15, 2010. On November 5, 2008, the Company approved the repricing of Mr. Efrati's option to purchase 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock, such that said option shall have an exercise price of \$0.15 per share instead of \$0.87 per share.

(2) Options for the purchase of approximately 9,722 shares vest and become exercisable each month until they are fully vested and exercisable on March 20, 2010.

Stock Incentive Plans

In November 2004 and February 2005, the Company's Board of Directors adopted and ratified the Global Plan and the U.S. Plan, respectively, and further approved the reservation of 9,143,462 shares of the Company's Common Stock for issuance thereunder. The Company's stockholders approved the Plans and the shares reserved for issuance thereunder at a special meeting of stockholders that was held on March 28, 2005.

On April 28, 2008, the Board approved the amendment and restatement of the Plans to increase the number of shares available for issuance under the Plans by an additional 5,000,000 shares. The Company's stockholders approved the amendment and restatement of the Plans on June 5, 2008.

Under the Global Plan, the Company granted a total of 8,161,778 options with various exercise prices (a weighted average exercise price of \$0.376) and expiration dates, to service providers, subcontractors, directors, officers, and employees. Under the U.S. Plan, the Company issued an additional 830,000 shares of restricted stock and options to Scientific Advisory Board members, consultants, and directors. As of March 31, 2009, there were 5,151,384 shares available for issuance under the Plans.

Director Compensation

The following table sets forth certain summary information with respect to the compensation paid during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 earned by each of the directors of the Company. In the table below, columns required by the regulations of the SEC have been omitted where no information was required to be disclosed under those columns.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE FOR FISCAL 2008

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	Total (\$)
Dr. Irit Arbel (*)	10,000	—	12,611(2)	22,611
Dr. Robert Shorr	10,000	53,829(3)	—	63,829
Mr. Moshe Lion	—	—	—(4)	—
Dr. Jonathan Javitt	—	—	—(5)	—
Mr. Malcolm Taub(6)	—	—	—	—

(*) Dr. Irit Arbel is paid in New Israeli Shekel (NIS); the amounts above are the U.S. dollar equivalent. The conversion rate used was the average of the end of month's rate between the U.S. dollar and the New Israeli Shekels (NIS) as published by the Bank of Israel, the central bank of Israel.

(1) The value of the stock awards and the option awards are determined in accordance with SFAS 123(R) as disclosed in Footnote 2(j) to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. There can be no assurance that the amounts calculated under SFAS 123(R) will be realized and amounts realized could ultimately exceed the amounts calculated under SFAS 123(R).

(2) At December 31, 2008, options to purchase 300,000 shares of Common Stock granted to Dr. Arbel were outstanding.

(3) At December 31, 2008, Dr. Shorr held an aggregate of 100,000 restricted shares.

- (4) At December 31, 2008, options to purchase 100,000 shares of Common Stock granted to Mr. Lion were outstanding.
- (5) At December 31, 2008, options to purchase 100,000 shares of Common Stock granted to Dr. Javitt were outstanding.
- (6) Mr. Taub was not a director during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. Mr. Taub was elected a director on March 16, 2009.

We reimburse our non-employee directors for reasonable travel and other out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with attending board meetings. On May 27, 2005, we approved the following compensation for non-employee directors beginning with the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006: (i) annual retainer of \$10,000; (ii) meeting participation fees of \$1,000 for each board meeting or duly constituted committee thereof attended in person; and (iii) \$500 for each meeting attended by telephone. Dr. Arbel and Dr. Shorr earn compensation in accordance with the above described plan.

Since October 14, 2007, the Company has implemented a new compensation plan for non-employee directors appointed in 2007 and thereafter. Under this new compensation plan, each director is entitled to receive an option to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock or 100,000 restricted shares of common stock. Dr. Javitt, Mr. Lion and Mr. Taub earn compensation in accordance with the new compensation plan. In 2008, the Company did not issue any options or restricted shares to any of its directors.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table sets forth certain information as of March 26, 2009 with respect to the beneficial ownership of Common Stock of the Company by the following: (i) each of the Company's current directors; (ii) each of the Named Executive Officers; (iii) all of the current executive officers and directors as a group; and (iv) each person known by the Company to own beneficially more than five percent (5%) of the outstanding shares of the Company's Common Stock.

For purposes of the following table, beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") and the information is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Except as otherwise noted in the footnotes to the table, the Company believes that each person or entity named in the table has sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of the Company's Common Stock shown as beneficially owned by that person or entity (or shares such power with his or her spouse). Under the SEC's rules, shares of the Company's Common Stock issuable under options that are exercisable on or within 60 days after March 26, 2009 ("Presently Exercisable Options") or under warrants that are exercisable on or within 60 days after March 26, 2009 ("Presently Exercisable Warrants") are deemed outstanding and therefore included in the number of shares reported as beneficially owned by a person or entity named in the table and are used to compute the percentage of the Common Stock beneficially owned by that person or entity. These shares are not, however, deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of the Common Stock beneficially owned by any other person or entity. Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each person listed in the table is c/o Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc., 110 East 59th Street, New York, New York 10022.

The percentage of the Common Stock beneficially owned by each person or entity named in the following table is based on 55,241,418 shares of Common Stock outstanding as of March 26, 2009 plus any shares issuable upon exercise of Presently Exercisable Options and Presently Exercisable Warrants held by such person or entity.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Shares Beneficially Owned	
	Number of Shares	Percentage of Class
Directors, Nominees and Named Executive Officers		
Abraham Efrati	500,000(1)	*
Chaim Lebovits	39,481,925(2)	51.7%
David Stolick	752,778(3)	1.3%
Irit Arbel	2,600,000(4)	4.7%
Jonathan Javitt	1,060,000(5)	1.9%
Moshe Lion	100,000(6)	*
Robert Shorr	300,000(7)	*
Malcolm Taub	1,350,000(8)	2.4%
All directors and Named Executive Officers as a group (8 persons)	46,144,703(9)	59.0%
5% Shareholders		
ACCBT Corp. Morgan & Morgan Building Pasea Estate, Road Town Tortola British Virgin Islands	39,481,925(10)	51.7%
Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. 32 Haim Levanon St. Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv Tel Aviv, L3 61392	3,181,924(11)	5.4%
Eldad Melamed c/o Rabin Medical Center Beilinson Campus Sackler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv University Petah-Tikva, L3 49100	2,840,956(12)	5.1%
Daniel Offen c/o Felsenstein Medical Research Center Rabin Medical Center, Tel Aviv University Petah-Tikva, L3 49100	2,840,955(13)	5.1%
Vivian Shaltiel	2,857,142	5.2%

* Less than 1%.

- (1) Consists of 500,000 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Options.
- (2) Consists of (i) 18,306,925 shares of Common Stock owed by ACCBT Corp. and (ii) 21,175,000 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Warrants. ACCBT Corp. and ACC International Holdings Ltd. may each be deemed the beneficial owners of these shares. Based solely on information provided in Amendment No. 4 to Schedule 13D filed with the SEC by ACCBT Corp. on April 28, 2008.
- (3) Consists of 752,778 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Options.

- (4) Includes 300,000 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Options. Dr. Arbel's address is 6 Hadishon Street, Jerusalem, Israel.
- (5) Includes 100,000 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Options.
- (6) Consists of 100,000 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Options.
- (7) Consists of 300,000 shares of restricted stock, which shares are subject to the Company's right to repurchase.
- (8) Includes 100,000 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Options.
- (9) Includes 1,852,778 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Options and 21,175,000 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Warrants.
- (10) Consists of (i) 18,306,925 shares of Common Stock owed by ACCBT Corp. and (ii) 21,175,000 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Warrants. ACC International Holdings Ltd. and Chaim Lebovits may each be deemed the beneficial owners of these shares. Based solely on information provided in Amendment No. 4 to Schedule 13D filed with the SEC by ACCBT Corp. on April 28, 2008.
- (11) Consists of shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Warrants. Tel-Aviv University and Tel Aviv University Economic Corporation Ltd. may each be deemed the beneficial owners of these shares. Based solely on information provided in an Amendment to Schedule 13D filed with the SEC by Ramot at Tel-Aviv University Ltd. on September 17, 2007.
- (12) Consists of (i) 2,688,178 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Warrants and (ii) 152,778 shares of Common Stock issuable upon exercise of Presently Exercisable Options. Based solely on information provided in Schedule 13D filed with the SEC by Prof. Eldad Melamed on September 26, 2005.
- (13) Consists of (i) 2,688,177 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Warrants and (ii) 152,778 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Options. Based solely on information provided in Schedule 13D filed with the SEC by Daniel Offen on September 26, 2005.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table summarizes certain information regarding our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2008:

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	8,391,778(1)	\$ 0.281	5,151,694(2)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	0	0	0
Total	5,151,684(1)		5,151,684(2)

-
- (1) Does not include 600,000 shares of restricted stock that the Company has issued pursuant to the 2005 U.S. Stock Option and Incentive Plan to scientific advisory board members, directors, service providers, and consultants.
 - (2) A total of 14,143,462 shares of our common stock was reserved for issuance in aggregate under the 2004 Global Share Option Plan and the 2005 U.S. Stock Option and Incentive Plan and the amendment in June 2008. Any awards granted under the 2004 Global Share Option Plan or the 2005 U.S. Stock Option and Incentive Plan will reduce the total number of shares available for future issuance under the other plan.

Change in Control

Subscription Agreement

On July 2, 2007, we entered into a subscription agreement (the "Subscription Agreement") with ACCBT Corp. ("ACCBT"), pursuant to which we agreed to sell and issue (i) up to 27,500,000 shares of our Common Stock for an aggregate subscription price of up to \$5.0 million, and (ii) for no additional consideration, warrants to purchase up to 30,250,000 shares of our Common Stock. Subject to certain closing conditions, separate closings of the purchase and sale of the shares and the warrants are scheduled to take place from August 30, 2007 through November 15, 2008. If ACCBT purchases all of the shares that it is entitled to under the Subscription Agreement and converts all of the warrants that it is entitled to receive, it will own approximately 66.9% of the Common Stock of the Company. A change in control of the Company will occur upon ACCBT's purchase and/or conversion of more than 50% of our Common Stock. To date, ACCBT has purchased 17,125,000 and assigned to its designee 2,125,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock under the Subscription Agreement. ACCBT also purchased warrants to purchase 1,181,925 shares of the Company's Common Stock from Ramot and converted the warrants to shares.

Security Holders Agreement

Pursuant to the Subscription Agreement, ACCBT and certain other security holders of the Company holding at least 31% of the issued and outstanding shares of our Common Stock entered into a Security Holders Agreement (the "Security Holders Agreement"). The security holders party to the Security Holders Agreement agreed, upon the payment by ACCBT of its first \$1.0 million under the Subscription Agreement, to vote all of their shares such that ACCBT's nominees to our Board of Directors will constitute a minimum of 40% of the Board of Directors, and, upon the payment by ACCBT of its second \$1.0 million, to vote all of their shares such that ACCBT's nominees will constitute a minimum of 50.1% of the Board of Directors. To date, ACCBT has paid \$3.98 million pursuant to the Subscription Agreement and therefore has the right to nominate 50.1% of the Board of Directors under the Security Holders Agreement.

The security holders who are parties to the Security Holders Agreement also agreed, for so long as ACCBT holds at least 5% of the issued and outstanding shares of our Common Stock, not to vote any of their shares to approve the following matters, without the written consent of ACCBT: (i) any change in our certificate of incorporation or bylaws, or alteration of our capital structure; (ii) the declaration or payment of a dividend or the making of any distributions; (iii) the taking of any steps to liquidate, dissolve, wind-up or otherwise terminate our corporate existence; or (iv) the entering into any transaction the effect of which would place control of our business in the hands of an arm's length third party.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.

The Audit Committee approves all related party transactions to which the Company is a party.

Research and License Agreement with Ramot

See "Item 1. Business" for a complete description of the Research and License Agreement with Ramot.

Investment Agreement with ACCBT Corp.

On July 2, 2007, we entered into a Subscription Agreement with ACCBT, a company under the control of Mr. Chaim Lebovits, our newly appointed President, pursuant to which we agreed to sell (i) up to 27,500,000 shares of our Common Stock for an aggregate subscription price of up to \$5.0 million, and (ii) for no additional consideration, warrants to purchase up to 30,250,000 shares of our Common Stock. Subject to certain closing conditions, separate closings of the purchase and sale of the shares and the warrants are scheduled to take place from August 30, 2007 through November 15, 2008. The warrants will have the following exercise prices: (i) warrants for the first 10,083,333 shares of our Common Stock will have an exercise price of \$0.20; (ii) warrants for the next 10,083,333 shares of our Common Stock will have an exercise price of \$0.29; and (iii) warrants for the final 10,083,334 shares of our Common Stock will have an exercise price of \$0.36. Because of our recent resolution and restructuring of the amounts owed by us to Ramot under the Ramot license agreement, ACCBT elected to accelerate the date of the first closing under the Subscription Agreement from August 30, 2007 to August 10, 2007. Therefore, on August 20, 2007, we received an aggregate of \$1,000,000 from ACCBT, and, in connection therewith, ACCBT agreed to apply the principal amounts outstanding under the \$250,000 convertible promissory note, dated as of May 6, 2007, issued to ACCBT by the Company towards the \$5 million aggregate subscription price under the subscription agreement in exchange for shares of Common Stock (at which point the promissory note was cancelled). Accordingly, we issued to ACCBT an aggregate of 6,875,000 shares of Common Stock and a warrant to purchase an aggregate of 7,562,500 shares of Common Stock. In November 2007, we received an aggregate of \$750,000 from ACCBT, and we issued to ACCBT an aggregate of 4,125,000 shares of Common Stock and a warrant to purchase an aggregate of 4,537,500 shares of Common Stock. On April 3, 2008, we closed a transaction where we received an aggregate of \$750,000 from ACCBT and a permitted assignee, and we issued 2,125,000 shares of Common Stock to the permitted assignee, 2,000,000 shares of Common Stock to ACCBT and a warrant to purchase an aggregate of 4,537,500 shares of Common Stock to ACCBT.

As a condition to each closing under the Subscription Agreement, the market price per share of our Common Stock may not be 10% less than the bid price per share under the subscription agreement on any trading day between 30 and 10 days prior to any given closing date. If at any time prior to the first closing date we issue shares of Common Stock or others securities convertible into, exercisable or exchangeable for Common Stock, then the number of shares to be issued to ACCBT under the Subscription Agreement and the price per share will be adjusted so that ACCBT will have the right to purchase up to 52.35% of our equity on a fully diluted as converted basis (assuming ACCBT purchases all of the shares and exercises in full all of the warrants subject to the Subscription Agreement) and 50.02% of the issued and outstanding shares of our Common Stock (assuming ACCBT invests the full \$5.0 million).

Pursuant to the Subscription Agreement, ACCBT and certain other security holders of the Company holding at least 31% of the issued and outstanding shares of our Common Stock entered into a Security Holders Agreement. The security holders party to the Security Holders Agreement agreed, upon the payment by ACCBT of its first \$1.0 million under the Subscription Agreement, to vote all of their shares such that ACCBT's nominees to our Board of Directors will constitute a minimum of 40% of the Board of Directors, and, upon the payment by ACCBT of its second \$1.0 million, to vote all of their shares such that ACCBT's nominees will constitute a minimum of 50.1% of the Board of Directors. To date, ACCBT has paid \$3.98 million pursuant to the Subscription Agreement and therefore has the right to nominate 50.1% of the Board of Directors under the Security Holders Agreement. ACCBT has previously designated Dr. Jonathan C. Javitt and Moshe Lion as its nominees for election to the Company's Board of Directors.

The security holders who are parties to the Security Holders Agreement also agreed, for so long as ACCBT holds at least 5% of the issued and outstanding shares of our Common Stock, not to vote any of their shares to approve the following matters, without the written consent of ACCBT: (i) any change in our certificate of incorporation or bylaws, or alteration of our capital structure; (ii) the declaration or payment of a dividend or the making of any distributions; (iii) the taking of any steps to liquidate, dissolve, wind-up or otherwise terminate our corporate existence; or (iv) the entering into any transaction the effect of which would place control of our business in the hands of an arm's length third party.

In connection with the Subscription Agreement, we agreed to issue, as a finder's fee, an aggregate of 1,250,000 shares of our Common Stock to Tayside Trading Ltd. or its assigns. The shares will be issued pro rata to the funds received from ACCBT on each closing date under the subscription agreement. As of April 1, 2009, 875,000 shares have been issued to the assignee of Tayside Trading Ltd.

Transfer of Warrant from Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. to ACCBT Corp.

Pursuant to the terms of a Warrant Purchase Agreement, dated August 2, 2007, between Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. and ACCBT, Ramot agreed to transfer and sell to ACCBT (or to certain parties that may be designated by ACCBT), a warrant to purchase an aggregate of 3,181,925 shares of our Common Stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$636,385. The warrant is exercisable at any time for an exercise price per share equal to \$0.01. The warrant will expire on November 4, 2012. On September 6, 2007, ACCBT acquired a warrant from Ramot to purchase an aggregate of 1,181,925 shares of our Common Stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$236,385. ACCBT designated other purchasers to acquire the remaining warrants to purchase 1,000,000 shares of our Common Stock. On September 10, 2007, ACCBT exercised the warrant for the entire 1,181,925 shares of our Common Stock for an aggregate exercise price of \$11,819.

On August 27, 2008, ACCBT received 960,000 shares of Common Stock upon a cashless exercise of a warrant to purchase 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock. The shares were sold to Jonathan Javitt, a company director

Agreement with Vivian Shaltiel

On April 13, 2008, we entered into an agreement with Vivian Shaltiel, a 5% stockholder of the Company, pursuant to which Ms. Shaltiel agreed to partially defer and partially convert to equity the payment of \$1,250,000 (the "Debt") owed by the Company to Ms. Shaltiel pursuant to: (i) a Convertible Promissory Note, dated February 7, 2006, in the original principal amount of \$500,000, (ii) a Convertible Promissory Note, dated June 5, 2006, in the original principal amount of \$500,000, (iii) a Convertible Promissory Note, dated September 14, 2006, in the original principal amount of \$100,000 and (iv) an agreement by and between Ms. Shaltiel and the Company, dated as of September 10, 2007, and amended as of November 1, 2007, scheduling repayment of the above Convertible Promissory Notes on a deferred schedule (the "Deferral Agreement"). The Company issued 2,857,142 shares of common stock to Ms. Shaltiel in lieu of the repayment of \$1,000,000 of the Debt.

Pursuant to the Deferral, the Company agreed to pay \$250,000 of the Debt in accordance with the following schedule:

Payment Date	Amount
May 30, 2008	\$ 50,000
July 31, 2008	\$ 50,000
September 30, 2008	\$ 50,000
December 31, 2008	\$ 50,000
February 28, 2009	\$ 50,000

Ms. Shaltiel agreed that upon payment of the foregoing amounts in accordance with the foregoing schedule and the receipt of the stock grant, all of the Company's outstanding obligations owed to Ms. Shaltiel under the notes will be satisfied in full. Ms. Shaltiel also waived any breach or default that may have arisen prior to the date of the agreement from the failure of the Company to make payments to Ms. Shaltiel under any of the notes or the Deferral Agreement.

On April 2, 2009, we entered into an agreement with Ms. Shaltiel pursuant to which Ms. Shaltiel agreed to convert to equity the payment of \$200,000 of the Debt (the "Second Deferral Agreement"). The Company shall issue 2,500,000 shares of common stock to Ms. Shaltiel in lieu of the repayment of \$200,000 of the Debt. The remainder of the Debt owed to Ms. Shaltiel (\$50,000) was paid to her in July 2008.

Independence of Members of Board of Directors

The Board of Directors has determined that each of Dr. Arbel, Dr. Javitt, Mr. Lion, Dr. Shorr and Mr. Taub satisfies the criteria for being an "independent director" under the standards of the Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. ("Nasdaq") and has no material relationship with the Company other than by virtue of service on the Board of Directors.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The following table presents fees for professional audit services rendered by Brightman Almagor Zohar & Co., a member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu ("Brightman") for the audit of the Company's financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 and by Kost Forer Gabbay & Kasierer ("Kost") for the audit of the Company's financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and fees billed for other services rendered by Brightman and Kost during those periods.

	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Audit Fees (1)	\$ 45,000	\$ 72,000
Audit-Related Fees	—	—
Tax Fees	—	\$ 5,000
All Other Fees (2)	\$ —	\$ 1,500
Total Fees	\$ 45,000	\$ 78,500

- (1) Audit fees are comprised of fees for professional services performed by Brightman or Kost, as applicable, for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements and the review of the Company's quarterly financial statements, as well as other services provided by Brightman or Kost, as applicable, in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.
- (2) In 2007, all other fees consisted of an audit by Kost of the annual report for the Israeli Office of Chief Scientist.

We did not use Brightman or Kost for financial information system design and implementation. These services, which include designing or implementing a system that aggregates source data underlying the financial statements and generates information that is significant to our financial statements, are provided internally or by other service providers. We did not engage Brightman or Kost to provide compliance outsourcing services.

Pre-approval Policies

Prior to the establishment of our Audit Committee, the Board of Directors pre-approved all services provided by our independent auditors. All of the above services and fees were reviewed and approved by the Board before the services were rendered. Our Audit Committee is now responsible for pre-approving all services provided by our independent auditors.

The Board of Directors has considered the nature and amount of fees billed by Brightman and believes that the provision of services for activities unrelated to the audit is compatible with maintaining Brightman's independence.

PART IV

Item 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

The Exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index immediately preceding such Exhibits are filed with, or incorporated by reference, in this report.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC.

Date: April 15, 2009

By: /s/ Rami Efrati
Name: Rami Efrati
Title: Chief Executive Officer

In accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ Rami Efrati</u> Rami Efrati	Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	April 13, 2009
<u>/s/ David Stolick</u> David Stolick	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	April 13, 2009
<u>Irit Arbel</u>	Director	April __, 2009
<u>/s/ Jonathan C. Javitt</u> Jonathan C. Javitt	Director	April 13, 2009
<u>Moshe Lion</u>	Director	April __, 2009
<u>/s/ Robert Shorr</u> Robert Shorr	Director	April 13, 2009
<u>/s/ Malcolm Taub</u> Malcolm Taub	Director	April 13, 2009

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 28, 2006, by and between Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc., a Washington corporation, and Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc., a Delaware corporation, is incorporated herein by reference to Appendix A of the Company's Definitive Schedule 14A dated November 20, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc., a Delaware corporation, is incorporated herein by reference to Appendix B of the Company's Definitive Schedule 14A dated November 20, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
3.2	ByLaws of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc., a Delaware corporation, is incorporated herein by reference to Appendix C of the Company's Definitive Schedule 14A dated November 20, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
3.3	Amendment No. 1 to ByLaws of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc., dated as of March 21, 2007, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 27, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
10.1	Restricted Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 28, 2003, by and between Irit Arbel and Michael Frankenberger is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 21, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
10.2	Letter of Intent, dated as of April 30, 2004, by and between the Company and Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 21, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
10.3	Research and License Agreement, dated as of July 8, 2004, by and between the Company and Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 8, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
10.4	Research and License Agreement, dated as of March 30, 2006, by and between the Company and Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 30, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
10.5	Amendment Agreement, dated as of May 23, 2006, to Research and License Agreement, by and between the Company and Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A dated March 30, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
10.6	Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated as of November 4, 2004, issued pursuant to Research and License Agreement with Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.07 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A dated November 4, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
10.7	Amendment Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2006, among the Company, Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. and certain warrant holders is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 30, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
10.8	Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated as of November 4, 2004, issued as a replacement warrant under the Amendment Agreement to Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd., is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 30, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).

- 10.9 Second Amended and Restated Research and License Agreement, dated July 31, 2007, by and between the Company and Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB dated June 30, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.10 Second Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement, dated August 1, 2007, by and between the Company and Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB dated June 30, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.11 Waiver and Release, dated August 1, 2007, executed by Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. in favor of the Company is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB dated June 30, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.12 Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2006, by and between the Company and certain warrant holders is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 30, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.13 Consulting Agreement, dated as of July 8, 2004, by and between the Company and Prof. Eldad Melamed is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 8, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.14 Consulting Agreement, dated as of July 8, 2004, by and between the Company and Dr. Daniel Offen is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 8, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.15 Form of Warrant to purchase common stock dated as of November 4, 2004 issued pursuant to consulting agreements with Prof. Eldad Melamed and Dr. Daniel Offen is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.08 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A dated November 4, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.16 Common Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 22, 2004, by and between the Company and certain buyers is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.03 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 22, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.17 Subscription Agreement, dated as of October 22, 2004, by and between the Company and certain buyers is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.04 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 22, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.18 Form of Class A Common Stock Purchase Warrant to purchase common stock for \$1.50 per share, dated as of October 2004, issued to certain buyers pursuant to Common Stock Purchase Agreement with certain buyers is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.03 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 22, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.19 Form of Class B Common Stock Purchase Warrant to purchase common stock for \$2.50 per share, dated as of October 2004, issued to certain buyers pursuant to Common Stock Purchase Agreement with certain buyers is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.04 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 22, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).

- 10.20* Employment Agreement, dated as of November 8, 2004, by and between the Company and Dr. Yaffa Beck is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 4, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.21* Termination Agreement and General Release, dated as of March 20, 2006, by and between the Company and Dr. Yaffa Beck is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 20, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.22* Employment Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2004, by and between the Company and Yoram Drucker is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 16, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.23* Termination Agreement, dated December 17, 2007, between the Registrant, Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd. and Yoram Drucker is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 17, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.24 Consulting Agreement, dated as of December 23, 2004, by and between the Company and Malcolm E. Taub is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 23, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.25 Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated as of December 23, 2004, issued to Malcolm E. Taub is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.5 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 23, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.26 Consulting Agreement, dated as of December 23, 2004, by and between the Company and Ernest Muller is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 23, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.27 Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated as of December 23, 2004, issued to Ernest Muller is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.6 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 23, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.28* Employment Agreement, dated as of January 16, 2005, by and between the Company and David Stolick is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 16, 2005 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.29* Employment Agreement, dated as of October 7, 2007, by and among Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd., the Company and Abraham Efrati is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A dated October 15, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.30 Lease Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2004, among the Company, Petah Tikvah Science and Technology District 'A' Ltd., Petah Tikvah Science and Technology District 'B' Ltd. and Atzma and Partners Maccabim Investments Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB dated December 31, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.31 Form of Lock-up Agreement, dated as of March 21, 2005, by and between the Company and certain shareholders of the Company is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 21, 2005 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.32 Form of Lock-up Agreement, dated as of March 26, 2006, by and between the Company and certain shareholders of the Company is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 26, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).

- 10.33* Amended and Restated 2004 Global Share Option Plan is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit A of the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed April 29, 2008 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.34* Amended and Restated 2005 U.S. Stock Option and Incentive Plan is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit B of the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on April 29, 2008 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.35* Option Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2004, by and between the Company and Yaffa Beck is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 28, 2005 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.36* Option Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2004, by and between the Company and Yoram Drucker is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.14 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 28, 2005 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.37* Option Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2004, by and between the Company and David Stolick is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 28, 2005 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.38* Amendment to Option Agreement, dated as of February 6, 2006, by and between the Company and David Stolick is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 6, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.39 Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated as of May 16, 2005, issued to Trout Capital LLC is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB dated June 30, 2005 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.40 Restricted Stock Award Agreement under 2005 U.S. Stock Option and Incentive Plan issued by the Company to Scientific Advisory Board Members in April, 2005 is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.18 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB dated June 30, 2005 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.41 Form of Investor Questionnaire and Subscription Agreement, dated October 2005, by and between the Company and certain investors is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 30, 2005 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.42 Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant to purchase common stock for \$1.00 per share, dated as of September 2005, issued to certain investors pursuant to a private placement with certain investors is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.09 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 30, 2005 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.43 Form of Investor Questionnaire and Subscription Agreement, dated December 2005, by and between the Company and certain investors is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.21 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 7, 2005 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.44 Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant to purchase common stock for \$1.00 per share, dated as of December 2005, issued to certain investors pursuant to a private placement with certain investors is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.10 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 7, 2005 (File No. 333-61610).

- 10.45 Convertible Promissory Note, dated as of February 7, 2006, issued by the Company to Vivian Shaltiel is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 6, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.46 Convertible Promissory Note, dated as of June 5, 2006, issued by the Company to Vivian Shaltiel is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 5, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.47 Amendment to Convertible Promissory Notes, dated as of June 13, 2006, by and between the Company and Vivian Shaltiel is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.42 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB dated June 29, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.48 Convertible Promissory Note, dated as of September 14, 2006, issued by the Company to Vivian Shaltiel is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 18, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.49 Agreement, dated September 10, 2007, by and between the Company and Vivian Shaltiel is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 14, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.50 Agreement, dated April 13, 2008, by and between the Company and Vivian Shaltiel is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.50 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed on April 14, 2008 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.51 Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated as of October 3, 2006, issued by the Company to Double U Master Fund L.P. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB dated November 14, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.52 Convertible Promissory Note, dated as of December 13, 2006, issued by the Company to Eli Weinstein is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 19, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.53 Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated as of December 13, 2006, issued by the Company to Eli Weinstein is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 19, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.54 Collaboration Agreement, dated as of December 26, 2006, by and between the Company and Fundacion para la Investigacion Medica Aplicada is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 23, 2007. (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.55 Convertible Promissory Note, dated as of March 5, 2007, issued by the Company to Eli Weinstein is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 12, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.56 Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated as of March 5, 2007, issued by the Company to Eli Weinstein is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 12, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.57 8% Convertible Promissory Note, dated May 6, 2007, issued by the Company to ACCBT Corp. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 10, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).

- 10.58 Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated May 6, 2007, issued by the Company to ACCBT Corp. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 10, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.59 Subscription Agreement, dated July 2, 2007, by and between the Company and ACCBT Corp. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 5, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.60 Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant issued by the Company to ACCBT Corp. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 5, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.61 Form of Registration Rights Agreement by and between the Company and ACCBT Corp. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 5, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.62 Form of Security Holders Agreement, by and between ACCBT Corp. and certain security holders of the Registrant is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 5, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.63 Finder's Fee Agreement, dated as of October 29, 2007, by and between the Company and Tayside Trading Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.63 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed on April 14, 2008 (File No. 333-61610).
- 16.1 Letter from Kost Forer Gabbay & Kasierer to the Securities and Exchange Commission dated April 30, 2008 regarding change in certifying accountant of the Registrant is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 16.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 30, 2008 (File No. 333-61610).
- 21 Subsidiaries of the Company is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 21 of the Company's Transition Report on Form 10-KSB filed on March 30, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
- 23 Consent of Brightman Almagor Zohar & Co., a member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu.
- 31.1 Certification by the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification by the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement filed in response to Item 15(a)(3) of Form 10-K.

**CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT
REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement No. 333-131880 on Form S-8 of our report, dated April 13, 2009, relating to the financial statements of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. (which report expresses an unqualified opinion and includes an explanatory paragraph regarding the going concern uncertainty and the breach of research and development agreement with Ramot) appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2008.

/s/ Brightman Almagor Zohar & Co.
Brightman Almagor Zohar & Co.
Certified Public Accountants,
A member firm of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

Tel Aviv, Israel
April 13, 2009

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO EXCHANGE ACT RULE 13a-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

I, Rami Efrati, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

April 15, 2009

/s/ Rami Efrati

Name: Rami Efrati

Title: Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO EXCHANGE ACT RULE 13a-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

I, David Stolick, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

April 15, 2009

/s/ David Stolick

Name: David Stolick

Title: Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

In connection with the accompanying Annual Report on Form 10-K of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2008, the undersigned hereby certifies pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to the best of my knowledge and belief, that:

(1) such Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) the information contained in such Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations.

April 15, 2009

/s/ Rami Efrati

Name: Rami Efrati

Title: Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

In connection with the accompanying Annual Report on Form 10-K of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2008, the undersigned hereby certifies pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to the best of my knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) such Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in such Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations.

April 15, 2009

/s/ David Stolick

Name: David Stolick

Title: Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)