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This Annual Report on Form 10-K, or Form 10-K, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. All statements contained in this Form 10-K, other than statements of historical fact, are forward-looking statements. When used in this report or elsewhere by management from time to time, the words “believe,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “plan,” “estimate,” “expect,” and similar expressions are forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, but the absence of these words does not necessarily mean that a statement is not forward-looking. Forward-looking statements made in this Form 10-K include, but are not limited to, statements about:

- the progress of our research, development and clinical programs and timing of the introduction of CORLUX® and future product candidates;
- estimates of the dates by which we expect to report results of our clinical trials;
- our ability to market, commercialize and achieve market acceptance for CORLUX® or other future product candidates;
- uncertainties associated with obtaining and enforcing patents;
- our estimates for future performance; and
- our estimates regarding our capital requirements and our needs for additional financing.

Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties. Actual events or results may differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors. For a more detailed discussion of such forward-looking statements and the potential risks and uncertainties that may impact upon their accuracy, see the “Risk Factors” section of this Form 10-K and the “Overview” and “Liquidity and Capital Resources” sections of the “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” section of this Form 10-K. These forward-looking statements reflect our view only as of the date of this report. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligations to update any forward looking statements. Accordingly, you should also carefully consider the factors set forth in other reports or documents that we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview

Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated is a pharmaceutical company headquartered in Menlo Park, California engaged in the development of drugs for the treatment of severe psychiatric and metabolic diseases. Our current focus is on the development of drugs for disorders that are associated with a steroid hormone called cortisol. Elevated levels and abnormal release patterns of cortisol have been implicated in a broad range of human disorders. Our scientific founders are responsible for many of the critical discoveries illustrating the link between psychiatric and metabolic disorders and aberrant cortisol. Since our inception in May 1998, we have been developing our lead product, CORLUX®, a glucocorticoid receptor II, or GR-II, antagonist. CORLUX modulates the effect of cortisol by selectively blocking the binding of cortisol to one of its two known receptors, the GR-II receptor, also known as the Type II or GR receptor.

- Psychotic depression. We have an exclusive patent license from Stanford University for the use of GR-II antagonists to treat the psychotic features of psychotic major depression, hereinafter referred to as psychotic depression. The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has granted “fast track” status to our program to evaluate the safety and efficacy of CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression. Psychotic depression affects approximately three million people annually in the US. There is no FDA-approved treatment for psychotic depression. Psychiatrists currently use two approaches: electroconvulsive therapy (ECT), which involves passing an electrical
current through the brain until the patient has a seizure, and combination drug therapy (simultaneous use of antidepressant and antipsychotic medications). Both ECT and combination drug therapy almost always have slow onsets of action and debilitating side effects. By modifying the level and release pattern of cortisol within the human body, we believe that CORLUX may be able to treat the psychotic features of psychotic depression more quickly and effectively and with fewer side effects than is possible with currently available treatments.

Three Phase 3 clinical trials have been completed. While the response rate to medicine exceeded the response rate to placebo in each of these studies for the primary endpoint, a 50% reduction in the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale Positive Symptom Subscale (BPRS PSS) at day 7 sustained to day 56, in none of these studies was the difference in response rate statistically significant. However a robust relationship was demonstrated between higher dosing plasma level and a higher response rate. This relationship was tested prospectively in the last of our Phase 3 trials using a predetermined plasma concentration that correlates with response and was met with statistical significance. We believe that the confirmation of a drug concentration/response correlation threshold for efficacy provides a strong basis for our next Phase 3 study.

If we obtain FDA approval, we initially intend to market and sell CORLUX for psychotic depression in the United States directly to hospitals with in-patient psychiatric units, first focusing on those that use ECT. We then intend to expand our sales efforts to address the larger group of psychotic depression patients currently undergoing combination drug therapy. Given the concentrated nature of the initial target audience, we believe that we will be able to generate significant revenue with a relatively small, highly-focused medical education and commercialization team.

- **Antipsychotic-induced Weight Gain Mitigation.** In June 2007, we announced preliminary top-line results of our proof-of-concept study evaluating the ability of CORLUX to mitigate weight gain associated with the administration of olanzapine. The top line results indicated a statistically significant reduction in weight gain in those subjects who took olanzapine plus CORLUX compared to those who took olanzapine alone. The purpose of this study was to explore the hypothesis that GR-II antagonists would mitigate weight gain associated with atypical antipsychotic medications, such as olanzapine, risperidone, clozapine and quetiapine, which are widely used to treat schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. All medications in this group are associated with treatment emergent weight gain of varying degrees and carry a warning label relating to treatment emergent hyperglycemia and diabetes mellitus. Eli Lilly provided olanzapine and financial support for this study.

- **Cushing’s Syndrome.** The FDA has granted Orphan Drug Designation for CORLUX for the treatment of endogenous Cushing’s Syndrome, hereinafter referred to as “Cushing’s Syndrome”—a disorder caused by prolonged exposure of the body’s tissues to high levels of the hormone cortisol. “Orphan” drugs obtain seven years of marketing exclusivity from the date of approval, as well as tax credits for clinical trial costs, marketing application filing fee waivers and assistance from the FDA in the drug development process.

The Investigational New Drug application (IND) for the evaluation of CORLUX for the treatment of Cushing’s Syndrome was opened in September 2007. The FDA has indicated that a single study may provide a reasonable basis for the submission of a New Drug Application (NDA) for this indication. This trial was opened for enrollment late in December 2007.

In addition to the above, we also own or have exclusively licensed issued patents and patent applications relating to the treatment of several disorders that we believe also result from, or are negatively affected by, prolonged exposure to elevated cortisol including increasing the therapeutic response to electroconvulsive therapy (ECT), mild cognitive impairment, stress disorders and the treatment of delirium. We also have filed patent applications for additional diseases that may benefit from treatment with a drug that blocks the GR-II receptor.
The Role of Cortisol in Disease

Cortisol is a steroid hormone that plays a significant role in the way the body reacts to stressful conditions and is essential for survival. Cortisol significantly influences metabolism, exerts a clinically useful anti-inflammatory effect and contributes to emotional stability. Insufficient levels of cortisol may lead to dehydration, hypotension, shock, fatigue, low resistance to infection, trauma, stress and hypoglycemia. Excessive levels of cortisol may lead to edema, hypertension, fatigue and impaired glucose tolerance.

Elevated levels and abnormal release patterns of cortisol have also been linked to a broad range of psychiatric and metabolic conditions, such as mood changes, psychosis and cognitive impairment. Cognition, including attention, concentration and memory, is impaired by elevated levels and abnormal release patterns of cortisol. Prolonged elevated levels of cortisol are neurotoxic and may accelerate the dementia process in patients with cognitive disorders such as Alzheimer’s disease.

Many studies have shown that patients with psychotic depression have elevated levels and abnormal release patterns of cortisol. This abnormal cortisol pattern is not usually present in patients with nonpsychotic depression. More than 15 years ago, one of our scientific co-founders postulated that elevated levels of cortisol in patients with psychotic depression lead to elevated levels of dopamine, an important chemical substance found in the brain. Elevated levels of dopamine have been implicated in both delusional thinking and hallucinations. This was a clinically relevant hypothesis because it led to the concept that antipsychotic medications, which act by blocking dopamine, in combination with antidepressant medications, could be useful in treating psychotic depression. The hypothesis also led to the concept that by regulating the level and release patterns of cortisol, one could normalize dopamine levels in the brain, which may, in turn, ameliorate the symptoms of psychotic depression. In addition to cortisol’s effect on dopamine levels, research has shown that prolonged elevated cortisol may also play a direct role in causing the symptoms of psychotic depression.

The challenge in regulating levels of cortisol, however, is that it is needed for natural processes in the human body. Destroying the ability of the body to make cortisol or to drastically reduce its presence would result in serious detrimental effects. To have a viable therapeutic effect, a compound must be able to selectively modulate cortisol effects.

Glucocorticoid Receptor Antagonists

Cortisol is produced by the adrenal glands and is carried via the bloodstream to the brain, where it directly influences neuronal function. In the brain, cortisol binds to two receptors, Glucocorticoid Receptor I and Glucocorticoid Receptor II, also known as GR-I and GR-II. GR-I is a high-affinity receptor that is involved in the routine functions of cortisol. It has approximately ten times the affinity of GR-II for cortisol and its binding sites are filled with cortisol nearly all the time. In general, GR-II binding sites do not fill until levels of cortisol become elevated. Short-term activation of GR-II has benefits, which include helping the individual to be more alert and better able to function under stressful conditions. Long-term activation of GR-II, however, has been shown to have significant toxicity and appears to be linked to multiple psychiatric and metabolic disease states, particularly psychotic depression. The action of cortisol can be moderated by the use of blockers, or antagonists, that prevent the binding of the hormone to its receptors. These antagonists, referred to as glucocorticoid receptor antagonists, may prevent the undesirable effects of elevated levels and abnormal release patterns of cortisol.

The discovery that the brain has high affinity and low affinity receptors for cortisol was critical to our scientific approach in treating the psychosis manifested by patients with psychotic depression because it allowed for a specific target for a potential medication. CORLUX, also known as mifepristone or RU-486, works by
selectively blocking the binding of cortisol to GR-II while not affecting GR-I. Because of its selective affinity, we believe that CORLUX can have a therapeutic benefit by modulating the effects of abnormal levels and release patterns of cortisol without compromising the necessary normal functions of cortisol.

Overview of Psychotic Depression

Psychotic depression is a serious psychiatric disease in which a patient suffers from severe depression accompanied by delusions, hallucinations or both. These psychotic features typically develop after the onset of a depressed mood, but may develop concurrently as well. Once psychotic symptoms occur, they usually reappear with each subsequent depressive episode. Of particular importance, when the patient’s mood returns to normal the psychosis also resolves.

Psychotic depression is not a simple combination of psychosis and depression, but rather a complex interaction between a predisposition to become psychotic and a predisposition to become severely depressed. In addition to psychosis, clinical features and outcomes that distinguish psychotic from nonpsychotic depression include elevated levels and abnormal release patterns of cortisol, motor abnormalities, a substantially higher suicide rate, more prominent sleep abnormalities and more potential for brain injury.

Data from the National Institutes of Mental Health published in 2005 indicate that depressive disorders affect an estimated 9.5% of adults in the United States, or about 19 million people each year. Of these 19 million people, many published studies show that approximately 15-20%, or about three million people, have psychotic depression. Most patients with psychotic depression suffer their first episode of major depression between the ages of 30 and 40 and the majority will experience more than one episode in their lifetime. Psychotic depression is more prevalent than either schizophrenia or bipolar I disorder. Psychotic depression is characterized by severe depression accompanied by psychosis (delusions and/or hallucinations). People with psychotic depression are approximately 70 times more likely to commit suicide in their lifetime than the general population and often require lengthy and expensive hospital stays.

We believe that people afflicted with psychotic depression are, as a group, unrecognized and undertreated because of:

- reluctance on the part of patients with psychotic depression to accurately report their psychotic symptoms;
- misdiagnosis of the disease by primary care physicians;
- reluctance of patients and their families to be associated with the stigma of hospitalization for psychiatric care; and
- adverse side effects associated with current treatments for psychotic depression.

Current Treatments for Psychotic depression

There are two treatment approaches for psychotic depression currently used by psychiatrists: ECT and combination drug therapy. Neither of these treatments has been approved by the FDA for psychotic depression and both approaches almost always have slow onsets of action and debilitating side effects. Of the two treatments, ECT is generally considered to be more effective.

ECT involves passing an electrical current through the brain until the patient has a seizure. At least 100,000 patients receive ECT each year in the United States, with each patient requiring approximately six to twelve procedures over a period of three to five weeks. ECT is administered while the patient is under general anesthesia and the procedure requires the use of an operating room, as well as the participation of a psychiatrist, an anesthesiologist and a nurse. General anesthesia and paralytic agents are necessary to avoid fractures of the spine.
that otherwise could result from the seizures caused by ECT. Although ECT provides a reduction in depressive and psychotic symptoms, the procedure can result in cognitive impairment, including permanent memory loss, cardiovascular complications, headache, muscle ache and nausea, in addition to complications related to general anesthesia.

Combination drug therapy is an alternative treatment for psychotic depression that involves taking antipsychotic drugs such as olanzapine, haloperidol or chlorpromazine in combination with antidepressant medication. Patients on combination drug therapy often require three weeks or more to show improvement in their symptoms and treatment can take months to complete. Antipsychotic drugs can cause significant adverse side effects, including weight gain, diabetes, sedation, permanent movement disorders and sexual dysfunction.

Because a therapeutic response to ECT and combination drug therapy does not occur for several weeks, neither approach prevents lengthy and expensive hospital stays in patients who are seriously ill. Consequently, a significant need exists for a medication that provides rapid relief from the psychotic symptoms of psychotic depression, as such a medication would substantially reduce the length of suffering associated with the illness. We believe that people suffering from psychotic depression would prefer a treatment that did not involve the risks of anesthesia, adverse side effects and stigma associated with ECT or the slow onset of action associated with both ECT and combination drug therapy. If an alternative treatment was approved by the FDA and had secured third-party reimbursement, we believe that many patients with psychotic depression would choose that alternative.

CORLUX for the Psychotic Features of Psychotic Depression

CORLUX is an oral medication that we are developing to treat the psychotic features of psychotic depression. CORLUX is a GR-II antagonist that appears to mitigate the effects of the elevated and abnormal release patterns of cortisol in patients suffering from psychotic depression. We intend CORLUX to be a once-daily treatment given to patients with psychotic depression over 7 consecutive days in a controlled setting, such as a hospital or physician’s office. Mifepristone, the active ingredient in CORLUX, in addition to blocking GR-II, blocks the progesterone receptor and has been approved by the FDA for termination of early pregnancy.

We believe that CORLUX may significantly reduce psychotic symptoms of psychotic depression in many patients within one week and allow patients to be more easily maintained on antidepressant therapy alone without the need for ECT or antipsychotic medication. We believe that CORLUX may be superior to currently available treatments because we believe that CORLUX will enable patients with psychotic depression to improve their quality of life more quickly and with fewer side effects than with ECT or combination drug therapy.

CORLUX for Psychotic Depression Clinical Trials

Psychiatric Rating Scales. In our clinical trials, we assess the efficacy of CORLUX utilizing psychiatric rating scales commonly used to support regulatory approval of new antipsychotic and antidepressant medications. These scales include the:

- **BPRS**: The Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) is an 18-item instrument to assess psychopathology. It incorporates a range of psychiatric symptoms, including anxiety, depression, guilt, hostility and suicidality. Each of the 18 symptoms is scored on a numeric scale ranging from 1 (not present) to 7 (extremely severe).

- **BPRS Positive Symptom Subscale (BPRS PSS)**: This subscale, which is based on four items of the BPRS, assesses a patient’s psychotic features by measuring the patient’s conceptual disorganization, suspiciousness, hallucinatory behavior and unusual thought content.

- **HAM-D**: The Hamilton Depression Scale (HAM-D) is a 24-item instrument designed to measure the severity of a number of depressive symptoms such as insomnia, depressed mood, concentration, ability...
Clinical Trials. We initiated two Phase 3 trials in the United States in September 2004 (Study 07) and October 2004 (Study 06) and an additional Phase 3 trial in Eastern Europe in the second quarter of 2005 (Study 09) to evaluate the safety and efficacy of CORLUX. These three studies have been completed. The details of the results of these trials are discussed below. Prior to initiating these trials, we completed the following four clinical trials with CORLUX for the treatment of psychotic features of psychotic depression:

- In 2001, we completed our first trial, an open label dose finding clinical trial with 30 patients evaluating the efficacy, tolerability and dose response of CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression. After one week of treatment, approximately two-thirds of the patients in the two higher dosage groups experienced clinically meaningful reductions in psychosis, as measured by the BPRS PSS. A clinically meaningful reduction in psychosis represents a reduction of symptoms that are readily recognizable by patients and physicians. Later in 2001, we initiated two clinical trials designed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression. The two trials, which we call Study 02 and Study 03, were double-blind, placebo-controlled safety and efficacy studies.

- Study 02, in which 208 patients were enrolled, showed that CORLUX was well tolerated and that there were no discernable problems with drug interactions between CORLUX and commonly prescribed antipsychotic and antidepressant medications.

- Study 03, in which 221 patients were enrolled, demonstrated with statistical significance that patients in the CORLUX group were more likely to achieve a rapid and sustained reduction in psychotic symptoms than patients in the control group, as measured by a 30% reduction in the BPRS at 7 days sustained to 28 days (p value = 0.01) and a 50% reduction in the BPRS PSS at 7 days sustained to 28 days (p value = 0.01). The term “p value” is a statistical term that indicates the probability that an observed result is random. A p value of 0.05 or less is considered statistically significant. All p values for Study 03 are based on an observed cases, per protocol analysis, which takes into account only those patients who received at least 6 doses of study medication, had the BPRS assessed at day 0 and day 7 and had no major violations of the inclusion/exclusion criteria or other protocol specified criteria.

- In our fourth trial, we evaluated the safety of retreatment in patients with a favorable response to treatment in Study 02 and Study 03, and our analysis indicates that patients tolerated their retreatment well.

Dose Finding Study. In January 2001, we concluded our first study, which was an open-label study designed to measure clinically meaningful reductions in the psychiatric rating scales. The 33 patients with psychotic depression enrolled in the study were randomly assigned to receive daily doses of 50 mg, 600 mg, or 1200 mg of CORLUX orally for 7 days. There was no placebo control group. After 7 days of treatment, clinically meaningful reductions in the psychiatric rating scales were observed for patients in the 600 mg and 1200 mg treatment groups, as summarized below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>50 mg Dose Group</th>
<th>600 mg Dose Group</th>
<th>1200 mg Dose Group</th>
<th>600 mg and 1200 mg Dose Groups Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30% or greater reduction in BPRS</td>
<td>4/11 (36%)</td>
<td>7/10 (70%)</td>
<td>6/9 (67%)</td>
<td>13/19 (68%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% or greater reduction in positive symptom subscale of BPRS</td>
<td>3/11 (27%)</td>
<td>6/10 (60%)</td>
<td>6/9 (67%)</td>
<td>12/19 (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% or greater reduction in Ham-D scale</td>
<td>2/11 (18%)</td>
<td>5/10 (50%)</td>
<td>3/9 (33%)</td>
<td>8/19 (42%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results were similar in the 600 mg and 1200 mg dose groups, but there was an apparent dose-response relationship when the results of the 50 mg group were compared to the two higher dose groups. Sixty-eight
percent of patients in the higher dose groups (600 mg and 1200 mg combined) had a clinically meaningful 30% or greater reduction in the BPRS, compared to 36% in the 50 mg group. The items in the BPRS that are most specific to psychotic depression are contained in the BPRS PSS. Every patient with psychotic depression experiences one or more of these subscale symptoms. More than 60% of patients in the higher dosage groups had a 50% or greater reduction in the BPRS PSS within one week of treatment. Each of the reductions in the psychiatric rating scales that the study measured is a clinically meaningful reduction in symptoms that would be readily recognized by patients, family members, physicians and hospital staff. None of the patients in the trial experienced clinically consequential side effects and none dropped out of the trial due to side effects.

**Double-blind Clinical Trials.** In June and July 2001, we initiated two double-blind, randomized clinical trials, Study 02 and Study 03, each of which was designed to enroll 200 patients and to evaluate the safety and efficacy of CORLUX in patients with psychotic depression. In each study, patients received either CORLUX or placebo. Both studies were designed and powered to test the hypothesis that the group of patients treated with CORLUX would be superior to the control group in achieving a rapid (within 7 days) and sustained (to 28 days) reduction in their BPRS score of at least 30%.

The two studies were identical in design except for one of the key entry criteria. Patients enrolled in Study 02 were allowed to receive any antipsychotic or antidepressant medications deemed appropriate by their treating physicians prior to entry into the study and throughout the week of administration of the study drugs, CORLUX or placebo. Therefore, in Study 02, patients received their usual treatment plus CORLUX or placebo. In Study 03, patients were not allowed to receive any antipsychotic or antidepressant medication for at least 7 days prior to administration of the study drug or during the week of study drug administration. All patients enrolled in the studies were treated in the hospital. After day 7, while the studies remained blinded, each treating physician was allowed to add any additional treatment, including ECT or antipsychotic, antidepressant or other psychotropic medications.

**Study 02**

The results of Study 02 indicated that CORLUX was well tolerated and that there were no discernable problems with clinical drug interactions when CORLUX was taken in combination with other antipsychotic or antidepressant medications. The median number of psychotropic medications that patients in Study 02 were receiving in addition to CORLUX was four. Although patients in the usual treatment plus CORLUX group more frequently achieved the study’s primary endpoint, a rapid and sustained reduction in psychotic symptoms as measured by a 30% decline in the BPRS at Day 7 sustained to Day 28, than did patients in the usual treatment plus placebo group, the difference between the groups was not statistically significant. The study did demonstrate with statistical significance (p value = 0.02) that the usual treatment plus placebo group required ECT or more antipsychotic medication between Day 7 and Day 28 and was less likely to be discharged from the hospital during the week of dosing (p value = 0.05) relative to the usual treatment plus CORLUX group. Post-hoc analysis of Study 02 data further revealed that patients in the usual treatment plus CORLUX group were more likely than patients in the usual treatment plus placebo group to achieve a rapid and sustained asymptomatic condition, as measured by a BPRS score of 25 or less. Although the number of patients achieving this result was small, the difference between the usual treatment plus CORLUX group and the usual treatment plus placebo group was statistically significant (p value = 0.01). All p values for Study 02 are based on an intent-to-treat analysis, which takes into account patients in the trial who received at least one dose of study medication.

**Study 03**

The results of Study 03 indicated that CORLUX was well tolerated as demonstrated by the finding that there was no statistically significant difference in adverse events observed between the CORLUX group and the placebo group. Study 03 also demonstrated with statistical significance (p value = 0.01) that patients who received CORLUX were more likely than patients who received placebo to achieve a rapid and sustained reduction in psychosis as measured by the study’s original primary endpoint, a 30% reduction in the BPRS at
Day 7 sustained to Day 28. Study 03 also showed with statistical significance (p value = 0.01) that patients in the CORLUX group were more likely than patients in the placebo group to achieve a 50% reduction in the BPRS PSS at Day 7 sustained to Day 28. In addition, patients in the placebo group were more likely than patients in the CORLUX group to receive antipsychotic medication between Day 7 and Day 28, although this difference was not statistically significant. All p values for Study 03 are based on an observed cases, per protocol analysis, which takes into account only those patients who received at least 6 doses of study medication, had the BPRS assessed at Day 0 and Day 7 and had no major violations of the inclusion/exclusion criteria or other protocol specified criteria.

At the request of the FDA, we followed the last third of patients enrolled in this trial to Day 56. Of those patients who exhibited at least mild psychotic symptoms on Day 0 (score ≥ 12 on the BPRS PSS), Study 03 showed with statistical significance that patients receiving CORLUX were more likely than patients receiving placebo to achieve a 50% reduction in the BPRS PSS at Day 7 sustained to Day 56 (p value = 0.03).

We indicated to the FDA shortly before the study concluded that we would use as our primary endpoint for the study the number of patients who became asymptomatic at the end of one week as measured by the BPRS, a differentiating characteristic that we had noted in post-hoc Study 02 analysis. In Study 03, as in Study 02, only a small number of patients became asymptomatic at the end of one week and, in Study 03, there was no statistically significant difference between the CORLUX and placebo groups.

Of the approximately 480 patients who were enrolled in these completed Phase 2 studies, over 240 individuals were treated with CORLUX. The drug seemed to be well tolerated by these patients, with a low incidence of adverse events. In Studies 02 and 03, the most commonly reported adverse events were headache, dizziness, nausea and sedation. The incidence of these adverse events was similar in the control and CORLUX groups. In Study 02, rash was the only adverse event where there was a statistically significant difference (p value = 0.05) between groups: 4% occurrence in the CORLUX group compared to no occurrences in the control group. In Study 03, there was no statistically significant difference in the occurrence of any adverse event.

We have also conducted a small open label study to evaluate the safety of retreatment in patients who had a favorable response to treatment in Study 02 and Study 03. Twenty-eight patients completed the study. Our analysis indicates that patients tolerated their retreatment well.

Phase 3 Clinical Trials. We have completed three randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase 3 clinical trials to further assess the safety and efficacy of CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression. Two of these trials (Study 06 and Study 07) were conducted primarily in the United States. The third trial (Study 09) was conducted in Eastern Europe. The design of all three trials was based on the design of Study 03, described above.

The primary endpoint for Study 06 and Study 07 was the proportion of patients with at least a 50% improvement in the BPRS PSS at both Day 7 and Day 56. This type of endpoint is known as a categorical endpoint. Patients must have had at least mild psychotic symptoms (BPRS PSS ≥ 12) to enter the studies and were hospitalized if clinically necessary. BPRS PSS assessments were also be made at Days 14, 28 and 42. The primary endpoint for Study 09 was the proportion of patients with at least a 50% improvement in the BPRS PSS at both Day 7 and Day 28. A secondary endpoint of Study 09 was the same as the primary endpoint for Study 06 and Study 07.

Study 07

The first of these trials, Study 07, which began in September 2004, enrolled 257 patients at 25 sites in the United States and Europe with a randomized one-to-one distribution into either a treatment or a placebo arm. Patients in the treatment arm received 600 mg of CORLUX once daily for a period of seven days. Patients did not take any antidepressant or antipsychotic medication for at least one week before beginning the seven day
treatment period. After the seven days of CORLUX treatment, all patients received antidepressant therapy through Day 56. Treatment with antipsychotic medications or electroconvulsive therapy was not allowed at any time during the study.

In August 2006 we announced the results of Study 07. In this study 30.5% of the patients receiving CORLUX and 28.6% of the patients receiving placebo met the primary endpoint. This was not a statistically significant difference in response rate. The two key secondary endpoints of Study 07 also failed to achieve statistical significance. There was an unusually high placebo response rate in this trial. At Day 56, for example, approximately 80% of the patients in both of the arms of the study were responders as measured by a 50% improvement in BPRS PSS score.

Even though Study 07 did not meet its primary endpoint, an analysis of the data from this clinical trial revealed some items of interest that helped us to determine the direction for the continued development of CORLUX for treating psychotic depression including the fact that patients with higher plasma levels of CORLUX separated from patients who took placebo with statistical significance.

An item of interest in Study 07 was a statistically significant site by treatment effect. A site by treatment analysis is conducted for all clinical trials to know if the results seen at one site are generalizable to patients seen at another site. A statistically significant site by treatment effect indicates that the effect of treatment with a drug is not uniform at the various clinical sites participating in the clinical trial. One site may have a large difference in the response rate favoring the drug group and another site may have a large difference in the response rate favoring the comparator group. When a site by treatment interaction is statistically significant, it is not possible to know which sites represent the true activity of the drug.

Another interesting observation from Study 07 was that patient enrollment did not have an even pace. 150 patients were enrolled in the first 480 days of the study (September 2004 through December 2005) and 107 patients in the last 120 days. An analysis of the results of the first 150 patients revealed a statistically significant difference on the primary endpoint favoring patients who took CORLUX compared to those who did not. Most of the clinical sites enrolling patients during this time had participated in the conduct of Study 02 and Study 03.

The sites that had enrolled the first 150 patients continued enrolling patients until the trial was fully enrolled at the end of April 2006. By the end of the study this group of sites had enrolled a total of 215 patients, approximately the same total number of patients enrolled in Study 03. The primary endpoint was also met with statistical significance with these 215 patients. After January 1, 2006, in order to increase the speed of enrollment we added eight additional sites. These sites had not participated previously in clinical trials sponsored by Corcept. The eight sites that joined the trial in 2006 enrolled a total of 42 patients. In this group of 42 patients, those who took placebo had a substantially higher response rate on the primary endpoint than those who took CORLUX. The disparate outcome between the group of 215 patients and the group of 42 patients resulted in a statistically significant site by treatment effect.

An important teaching from Study 07 derives from an analysis of the relationship between the concentration of CORLUX in patients’ blood on Day 7 and the likelihood that patients meet the response criteria of the primary endpoint. Patients with CORLUX plasma levels higher than 1650 nanograms per milliliter had statistically significant greater response rates observed than did patients who received placebo.

Study 09

Study 09 was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in which 247 patients were enrolled at 17 sites. The primary endpoint, a responder analysis, was the proportion of patients with at least a 50% improvement in the BPRS PSS score at both Day 7 and Day 28. We announced the results of this study in September 2006. The study revealed no meaningful separation in response between patients receiving CORLUX and patients receiving placebo on the primary endpoint. The two key secondary endpoints of Study 09 also failed.
to achieve statistical significance. Study 07 had an extremely high placebo response rate; the magnitude of the placebo response rate in Study 09 was unprecedented. At Day 56, for example, approximately 95% of the patients in both of the arms of the study were responders as measured by a 50 percent improvement in BPRS PSS score. Although not the primary or a key secondary endpoint, it is interesting to note that there was a statistically significant separation between the CORLUX group and the comparator group on their change from baseline to Day 56 on the BPRS PSS scale. Change from baseline to study end is an endpoint commonly used to measure the efficacy of antipsychotic and antidepressant medications. However, because of the already high degree of response in the comparator group, it is difficult to determine how much additional clinical utility is conferred by this finding. We do not expect to be able to use Study 09 as one of the two positive efficacy trials required by the FDA for a fileable NDA.

**Study 06**

Study 06, which began in October 2004, enrolled 443 patients at 45 sites in the United States and Europe. These patients were evenly distributed among three active dose groups (300 mg, 600 mg and 1200 mg) and a placebo group, with patients receiving once daily dosing for a period of seven days. The three dosing levels respond to the FDA’s request to supplement data on a range of doses to augment the data provided by our open label dose ranging study completed in 2001. Patients in the study did not take any antidepressant and antipsychotic medication for at least one week before the seven day treatment period and received antidepressant therapy starting on Day 1 through Day 56. As with Study 07, treatment with antipsychotic medications or electroconvulsive therapy was not allowed at any time during this study.

We reported the initial results of this trial in March 2007. These results indicated that this study did not achieve statistical significance with respect to the primary endpoint. However, there was a statistically significant correlation between plasma levels and clinical outcome achieved during treatment. Patients whose plasma levels rose above a predetermined threshold statistically separated from both those patients whose plasma levels were below the threshold and those patients who received placebo. In particular, those patients in Study 06 who achieved a predetermined level of 1661 nanograms of CORLUX per milliliter of plasma separated from the placebo group with statistical significance. At substantially lower plasma levels, there was no distinguishable difference in response rates between patients who received CORLUX and those receiving placebo. This study confirms our previous similar finding in Study 07 that at higher plasma levels the drug candidate is able to demonstrate desired clinical effects. Further, the incidence of serious adverse events did not differ between placebo and any of the three CORLUX dose groups.

**Fourth Phase 3 trial – Study 14**

We believe that the confirmation of a correlation between drug concentration and clinical response, as well as other observations from Study 06 and our two other recently completed Phase 3 clinical trials, serves as a strong basis for our next Phase 3 study which commenced in March 2008. The protocol for this trial incorporates the learnings from the three completed trials that address the established relationship between increased drug plasma levels and clinical response and attempts to decrease the random variability observed in the results of the psychometric instruments used to measure efficacy. We have met with the FDA to discuss and seek their input concerning the design of this trial. In this trial we will use a CORLUX dose of 1200 mg once per day for seven days because, as expected, at this dose more patients achieved the predetermined plasma concentration in Study 06. In Study 06, 80% of the patients who took 1200 mg of CORLUX achieved a drug plasma level sufficient to separate responders from non-responders. We believe that this change in dose as well as other modifications to the protocol should allow us to determine the efficacy of CORLUX in the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression. In addition, in our initial review of a summary of the safety data, we have seen no difference between any of the dose levels used in Study 06.

Given the serious nature of psychotic depression, the lack of any approved drugs for the disorder and the data from our first clinical trial, the FDA has granted a fast track designation for CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression. In addition, the FDA has indicated that CORLUX will receive a
priority review if no other treatment is approved for psychotic depression at the time we submit our New Drug Application, or NDA.

Additional Non-Efficacy Trials and Pre-clinical Studies. In support of an eventual NDA submission, we plan to conduct additional clinical trials to assess the safety of retreatment of patients with CORLUX. We also plan to conduct several small trials to evaluate how the drug acts on the human body, how the human body acts on the drug and the drug’s safety. In addition to our clinical trials, we have completed a standard 12-month toxicology study in the dog and a carcinogenicity study in the rat. A second carcinogenicity study in the mouse is underway. These studies are designed to meet FDA requirements and the guidelines of an international regulatory body called the International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use.

Clinical Trial Agreements. Many of our Phase 3 clinical trials are conducted through the use of clinical research organizations (CROs.) At our request, these organizations oversee clinical trials at various institutions to test the safety and efficacy of our product candidates for the targeted indications. The preparation for our fourth Phase 3 clinical trial, Study 14, evaluating CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression is being conducted under a Letter of Intent with ICON Clinical Research, LP (ICON) and we are in negotiations with ICON regarding an agreement for the conduct of the full trial activities. In addition, we entered into an agreement with MedAvante, Inc., effective March 17, 2008, to provide centralized psychiatric rating services of patients to be screened and enrolled in Study 14. This agreement may be terminated by Corcept with 30 days notice to MedAvante.

The previous three Phase 3 trials for CORLUX for this indication were conducted under clinical development agreements with other CROs that will be responsible for the completion of final reporting under these trials.

GR-II Antagonist Platform

We have assembled a patent portfolio covering the treatment of psychiatric and metabolic disorders that may benefit from drugs that block the GR-II receptor. In addition to psychotic depression, we own or have exclusively licensed issued patents for the use of GR-II antagonists for the prevention and treatment of stress disorders, for increasing the therapeutic response to ECT and for the treatment of:

- weight gain following treatment with antipsychotic medication,
- early dementia, including early Alzheimer’s disease;
- mild cognitive impairment;
- gastroesophageal reflux disease;
- cognitive deterioration in adult’s with Down’s Syndrome;
- delirium; and
- psychosis associated with cocaine addiction.

We believe that cortisol plays a role in a variety of other diseases. We have eight pending U.S. method of use patent applications covering GR-II antagonists for the treatment of various diseases.

Clinical Trials in Other Psychiatric and Metabolic Disorders.

Cushing’s Syndrome. In July 2007, the FDA granted Orphan Drug Designation for CORLUX for the treatment of Cushing’s Syndrome—a disorder caused by prolonged exposure of the body’s tissues to high levels of the hormone cortisol. Sometimes called “hypercortisolism,” it is relatively rare. An estimated 10 to 15 of every one million people are affected each year. It most commonly affects adults aged 20 to 50.
Orphan Drug Designation is a special status granted by the FDA to encourage the development of treatments for diseases or conditions that affect fewer than 200,000 patients in the United States. “Orphan” drugs obtain seven years of marketing exclusivity from the date of approval, as well as tax credits for clinical trial costs, marketing application filing fee waivers and assistance from the FDA in the drug development process.

In September 2007, the Investigational New Drug application (IND) for the evaluation of CORLUX for the treatment of Cushing’s Syndrome was opened. The FDA has indicated that a single 50-patient open label study defined by the protocol submitted with the application for the IND may provide a reasonable basis for the submission of an NDA for this indication. This trial was opened for enrollment late in December of 2007.

Antipsychotic-induced Weight Gain Mitigation. In June 2007, we announced top-line results of our proof-of-concept study evaluating the ability of CORLUX to mitigate weight gain associated with the administration of olanzapine. The results indicated a statistically significant reduction in weight gain in those subjects who took olanzapine plus CORLUX compared to those who took olanzapine alone. The purpose of this study was to explore the hypothesis that GR-II antagonists would mitigate weight gain associated with atypical antipsychotic medications, such as olanzapine, risperidone, clozapine and quetiapine, which are widely used to treat schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. All medications in this group are associated with treatment emergent weight gain of varying degrees and carry a warning label relating to treatment emergent hyperglycemia and diabetes mellitus. Eli Lilly provided olanzapine and financial support for this study.

In this study, 57 lean, healthy men (body mass index of 25 or less) were randomized to receive either olanzapine plus placebo (n=22), olanzapine plus CORLUX (n=24) or CORLUX plus placebo (n=11). This study took place in an institutional setting where daily weights were recorded and a range of metabolic parameters were measured. In the two week study, subjects in the olanzapine alone group gained an average of 2.5 pounds more than subjects in the olanzapine plus CORLUX group and 2.2 pounds more than subjects in the CORLUX alone group, which are highly statistically significant differences (p<.001). The difference in weight gain trajectory was apparent in the first days of the study, reaching statistical significance during the first week. The increase in waist circumference in subjects who received olanzapine alone was also significantly greater than subjects who received olanzapine plus CORLUX (p<.01). The study was not designed to have statistical power to detect significant effects or metabolic measures, however, notable non-statistically significant group differences were observed. Compared to patients taking olanzapine plus CORLUX, patients taking olanzapine plus placebo experienced greater increases form baseline to end of study in both triglycerides and fasting insulin. No unexpected study drug related adverse events were observed.

The combination of olanzapine and CORLUX is not approved for any indication. The purpose of this study was to explore the hypothesis that GR-II antagonists would mitigate weight gain associated with atypical antipsychotic medications. The group of medications known as atypical antipsychotics, including olanzapine, risperidone, clozapine and quetiapine, are widely used to treat schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. All medications in this group are associated with treatment emergent weight gain of varying degrees and carry a warning label relating to treatment emergent hyperglycemia and diabetes mellitus.

In April 2005, we announced results from two preclinical studies conducted in a rat model of olanzapine-induced weight gain. These studies demonstrated that CORLUX’s GR-II antagonist action has the potential to both reduce the weight gain associated with olanzapine and to prevent the weight gain associated with the initiation of treatment with olanzapine.

Discovery Research

In early 2003, we initiated a discovery research program to identify and patent more selective GR-II antagonists in order to develop a pipeline of products for proprietary use. Our discovery chemistry was conducted at a contract research organization in the United Kingdom. Through the research program, we identified and filed patent applications for three distinct series of GR-II antagonists. These compounds appear to be as potent as
Corcept’s lead product CORLUX in blocking cortisol but, unlike CORLUX, they do not appear to block the progesterone or other steroid receptors. Currently we are evaluating one compound identified under our research program, which develops particularly high plasma and brain concentrations in an animal model, in a human microdosing study using Xceleron’s Accelerator Mass Spectrometry technology under an agreement signed in July 2007.

Medical Education and Commercialization

We intend to develop our own medical education and commercialization infrastructure in the United States for CORLUX because we believe that the initial market for psychotic depression in the United States is highly concentrated and accessible. We anticipate that this will include hiring a small, experienced field sales force of up to approximately 35 people. We intend to focus initially on patients who are candidates for ECT by marketing to hospitals and psychiatrists that perform ECT. We estimate that there are approximately 900 hospitals with more than 30 in-patient psychiatric beds. Of these, we estimate that approximately 300 offer ECT. We believe that approximately 1000 psychiatrists administer most ECT procedures. Subsequently, we also intend to expand our commercialization efforts to address the larger set of patients with psychotic depression currently undergoing combination drug therapy, which would require an increase in the size of our initial sales force.

We believe that a significant opportunity exists to further expand the market for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression beyond patients currently treated by ECT and combination drug therapy. A large portion of the people who suffer from psychotic depression remain unrecognized and undertreated. We intend to develop medical educational programs to alert the medical community about early diagnosis of psychotic depression and increase awareness regarding CORLUX.

We are planning for the commercialization of CORLUX. To achieve commercial success for any approved product, we must either develop a sales and marketing force or enter into arrangements with others to market and sell our products.

Manufacturing

As a drug development entity, we intend to continue to utilize our financial resources to complete the development of CORLUX and advance other product candidates rather than diverting resources to establishing our own manufacturing facilities.

We intend to continue to rely on experienced contract manufacturers to produce our product candidates. We have entered into manufacturing agreements with two contract manufacturers, Produits Chimiques Auxiliaires et de Synthese SA (PCAS) and ScinoPharm Taiwan (ScinoPharm), to produce the active pharmaceutical ingredient, or API, for CORLUX. The agreement with PCAS is for an initial period of five years with an automatic extension for one additional year unless either party gives twelve month’s prior notice that it does not want the extension. There is no guaranteed minimum purchase commitment under this agreement. If PCAS is unable to manufacture the product for a consecutive six-month period, we have the right to terminate the agreement. The agreement with ScinoPharm obligates us to purchase at least $1,000,000 of bulk mifepristone per year following the commercial launch of CORLUX. This agreement is terminable by either party at any time. We have also entered into an agreement with another contract manufacturer, PharmaForm, L.L.C., for the production of CORLUX tablets for use in clinical activities. In the event we are unable, for whatever reason, to obtain mifepristone or CORLUX from our contract manufacturers, we may not be able to identify alternate manufacturers able to meet our needs on commercially reasonable terms and in a timely manner, or at all. To date, our need for CORLUX tablets has been limited to the amounts required to support our clinical trials.

Competition

If approved for commercial use as a treatment for the psychotic features of psychotic depression, CORLUX will compete with established treatments, including ECT and combination drug therapy.
ECT has been shown to be the most effective treatment for psychotic depression, but it carries the risks of general anesthesia, potential memory loss and other adverse effects as well as the stigma associated with the procedure. Use of CORLUX does not require anesthesia and, in our clinical trials conducted to date, patients treated with CORLUX have not exhibited the adverse effects associated with ECT.

Other competitors include companies that market antipsychotic drugs that are used off-label as part of combination drug therapy for psychotic depression. To reduce the psychotic features of psychotic depression, these drugs generally are taken in combination with antidepressant medication over a period of weeks to several months. Unlike the use of CORLUX, this extended course of treatment may put patients at risk of significant adverse side effects, including weight gain, diabetes, sedation, permanent movement disorders and sexual dysfunction. Antipsychotics include Bristol-Myers Squibb’s Abilify, Novartis’ Clozaril, Pfizer’s Geodon and Navane, Ortho-McNeil’s Haldol, Janssen Pharmaceutica’s Risperdal, AstraZeneca’s Seroquel, GlaxoSmithKline’s Stelazine and Thorazine, Mylan’s Mellaril, Schering Corporation’s Trilafon and Eli Lilly’s Zyprexa.

We are aware of one clinical trial that has taken place, conducted by the pharmaceutical division of Akzo Nobel, for a new chemical entity for the treatment of psychotic depression. This new medicine is a GR-II antagonist, the commercial use of which would be covered by our patent. In 2004, Akzo Nobel filed an observation in our exclusively licensed European patent application with claims directed to psychotic depression, in which Akzo Nobel challenged the claims of that patent application. In 2005, we filed a rebuttal to Akzo Nobel’s observation. In February 2006, the European Patent Office, or EPO, allowed our patent application. In July 2006, the patent was issued. We are not aware of any public disclosures by any company, other than Akzo Nobel, regarding the development of new medicinal products to treat psychotic depression. However, other companies may be developing new drug products to treat psychotic depression and the other conditions we are exploring. Our present and potential competitors include major pharmaceutical companies, as well as specialized pharmaceutical firms. Most of our competitors have considerably greater financial, technical and marketing resources than we do. We expect competition to intensify as technical advances are made.

We are aware that Laboratoire HRA Pharma has received an Orphan Drug Designation in the United States and Europe for the use of mifepristone to treat a subtype of Cushing’s Syndrome and has begun a clinical trial in Europe and the United States. If this product is approved for commercialization before CORLUX, our potential future revenue could be reduced if there is off-label use of mifepristone for psychotic depression or for Cushing’s Syndrome that cannot be protected by our intellectual property.

Many colleges, universities and public and private research organizations are also active in the human health care field. While these entities focus on education, they may develop or acquire proprietary technology that we may require for the development of our product candidates. We may attempt to obtain licenses to this proprietary technology.

Our ability to compete successfully will be based on our ability to develop proprietary products, attract and retain scientific personnel, obtain patent or other protection for our product candidates, obtain required regulatory approvals and manufacture and successfully market our future products either alone or through outside parties.

**Intellectual Property**

Patents and other proprietary rights are important to our business. It is our policy to seek patent protection for our inventions, and to rely upon trade secrets, know-how, continuing technological innovations and licensing opportunities to develop and maintain our competitive position.
Under an agreement with Stanford University, we have licensed exclusive rights to the following issued U.S. patents and any corresponding foreign patents:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. Patent Number</th>
<th>Subject Matter</th>
<th>Expiration Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Pat. No. 6,150,349</td>
<td>Use of GR-II antagonists in the treatment of psychotic major depression</td>
<td>October 5, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Pat. No. 6,362,173</td>
<td>Use of GR-II antagonists in the treatment of cocaine-induced psychosis</td>
<td>October 5, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Pat. No. 6,369,046</td>
<td>Use of GR-II antagonists in the treatment of early dementia</td>
<td>February 4, 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We are required to make milestone payments and pay royalties to Stanford University on sales of products commercialized under any of the above patents. We are currently in compliance with our obligations under the agreement. If Stanford University were to terminate any of our exclusive licenses due to breach of the license on our part, we would not be able to commercialize CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression, cocaine-induced psychosis or early dementia.

We also own issued U.S. patents for the use of GR-II antagonists in the treatment of mild cognitive impairment, for the treatment of weight gain following treatment with antipsychotic medication, for the prevention and treatment of stress disorders, for the treatment of delirium, the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease, for inhibiting cognitive deterioration in adults with Down’s Syndrome and for increasing the therapeutic response to ECT. In addition, we have three U.S. composition of matter patent applications covering specific GR-II antagonists and eight U.S. method of use patent applications covering certain GR-II antagonists, including the treatment of:

- catatonia;
- neurological damage in premature infants;
- migraine, headache;
- postpartum psychosis, and
- psychosis associated with interferon-alpha therapy.

We are also considering, where appropriate, the filing of foreign patent applications corresponding to our U.S. patent applications.

However, we cannot assure you that any of our patent applications will result in the issuance of patents, that any issued patent will include claims of the breadth sought in these applications or that competitors will not successfully challenge or circumvent our patents if they are issued.

Although three of our patent applications have claims directed to the composition of compounds that are necessary to make our potential products, none of our issued patents have such claims. Specifically, we do not have a patent with claims directed to the composition of mifepristone. Our rights under our issued patents cover only the use of GR-II antagonists, including mifepristone, in the treatment of specific diseases.

The patent covering the product mifepristone has expired. The only FDA-approved use of mifepristone is to terminate pregnancy. The FDA has imposed significant restrictions on the use of mifepristone to terminate pregnancy and may impose similar restrictions on CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression. We plan to rely on (1) the scope of our use patent, (2) the restrictions imposed by the FDA on the use of mifepristone to terminate pregnancy and (3) the different patient populations, administering physicians and treatment settings between the use of mifepristone to terminate pregnancy and to treat psychotic depression.
The patent positions of companies in the pharmaceutical industry are highly uncertain, involve complex legal and factual questions and have been and continue to be the subject of much litigation. Our product candidates may give rise to claims that we infringe on the products or proprietary rights of others. If it is determined that our drug candidates infringe on others’ patent rights, we may be required to obtain licenses to those rights. If we fail to obtain licenses when necessary, we may experience delays in commercializing our product candidates while attempting to design around other patents, or determine that we are unable to commercialize our product candidates at all. If we do become involved in intellectual property litigation, we are likely to incur considerable costs in defending or prosecuting the litigation. We believe that we do not currently infringe any third party’s patents or other proprietary rights, and we are not obligated to pay royalties to any third party other than Stanford University.

In November 2003, McLean Hospital had alleged that it also had rights to the technology that led to the patent for the use of GR-II antagonists to treat the psychotic features of psychotic depression. McLean Hospital was a prior employer of one of our founders, Dr. Alan Schatzberg and it alleged that the invention of the technology underlying this patent was conceived by Dr. Schatzberg and/or Dr. Anthony Rothschild while the two were employed by McLean Hospital. We contended that the invention was actually conceived by Dr. Schatzberg and Dr. Joseph Belanoff while they were employed by Stanford University and that the patent was appropriately assigned by them to Stanford University. In October 2004, we announced a resolution of this issue in which we retained our exclusive rights under the patent and which required us to make no additional payments under the license, regardless of the resolution of the impending inventorship dispute. In January 2005, the inventorship issue was resolved in favor of Stanford University.

As discussed above under “Competition,” in 2004 Akzo Nobel filed an observation to the grant of our exclusively licensed European patent application with claims directed to psychotic depression. In February 2006, the EPO allowed our patent application. We are not aware of any other disputes related to patent issues.

License Agreement

Under our exclusive license agreement with Stanford University to patents covering the use of CORLUX to treat the psychotic features of psychotic depression and for the treatment of early dementia, we are required to pay Stanford $50,000 annually as a nonrefundable royalty payment. This payment is creditable against future royalties. We are also obligated to pay Stanford a $50,000 milestone upon the filing of the new drug application, or NDA, for CORLUX for the treatment of psychotic depression and a further $200,000 milestone payment upon FDA approval of CORLUX. The milestone payments are also creditable against future royalties. This license agreement expires upon expiration of the related patents or upon notification by us to Stanford.

Government Regulation

Prescription pharmaceutical products are subject to extensive pre- and post-market regulation, including regulations that govern the testing, manufacturing, safety, efficacy, labeling, storage, record keeping, advertising, and promotion of the products under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act. All of our product candidates will require regulatory approval by government agencies prior to commercialization. The process required by the FDA before a new drug may be marketed in the United States generally involves the following: completion of preclinical laboratory and animal testing; submission of an investigational new drug application, or IND, which must become effective before clinical trials may begin; performance of adequate and well controlled human clinical trials to establish the safety and efficacy of the proposed drug or biologic’s intended use; and, in the case of a new drug, approval by the FDA of an NDA. The process of complying with these and other federal and state statutes and regulations in order to obtain the necessary approvals and subsequently complying with federal and state statutes and regulations involves significant time and expense.

Preclinical studies are generally conducted in laboratory animals to evaluate the potential safety and the efficacy of a product. Drug developers submit the results of preclinical studies to the FDA as a part of an IND,
which must be approved before beginning clinical trials in humans. Typically, human clinical trials are conducted in three sequential phases that may overlap.

- **Phase 1.** Clinical trials are conducted with a small number of subjects to determine the early safety profile, maximum tolerated dose and pharmacokinetics of the product candidate in human volunteers.
- **Phase 2.** Clinical trials are conducted with groups of patients afflicted with a specific disease to determine preliminary efficacy, optimal dosages and expanded evidence of safety.
- **Phase 3.** Large-scale, multi-center, comparative trials are conducted with patients afflicted with a target disease to establish the overall risk/benefit ratio of the drug and to provide enough data to demonstrate with substantial evidence the efficacy and safety of the product, as required by the FDA.

The FDA and the Institutional Review Boards closely monitor the progress of each of the three phases of clinical trials that are conducted in the United States and may reevaluate, alter, suspend or terminate the testing at any time for various reasons, including a belief that the subjects are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk. The FDA may also require that additional studies be conducted, such as studies demonstrating that the drug being tested does not cause cancer.

After Phase 3 trials are completed, drug developers submit the results of preclinical studies, clinical trials, formulation studies and data supporting manufacturing to the FDA in the form of an NDA for approval to commence commercial sales. The FDA reviews all NDAs submitted before it accepts them for filing. The FDA may request additional information rather than accept an NDA for filing. If the FDA accepts an NDA for filing, they may grant marketing approval, request additional information or deny the application if it determines that the application does not meet regulatory approval criteria. FDA approvals may not be granted on a timely basis, or at all.

If the FDA approves an NDA, the subject drug becomes available for physicians to prescribe in the United States. Once approved, the FDA may withdraw the product approval if compliance with pre- and post-market regulatory standards is not maintained. The drug developer must submit periodic reports to the FDA. Adverse experiences with the product must be reported to the FDA and could result in the imposition of marketing restrictions through labeling changes or product removal. Product approvals may be withdrawn if problems with safety or efficacy occur after the product reaches the marketplace. In addition, the FDA may require post-marketing studies, referred to as Phase 4 studies, to monitor the effect of approved products, and may limit further marketing of the product based on the results of these post-market studies.

Facilities used to manufacture drugs are subject to periodic inspection by the FDA and other authorities where applicable, and must comply with current Good Manufacturing Practices regulations, or cGMP. Failure to comply with the statutory and regulatory requirements subjects the manufacturer to possible legal or regulatory action, such as suspension of manufacturing, seizure of product or voluntary recall of a product.

With respect to post-market product advertising and promotion, the FDA imposes a number of complex regulations on entities that advertise and promote pharmaceuticals, which include, among others, standards and regulations for direct-to-consumer advertising, off-label promotion, industry sponsored scientific and educational activities, and promotional activities involving the Internet. The FDA has very broad enforcement authority under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, and failure to abide by these regulations can result in penalties including the issuance of a warning letter directing a company to correct deviations from FDA standards, a requirement that future advertising and promotional materials be pre-cleared by the FDA, and state and federal civil and criminal investigations and prosecutions.

In addition to studies requested by the FDA after approval, a drug developer may conduct other trials and studies to explore use of the approved compound for treatment of new indications. The purpose of these trials and studies and related publications is to broaden the application and use of the drug and its acceptance in the medical community. Data supporting the use of a drug for these new indications must be submitted to the FDA in a new or supplemental NDA that must be approved by the FDA before the drug can be marketed for the new indications.
Approvals outside the United States. We have not started the regulatory approval process in any jurisdiction other than the United States and we are unable to estimate when, if ever, we will commence the regulatory approval process in any foreign jurisdiction. We will have to complete an approval process similar to the U.S. approval process in foreign target markets for our product candidates before we can commercialize our product candidates in those countries. The approval procedure and the time required for approval vary from country to country and can involve additional testing. Foreign approvals may not be granted on a timely basis, or at all. Regulatory approval of prices is required in most countries other than the United States. The prices approved may be too low to generate an acceptable return to us.

Fast Track Designation. The FDA sometimes grants “fast track” status under the Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act of 1997. The fast track mechanism was created to facilitate the development and approval of new drugs intended for the treatment of life-threatening conditions for which there are no effective treatments and which demonstrate the potential to address unmet medical needs for the condition. The fast track process includes scheduling of meetings to seek FDA input into development plans, the option of submitting an NDA serially in sections rather than submitting all components simultaneously, the option to request evaluation of studies using surrogate endpoints, and the potential for a priority review.

We have been granted fast track status for CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression. However, the fast track designation may be withdrawn by the FDA at any time. The fast track designation does not guarantee that we will qualify for or be able to take advantage of the expedited review procedures and does not increase the likelihood that CORLUX will receive regulatory approval.

Priority Review. The FDA has indicated to us that it will grant us a priority review of our NDA of CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression if no other medications have been approved for this indication at the time of our submission.

Employees
We are managed by a core group of experienced pharmaceutical executives with a track record of bringing new drugs to market. To facilitate advancement of development programs, we also enlist the expertise of associates and advisors with extensive pharmaceutical development experience.

As of December 31, 2007, we had 9 full-time employees, four part-time employees and eight long-term contract staff. Three of our employees and one of our long-term contract staff are M.D.s. We consider our employee relations to be good. None of our employees is covered by a collective bargaining agreement.

Available Information
We are subject to the information requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and we therefore file periodic reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, relating to our business, financial statements and other matters. The reports, proxy statements and other information we file may be inspected and copied at prescribed rates at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at Room 1580, 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the SEC’s Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy statements and other information regarding issuers like us that file electronically with the SEC. The address of the SEC’s Internet site is www.sec.gov. For more information about us, please visit our website at www.corcept.com. You may also obtain a free copy of our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports on the day the reports or amendments are filed with or furnished to the SEC by visiting our website at www.corcept.com. The information found on, or otherwise accessible through, our website, is not incorporated information, and does not form a part of, this Form 10-K.
ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common stock involves significant risks. You should carefully consider the risks described below and the other information in this Form 10-K, including our financial statements and related notes, before you decide to invest in our common stock. If any of the following risks or uncertainties actually occurs, our business, results of operations or financial condition could be materially harmed, the trading price of our common stock could decline and you could lose all or part of your investment. The risks and uncertainties described below are those that we currently believe may materially affect us; however, they may not be the only ones that we face. Additional risks and uncertainties of which we are unaware or currently deem immaterial may also become important factors that may harm our business. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligations to update any risk factors.

Risks Related to Our Business

We will depend heavily on the success of our lead product candidate, CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression, which is still in development. Our first three Phase 3 trials did not meet their primary and key secondary endpoints. If we are unable to commercialize CORLUX for this indication, or experience significant delays in doing so, we may be unable to generate revenues and our stock price may decline.

We have invested a significant portion of our time and financial resources since our inception in the development of CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression. We currently do not have any commercial products and we anticipate that for the foreseeable future our ability to generate meaningful revenues and achieve profitability will be solely dependent on the successful development, approval and commercialization of CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression. We have completed three Phase 3 clinical trials evaluating CORLUX for this indication. None of the first three trials met its primary or key secondary endpoints. The FDA generally requires two positive Phase 3 studies prior to the submission of an NDA or one positive Phase 3 study plus sufficient supportive data. Many factors could harm our efforts to develop and commercialize CORLUX, including:

- insufficient funding;
- negative, inconclusive or otherwise unfavorable results from our pre-clinical or clinical development programs;
- side effects that may be identified in the course of our clinical trials;
- changes or delays in our clinical development program;
- rapid technological change making CORLUX obsolete;
- competition from companies with greater financial, technical and marketing resources than ours;
- increases in the costs of our clinical trials;
- an inability to obtain, or delay in obtaining, regulatory approval for the commercialization of CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression;
- an inability to manufacture CORLUX or the active ingredient in CORLUX in commercial quantities and at an acceptable cost; and
- political concerns relating to other uses of mifepristone, or RU-486, that could limit the market acceptance of CORLUX.
Although our pivotal Phase 3 clinical trial in Cushing’s Syndrome only requires 50 patients, both site selection and enrollment could be a relatively slow process. Delays in site selection and/or patient enrollment could extend the time and cost for completion or inhibit our ability to complete the trial at all.

Cushing’s Syndrome is a rare disorder. An estimated 10 to 15 of every one million people are affected each year.

The majority of the sites that treat patients with Cushing’s Syndrome are at academic institutions or large clinics in or affiliated with private hospitals. Academic institutions often take a prolonged period of time to complete the administrative activities required before a clinical trial can be initiated at that site. Because the disease is seen so infrequently, the process of identifying and screening the patients for participation in our study may be lengthy.

Any delays in the process of identifying and screening either the clinical sites or the patients for enrollment in the study could delay the completion of the study, increase the cost or even inhibit our ability to complete the trial at all.

Our clinical trials may not demonstrate that CORLUX is safe and effective. If our clinical program for CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression or for any other indications does not demonstrate safety and efficacy, our business will be harmed.

To gain regulatory approval from the FDA to market CORLUX, our Phase 3 clinical trials must demonstrate the safety and efficacy of CORLUX for the particular indication. Our first three Phase 3 studies evaluating CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression did not meet their primary or key secondary endpoints. In addition to the need for an additional Phase 3 clinical trial, we are conducting, or plan to conduct, other studies in support of a potential NDA. Clinical development is a long, expensive and uncertain process and is subject to delays, and data obtained from clinical trials and supportive studies are susceptible to varying interpretations, which could delay, limit or prevent regulatory approval. While we obtained favorable results in our Phase 2 clinical trials program in psychotic depression, these results were not replicated in a robust enough way in Studies 07, 09 or 06 and are not sufficient to support an application for FDA approval. In addition, we cannot assure you that supportive studies and tests will produce favorable results.

The development plan for CORLUX is not certain, and will require additional, expensive clinical and preclinical trials. We may not be able to finance the development program.

During the development of CORLUX, we have been engaged in dialogue with the FDA to determine an acceptable development plan which would enable the FDA to complete its review in a satisfactory manner. Because the results of our recently completed Phase 3 trials evaluating CORLUX for treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression did not meet their primary endpoints, the FDA will require us to pursue an additional clinical trial to demonstrate the safety and/or efficacy of CORLUX for this indication. The FDA generally requires two positive Phase 3 studies or one positive Phase 3 study with other supportive data to be completed prior to the submission of an NDA. In addition, the FDA may require us to pursue additional supportive studies. Recently, the FDA recommended that we conduct a dose proportionality study and other studies to determine whether there are interactions between CORLUX and some commonly used drugs. We are continuing our dialogue with the FDA to define any additional data needed to complete an NDA.

Further, we may decide, or the FDA or other regulatory authorities may require us, to pursue additional clinical, pre-clinical or manufacturing studies to satisfactorily complete our NDA. For example, the FDA may require us to perform a bioequivalence study comparing our recently reformulated CORLUX clinical trial materials to the materials used in our earlier clinical trials. Additional trials or studies will require additional funding which is not assured. Also, it is possible that additional trials or studies that we decide are necessary or desirable will delay or prevent the completion of the development of CORLUX for treating psychotic depression.
If adequate funds are not available for our currently contemplated trials and studies, or for any further ones that we may decide are necessary or desirable, we may be required to delay, reduce the scope of or eliminate some or all of our research or development programs. Even if funds are available, additional equity financing may be dilutive to stockholders; debt financing, if available, may involve restrictive covenants; obtaining funds through collaborations may be on unfavorable terms or may require us to relinquish certain rights to our technologies or product candidates, potentially including our lead product candidate, that we would otherwise seek to develop on our own. Even after we conduct all of the clinical trials and supportive studies that we consider appropriate for an optimal NDA, we may not receive regulatory approval to market CORLUX.

Many other factors could delay or result in termination of our clinical trials, including, but not limited to:

- negative or inconclusive results;
- slow patient enrollment;
- patient noncompliance with the protocol;
- adverse medical events or side effects among patients during the clinical trials;
- FDA inspections of our clinical operations; and
- real or perceived lack of effectiveness or safety of CORLUX.

We have incurred losses since inception and anticipate that we will incur continued losses for the foreseeable future.

We are a development stage company with no current source of product revenue. We have a limited history of operations and have focused primarily on clinical trials, and if the outcome of our clinical trials supports it, we plan to seek FDA regulatory clearance to market CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression and for the treatment of Cushing’s Syndrome. Historically, we have funded our operations primarily from the sale of our equity securities. We have incurred losses in each year since our inception in 1998. As of December 31, 2007, we had an accumulated deficit of $110.0 million. We do not know when or if we will generate product revenue. Subject to our ability to raise additional funds, we expect our research and development expenses to increase in connection with the clinical trials and other development activities for CORLUX and for other product candidates. We expect to incur significant expenses related to the preparation for commercializing CORLUX and for the product’s launch, if the FDA approves our NDA. As a result, we expect that our losses will increase for the foreseeable future. We are unable to predict the extent of any future losses or whether or when we will become profitable.

We depend on clinical investigators and clinical sites to enroll patients in our clinical trials and other third parties to manage the trials and to perform related data collection and analysis, and, as a result, we may face costs and delays outside of our control.

We rely on clinical investigators and clinical sites to enroll patients and other third parties to manage our trials and to perform related data collection and analysis. However, we may not be able to control the timing of identification and selection of appropriate sites for our planned trials and the amount and timing of resources that the clinical sites that conduct the clinical testing may devote to our clinical trials. If our clinical investigators and clinical sites fail to enroll a sufficient number of patients in our clinical trials or fail to enroll them on our planned schedules, we will be unable to complete our trials or to complete them as planned, which could delay or prevent us from completing the clinical development of CORLUX or other development programs.

We have signed a Letter of Intent with a contract research organization, or CRO, that is conducting our fourth Phase 3 trial evaluating CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression and anticipate signing an agreement with them to monitor clinical site performance and to perform investigator supervision, data collection and analysis for this trial. We may not be able to successfully complete those
negotiations or to maintain these relationships with this or other CROs or with the clinical investigators and the clinical sites through the completion of all trial activities without excessive expenditures. Our agreements place substantial responsibilities on these parties, which could result in excessive expenditures for our clinical trials if these parties fail to perform as expected. For example, if any of our clinical trial sites fail to comply with FDA-approved good clinical practices, we may be unable to use the data gathered at those sites. If these CROs, clinical investigators, clinical sites or other third parties do not carry out their contractual duties or obligations or fail to meet expected deadlines, or if the quality or accuracy of the clinical data they obtain is compromised due to their failure to adhere to our clinical protocols or for other reasons, we may be unable to obtain regulatory approval for, or successfully commercialize, CORLUX.

The conduct of any future clinical trials will likely also be conducted through the use of CROs and investigative research sites. The conduct, timing and cost of these trials will be subject to the same kinds of risks as discussed above.

In Study 14, our fourth clinical trial evaluating CORLUX for the psychotic features of psychotic depression, we have engaged MedAvante to provide centralized psychiatric ratings services. If patients are uncomfortable or unwilling to participate in the centralized rating process or if MedAvante is unable to provide services in a satisfactory manner over the course of the trial, we may not see any improvement in the accuracy, reliability and quality of the psychiatric assessments.

In connection with our fourth Phase 3 trial evaluating CORLUX for the psychotic features of psychotic depression, Study 14, we have engaged MedAvante to provide centralized psychiatric ratings services. MedAvante will provide centralized psychometric assessments via high resolution video-conferencing. The use of MedAvante’s centralized rating services is expected to increase the accuracy, reliability, and quality of the psychiatric assessments.

MedAvante has provided similar centralized rating services to companies conducting clinical studies in various psychiatric disorders. However, they have not previously provided centralized rating services to any study in patients with psychotic depression. Although Corcept and MedAvante conducted a small pilot evaluation in patients with psychotic depression to assess patient receptivity, we cannot be certain that centralized rating will be successful in the patients enrolled in our study.

If patients are uncomfortable or unwilling to participate in the centralized rating process or if MedAvante is unable to provide services in a satisfactory manner over the course of the trial, we may not see any improvement in the accuracy or reliability of the psychiatric assessments. Such a result might diminish the likelihood of a successful trial or a definitive demonstration of the efficacy of CORLUX in treating the psychotic features of psychotic depression.

If we are unable to obtain or maintain regulatory approval, we will be limited in our ability to commercialize our product candidates, including CORLUX, and our business will be harmed.

The research, testing, manufacturing, selling and marketing of product candidates are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA and other regulatory authorities in the United States and other countries, which regulations differ from country to country. Obtaining and maintaining regulatory approval typically is an uncertain process, is costly and takes many years. In addition, failure to comply with the FDA and other applicable foreign and U.S. regulatory requirements may subject us to administrative or judicially imposed sanctions. These include warning letters, civil and criminal penalties, injunctions, product seizure or detention, product recalls, total or partial suspension of production, and refusal to approve pending NDAs, or supplements to approved NDAs.
Regulatory approval of an NDA or NDA supplement is never guaranteed. Despite the time, resources and effort expended, failure can occur at any stage. The FDA has substantial discretion in the approval process for human medicines. The FDA can deny, delay or limit approval of a product candidate for many reasons including:

- the FDA may not find that the candidate is safe;
- the FDA may not find data from the clinical or preclinical testing to be sufficient; or
- the FDA may not approve our or our third party manufacturers’ processes or facilities.

Future governmental action or changes in FDA policy or personnel may also result in delays or rejection of an NDA in the United States. In addition, because the only currently FDA-approved use of mifepristone is the termination of pregnancy, we expect that the label for CORLUX will include some limitations, including a warning that it should not be used by pregnant women or women seeking to become pregnant.

If we receive regulatory approval for our product candidates, including CORLUX, we will also be subject to ongoing FDA obligations and continued regulatory oversight and review, such as continued safety reporting requirements; and we may also be subject to additional FDA post-marketing obligations. If we are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, we may not be permitted to market our product candidates.

Any regulatory approvals that we receive for our product candidates may also be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the medicine may be marketed or contain requirements for potentially costly post-marketing follow-up studies. In addition, if the FDA approves any of our product candidates, the labeling, packaging, adverse event reporting, storage, advertising, promotion and record-keeping for the medicine will be subject to extensive regulatory requirements. The subsequent discovery of previously unknown problems with the medicine, including adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, may result in restrictions on the marketing of the medicine, and could include withdrawal of the medicine from the market.

Failure to obtain regulatory approval in foreign jurisdictions will prevent us from commercializing our product candidates abroad.

We intend to commercialize our product candidates in international markets. Outside the United States, we can commercialize a product only if we receive a marketing authorization and, in some cases, pricing approval, from the appropriate regulatory authorities. This foreign regulatory approval process includes all of the risks associated with the FDA approval process, and, in some cases, additional risks. The approval procedure varies among countries and can involve additional testing, and the time required to obtain approval may differ from that required to obtain FDA approval. We have not taken any actions to obtain foreign approvals. We may not develop our product candidates in the clinic in order to obtain foreign regulatory approvals on a timely basis, if at all.

Approval by the FDA does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other countries, and approval by one foreign regulatory authority does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other foreign countries or by the FDA. We may not be able to file for regulatory approvals and may not receive necessary approvals to commercialize our product candidates in any market.

The “fast track” designation for the development program of CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression may not lead to a faster development or regulatory review or approval process.

If a human medicine is intended for the treatment of a serious or life-threatening condition and the medicine demonstrates the potential to address unmet medical needs for this condition, the sponsor of an IND may apply for FDA “fast track” designation for a particular indication. Marketing applications submitted by sponsors of product candidates in fast track development may qualify for expedited FDA review under the policies and procedures offered by the FDA, but the fast track designation does not assure any such qualification. Although we have obtained a fast track designation from the FDA for CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression, we may not experience a faster development process, review or approval compared to
applications considered for approval under conventional FDA procedures. In addition, the FDA may withdraw our fast track designation at any time. If we lose our fast track designation, the approval process may be delayed. In addition, our fast track designation does not guarantee that we will qualify for or be able to take advantage of the expedited review procedures and does not increase the likelihood that CORLUX will receive regulatory approval for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression.

Even if we receive approval for the marketing and sale of CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression, endogenous Cushing's Syndrome or the mitigation of weight gain induced by the administration of atypical antipsychotic medications, CORLUX may never be accepted as a treatment for the approved indications.

Many factors may affect the market acceptance and commercial success of CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression or for any other approved indication.

Even if the FDA approves CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression, for the treatment of Cushing’s Syndrome, for the management of weight gain when taken in combination with antipsychotic medications or any other indication, physicians may not adopt CORLUX. Physicians will recommend the use of CORLUX only if they determine, based on experience, clinical data, side effect profiles and other factors, that it is preferable to other products or treatments then in use. Acceptance of CORLUX among influential practitioners may be essential for market acceptance of CORLUX.

Other factors that may affect the market acceptance and commercial success of CORLUX include:

- the effectiveness of CORLUX, including any side effects, as compared to alternative treatment methods;
- the product labeling or product insert required by the FDA for CORLUX;
- the cost-effectiveness of CORLUX and the availability of third-party insurance coverage and reimbursement, in particular from government payors such as Medicare and Medicaid, for patients using CORLUX;
- the timing of market entry of CORLUX relative to competitive products;
- the intentional restriction of distribution of CORLUX to physicians treating the target patient population;
- the extent and success of our sales and marketing efforts;
- the rate of adoption of CORLUX by physicians and by target patient population; and
- negative publicity concerning CORLUX, RU-486 or mifepristone.

The failure of CORLUX to achieve market acceptance would prevent us from generating meaningful product revenue.

Public perception of the active ingredient in CORLUX, mifepristone or RU-486, may limit our ability to market and sell CORLUX.

The active ingredient in CORLUX, mifepristone, or RU-486, is used to terminate pregnancy. As a result, mifepristone has been and continues to be the subject of considerable ethical and political debate in the United States and elsewhere. Public perception of mifepristone may limit our ability to engage alternative manufacturers and may limit the commercial acceptance of CORLUX by patients and physicians. Even though we intend to create measures to minimize the likelihood of the prescribing of CORLUX to a pregnant woman, physicians may decline to prescribe CORLUX to a woman simply to avoid altogether any risk of unintentionally terminating a pregnancy. We intend to create measures for controlling the distribution of CORLUX to reduce the potential for diversion. However, controlled distribution may negatively impact sales of CORLUX.
We have no manufacturing capabilities and we currently depend on third parties to manufacture the active ingredient and the tablets for CORLUX. The tablet manufacturer is a single source supplier. If these suppliers are unable to continue manufacturing CORLUX and we are unable to contract quickly with alternative sources, our business will be harmed.

We currently have no experience in, and we do not own facilities for, nor do we plan to develop facilities for, manufacturing any products. We have agreements with two manufacturers of the active pharmaceutical ingredient, or API, of mifepristone and an agreement with a tablet manufacturer for development quantities of CORLUX. The tablet manufacturer is a single source supplier to us. Our current arrangements with these manufacturers are terminable by either party at any time. Although we anticipate engaging our current tablet supplier to produce commercial quantities of CORLUX, we cannot guarantee that we will enter into an agreement with them on terms acceptable to us. If we are unable, for whatever reason, to obtain the active pharmaceutical ingredient or CORLUX tablets from our contract manufacturers, we may not be able to manufacture our required quantities of CORLUX in a timely manner, if at all.

If our third-party manufacturers of CORLUX fail to comply with FDA regulations or otherwise fail to meet our requirements, our product development and commercialization efforts may be delayed.

We depend on third party manufacturers to supply the active pharmaceutical ingredient in CORLUX and to manufacture CORLUX tablets. These suppliers and manufacturers must comply with the FDA’s current Good Manufacturing Practices, or cGMP, regulations and guidelines. Our suppliers and manufacturers may encounter difficulties in achieving quality control and quality assurance and may experience shortages of qualified personnel. Their failure to follow cGMP or other regulatory requirements and to document their compliance with cGMP may lead to significant delays in the availability of products for commercial use or clinical study or the termination or hold on a clinical study, or may delay or prevent filing or approval of marketing applications for CORLUX.

Failure of our third party suppliers and manufacturers or us to comply with applicable regulations could result in sanctions being imposed on us, including fines, injunctions, civil penalties, failure of regulatory authorities to grant marketing approval of our product candidates, delays, suspension or withdrawal of approvals, license revocation, seizures or recalls of products, operating restrictions and criminal prosecutions, any of which could harm our business. If the operations of any current or future supplier or manufacturer were to become unavailable for any reason, commercialization of CORLUX could be delayed and our revenue from product sales could be reduced.

We may use a different third-party manufacturer to produce commercial quantities of CORLUX than we are using in our clinical trials. The FDA may require us to conduct a study to demonstrate that the tablets used in our clinical trials are equivalent to the final commercial product. If we are unable to establish that the tablets are equivalent or if the FDA disagrees with the results of our study, commercial launch of CORLUX could be delayed.

If we or others identify side effects after our product candidates are on the market, we may be required to perform lengthy additional clinical trials, change the labeling of our future products or withdraw our future products from the market, any of which would hinder or preclude our ability to generate revenues.

If we or others identify side effects after any of our product candidates are on the market:

- regulatory authorities may withdraw their approvals;
- we may be required to reformulate our future products, conduct additional clinical trials, make changes in labeling of such products or implement changes to or obtain re-approvals of our manufacturing facilities;
- we may experience a significant drop in the sales of the affected products;
our reputation in the marketplace may suffer; and

we may become the target of lawsuits, including class action lawsuits.

Any of these events could harm or prevent sales of the affected products or could increase the costs and expenses of commercializing and marketing these product candidates.

If CORLUX or future product candidates conflict with the patents of others or if we become involved in other intellectual property disputes, we could have to engage in costly litigation or obtain a license and we may be unable to commercialize our product candidates.

Our success depends in part on our ability to obtain and maintain adequate patent protection for the use of CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression and other potential uses of GR-II antagonists. If we do not adequately protect our intellectual property, competitors may be able to use our intellectual property and erode our competitive advantage.

To date, we own seven issued U.S. patents and have exclusively licensed three issued U.S. patents, in each case along with a number of corresponding foreign patents or patent applications. We also have eight U.S. method of use patent applications for GR-II antagonists and three composition of matter patent applications covering specific GR-II antagonists. We have applied, and will continue to apply, for patents covering our product candidates as we deem appropriate.

We have exclusively licensed three issued U.S. patents from Stanford University for the use of GR-II antagonists in the treatment of psychotic major depression, which is commonly referred to as psychotic depression, cocaine-induced psychosis and early dementia, including early Alzheimer’s disease. We bear the costs of protecting and defending the rights to these patents. In order to maintain the exclusive license to these patents until their expiration, we are obligated to make milestone and royalty payments to Stanford University. We are currently in compliance with our obligations under this agreement. If we become noncompliant, we may lose the right to commercialize CORLUX for the treatment of psychotic depression, cocaine-induced psychosis and early dementia and our business would be materially harmed. In addition, if Stanford University were to terminate our CORLUX license due to breach of the license on our part, we would not be able to commercialize CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression, cocaine-induced psychosis or early dementia.

Our patent applications and patents licensed or issued to us may be challenged by third parties and our patent applications may not result in issued patents. For example, in 2004, Akzo Nobel filed an observation challenging the claims of our exclusively licensed European patent application with claims directed to psychotic depression. In 2005, we filed a rebuttal to the EPO that responded to the points raised by Akzo Nobel. In February 2006, the EPO allowed our patent application and in July 2006, this patent was issued. In April 2007 the Company received notification that there will be no opposition proceedings in Europe in regards to this patent.

Our presently pending and future patent applications may not issue as patents, and any patent issued to us may be challenged, invalidated, held unenforceable or circumvented. For example, the arguments presented by Akzo Nobel could be raised in the United States either before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office or in a court of law. Furthermore, the claims in patents which have been issued to us, or which may be issued to us in the future, may not be sufficiently broad to prevent third parties from producing competing products. In addition, the laws of various foreign countries in which we compete may not protect our intellectual property to the same extent as do the laws of the United States. If we fail to obtain adequate patent protection for our proprietary technology, our competitors may produce competing products based on our technology, which would impair our ability to compete.

If a third party were successful in asserting an infringement claim against us, we could be forced to pay damages and prevented from developing, manufacturing or marketing our potential products. We do not have
liability insurance for patent infringements. A third party could require us to obtain a license to continue to use their intellectual property, and we may not be able to do so on commercially acceptable terms, or at all. We believe that significant litigation will continue in our industry regarding patent and other intellectual property rights. If we become involved in litigation, it could consume a substantial portion of our resources. Regardless of the merit of any particular claim, defending a lawsuit takes significant time, is expensive and diverts management’s attention from other business.

If we are unable to protect our trade secrets and proprietary information, our ability to compete in the market could be diminished.

In addition to patents, we rely on a combination of confidentiality, nondisclosure and other contractual provisions, laws protecting trade secrets and security measures to protect our trade secrets and proprietary information. Nevertheless, these measures may not adequately protect our trade secrets or other proprietary information. If they do not adequately protect our rights, third parties could use our proprietary information, which could diminish our ability to compete in the market. In addition, employees, consultants and others who participate in the development of our product candidates may breach their agreements with us regarding our trade secrets and other proprietary information, and we may not have adequate remedies for the breach. We also realize that our trade secrets may become known through means not currently foreseen. Notwithstanding our efforts to protect our trade secrets and proprietary information, our competitors may independently develop similar or alternative products that are equal or superior to our product candidates without infringing on any of our proprietary information or trade secrets.

Our licensed patent covering the use of mifepristone to treat psychotic depression is a method of use patent rather than a composition of matter patent, which increases the risk that physicians will prescribe another manufacturer’s mifepristone for the treatment of psychotic depression rather than CORLUX.

We have an exclusive license from Stanford University to a patent covering the use of GR-II antagonists, including mifepristone, targeted for the treatment of psychotic depression. A method of use patent covers only a specified use of a particular compound, not a particular composition of matter. All of our issued patents and all but three of our 11 U.S. patent applications relate to use patents. Because none of our issued patents covers the composition of mifepristone or any other compound, we cannot prevent others from commercializing mifepristone or any other GR-II antagonist in indications not covered by our patents. If others receive approval to manufacture and market mifepristone or any other GR-II antagonist, physicians could prescribe mifepristone or any other GR-II antagonist for patients with psychotic depression instead of CORLUX. Although any such “off-label” use would violate our licensed patent, effectively monitoring compliance with our licensed patent may be difficult and costly. In addition, if others develop a treatment for psychotic depression that works through a mechanism which does not involve the GR-II receptor, physicians could prescribe that treatment instead of CORLUX.

We may be subject to fines, penalties or injunctions if we are determined to be promoting the use of our products for unapproved or “off-label” uses, resulting in damage to our reputation and business.

Our promotional materials and training methods must comply with FDA and other applicable laws and regulations, including the prohibition of the promotion of a drug for a use that has not been cleared or approved by FDA. Use of a drug outside its approved indications is known as “off-label” use. Physicians may use our products off-label, as the FDA does not restrict or regulate a physician’s choice of treatment within the practice of medicine. However, if the FDA determines that our promotional materials or training constitutes promotion of an off-label use, it could request that we modify our training or promotional materials or subject us to regulatory or enforcement actions, including the issuance of an untitled letter, a warning letter, injunction, seizure, civil fine and criminal penalties. It is also possible that other federal, state or foreign enforcement authorities might take action if they consider our promotional or training materials to constitute promotion of an unapproved use, which could result in significant fines or penalties under other statutory authorities, such as laws prohibiting false
claims for reimbursement. In that event, our reputation could be damaged and adoption of the products would be impaired. Although our policy is to refrain from statements that could be considered off-label promotion of our products, the FDA or another regulatory agency could disagree and conclude that we have engaged in off-label promotion. In addition, the off-label use of our products may increase the risk of injury to patients, and, in turn, the risk of product liability claims. Product liability claims are expensive to defend and could divert our management’s attention and result in substantial damage awards against us.

Our efforts to discover, develop and commercialize new product candidates beyond CORLUX are at a very early stage. If we fail to identify and develop additional uses for GR-II antagonists, we may be unable to market additional products.

To develop additional potential sources of revenue, we believe that we must identify and develop additional product candidates. We own or have exclusively licensed issued U.S. patents covering the use of GR-II antagonists to treat psychotic depression, weight gain following treatment with antipsychotic medication, early dementia, mild cognitive impairment, psychosis associated with cocaine addiction, delirium, ECT, gastroesophageal reflux disease, Down’s Syndrome and stress disorders, in addition to eight U.S. method of use patent applications covering GR-II antagonists for the treatment of a number of other metabolic and psychiatric disorders and three U.S. composition of matter patent applications covering specific GR-II antagonists.

We may not develop product candidates for any of the indications or compounds covered by our patents and patent applications. Typically, there is a high rate of attrition for product candidates in preclinical and clinical trials, so our product development efforts may not lead to commercially viable products. The use of GR-II antagonists may not be effective to treat these conditions or any other indications. In addition, we could discover that the use of GR-II antagonists in these patient populations has unacceptable side effects or is otherwise not safe.

We may elect to enter into collaboration arrangements with respect to one or more of our product candidates. If we do enter into such an arrangement, we would be dependent on a collaborative partner for the success of the product candidates developed under the arrangement. Any future collaborative partner may fail to successfully develop or commercialize a product candidate under a collaborative arrangement.

We only have experience with CORLUX and we may determine that CORLUX is not desirable for uses other than for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression. In that event, we would have to identify and may need to secure rights to a different GR-II antagonist. For example, we may not develop CORLUX for mitigation of the weight gain associated with the use of olanzapine, even though we have reported positive top-line results regarding the proof of concept study described earlier in this Form 10-K. We may pursue other GR-II antagonists for this use. The compounds developed pursuant to our discovery research program may fail to generate commercially viable product candidates in spite of the resources we have dedicated to the program. Even if product candidates are identified, we may abandon further development efforts before we reach clinical trials or after expending significant expense and time conducting clinical trials due to financial constraints, concerns over safety, efficacy of the product candidates or for other reasons. Moreover, governmental authorities may enact new legislation or regulations that could limit or restrict our development efforts. If we are unable to successfully discover and commercialize new uses for GR-II antagonists, we may be unable to generate sufficient revenue to support our operations.

We will need additional capital in order to complete the development and commercialization of CORLUX and our other proprietary selective GR-II antagonists. Additional capital may not be available to us at all or on favorable terms.

We believe that, after completing the financing transactions in March 2008, we have sufficient capital resources to complete the final reporting for our recently completed psychotic depression trials, to conduct our planned clinical trials in Cushing’s Syndrome psychotic depression, and the management of weight gain induced by antipsychotic medications, and to continue development work on our proprietary, selective GR-II antagonists.
We anticipate that our existing capital resources will be sufficient to fund our current operating plan into early 2010. However, our expectations include an estimate of proceeds from a variable funding source (see risk statement directly below) and are based on our currently planned clinical development and research programs for CORLUX and for certain of our proprietary, selective GR-II antagonists, which may change as a result of many factors, including:

- the costs, timing of site selection and enrollment of our clinical trials;
- the results of our research efforts and clinical trials;
- the need to perform additional clinical trials;
- the timing of the approval by the FDA, if any, to market CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of PMD;
- developments or disputes concerning patents or proprietary rights, including announcements of claims of infringement, interference or litigation against us or our licensors;
- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results;
- changes in our growth rates;
- changes in our research development plans for our proprietary, selective GR-II antagonist
- the timing of commercialization of CORLUX and future product candidates; and
- changes in the reimbursement policies of third-party insurance companies or government agencies.

We will have to perform additional clinical trials prior to submission of a New Drug Application, or NDA, for CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression and for Cushing’s Syndrome. We will need to raise additional funds to complete the development of CORLUX for the treatment of psychotic depression, Cushing’s Syndrome and weight gain management associated with antipsychotic medications, to prepare for its commercialization and to continue and expand the development of our proprietary, selective GR-II antagonists.

Consequently, we may need additional funding sooner than anticipated. Our inability to raise capital would harm our business and product development efforts.

In addition, we may choose to raise additional capital due to market conditions or strategic considerations even if we believe we have sufficient funds for our current or future operating plans. To the extent that additional capital is raised through the sale of equity or convertible debt securities, the issuance of these securities could result in dilution to our then-existing stockholders.

We cannot be certain that additional funding will be available on acceptable terms or at all. Further, any additional equity financing may be dilutive to stockholders, and debt financing, if available, may involve restrictive covenants. If we obtain funds through collaborations with others, these arrangements may be on unfavorable terms or may require us to relinquish certain rights to our technologies or product candidates, including potentially our lead product candidate, that we would otherwise seek to develop on our own. If adequate funds are not available, we may be required to delay, reduce the scope of or eliminate one or more of our research or development programs or we may be required to discontinue operations.

The Committed Equity Financing Facility (CEFF) that we entered into with Kingsbridge on March 25, 2008 may not be available to us at certain times, may require us to make additional “blackout” or other payments to Kingsbridge, and may result in dilution to our stockholders.

The CEFF entitles us to sell and obligates Kingsbridge to purchase, from time to time over a period of three years, shares of our common stock for cash consideration up to the lesser of $60.0 million or approximately 9.6 million shares, subject to certain conditions and restrictions. Based on the closing price of our common stock.
on the Nasdaq Capital Market on March 27, 2008, we would only be able to raise approximately $27 million in net proceeds under the CEFF.

Kingsbridge will not be obligated to purchase additional shares under the CEFF unless certain conditions are met, which include a minimum price for our common stock; the accuracy of representations and warranties made to Kingsbridge; compliance with laws; the continued effectiveness of the shelf registration statement; and the continued listing of our stock on the Nasdaq Capital Market. In addition, Kingsbridge is permitted to terminate the CEFF if it determines that a material and adverse event has occurred affecting our business, operations, properties or financial condition and if such condition continues for a period of 10 days from the date Kingsbridge provides us notice of such material and adverse event. If we are unable to access funds through the CEFF, or if the CEFF is terminated by Kingsbridge, we may be unable to access alternative capital on favorable terms or at all.

We are entitled in certain circumstances, to deliver a blackout notice to Kingsbridge to suspend the use of the shelf registration statement and prohibit Kingsbridge from selling shares thereunder. If we deliver a blackout notice in the 15 trading days following the settlement of a draw down, or if the shelf registration statement is not effective in circumstances not permitted by our agreement with Kingsbridge, then we must make a payment to Kingsbridge, or issue Kingsbridge additional shares in lieu of the payment, calculated on the basis of the number of shares held by Kingsbridge (exclusive of shares that Kingsbridge may hold pursuant to exercise of the Kingsbridge warrant) and the change in the market price of our common stock during the period in which the use of the shelf registration statement is suspended. If the trading price of our common stock declines during a suspension of the shelf registration statement, the blackout or other payment could be significant.

If we sell shares to Kingsbridge under the CEFF, or issue shares in lieu of a blackout payment, it will have a dilutive effect on the holdings of our current stockholders, and may result in downward pressure on the price of our common stock. For each draw down under the CEFF, we will issue shares to Kingsbridge at a discount of up to 10% from the volume weighted average price of our common stock. If we draw down amounts under the CEFF when our share price is decreasing, we will need to issue more shares to raise the same amount than if our stock price was higher. Issuances in the face of a declining share price will have an even greater dilutive effect than if our share price were stable or increasing, and may further decrease our share price.

We may not be able to pursue all of our product research and development opportunities if we are unable to secure adequate funding for these programs.

The costs required to start or continue many of the programs that our intellectual property allow us to consider for further development are collectively greater than the funds currently available to us. For example, we have successfully discovered three series of compounds that are specific GR-II antagonists, but, unlike CORLUX, do not appear to block the progesterone receptor. Further development of these programs and others, such as the use of GR-II antagonists for the mitigation of weight gain associated with olanzapine, may be delayed or cancelled if we determine that such development may jeopardize our ability to complete the clinical development of CORLUX for the treatment of psychotic depression or for Cushing’s Syndrome.

We may have substantial exposure to product liability claims and may not have adequate insurance to cover those claims.

We may be subject to product liability or other claims based on allegations that the use of our products has resulted in adverse effects or that our product candidates are not effective, whether by participants in our clinical trials for CORLUX or other product candidates, or by patients using our future products. A product liability claim may damage our reputation by raising questions about our product candidates’ safety or efficacy and could limit our ability to sell a product by preventing or interfering with product commercialization. In some cases, less common adverse effects of a pharmaceutical product are not known until long after the FDA approves the product for marketing. The active ingredient in CORLUX is used to terminate pregnancy. Therefore, necessary
and strict precautions must be taken by clinicians using the medicine in our clinical trials and, if approved by the FDA, physicians prescribing the medicine to women with childbearing potential, to insure that the medicine is not administered to pregnant women. The failure to observe these precautions could result in significant product claims.

We have only limited product liability insurance coverage, with limits that we believe to be customary for a development stage company. We intend to expand our product liability insurance coverage to any product candidates for which we obtain marketing approval. However, this insurance may be prohibitively expensive or may not fully cover our potential liabilities. Our inability to obtain adequate insurance coverage at an acceptable cost could prevent or inhibit the commercialization of our product candidates. Defending a lawsuit could be costly and significantly divert management’s attention from conducting our business. If a third party successfully sues us for any injury caused by our product candidates, our liability could exceed our total assets.

**If CORLUX is approved and we are unable to obtain acceptable prices or adequate coverage and reimbursement for it from third-party payors, we will be unable to generate significant revenues.**

There is significant uncertainty related to the availability of third-party insurance coverage and reimbursement for newly approved medications. The commercial success of our potential medications in both domestic and international markets is dependent on whether third-party coverage and reimbursement is available for them. Government payors, including Medicare and Medicaid, health maintenance organizations and other third-party payors are increasingly attempting to contain healthcare costs by limiting both coverage and the level of reimbursement of new medicines, and, as a result, they may not cover or provide adequate payment for our medications. The continuing efforts of government and other third-party payors to contain or reduce the costs of health care may limit our revenues. Our dependence on the commercial success of CORLUX alone makes us particularly susceptible to any cost containment or reduction efforts. Accordingly, even if CORLUX or future product candidates are approved for commercial sale, unless government and other third-party payors provide adequate coverage and reimbursement for our future products, physicians may not prescribe them. We intend to sell CORLUX directly to hospitals if we receive FDA approval. As a result, we will need to obtain approval from hospital formularies to receive widespread third-party coverage and reimbursement. If we fail to obtain that approval, we will be unable to generate significant revenues.

In some foreign markets, pricing and profitability of prescription pharmaceuticals are subject to government control. In the United States, we expect that there will continue to be federal and state proposals for similar controls. Also, the trends toward managed health care in the United States and proposed legislation intended to reduce the cost of government insurance programs could significantly influence the purchase of health care services and products and may result in lower prices for our future products or the exclusion of such products from reimbursement programs.

**We face competition from companies with substantial financial, technical and marketing resources, which could limit our future revenues from the commercialization of CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression or for other indications.**

If approved for commercial use, CORLUX as a treatment for psychotic depression will compete with established treatments, including ECT and combination medicinal therapy.

Combination medicinal therapy consists of the use of antipsychotic and antidepressant medicines, not currently approved for the treatment of psychotic depression. The antipsychotics are prescribed for off-label use by physicians to treat the psychotic features of psychotic depression, which is the clinical target of CORLUX. Antipsychotics include Bristol-Myers Squibb’s Abilify, Novartis’ Clozaril, Pfizer’s Geodon and Navane, Ortho-McNeil’s Haldol, Janssen Pharmaceutica’s Risperdal, AstraZeneca’s Seroquel, GlaxoSmithKline’s Stelazine and Thorazine, Mylan’s Mellaril, Schering Corporation’s Trilafon and Eli Lilly’s Zyprexa. CORLUX may not compete effectively with these established treatments. We are aware of one clinical trial conducted by the
pharmaceutical division of Akzo Nobel, for a new chemical entity for the treatment of psychotic depression. This new chemical entity is a GR-II antagonist, the commercial use of which would be covered by our patent. As discussed above, in 2004, Akzo Nobel filed an observation in our exclusively licensed European patent application with claims directed to psychotic depression, in which Akzo Nobel challenged the claims of that patent application. In 2005, we filed a rebuttal to the EPO that responded to the points raised by Akzo Nobel. In February 2006, the EPO allowed our patent application. In July 2006, the patent was issued. We are not aware of any public disclosures by any company, other than Akzo Nobel, regarding the development of new products to treat psychotic depression.

We are aware that Laboratoire HRA Pharma has received an Orphan Drug Designation in the United States and Europe for the use of mifepristone to treat a subtype of Cushing’s Syndrome and has begun a Phase II clinical trial in Europe and the United States for this indication. If this product is approved for commercialization before CORLUX, our potential future revenue could be reduced by the possibility of off-label use of mifepristone for psychotic depression or for Cushing’s Syndrome.

Our present and potential competitors include major pharmaceutical companies, as well as specialized pharmaceutical firms, universities and public and private research institutions. Moreover, we expect competition to intensify as technical advances are made. These competitors, either alone or with collaborative parties, may succeed with the development and commercialization of medicinal products that are superior to and more cost-effective than CORLUX. Many of our competitors and related private and public research and academic institutions have greater experience, more financial resources and larger research and development staffs than we do. In addition, many of these competitors, either alone or together with their collaborative partners, have significantly greater experience than we do in developing human medicines, obtaining regulatory approvals, manufacturing and commercializing products.

Accordingly, CORLUX may not be an effective competitor against established treatments and our present or potential competitors may succeed in developing medicinal products that are superior to CORLUX or render CORLUX obsolete or non-competitive. If we are unable to establish CORLUX as a superior and cost-effective treatment for psychotic depression, or any future use, we may be unable to generate the revenues necessary to support our business.

Rapid technological change could make our product candidates obsolete.

Pharmaceutical technologies have undergone rapid and significant change and we expect that they will continue to do so. Our future will depend in large part on our ability to maintain a competitive position with respect to these technologies. Any products and processes that we develop may become obsolete or uneconomical before we recover any or all expenses incurred in connection with their development. Rapid technological change could make our product candidates obsolete or uneconomical, which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We have no sales staff and limited marketing activities and will need to develop sales and marketing capabilities to successfully commercialize CORLUX and any future uses of GR-II antagonists.

Our employees have limited experience in marketing or selling pharmaceutical products and we currently have no sales staff or marketing activities. To achieve commercial success for any approved product, we must either develop a sales and marketing force or enter into arrangements with others to market and sell our future products. We currently plan to establish a small, specialty sales force to market and sell CORLUX in the United States for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression. However, our sales and marketing efforts may not be successful or cost-effective. In the event that the commercial launch of CORLUX is delayed due to FDA requirements or other reasons, we may establish a sales and marketing force too early relative to the launch of CORLUX. This may be expensive, and our investment would be lost if the sales and marketing force could not be retained. If our efforts to develop a sales and marketing force are not successful, cost-effective and timely, we may not achieve profitability.
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We may need to increase the size of our organization, and we may experience difficulties in managing growth.

As we expand our research and development efforts and develop a sales and marketing organization, we expect to experience growth, which may strain our operations, product development and other managerial and operating resources. Future growth will impose significant added responsibilities on members of management, including the need to identify, recruit, maintain and integrate additional employees. To date, we have relied on a small management team, including a number of part-time contributors. Our future financial performance and our ability to compete effectively will depend, in part, on our ability to manage any future growth effectively.

To that end, we must be able to:

• manage our research and development efforts effectively;
• manage our clinical trials effectively;
• integrate additional management, clinical development, administrative and sales and marketing personnel;
• expand the size and composition of our management team;
• develop our administrative, accounting and management information systems and controls; and
• hire and train additional qualified personnel.

We may not be able to accomplish these tasks, and our failure to accomplish any of them could harm our business.

If we lose our key personnel or are unable to attract and retain additional skilled personnel, we may be unable to pursue our product development and commercialization efforts.

We depend substantially on the principal members of our management and scientific staff, including Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D., our Chief Executive Officer, and Robert L. Roe, M.D., our President. We do not have agreements with any of our executive officers that provide for their continued employment with us or employment insurance covering any of our key personnel. Any officer or employee can terminate his or her relationship with us at any time and work for one of our competitors. The loss of these key individuals could result in competitive harm because we could experience delays in our product research, development and commercialization efforts without their expertise.

Our ability to operate successfully and manage our potential future growth depends significantly upon retaining key research, technical, sales, marketing, managerial and financial personnel, and attracting and retaining additional highly qualified personnel in these areas. We face intense competition for such personnel from numerous companies, as well as universities and nonprofit research organizations in the highly competitive northern California business area. Although we believe that we have been successful in attracting and retaining qualified personnel to date, we may not be able to attract and retain sufficient qualified personnel in the future. The inability to attract and retain these personnel could result in delays in the research, development and commercialization of our potential products.

If we acquire other GR-II antagonists or other technologies or potential products, we will incur a variety of costs and may never realize the anticipated benefits of the acquisition.

If appropriate opportunities become available, we may attempt to acquire other GR-II antagonists, particularly GR-II antagonists that do not terminate pregnancy. We may also be able to acquire other technologies or potential products that are complementary to our operating plan. We currently have no commitments, agreements or plans for any acquisitions. The process of acquiring rights to another GR-II
antagonist or any other potential product or technology may result in unforeseen difficulties and expenditures and may absorb significant management attention that would otherwise be available for ongoing development of our business. In addition, we may fail to realize the anticipated benefits of any acquired potential product or technology. Future acquisitions could dilute our stockholders' ownership interest in us and could cause us to incur debt, expose us to future liabilities and result in amortization or other expenses related to goodwill and other intangible assets.

The occurrence of a catastrophic disaster or other similar events could cause damage to our or our manufacturers' facilities and equipment, which could require us to cease or curtail operations.

Because our executive offices are located in the San Francisco Bay Area and some of our current manufacturers are located in earthquake-prone areas, our business is vulnerable to damage from various types of disasters or other similarly disruptive events, including earthquake, fire, flood, power loss and communications failures. In addition, political considerations relating to mifepristone may put us and our manufacturers at increased risk for terrorist attacks, protests or other disruptive events. If any disaster or other similar event were to occur, we may not be able to operate our business and our manufacturers may not be able to produce our product candidates. Our insurance may not be adequate to cover, and our insurance policies may exclude coverage for, our losses resulting from disasters or other business interruptions.

Risks Related to Our Stock

The market price of our common stock may be highly volatile due to the limited number of shares of our common stock held by non-affiliates of the Company or factors influencing the stock market and opportunities for sale at any given time may be limited.

We cannot assure you that an active trading market for our common stock will exist at any time. Holders of our common stock may not be able to sell shares quickly or at the market price if trading in our common stock is not active. During the 52-week period ended March 25, 2008 our average daily trading volume has been approximately 110,000 shares and the intra-day sales prices per share of our common stock ranged from $0.70 to $6.85. As of March 25, 2008, our officers, directors and principal shareholders control approximately 68% of our common stock. The trading price of our common stock is likely to be highly volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations in price in response to various factors, many of which are beyond our control, including:

- our cash and short-term investment position;
- actual or anticipated timing and results of our clinical trials;
- actual or anticipated regulatory approvals of our product candidates or of competing products;
- changes in laws or regulations applicable to our product candidates or our competitors' products;
- changes in the expected or actual timing of our development programs or our competitors' potential development programs;
- actual or anticipated variations in quarterly operating results;
- announcements of technological innovations by us, our collaborators or our competitors;
- new products or services introduced or announced by us or our competitors;
- changes in financial estimates or recommendations by securities analysts;
- conditions or trends in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries;
- changes in the market valuations of similar companies;
- announcements by us or our competitors of significant acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments;
In addition, the stock market in general, the Nasdaq Stock Market and the market for technology companies in particular have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of those companies. Further, there has been particular volatility in the market prices of securities of biotechnology and life sciences companies. These broad market and industry factors may seriously harm the market price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market, securities class-action litigation has often been instituted against companies. Such litigation, if instituted against us, could result in substantial costs and diversion of management’s attention and resources.

If we fail to continue to meet all applicable Nasdaq Capital Market requirements, our stock could be delisted by the Nasdaq Stock Market. If delisting occurs, it would adversely affect the market liquidity of our common stock and harm our business.

In April 2007, the listing of our common stock was transferred from the Nasdaq Global Market to the Nasdaq Capital Market. In order to maintain that listing, we must maintain minimum stockholders’ equity of $2,500,000 or satisfy minimum financial and other requirements.

If we are unable to meet any of the Nasdaq listing requirements in the future, the Nasdaq Stock Market staff could determine to delist our common stock, the delisting could adversely affect the market liquidity of our common stock and the market price of our common stock could decrease. Such delisting could also adversely affect our ability to obtain financing for the continuation of our operations and could result in the loss of confidence by investors, suppliers and employees.

Securities analysts may not continue to provide or initiate coverage of our common stock or may issue negative reports, and this may have a negative impact on our common stock’s market price.

Securities analysts currently covering our common stock may discontinue research coverage. Additional securities analysts may elect not to provide research coverage of our common stock. A lack of research coverage may adversely affect our common stock’s market price. The trading market for our common stock may be affected in part by the research and reports that industry or financial analysts publish about us or our business. If one or more of the analysts who elects to cover us downgrades our stock, our stock price would likely decline rapidly and significantly. If one or more of these analysts ceases coverage of our company, we could lose visibility in the market, which in turn could cause our stock price to decline. In addition, rules mandated by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and a global settlement reached in 2003 between the SEC, other regulatory analysts and a number of investment banks have led to a number of fundamental changes in how analysts are reviewed and compensated. In particular, many investment banking firms are required to contract with independent financial analysts for their stock research. It may be difficult for companies such as ours with smaller market capitalizations to attract independent financial analysts that will cover our common stock. This could have a negative effect on our market price.
A sale of a substantial number of shares of our common stock may cause the price of our common stock to decline.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market could harm the market price of our common stock. As additional shares of our common stock become available for resale in the public market, the supply of our common stock will increase, which could decrease the price. Substantially all of the shares of our common stock are eligible for sale, subject to applicable volume and other resale restrictions.

A total of approximately 16.6 million shares of our common stock issued prior to our initial public offering or in connection with private offerings completed during the last two years, the majority of which are held by our officers, directors and principal stockholders, are subject to registration rights pursuant to which we have agreed to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission registration statements covering the resale of these shares. We expect to file these registration statements during 2008, beginning shortly after the filing of this annual report on Form 10-K.

We may be required to pay significant amounts if we are not able to meet our obligations under our outstanding registration rights agreements.

The registration rights agreement covering the approximately 8.9 million shares issued in a private offering in March 2008 and an additional approximately 4.5 million shares underlying warrants issued in connection with the offering provide that if we fail to file or cause to be declared effective the registration statement or registration statements covering the resale of these shares prior to specified deadlines, or fail to maintain the effectiveness of such registration statements (subject to limited permissible suspension periods), we will be required to pay the holders of such shares and warrants liquidated damages equal to up to 10% of the purchase price of these shares and warrants.

See the discussion above under “Risks Related to our Business—Committed Equity Financing Facility regarding registration rights under that agreement.

If we are required to pay significant amounts under these or future registration rights agreements, it could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and ability to finance our operations.

Our officers, directors and principal stockholders acting as a group, as well as Paperboy Ventures acting alone, will be able to significantly influence corporate actions.

As of March 25, 2008, our officers, directors and principal stockholders control approximately 68% of our common stock. As a result, these stockholders, acting together, will be able to significantly influence all matters requiring approval by our stockholders, including the election of directors and the approval of mergers or other business combination transactions. The interests of this group of stockholders may not always coincide with our interests or the interests of other stockholders and may prevent or delay a change in control. This significant concentration of share ownership may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock because investors often perceive disadvantages to owning stock in companies with controlling stockholders. As of March 25, 2008, Paperboy Ventures LLC owns approximately 23% of our common stock. Allen Andersson, the chairman of Paperboy Ventures LLC, is a member of our board of directors. Paperboy Ventures’ ownership interest and board representation may allow it to exert significant control over us and the risks described above regarding our officers, directors and principal stockholders acting as a group are equally applicable to Paperboy Ventures acting alone.

We may incur increased costs as a result of recently enacted and proposed changes in laws and regulations.

Recently enacted and proposed changes in the laws and regulations affecting public companies, including the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and regulations of the SEC and the Nasdaq Stock Market, have
The new rules could make it more difficult or costly for us to obtain certain types of insurance, including director and officer liability insurance, and we may be forced to accept reduced policy limits and coverage or incur higher costs to obtain the same or similar coverage. The impact of these events could also make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified persons to serve on our board of directors, or our board committees, or as executive officers. At present, we cannot predict or estimate the amount of the additional costs related to these new rules and regulations or the timing of such costs.

Because we have been a public company for a relatively short time, we have limited experience complying with public company obligations, including recently enacted changes in securities laws and regulations. Compliance with these requirements will increase our costs and require additional management resources, and we may still fail to comply.

We are a small company with limited resources. Until April 2004, we operated as a private company, not subject to many of the requirements applicable to public companies.

As directed by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the SEC adopted rules requiring public companies to include a report of management on the company’s internal controls over financial reporting in their annual reports on Form 10-K. This requirement first applies to this annual report on Form 10-K. In addition, the independent registered public accounting firm auditing the company’s financial statements must attest to and report on the effectiveness of the company’s internal controls over financial reporting, which requirement may first apply to our annual report on Form 10-K for our fiscal year ending December 31, 2008. Uncertainty exists regarding our ability to comply with these requirements by applicable deadlines and to maintain compliance in future years. If we are unable to complete the required assessment as to the adequacy of our internal control reporting in future years or if our independent registered public accounting firm is unable to provide us with an unqualified report as to the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting as of the required deadline and future year ends, investors could lose confidence in the reliability of our financial reporting.

Changes in or interpretations of accounting rules and regulations, such as expensing of stock options, could result in unfavorable accounting charges or require us to change our compensation policies.

Accounting methods and policies for business and marketing practices of pharmaceutical companies, including policies regarding expensing employee stock options, are subject to further review, interpretation and guidance from relevant accounting authorities, including the SEC. For example, in December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board adopted Financial Accounting Standard 123R, “Share Based Payment.” This statement, which we adopted in the first quarter of 2006, requires the recording of expense for the fair value of stock options granted. As a result, our operating expenses have increased and are likely to continue to increase. We rely heavily on stock options to compensate existing employees and attract new employees. Because we are now required to expense stock options on a fair-value basis, we may choose to reduce our reliance on stock options as a compensation tool. If we reduce our use of stock options, it may be more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified employees. Although we believe that our accounting practices are consistent with current accounting pronouncements, changes to or interpretations of accounting methods or policies in the future may require us to reclassify, restate or otherwise change or revise our financial statements.

Anti-takeover provisions in our charter and bylaws and under Delaware law may make an acquisition of us or a change in our management more difficult, even if an acquisition or a management change would be beneficial to our stockholders.

Provisions in our charter and bylaws may delay or prevent an acquisition of us or a change in our management. Some of these provisions divide our board into three classes with only a portion of our directors subject to election at each annual meeting, allow us to issue preferred stock without any vote or further action by the stockholders, require advance notification of stockholder proposals and nominations of candidates for election as directors and prohibit stockholders from acting by written consent. In addition, a supermajority vote...
of stockholders is required to amend our bylaws. Our bylaws provide that special meetings of the stockholders may be called only by our Chairman, President or the board of directors and that the authorized number of directors may be changed only by resolution of the board of directors. These provisions may prevent or delay a change in our board of directors or our management, which is appointed by our board of directors. In addition, because we are incorporated in Delaware, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. Section 203 may prohibit large stockholders, in particular those owning 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock, from merging or combining with us. These provisions in our charter, bylaws and under Delaware law could reduce the price that investors might be willing to pay for shares of our common stock in the future and result in the market price being lower than it would be without these provisions.

**ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

None.

**ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

We lease approximately 7,700 square feet of office space in Menlo Park, California for our corporate facilities. The lease had an initial term of 30 months with a commencement date of July 1, 2005. In July 2007, we exercised our option to extend the lease for an additional year, through the end of 2008. We expect that these facilities will accommodate our operations for the next year.

**ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

We are not currently involved in any material legal proceedings.

**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007.
PART II

ITEM 5.  MARKET FOR REGISTRANT’S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information

Our common stock is traded on The Nasdaq Capital Market under the symbol “CORT”. The following table sets forth the high and low intra-day sale prices per share of our common stock on The Nasdaq Capital Market for the periods indicated. These prices represent quotations among dealers without adjustments for retail mark-ups, markdowns or commissions, and may not represent prices of actual transactions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Quarter</td>
<td>$1.39</td>
<td>$0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Quarter</td>
<td>$2.99</td>
<td>$0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Quarter</td>
<td>$6.85</td>
<td>$1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Quarter</td>
<td>$5.25</td>
<td>$2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Quarter</td>
<td>$5.59</td>
<td>$3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Quarter</td>
<td>$6.15</td>
<td>$4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Quarter</td>
<td>$4.54</td>
<td>$0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Quarter</td>
<td>$1.70</td>
<td>$0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stockholders of Record and Dividends

As of March 31, 2008, we had 48,473,164 shares of common stock outstanding held by 120 stockholders of record. We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our capital stock. We currently intend to retain any future earnings to finance the growth and development of our business and therefore, do not anticipate paying any in the foreseeable future.

Sale of Unregistered Securities

On March 30, 2007, the Company sold 9,000,000 shares of Common Stock of Corcept, par value $0.001, at a price of $1.00 per share, for aggregate proceeds of $9,000,000. The investor group included Paperboy Ventures LLC, Sutter Hill Ventures and Alta Partners LLP, all venture capital firms that are currently significant shareholders of the Company, members of the Company’s board of directors, Joseph C. Cook, Jr., David L. Mahoney, Alan F. Schatzberg, M.D. and James N. Wilson, and other qualified investors. G. Leonard Baker, Jr., a member of the Company’s board of directors, is also managing director of the general partner of Sutter Hill Ventures.

The March 2007 financing was exempt from registration pursuant to the exemption for transactions by an issuer not involving any public offering under Section 4(2) the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The securities sold and issued in connection with the private placement were not initially registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or any state securities laws and may not be offered or sold in the United States absent registration with the Securities and Exchange Commission or an applicable exemption from the registration requirements. As part of the transaction, the Company agreed to file a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission for purposes of registering the resale of certain of the common stock issued in this transaction.

A resale Form S-1 was filed on April 4, 2007, to register for resale 4,900,000 of the shares sold in the March Financing and 1,992,527 shares sold in a private equity financing in December 2006.
On August 16, 2007, we agreed to sell an aggregate of 4,790,473 shares of common stock, par value $0.001, at a price of $2.10 per share, for aggregate proceeds of approximately $10.1 million, hereinafter referred to as the August / September Financing. We completed the initial closing of the August / September Financing on August 17, 2007, selling 3,599,997 shares of common stock, par value $0.001, at the purchase price of $2.10 per share for gross proceeds of $7.6 million. The Purchasers in the initial closing included Paperboy Ventures, LLC, Sutter Hill Ventures and Alta Partners, LLP, all venture capital firms that are currently significant shareholders of the Company. The Purchasers also included various entities affiliated with G. Leonard Baker, Jr., Joseph C. Cook, Jr., David L. Mahoney and James N. Wilson, who are members of the Company’s board of directors, and other qualified investors. Allen Andersson, a member of the Company’s board of directors, is the chairman of Paperboy Ventures. Mr. Baker is a partner and managing director of Sutter Hill Ventures. Alix Marduel, M.D., a member of the Company’s board of directors, is a managing director of Alta Partners. On September 24, 2007, after receiving approval at a special meeting of stockholders, we completed the second closing under the agreement selling an additional 1,190,476 shares of common stock, par value $0.001, at the purchase price of $2.10 per share to Paperboy Ventures LLC for additional proceeds of $2.5 million.

This August / September Financing is exempt from registration pursuant to the exemption for transactions by an issuer not involving any public offering under Section 4(2) the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Regulation D under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The securities sold and issued in connection with the private placement have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or any state securities laws and may not be offered or sold in the United States absent registration with the Securities and Exchange Commission or an applicable exemption from the registration requirements.

As part of the transaction, we agreed to file a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission for purposes of registering the resale of the common stock issued in these transactions within forty-five business days following the filing of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Shares of common stock sold in the private equity transactions in December 2006 and March 2007 that were not registered for resale in the April 2007 Form S-1 will be included for registration for resale in this same registration statement.

On March 14, 2008, we entered into a definitive agreement with certain accredited investors for the private placement of approximately 8.9 million shares of our common stock at a price of $2.77 per share and warrants to purchase approximately 4.5 million shares of our common stock, at a price of $0.125 per warrant. The warrants have a seven year term and an exercise price of $2.77 per share. The closing for the financing occurred on March 25, 2008 and generated approximately $25 million in net proceeds, after deducting the costs of issuance.

The Purchasers in this transaction were led by Longitude Capital Management Co., LLP. Other investors participating in the offering include Paperboy Ventures LLC, Sutter Hill Ventures and Alta Partners, LLP, venture capital firms that are all significant shareholders in Corcept, as well as various entities and individuals related to these firms. Also investing are trusts and other entities related to members of the Corcept Board of Directors, Joseph C. Cook, Jr., David L. Mahoney, G. Leonard Baker and James N. Wilson, and other accredited investors. Mr. Baker is a partner and managing director of Sutter Hill Ventures. Alix Marduel, M.D., a member of the Company’s board of directors, is a managing director of Alta Partners. Allen Anderson, a member of the Company’s board of directors, is the chairman of Paperboy Ventures.

The March 2008 Financing is exempt from registration pursuant to the exemption for transactions by an issuer not involving any public offering under Section 4(2) the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Regulation D under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The securities sold and issued in connection with the private placement have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or any state securities laws and may not be offered or sold in the United States absent registration with the Securities and Exchange Commission or an applicable exemption from the registration requirements. As part of the transaction, the Company agreed to file the initial registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission for purposes of registering the resale of the common stock issued in this transaction within 30 days of the closing of this transaction.
On March 25, 2008, we entered into a Committed Equity Financing Facility (CEFF) with Kingsbridge Capital Limited (Kingsbridge), a private investment group. Under the terms of the agreement, Kingsbridge has committed to provide up to $60 million of capital during the next three years through the purchase of newly-issued shares of Corcept’s common stock. The maximum number of shares that can be sold by Corcept under this agreement is approximately 9.6 million shares. Under the terms of the agreement, the determination of the exact timing and amount of any CEFF financings will be made solely by Corcept, subject to certain conditions. The actual amount of funds that can be raised under this agreement will be dependent on the number of shares actually sold under the agreement and the market value of Corcept’s stock during the pricing periods of each sale.

Certain details of the CEFF are as follows:

- Under the terms of the agreement, Corcept has access to up to $60 million from Kingsbridge in exchange for newly-issued shares of Corcept’s common stock for a period of up to three years after the Securities and Exchange Commission declares effective the registration statement to be filed by Corcept covering the resale of the shares of common stock issuable in connection with the CEFF and the shares of common stock underlying the warrant discussed below.

- Corcept can access capital under the CEFF in tranches of up to 1.25% of Corcept’s market capitalization at the time of the initiation of the draw down period, or, at Corcept’s option, the lesser of (a) 2.5% of Corcept’s market capitalization at the time of the initiation of the draw down period, and (b) an alternative draw down amount as defined in the agreement; provided, however, that in no event may the maximum draw down amount exceed $10 million per tranche, subject to certain conditions.

- Each tranche will be issued and priced over an eight-day pricing period. Kingsbridge will purchase shares of common stock pursuant to the CEFF at discounts ranging from 6% to 10%, depending on the volume weighted average price of the common stock during the eight-day pricing period, provided that the minimum acceptable purchase price for any shares to be issued to Kingsbridge during the eight-day period is determined by the higher of $1.50 or 90% of Corcept’s common stock closing price the day before the commencement of each draw down.

- Throughout the term of the agreement, Kingsbridge has agreed it will not, and will not cause any other person to, enter into or execute a short sale of any of Corcept’s securities.

- Corcept is not obligated to utilize any of the $60 million available under the CEFF and there are no minimum commitments or minimum use penalties. The CEFF agreement does not contain any restrictions on Corcept’s operating activities, automatic pricing resets or minimum market volume restrictions.

- The agreement does not prohibit Corcept from conducting additional debt or equity financing, other than financings similar to the CEFF and other future priced securities.

- In connection with the CEFF, Corcept issued a warrant to Kingsbridge to purchase up to 330,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of $3.525 per share which represents a 125% premium over the average of the closing bid prices of Corcept’s common stock during the 5 trading days preceding the signing of the agreement. The warrant will become exercisable after the six month anniversary of the date of the agreement. The warrant will remain exercisable, subject to certain exceptions, until five years after the date it becomes exercisable.

The CEFF, and the issuance of the warrant in connection with the CEFF, is exempt from registration pursuant to the exemption for transactions by an issuer not involving a public offering under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Regulation D under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.
Sales and Repurchases of Securities

Sales of securities are subject to restrictions and may be made only in such amounts and at such times as shall not result in the violation of any applicable laws, and may not be offered or sold in the United States without being registered with the SEC or through an applicable exemption from SEC registration requirements. Corcept has agreed to file a registration statement with the SEC covering the resale of the shares issuable under the CEFF and the shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrant within 60 days of the date of the agreement.

Market Performance Graph

The graph and the accompanying text below is not “soliciting material,” is not deemed filed with the SEC and is not to be incorporated by reference in any filings by us under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in such filing. The rules of the SEC require that the Company include in a line-graph presentation comparing cumulative stockholder returns on the Company’s common stock with the NASDAQ Composite Index (which tracks the aggregate price performance of equity securities of companies traded on NASDAQ) and either a published industry or line-of-business standard index or an index of peer companies selected by the Company. The Company has elected to use the NASDAQ Biotechnology Index (consisting of a group of approximately 130 companies in the biotechnology sector, including the Company) for purposes of the performance comparison that appears below.

The graph shows the cumulative total stockholder return assuming the investment of $100.00 and the reinvestment of dividends and is based on the returns of the component companies weighted according to their market capitalizations as of the end of the period for which returns are indicated. No dividends have been declared on the Company’s common stock.
The stockholder return shown on the graph below is not necessarily indicative of future performance, and the Company does not make or endorse any predictions as to future stockholder returns.

COMPARISON OF 44-MONTH CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN* AMONG CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS, THE NASDAQ STOCK MARKET (U.S.) INDEX AND THE NASDAQ BIOTECHNOLOGY INDEX

* $100 invested on 4/14/04 including reinvestment of dividends. Fiscal year ending December 31.
SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA
(in thousands, except per share data)

The selected financial data set forth below are derived from our financial statements. The statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, and 2007 and for the period from inception (May 13, 1998) to December 31, 2007 and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2006 and 2007 are derived from our audited financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, or Form 10-K. The statements of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2004, and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2003, 2004 and 2005 have been derived from our audited financial statements, which are not included in this Form 10-K. The selected financial data set forth below should be read in conjunction with our financial statements, the related notes and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration Revenue</td>
<td>$482</td>
<td>$294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating expenses:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development*</td>
<td>$7,860</td>
<td>$20,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administrative*</td>
<td>$4,867</td>
<td>$5,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total operating expenses</td>
<td>$12,727</td>
<td>$25,876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss from operations</td>
<td>$(12,245)</td>
<td>$(25,582)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-operating income, net</td>
<td>$672</td>
<td>$709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total non-cash stock-based compensation</td>
<td>$1,059</td>
<td>$1,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>$(11,573)</td>
<td>$(24,873)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss per share:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic and diluted</td>
<td>$(0.34)</td>
<td>$(1.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted average shares—basic and diluted</td>
<td>34,251</td>
<td>22,841</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes non-cash stock-based compensation (recovery) of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research and development</td>
<td>$ 213</td>
<td>$ 535</td>
<td>$ (26)</td>
<td>$ 202</td>
<td>$ 551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administrative</td>
<td>$ 846</td>
<td>$ 1,013</td>
<td>$ 799</td>
<td>$ 1,475</td>
<td>(308)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total non-cash stock-based compensation</td>
<td>$ 1,059</td>
<td>$ 1,548</td>
<td>$ 773</td>
<td>$ 1,677</td>
<td>$ 243</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As of December 31,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash, cash equivalents and investments</td>
<td>$17,366</td>
<td>$9,456</td>
<td>$29,619</td>
<td>$46,887</td>
<td>$11,577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working capital</td>
<td>14,662</td>
<td>6,286</td>
<td>25,984</td>
<td>36,415</td>
<td>10,729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>17,744</td>
<td>9,902</td>
<td>30,156</td>
<td>47,772</td>
<td>11,781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term liabilities</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convertible preferred stock</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total stockholders’ equity (net capital deficiency)</td>
<td>14,734</td>
<td>6,360</td>
<td>26,593</td>
<td>45,948</td>
<td>(31,473)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See our financial statements and related notes for a description of the calculation of the net loss per share and the weighted-average number of shares used in computing the per share amounts.
ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

This Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and should be read in conjunction with the “Risk Factors” section of Part I of this Form 10-K. All statements contained in this Form 10-K other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. When used in this report or elsewhere by management from time to time, the words “believe,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “plan,” “estimate,” “expect,” and similar expressions are forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, but the absence of these words does not necessarily mean that a statement is not forward-looking. Forward-looking statements may include, but are not limited to, statements about:

- the progress and timing of our research, development and clinical programs and the timing of regulatory activities;
- the timing of the market introduction of CORLUX® and future product candidates;
- estimates of the dates by which we expect to report results of our clinical trials;
- our ability to market, commercialize and achieve market acceptance for CORLUX or other future product candidates;
- uncertainties associated with obtaining and enforcing patents;
- our estimates for future performance; and
- our estimates regarding our capital requirements and our needs for, and ability to obtain, additional financing.

Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties. Actual events or results may differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors. For a more detailed discussion of such forward-looking statements and the potential risks and uncertainties that may impact upon their accuracy, see “Risk Factors” included in Part I of this Form 10-K and the “Overview” and “Liquidity and Capital Resources” sections of this Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. These forward-looking statements reflect our view only as of the date of this report. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligations to update any forward looking statements. Accordingly, you should also carefully consider the factors set forth in other reports or documents that we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Overview

We are a pharmaceutical company engaged in the development of medications for the treatment of severe psychiatric and metabolic diseases. Since our inception in May 1998, we have been developing our lead product, CORLUX, a glucocorticoid receptor II, or GR-II, antagonist.

Psychotic Depression

Our lead program is for the development of CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic major depression, under an exclusive patent license from Stanford University. Psychotic major depression, or PMD, will hereinafter be referred to as psychotic depression. The United States Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, has granted “fast track” status to evaluate the safety and efficacy of CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression. Between August 2006 and March 2007 we announced the top line results of our initial three Phase 3 trials in which CORLUX was evaluated for treating the psychotic features of psychotic depression.
We reported the initial results of Study 06, the last of the three Phase 3 trials, in March 2007. These results indicated that this study did not achieve statistical significance with respect to the primary endpoint, 50% improvement in the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale Positive Symptom Subscale, or BPRS PSS, at Day 7 and at Day 56. However, there was a statistically significant correlation between plasma levels and clinical outcome achieved during treatment. Patients whose plasma levels rose above a predetermined threshold statistically separated from both those patients whose plasma levels were below the threshold and those patients who received placebo. In particular, those patients in Study 06 who achieved a predetermined level of 1661 nanograms of CORLUX per milliliter of plasma separated from the placebo group with statistical significance for the primary endpoint. Conversely, at substantially lower plasma levels, there was no distinguishable response rate between patients who received CORLUX and those receiving placebo. This study confirms a similar finding in Study 07 that at higher plasma levels the drug candidate is able to demonstrate desired clinical effects. Further, the incidence of serious adverse events did not differ between placebo and any of the three CORLUX dose groups.

Data aggregated from our major efficacy studies of similar design, Study 03, Study 06, Study 07 and Study 09, (724 observed cases) indicate that the patients who received CORLUX separated from the placebo group with statistical significance for the endpoint, 50% improvement in the BPRS PSS at Day 7 and at Day 56. In addition, using the same endpoint, patients who achieved a drug level in their plasma that was greater than the 1661 nanograms per milliliter threshold mentioned above, statistically separated from both those patients whose plasma levels were below this threshold and those patients who received placebo.

We believe that the confirmation of a correlation between drug concentration and clinical response, as well as other observations from Study 06 and our other two completed Phase 3 clinical trials, serves as a strong basis for our current Phase 3 study, which commenced enrollment in March of 2008. The protocol for this trial incorporates what we have learned from the three completed trials addressing the established relationship between increased drug plasma levels and clinical response and attempt to decrease the random variability observed in the results of the psychometric instruments used to measure efficacy. In this trial we are using a dose level of 1200 mg once per day for seven days because, as expected, at successively higher dosages, more patients achieved the predetermined plasma concentration that correlates with response. In Study 06, 80% of the patients who took 1200 mg of Corlux achieved a drug plasma level sufficient for a strong clinical response. We have seen no difference in the safety data between any of the dose levels used in Study 06 in our initial review of a summary of that data. We believe that this change in dose, as well as other modifications to the protocol, should allow us to demonstrate the efficacy of CORLUX in the treatment of the psychotic symptoms of psychotic depression.

**Management of Weight Gain induced by Antipsychotics**

During 2007 we announced the top-line results of our proof-of-concept study evaluating the ability of CORLUX to mitigate weight gain associated with the use of olanzapine. This study in healthy male volunteers was initiated during the first quarter of 2006. The top line results indicated a statistically significant reduction in weight gain in those subjects who took olanzapine plus CORLUX compared to those who took olanzapine alone. Eli Lilly and Company, or Lilly, provided olanzapine and financial support for this study.

The combination of olanzapine and CORLUX is not approved for any indication. The purpose of this study was to explore the hypothesis that GR-II antagonists would mitigate weight gain associated with atypical antipsychotic medications. The group of medications known as atypical antipsychotics, including olanzapine, risperidone, clozapine and quetiapine, are widely used to treat schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. All medications in this group are associated with treatment emergent weight gain of varying degrees and carry a warning label relating to treatment emergent hyperglycemia and diabetes mellitus.

In April 2005, we announced results from two preclinical studies conducted in a rat model of olanzapine induced weight gain. These studies demonstrated that CORLUX reduced both the weight gain associated with ongoing olanzapine use and prevented the weight gain associated with the initiation of treatment with olanzapine.
Cushing’s Syndrome

In July 2007, we received Orphan Drug Designation from the FDA for CORLUX for the treatment of Cushing’s Syndrome. Cushing’s Syndrome is a disorder caused by prolonged exposure of the body’s tissues to high levels of the hormone cortisol. Sometimes called “hypercortisolism,” it is relatively rare and most commonly affects adults aged 20 to 50. An estimated 10 to 15 of every one million people are affected each year.

Orphan Drug Designation is a special status granted by the FDA to encourage the development of treatments for diseases or conditions that affect fewer than 200,000 patients in the United States. Drugs that receive Orphan Drug Designation obtain seven years of marketing exclusivity from the date of drug approval as well as tax credits for clinical trial costs, marketing application filing fee waivers and assistance from the FDA in the drug development process.

The Investigational New Drug application (IND) for the evaluation of CORLUX for the treatment of Cushing’s Syndrome was opened in September 2007. The FDA has indicated that a single study may provide a reasonable basis for the submission of a New Drug Application (NDA) for this indication. This trial is now open for enrollment.

Research and Human Biology

In July 2007, we executed an agreement with Xceleron Limited to conduct a human microdosing study of one of Corcept’s new chemical entities, a selective GR-II antagonist, utilizing Xceleron’s Accelerator Mass Spectrometry, or AMS, technology. In early 2003, we initiated a discovery research program to identify and patent selective GR-II antagonists to develop a pipeline of products for proprietary use. Three distinct series of GR-II antagonists were identified. These compounds appear to be as potent as our lead product CORLUX in blocking cortisol but, unlike CORLUX, they do not appear to block the progesterone or other steroid receptors. We are evaluating one of the compounds, which develops particularly high plasma and brain concentrations in an animal model, in a human microdosing study using Xceleron’s AMS technology.

During 2007 and early 2008 we signed agreements with our contract research scientists to continue our discovery research activities on new compounds for through June 2008.

General

Our activities to date have included:

- product development;
- designing, funding and overseeing clinical trials;
- regulatory affairs; and
- intellectual property prosecution and expansion.

Historically, we have financed our operations and internal growth primarily through private placements of our preferred stock and the public sale of common stock rather than through collaborative or partnership agreements. Therefore, we have no research funding or collaborative payments payable to us, except for the revenue under the agreement with Lilly discussed above.

We are in the development stage and have incurred significant losses since our inception. We have not generated any revenue through December 2007 other than the revenue under the collaboration agreement with Lilly, and do not expect to generate significant revenue for the foreseeable future. As of December 31, 2007, we had an accumulated deficit of $110.0 million. Our historical operating losses have resulted principally from our research and development activities, including clinical trial activities for CORLUX, discovery research,
non-clinical activities such as toxicology and carcinogenicity studies, manufacturing process development and regulatory activities, as well as general and administrative expenses. We expect to continue to incur net losses over at least the next several years as we continue our CORLUX clinical development program, apply for regulatory approvals, initiate development of newly identified GR-II antagonists for various indications, continue our discovery research program, acquire and develop treatments in other therapeutic areas, establish sales and marketing capabilities and expand our operations.

Our business is subject to significant risks, including the risks inherent in our research and development efforts, the results of our CORLUX clinical trials, uncertainties associated with securing financing, uncertainties associated with obtaining and enforcing patents, our investment in manufacturing set-up, the lengthy and expensive regulatory approval process and competition from other products. Our ability to successfully generate revenues in the foreseeable future is dependent upon our ability, alone or with others, to finance our operations and develop, obtain regulatory approval for, manufacture and market our lead product.

In April 2007, Nasdaq granted our request to transfer the listing of our common stock from the Nasdaq Global Market to the Nasdaq Capital Market. Since transferring to the Nasdaq Capital Market, we have been in compliance with all listing requirements.

Results of Operations

Collaboration revenue—Collaboration revenue relates to services rendered in connection with our agreement with Lilly discussed above under the caption “Overview-Management of Weight Gain induced by Antipsychotics.” Under the agreement, Lilly agreed to supply olanzapine and pay for the costs of the study. We were required to perform development activities as specified in this agreement and we were reimbursed based on the costs associated with the conduct of the trial and the preparation and packaging of clinical trial materials. Revenue was recognized as the services were rendered in accordance with the agreement.

During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we recognized approximately $482,000 and $294,000, respectively, under this agreement. There will be no significant revenue under this agreement in the future as the majority of the activities were completed during 2007.

Research and development expenses—Research and development expenses include the personnel costs related to our development activities, including non-cash stock-based compensation, as well as the costs of discovery research, pre-clinical studies, clinical trial preparations, enrolment and monitoring expenses, regulatory costs and the costs of manufacturing development.

Research and development expenses decreased 62% to $7.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, from $20.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The decrease in expenses reflects clinical trial cost decreases of approximately $13.7 million due to the substantial completion of our earlier Phase 3 clinical trials for psychotic depression in late 2006 and early 2007, which were partially offset by approximately $145,000 in costs associated with the preparations for our upcoming psychotic depression trial and increases in clinical trial costs related to other programs of approximately $510,000. During the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to 2006, there were also increases in contract research expenses of approximately $725,000 due to basic research work in new chemical compounds and the initiation of the micro-dosing study on a selected compound, increases in analytical testing of approximately $75,000 and increases in manufacturing expenses of approximately $360,000 due to the manufacture of additional materials for upcoming clinical trials and manufacturing process development. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to 2006, there were decreases in pre-clinical studies of approximately $995,000 and staffing expenses of approximately $480,000, which included decreases in non-cash stock-based compensation of approximately $275,000. The decreases in staffing expenses were offset by increases in consulting and professional fees of $435,000 for 2007 as compared to 2006.
Research and development expenses increased 22% to $20.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from $17.1 million for 2005. The increase in expenses between years reflect clinical trial cost increases of approximately $4.7 million for 2006 as compared to 2005 primarily related to clinical trial expenses for our first three Phase 3 psychotic depression studies. This increase was partially offset by a reduction in 2006 of approximately $450,000 in expenses for our discovery research program due to the successful conclusion of a program focusing on the discovery of new chemical entities that will be available for future development.

During 2006 as compared to 2005, the costs of our clinical program also reflected a decrease of approximately $695,000 from the conclusion of our study in mild to moderate Alzheimer’s disease in 2005 and an increase of approximately $275,000 related to the commencement of the olanzapine induced weight gain mitigation clinical trial in collaboration with Lilly. In addition, during 2006, as compared to 2005 there were decreases in pre-clinical studies and manufacturing development of approximately $365,000 and $205,000, respectively, decreases in travel, consulting and other expenses of $435,000 and increases in staffing expenses of approximately $545,000. The increases in staffing expenses were primarily due to higher non-cash stock-based compensation expense.

Research and development expenses discussed above included stock based compensation charges related to option grants to individuals performing these functions of approximately $240,000, $575,000 and $224,000, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005. The increase in expense between 2006 and 2005 was due principally to the implementation of SFAS 123R in January 2006. The decrease between 2007 and 2006 was due principally to the cancellation of unvested options due to the resignation of an employee early in 2007, which was partially offset by increases in expense related to options granted during 2007. In addition, during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 upon the termination of employees or the change in status of employees who worked in a development function to consultants, we recorded reversals of approximately $25,000, $40,000 and $250,000, respectively, of previously reported stock-based compensation expense, which represents the difference between the expense recorded and the expense that would have been recorded based upon the rights to options that vested during the service of these individuals as employees. See the discussion below under the caption “Stock-based compensation for options to employees—impact of adopting SFAS 123R” regarding the impact of adoption in January 2006.

Below is a summary of our research and development expenses by major project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Year Ended December 31</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(in thousands)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression</td>
<td>5,645</td>
<td>19,759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORLUX for other clinical programs</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug discovery research</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total research and development expense</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,860</strong></td>
<td><strong>20,834</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>7,860</strong></td>
<td><strong>20,834</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>(in thousands)</strong></td>
<td><strong>17,074</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We expect that research and development expenditures will increase during 2008 as compared to 2007 due to the commencement of our Phase 3 studies in Cushing’s Syndrome and psychotic depression, the clinical trials to further evaluate the management of weight gain induced by antipsychotic medications, and to continue development of our proprietary selective GR-II antagonists. Research and development expenses in 2009 and future years will be largely dependent on the availability of additional funds to finance clinical development plans based on our experience from prior trials. See also, the “Liquidity and Capital Resources” section in this Form 10-K.
Many factors can affect the cost and timing of our trials including inconclusive results requiring additional clinical trials, slow patient enrollment, adverse side effects in study patients, insufficient supplies for our clinical trials and real or perceived lack of effectiveness or safety of the drug in our trials. In addition, the development of all of our product candidates will be subject to extensive governmental regulation. These factors make it difficult for us to predict the timing and costs of the further development and approval of our product candidates.

**General and administrative expenses.** General and administrative expenses consist primarily of the costs of administrative personnel and related facility costs along with legal, accounting and other professional fees.

General and administrative expenses decreased 3% to approximately $4.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, from $5.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The decrease in costs between years was comprised of decreases in legal and professional fees of approximately $100,000 due primarily to lower costs related to patents. Staffing costs also decreased by approximately $30,000 in 2007 as compared to 2006. The changes in staffing costs included a decrease in non-cash stock-based compensation of approximately $160,000, which was offset by increases in salaries and wages of $125,000.

The decreases in stock-based compensation expense during 2007 included a reversal of approximately $395,000 of stock-compensation expense during the second quarter of 2007 in connection with the resignation of an officer, which represents the excess of expense under the graded vesting method as compared with the expense associated with stock options that actually vested prior to this termination. There were net increases of approximately $195,000 between 2007 and 2006 in stock-based compensation charges related to stock options granted to officers and employees during 2007, which were partially offset by declining expense of earlier options due to the decelerating scale of expense under the graded vesting method and options cancelled due to the termination.

General and administrative expenses increased 23% to $5.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, from $4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase in 2006 as compared to 2005 was primarily due to increases in professional fees of approximately $560,000 and increases in staffing costs of approximately $455,000. The increases in staffing expenses were primarily due to higher non-cash stock-based compensation expense.

General and administrative expenses included stock-based compensation expense related to option grants to individuals performing these functions of approximately $1.2 million, $1.0 million and $800,000, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005. See discussion below under the caption “Stock-based compensation for options to employees—impact of adopting SFAS 123R” regarding the impact of adoption in January 2006.

The amount of general and administrative expenses in 2008 and future years will be largely dependent on our assessment of the staff necessary to support our continued clinical development activities and the availability of additional funds. See also, the “Liquidity and Capital Resources” section in this Form 10-K.

**Interest and other income, net.** Interest and other income, net of investment management fees, was approximately $690,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to $720,000 for the same period in 2006 and $1.1 million in 2005. The decreases in each successive period were principally attributable to decreased interest on investments due to lower average balance of invested funds that were partially offset by higher yields on the investment portfolios.

**Other expense.** Other expense was approximately $15,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to $10,000 for the same period in 2006 and $50,000 in 2005. Other expense includes interest expense on capitalized leases entered into during the second quarter of 2005 and state tax on capital which is based on our capital and asset positions as of each year-end.
Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have incurred operating losses since inception, and at December 31, 2007, we had a deficit accumulated during the development stage of $110.0 million. Since our inception, we have relied primarily on the proceeds from public and private sales of our equity securities to fund our operations. On March 30, 2007, we sold 9 million shares of common stock at a price of $1.00 per share in a private placement, which generated net proceeds of approximately $8.8 million after deducting issuance costs. During the third quarter of 2007, we sold approximately 4.8 million shares of common stock at a price of $2.10 per share in a private placement, which generated net proceeds of approximately $10.0 million after deducting issuance costs.

At December 31, 2007, we had cash, cash equivalents and investments balances of $17.4 million, compared to $9.5 million at December 31, 2006. Net cash used in operating activities for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, were $11.0 million, $23.2 million and $17.2 million, respectively. The use of cash in each period was primarily a result of net losses associated with our research and development activities and amounts incurred to develop our administrative infrastructure. We expect cash used in operating activities to increase during 2008 and later years due to the continuation and expansion of our development programs for psychotic depression, Cushing’s Syndrome and the management of weight gain induced by atypical antipsychotic medications, research activities, commercialization activities and general and administrative expenses.

On March 25, 2008, we sold approximately 8.9 million shares of our common stock at a price of $2.77 per share and warrants to purchase approximately 4.5 million shares of its common stock, at a price of $0.125 per warrant in a private placement. The warrants have a seven year term and an exercise price of $2.77 per share. The March 2008 Financing generated approximately $25 million in net proceeds, after deducting the costs of issuance.

On March 25, 2008, we entered into a Committed Equity Financing Facility (CEFF) with Kingsbridge Capital Limited (Kingsbridge), a private investment group. Under the terms of the agreement, Kingsbridge has committed to provide up to $60 million of capital in exchange for newly-issued shares of Corcept’s common stock for a period of up to three years after the Securities and Exchange Commission declares effective the registration statement to be filed by Corcept covering the resale of the shares of common stock issuable in connection with the CEFF and the shares of common stock underlying the warrant discussed below. The maximum number of shares that can be sold by Corcept under this agreement is approximately 9.6 million shares. Under the terms of the agreement, the determination of the exact timing and amount of any CEFF financings will be made solely by Corcept, subject to certain conditions. Based on the closing stock price of the Company’s common stock on March 27, 2008, the maximum amount of net proceeds that could be raised under the CEFF is approximately $27 million. The actual amount of net proceeds that can be raised under this agreement will be dependent on the number of shares actually sold under the agreement and the market value of Corcept’s stock during the pricing periods of each sale.

We believe that, with the completion of these March 2008 financing transactions, we will have sufficient capital resources to complete the final reporting for our recently completed psychotic depression trials, to conduct clinical trials in Cushing’s Syndrome, psychotic depression, and the management of weight gain induced by antipsychotic medications, and to continue development work on our proprietary, selective GR-II antagonists. Our projections include an estimate of proceeds from a variable funding source based on the calculations as of March 27, 2008 discussed in the preceding paragraph. (See Part I, Item 1A, “Risk Factors” for a discussion of risks related to the Committed Equity Financing Facility.)

We will have to perform additional clinical trials prior to submission of an NDA for CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression and for Cushing’s Syndrome. We will need to raise additional funds to complete the development of CORLUX for the treatment of psychotic depression, to initiate its clinical development for other indications, to prepare for its commercialization and to conduct other research activities.
We cannot be certain that additional funding will be available on acceptable terms or at all. Further, any additional equity financing may be dilutive to stockholders, and debt financing, if available, may involve restrictive covenants. If we obtain funds through collaborations with others, these arrangements may be on unfavorable terms or may require us to relinquish certain rights to our technologies or product candidates, including potentially our lead product candidate, that we would otherwise seek to develop on our own. If adequate funds are not available, we may be required to delay, reduce the scope of or eliminate one or more of our research or development programs or we may be required to discontinue operations.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

The following table presents our estimates of obligations under contractual agreements as of December 31, 2007:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payments Due by Period</th>
<th>Less than 1 year (in thousands)</th>
<th>1-3 Years</th>
<th>3-5 Years</th>
<th>More than 5 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research and development studies (1) through (6)</td>
<td>$2,380</td>
<td>$754</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase commitments (7)</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating lease (8)</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital leases (9)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum royalty payments (10)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50 per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$3,221</td>
<td>$870</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$50 per year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Amounts reflected for research and development studies exclude amounts included in accounts payable and accrued clinical costs reflected on the balance sheet as of December 31, 2007.

(2) During 2004 through 2006, we executed a number of agreements to conduct clinical trials and pre-clinical studies for further development of our lead product, CORLUX, targeted for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression. The agreements provide for termination by us upon forty-five days' written notice or less. The exact amounts and timing of these obligations are dependent on the pace of activities of the various trials and studies. As of December 31, 2007, substantially all patient activities had been completed and remaining reporting activities are expected to be completed in early 2008, at a cost of approximately $330,000.

(3) During 2007, the Company signed agreements for services in connection with the trial to be initiated for CORLUX for the treatment of Cushing’s Syndrome. The total commitment under agreements signed during 2007 is approximately $1.6 million. Approximately $140,000 was expended under these agreements during 2007, with the remainder to be spent over the remainder of the trial. Under the master agreement with these vendors, the agreements may be terminated upon sixty days notice to the vendors. If terminated early, the Company would be responsible for the costs incurred by the vendor through the effective date of the termination plus cancellation charges as stipulated in the various agreements.

(4) During 2007 and early 2008, the Company signed agreements for research services on new compounds. The total commitment under these research agreements is approximately $1.0 million of which $425,000 was expended in 2007; the remainder will be incurred in 2008.

(5) During 2007, we initiated an agreement for a human microdosing study of one of the Company’s new chemical entities. The total commitment under this agreement is approximately $540,000. Approximately $210,000 of this commitment was expensed during 2007. The remainder will be incurred in 2008.

(6) In November 2007, the Company signed a Letter of Intent (“LOI”) with a contract research organization to assist in preparations for the upcoming Phase 3 clinical trial in psychotic depression. The total commitment under this LOI was approximately $635,000. Approximately $90,000 of this commitment was expensed in 2007, with the remainder to be paid in 2008.

(7) In November 2007, we initiated purchase orders for the acquisition of active pharmaceutical ingredient to be delivered in 2008.

(8) Our operating lease commitment relates to the lease of our office facility. The operating lease, originally signed in 2005 was effective for a 30 month term, from July 2005 through December 2007 at a monthly rental of approximately $14,000, plus operating expenses. In July 2007, we extended the lease through December 2008 at a monthly rental of approximately $20,000, plus operating expenses.

(9) During 2005, we entered into capital leases for the acquisition of certain pieces of office furniture and equipment.

(10) Under our cancelable license agreement with Stanford University, we are obligated to make nonrefundable minimum royalty payments of $50,000 annually for as long as we maintain our licenses with Stanford; however, these payments are creditable against future royalties.

We also have other contractual payment obligations, the timing of which is contingent on future events.

(a) Under our license agreement with Stanford University related to the patent covering the use of GR-II antagonists to treat the psychosis associated with psychotic depression and early dementia, we are obligated to make milestone payments to Stanford of $50,000 upon filing of an NDA covering the licensed product and $200,000 upon FDA approval of the licensed product. The milestone payments payable to Stanford under these licenses are creditable against future royalties.
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Under the agreement with our contract research company we may be obligated to make milestone payments upon the occurrence of certain events, including: (i) patent filings in connection with the project; (ii) entries into Phase 1 clinical trials; and (iii) national regulatory approval of each product arising from work performed under the agreement. There are no royalty obligations associated with this contract.

Our agreement with ScinoPharm Taiwan that provides for the manufacture and supply of the active pharmaceutical ingredient for CORLUX includes a minimum purchase commitment of $1,000,000 per year following the commercial launch of CORLUX. This agreement may be terminated by us at any time without penalty.

On November 8, 2006, we signed an agreement with Produits Chimiques Auxiliaires et de Synthese SA (“PCAS”) for the manufacture of mifepristone, the active pharmaceutical ingredient in CORLUX, for our development and commercial needs for an initial period of five years. The agreement provides for an automatic extension for one additional year unless either party gives twelve month’s prior notice that it does not want the extension. There is no guaranteed minimum purchase commitment under this agreement. If PCAS is unable to manufacture the product for a consecutive six-month period, we have the right to terminate the agreement without penalty.

In March 2008, we signed an agreement with MedAvante, Inc., to provide centralized psychiatric rating services of patients to be screened and enrolled in our fourth Phase 3 clinical trial evaluating CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression. The total commitment under this agreement is approximately $4.1 million. Approximately $1.7 million of this cost will be incurred during 2008, with the remainder occurring over the course of the trial. This agreement may be terminated by Corcept with 30 days notice to MedAvante.

Net Operating Loss Carryforwards

At December 31, 2007 we had approximately $48.4 million of federal net operating loss carryforwards and approximately $630,000 in federal research and development tax credit carryforwards, as well as approximately $48.1 million of California net operating loss carryforwards and approximately $725,000 in California research and development tax credit carryforwards, available to offset any future taxable income we may generate. The federal and California net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards will expire beginning in 2019 and 2009, respectively. Our deferred tax assets have been offset by a full valuation allowance as the realization of such assets is uncertain. The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, places certain limitations on the annual amount of net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards that can be utilized in any particular year if certain changes in our ownership occur.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities and expenses. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Revenue recognition—Collaboration revenue. Collaboration revenue relates to services rendered in connection with our agreement signed in October 2005 with Lilly under which Lilly agreed to supply olanzapine and pay for the study. We were required to perform development activities as specified in this agreement and were reimbursed based on the costs associated with the conduct of the trial and the preparation and packaging of clinical trial materials. Revenue was recognized as services were rendered in accordance with the agreement. The cost of providing these research services approximates the revenue recognized.

Accruals of Research and Development Costs. We recorded accruals for estimated costs of research, pre-clinical and clinical studies, and manufacturing development of approximately $880,000 and $2.2 million as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. These costs are a significant component of our research and development expenses. We make significant judgments and estimates in determining the accrual balance in each reporting period. Accrued clinical trial costs are based on estimates of the work completed under the service.
agreements, milestones achieved, patient enrollment and past experience with similar contracts and service providers. Our estimate of the work completed and associated costs to be accrued includes our assessment of the information received from our third-party contract research organizations and the overall status of our clinical trial activities. In the past, we have not experienced any material deviations between accrued clinical trial expenses and actual clinical trial expenses. However, actual services performed, number of patients enrolled and the rate of patient enrollment may vary from our estimates, resulting in adjustments to clinical trial expense in future periods.

Stock-based compensation for options. Stock-based compensation arises from the granting of stock options to employees and directors, as well as to non-employees.

Employees and directors

We adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standard 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, or SFAS 123R, as of January 1, 2006 under the “modified prospective” method, in which compensation cost is recognized beginning with the effective date (a) based on the requirements of Statement 123R for all share-based payment arrangements with employees granted or modified after the effective date and (b) based on the requirements of Statement 123 for all awards granted to employees and directors prior to the effective date of Statement 123R that remain non-vested on the effective date. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, we had accounted for stock-based compensation for options granted to employees and directors using the intrinsic value method prescribed in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, or APB 25, and had adopted the disclosure-only alternative of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, or SFAS 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation—Transition and Disclosure, or SFAS 148. Because we had used the “minimum” value method for SFAS 123 pro forma disclosure requirements for options granted prior to the IPO in 2004, we continue to account for the portion of these pre-IPO grants that were non-vested as of January 1, 2006 under the provisions of APB 25 and related interpretations, with pro forma disclosures under SFAS 123.

Under APB 25, we recorded deferred stock-based compensation related to option grants to employees and directors that represents the difference, if any, between the exercise price of an option and the fair value of our common stock on the date of the grant. Given the absence of an active market for our common stock prior to the time of our IPO in April 2004, management was required to estimate the fair value of our common stock based on a variety of company and industry-specific factors for the purpose of measuring the cost of the transaction and properly reflecting it in our financial statements. Since our IPO, all stock options have been granted at exercise prices that represent the closing price for the stock on the Nasdaq Stock Market as of the date of grant. Deferred compensation is included as a reduction of stockholders’ equity and is being amortized to expense over the vesting period of the underlying options, generally five years. Our policy has been to use the graded-vesting method for recognizing compensation costs for fixed employee awards for all awards granted through December 31, 2005. We amortize the deferred stock-based compensation of employee options using the graded-vesting attribution method over the vesting periods of the applicable stock options. The graded-vesting method provides for vesting of portions of the overall awards at interim dates and results in greater expense in earlier years than the straight-line method. Upon termination of employment, the difference between the expense recorded under the graded-vesting method and the expense that would have been recorded based upon the vesting of the related option is required to be reversed.

Following is a brief synopsis of the implications of adoption of this statement on our accounting practices and the estimates and judgments that are considered in determining fair value in regard to stock option grants to employees and directors:

- The grant date fair value for all new grants issued after January 1, 2006 is being amortized to expense using the straight-line method over the vesting period of the options.
- The expected term used in determining the fair value for options is based on the “simple” method prescribed by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, in Staff Accounting Bulletins 107 and 114.
110, and considers the weighted average of the vesting period and contractual life of the options. There has been no adjustment made to the expected term to adjust for employees’ expected exercise and expected post-vesting termination behavior because we have a limited employee base and do not have sufficient historical information to determine such an adjustment.

- The expected volatility of our common stock used in determining the fair value of option grants is based on a weighted-average combination of the volatility of our own stock price and that of a group of peer companies since we do not have sufficient historical data from which to base an appropriate volatility assumption.
- Since we have a limited employee base, at this time we do not have sufficient historical information to determine a reasonable forfeiture rate for options that might not vest because of employee terminations. When an employee terminates, we will record a change in accounting estimate that represents the difference between the expense recorded under the straight-line method and the expense that would have been recorded based upon the rights to options that vested during the individual’s service as an employee.

The following table indicates the impact on our statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006, as a result of implementing SFAS 123R.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Expense Category</th>
<th>Year Ended December 31, 2006 (amounts in thousands)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expense under provisions of APB 25</td>
<td>$ (2) $ 373 $ 371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental expense</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expense under provisions of SFAS 123R</td>
<td>$ 438 $ 1,005 $1,443</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As of December 31, 2007, the Company had the following amounts of unrecognized compensation expense for employee options outstanding as of that date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount (in thousands)</th>
<th>Weighted-average period (in years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remaining deferred compensation related to options granted prior to the IPO, to be expensed under the provisions of APB 25</td>
<td>$ 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining fair value to be expensed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options granted after IPO through 2005, using fair value under SFAS 123</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options granted after January 1, 2006, using fair value under SFAS 123R</td>
<td>3,453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ 3,652</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-employees

Stock-based compensation related to option grants to non-employees is charged to expense on a straight line basis over the vesting period of the options, based on the fair value of the options, which approximates the period over which the related services are rendered, using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The assumptions used in these calculations are similar to those used for the determination of fair value under SFAS 123 and 123R for options granted to employees, with the exception that, for non-employee options, we are required to use the remaining contractual term as the life of the option and the fair value related to unvested non-employee options is re-measured quarterly, based on the then current stock price as reflected on the Nasdaq Stock Market.
The Company accounts for income taxes under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, or SFAS 109. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is recorded when it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will not be recovered.

On January 1, 2007, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, or FIN 48, an interpretation of SFAS 109. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, the Company did not recognize any adjustment to the liability for uncertain tax positions or to its deferred tax assets for unrecognized tax benefits, all of which are currently offset by a full valuation allowance. Therefore, there was no adjustment to the beginning balance of retained earnings in 2007.

No amounts have been recognized as interest or penalties on income tax related matters. The determination of an accounting policy as to the classification of such costs has been deferred until such time as any such costs are incurred.

All tax years from inception remain open to examination by the Internal Revenue Service and the California Franchise Tax Board until such time as the net operating losses and research credits are either fully utilized or expire.

In September 2006, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements, or SAB 108. SAB 108 addresses quantifying the financial statement effects of misstatements: specifically, how the effects of prior year uncorrected misstatements must be considered in quantifying misstatements in the current year financial statements. SAB 108 is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. We have adopted SAB 108 as of January 1, 2007, as required. There was no material impact on our financial statements from the adoption of SAB 108.

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements as of December 31, 2007, with the exception of the operating lease for our office space. The operating lease, originally signed in 2005 was effective for a 30 month term, from July 2005 through December 2007 at a monthly rental of approximately $14,000, plus operating expenses. In July 2007, we extended the lease through December 2008 at a monthly rental of approximately $20,000, plus operating expenses.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, or SFAS 157. SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financing Liabilities—including an amendment of SFAS Statement No. 115, or SFAS 159. SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. SFAS 157 and SFAS 159 are both effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS 157 and SFAS 159 on its financial statements.

In March 2007, the Emerging Issues Task Force of the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or EITF, released a draft of Issue No. 07-3, Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services to Be
Used in Future Research and Development Activities, or EITF 07-3. The initial draft of this Issue was affirmed at EITF meetings in June 2007. EITF 07-3 requires that nonrefundable advance payments for future research and development activities should be deferred and recognized as expense as the goods are delivered or the related services are performed, unless the entity does not expect the goods to be delivered or the services to be rendered. EITF 07-3 is effective for the fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2007, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier adoption is not permitted. The Company does not anticipate that there will be a material effect on its financial statements on the adoption of this standard.

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, ratified EITF No. 07-1, Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements, or EITF 07-1. EITF 07-1 defines collaborative arrangements and establishes reporting requirements for transactions between participants in a collaborative arrangement and between participants in the arrangement and third parties. EITF 07-1 also establishes the appropriate income statement presentation and classification for joint operating activities and payments between participants, as well as the sufficiency of the disclosures related to these arrangements. EITF 07-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and would be applied retrospectively as a change in accounting principle for collaborative arrangements existing at the effective date. The Company does not anticipate that there will be a material effect on its financial statements on the adoption of this standard.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market Risk

The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while at the same time maximizing the income we receive from our investments without significantly increasing risk of loss. As of December 31, 2007, our cash and cash equivalents consisted primarily of money market funds maintained at major U.S. financial institutions and commercial paper with original maturities of less than 90-days and our short-term investments consist of corporate debt securities. As of December 31, 2007, there were no mortgage-backed securities and no auction rate securities in the portfolio. To minimize our exposure to interest rate risk, we have limited the maturities of our investments to less than two years with an average maturity not to exceed one year. Due to the short-term nature of these instruments, a 1% increase or decrease in market interest rates would not have a material impact on the total value of our portfolio as of December 31, 2007.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements required by this item are set forth beginning at page F-1 of this report and are incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS AND ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A (T). CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our periodic and current reports that we file with the SEC is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and discussed with our management, including our Chief
Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable and not absolute assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. In reaching a reasonable level of assurance, management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. In addition, the design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

As of December 31, 2007, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer have evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) which were designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in this Annual Report on Form 10-K was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and Form 10-K. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of our disclosure control system are met. Based on the evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Our internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations and can provide only reasonable assurance that the objectives of the internal control system are met.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on our evaluation, we concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2007.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the company’s registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management’s report on internal controls over financial reporting was not subject to attestation by the company’s registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the company to provide only management’s report in this annual report.

Changes in internal controls. There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2007 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

In November 2007, Dr. Robert L. Roe, our President, adopted a plan in accordance with Rule 10b5-1 under the Exchange Act for sales of our common stock. Under this plan, shares may be sold from time to time over 12-month periods, beginning in December 2007.
PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Board of Directors

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2007, the name, age and occupation of each member of our Board of Directors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>James N. Wilson(3)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Chairman of the Board of the Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen Andersson</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Chairman, Paperboy Ventures LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Chief Executive Officer of the Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Leonard Baker, Jr.(2)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Venture Capitalist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph C. Cook, Jr.(1)(3)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Executive/Investor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James A. Harper(2)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Retired Pharmaceutical Executive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David L. Mahoney(1)(3)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Private Equity Investor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alix Marduel, M.D.(2)(3)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Venture Capitalist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David B. Singer(1)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Private Investment Fund Principal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Member of audit committee
(2) Member of compensation committee
(3) Member of nominating and corporate governance committee

The directors are elected at each annual meeting of stockholders, or special meeting in lieu thereof. The directors serve for a one-year term until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualified.

James N. Wilson has served as a director and as Chairman of the Board since 1999. In addition, since 2005, Mr. Wilson has been the Chairman of the Board of NuGEN Technologies, Inc. Since 2002, he has served as a director of Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. From 1996 to 2001, Mr. Wilson was Chairman of the Board of Amira Medical, Inc. From 1991 to 1994, he was Chief Operating Officer of Syntex Corporation. From 1989 to 1990, Mr. Wilson was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Neurex Corporation and from 1982 to 1988, Mr. Wilson was Chief Executive Officer of LifeScan, Inc. Mr. Wilson received his B.A. and M.B.A. from the University of Arizona.

Allen Andersson is founder and chairman of Paperboy Ventures LLC, a merchant bank commercializing undervalued science since 2003. A software designer and entrepreneur for over twenty years, he was a founder of LightSpeed International, a developer of voice-over-Internet systems in 1995, Expert Image Systems, a developer of medical diagnostic technology in 1986 and Interleaf, an early word processing developer in 1981. Mr. Andersson has held executive positions in Logos Advanced Technologies, Transatlantic Software. He is also President of The Riecken Foundation, which creates public libraries and promotes prosperity and democracy in Central America. Mr. Andersson received his S.B. in mathematics from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and served in the Peace Corps in Honduras.

Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D. is a co-founder of the Company and has served as a member of the Board of Directors and as the Company’s Chief Executive Officer since 1999. Dr. Belanoff is currently a clinical faculty member and has held various positions in the Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences at Stanford University since 1992. From 1997 to 2001, he served as the Director of Psychopharmacology at the outpatient division of the Palo Alto Veterans Affairs Hospital. Dr. Belanoff received his B.A. from Amherst College and his M.D. from Columbia University’s College of Physicians & Surgeons.

G. Leonard Baker, Jr. has served as a member of the Board of Directors since 1999. Since 1973, Mr. Baker has been a Managing Director of the General Partner of Sutter Hill Ventures, a venture capital firm. Mr. Baker
currently serves on the boards of a number of private companies. Mr. Baker received his B.A. from Yale University and his M.B.A. from Stanford University.

**Joseph C. Cook, Jr.** has served as a member of the Board of Directors since 2002. Mr. Cook is Chairman of the Board of Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Mr. Cook served as Chief Executive Officer of Amylin Pharmaceuticals from 1998 to 2003. Mr. Cook is a founder and currently serves as Chairman of the Board of Microbia, Inc. Mr. Cook is an officer of Mountain Ventures, Inc. and a founder of Clinical Products, Inc. and Mountain Group Capital, LLC. Mr. Cook retired as Group Vice President of Eli Lilly & Company in 1993 after more than 28 years of service. Mr. Cook received his B.S. from the University of Tennessee.

**James A. Harper** has served as a member of the Board of Directors since October 2004. He has spent over 30 years in the pharmaceutical and healthcare industries, all in positions with Eli Lilly and Company, from which he retired in 2004. Mr. Harper served as Group Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer from 2001 to 2004 and as President, Diabetes and Growth Disorders Business Unit / Product Group from 1994 to 2001. He was a Vice President, Global Pharmaceutical Marketing, from 1993 to 1994 and was President and CEO, Advanced Cardiovascular Systems, Inc. from 1991 to 1993. Mr. Harper also serves on the Board of Directors of Zymogenetics, Inc., the Board of Directors of Anesiva, Inc. and the Board of Directors of Phenomix Corporation, all biotechnology companies. He is also an advisor for Nomura Phase4 Ventures. Mr. Harper received his B.A. from Vanderbilt University and his M.B.A. from The Wharton School of Business.

**David L. Mahoney** has served as a member of the Board of Directors since July 2004. From 1999 to 2001, Mr. Mahoney served as co-CEO of McKesson HBOC, Inc., a healthcare supply management and information technology company and as CEO of iMcKesson LLC, a healthcare management and connectivity company. He joined McKesson Corporation in 1990 as Vice President for Strategic Planning. Prior to joining McKesson, Mr. Mahoney was a principal with McKinsey & Company where he worked from 1981 to 1990. He also serves on the Board of Directors of Symantec Corporation, Tercica, Inc., Live Oak School, San Francisco Museum of Modern Art, Mercy Corps and NCPB, Inc., a public television and radio operator. Mr. Mahoney received his B.A. from Princeton University and his M.B.A. from Harvard University.

**Alix Marduel, M.D.** has served as a member of the Board of Directors since May 2001. Since April 1997, Dr. Marduel has been a managing director of Alta Partners, a venture capital firm investing in information technology and life science companies. Prior to joining Alta Partners, she was a partner at Soffinova, Inc., which she joined in 1990. Dr. Marduel has conducted post-doctoral research in immunology at the University of California at San Francisco and at Stanford University. Prior to moving to the United States in 1986, she was employed by ICI-Pharma, where she organized clinical trials in England and France. She holds a medical doctorate from the University of Paris, and is licensed to practice in Europe and has passed the U.S. equivalency exams.

**David B. Singer** has served as a member of the Board of Directors since 1998. Since December 2004, Mr. Singer has been a Principal at Maverick Capital Ltd., an investment manager to private investment funds. From September 1998 to February 2004, Mr. Singer was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of GeneSoft Pharmaceuticals, Inc. From 1992 to 1996, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of Affymetrix, Inc. Mr. Singer also serves on the Board of Directors of Affymetrix, Inc. Mr. Singer received his B.A. from Yale University and his M.B.A. from Stanford University.

There are no family relationships among any of the Company’s directors or executive officers.
Executive Officers

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2007, information about our executive officers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Chief Executive Officer and Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert L. Roe, M.D.</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>President and Secretary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne M. LeDoux</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D.’s background is discussed above.

Robert L. Roe, M.D. joined us as President in October 2001. Dr. Roe has spent more than 30 years in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries. From 1999 to 2001, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Allergenics, Inc. From 1996 to 1999, he was Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and a director of Cytel Corporation. From 1995 to 1996, he was Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and a director of Chugai Biopharmaceuticals, Inc. From 1992 to 1995, Dr. Roe served as President of the Development Research Division and Senior Vice President of Syntex Corporation. Dr. Roe received his B.A. from Stanford University and his M.D. from the University of California, San Francisco.

Anne M. LeDoux joined the company as Controller in 2004 and was promoted to the position of Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer in April 2007. Ms. LeDoux has over 15 years of financial and accounting management experience with public pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies. Prior to joining Corcept in 2004, Ms. LeDoux served in various financial positions at Aviron, Roche Biosciences and Syntex Corporation. She was also Vice President and Chief Financial Officer at the Northern California Health Center and Vice President, Finance for the Children’s Hospital of San Francisco. Ms. LeDoux is a Certified Public Accountant and has over 13 years of experience in public accounting, primarily at Coopers and Lybrand. Ms. LeDoux received her Bachelor of Arts degree in Business from the University of Massachusetts and a law degree from Western New England College, School of Law.

Board Meetings and Committees

The Board met eleven times during fiscal 2007; five of them telephonically, and took action via unanimous written consent once. The Audit Committee met five times and the Compensation Committee met three times. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met twice during fiscal 2007. Each member of the Board attended 75% or more of the total number of Board meetings and meetings of Board committees on which such Board member served, other than David Singer who attended 55% of the Board meetings and 80% of Audit Committee meetings.

The Board has standing Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees as described under “Director Independence,” below.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee currently consists of David L. Mahoney (chairman), Joseph C. Cook, Jr. and David B. Singer. The Board has determined that all members of the Audit Committee are independent directors under the rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market and each of them is able to read and understand fundamental financial statements. In addition, the Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee also satisfies the independence requirements of Rule 10A-3(b)(1) of the Exchange Act. The Board has determined that David L. Mahoney qualifies as an “Audit Committee financial expert” as defined by Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The purpose of the Audit Committee is to oversee the accounting and financial reporting processes of the Company and audits of its financial statements. The responsibilities of the Audit Committee include appointing and providing the compensation of the independent accountants to conduct the annual audit of
the Company’s accounts, reviewing the scope and results of the independent audits, reviewing and evaluating internal accounting policies, and approving all professional services to be provided to the Company by its independent auditors.

**Compensation Committee.** The Compensation Committee currently consists of G. Leonard Baker, Jr. (chairman), James A. Harper, David L. Mahoney and Alix Marduel, M.D. The Board has determined that all members of the Compensation Committee are independent directors under the rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market. The Compensation Committee administers the Company’s benefit plans, reviews and administers all compensation arrangements for executive officers, and establishes and reviews general policies relating to the compensation and benefits of the Company’s officers and employees.

**Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.** The Company’s Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee consists of Joseph C. Cook, Jr. (chairman), and Alix Marduel, M.D. and James N. Wilson. Alan F. Schatzberg, M.D. was also a member of the this committee until his term as a director was concluded at the Annual Meeting on June 11, 2007. The Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for identifying individuals qualified to serve as members of the Board, recommending to the independent members of the Board nominees for election as directors of the Company and providing oversight with respect to corporate governance and ethical conduct. Although Mr. Wilson is an employee of the Company and therefore not an “independent director” for NASDAQ purposes, the Company’s director nomination process meets applicable NASDAQ requirements because the Company’s director nominees are selected by the independent members of the Board.

**Communications with Directors**

Stockholders or other interested parties may communicate with any director or committee of the Board by writing to them c/o Secretary, Corcept Therapeutics, 149 Commonwealth Drive, Menlo Park, California 94025. Comments or questions regarding the Company’s accounting, internal controls or auditing matters will be referred to members of the Audit Committee. Comments or questions regarding the nomination of directors and other corporate governance matters will be referred to members of the Nominating and Governance Committee.

The Company encourages its directors to attend the annual stockholder meetings. One of the Company’s directors attended the 2007 annual meeting.

**Code of Ethics**

The Company has adopted a code of ethics that applies to all officers and employees, including its principal executive officer, principal financial officer and controller. This code of ethics has been filed as Exhibit 14.1 to the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 filed with the SEC. The Company will also deliver a copy of its code of ethics to any stockholder, without charge, upon written request to Corcept Therapeutics, 149 Commonwealth Drive, Menlo Park, California 94025, Attention: Secretary, or upon oral request by calling (650) 327-3270.

**Section 16(A) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Under Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act and SEC rules, the Company’s directors, executive officers and beneficial owners of more than 10% of any class of equity security are required to file periodic reports of their ownership, and changes in that ownership, with the SEC. Based solely on its review of copies of these reports and representations of such reporting persons, the Company believes that during fiscal 2007, such SEC filing requirements were satisfied, with the exception that David Singer reported on a Form 5 filed on February 13, 2008, gifts of stock to his children on December 17, 2007 and the grant of a stock option from the Company on December 19, 2007. These transactions should have been reported on Forms 4 within 2 business days after the occurrence.
ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Compensation Objectives

For Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D., our Chief Executive Officer, Robert L. Roe, M.D., our President and Anne LeDoux, our Vice President and Controller (Chief Accounting Officer), our named executive officers (“NEOs”) compensation is intended to be performance-based, with the exception of such NEOs’ base salary. The Compensation Committee believes that compensation paid to NEOs should be closely aligned with the performance of the Company on both a short-term and long-term basis, linked to specific, measurable results intended to create value for stockholders, and that such compensation should assist the Company in attracting and retaining key executives critical to its long-term success.

In establishing compensation for executive officers, the following are the Compensation Committee’s objectives:

• Attract and retain individuals of superior managerial talent;
• Ensure senior officer compensation is aligned with the Company’s corporate strategies, business objectives and the long-term interests of the Company’s stockholders;
• Increase the incentive to achieve key strategic and financial performance measures by linking incentive award opportunities to the achievement of performance goals in these areas; and
• Align officer and shareholder interests, as well as promote retention of key people, by providing a portion of total compensation opportunities for senior management in the form of direct ownership in the Company through stock options.

The Company’s overall compensation program is structured to attract, motivate and retain highly qualified executive officers by paying them competitively, consistent with the Company’s success and their contribution to that success. The Company believes compensation should be structured to ensure that a portion of compensation opportunity will be directly related to Company stock performance and other factors that directly and indirectly influence stockholder value. Accordingly, the Company sets goals designed to link each NEO’s compensation to the Company’s performance, such as the attainment of clinical goals and meeting agreed upon financial targets.

The Company provides a base salary to our executive officers. Additionally, consistent with our performance-based philosophy, the Company reserves the largest potential compensation awards for performance- and incentive-based programs for the Company’s senior executive management team, comprised of the Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Accounting Officer. Such programs include stock options grants, designed to provide compensation opportunities if milestones that increase the value of the Company, such as positive results in clinical trials, are attained. Incentive-based programs provide compensation in the form of both cash and equity, to reward for both short-term and long-term performance of the Company. The Compensation Committee allocates total compensation between cash and equity compensation based on the Compensation Committee members’ knowledge of compensation practices in the biotechnology and specialty pharmaceutical industries. The balance between equity and cash compensation among members of the senior executive management team, all three of whom are NEOs, is evaluated annually to align the interests of management with stockholders through both short and long term incentives.

The Chairman of the Board and the members of the Compensation Committee are seasoned executives of, consultants to or venture capitalists with investments in the biotechnology and specialty pharmaceutical industry. Collectively they have served as board and compensation committee members of many public and privately held companies including Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., NuGen Technologies, Inc., Neurex Corporation, Praecis Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tercica, Inc., and Zymogenetics Inc. As a result of this extensive involvement in the compensation of executives in these and other companies, the Chairman of the Board and the members of the
Compensation Committee collectively have developed a clear understanding and knowledge of the compensation structures that are necessary to attract, motivate and retain management talent.

**Determination of Compensation**

The Compensation Committee is provided with the primary authority to determine and recommend the compensation awards available to the Company’s executive officers for approval by the Board of Directors. Based on the Compensation Committee members’ collective understanding of compensation practices in similar companies in the biotechnology and specialty pharmaceutical industry, the Company’s executive compensation package consists of the following elements, in addition to the employee benefit plans in which all employees may participate:

- **Base salary**: compensation for ongoing performance throughout the year.
- **Periodic performance-based cash compensation**: awards to recognize and reward achievement of performance goals.
- **Long-term performance-based equity incentive program**: equity compensation to provide an incentive to the NEOs to manage the Company from the perspective of an owner with an equity stock in the business.
- **Severance and change of control benefits**: remuneration paid to executives in the event of a change of control of the Company or involuntary employment termination.

To aid the Compensation Committee in making its determination, our Chief Executive Officer provides recommendations annually to the Compensation Committee regarding the compensation of all other executive officers. Each NEO in turn, participates in an annual performance review with our Chief Executive Officer to provide input about their individual contributions to the Company’s success for the period being assessed. The overall performance of our senior executive management team is reviewed annually by the Compensation Committee.

The Company sets base salary structures and any grants of stock options based on the Compensation Committee members’ collective understanding of compensation practices in the biotechnology and specialty pharmaceutical industry and such members’ experiences as seasoned executives, consultants, board and compensation committee members, or investors in similar biotechnology and specialty pharmaceutical industry companies.

**Tax Considerations**

A goal of the Compensation Committee is to comply with the requirements of Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (“Section 162 (m) limits the tax deductibility by the Company of annual compensation in excess of $1,000,000 paid to our Chief Executive Officer and any of our three other most highly compensated executive officers, other than our Chief Financial Officer. However, performance-based compensation that has been approved by our stockholders is excluded from the $1,000,000 limit if, among other requirements, the compensation is payable only upon the attainment of pre-established, objective performance goals and the committee of our board of directors that establishes such goals consist only of “outside directors.” All members of the Compensation Committee qualify as outside directors.

While the tax impact of any compensation arrangement is one factor to be considered, such impact is evaluated in light of the Compensation Committee’s overall compensation philosophy and objectives. The Compensation Committee will consider ways to maximize the deductibility of executive compensation, while retaining the discretion it deems necessary to compensate officers in a manner commensurate with performance and the competitive environment for executive talent. From time to time, the Compensation Committee may award compensation to our executive officers which is not fully deductible if it determines that such award is consistent with its philosophy and is in our and our stockholders’ best interests.
Certain option grants made under our equity plans are intended to be structured so that any compensation deemed paid upon the exercise of those options will qualify as performance-based compensation that is not subject to the $1,000,000 limitation.

Elements of Executive Compensation

Base Compensation

The Company pays base salaries to provide fixed compensation based on the Compensation Committee’s assessment of competitive market practices. Due to the Compensation Committee’s collective experience with similar companies in the biotechnology and specialty pharmaceutical industry, the Compensation Committee has intricate knowledge and understanding of what the industry demands in order to motivate and retain our executive officers. The Company provides each NEO with a base salary that was established by extensive negotiations with each NEO when such individual first joined the Company as an employee or was promoted to the position of executive officer. Base salaries have not changed in 2007 as compared to 2006 other than for annual cost of living adjustments of 4% per year that were approved by the Compensation Committee and applied equally to all employees. While base salaries are not considered by the Internal Revenue Service to constitute performance-based compensation, each year the Compensation Committee reviews the CEO’s base salary to determine if a change is appropriate based on Company performance, such as the Company’s progress on research and development programs. Similarly, the CEO reviews the base salary of the other NEOs and has the ability to propose a change in base salary based on performance to the Compensation Committee. Other than the annual cost of living increases that the Compensation Committee has approved, no formulaic base salary increases are provided to the NEOs.

Performance-Based Compensation

Performance Goals and Periodic Performance-Based Cash Compensation

The Company structures its compensation programs to reward executive officers based on the Company’s performance. This allows executive officers to receive bonus compensation in the event certain specified corporate performance measures are achieved. To date, the Company has not instituted an annual performance-based cash compensation or annual performance-based equity compensation program because the Compensation Committee believes that the compensation objective to ensure that executive officers’ compensation is aligned with the Company’s corporate strategies, business objectives and the long-term interests of the Company’s stockholders is achieved when milestone successes are met, such as meeting the predetermined endpoints in the Company’s clinical trials. The achievement of these milestones does not necessarily correspond with annual performance periods.

Performance-based cash compensation has been awarded in past years primarily to recognize the attainment of certain accomplishments of value enhancing milestones such as successful financing transactions and positive results in clinical trials. The Compensation Committee believes that performance-based compensation should be based on achievement of certain milestone successes, such as the attainment of predetermined end-points in the Company’s clinical trials, successful financing transactions and commencement of certain clinical trials. For 2007, the Compensation Committee performed a retrospective assessment of performance during the year and recommended to the Board of Directors the payment of a 25% bonus of actual 2007 salary earned (adjusted for any partial year employees) to each employee of the Company at December 31, 2007, including the officers. This recommendation was approved by the Board of Directors at their meeting in December 2007. This bonus was paid in January 2008.

Long-Term Performance-Based Equity Incentive Program

The Company’s executive officers, along with all of the Company’s employees, are eligible to participate in the Company’s awarding of stock options under its 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. As discussed above, the
Company believes, with its performance-based approach to compensation, that equity ownership in the Company is important to tie the ultimate level of an executive officer’s compensation to the performance of the Company’s stock and stockholder gains while creating an incentive for sustained growth. The Company has, thus far, only used stock options as the long-term performance-based equity incentive vehicle because the Compensation Committee believes that stock options maximize executive officers’ incentive to increase the Company’s stock price and maximize stockholder value (i.e. there is no financial gain to an executive officer unless our stock price appreciates.)

Equity compensation in the form of incentive or non-qualified stock options is awarded by the Compensation Committee from time to time. The size and the timing of each grant is based on a number of factors, including the executive officer’s salary, such executive officer’s contributions to the achievement of the Company’s financial and strategic objectives, the value of the stock option at the time of grant, the possible value of the option if the Company achieves its objectives and industry practices and norms from the collective knowledge of the Compensation Committee as seasoned executives of, consultants to, board and compensation members of, and venture capitalists with investments in similar companies in the industry. The relative weight given to each of these factors varies among individuals at the Compensation Committee’s discretion. There is no set formula for the granting of stock options to individual executives and employees. Grants also may be made following a significant change in job responsibility or in recognition of a significant achievement. In April 2007, Dr. Belanoff, Dr. Roe and Ms. LeDoux were granted stock options for 1,000,000, 700,000 and 125,000 shares, respectively. The amounts of these stock option grants were awarded in recognition of each individual’s outstanding performance and determined by the Compensation Committee through its collective understanding of compensation practices in the biotechnology and specialty pharmaceutical industry.

Stock options granted to NEOs under the various stock plans generally have a four or five-year vesting schedule in order to provide an incentive for continued employment and generally expire ten years from the date of the grant. This provides a reasonable time frame in which to provide the executive officer with the possibility of price appreciation of the Company’s shares. The exercise price of options granted under the stock plans is 100% of the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant.

The Company grants all stock option awards based on the fair market as of the date of grant. The Company does not have a policy of granting stock option awards at other than the fair market value. The exercise price for stock option grants is determined by looking at the fair market value of the last quoted price per share on the Nasdaq Capital Market on the date of grant. The Company does not have a policy and does not intend to have a policy or practice to select option grant dates for executive officers in coordination with the release of material non-public information.

**Defined Contribution Plans**

The Company has a Section 401(k) Savings/Retirement Plan (the “401(k) Plan”) to cover eligible employees of the Company and any designated affiliate. The 401(k) Plan permits eligible employees of the Company to defer up to 100% of their annual compensation, subject to certain limitations imposed by the Internal Revenue Code. The employees’ elective deferrals are immediately vested and non-forfeitable upon contribution to the 401(k) Plan. The Company currently makes no matching contributions to the 401(k) Plan. Employees of the Company are eligible to participate in the 401(k) Plan on the first day of the month coinciding with or immediately following the first day of employment.

**Severance and Change in Control Arrangements**

On July 24, 2007, the Company entered into Severance and Change in Control Agreements with each of its executive officers: Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D., Chief Executive Officer; Robert L. Roe, M.D., President; and Anne M. LeDoux, Chief Accounting Officer. The terms of the agreements are identical. The agreements provide that, if employment is terminated without cause or for good reason regardless of whether it is in connection with a
change in control, the executive will be eligible for 12 months of his or her then current base salary and continued health insurance coverage for this same period. In addition, the agreements provide for the full vesting of all outstanding equity awards in the event the executive’s employment is terminated without cause or for good reason within 18 months following a change in control. The agreement with Dr. Roe supersedes his prior agreement with the Company. The other officers did not have prior employment or severance agreements.

On July 24, 2007, the Company also entered into a Severance and Change in Control Agreement with James N. Wilson, Chairman of the Board of Directors. The agreement with Mr. Wilson provides that if his employment or service on the Board terminates involuntarily without cause or good reason within eighteen months of a change in control all of his outstanding equity awards shall become fully vested. Mr. Wilson did not have a prior severance agreement.

These severance and change in control arrangements are designed to retain these executives in these key positions as the Company competes for talented executives in the marketplace where such protections are commonly offered. These arrangements provide benefits to encourage the executives to continue to provide necessary or desirable service to the company during a change in control and to ease the transition of the executives due to an unexpected employment termination by the Company due to changes in the Company’s employment needs.

Other Elements of Compensation and Perquisites

Medical Insurance. The Company, at its sole cost, provides to each employee (including each NEO), and his or her spouse and children such health, dental and optical insurance as the Company may from time to time make available to its other employees of the same level of employment. Such insurance programs are part of an overall broad-based total compensation program designed to facilitate the Company’s ability to attract and retain employees as the Company competes for talented individuals in the marketplace where such benefits are commonly offered.

Life and Disability Insurance. The Company provides each employee (including each NEO) such disability and/or life insurance as the Company in its sole discretion may from time to time make available to its other employees of the same level of employment. Such insurance programs are part of an overall broad-based total compensation program designed to facilitate the Company’s ability to attract and retain employees as the Company competes for talented individuals in the marketplace where such benefits are commonly offered.

Policies with Respect to Equity Compensation Awards

The Company grants all stock option awards based on the fair market value as of the date of grant. The Company does not have a policy of granting stock option awards at other than the fair market value. The exercise price for stock option grants is determined by looking at the fair market value of the last quoted price per share on the Nasdaq Global Market on the date of grant. The Company does not have a policy and does not intend to have a policy or practice to select option grant dates for executive officers in coordination with the release of material non-public information.

The following tables and descriptive materials set forth information concerning compensation earned for services rendered to the Company by its Chief Executive Officer (the “CEO”), Chief Financial Officer (the “CFO”), Chief Accounting Officer (the “CAO”) and the Company’s other executive officer for fiscal year 2007 whose salary and bonus for the fiscal year 2007 exceeded $100,000. The data for the Chief Financial Officer is included for 2006 and through mid-April 2007, at which time Mr. Kurland resigned. Collectively, together with the CEO and CAO, these are the “named executive officers” for the respective years.
Summary Compensation Table

The following table provides compensation information for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 for each of our named executive officers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and Principal Position</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Salary ($)</th>
<th>Bonus ($)</th>
<th>Stock Awards ($)</th>
<th>Option Awards ($)</th>
<th>Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ($)</th>
<th>All Other Compensation ($)</th>
<th>Total ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D., Chief Executive Officer</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$411,008</td>
<td>$102,752</td>
<td>$1,130,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,643,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$395,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$395,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert L. Roe, M.D., President</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$378,776</td>
<td>$95,294</td>
<td></td>
<td>$791,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,267,470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$364,208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$181,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$548,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne LeDoux, Vice President and Controller (Chief Accounting Officer)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$191,777</td>
<td>$47,944</td>
<td></td>
<td>$141,250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$380,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Kurland, Chief Financial Officer (3)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$93,408</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$93,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$257,088</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$90,750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$347,838</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Refer to Notes 1—“Accounting Policies and Estimates—Stock-Based Compensation” included in Part II—Item 8—Financial Statements in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the relevant assumptions used to determine the valuation of our option awards.

(2) Anne LeDoux promoted to Chief Accounting Officer in April 2007. Compensation earned in 2006 was not in a position as an executive officer.

(3) Fred Kurland resigned from the company effective April 13, 2007.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards during 2007

The following table summarizes the grants of stock and option awards we made to the named executive officers in 2007.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Grant Date</th>
<th>Threshold ($)</th>
<th>Target ($)</th>
<th>Maximum ($)</th>
<th>Threshold ($)</th>
<th>Target ($)</th>
<th>Maximum ($)</th>
<th>All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (a)</th>
<th>All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (b)</th>
<th>Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards ($/Sh)</th>
<th>Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D.</td>
<td>04/16/07</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,130,000</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>$1,130,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert L. Roe, M.D.</td>
<td>04/16/07</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>791,000</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>$791,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne LeDoux</td>
<td>04/16/07</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>141,250</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>$141,250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Refer to Notes 1—“Accounting Policies and Estimates—Stock-Based Compensation” included in the Part II—Item 8—Financial Statements in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the relevant assumptions used to determine the valuation of our option awards.

(2) The options were granted under the Company’s 2004 Equity Incentive Plan.
# Outstanding Equity Awards At Fiscal Year-End

The following table summarizes unexercised options that have not vested and related information for each of our named executive officers as of December 31, 2007.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Option Awards</th>
<th>Stock Awards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of Securities Underlying Exercisable Options</td>
<td>Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(§)</td>
<td>(§)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D.</td>
<td>166,672(3)</td>
<td>833,328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert L. Roe, M.D.</td>
<td>10,000(1)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>81,790(1)</td>
<td>18,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56,740(1)</td>
<td>43,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21,875(2)</td>
<td>28,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>116,670(3)</td>
<td>583,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne M. LeDoux</td>
<td>12,862(1)</td>
<td>4,648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26,953(1)</td>
<td>15,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6,757(1)</td>
<td>8,243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20,834(3)</td>
<td>104,166</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **(1)** The option vests at the rate of 20% at the first anniversary of the grant date and, thereafter, at the rate of 1.67% per month, until fully vested.
- **(2)** The option vests at the rate of 25% at the first anniversary of the grant date and, thereafter, at the rate of 2.0834% per month, until fully vested.
- **(3)** The option vests at the rate of 2.0834% per month until fully vested.

**Option Exercises and Stock Vested**

None of our named executive officers exercised stock options during 2007. To date, no stock awards have been granted to any of our named executive officers.

**Pension Benefits**

None of our named executive officers participate in or have account balances in qualified or non-qualified defined benefit plans sponsored by us.

**Nonqualified Deferred Compensation**

None of our named executives participate in or have account balances in non-qualified defined contribution plans or other deferred compensation plans maintained by us.

**Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control**

**Severance and Change of Control Agreements**

On July 24, 2007, the Company entered into Severance and Change in Control Agreements with each of its executive officers: Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D., Chief Executive Officer; Robert L. Roe, M.D., President; and Anne M. LeDoux, Chief Accounting Officer. The terms of the agreements are identical. The agreements provide
that, if employment is terminated without cause or for good reason regardless of whether it is in connection with a change in control, the executive will be eligible for 12 months of his or her then current base salary and continued health insurance coverage for such 12-month period. In addition, the agreements provide for the full vesting of all outstanding equity awards in the event the executive employment is terminated without cause or for good reason within 18 months following a change in control. The agreement with Dr. Roe supersedes his prior agreement with the Company. The other executive officers did not have prior employment or severance agreements.

On July 24, 2007, the Company also entered into a Severance and Change in Control Agreement with James N. Wilson, Chairman of the Board of Directors. The agreement with Mr. Wilson provides that if his employment or service on the Board terminates involuntarily without cause or good reason within eighteen months of a change in control all of his outstanding equity awards shall become fully vested. Mr. Wilson did not have a prior severance agreement.

The following table reflects compensation payable to each named executive officer under a change of control or various employment termination events. The amounts shown below assume that (i) a change of control of the Company or (ii) each named executive officer terminated employment with the Company, was effective as of December 31, 2007, and estimates the value to the named executive officer as a result of each triggering event.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Termination Without Cause(1)</th>
<th>Involuntary Termination Other Than for Death, Disability or Cause Within 18 Months of Change of Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D.</td>
<td>Base Salary</td>
<td>$411,008</td>
<td>$411,008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accelerated Vesting, of Stock Options(2)(3)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$1,324,992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health Benefit</td>
<td>$10,580</td>
<td>$10,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert L. Roe, M.D.</td>
<td>Base Salary</td>
<td>$378,776</td>
<td>$378,776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accelerated Vesting, of Stock Options(2)(3)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$927,495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health Benefit</td>
<td>$770</td>
<td>$770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne M. LeDoux</td>
<td>Base Salary</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accelerated Vesting, of Stock Options(2)(3)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$165,624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health Benefit</td>
<td>$13,503</td>
<td>$13,503</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Assumes that the stock options were not assumed or substituted by the successor entity to the Company or a parent or subsidiary of the successor entity.

(2) Assumes that the stock options were not assumed or substituted by the successor entity to the Company or a parent or subsidiary of the successor entity.

(3) For unvested options held by named executive officers as of December 31, 2007, the value ascribed to the change of control acceleration features under the Severance and Change of Control Agreements is calculated as follows:
   a. For options where the exercise price of the options exceeded the closing stock price for the Company’s common stock on the Nasdaq Stock Market as of December 31, 2007, the value represents the difference between these factors multiplied by the number of unvested options as of December 31, 2007.
   b. There is no value ascribed to option for which the exercise price of the options exceeded the closing stock price for the Company’s common stock on the Nasdaq Stock Market as of December 31, 2007.
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The following table provides compensation information for the one year period ended December 31, 2007, for each member of our Board of Directors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Fees Earned or Paid in Cash ($)</th>
<th>Stock Awards ($)</th>
<th>Option Awards ($)</th>
<th>Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ($)</th>
<th>Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ($)</th>
<th>All Other Compensation ($)</th>
<th>Total ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>James N. Wilson(2)</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen Andersson</td>
<td>$ 7,500</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 141,400(9)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 148,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D.(3)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Leonard Baker, Jr.</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 57,300(6)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 72,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph C. Cook, Jr.(1)</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 28,650(6)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 53,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James A. Harper(1)</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 28,650(6)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 43,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David L. Mahoney(5)</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 57,300(6)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 82,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alix Marduel, M.D.</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 28,650(6)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 43,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan F. Schatzberg(7)</td>
<td>$ 7,500</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David B. Singer</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 28,650(6)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 53,650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) The following are the aggregate number of shares represented by option awards outstanding that have been granted to each of our non-employee directors as of December 31, 2006, the last day of the 2006 fiscal year: Mr. Cook: 75,000; Mr. Harper: 60,000; Mr. Mahoney: 70,000.
(2) Mr. Wilson is an employee director. He receives no additional compensation in his capacity as a director.
(3) Dr. Belanoff is a full time employee and a named executive officer of the company and is compensated in that capacity. He receives no additional compensation in his capacity as a director.
(4) Refer to Notes 1—“Accounting Policies and Estimates—Stock-Based Compensation” included in Part II – Item 8—Financial Statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the relevant assumptions used to determine the valuation of our option awards.
(5) This option was granted under the Company’s 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and vests at the rate of 25% at the first anniversary of the vesting base date and, thereafter, at the rate of 2.0834% per month, until fully vested.
(6) These options were granted under the Company’s 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and vest at the rate of 8.3334% per month on monthly anniversary of the vesting base date, until fully vested.
(7) Dr. Schatzberg’s term on the Board was completed in June 2007 at the time of our Annual Meeting.

Non-employee directors receive a director fee from the Company for their services as members of the Board in the amount of $15,000 per year. Members of the Audit Committee receive an additional $10,000 per year. New directors receive an initial stock option grant of 70,000 shares of the Company’s common stock in connection with their initial election to the Board. The initial director options will vest with respect to 25% of the shares on the first anniversary of the date of the grant and, thereafter, at the rate of 2.0834% per month, until fully vested. Non-employee directors who are reelected at the Annual Shareholder Meeting each receive a stock option grant that vests over the one year term as director at the rate of 8.3334% per month from the date of the Annual Meeting until fully vested. The chairmen of the Audit Committee and the Compensation Committee each receive additional grant of 15,000 shares of the Company’s common stock with a similar one-year vesting provision.

During 2007, Allen Andersson was awarded a stock option grant of 70,000 shares of stock as a newly elected director with the four year vesting schedule described above. Also, during 2007, the chairmen of the Audit Committee and the Compensation Committee each received a stock option grant for 30,000 shares of the Company stock and all other non-employee directors that were reelected in June 2007 received grants of 15,000 shares of the Company’s common stock, which vest over their one year term. Directors are reimbursed for certain expenses in connection with attending Board and committee meetings.
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Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

No interlocking relationship exists, or in the past fiscal year has existed, between any member of the Company’s Compensation Committee and any member of any other company’s board of directors or compensation committee.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the “Compensation Committee”) has furnished this report on executive compensation. None of the members of the Compensation Committee is currently an officer or employee of the Company and all are “non-employee directors” for purposes of Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and “outside directors” for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Compensation Committee is responsible for designing, recommending to the Board of Directors for approval and evaluating the compensation plans, policies and programs of the Company and reviewing and approving the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and other officers and directors.

This report, filed in accordance with Item 407(e)(5) of Regulation S-K, should be read in conjunction with the other information relating to executive compensation which is contained elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and is not repeated here.

In this context, the Compensation Committee hereby reports as follows:

1. The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section contained herein with management.

2. Based on the review and discussions referred to in paragraph (1) above, the Compensation Committee recommended to our Board of Directors, and our Board of Directors has approved, that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for filing with the SEC.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE
G. LEONARD BAKER, JR., CHAIRMAN
JAMES A. HARPER
DAVID L. MAHONEY
ALIX MARUEL, M.D.
ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2007 with respect to the shares of the Company’s common stock that may be issued under all of the Company’s existing equity compensation plans, including the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and the 2000 Stock Option Plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Category</th>
<th>(a) Number of Securities to Be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options</th>
<th>(b) Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options</th>
<th>(c) Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders</td>
<td>3,890,936</td>
<td>$ 3.10</td>
<td>887,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,890,936</td>
<td>$ 3.10</td>
<td>887,131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) This figure represents shares of common stock remaining available for future issuance under the Company’s 2004 Equity Incentive Plan as of December 31, 2007.
(2) The 2004 Equity Incentive Plan contains an “evergreen” provision that automatically increases on the first business day of each fiscal year beginning January 1, the lesser of an additional (i) 1,000,000 shares of the Company’s common stock, (ii) 2% of the outstanding shares of capital stock on such date, or (iii) an amount determined by the Board. None of the Company’s other plans has an “evergreen” provision. On December 19, 2007, the Board of Directors authorized an “evergreen” increase in the shares available for grant under the 2004 Plan in the amount of 790,970 shares. This increase, which was effective on January 1, 2008, represented 2% of the shares of the Company’s common stock outstanding on December 31, 2007.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table sets forth information regarding ownership of the Company’s common stock as of March 31, 2008 or earlier date for information based on filings with the SEC by (a) each person known to the Company to own more than 5% of the outstanding shares of its common stock, (b) each director of the Company, (c) the Company’s Chief Executive Officer and each other executive officer named in the compensation tables appearing earlier in this Form 10-K and (d) all directors and executive officers as a group. The information in this table is based solely on statements in filings with the SEC or other information the Company believes to be reliable. Percentage of ownership is based on 48,473,164 shares of common stock outstanding as of March 31, 2008. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC, and includes voting and investment power with respect to the shares. Shares of common stock subject to outstanding options and warrants exercisable within 60 days of March 31, 2008 are deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of ownership of the person holding such options or warrants, but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of any other person.
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#### 5% Stockholders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Beneficial Owner</th>
<th>Number of Shares Beneficially Owned</th>
<th>Percentage of Shares Beneficially Owned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paperboy Ventures, LLC</td>
<td>12,024,405</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutter Hill Ventures and related entities</td>
<td>8,462,400</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entities affiliated with Alta Partners II, Inc.</td>
<td>5,753,107</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude Capital Management Co., LLP</td>
<td>5,295,675</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan F. Schatzberg, M.D.</td>
<td>2,738,749</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Directors and Named Executive Officers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Beneficial Owner</th>
<th>Number of Shares Beneficially Owned</th>
<th>Percentage of Shares Beneficially Owned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allen Andersoo</td>
<td>12,524,405</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Leonard Baker, Jr.</td>
<td>5,752,616</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alix Marduel</td>
<td>5,753,107</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph K. Belanoff</td>
<td>3,035,037</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James N. Wilson</td>
<td>2,817,231</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph C. Cook, Jr.</td>
<td>1,830,906</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David B. Singer</td>
<td>792,417</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David L. Mahoney</td>
<td>750,835</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert L. Roe</td>
<td>530,793</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James A. Harper</td>
<td>124,212</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne M. LeDoux</td>
<td>86,680</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All directors and executive officers as a group (11 persons)</td>
<td>33,998,239</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Less than 1% of Corcept’s outstanding common stock.

(1) Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each of the named individuals is c/o Corcept Therapeutics, 149 Commonwealth Drive, Menlo Park, California 94025.

(2) Beneficial ownership of shares is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC and generally includes any shares over which a person exercises sole or shared voting or investment power, or of which a person has the right to acquire ownership within 60 days after March 31, 2008. Except as otherwise noted, each person or entity has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares shown.

(3) Includes 10,965,270 shares held of record by Paperboy Ventures, LLC, and 1,059,135 shares that may be acquired by the entity within 60 days of March 31, 2008 pursuant to a warrant. The address of Paperboy Ventures LLC is 1875 K Street NW, Suite 700, Washington, DC 20006.

(4) Consists of: (a) 3,766,231 shares held by Sutter Hill Ventures, A California Limited Partnership (Sutter Hill Ventures), and 346,559 shares that may be acquired by the entity within 60 days of March 31, 2008 pursuant to a warrant, (b) 29,273 shares held by Sutter Hill Entrepreneurs Fund (AI), L.P. (SHAI), (c) 74,113 shares held by Sutter Hill Entrepreneurs Fund (QP), L.P. (SHQP), (d) 2,486,343 shares held by individuals affiliated with Sutter Hill Ventures and entities affiliated with such individuals, and 223,441 shares that may be acquired by the individuals and entities within 60 days of March 31, 2008 pursuant to a warrant, (e) 205,439 shares of Common Stock owned by G. Leonard Baker, Jr., one of our directors, (f) 575,610 shares held by Mr. Baker, Trustee of The Baker Revocable Trust, and 167,696 shares that may be acquired by the Trust within 60 days of March 31, 2008 pursuant to a warrant, (g) 565,238 shares held by Saunders Holdings, L.P. of which Mr. Baker is a General Partner, and 52,957 shares that may be acquired by the entity within 60 days of March 31, 2008 pursuant to a warrant, and (h) 27,500 shares issuable within 60 days of March 31, 2008 pursuant to an option granted to Mr. Baker. Mr. Baker has shared voting and dispositive power with respect to the shares held by The Baker Revocable Trust and Saunders Holdings, L.P. Mr. Baker, Sutter Hill Ventures, SHAI and SHQP do not have any voting or dispositive power with respect to the shares held by individuals affiliated with Sutter Hill Ventures and entities affiliated with such individuals referenced under part (d) of this note. Mr. Baker shares voting and dispositive power with respect to the shares held by Sutter Hill Ventures, SHAI and SHQP with the following natural persons: David L. Anderson, William H. Younger, Jr., Tench Coxe, Gregory P. Sands, James C. Gaither, James N. White, Jeffrey W. Bird, David E. Sweet and Andrew T. Sheehan. As a result of the shared voting and dispositive powers referenced herein, Messrs. Baker, David L. Anderson, William H. Younger, Jr., Tench Coxe, Gregory P. Sands, James C. Gaither, James N. White, Jeffrey W. Bird, David E. Sweet and Andrew T. Sheehan may each be deemed to beneficially own the shares held by Sutter Hill Ventures, SHAI and SHQP. The address for Sutter Hill Ventures and affiliates is 755 Page Mill Road, Suite A-200, Palo Alto, CA 94304.

(5) Consists of: (a) 5,043,299 shares held of record by Alta BioPharma Partners II, L.P., and 522,960 shares that may be acquired by the entity within 60 days of March 31, 2008 pursuant to a warrant, (b) 166,491 shares held of record by Alta Embarcadero BioPharma Partners II, LLC, and 6,607 shares that may be acquired by the entity within 60 days of March 31, 2008 pursuant to a warrant, and (c) 13,750 shares issuable within 60 days of March 31, 2008 pursuant to an option granted to Alix Marduel, one of our directors. Dr. Marduel is a managing director of Alta BioPharma Management II, LLC (which is a general partner of Alta BioPharma Partners II, L.P.) and a manager of Alta Embarcadero BioPharma Partners II, LLC. Dr. Marduel disclaims beneficial ownership of all such shares held by all of the foregoing funds, except to the extent of her proportionate pecuniary interests therein. Alta Partners II, Inc. provides...
investment advisory services to several venture capital funds including Alta BioPharma Partners II, L.P. and Alta Embarcadero BioPharma Partners II, L.P. and the managers of Alta Embarcadero BioPharma Partners II, LLC. The managing directors of Alta BioPharma Partners II, L.P. and the managers of Alta Embarcadero BioPharma Partners II, LLC exercise sole vote and investment power with respect to shares owned by such funds. Certain principals of Alta Partners II, Inc. are managing directors of Alta BioPharma Management II, LLC (which is the general partner of Alta BioPharma Partners II, L.P.), and managers of Alta Embarcadero BioPharma Partners II, LLC. As managing directors and managers of such entities, they may be deemed to share voting and investment powers for the shares held by the funds. The principals of Alta Partners II, Inc. disclaim beneficial ownership of all such shares held by the foregoing funds, except to the extent of their proportionate pecuniary interests therein. The address of Alta Partners II, Inc. is One Embarcadero Center, Suite 3700, San Francisco, California 94111.

(6) Includes 3,530,450 shares held of record by Longitude Capital Management Co., LLP, and 1,765,225 shares that may be acquired by the entity within 60 days of March 31, 2008 pursuant to a warrant. The address for Longitude Capital Management Co., LLP is 3000 Sandhill Road, Building 1, Suite 230, Menlo Park, California 94025.

(7) Includes 300,000 shares held of record by Lindsey D. Schatzberg over which Dr. Schatzberg has voting control.

(8) Includes all shares referred to in footnote (3) plus 500,000 shares held by Andersen Holdings, LLC ("Andersen"). Mr. Anderson is the founder and chairman of Paperboy and the sole member of both Paperboy and Andersen. The address of Paperboy Ventures, LLC and Andersen Holdings, LLC is 1175 K Street NW, Suite 700, Washington, DC 20006.

(9) Includes all shares referenced in footnote (4) other than the 2,486,343 shares held by individuals affiliated with Sutter Hill Ventures and entities affiliated with such individuals, and 223,441 shares that may be acquired by the individuals and entities within 60 days of March 31, 2008 pursuant to a warrant, as referenced under part (d) of footnote (4). Mr. Baker's beneficial interest also includes: (a) 167,696 shares that may be acquired by Mr. Baker as Trustee of the Baker Revocable Trust within 60 days of March 31, 2008 pursuant to a warrant, (b) 52,957 shares that may be acquired by Saunders Holdings, L.P., of which Mr. Baker is a General Partner within 60 days of March 31, 2008 pursuant to a warrant, and (c) 27,500 shares issuable pursuant to an option exercisable within 60 days of March 31, 2008.

(10) Includes 300,000 shares held as custodian for Edward G. Belanoff and 300,000 shares held as custodian for Julia E. Belanoff under the California Uniform Transfers to Minors Act over which Dr. Belanoff has voting control and 270,842 shares issuable pursuant to an option exercisable within 60 days of March 31, 2008.

(11) Includes 2,071,017 shares held of record by the James N. Wilson and Pamela D. Wilson Trust and 666,060 shares held of record by the James and Pamela Wilson Family Partners, over all of which Mr. Wilson has voting control pursuant to voting agreements. Mr. Wilson disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares, except to the extent of his pecuniary interests in the entities holding such shares. Mr. Wilson's beneficial interest also includes 17,652 shares that may be acquired by the James and Pamela Wilson Family Partners within 60 days of March 31, 2008 pursuant to a warrant, and 62,502 shares issuable pursuant to an option exercisable within 60 days of March 31, 2008.

(12) Includes 995,238 shares held of record by Farview Management, Co. L.P., a Texas limited partnership, 176,522 shares held of record by the 2008 Cook Grantor Retained Annuity Trust, and 88,261 shares that may be acquired by the Trust within 60 days of March 31, 2008 pursuant to a warrant, and 86,285 shares issuable pursuant to options exercisable within 60 days of March 31, 2008.

(13) Includes 13,750 shares issuable pursuant to an option exercisable within 60 days of March 31, 2008, 50,166 shares held of record by the Singer-Kapp Family 2000 Trust FBIO Kapp S. Singer, 10,166 shares held of record by the Singer-Kapp Family 2000 Trust FBIO Emma B. Singer, 6,666 shares held of record by the Singer-Kapp Family 2000 Trust FBIO Kapp S. Singer, and 15,000 shares held of record by the Singer-Kapp Family 2000 Trust FBIO Emma B. Singer. The address of David Singer is one Market Street, Spear Street Tower, Suite 3710, San Francisco, CA 94105.

(14) Includes 636,547 shares held of record by the David L. Mahoney and Winnifred C. Ellis 1998 Family Trust, and 35,304 shares that may be acquired by the Trust within 60 days of March 31, 2008 pursuant to a warrant, and 78,984 shares issuable pursuant to options exercisable within 60 days of March 31, 2008.

(15) Includes 381,903 shares issuable pursuant to options exercisable within 60 days of March 31, 2008.

(16) Includes 56,812 shares issuable pursuant to options exercisable within 60 days of March 31, 2008.

(17) Includes 86,680 shares issuable pursuant to options exercisable within 60 days of March 31, 2008.

(18) Total number of shares includes common stock held by entities affiliated with directors and executive officers. See footnotes 1 through 5 and 8 through 17 above.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

On March 30, 2007, we sold an aggregate of 9,000,000 shares of our common stock, par value $0.001, at a price of $1.00 per share to certain investors pursuant to a Common Stock Purchase Agreement dated that same date (the “March 2007 Financing”.) The aggregate consideration received by the Company was $9,000,000. The investors included Paperboy Ventures, LLC, Sutter Hill Ventures and Alta Partners, LLP, all venture capital firms that are currently significant shareholders of the Company. Paperboy Ventures, LLC purchased 4,250,000
shares, Sutter Hill Ventures and related entities and affiliates purchased a total of 1,332,430 shares and entities affiliated with Alta Partners, LLP purchased a
total of 1,500,000 shares. The investors also included G. Leonard Baker, Jr., Joseph C. Cook, Jr., James A. Harper, David L. Mahoney, Alan F. Schatzberg,
M.D. and James N. Wilson, who are members of our board of directors. Entities affiliated with Mr. Baker, a partner at Sutter Hill Ventures, purchased a total of
154,306 shares (as part of the Sutter Hill Ventures purchase noted above), Mr. Cook and a related entity purchased a total of 600,000 shares, Mr. Harper
purchased 50,000 shares, an entity affiliated with Mr. Mahoney purchased 200,000 shares and Mr. Wilson and a related entity purchased a total of 360,000
shares in the March 2007 Financing. This financing also included the purchase of 707,570 shares by other qualified investors.

On August 16, 2007, we agreed to sell an aggregate of 4,790,473 shares of common stock, par value $0.001, at a price of $2.10 per share to certain
investors pursuant to a Common Stock Purchase Agreement dated that same date (the “August / September 2007 Financing”), for aggregate proceeds of
approximately $10.1 million. We completed the initial closing of the August / September 2007 Financing on August 17, 2007, selling 3,599,997 shares of
common stock, par value $0.001, at the purchase price of $2.10 per share for gross proceeds of $7.6 million. On September 24, 2007, after receiving approval
at a special meeting of stockholders, we completed the second closing under the agreement selling an additional 1,190,476 shares of common stock, par
value $0.001, at the purchase price of $2.10 per share to Paperboy Ventures LLC for additional proceeds of $2.5 million.

The Purchasers in the August / September 2007 Financing included Paperboy Ventures, LLC, Sutter Hill Ventures and Alta Partners, LLP, all venture
capital firms that are currently significant shareholders of the Company. Paperboy Ventures, LLC purchased a total of 2,142,856 shares. In connection with
the August/September Financing, we obtained shareholder approval under Nasdaq rule 4350 of the issuance to shares to Paperboy Ventures, LLC in an
amount which caused their total ownership to exceed 20% of our outstanding voting stock. Sutter Hill Ventures and related entities and affiliates purchased a
total of 379,400 shares and entities affiliated with Alta Partners, LLP purchased a total of 952,381 shares. The Purchasers also included various entities
affiliated with G. Leonard Baker, Jr., Joseph C. Cook, Jr., David L. Mahoney and James N. Wilson, who are members of the Company’s board of directors, and
other qualified investors. Allen Andersson, a member of the Company’s board of directors, is the chairman of Paperboy Ventures. Mr. Baker is a partner and
managing director of Sutter Hill Ventures. Alix Marduel, M.D., a member of the Company’s board of directors, is a managing director of Alta Partners. Entities
related to Mr. Baker, a partner at Sutter Hill Ventures, purchased 142,857 shares (which are included as part of the Sutter Hill Ventures purchase noted above),
an entity related to Mr. Cook purchased 595,238 shares, an entity related to Mr. Mahoney purchased 95,238 shares and an entity related to Mr. Wilson
purchased 47,619 shares in the August / September 2007 Financing. This financing also included the purchase of 577,741 shares by other qualified investors.

On March 25, 2008, we agreed to sell an aggregate of 8,923,210 shares of our common stock, par value $0.001 per share, in a private placement at a
price of $2.77 per share and warrants to purchase an additional 4,461,062 shares of our common stock at a price of $0.125 per warrant to certain investors
pursuant to a Securities Purchase Agreement executed on March 14, 2008. The warrants have a seven year term and an exercise price of $2.77 per share. The
aggregate consideration to the Company was approximately $25 million in net proceeds, after deducting costs of issuance.

The Purchasers in this transaction were led by Longitude Capital Management Co., LLP. Other investors participating in the offering include Paperboy
Ventures LLC, Sutter Hill Ventures and Alta Partners, LLP, venture capital firms that are all significant shareholders in Corcept, as well as various entities and
individuals related to these firms. The Purchasers also included various entities affiliated with G. Leonard Baker, Jr., Joseph C. Cook, Jr., David L. Mahoney
and James N. Wilson, who are members of the Company’s board of directors, and other qualified investors. Allen Andersson, a member of the Company’s
board of directors, is the chairman of Paperboy Ventures. Mr. Baker is a partner and managing director of Sutter Hill Ventures. Alix Marduel, M.D., a member
of the Company’s board of directors, is a managing director of Alta Partners.
Longitude Capital Management Co., LLP, purchased 3,530,450 shares, Paperboy Ventures, LLC purchased 2,118,270 shares, Sutter Hill Ventures and related entities and affiliates purchased a total of 1,581,311 shares and entities affiliated with Alta Partners, LLP purchased a total of 1,059,135 shares. Entities related to Mr. Baker, a partner at Sutter Hill Ventures, purchased 441,307 shares (which are included as part of the Sutter Hill Ventures purchase noted above), an entity related to Mr. Cook purchased 176,522 shares, an entity related to Mr. Mahoney purchased 70,609 shares and an entity related to Mr. Wilson purchased 35,304 shares in the March 2008 Financing. This financing also included the purchase of 351,609 shares by other qualified investors.

Pursuant to a consulting agreement with the Company, Dr. Alan Schatzberg received compensation of $33,750 for his services as Chair of the Company’s Scientific Advisory Board in 2006. The Company terminated this agreement with Dr. Schatzberg in October 2006.

The Company has entered into an agreement with Robert L. Roe, M.D., the Company’s President, dated October 18, 2001. Pursuant to such letter agreement, Dr. Roe received an option to purchase 250,000 shares of the Company’s common stock with an exercise price of $0.75 per share and a loan in the amount of $187,250, subject to interest rate of 6.5% and evidenced by a full-recourse promissory note to the Company to finance the exercise of the option. Shares purchased by Dr. Roe pursuant to the option are subject to a right of repurchase in favor of the Company, which lapsed over five years, ending in October 2006. Through December 2007, Dr. Roe had repaid $99,705 of the principal of the loan plus accrued interest, leaving a total remaining balance of $87,545 plus accrued interest in the amount of $32,215 for a total combined balance of $119,760.

On July 24, 2007, the Company entered into Severance and Change in Control Agreements with each of its executive officers: Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D., Chief Executive Officer; Robert L. Roe, M.D., President; and Anne M. LeDoux, Chief Accounting Officer. The terms of the agreements are identical. The agreements provide that, if employment is terminated without cause or for good reason regardless of whether it is in connection with a change in control, the executive will be eligible for 12 months of his or her then current base salary and continued health insurance coverage for this same period. In addition, the agreements provide for the full vesting of all outstanding equity awards in the event the executive’s employment is terminated without cause or for good reason within 18 months following a change in control. The agreement with Dr. Roe supersedes his prior agreement with the Company. The other officers did not have prior employment or severance agreements.

On July 24, 2007, the Company also entered into a Severance and Change in Control Agreement with James N. Wilson, Chairman of the Board of Directors. The agreement with Mr. Wilson provides that if his employment or service on the Board terminates involuntarily without cause or good reason within eighteen months of a change in control all of his outstanding equity awards shall become fully vested. Mr. Wilson did not have a prior severance agreement.

The Company has entered into indemnification agreements with its directors and executive officers. Such agreements require the Company, among other things, to indemnify its officers and directors, other than for liabilities arising from willful misconduct of a culpable nature, and to advance their expenses incurred as a result of any proceedings against them as to which they could be indemnified.

The Board has determined that the following directors are “independent” under current NASDAQ rules:

Allen Andersson
G. Leonard Baker, Jr.
Joseph C. Cook, Jr.
James A. Harper
David L. Mahoney
Alix Marduel, M.D.
David B. Singer

See “Director Compensation” for a discussion of the Company’s director compensation policy.
ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Audit Fees

Fees for audit services totaled approximately $331,000 in 2007 and $217,000 in 2006, including fees for professional services provided in connection with the annual audit of the Company’s financial statements and review of the Company’s quarterly financial statement and audit services provided in connection with other statutory or regulatory filings.

Audit- Related Fees, Tax Fees, and All Other Fees

There were no fees paid to our principal accounting firm during 2007 or 2006 for any of these services.

Pre-approval of audit-related and non-audit services

The Audit Committee has delegated to the Chair of the Audit Committee the authority to pre-approve audit-related and non-audit services not prohibited by law to be performed by the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm and associated fees, provided that the Chair shall report any decision to pre-approve such audit-related or non-audit services and fees to the full Audit Committee at its next regular meeting.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

The following documents are filed as part of this Form 10-K

(1) Financial Statements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audited Financial Statements</td>
<td>F-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Sheets</td>
<td>F-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statements of Operations</td>
<td>F-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement of Convertible Preferred Stock and Stockholders’ Equity (Net Capital Deficiency)</td>
<td>F-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statements of Cash Flows</td>
<td>F-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes to Financial Statements</td>
<td>F-11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) Financial Statement Schedules:

All schedules have been omitted because the information required to be set forth therein is not applicable or is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits:

Item 601 of Regulation S-K requires the exhibits listed below. Each management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-K has been identified.
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(A) EXHIBITS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhibit Number</th>
<th>Description of Document</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1(1)</td>
<td>Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2(1)</td>
<td>Amended and Restated Bylaws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1(1)</td>
<td>Specimen Common Stock Certificate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2(1)</td>
<td>Amended and Restated Information and Registration Rights Agreement by and among Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated and certain holders of preferred stock, dated as of May 8, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3(1)</td>
<td>Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Information and Registration Rights Agreement by and among Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated and certain holders of preferred stock, dated as of March 16, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Form of Warrant issued in connection with the Securities Purchase Agreement by and among Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated and the purchasers named therein, dated March 14, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Warrant, dated March 25, 2008, issued to Kingsbridge Capital Limited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1*(1)</td>
<td>2000 Stock Option Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.2*(1)</td>
<td>Employment offer letter to Robert L. Roe, M.D., dated October 18, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.3*(1)</td>
<td>Employment offer letter to Fred Kurland, dated February 3, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.4*(1)</td>
<td>Promissory Note and Pledge Agreement by and between Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated and Robert L. Roe, M.D., dated as of October 22, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5(1)</td>
<td>Form of Indemnification Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6*(1)</td>
<td>License Agreement by and between The Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University and Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated, dated as of July 1, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.7(1)</td>
<td>Research Agreement/cGMP Manufacturing, by and between Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated and KP Pharmaceutical Technology, Inc., dated as of February 12, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.8(1)</td>
<td>Master Clinical Development Agreement by and between Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated and Scirex Corporation, dated as of July 12, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.9##(1)</td>
<td>Memorandum of Understanding, Supply and Services Agreement, by and between Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated and ScinoPharm Taiwan, dated as of June 12, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.10*(1)*</td>
<td>Consulting, Confidential Information and Inventions Agreement by and between Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated and Alan Schatzberg M.D., dated as of May 31, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.11*(1)*</td>
<td>2004 Equity Incentive Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.12(1)</td>
<td>Master Services Agreement by and between Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated and PPD Development, LP, dated as of January 17, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.13(2)</td>
<td>Master Services Agreement by and between Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated and i3 Research, a division of Ingenix Pharmaceuticals Services (UK) Limited, dated as of November 2, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.14(3)</td>
<td>Office Lease Agreement by and between Corcept Therapeutics Inc., and Exponent Realty, LLC, dated May 23, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.15##(6)</td>
<td>Manufacturing Agreement with Produits Chimiques Auxiliaries et de Synthese SA, dated November 8, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.16(4)</td>
<td>Common Stock Purchase Agreement by and among Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated and each of the Purchasers listed on Exhibit A thereto, dated November 14, 2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhibit Number</th>
<th>Description of Document</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.17 (7)</td>
<td>Common Stock Purchase Agreement by and among Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated and each of those persons and entities listed on the Schedule of Purchasers thereto, dated as of March 30, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.18 (8)</td>
<td>Severance and Change in Control Agreement by and between Corcept Therapeutics, Inc., and Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D., dated July 24, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.19 (8)</td>
<td>Severance and Change in Control Agreement by and between Corcept Therapeutics, Inc., and Robert L. Roe, M.D., dated July 24, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.20 (8)</td>
<td>Severance and Change in Control Agreement by and between Corcept Therapeutics, Inc., and Anne M. Ledoux, dated July 24, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.21 (8)</td>
<td>Severance and Change in Control Agreement by and between Corcept Therapeutics, Inc., and James N. Wilson, dated July 24, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.22 (9)</td>
<td>Common Stock Purchase Agreement by and among Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated and each of the Purchasers listed on Exhibit A thereto, dated August 16, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.23 (10)</td>
<td>Form of Indemnification Agreement for directors and officers approved by the Board of Directors on September 24, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.24</td>
<td>Securities Purchase Agreement by and among Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated and the purchasers named therein, dated March 14, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>Registration Rights Agreement by and among Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated and the investors signatory thereto, dated March 14, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.26</td>
<td>Common Stock Purchase Agreement, by and between Kingsbridge Capital Limited and Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated dated as of March 25, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.27</td>
<td>Registration Rights Agreement by and between Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated and Kingsbridge Capital Limited, dated as of March 25, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.1 (1)</td>
<td>Code of Ethics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>Power of Attorney (See page 81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 of Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 of Anne LeDoux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 of Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 of Anne LeDoux</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Confidential treatment granted
## Confidential treatment requested
* Management compensatory plan

(1) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant’s Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-112676) initially filed by the registrant with the SEC on February 10, 2004.
(2) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed by the registrant with the SEC on March 29, 2005.
(3) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by the registrant with the SEC on August 11, 2005.
(4) Incorporated by reference to the registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the registrant with the SEC on November 14, 2006.
(5) Incorporated by reference to the registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the registrant with the SEC on September 27, 2007.
(6) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed by the registrant with the SEC on April 2, 2007.
(7) Incorporated by reference to the registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the registrant with the SEC on April 3, 2007.
(8) Incorporated by reference to the registrant’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007, which was filed by the registrant with the SEC on August 14, 2007.
(9) Incorporated by reference to the registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the registrant with the SEC on August 21, 2007.
(10) Incorporated by reference to the registrant’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007, which was filed by the registrant with the SEC on November 14, 2007.
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F-1
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated (a development stage company) as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related statements of operations, convertible preferred stock and stockholders’ equity (net capital deficiency), and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, and for the period from inception (May 13, 1998) to December 31, 2007. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated (a development stage company) at December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 and for the period from inception (May 13, 1998) to December 31, 2007, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, in 2006 Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated changed its method of accounting for stock-based compensation in accordance with guidance provided in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), “Share-Based Payment”.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Palo Alto, California
March 28, 2008
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### CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

#### BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 31, 2007</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current assets:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>$11,433</td>
<td>$8,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term investments</td>
<td>5,933</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid expenses and other current assets</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current assets</td>
<td>17,656</td>
<td>9,799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other assets</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td>$17,744</td>
<td>$9,902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$1,115</td>
<td>$916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued clinical expenses</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>2,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued compensation</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obligations under capital lease, short-term</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other liabilities</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current liabilities</strong></td>
<td>2,994</td>
<td>3,513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obligations under capital lease, long-term</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities</strong></td>
<td>3,010</td>
<td>3,542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commitments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stockholders' equity:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred stock, $0.001 par value, 10,000 shares authorized and no shares outstanding at December 31, 2007 or 2006</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock, $0.001 par value; 140,000 shares authorized and 39,548 and 25,732 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional paid-in capital</td>
<td>124,822</td>
<td>105,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes receivable from stockholders</td>
<td>(107)</td>
<td>(125)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred compensation</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(228)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficit accumulated during the development stage</td>
<td>(110,011)</td>
<td>(98,438)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated other comprehensive gain</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total stockholders' equity</strong></td>
<td>14,734</td>
<td>6,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</strong></td>
<td>$17,744</td>
<td>$9,902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying notes.

F-3
## CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

### STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year ended December 31,</th>
<th>Period from inception (May 13, 1998) to December 31, 2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration revenue</td>
<td>$ 482</td>
<td>$ 294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating expenses:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development*</td>
<td>7,860</td>
<td>20,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administrative*</td>
<td>4,867</td>
<td>5,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total operating expenses</td>
<td>12,727</td>
<td>25,876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss from operations</td>
<td>(12,245)</td>
<td>(25,582)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest and other income, net</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expense</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>$(11,573)</td>
<td>$(24,873)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic and diluted net loss per share</td>
<td>$ (0.34)</td>
<td>$ (1.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share</td>
<td>34,251</td>
<td>22,841</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes non-cash stock-based compensation (recovery) of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research and development*</td>
<td>$ 213</td>
<td>$ 535</td>
<td>$ (26)</td>
<td>$ 4,744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administrative</td>
<td>846</td>
<td>1,013</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>6,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total non-cash stock-based compensation</td>
<td>$ 1,059</td>
<td>$ 1,548</td>
<td>$ 773</td>
<td>$ 11,394</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying notes.

F-4
CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)
STATEMENT OF CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (NET CAPITAL DEFICIENCY)
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Convertible Preferred Stock</th>
<th>Common Stock</th>
<th>Additional Paid-in Capital</th>
<th>Notes Receivable from Stockholders</th>
<th>Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage</th>
<th>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Gain (Loss)</th>
<th>Total Stockholders’ Equity (Net Capital Deficiency)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance at inception (May 13, 1998)</td>
<td>— $ —</td>
<td>— $ —</td>
<td>— $ —</td>
<td>— $ —</td>
<td>— $ —</td>
<td>— $ —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock to directors for cash in June and July 1998</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>— 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock to a director for cash in May 1999</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>1,771</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>— 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock to Stanford and directors in conjunction with a license agreement in October 1999</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>— 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of Series A convertible preferred stock to institutional and individual investors at $1.08 per share for cash and conversion of notes payable, net of issuance costs of $34 in May 1999</td>
<td>608 623</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock issued to attorneys and consultants in exchange for services in May 1999</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>— 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock upon option exercise</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repurchase of common stock held by director in March 1999</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>(750)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>— (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred compensation related to options granted to non-employees</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of deferred compensation</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>— 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss from inception to December 31, 1999</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>(215)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>— (215)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 1999</td>
<td>608 623</td>
<td>8,660</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>(58)</td>
<td>(321)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of Series B convertible preferred stock to institutional and individual investors at $3.00 per share for cash, net of issuance costs of $19 in January 2000</td>
<td>400 1,180</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred compensation related to options granted to an employee and non-employees</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(248)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of deferred compensation</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>— 91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(1,846)</td>
<td>— (1,846)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2000</td>
<td>1,008 1,803</td>
<td>8,660</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>(215)</td>
<td>(2,167)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of Series B convertible preferred stock to consultants in exchange for services in January and April 2001</td>
<td>12 205</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of Series BB convertible preferred stock to institutional and individual investors at $4.033 per share upon conversion of promissory notes in May 2001</td>
<td>268 1,081</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of Series C convertible preferred stock to institutional and individual investors at $7.066 per share for cash, net of issuance costs of approximately $95 in May and June 2001</td>
<td>3,807 26,805</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of Series C convertible preferred stock to consultants in exchange for services in October 2001</td>
<td>1 20</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock to a consultant for cash below fair value in April 2001</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock upon option exercises</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>(438)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock in conjunction with a license agreement</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>— 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred compensation related to options granted to employees and non-employees</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>10,226</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(10,226)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of deferred compensation</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>1,849</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(7,454)</td>
<td>— (7,454)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2001 (carried forward)</td>
<td>5,096 29,914</td>
<td>9,479</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11,103</td>
<td>(438)</td>
<td>(8,592)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F-5
### CONCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

**STATEMENT OF CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK AND STOCKHOLDERS’ EQUITY (NET CAPITAL DEFICIENCY), (Continued)**

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Convertible Preferred Stock</th>
<th>Common Stock</th>
<th>Additional Paid-in Capital</th>
<th>Notes Receivable from Stockholders</th>
<th>Deferred Compensation</th>
<th>Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage</th>
<th>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Gain (Loss)</th>
<th>Total Stockholders’ Equity (Net Capital Deficiency)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shares</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Shares</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>$9</td>
<td>$11,103</td>
<td>$ (438)</td>
<td>$ (8,592)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2001 (brought forward)</td>
<td>5,096</td>
<td>$29,914</td>
<td>9,479</td>
<td>$ 11,103</td>
<td>$ (438)</td>
<td>$ (8,592)</td>
<td>$ (9,621)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of Series C convertible preferred stock to institutional and individual investors at $7.066 per share for cash, net of issuance costs of approximately $19 in December 2002</td>
<td>1,673</td>
<td>11,802</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock upon option exercises</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of deferred compensation</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of deferred compensation related to the unamortized portion of deferred stock compensation related to a terminated employee</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(239)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversal of previously expensed deferred compensation related to a terminated employee based on the straight line method</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(50)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation related to lapping repurchase right of stock held by a non-employee</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2002</td>
<td>6,769</td>
<td>41,716</td>
<td>9,541</td>
<td>10,882</td>
<td>(438)</td>
<td>(4,268)</td>
<td>(28,125)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred compensation related to options granted to employees and non-employees</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,159</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(1,159)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of deferred compensation</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of deferred compensation related to the unamortized portion of deferred stock compensation related to terminated employees</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,559</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversal of previously expensed deferred compensation related to terminated employees</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(1,384)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repurchase of common stock and reduction of note payable upon termination of employees</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(206)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(155)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment of note receivable from stockholder</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation related to lapping repurchase right of stock held by a non-employee</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealized loss on short-term investments</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(9,812)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total comprehensive loss</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2003 (carried forward)</td>
<td>6,769</td>
<td>41,716</td>
<td>9,335</td>
<td>8,982</td>
<td>(246)</td>
<td>(2,280)</td>
<td>(37,937)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED**  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
STATEMENT OF CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (NET CAPITAL DEFICIENCY), (Continued)  
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Convertible Preferred Stock</th>
<th>Common Stock</th>
<th>Additional</th>
<th>Notes Receivable</th>
<th>Deferred</th>
<th>Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage</th>
<th>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Gain (Loss)</th>
<th>Total Stockholders’ Equity (Net Capital Deficiency)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2003 (brought forward)</td>
<td>6,769 $ 41,716</td>
<td>9,335 $ 9 $ 8,982 $ (246) $ (2,280) $ (37,937) $ (1) $ (31,473)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of Shares in IPO at $12.00 per share, net of issuance costs of approximately $4,974</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,500 5 $ 49,020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>49,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion of preferred shares in IPO</td>
<td>(6,769) (41,716)</td>
<td>8,807 9 $ 41,707</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion of note payable</td>
<td></td>
<td>45 — $ 534</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock upon option exercises</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 — $ 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred compensation related to options granted to employees and non-employees</td>
<td></td>
<td>— — $ 1,447</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1,447)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of deferred compensation</td>
<td></td>
<td>— —</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversal of previously expensed deferred compensation related to terminated employees and consultants</td>
<td></td>
<td>— —</td>
<td></td>
<td>(155)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock upon option exercise for cash in June 2005 at a price of $0.10 per share</td>
<td></td>
<td>9 — $ 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred compensation related to options granted to employees and non-employees</td>
<td></td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>(94)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of deferred compensation</td>
<td></td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>912</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total comprehensive loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2004</td>
<td>22,694</td>
<td>23 101,361 (184) (1,718) (53,472) (62) 45,948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock upon option exercise</td>
<td></td>
<td>9 — $ 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred compensation related to options granted to employees and non-employees</td>
<td></td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>(94)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of deferred compensation</td>
<td></td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>912</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total comprehensive loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2005 (carried forward)</td>
<td>22,704</td>
<td>23 101,014 (168) (603) (73,565) (108) 26,593</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

### STATEMENT OF CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK AND STOCKHOLDERS’ EQUITY (NET CAPITAL DEFICIENCY), (Continued)

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Convertible Preferred Stock</th>
<th>Common Stock</th>
<th>Additional Paid-in Capital</th>
<th>Notes Receivable from Stockholders</th>
<th>Deferred Compensation</th>
<th>Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage</th>
<th>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Gain (Loss)</th>
<th>Total Stockholders’ Equity (Net Capital Deficiency)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2005 (brought forward)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of common stock in December 2006 at $1.00 per share for cash, net of issuance costs of approximately $83</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock upon option exercises at various times for cash at weighted-average exercise price of $0.73 per share</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock at various times for services in lieu of cash compensation at an average value of $4.93 per share</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of deferred compensation related to options granted to employees prior to the IPO</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation under SFAS 123R related to employee options granted after the IPO</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation related to options to consultants at various times at prices ranging from $0.10 to $10.06</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversal of previously expensed compensation related to employee terminated or converted to consultant</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayments of notes receivable from stockholders in October and December of 2006</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation related to lapsing repurchase right of stock held by a non-employee</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in unrealized loss on investments</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total comprehensive loss</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2006 (carried forward)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>25,732</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>105,125</td>
<td>(125)</td>
<td>(228)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
STATEMENT OF CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK AND STOCKHOLDERS’ EQUITY (NET CAPITAL DEFICIENCY), (Continued)  
(in thousands, except per share amounts)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Convertible Preferred Stock</th>
<th>Common Stock</th>
<th>Notes Receivable from Stockholders</th>
<th>Deferred Compensation</th>
<th>Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage</th>
<th>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Gain (Loss)</th>
<th>Total Stockholders’ Equity (Net Capital Deficiency)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shares</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Shares</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Paid-in Capital</td>
<td>105,125</td>
<td>(125)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2006 (brought forward)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>$ ---</td>
<td>25,732</td>
<td>$ 26</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 105,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of common stock in March 2007 at $1.00 per share for cash, net of issuance costs of approximately $151</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of common stock in August &amp; September 2007 at $2.10 per share for cash, net of issuance costs of approximately $64</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>4,790</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock upon option exercises at various times for cash at weighted-average exercise price of $0.79 per share</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of deferred compensation related to options granted to employees prior to the IPO</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation under SFAS 123R related to employee options granted after the IPO</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation related to options to consultants at various times at prices ranging from $0.10 to $10.06</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of deferred compensation related to the unamortized portion of deferred stock compensation related to unvested shares at termination of employees</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversal of previously expensed compensation related to employees terminated</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayments of notes receivable from stockholders in March and October 2007</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in unrealized gain on investments</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net comprehensive loss</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2007</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>$ ---</td>
<td>39,548</td>
<td>$ 40</td>
<td>$ 124,822</td>
<td>$ (107)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying notes.
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### CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(in thousands)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year ended December 31,</th>
<th>Period from inception (May 1, 1998) to December 31, 2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Operating activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>$(11,573)</td>
<td>$(24,873)</td>
<td>$(20,093)</td>
<td>$(110,011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operations:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation, net of recoveries</td>
<td>1,060</td>
<td>1,518</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>11,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expense related to stock issued for services</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expense related to stock issued in conjunction with license agreement</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expense related to stock issued below fair value</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest accrued on convertible promissory notes</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in operating assets and liabilities:</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>(290)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid expenses and other current assets</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other assets</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>1,115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>(1,345)</td>
<td>(297)</td>
<td>1,866</td>
<td>879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued clinical</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>(79)</td>
<td>(180)</td>
<td>987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash used in operating activities</td>
<td>(10,964)</td>
<td>(23,238)</td>
<td>(17,234)</td>
<td>(95,554)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Investing activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchases of property and equipment</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchases of short-term and long-term investments</td>
<td>(6,380)</td>
<td>(1,315)</td>
<td>(25,863)</td>
<td>(114,726)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturities of short-term investments</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>26,676</td>
<td>40,971</td>
<td>108,796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities</td>
<td>(5,380)</td>
<td>25,361</td>
<td>15,108</td>
<td>(5,984)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Financing activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of cash paid for issuance costs</td>
<td>18,866</td>
<td>2,936</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from issuance of convertible note payable</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from convertible promissory notes</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from repayment of stockholder notes</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal payments of obligations under capital leases</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from conversion of convertible preferred stock, net of cash paid for issuance costs</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>40,378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by financing activities</td>
<td>18,871</td>
<td>2,967</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>112,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>2,527</td>
<td>5,090</td>
<td>(2,114)</td>
<td>11,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period</td>
<td>8,906</td>
<td>3,816</td>
<td>5,930</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents at end of period</td>
<td>$ 11,433</td>
<td>$ 8,906</td>
<td>$ 3,816</td>
<td>$ 11,433</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest paid</td>
<td>$ 3</td>
<td>$ 4</td>
<td>$ 2</td>
<td>$ 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Supplemental disclosure of non-cash financing activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conversion of convertible promissory notes and accrued interest</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—to convertible preferred stock</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ 1,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—to common stock</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ 534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of equipment under capital leases</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ 59</td>
<td>$ 59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying notes.
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1. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business
Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated (the “Company” or “Corcept”) was incorporated in the state of Delaware on May 13, 1998, and its facilities are located in Menlo Park, California. Corcept is a pharmaceutical company engaged in the development of drugs for the treatment of severe psychiatric and metabolic diseases.

The Company’s primary activities since incorporation have been establishing its offices, recruiting personnel, conducting research and development, performing business and financial planning, raising capital, and overseeing clinical trials. Accordingly, the Company is considered to be in the development stage.

In the course of its development activities, the Company has sustained operating losses and expects such losses to continue for at least the next several years. The Company plans to continue to finance its operations through the sale of its equity and debt securities. The accompanying financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the settlement of liabilities and commitments in the normal course of business. The Company’s ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon successful execution of its financing strategy. See footnote 13 —Subsequent Events for additional information regarding financing transactions closed in March 2008.

Use of Estimates
The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Cost accruals for clinical trials are based upon estimates of work completed under service agreements, milestones achieved, patient enrollment and past experience with similar contracts. The Company’s estimates of work completed and associated cost accruals include its assessments of information received from third-party contract research organizations and the overall status of clinical trial activities. The estimates are updated on a recurring basis as new information becomes available.

Any changes in estimates are recorded in the period of the change.

Revenue Recognition
Collaboration revenue relates to services rendered in connection with an agreement signed in October 2005 with Eli Lilly and Company (“Lilly”) in which Lilly agreed to support the Company’s proof-of-concept clinical study evaluating the ability of CORLUX, a GR-II antagonist, to mitigate weight gain associated with the use of olanzapine. Under the agreement, Lilly agreed to supply olanzapine and pay for the budgeted costs of the study. Under the agreement, the Company was required to perform specified development activities and the fee paid to it by Lilly was based on the costs associated with the conduct of that trial and the preparation and packaging of clinical trial materials. Revenue was recognized as services were rendered in accordance with the agreement.

Research and Development
Research and development expenses consist of costs incurred for Company-sponsored research and development activities. These costs include direct expenses (including nonrefundable payments to third parties) and research-related overhead expenses, as well as the cost of funding clinical trials, pre-clinical studies,
manufacturing development and the contract development of second-generation compounds, and are expensed as incurred. Costs to acquire technologies and materials that are utilized in research and development and that have no alternative future use are expensed when incurred (see Note 2).

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is recorded when it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will not be recovered.

On January 1, 2007, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, or FIN 48, an interpretation of SFAS 109. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertain tax positions as described in SFAS 109, and requires a company to recognize, in its financial statements, the impact of a tax position only if that position is "more likely than not" of being sustained on an audit basis solely on the technical merit of the position. In addition, FIN 48 requires qualitative and quantitative disclosures including a discussion of reasonably possible changes that might occur in the recognized tax benefits over the next twelve months as well as a roll-forward of all unrecognized tax benefits. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, the Company did not recognize any adjustment to the liability for uncertain tax positions or to its deferred tax assets for unrecognized tax benefits, all of which are currently offset by a full valuation allowance. Therefore, there was no adjustment to the beginning balance of accumulated deficit in 2007.

No amounts have been recognized as interest or penalties on income tax related matters. The determination of an accounting policy as to the classification of such costs has been deferred until such time as any such costs are incurred.

All tax years from inception remain open to examination by the Internal Revenue Service and the California Franchise Tax Board until such time as the net operating losses and research credits are either fully utilized or expire.

Credit Risks and Concentrations

The Company’s concentration of credit risk consists of cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments. The Company is exposed to credit risk in the event of default by the financial institutions holding the cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments to the extent of the amount recorded on the balance sheets. This risk is mitigated by investing in securities with high credit ratings from the major rating services and by limiting the amount of investment in any one issuer. As of December 31, 2007, the Company had no investments in mortgage-backed securities or auction rate securities.

The Company also has a concentration of risk in regard to the manufacture of its product. As of December 31, 2007, the Company has a single source supplier for its tablet manufacture. If this supplier is unable to prepare the CORLUX tablets in the quantities and time frame required, the Company may not be able to manufacture its product in a timely manner.

Segment Reporting

The Company has adopted SFAS No. 131, Disclosure About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, which requires companies to report selected information about operating segments, as well as
enterprise wide disclosures about products, services, geographical areas, and major customers. Operating segments are determined based on the way management organizes its business for making operating decisions and assessing performance. The Company has only one operating segment, which is involved in the development of pharmaceutical products.

**Cash, Cash Equivalents and Short-term Investments**

The Company invests its excess cash in bank deposits, money market accounts, corporate debt securities, and obligations of the U.S. government and U.S. government sponsored entities. The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents are carried at fair value, which approximates cost, and primarily consist of money market funds maintained at major U.S. financial institutions and commercial paper issued by major corporations with maturities of less than 90 days from date of purchase.

All short-term investments, which primarily represent marketable debt securities, have been classified as “available-for-sale.” Short-term investments includes debt securities with maturities of one year or less from the balance sheet dates. Debt securities with maturities of greater than 12 months from the balance sheet dates are classified as long-term investments. Purchased premiums or discounts on debt securities are amortized to interest income through the stated maturities of the debt securities. The differences between amortized cost and fair values of the debt securities are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss. Management determines the appropriate classification of its investments in debt securities at the time of purchase and evaluates such designation as of each balance sheet date. Unrealized gains and losses are included in accumulated other comprehensive loss and reported as a separate component of stockholders’ equity. Realized gains and losses and declines in value judged to be other-than-temporary, if any, on available-for-sale securities are included in other expenses. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method. Interest earned on short-term and long-term investments is included in interest income.

Fair market values of cash equivalents and investments are based on quoted market prices.

**Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Property and equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, ranging from three to five years.

During 2005, the Company acquired office equipment and furniture of approximately $59,000 under leases that are classified as capital leases. Assets acquired under capital leases are amortized over the term of their useful lives or the lease period, whichever is shorter.

**Stock-Based Compensation**

Stock-based compensation arises from the granting of stock options to employees, directors and non-employees.

The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standard 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (“SFAS 123R”) as of January 1, 2006 under the “modified prospective” method in which compensation cost is recognized beginning with the effective date (a) based on the requirements of Statement 123R for all share-based payments granted or modified after the effective date and (b) based on the requirements of Statement
123 for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date of Statement 123R that remain unvested on the effective date. See Note 8 for a discussion of the Company’s stock option plans. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation for options granted to employees and directors using the intrinsic value method prescribed in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (“APB 25”), and had adopted the disclosure-only alternative of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (“SFAS 123”), as amended by SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation – Transition and Disclosure (“SFAS 148”). Because the Company had used the “minimum” value method for SFAS 123 pro forma disclosure requirements for options granted prior to the initial public offering of its common stock (“IPO”) in 2004, it continues to account for the portion of these pre-IPO grants that were non-vested as of January 1, 2006 under the provisions of APB 25 and related Interpretations, with pro forma disclosures under SFAS 123.

Stock-based compensation for employee options

From inception in May 1998 through December 31, 2005, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation for options granted to employees and directors using the intrinsic value method prescribed in APB25 and adopted the disclosure-only alternative of SFAS 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148. As discussed above, the Company adopted SFAS 123R as of January 1, 2006. Following is a brief synopsis of the implications of adoption of this statement on the Company’s accounting practices in regard to stock option grants to employees and directors:

• Options granted prior to January 1, 2006:
  ○ For options granted prior to the IPO in 2004, the Company is continuing to account for the portion of these grants that were non-vested as of January 1, 2006 under the provisions of APB 25, with pro forma disclosures under SFAS 123. This treatment is being followed because the Company had used the “minimum” value method for these options under SFAS 123 pro forma disclosure requirements.
  ○ For the options granted after the IPO, the Company began, as of January 1, 2006, to record non-cash stock-based compensation expense in the financial statements in amounts that represent the remaining fair value of the non-vested portion of these grants, utilizing the assumptions and fair value per share information as of the original grant date that the Company has been using for SFAS 123 pro forma disclosure purposes.
  ○ For all options granted prior to January 1, 2006, the Company is continuing to utilize the graded-vesting attribution method for amortization of the relevant compensation amounts.
  ○ Since the Company has a limited employee base, it does not have sufficient historical information to determine a reasonable forfeiture rate for options that might not vest because of employee terminations. When an employee terminates, the Company will record a change in accounting estimate that represents the difference between the expense recorded under the graded-vesting method and the expense that would have been recorded based upon the rights to options that vested during the individual’s service as an employee.

• Options granted or modified on or after January 1, 2006:
  ○ Compensation expense is being recorded in the financial statements based on the fair value on the date of grant, in accordance with the provisions and guidelines of SFAS 123R and all relevant Interpretations and SEC Staff Accounting Bulletins.
The grant date fair value for all new grants is being amortized to expense using the straight-line attribution method over the vesting period of the options.

As discussed above, the Company has not determined a forfeiture rate for options that might not vest because of employee terminations. When an employee terminates, we will record a change in accounting estimate that represents the difference between the expense recorded under the straight-line method and the expense that would have been recorded based upon the rights to options that vested during the individual’s service as an employee.

Deferred stock-based compensation for employee options

As discussed above, from its inception in May 1998 through December 31, 2005, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation for options granted to employees and directors using the intrinsic value method prescribed in APB25. Under the intrinsic value method, deferred stock-based compensation related to option grants to employees and directors represented the difference between the exercise price of an option and the fair value of the Company’s common stock on the date of the grant. Given the absence of an active market for the Company’s common stock prior to the IPO in April 2004, the Company’s management was required to estimate the fair value of its common stock based on a variety of company and industry-specific factors for the purpose of measuring the cost of the transaction and properly reflecting it in the financial statements. Since the Company’s IPO, all stock option grants have been at the closing price for the stock on the Nasdaq Stock Market as of the date of grant and no deferred compensation was recorded related to the options granted after the IPO.

The Company amortizes the deferred stock-based compensation of employee options to expense using the graded-vesting method over the vesting periods of the applicable stock options, generally five years. The graded-vesting method provides for vesting of portions of the overall awards at interim dates and results in greater vesting in earlier years than the straight-line method. Upon termination of employment, the difference between the expense recorded under the graded-vesting method and the expense that would have been recorded based upon the vesting of the related option is reversed. As discussed above, this accounting practice is continuing to be followed in regard to the options granted prior to the IPO.

Pro-forma net loss information required under SFAS123 for options accounted for under the intrinsic value method

The following table presents the pro forma net loss information required under SFAS 123, as amended by SFAS 148, related to stock options granted to employees and directors. In the pro forma calculation, amortization related to options to employees and directors that are accounted for under the intrinsic value method prescribed by APB 25 is added back to income and replaced with the expense that would have been reflected in the statements of operations in the respective periods as if the Company had accounted for these options under the fair value method prescribed by SFAS 123. For purposes of this disclosure, the fair value of the stock options is amortized to expense over the vesting periods of the options using the graded-vesting method. The resulting effects on net loss pursuant to SFAS 123 related to these options are not likely to be representative of the effects in future periods or years, due to the decelerating scale of expense recognition under the graded vesting method or the effect of any terminations.

As noted above, the Company estimated the fair value of these options at the date of grant in accordance with SFAS 123, which allowed non-public companies to use the minimum value option pricing model and required the use of a model such as the Black-Sholes option pricing model for options granted by public companies. The Company has estimated the fair value of options granted prior to February 10, 2004, the date of
filing of the Form S-1 for the Company’s IPO, using the minimum value option pricing model and has used the Black-Scholes option pricing model for determining the fair value of options granted on or after that date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>Period from inception (May 13, 1998) to December 31, 2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net loss—as reported</td>
<td>$(11,573)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments to net loss related to stock awards to employees and directors accounted for under the intrinsic value method:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add back: Amortization of deferred compensation</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deduct: Stock-based employee compensation expense determined under SFAS 123</td>
<td>(126)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro forma net loss</td>
<td>$(11,603)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As reported net loss per share—basic and diluted</td>
<td>$ (0.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro forma net loss per share—basic and diluted</td>
<td>$ (0.34)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pro forma adjustment reflected in the table above for 2007 and 2006 relates only to those options granted to employees and directors prior to the IPO because as discussed above, these options continue to be accounted for using the intrinsic value method. This pro forma adjustment is not required after December 31, 2005 for options granted after the IPO that are now accounted for under SFAS 123R as their expense is recorded based on fair value at the date of grant since the adoption of SFAS 123R. For 2005 and all prior years, the pro forma adjustment relates to all options to employees and directors.

Assumptions used in determining fair value for options granted to employees

The following table summarizes the weighted-average assumptions and resultant fair value for options granted to employees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weighted average assumptions for stock options granted:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk-free interest rate</td>
<td>4.59%</td>
<td>4.98%</td>
<td>4.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected term</td>
<td>6.0 years</td>
<td>6.0 years</td>
<td>8.4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected volatility of stock price</td>
<td>87.2%</td>
<td>78.6%</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividend rate</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted average fair value of grants issued</td>
<td>$1.21</td>
<td>$3.09</td>
<td>$3.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The expected term for options granted during 2007 and 2006 is based on the “simple” method prescribed by the SEC in Staff Accounting Bulletins 107 and 110, and considers the weighted average of the vesting period and contractual life of the options. For options granted during 2005 the expected term was based on the contractual life of the options. There has been no adjustment made to the expected term to adjust for employees’ expected exercise and expected post-vesting termination behavior because the Company has a limited employee base and does not have sufficient historical information to determine such an adjustment.

The expected volatility of the Company’s stock used in determining the fair value of option grants is based on a weighted-average combination of the volatility of the Company’s own stock price and that of a group of peer companies since the Company does not have sufficient historical data from which to base an appropriate valuation assumption.

Stock-based compensation expense related to non-employees

Options granted to non-employees are accounted for in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 96-18, Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services (“EITF 96-18”), and are periodically remeasured as they are earned.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, or SFAS 157. SFAS 157 does not require any new fair value measurements but clarifies the fair value definition, establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop assumptions used for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financing Liabilities—including an amendment of SFAS Statement No. 115, or SFAS 159. SFAS 159 allows entities to voluntarily to choose to measure many financial assets and financial liabilities as well as certain nonfinancial instruments that are similar to financial instruments (collectively, eligible items) at fair value (the fair value option). The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. SFAS 157 and SFAS 159 are both effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS 157 and SFAS 159 on its financial statements.

In June 2007, the Emerging Issues Task Force of the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or EITF, adopted a draft of Issue No. 07-3, Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services to Be Used in Future Research and Development Activities, or EITF 07-3. EITF 07-3 requires that nonrefundable advance payments for future research and development activities should be deferred and recognized as expense as the goods are delivered or the related services are performed, unless the entity does not expect the goods to be delivered or the services to be rendered. EITF 07-3 is effective for the fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2007, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier adoption is not permitted. The Company does not anticipate that there will be a material effect on its financial statements on the implementation of this standard.

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, ratified EITF No. 07-1, Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements, or EITF 07-1. EITF 07-1 defines collaborative arrangements and establishes reporting requirements for transactions between participants in a collaborative arrangement and
2. Significant Agreements

Stanford License Agreements

In October 1998, the Company entered into an agreement with The Board of Trustees of Leland Stanford Junior University ("Stanford") in which Stanford granted the Company an exclusive option to acquire an exclusive license for inventions and patents related to “Mifepristone for Psychotic Major Depression” and “Mifepristone and Alzheimer’s Disease” owned by Stanford.

In October 1999, the Company exercised its option to acquire an exclusive license to patents covering the use of glucocorticoid receptors antagonists for the treatment of psychotic major depression, early dementia, and cocaine-induced psychosis, as specified in the license agreement. This license agreement expires upon the expiration of the related patents or upon notification by the Company to Stanford. In exchange for the license, the Company paid Stanford $47,000 and immediately issued 30,000 shares of the Company’s common stock to Stanford. The Company is further required to pay Stanford $50,000 per year as a nonrefundable royalty payment. The annual royalty payments are creditable against future royalties. The Company is also obligated to pay a $50,000 milestone upon filing of the first New Drug Application with the United States Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) and a $200,000 milestone upon FDA approval of the related drug. The milestone payments are also creditable against future royalties. The Company has expensed the $47,000 payment made up front, the $50,000 annual nonrefundable royalty payments and the value of the common stock issued to Stanford as research and development costs.

Manufacturing Agreements

In June 2000, the Company entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with a pharmaceutical manufacturer, ScinoPharm Taiwan, in which the manufacturer agreed to produce the active pharmaceutical ingredient (“API”) in CORLUX for the Company. In exchange, the Company agreed to share initial research and development costs related to the manufacturing process, which consisted of the acquisition of starting materials and equipment, as well as personnel costs, to complete the technology transfer, process development, and scale-up studies. Further, the Company has committed to purchase $1,000,000 per year of the API in CORLUX from the manufacturer following the receipt of marketing approval and initiation of sales of CORLUX.

On November 8, 2006, the Company signed an agreement with Produits Chimiques Auxiliaires et de Synthese SA ("PCAS") for the manufacture of the API in CORLUX, for its development and commercial needs for an initial period of five years. The agreement provides for an automatic extension for one additional year unless either party gives twelve month’s prior notice that it does not want the extension. If PCAS is unable to manufacture the product for a consecutive six-month period, the Company has the right to terminate the agreement. There is no guaranteed minimum purchase commitment under this agreement.
Research Agreements

In January 2003, the Company entered into a contract research agreement with Argenta Discovery Limited (“Argenta”) in which Argenta agreed to conduct research toward identifying a novel small molecule glucocorticoid receptor antagonist for the treatment of psychotic depression, Alzheimer’s disease, and other psychiatric and metabolic disorders. The project was expected to last at least two years, during which time the Company would make payments to Argenta based upon agreed-upon FTE (full-time equivalent) rates. During 2004, the Company gave notice to Argenta of its intent to extend its agreement to March 31, 2005, at which time the work under this agreement was concluded. During 2007 and early 2008, the Company signed agreements with Argenta to conduct research activities on new compounds. The total commitment under these research agreements is approximately $1.0 million of which $425,000 was expensed in 2007; the remainder will be incurred in 2008.

Under the agreements with Argenta, the Company may be obligated to make milestone payments upon the occurrence of certain events, including: (i) patent filings in connection with the project; (ii) entries into Phase 1 clinical trials; and (iii) national regulatory approval of each product arising from work performed under the agreement, provided that sales of the product by the Company or any future licensees reach $5,000,000. These obligations remain in force after the conclusion of work under the agreement.

During 2007, the Company initiated an agreement with Xceleron for a human microdosing study of one of the Company’s new chemical entities. The total commitment under this agreement is approximately $540,000. Approximately $210,000 of this commitment was expensed during 2007. The remainder will be incurred in 2008.

Development Agreements

Through 2006, the Company executed a number of agreements to conduct clinical trials and pre-clinical studies for further development of its lead product, CORLUX, targeted for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic major depression. As of December 31, 2007, approximately $330,000 of costs remained to be expensed under these agreements.

In October 2005, the Company signed an agreement with Eli Lilly and Company (“Lilly”) in which Lilly agreed to support the Company’s proof of concept clinical study evaluating the ability of CORLUX, a GR-II antagonist, to mitigate weight gain associated with the use of olanzapine. Under the agreement, Lilly agreed to supply olanzapine and pay for the study. This study, completed in 2007, was conducted in healthy male volunteers.

During 2007, the Company signed agreements for services in connection with the trial for CORLUX for the treatment of Cushing’s Syndrome. Through January 2008, the total commitment under these agreements is approximately $1.6 million. Approximately $140,000 was expended under these agreements during 2007, with the remainder to be expensed over the remainder of the trial. Under the master agreement with these vendors, the agreements may be terminated upon sixty days notice to the vendors. If terminated early, the Company would be responsible for the costs incurred by the vendor through the effective date of the termination plus cancellation charges as stipulated in the various agreements.

In November 2007, the Company signed a Letter of Intent (“LOI”) with ICON Clinical Research, L.P. (“ICON”) to assist in preparations for the upcoming Phase 3 clinical trial in psychotic depression. The total commitment under this LOI was approximately $635,000. Approximately $90,000 of this commitment was expensed in 2007, with the remainder of these costs to be incurred in 2008.
3. Financial Instruments

The following is a summary of cash, cash equivalents, short-term and long-term investments as of December 31, 2007 and 2006:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Unrealized Gain</th>
<th>Unrealized Loss</th>
<th>Fair Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>December 31, 2007</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$ 252</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ 252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money market funds</td>
<td>9,135</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>9,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial paper</td>
<td>7,976</td>
<td>$ 3</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>7,979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$17,363</td>
<td>$ 3</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$17,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported as:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>$11,432</td>
<td>$ 1</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$11,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term investments</td>
<td>5,931</td>
<td>$ 2</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>5,933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$17,363</td>
<td>$ 3</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$17,366</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Unrealized Gain</th>
<th>Unrealized Loss</th>
<th>Fair Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>December 31, 2006</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$ 80</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money market funds</td>
<td>8,826</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>8,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial paper</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$9,456</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$9,456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported as:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>$8,906</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$8,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term investments</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$9,456</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$9,456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As of December 31, 2007, there were no mortgage-backed securities and no auction rate securities in the portfolio.

All short-term investments at December 31, 2007 have remaining maturities of less than one year.

The net realized loss on sales of available-for-sales investments was not material for any period presented. Realized gains and losses are calculated based on the specific identification method.
4. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment, including assets purchased under capitalized leases, consists of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 31,</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007 (in thousands)</td>
<td>2006 (in thousands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture and equipment</td>
<td>$ 59</td>
<td>$ 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization</td>
<td>(34)</td>
<td>(75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 25</td>
<td>$ 38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furniture and equipment recorded under capital leases of approximately $59,000 was acquired during 2005. Amortization expense related to assets under capital lease was approximately $13,000, respectively for the each of the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 and approximately $7,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Depreciation expense on fixed assets acquired for cash was approximately $54,000 for the period from inception (May 13, 1998) to December 31, 2004, at which time they were fully depreciated.

5. Other Liabilities

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, other accrued liabilities consisted of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 31,</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007 (in thousands)</td>
<td>2006 (in thousands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued professional fees</td>
<td>$ 258</td>
<td>$ 132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued legal fees</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 350</td>
<td>$ 222</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Lease Obligations

In May 2005, the Company entered into a lease agreement for office space at a cost of approximately $14,000 per month, which was subject to increases each January based on increases in the landlord’s operating expenses for the property. The lease had an initial term of 30 months, which was effective from July 2005 through December 2007. In July 2007, the Company extended the lease through December 2008 at a base monthly rent of approximately $20,000 during 2008.

During 2005, the Company acquired office equipment and furniture of approximately $59,000 under leases that are classified as capital leases. The leases are payable over varying terms ranging from 39 to 60 months at regular monthly payments totaling approximately $1,400. The estimated principal portion of payments under these leases within the next year is classified as short-term, with the remaining balance classified as long-term.
The following table provides a summary of the principal payment obligations under the capital leases and the minimum rental payments under the operating lease as of December 31, 2007.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ending December 31,</th>
<th>Capital Leases</th>
<th>Operating Leases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(in thousands)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$ 13</td>
<td>$ 241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total obligation</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>$ 241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less current portion</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term portion of obligation</td>
<td>$ 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rent expense amounted to approximately $185,000, $171,000, $225,000 and $1.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, and the period from inception (May 13, 1998) to December 31, 2007, respectively.

7. Related Party Transactions

The Company obtained legal services from a stockholder who was also an affiliate of a person who served as a member of the Company’s board of directors until January 2004. Legal expenses incurred with this stockholder through that date were approximately $1.5 million for the period from inception (May 13, 1998) to December 31, 2003.

Until June 2005, the Company also leased office space from this stockholder. Rent amounts paid to this stockholder amounted to approximately $583,000 for the period from inception (May 13, 1998) to December 31, 2003.

8. Preferred Stock and Stockholders’ Equity

Preferred Stock

As of the closing of the IPO in April 2004, the board of directors is authorized, subject to any limitations prescribed by law, without stockholder approval, to issue up to an aggregate of 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock at $0.001 par value in one or more series and to fix the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions granted to or imposed upon the preferred stock, including voting rights, dividend rights, conversion rights, redemption privileges and liquidation preferences. The rights of the holders of common stock will be subject to the rights of holders of any preferred stock that may be issued in the future.

In April 2004, the Convertible Preferred Stock that had been outstanding prior to the IPO was converted into shares of Common Stock, as discussed below. As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company has no outstanding shares of preferred stock.
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Common Stock

As of the closing of the IPO in April 2004, the Company’s authorized capital stock includes 140,000,000 shares of common stock at $0.001 par value. Holders of common stock are entitled to one vote per share on all matters to be voted upon by the stockholders of the Company.

In April 2001, the Company issued 50,000 shares of common stock at a price below fair value to a scientific advisor for cash proceeds of $5,000. Through April 2006, the Company had the right to repurchase a portion of the common stock shares upon termination of services at the original exercise price. The Company recorded research and development expense of approximately $23,000, $68,000, and $345,000 during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 and for the period from inception (May 13, 1998) to December 31, 2006, respectively, for the difference between the fair value and price paid by the advisor related to the portion of the shares for which the Company’s right of repurchase lapsed in each period. The Company’s right to repurchase these shares expired in April 2006.

On December 31, 2006, the Company sold 3 million shares of common stock at a price of $1.00 per share in a private placement. The net proceeds were approximately $2.9 million after deducting issuance costs.

On March 30, 2007, the Company sold 9.0 million shares of common stock at a price of $1.00 per share in a private placement. The net proceeds were approximately $8.8 million after deducting issuance costs.

On August 16, 2007, the Company signed an agreement for the private placement of a total of approximately 4.8 million shares of its common stock at a price of $2.10 per share. Approximately 3.6 million shares were sold on August 17, 2007, with the remaining 1.2 million shares sold on September 24, 2007. The aggregate net proceeds of this financing were approximately $10.0 million after deducting issuance costs.

See footnote 13—Subsequent Events for a discussion of financing transactions during the first quarter of 2008.

No dividends have been declared or paid by the Company.

Shares of common stock reserved for future issuance as of December 31, 2007 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common stock:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exercise of outstanding options</td>
<td>3,891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares available for grant under stock option plans</td>
<td>887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4,778</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In December 2007, the Board of Directors authorized an increase of 790,970 shares in the shares available under the 2004 Plan to be effective on January 1, 2008, which amount is based on 2% of the shares of the Company’s common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2007 pursuant to the terms of the 2004 Plan.

Stock Option Plans

In October 2000, the Company adopted the 2000 Stock Option Plan (the “2000 Plan”), which provides for the issuance of option grants for up to 1,000,000 shares of the Company’s common stock to eligible participants. Under the 2000 Plan, options to purchase common stock may be granted at no less than 100% of fair value on the
date of grant for incentive stock options and 85% of fair value on the date of grant for nonqualified options, as determined by the board of directors. Options become exercisable at such times and under such conditions as determined by the board of directors. The 2000 Plan provides for grants of immediately exercisable options; however, the Company has the right to repurchase any common stock upon termination of employment or services at the original exercise price where the right of repurchase has not lapsed. Shares repurchased by the Company prior to March 2004 returned to the option pool. Options generally vest over a four- or five-year period and have a maximum term of ten years. Incentive stock options generally vest at a rate of 20% at the end of the first year of vesting, with the remaining balance vesting ratably on a monthly basis over the remaining four years. In May 2001, the Company increased the number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance under the 2000 Plan by 1,000,000 shares, to a total of 2,000,000 shares.

In March 2004, the Company’s board of directors and stockholders approved the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (the “2004 Plan”), which became effective upon the completion of the IPO. The Company has reserved a total of 3,000,000 shares of its common stock for issuance under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. No additional options will be issued under the 2000 Plan. Under the 2004 Plan, options, stock purchase and stock appreciation rights and restricted stock awards can be issued to employees, officers, directors and consultants of the Company. The 2004 Plan provides that the exercise price for incentive stock options will be no less than 100% of the fair value of the Company’s common stock, as of the date of grant. Options granted under the 2004 Plan vest over periods ranging from one to five years. The vesting period of the options is generally equivalent to the requisite service period. Upon exercise, new shares are issued.

The 2004 Plan provides that the share reserve will be cumulatively increased on January 1 of each year, beginning January 1, 2005 and for nine years thereafter, by a number of shares that is equal to the least of (a) 2% of the number of the Company’s shares issued and outstanding at the preceding December 31, (b) 1,000,000 shares and (c) a number of shares set by the Board of Directors. Through March 2007, the board approved increases in the shares available for grant under the 2004 Plan totaling 1,422,584 shares. In addition, in December 2007, the board authorized an additional increase of 790,970 shares in the shares available under the 2004 Plan to be effective on January 1, 2008, based on 2% of the shares outstanding as of December 31, 2007.
Option activity during 2005, 2006 and 2007

The following table summarizes all stock plan activity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock Options</th>
<th>Shares Available (in thousands, except per share data)</th>
<th>Options Outstanding</th>
<th>Weighted-Average Exercise Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2004</td>
<td>2,564</td>
<td>1,141</td>
<td>$ 6.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in shares authorized under 2004 Plan</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares granted</td>
<td>(257)</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>$ 4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares exercised</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>$ 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares issued for services</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares cancelled and forfeited under 2004 Plan</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>$ 5.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares cancelled and forfeited under 2000 Plan</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(44)</td>
<td>$ 9.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2005</td>
<td>2,770</td>
<td>1,335</td>
<td>$ 6.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in shares authorized under 2004 Plan</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares granted</td>
<td>(637)</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>$ 4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares exercised</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(26)</td>
<td>$ 0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares issued for services</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 4.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares cancelled and forfeited under 2004 Plan</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>(95)</td>
<td>$ 4.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares cancelled and forfeited under 2000 Plan</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(41)</td>
<td>$ 8.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2006</td>
<td>2,680</td>
<td>1,810</td>
<td>$ 5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in shares authorized under 2004 Plan</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares granted</td>
<td>(2,502)</td>
<td>2,502</td>
<td>$ 1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares exercised</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(26)</td>
<td>$ 0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares cancelled and forfeited under 2004 Plan</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>(195)</td>
<td>$ 5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares cancelled and forfeited under 2000 Plan</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(200)</td>
<td>$ 7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2007</td>
<td>887</td>
<td>3,891</td>
<td>$ 3.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 were approximately $359,000, $360,000, and $14,000 respectively.

The following table presents the total fair value of options to employees that vested during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005. All amounts are in thousands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year ended December 31,</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-IPO options, using minimum value method</td>
<td>$ 342</td>
<td>$ 1,270</td>
<td>$ 1,755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options granted after IPO through 2005, using fair value under SFAS 123</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options granted after January 1, 2006, using fair values under SFAS 123R</td>
<td>1,052</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,881</td>
<td>$2,220</td>
<td>$2,658</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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As of December 31, 2007, the Company had the following amounts of unrecognized compensation expense for employee options outstanding as of that date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount (in thousands)</th>
<th>Weighted-average period (in years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remaining deferred compensation related to options granted prior to the IPO, to be expensed under the provisions of APB 25</td>
<td>$13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining fair value to be expensed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options granted after IPO through 2005, using fair value under SFAS 123</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options granted after January 1, 2006, using fair value under SFAS 123R</td>
<td>3,453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$3,652</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following is a summary of options outstanding and options exercisable at December 31, 2007. All options outstanding at December 31, 2007 are either exercisable or expected to become exercisable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options Outstanding</th>
<th>Options Exercisable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Shares</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)</td>
<td>Weighted Average Exercise Price (in thousands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.10 - $0.75</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.50 - $2.70</td>
<td>2,501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4.00 - $7.73</td>
<td>1,213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10.06 - $15.00</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,891</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stock-Based Compensation

As discussed in Note 1, the Company applied APB 25 and related interpretations in accounting for the 2000 Plan and the 2004 Plan for the period from inception (May 13, 1998) to December 31, 2005. During that period, the Company recorded $10.3 million in deferred compensation for employee stock options to purchase common stock granted at exercise prices deemed to be below the fair value of common stock. The Company amortizes the deferred stock-based compensation of employee options to compensation expense based on the graded-vesting method over the vesting periods of the applicable stock options, generally five years. The graded-vesting method provides for vesting of portions of the overall awards at interim dates and results in greater vesting in earlier years than the straight-line method.

Also, as discussed in Note 1, the Company continues to account for stock options granted to employees and directors prior to the IPO using the intrinsic value method with deferred compensation being expensed based on the graded-vesting method. Options granted since the IPO are accounted for in accordance with SFAS 123R with the fair value being expensed either based on the graded-vesting method or on the straight-line method, as discussed in Note 1.
Compensation expense of approximately $1.0 million, $1.4 million, $591,000, and $10.2 million was recognized for employee options during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 and for the period from inception (May 13, 1998) to December 31, 2007, respectively, net of recoveries.

During the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, the Company recorded recoveries of previously reported stock-based compensation expense of approximately $420,000, $83,000 and $250,000 upon the termination or conversion to consultants of employees. These amounts represent the difference between the expense recorded under the graded-vesting method and the expense that would have been recorded based upon the rights to options that vested during the service of these individuals as employees. The recoveries recorded during 2007 included approximately $395,000 related to an officer and $25,000 related to a development employee. The recoveries during 2006 were split approximately evenly between employees in development and administrative functions. The 2005 recoveries related to the resignation of a development employee.

In addition, the Company reversed approximately $120,000 and $110,000 in each of the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2005, respectively, from deferred compensation related to outstanding options forfeited by employees who terminated or converted to consultancy status during the year, as the rights to the underlying shares had not fully vested by the date of conversion or termination of service as employees. There was no similar reversal in 2006.

Certain of the options previously granted to these individuals will continue to vest as the individuals provide consulting services to the Company. The fair value of options to be vested and earned after the employees’ change in status will be charged to expense as such options are earned over the remaining vesting periods using the straight-line method, as discussed below.

See discussion in Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Stock-Based Compensation, for a discussion of the implications of adoption of SFAS 123R effective January 1, 2006 on accounting for stock options to employees.

Stock Options to Consultants

As of December 31, 2007, options held by consultants to purchase approximately 32,000 shares were unvested.

The Company recorded charges to operations for stock options granted to consultants using the straight-line vesting method of approximately $50,000, $83,000, $107,000 and $940,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, 2005 and the period from inception (May 13, 1998) to December 31, 2007, respectively. The straight-line method is commensurate with the services being provided by such consultants.

Stockholder Notes Receivable

In 2001, the Company recorded notes receivable from stockholders in the aggregate amount of $438,165 in connection with the exercise of 585,000 shares of common stock options issued under the 2000 Plan. The notes are secured by the related shares of common stock and are full recourse notes, with interest compounded annually at the rate of 6.5% per year. The notes mature ten years from the date of issuance.

One of the employees who terminated in 2003 and the director who reduced their level of service to the Company in 2003 originally purchased common stock through the exercise of stock options and the execution of stockholder notes receivable as described in the preceding paragraph. The Company repurchased 150,000
unvested shares held by the employee in accordance with the terms of the related share purchase agreement. Upon termination, the outstanding note receivable of $37,300 related to the vested portion of the stock held by the employee was repaid in full. The Company repurchased 56,243 unvested shares held by the director in accordance with the terms of the related share purchase agreement, and the remaining vested shares held by the director remain subject to the note receivable.

As of December 31, 2007, the amounts outstanding under these notes included principal in the amount of approximately $107,000 and interest in the amount of approximately $42,000.

9. Net Loss Per Share

The Company follows the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 128, “Earnings Per Share.” Basic and diluted net loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period less outstanding shares subject to repurchase. Outstanding shares subject to repurchase are not included in the computation of basic net loss per share until the Company’s time-based repurchase rights have lapsed.

Basic and diluted net loss per share has been computed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year ended December 31,</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(in thousands, except per share amounts)</td>
<td>$11,573</td>
<td>$(24,873)</td>
<td>$(20,093)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss (numerator)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares used in computing historical basic and diluted net loss per share (denominator)</td>
<td>34,251</td>
<td>22,863</td>
<td>22,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted-average common shares outstanding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less weighted-average shares subject to repurchase</td>
<td></td>
<td>(22)</td>
<td>(91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denominator for basic and diluted net loss per share</td>
<td>34,251</td>
<td>22,841</td>
<td>22,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic and diluted net loss per share</td>
<td>$ (0.34)</td>
<td>$ (1.09)</td>
<td>$ (0.89)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In connection with the closing of the Company’s IPO in April 2004, shares of convertible preferred stock outstanding immediately prior to the closing automatically converted into 8,807,146 shares of common stock. These shares of common stock, together with the 4,500,000 shares of the Company’s common stock sold in the IPO, are reflected in the computation of basic and diluted net loss per share on a weighted average basis from the date of the IPO’s closing. In June 2004, the note payable to the Institute on Aging was converted into 44,508 shares of common stock.

The Company has excluded the impact of common stock equivalents from the calculation of diluted net loss per common share because all such securities are antidilutive for all periods presented. In addition, for all periods presented, the Company excluded additional shares that might have been issued under stock option grants.
The following table presents information on securities outstanding as of the end of each period that could potentially dilute the per share data in the future.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shares subject to repurchase</th>
<th>December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(in thousands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock options outstanding</td>
<td>3,891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,891</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company’s deferred tax assets are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 31.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax assets:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal and state net operating losses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitalized research and patent costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research credits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deferred tax assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valuation allowance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net deferred tax assets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon future earnings, if any, the timing and amount of which are uncertain. Accordingly, the net deferred tax assets have been fully offset by a valuation allowance. The valuation allowance increased by $4.0 million, $9.9 million, $8.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

As of December 31, 2007, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards for federal income tax purposes of approximately $48.4 million, which expire in the years 2019 through 2027. The Company also has California net operating loss carryforwards of approximately $48.1 million, which expire in the years 2009 through 2017. The Company also has federal and California research and development tax credits of approximately $630,000 and $725,000, respectively. The federal research credits will expire in the years 2019 through 2027 and the California research credits have no expiration date.

Utilization of the Company’s net operating loss may be subject to substantial annual limitation due to the ownership change limitations provided by the Internal Revenue Code and similar state provisions. Such an annual limitation could result in the expiration of the net operating loss before utilization.
A reconciliation from the statutory federal income tax rate to the effective rate is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year ended December 31,</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. federal taxes (benefit) at statutory rate</td>
<td>$(3,935)</td>
<td>$(8,457)</td>
<td>$(6,832)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Tax</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unutilized, net operating loss</td>
<td>3,814</td>
<td>8,211</td>
<td>6,649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-deductible stock based compensation</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Commitments

During 2004 through 2007, the Company executed a number of agreements to conduct clinical trials and pre-clinical studies for further development of its lead product, CORLUX, targeted for the treatment of psychotic depression and Cushing’s Syndrome, as well as other indications. See the discussion in footnote 2—Significant Agreements—Research Agreements and Development Agreements for further discussion regarding these agreements.

In the ordinary course of its business, the Company makes certain indemnities, commitments and guarantees under which it may be required to make payments in relation to certain transactions. These include indemnities of clinical investigators and contract research organizations involved in the development of the Company’s clinical stage product candidates, indemnities of contract manufacturers and indemnities to directors and officers of the Company to the maximum extent permitted under the laws of the State of Delaware. The duration of these indemnities, commitments and guarantees varies, and in certain cases, is indefinite. The majority of these indemnities, commitments and guarantees do not provide for any limitation of the maximum potential future payments the Company could be obligated to make. The Company has not recorded any liability for these indemnities, commitments and guarantees in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. However, the Company accrues for losses for any known contingent liability, including those that may arise from indemnification provisions, when future payment is probable and in accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. No such losses have been recorded to date.

12. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following table is in thousands, except per share amounts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter Ended</th>
<th>March 31</th>
<th>June 30</th>
<th>September 30</th>
<th>December 31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007(1) Net loss</td>
<td>$(2,535)</td>
<td>$(1,417)</td>
<td>$(3,427)</td>
<td>$(4,194)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic and diluted net loss per share</td>
<td>$(0.10)</td>
<td>$(0.04)</td>
<td>$(0.09)</td>
<td>$(0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006(2) Net loss</td>
<td>$(6,730)</td>
<td>$(7,864)</td>
<td>$(6,403)</td>
<td>$(3,876)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic and diluted net loss per share</td>
<td>$(0.30)</td>
<td>$(0.35)</td>
<td>$(0.28)</td>
<td>$(0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005 Net loss</td>
<td>$(5,512)</td>
<td>$(4,111)</td>
<td>$(5,223)</td>
<td>$(5,247)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic and diluted net loss per share</td>
<td>$(0.24)</td>
<td>$(0.18)</td>
<td>$(0.23)</td>
<td>$(0.23)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) During 2007 the Company sold shares of common stock in a series of private equity transactions—9.0 million shares on March 30, 2007; 3.6 million shares on August 17, 2007; and 1.2 million shares on September 24, 2007.

(2) In December 2006, in connection with a private equity transaction the Company sold 3.0 million shares of common stock.
13. Subsequent Events

The Company entered into an agreement with MedAvante, Inc., effective March 17, 2008, for the provision of centralized psychiatric rating services of patients to be screened and enrolled in our fourth Phase 3 clinical trial evaluating CORLUX for the treatment of the psychotic features of psychotic depression. The total commitment under this agreement is approximately $4.1 million, which will be incurred over the course of the trial. This agreement may be terminated by Corcept with 30 days notice to MedAvante. In the event of termination, the Company is obligated to pay certain costs including costs incurred to date, costs associated with any non-cancellable commitments for video service connectivity and costs of staff assigned to the project or a period of three months or until such time as they can be assigned to other projects, whichever is less.

On March 25, 2008, the Company sold approximately 8.9 million shares of its common stock at a price of $2.77 per share and warrants to purchase approximately 4.5 million shares of its common stock, at a price of $0.125 per warrant in a private placement. The warrants have a seven year term and an exercise price of $2.77 per share. The March 2008 financing generated proceeds of approximately $25 million, net of costs of issuance.

On March 25, 2008, the Company entered into a Committed Equity Financing Facility (CEFF) with Kingsbridge Capital Limited (Kingsbridge), a private investment group. Under the terms of the agreement, Kingsbridge has committed to provide up to $60 million of capital in exchange for newly-issued shares of Corcept’s common stock for a period of up to three years after the Securities and Exchange Commission declares effective the registration statement to be filed by Corcept covering the resale of the shares of common stock issuable in connection with the CEFF and the shares of common stock underlying the warrant discussed below. The maximum number of shares that can be sold by Corcept under this agreement is approximately 9.6 million shares. Under the terms of the agreement, the determination of the exact timing and amount of any CEFF financings will be made solely by Corcept, subject to certain conditions. Based on the closing stock price of the Company’s stock on March 27, 2008, the maximum amount of net proceeds that could be raised under the CEFF is approximately $27 million. The actual amount of funds that can be raised under this agreement will be dependent on the number of shares actually sold under the agreement and the market value of Corcept’s stock during the pricing periods of each sale.

Certain details of the CEFF are as follows:

- Corcept can access capital under the CEFF in tranches of up to 1.25% of Corcept’s market capitalization at the time of the initiation of the draw down period, or, at Corcept’s option, the lesser of (a) 2.5% of Corcept’s market capitalization at the time of the initiation of the draw down period, and (b) an alternative draw down amount as defined in the agreement; provided, however, that in no event may the maximum draw down amount exceed $10 million per tranche, subject to certain conditions.
- Each tranche will be issued and priced over an eight-day pricing period. Kingsbridge will purchase shares of common stock pursuant to the CEFF at discounts ranging from 6% to 10%, depending on the volume weighted average price of the common stock during the eight-day pricing period, provided that the minimum acceptable purchase price for any shares to be issued to Kingsbridge during the eight-day period is determined by the higher of $1.50 or 90% of Corcept’s common stock closing price the day before the commencement of each draw down.
- Throughout the term of the agreement, Kingsbridge has agreed it will not, and will not cause any other person to, enter into or execute a short sale of any of Corcept’s securities.
- Corcept is not obligated to utilize any of the $60 million available under the CEFF and there are no minimum commitments or minimum use penalties. The CEFF agreement does not contain any restrictions on Corcept’s operating activities, automatic pricing resets or minimum market volume restrictions.
The agreement does not prohibit Corcept from conducting additional debt or equity financing, other than financings similar to the CEFF and other future priced securities.

In connection with the CEFF, Corcept issued a warrant to Kingsbridge to purchase up to 330,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of $3.525 per share which represents a 125% premium over the average of the closing bid prices of Corcept’s common stock during the 5 trading days preceding the signing of the agreement. The warrant will become exercisable after the six month anniversary of the date of the agreement. The warrant will remain exercisable, subject to certain exceptions, until five years after the date it becomes exercisable.

The warrant issued to Kingsbridge and the shares of common stock issuable under the CEFF, and the shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrant, have not been registered under the Securities Act, or state securities laws, and may not be offered or sold in the United States without being registered with the SEC or through an applicable exemption from SEC registration requirements. Corcept has agreed to file a registration statement with the SEC covering the resale of the shares issuable under the CEFF and the shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrant within 60 days of the date of the agreement.
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THE SECURITIES EVIDENCED BY THIS CERTIFICATE HAVE NOT BEEN REGISTERED UNDER THE U.S. SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED (THE “SECURITIES ACT”), OR ANY OTHER APPLICABLE SECURITIES LAWS AND HAVE BEEN ISSUED IN RELIANCE UPON AN EXEMPTION FROM THE REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS OF THE SECURITIES ACT AND SUCH OTHER SECURITIES LAWS. NEITHER THIS SECURITY NOR ANY INTEREST OR PARTICIPATION HEREIN MAY BE REOFFERED, SOLD, ASSIGNED, TRANSFERRED, PLEDGED, ENCUMBERED, HYPOTHECATED OR OTHERWISE DISPOSED OF, EXCEPT PURSUANT TO AN EFFECTIVE REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OR PURSUANT TO A TRANSACTION WHICH IS EXEMPT FROM, OR NOT SUBJECT TO, SUCH REGISTRATION, IN EACH CASE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE SECURITIES LAWS, AND IN THE CASE OF A TRANSACTION EXEMPT FROM, OR NOT SUBJECT TO, SUCH REGISTRATION, UNLESS THE COMPANY HAS RECEIVED AN OPINION OF COUNSEL REASONABLY SATISFACTORY TO IT THAT SUCH TRANSACTION DOES NOT REQUIRE REGISTRATION UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT AND SUCH OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS.

WARRANT NO. __________ NUMBER OF SHARES: ____
DATE OF ISSUANCE: March [__], 2008 (subject to adjustment)

WARRANT TO PURCHASE SHARES
OF COMMON STOCK OF
CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED

This Warrant is issued to [_______], or its registered assigns (including any successors or assigns, the “Purchaser”), pursuant to that certain Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 14, 2008, between Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated, a Delaware corporation (the “Company”), the Purchaser and certain other purchasers thereunder (the “Purchase Agreement”) and is subject to the terms and conditions of the Purchase Agreement.

1. EXERCISE OF WARRANT.

(a) Method of Exercise. Subject to the terms and conditions herein set forth, upon surrender of this Warrant at the principal office of the Company and upon payment of the Warrant Price (as defined below) by wire transfer to the Company or cashier’s check drawn on a United States bank made payable to the order of the Company, or exercise of the right to credit the Warrant Price against the fair market value of the Warrant Stock (as defined below) at the time of exercise (the “Net Exercise Right”) pursuant to Section 1(b), the Purchaser is entitled to purchase from the Company, at any time after the date hereof and on or before 5:00 p.m. New York City time on March 24, 2015 (the “Expiration Date”) (subject to earlier termination of this Warrant as set forth herein), up to _____ shares (as adjusted from time to time pursuant to the provisions of this Warrant) of Common Stock (as defined below) of the Company (the “Warrant Stock”), at a purchase price of $2.77 per share (the “Warrant Price”).
(b) **Net Exercise Right.** If the Company shall receive written notice from the Purchaser at the time of exercise of this Warrant that the holder elects to exercise the Net Exercise Right, the Company shall deliver to such holder (without payment by the Purchaser of any exercise price in cash) that number of fully paid and nonassessable shares of Common Stock, par value $0.001 per share, of the Company ("Common Stock") equal to the quotient obtained by dividing (y) the value of this Warrant (or the specified portion thereof) on the date of exercise, which value shall be determined by subtracting (1) the aggregate Warrant Price of the Warrant Stock (or the specified portion thereof) immediately prior to the exercise of this Warrant from (2) the Aggregate Fair Market Value (as defined below) of the Warrant Stock (or the specified portion thereof) issuable upon exercise of this Warrant (or specified portion thereof) on the date of exercise by (z) the Fair Market Value (as defined below) of one share of Common Stock on the date of exercise. The "Fair Market Value" of a share of Common Stock shall mean the last reported sale price and, if there are no sales, the last reported bid price, of the Common Stock on the business day prior to the date of exercise as reported by the NASDAQ Capital Market or such other principal exchange or quotation system on which the Common Stock is then traded or, if the Common Stock is not publicly traded, the price determined in good faith by the Company’s Board of Directors. The "Aggregate Fair Market Value" of the Warrant Stock shall be determined by multiplying the number of shares of Warrant Stock by the Fair Market Value of one share of Warrant Stock.

2. CERTAIN ADJUSTMENTS.

(a) **Mergers or Consolidations.** If at any time after the date hereof there shall be a capital reorganization (other than a combination or subdivision of Warrant Stock otherwise provided for herein) (a "Reorganization"), or a merger or consolidation of the Company with another corporation (other than a merger with another corporation in which the Company is a continuing corporation and which does not result in any reclassification or change of outstanding securities issuable upon exercise of this Warrant or a merger effected exclusively for the purpose of changing the domicile of the Company) (a "Merger"), then, as a part of such Reorganization or Merger, lawful provision shall be made so that the Purchaser shall thereafter be entitled to receive upon exercise of this Warrant, during the period specified in this Warrant and upon payment of the Warrant Price (unless the Purchaser has elected the Net Exercise Right), the number of shares of stock or other securities or property of the Company or the successor corporation resulting from such Reorganization or Merger, to which a holder of the Common Stock deliverable upon exercise of this Warrant would have been entitled under the provisions of the agreement in such Reorganization or Merger if this Warrant had been exercised immediately before that Reorganization or Merger. In any such case, appropriate adjustment (as determined in good faith by the Company’s Board of Directors) shall be made in the application of the provisions of this Warrant with respect to the rights and interests of the Purchaser after the Reorganization or Merger to the end that the provisions of this Warrant (including adjustment of the Warrant Price then in effect and the number of shares of Warrant Stock) shall be applicable after that event, as near as reasonably may be, in relation to any shares or other property deliverable after that event upon exercise of this Warrant. The above provisions of this paragraph shall similarly apply to successive reorganizations, reclassifications, exchanges, liquidations, recapitalizations, changes, consolidations, mergers, sales, transfers or other dispositions, if any.
(b) Splits and Subdivisions; Dividends. In the event the Company should at any time, or from time to time, fix a record date for the effectuation of a split or subdivision of the outstanding shares of Common Stock or the determination of the holders of Common Stock entitled to receive a dividend or other distribution payable in additional shares of Common Stock or other securities or rights convertible into, or entitling the holder thereof to receive directly or indirectly, additional shares of Common Stock (hereinafter referred to as the "Common Stock Equivalents") without payment of any consideration by such holder for the additional shares of Common Stock or Common Stock Equivalents (including the additional shares of Common Stock issuable upon conversion or exercise thereof), then, as of such record date (or the date of such distribution, split or subdivision if no record date is fixed), the per share Warrant Price shall be appropriately decreased and the number of shares of Warrant Stock shall be appropriately increased in proportion to such increase (or potential increase) of outstanding shares.

(c) Combination of Shares. If the number of shares of Common Stock outstanding at any time after the date hereof is decreased by a combination of the outstanding shares of Common Stock, the per share Warrant Price shall be appropriately increased and the number of shares of Warrant Stock shall be appropriately decreased in proportion to such decrease in outstanding shares.

(d) Adjustments for Other Distributions. In the event the Company shall declare a distribution payable in securities of other persons, evidences of indebtedness issued by the Company or other persons, assets (excluding cash dividends paid out of net profits) or options or rights not referred to in Section 2(b), then, in each such case for the purpose of this Section 2(d), upon exercise of this Warrant the holder hereof shall be entitled to a proportionate share of any such distribution as though such holder was the holder of the number of shares of Common Stock into which this Warrant may be exercised as of the record date fixed for the determination of the holders of Common Stock entitled to receive such distribution.

3. NO FRACTIONAL SHARES. No fractional shares of Warrant Stock will be issued in connection with any exercise of this Warrant. In lieu of any fractional shares which would otherwise be issuable, the Company shall pay cash equal to the product of such fraction multiplied by the Fair Market Value of one share of Warrant Stock.

4. NO STOCKHOLDER RIGHTS. Until the exercise of this Warrant or any portion of this Warrant, the Purchaser shall not have nor exercise any rights by virtue hereof as a stockholder of the Company (including without limitation the right to notification of stockholder meetings or the right to receive any notice or other communication concerning the business and affairs of the Company).

5. RESERVATION OF STOCK. The Company covenants that during the period this Warrant is exercisable, the Company will reserve from its authorized and unissued Common Stock a sufficient number of shares of Common Stock (or other securities, if applicable) to provide for the issuance of Warrant Stock (or other securities) upon the exercise of this Warrant. The Company agrees that its issuance of this Warrant shall constitute full authority to its officers who are charged with the duty of executing stock certificates to execute and issue the necessary certificates for the Warrant Stock upon the exercise of this Warrant.
6. MECHANICS OF EXERCISE. This Warrant may be exercised by the holder hereof, in whole or in part, by the surrender of this Warrant and the Notice of Exercise attached hereto as Exhibit A duly completed and executed on behalf of the holder hereof, at the principal office of the Company together with payment in full of the Warrant Price (unless the Purchaser has elected the Net Exercise Right) then in effect with respect to the number of shares of Warrant Stock as to which the Warrant is being exercised. This Warrant shall be deemed to have been exercised immediately prior to the close of business on the date of its surrender for exercise as provided above, and the person entitled to receive the Warrant Stock issuable upon such exercise shall be treated for all purposes as the holder of such shares of record as of the close of business on such date. As promptly as practicable on or after such date, the Company at its expense shall cause to be issued and delivered to the person or persons entitled to receive the same a certificate or certificates for the number of full shares of Warrant Stock issuable upon such exercise, together with cash in lieu of any fraction of a share as provided above. The shares of Warrant Stock issuable upon exercise hereof shall, upon their issuance, be validly issued, fully paid and nonassessable, and free from all preemptive rights, taxes, liens and charges with respect to the issue thereof. In the event that this Warrant is exercised in part, the Company at its expense will execute and deliver a new Warrant of like tenor exercisable for the number of shares for which this Warrant may then be exercised.

7. CERTIFICATE OF ADJUSTMENT. Whenever the Warrant Price or number or type of securities issuable upon exercise of this Warrant is adjusted, as herein provided, the Company shall, at its expense, promptly deliver to the Purchaser a certificate of an officer of the Company setting forth the nature of such adjustment and showing in detail the facts upon which such adjustment is based.

8. REPRESENTATIONS OF PURCHASER. As of the date hereof, the Purchaser hereby confirms the representations and warranties made by the Purchaser in Section 4 of the Purchase Agreement.

9. COMPLIANCE WITH SECURITIES LAWS.

(a) The Purchaser understands that this Warrant and the Warrant Stock are characterized as “restricted securities” under the federal securities laws inasmuch as they are being acquired from the Company in a transaction not involving a public offering and that under such laws and applicable regulations this Warrant and the Warrant Stock may be resold without registration under the Securities Act only in certain limited circumstances. In this connection, the Purchaser represents that it is familiar with Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), as presently in effect, and understands the resale limitations imposed thereby and by the Securities Act.

(b) Prior and as a condition to any exercise of this Warrant (unless the Purchaser has elected the Net Exercise Right) or the sale or transfer of the Warrant Stock issuable upon exercise of this Warrant, the Purchaser shall furnish to the Company such certificates, representations, agreements and other information, including an opinion of counsel, as the Company or the Company’s transfer agent reasonably may require to confirm that such exercise, sale or transfer is being made pursuant to an exemption from, or in a transaction not subject to, the registration requirements of the Securities Act unless such Warrant Stock is being sold or transferred pursuant to an effective registration statement.
10. NOTICES OF RECORD DATE. In the event of:

(a) any taking by the Company of a record of the holders of any class of securities for the purpose of determining the holders thereof who are entitled to receive any dividend (other than a cash dividend payable out of earned surplus of the Company) or other distribution, or any right to subscribe for, purchase or otherwise acquire any shares of stock of any class or any other securities or property, or to receive any other right; or

(b) any Reorganization or Merger; or

(c) any voluntary or involuntary dissolution, liquidation or winding-up of the Company, then and in each such event the Company will mail or cause to be delivered to the Purchaser (or a permitted transferee in compliance with Section 9 above) a notice specifying (i) the date on which any such record is to be taken for the purpose of such dividend, distribution or right, and stating the amount and character of such dividend, distribution or right, and (ii) the date on which any such Reorganization, Merger, dissolution, liquidation or winding-up is to take place, and the time, if any, as of which the holders of record of Common Stock (or other securities) shall be entitled to exchange their shares of Common Stock (or other securities) for securities or other property deliverable upon such Reorganization, Merger, dissolution, liquidation or winding-up. Such notice shall be delivered at least ten (10) business days prior to the date therein specified.

11. REPLACEMENT OF WARRANTS. On receipt of evidence reasonably satisfactory to the Company of the loss, theft, destruction or mutilation of this Warrant and, in the case of any such loss, theft, destruction or mutilation of this Warrant, on delivery of an indemnity agreement or security reasonably satisfactory in form and amount to the Company or, in the case of any such mutilation, on surrender and cancellation of such Warrant, the Company at its expense will execute and deliver, in lieu thereof, a new Warrant of like tenor.

12. NO IMPAIRMENT. Except to the extent as may be waived by the holder of this Warrant, the Company will not, by amendment of its charter or through a Reorganization, Merger, dissolution, sale of assets or any other voluntary action, avoid or seek to avoid the observance or performance of any of the terms of this Warrant, but will at all times in good faith assist in the carrying out of all such terms and in the taking of all such action as may be necessary or appropriate in order to protect the rights of the Purchaser against impairment.

13. SATURDAYS, SUNDAYS, HOLIDAYS, ETC. If the last or appointed day for the taking of any action or the expiration of any right required or granted herein shall be a Saturday or Sunday or shall be a legal U.S. holiday, then such action may be taken or such right may be exercised on the next succeeding day not a Saturday, Sunday or legal U.S. holiday.
14. TRANSFERS; EXCHANGES. (a) Subject to compliance with applicable federal and state securities laws and Section 9 hereof, this Warrant may be transferred by the Purchaser with respect to any or all of the Warrant Stock purchasable hereunder. Upon surrender of this Warrant to the Company, together with the Notice of Assignment in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B, duly completed and executed on behalf of the Purchaser, for transfer of this Warrant as an entirety by Purchaser, the Company shall issue a new Warrant of the same denomination to the assignee. Upon surrender of this Warrant to the Company, together with the Notice of Assignment in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B, duly completed and executed on behalf of the Purchaser, for transfer of this Warrant with respect to a portion of the Warrant Stock purchasable hereunder, the Company shall issue a new Warrant to the assignee, in such denomination as shall be requested by the Purchaser, and shall issue to the Purchaser a new Warrant covering the number of shares in respect of which this Warrant shall not have been transferred.

(b) This Warrant is exchangeable, without expense, at the option of the Holder, upon presentation and surrender hereof to the Company for other warrants of different denominations entitling the holder thereof to purchase in the aggregate the same number of shares of Common Stock purchasable hereunder. This Warrant may be divided or combined with other warrants that carry the same rights upon presentation hereof at the principal office of the Company together with a written notice specifying the denominations in which new warrants are to be issued to the Holder and signed by the Holder hereof. The term “Warrants” as used herein includes any warrants into which this Warrant may be divided or exchanged.

15. MISCELLANEOUS. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the internal laws of the State of New York. All notices, requests, consents and other communications hereunder shall be in writing, shall be sent by confirmed facsimile or electronic mail, or mailed by first-class registered or certified airmail, or nationally recognized overnight express courier, postage prepaid, and shall be deemed given when so sent in the case of facsimile or electronic mail transmission, or when so received in the case of mail or courier, and addressed as follows: (a) if to the Company, at 149 Commonwealth Drive, Menlo Park, California 94025, Attention: Chief Executive Officer; Facsimile: (650) 327-3218; E-Mail: [________]@corcept.com; with a copy to Latham & Watkins LLP, 140 Scott Drive, Menlo Park, California 94025, Attention: Alan C. Mendelson; Facsimile: (650) 463-4693; E-Mail: alan.mendelson@lw.com and (b) if to the Purchaser, at such address or addresses as may have been furnished by the Purchaser to the Company in writing. The invalidity or unenforceability of any provision hereof shall in no way affect the validity or enforceability of any other provisions.

16. WAIVER. The Company will not, by any voluntary action avoid or seek to avoid the observance or performance of any of the terms to be observed or performed hereunder by the Company, but will at all times in good faith assist in the carrying out of all provisions of this Warrant and in the taking of all such action as may be necessary or appropriate in order to protect the rights of the Holder of this Warrant against impairment.
17. OPTIONAL REDEMPTION. Provided that the shares of Warrant Stock are registered on an effective registration statement (other than due to the lapse of the Effectiveness Period (as defined in the Registration Rights Agreement dated March 14, 2008 by and among the Company and the investors named therein (the “Registration Rights Agreement”)) or are otherwise freely tradable under Rule 144(b)(i) without volume limitation, at any time after the occurrence of a Redemption Condition (as defined below), the Company shall be entitled to redeem the Warrants, or any of them, for no consideration, upon 30 days’ written notice to the Purchaser. Hereinafter, such 30-day period, as it may be extended pursuant to this Section 17, is referred to as the “Redemption Period.” Upon the expiration of the Redemption Period (the “Redemption Date”), all Warrants noticed for redemption that have not theretofore been exercised by the Purchaser shall cease to represent the right to purchase any shares of Warrant Stock and shall be deemed cancelled and void and of no further force or effect without any further act or deed on the part of the Company. The Purchaser undertakes to return the certificate representing any redeemed Warrants to the Company upon their redemption. In the event the certificate so returned represents a number of Warrants in excess of the number being redeemed, the Company shall as promptly as practicable issue to the Purchaser a new certificate for the number of unredeemed Warrants. If at any time during the Redemption Period but until the earlier of (i) the expiration of the Effectiveness Period (as defined in the Registration Rights Agreement) and (ii) the time at which the Warrant Shares are freely tradable under Rule 144(b)(i) without volume limitation, the prospectus used in connection with the disposition of the shares of Warrant Stock pursuant to a Registration Statement (as defined in the Purchase Agreement) may not be used by the Purchaser for the resale of the shares of Warrant Stock, then the Redemption Period shall be extended by the period of time that the Purchaser may not so use the prospectus. For purposes of this section, a “Redemption Condition” shall have occurred if, at any time prior to March 25, 2010, the Fair Market Value of a share of Common Stock is greater than 300% of the Warrant Price then in effect for 20 consecutive trading days. The Redemption Condition shall be deemed to be satisfied on such 20th consecutive trading day.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Common Stock Purchase Warrant is issued effective as of the date first set forth above.

CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED

By:
Name:
Title:

Signature Page to Warrant
EXHIBIT A

NOTICE OF INTENT TO EXERCISE
(To be signed only upon exercise of Warrant)

To: Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated

The undersigned, the Purchaser of the attached Warrant, hereby irrevocably elects to exercise the purchase right represented by such Warrant for, and to purchase thereunder, ________ (______) shares of Common Stock of Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated and (choose one) ________ herewith makes payment of ________ Dollars ($ ________) thereof

or

_________ exercises the Net Exercise Right pursuant to Section 1(b) thereof and requests that the certificates for such shares be issued in the name of, and delivered to _____________ whose address is ________________________________

The undersigned by its signature below it hereby represents and warrants that it is an “accredited investor” as defined in Rule 501(a) of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and agrees to be bound by the terms and conditions of the attached Warrant as of the date hereof, including Section 9 thereof.

DATED: ________________________________

(Signature must conform in all respects to name of the Purchaser as specified on the face of the Warrant)

______________________________

(Address)
EXHIBIT B
NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT FORM

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, _______________ (the “Assignor”) hereby sells, assigns and transfers all of the rights of the undersigned Assignor under the attached Warrant with respect to the number of shares of common stock of Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated (the “Company”) covered thereby set forth below, to the following “Assignee” and, in connection with such transfer, represents and warrants to the Company that the transfer is in compliance with Section 9 of the Warrant and applicable federal and state securities laws:

NAME OF ASSIGNEE

ADDRESS/FAX NUMBER

Dated: ____________________________  Signature: ____________________________

Witness: __________________________

ASSIGNEE ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The undersigned Assignee acknowledges that it has reviewed the attached Warrant and by its signature below it hereby represents and warrants that it is an “accredited investor” as defined in Rule 501(a) of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and agrees to be bound by the terms and conditions of the attached Warrant as of the date hereof, including Section 9 thereof:

Signature: __________________________

By: __________________________

Its: __________________________

Address: ________
Exhibit 4.5

WARRANT

THE SECURITIES EVIDENCED BY THIS WARRANT HAVE NOT BEEN REGISTERED UNDER THE U.S. SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED (THE “SECURITIES ACT”), OR ANY OTHER APPLICABLE SECURITIES LAWS AND HAVE BEEN ISSUED IN RELIANCE UPON AN EXEMPTION FROM THE REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS OF THE SECURITIES ACT AND SUCH OTHER SECURITIES LAWS. NEITHER THIS SECURITY NOR ANY INTEREST OR PARTICIPATION HEREIN MAY BE REOFFERED, SOLD, ASSIGNED, TRANSFERRED, PLEDGED, ENCUMBERED, HYPOTHECATED OR OTHERWISE DISPOSED OF, EXCEPT PURSUANT TO AN EFFECTIVE REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OR PURSUANT TO A TRANSACTION WHICH IS EXEMPT FROM, OR NOT SUBJECT TO, SUCH REGISTRATION.

MARCH 25, 2008

Warrant to Purchase up to 330,000 shares of Common Stock of Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated (the “Company”).

In consideration for Kingsbridge Capital Limited (the “Investor”) agreeing to enter into that certain Common Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of the date hereof, between the Investor and the Company (the “Agreement”), the Company hereby agrees that the Investor or any other Warrant Holder (as defined below) is entitled, on the terms and conditions set forth below, to purchase from the Company at any time during the Exercise Period (as defined below) up to 330,000 fully paid and non-assessable shares of common stock, par value $0.001 per share, of the Company (the “Common Stock”) at the Exercise Price (as defined below), as the same may be adjusted from time to time pursuant to Section 6 hereof. The resale of the shares of Common Stock or other securities issuable upon exercise or exchange of this Warrant is subject to the provisions of the Registration Rights Agreement. Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meanings given them in the Agreement.

Section 1. Definitions.

“Affiliate” shall mean any Person that, directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, controls or is controlled by, or is under direct or indirect common control with any other Person. For the purposes of this definition, “control,” when used with respect to any Person, means the power to direct the management and policies of such Person, directly or indirectly through the ownership of voting securities, and the term “controls” and “controlled” have meanings correlative to the foregoing.

“Closing Price” as of any particular day shall mean the closing price per share of the Company’s Common Stock as reported by Bloomberg L.P. on such day.

“Exercise Period” shall mean that period beginning six months after the date of this Warrant and continuing until (i) the expiration of the five-year period thereafter, or (ii) a Funding Default, subject in each case to earlier termination in accordance with Section 6 hereof.
“Exercise Price” as of the date hereof shall mean three dollars fifty two and a half cents ($3.525), representing 125% of the average Closing Price of the Common Stock during the five (5) Trading Days immediately preceding the date of this Warrant.

“Funding Default” shall mean a failure by Investor to accept a Draw Down Notice made by the Company and to acquire and pay for the Shares in accordance therewith within three (3) Trading Days following the delivery of such Shares to the Investor, provided such Draw Down Notice was made in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Agreement (including the satisfaction or waiver of the conditions to the obligation of the Investor to accept a Draw Down set forth in Article VII of the Agreement), provided further, that such failure was reasonably within the control of the Investor.

“Per Share Warrant Value” shall mean the difference resulting from subtracting the Exercise Price from the Closing Price on the Trading Day immediately preceding the Exercise Date.

“Person” shall mean an individual, a corporation, a partnership, a limited liability company, an association, a trust or other entity or organization, including a government or political subdivision or an agency or instrumentality thereof.

“Principal Market” shall mean the NASDAQ Global Select Market, the NASDAQ Global Market, the NASDAQ Capital Market, the American Stock Exchange or the New York Stock Exchange, whichever is at the time the principal trading exchange or market for the Common Stock.

“SEC” shall mean the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

“Trading Day” shall mean any day other than a Saturday or a Sunday on which the Principal Market is open for trading in equity securities.

“Warrant Holder” shall mean the Investor or any permitted assignee or permitted transferee of all or any portion of this Warrant.

“Warrant Shares” shall mean those shares of Common Stock received upon exercise of this Warrant.

Section 2. Exercise

(a) Method of Exercise. This Warrant may be exercised in whole or in part (but not as to a fractional share of Common Stock), at any time and from time to time during the Exercise Period, by the Warrant Holder by surrender of this Warrant, with the form of exercise attached hereto as Exhibit A completed and duly executed by the Warrant Holder (the “Exercise Notice”), to the Company at the address set forth in Section 10.4 of the Agreement, accompanied by payment of the Exercise Price multiplied by the number of shares of Common Stock for which this Warrant is being exercised (the “Aggregate Exercise Price”). The later of the date on which an Exercise Notice or payment of the Exercise Price (unless this Warrant is exercised in accordance with Section 2(c) below) is received by the Company in accordance with this clause (a) shall be deemed an “Exercise Date.”
(b) **Payment of Aggregate Exercise Price.** Subject to paragraph (c) below, payment of the Aggregate Exercise Price shall be made by wire transfer of immediately available funds to an account designated by the Company. If the amount of the payment received by the Company is less than the Aggregate Exercise Price, the Warrant Holder will be notified of the deficiency and shall make payment in that amount within three (3) Trading Days. In the event the payment exceeds the Aggregate Exercise Price, the Company will refund the excess to the Warrant Holder within five (5) Trading Days of receipt.

(c) **Cashless Exercise.** In the event that the Warrant Shares to be received by the Warrant Holder upon exercise of the Warrant may not be resold pursuant to an effective registration statement or an exemption to the registration requirements of the Securities Act, and applicable state laws, the Warrant Holder may, as an alternative to payment of the Aggregate Exercise Price upon exercise in accordance with paragraph (b) above, elect to effect a cashless exercise by so indicating on the Exercise Notice and including a calculation of the number of shares of Common Stock to be issued upon such exercise in accordance with the terms hereof (a “Cashless Exercise”). If a registration statement on Form S-1 under the Securities Act, or such other form as deemed appropriate by counsel to the Company for the registration for the resale by the Warrant Holder of (x) the shares of Common Stock of the Company that may be purchased under the Agreement, (y) the Warrant Shares, or (z) any securities issued or issuable with respect to any of the foregoing by way of exchange, stock dividend or stock split or in connection with a combination of shares, recapitalization, merger, consolidation or other reorganization or otherwise, has been declared effective by the SEC and remains effective, the Company may, in its sole discretion, permit the Warrant Holder to effect a Cashless Exercise or require the Warrant Holder to pay the Exercise Price of the Warrant Shares being purchased by the Warrant Holder under this Warrant. In the event of a Cashless Exercise, the Warrant Holder shall receive that number of shares of Common Stock determined by (i) multiplying the number of Warrant Shares for which this Warrant is being exercised by the Per Share Warrant Value and (ii) dividing the product by the Closing Price on the Trading Day immediately preceding the Exercise Date, rounded to the nearest whole share. The Company shall cancel the total number of Warrant Shares equal to the excess of the number of the Warrant Shares for which this Warrant is being exercised over the number of Warrant Shares to be received by the Warrant Holder pursuant to such Cashless Exercise.

(d) **Replacement Warrant.** In the event that the Warrant is not exercised in full, the number of Warrant Shares shall be reduced by the number of such Warrant Shares for which this Warrant is exercised, and the Company, at its expense, shall forthwith issue and deliver to or upon the order of the Warrant Holder a new Warrant of like tenor in the name of the Warrant Holder, reflecting such adjusted number of Warrant Shares.

Section 3. **Ten Percent Limitation.** The Warrant Holder may not exercise this Warrant such that the number of Warrant Shares to be received pursuant to such exercise aggregated with all other shares of Common Stock that are then beneficially owned or deemed to be beneficially owned by the Warrant Holder would result in the Warrant Holder owning more than 9.9% of all of such Common Stock as would be outstanding on such Exercise Date, as determined in accordance with Section 13(d) of the Exchange Act.
Section 4. Delivery of Warrant Shares.

(a) Subject to the terms and conditions of this Warrant, as soon as practicable after the exercise of this Warrant in full or in part, and in any event within ten (10) Trading Days thereafter, the Company at its expense (including, without limitation, the payment by it of any applicable issue taxes) will cause to be issued in the name of and delivered to the Warrant Holder, or as the Warrant Holder may lawfully direct, a certificate or certificates for, or make deposit with the Depositary Trust Company via book-entry of, the number of validly issued, fully paid and non-assessable Warrant Shares to which the Warrant Holder shall be entitled on such exercise, together with any other stock or other securities or property (including cash, where applicable) to which the Warrant Holder is entitled upon such exercise in accordance with the provisions hereof.

(b) This Warrant may not be exercised as to fractional shares of Common Stock. In the event that the exercise of this Warrant, in full or in part, would result in the issuance of any fractional share of Common Stock, then in such event the Warrant Holder shall receive the number of shares rounded to the nearest whole share.

Section 5. Representations, Warranties and Covenants of the Company.

(a) The Warrant Shares, when issued in accordance with the terms hereof, will be duly authorized and, when paid for or issued in accordance with the terms hereof, shall be validly issued, fully paid and non-assessable.

(b) The Company shall take all commercially reasonable action and proceedings as may be required and permitted by applicable law, rule and regulation for the legal and valid issuance of this Warrant and the Warrant Shares to the Warrant Holder.

(c) The Company has authorized and reserved for issuance to the Warrant Holder the requisite number of shares of Common Stock to be issued pursuant to this Warrant. The Company shall at all times reserve and keep available, solely for issuance and delivery as Warrant Shares hereunder, such shares of Common Stock as shall from time to time be issuable as Warrant Shares.

(d) From the date hereof through the last date on which this Warrant is exercisable, the Company shall take all steps commercially reasonable to ensure that the Common Stock remains listed or quoted on the Principal Market.
Section 6. **Adjustment of the Exercise Price.** The Exercise Price and, accordingly, the number of Warrant Shares issuable upon exercise of the Warrant, shall be subject to adjustment from time to time upon the happening of certain events as follows:

(a) **Reclassification, Consolidation, Merger, Mandatory Share Exchange, Sale or Transfer.**

(i) Upon occurrence of any of the events specified in subsection (a)(ii) below (the “Adjustment Events”) while this Warrant is unexpired and not exercised in full, the Warrant Holder may in its sole discretion require the Company, or any successor or purchasing corporation, as the case may be, without payment of any additional consideration therefor, upon surrender by the Warrant Holder of the Warrant to be replaced, to execute and deliver to the Warrant Holder a new Warrant providing that the Warrant Holder shall have the right to exercise such new Warrant (upon terms not less favorable to the Warrant Holder than those then applicable to this Warrant) and to receive upon such exercise, in lieu of each share of Common Stock theretofore issuable upon exercise of this Warrant, the kind and amount of shares of stock, other securities, money or property receivable upon such Adjustment Event by the holder of one share of Common Stock issuable upon exercise of this Warrant had this Warrant been exercised immediately prior to such Adjustment Event. Such new Warrant shall provide for adjustments that shall be as nearly equivalent as may be practicable to the adjustments provided for in this Section 6.

(ii) The Adjustment Events shall be (1) any reclassification or change of Common Stock (other than a change in par value, as a result of a subdivision or combination of Common Stock or in connection with an Excluded Merger or Sale), (2) any consolidation, merger or mandatory share exchange of the Company with or into another corporation (other than a merger or mandatory share exchange with another corporation in which the Company is a continuing corporation and which does not result in any reclassification or change other than a change in par value or as a result of a subdivision or combination of Common Stock), other than (each of the following referred to as an “Excluded Merger or Sale”) a transaction involving (A) sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company, (B) any merger, consolidation or similar transaction where the consideration payable to the shareholders of the Company by the acquiring Person consists substantially of cash or publicly traded securities, or a combination thereof, or where the acquiring Person does not agree to assume the obligations of the Company under outstanding warrants (including this Warrant). In the event of an Excluded Merger or Sale, the Company shall deliver a notice to the Warrant Holder at least 10 days before the consummation of such Excluded Merger or Sale, the Warrant Holder may exercise this Warrant at any time before the consummation of such Excluded Merger or Sale (and such exercise may be made contingent upon the consummation of such Excluded Merger or Sale), and any portion of this Warrant that has not been exercised before consummation of such Excluded Merger or Sale shall terminate and expire, and shall no longer be outstanding.

(b) **Subdivision or Combination of Shares.** If the Company, at any time while this Warrant is unexpired and not exercised in full, shall subdivide its Common Stock, the Exercise Price shall be proportionately reduced as of the effective date of such subdivision, or, if the Company shall take a record of holders of its Common Stock for the purpose of so subdividing its Common Stock, as of such record date, whichever is earlier. If the Company, at
any time while this Warrant is unexpired and not exercised in full, shall combine its Common Stock, the Exercise Price shall be proportionately increased as of the effective date of such combination, or, if the Company shall take a record of holders of its Common Stock for the purpose of so combining its Common Stock, as of such record date, whichever is earlier.

(c) **Stock Dividends.** If the Company, at any time while this Warrant is unexpired and not exercised in full, shall pay a dividend or other distribution in shares of Common Stock to all holders of Common Stock, then the Exercise Price shall be adjusted, as of the date the Company shall take a record of the holders of its Common Stock for the purpose of receiving such dividend or other distribution (or if no such record is taken, as at the date of such payment or other distribution), to that price determined by multiplying the Exercise Price in effect immediately prior to such payment or other distribution by a fraction: (i) the numerator of which shall be the total number of shares of Common Stock outstanding immediately prior to such dividend or distribution, and (ii) the denominator of which shall be the total number of shares of Common Stock outstanding immediately after such dividend or distribution. The provisions of this subsection (c) shall not apply under any of the circumstances for which an adjustment is provided in subsections (a) or (b).

(d) **Liquidating Dividends, Etc.** If the Company, at any time while this Warrant is unexpired and not exercised in full, makes a distribution of its assets or evidences of indebtedness to the holders of its Common Stock as a dividend in liquidation or by way of return of capital or other than as a dividend payable out of earnings or surplus legally available for dividends under applicable law or any distribution to such holders made in respect of the sale of all or substantially all of the Company’s assets (other than under the circumstances provided for in the foregoing subsections (a) through (c)), then the Warrant Holder shall be entitled to receive upon exercise of this Warrant in addition to the Warrant Shares receivable in connection therewith, and without payment of any consideration other than the Exercise Price, the kind and amount of such distribution per share of Common Stock multiplied by the number of Warrant Shares that, on the record date for such distribution, are issuable upon such exercise of the Warrant (with no further adjustment being made following any event which causes a subsequent adjustment in the number of Warrant Shares issuable), and an appropriate provision therefor shall be made a part of any such distribution. The value of a distribution that is paid in other than cash shall be determined in good faith by the Board of Directors of the Company. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event of a proposed dividend in liquidation or distribution to the shareholders made in respect of the sale of all or substantially all of the Company’s assets, the Company shall deliver a notice to the Warrant Holder at least 10 days before the consummation of such event, the Warrant Holder may exercise this Warrant at any time before the consummation of such event (and such exercise may be made contingent upon the consummation of such event), and any portion of this Warrant that has not been exercised before consummation of such event shall terminate and expire, and shall no longer be outstanding.

(e) **Adjustment for Spin Off.** If, for any reason, prior to the exercise of this Warrant in full, the Company spins off or otherwise divests itself of a part of its business or operations or disposes all or a part of its assets in a transaction (a “Spin Off”) in which the Company does not receive compensation for such business, operations or assets, but causes securities of another entity (“Spin Off Securities”) to be issued to all or substantially all holders of Common Stock, then the Company shall cause (i) to be reserved Spin Off Securities equal to
the number thereof which would have been issued to the Warrant Holder in the event that the entire unexercised portion of this Warrant outstanding on the record date (the "Record Date") for determining the number of Spin Off Securities to be issued to holders of Common Stock had been exercised by the Warrant Holder as of the close of business on the Trading Day immediately prior to the Record Date (the "Reserved Spin Off Shares"), and (ii) to be issued to the Warrant Holder on the exercise of all or any unexercised portion of this Warrant, such amount of the Reserved Spin Off Shares equal to (x) the Reserved Spin Off Shares multiplied by (y) a fraction, of which (I) the numerator is the unexercised portion of this Warrant then being exercised, and (II) the denominator is the aggregate amount of the unexercised portion of this Warrant.

Section 7. Notice of Adjustments. Whenever the Exercise Price or number of Warrant Shares shall be adjusted pursuant to Section 6 hereof, the Company shall promptly prepare a certificate signed by its Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer setting forth in reasonable detail the event requiring the adjustment, the amount of the adjustment, the method by which such adjustment was calculated (including a description of the basis on which the Company’s Board of Directors made any determination hereunder), and the Exercise Price and number of Warrant Shares purchasable at that Exercise Price after giving effect to such adjustment, and shall promptly cause copies of such certificate to be sent by overnight courier to the Warrant Holder.

Section 8. No Impairment. The Company will not, by amendment of its Certificate of Incorporation or By-Laws or through any reorganization, transfer of assets, consolidation, merger, dissolution or issue or sale of securities, avoid or seek to avoid the observance or performance of any of the terms of this Warrant, but will at all times in good faith assist in the carrying out of all such terms and in the taking of all such action as may be necessary or appropriate in order to protect the rights of the Warrant Holder against impairment. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Company (a) will not increase the par value of any Warrant Shares above the amount payable therefor on such exercise, and (b) will take all such action as may be reasonably necessary or appropriate in order that the Company may validly and legally issue fully paid and non-assessable Warrant Shares on the exercise of this Warrant.

Section 9. Rights As Stockholder. Except as set forth in Section 6 above, prior to exercise of this Warrant, the Warrant Holder shall not be entitled to any rights as a stockholder of the Company with respect to the Warrant Shares, including (without limitation) the right to vote such shares, receive dividends or other distributions thereon or be notified of stockholder meetings.

Section 10. Replacement of Warrant. Upon receipt of evidence reasonably satisfactory to the Company of the loss, theft, destruction or mutilation of the Warrant and, in the case of any such loss, theft or destruction of the Warrant, upon delivery of an indemnity agreement or security reasonably satisfactory in form and amount to the Company or, in the case of any such mutilation, on surrender and cancellation of such Warrant, the Company at its expense will execute and deliver, in lieu thereof, a new Warrant of like tenor.

Section 11. Choice of Law. This Warrant shall be construed under the laws of the State of New York.
Section 12. Entire Agreement; Amendments. Except for any written instrument concurrent or subsequent to the date hereof executed by the Company and the Investor, this Warrant, the Agreement and the Registration Rights Agreement contain the entire understanding of the parties with respect to the matters covered hereby and thereby. No provision of this Warrant may be waived or amended other than by a written instrument signed by the party against whom enforcement of any such amendment or waiver is sought.

Section 13. Restricted Securities.

(a) Registration or Exemption Required. This Warrant has been issued in a transaction exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, in reliance upon the provisions of Section 4(2) thereof and Regulation D promulgated thereunder, and/or upon such other exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act as may be available with respect to this Warrant. This Warrant and the Warrant Shares issuable upon exercise of this Warrant may not be resold except pursuant to an effective registration statement or an exemption to the registration requirements of the Securities Act and applicable state laws.

(b) Legend. Any replacement Warrants issued pursuant to Section 2 and Section 10 hereof and, unless a registration statement has been declared effective by the SEC in accordance with the Securities Act, with respect thereto, any Warrant Shares issued upon exercise hereof, shall bear the following legend:

“THE SECURITIES EVIDENCED BY THIS CERTIFICATE HAVE NOT BEEN REGISTERED UNDER THE U.S. SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED (THE “SECURITIES ACT”), OR ANY OTHER APPLICABLE SECURITIES LAWS AND HAVE BEEN ISSUED IN RELIANCE UPON AN EXEMPTION FROM THE REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS OF THE SECURITIES ACT AND SUCH OTHER SECURITIES LAWS. NEITHER THIS SECURITY NOR ANY INTEREST OR PARTICIPATION HEREBIN MAY BE REOFFERED, SOLD, ASSIGNED, TRANSFERRED, PLEDGED, ENCUMBERED, HYPOTHECATED OR OTHERWISE DISPOSED OF, EXCEPT PURSUANT TO AN EFFECTIVE REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OR PURSUANT TO A TRANSACTION WHICH IS EXEMPT FROM, OR NOT SUBJECT TO, SUCH REGISTRATION.”

(c) No Other Legend or Stock Transfer Restrictions. No legend other than the one specified in Section 13(b) has been or shall be placed on the share certificates representing the Warrant Shares and no instructions or “stop transfer orders” (so called “stock transfer restrictions”) or other restrictions have been or shall be given to the Company’s transfer agent with respect thereto other than as expressly set forth in this Section 13.

(d) Assignment. Assuming the conditions of Section 13(a) above regarding registration or exemption have been satisfied, the Warrant Holder may sell, transfer, assign, pledge or otherwise dispose of this Warrant (each of the foregoing, a “Transfer”), in whole or in part, but only to an Affiliate of the Warrant Holder. The Warrant Holder shall deliver a written notice to Company, substantially in the form of the Assignment attached hereto as Exhibit B.
indicating the person or persons to whom the Warrant shall be Transferred and the respective number of warrants to be Transferred to each assignee. The Company shall effect the Transfer within ten (10) days, and shall deliver to the Transferee(s) designated by the Warrant Holder a Warrant or Warrants of like tenor and terms for the appropriate number of shares. In connection with and as a condition of any such proposed Transfer, the Company may request the Warrant Holder to provide an opinion of counsel to the Warrant Holder in form and substance reasonably satisfactory to the Company to the effect that the proposed Transfer complies with all applicable federal and state securities laws.

(e) Investor's Compliance. Nothing in this Section 13 shall affect in any way the Investor's obligations under any agreement to comply with all applicable securities laws upon resale of the Common Stock.

Section 14. Notices. All notices, demands, requests, consents, approvals, and other communications required or permitted hereunder shall be given in accordance with Section 10.4 of the Agreement.

Section 15. Miscellaneous. This Warrant and any term hereof may be changed, waived, discharged or terminated only by an instrument in writing signed by the party against which enforcement of such change, waiver, discharge or termination is sought. The headings in this Warrant are for purposes of reference only, and shall not limit or otherwise affect any of the terms hereof. The invalidity or unenforceability of any provision hereof shall in no way affect the validity or enforceability of any other provision.

Section 16. Company Call Right.

(a) If a Funding Default occurs, the Company shall have the right to demand the surrender of this Warrant or any remaining portion thereof, Warrant Shares and/or cash from the Investor as follows (the “Call Right”):

(i) If the Investor has not previously exercised this Warrant in full, then the Company shall have a right to demand the surrender of this Warrant, or remaining portion thereof, from the Investor without compensation, and the Investor shall promptly surrender this Warrant, or remaining portion thereof. Following such demand for surrender, this Warrant shall automatically be deemed to have been canceled and shall have no further force or effect.

(ii) If, prior to receiving a Call Right Notice (as defined below), the Investor has previously exercised this Warrant with respect to some or all of the Warrant Shares, and the Investor has not previously sold such Warrant Shares, then Company shall have a right to purchase from the Investor that number of shares of Common Stock equal to the number of shares of Common Stock issued in connection with the exercise(s) of the Warrant, at a repurchase price per share equal to the price per share paid by the Investor in connection with such exercise(s). For greater certainty, (a) if Warrant Shares were exercised for cash, the purchase price per share under the Call Right shall be equal to the Exercise Price, (b) if Warrant Shares were exercised on a cashless exercise basis, the purchase price per share for such Warrant Shares under the Call Right shall be zero, and (c) if such Warrant Shares were exercised on both
a cash and cashless exercise basis, the purchase price per share under the Call Right shall be equal to the total amount of cash paid in connection with such cash exercise(s) divided by the total number of shares of Common Stock issued in connection with all exercises of the Warrant (whether on a cash or cashless basis).

(iii) If, prior to receiving a Call Right Notice, the Investor has previously exercised this Warrant with respect to some or all of the Warrant Shares, and the Investor subsequently sold such Warrant Shares, then the Investor shall remit to the Company the excess, if any, of (x) the proceeds received by Investor through the sale of such Warrant Shares, over (y) the aggregate Exercise Price for such Warrant Shares. In the event that the Investor obtained such Warrant Shares through a Cashless Exercise, then the Investor shall instead remit to the Company all proceeds received by the Investor through the sale of such Warrant Shares. For the avoidance of doubt, in the event that the Investor has sold some or all of the Warrant Shares prior to receiving a Call Right Notice, then the right set forth in this paragraph (iii) shall constitute the sole Call Right of the Company with respect to such Warrant Shares which have been sold.

(b) Company may exercise the Call Right by delivering a notice (the "Call Right Notice") to Investor within thirty (30) days after the occurrence of a Funding Default. On the tenth (10th) business day following delivery of the Call Right Notice to Investor, Company shall tender the purchase price, if any, and Investor shall tender shares of Common Stock, if any, to be sold to Company pursuant to the Call Right Notice, immediately following which Company and Investor shall consummate such purchase and sale. The Call Right shall survive both the assignment of the Warrant by the Investor and the disposition of the Warrant Shares by the Investor following exercise of the Warrant.

[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank. Signature Page Follows.]
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Warrant was duly executed by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, as of the date first set forth above.

CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED

By: /s/ Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D.
Name: Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D.
Title: Chief Executive Officer

Investor acknowledges and agrees to the terms and conditions of this Warrant.

KINGSBRIDGE CAPITAL LIMITED

By: /s/ Adam Gurney
Adam Gurney
Managing Director
EXHIBIT A TO THE WARRANT
EXERCISE FORM
CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED

The undersigned hereby irrevocably exercises the right to purchase shares of Common Stock of Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated, a Delaware corporation (the “Company”), evidenced by the attached Warrant, and (CIRCLE EITHER (i) or (ii)) (i) tenders herewith payment of the Aggregate Exercise Price with respect to such shares in full, in the amount of $ , in cash, by certified or official bank check or by wire transfer for the account of the Company or (ii) elects, pursuant to Section 2(c) of the Warrant, to convert such Warrant into shares of Common Stock of the Company on a cashless exercise basis, all in accordance with the conditions and provisions of said Warrant.

The undersigned requests that stock certificates for such Warrant Shares be issued, and a Warrant representing any unexercised portion hereof be issued, pursuant to this Warrant, in the name of the registered Warrant Holder and delivered to the undersigned at the address set forth below.

Dated: ________________________________

Signature of Registered Holder

Name of Registered Holder (Print)

Address
EXHIBIT B TO THE WARRANT

ASSIGNMENT

(To be executed by the registered Warrant Holder desiring to transfer the Warrant)

FOR VALUED RECEIVED, the undersigned Warrant Holder of the attached Warrant hereby sells, assigns and transfers unto the persons below named the right to purchase [number] shares of Common Stock of Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated (the "Company") evidenced by the attached Warrant and does hereby irrevocably constitute and appoint [assignee's name] as attorney to transfer the said Warrant on the books of the Company, with full power of substitution in the premises.

Dated: _____________________________

Signature

Fill in for new Registration of Warrant:

Name

Address

Please print name and address of assignee (including zip code number)
CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED

SECURITIES PURCHASE AGREEMENT

This Securities Purchase Agreement ("Agreement") is made as of March 14, 2008 (the "Effective Date"), by and among Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated, a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), and each of those persons and entities, severally and not jointly, listed as a Purchaser on the Schedule of Purchasers attached as Exhibit A hereto (the "Schedule of Purchasers"). Such persons and entities are hereinafter collectively referred to herein as "Purchasers" and each individually as a "Purchaser".

AGREEMENT

In consideration of the mutual covenants contained in this Agreement, and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the Company and each Purchaser (severally and not jointly) hereby agree as follows:

SECTION 1. AUTHORIZATION OF SALE OF SECURITIES.

The Company has authorized the sale and issuance of 8,923,210 shares of its Common Stock, par value $0.001 per share (the "Common Stock") and warrants in the form of Exhibit B hereto (the "Warrants") to purchase an aggregate of 4,461,599 shares of Common Stock (each a "Warrant" and collectively the "Warrants"), on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in this Agreement. The shares of Common Stock sold hereunder at the Closing (as defined below) shall be referred to as the "Shares." The Shares and the Warrants are referred to collectively as the "Securities".

SECTION 2. AGREEMENT TO SELL AND PURCHASE THE SECURITIES.

2.1 Sale of Securities. At the Closing (as defined in Section 3), the Company will sell to each Purchaser, and each Purchaser will purchase from the Company, (a) the number of Shares set forth opposite such Purchaser’s name on the Schedule of Purchasers at a purchase price of $2.77 per Share and (b) a Warrant to purchase the number of shares of Common Stock set forth opposite such Purchaser’s name on the Schedule of Purchasers (such shares of Common Stock, the "Underlying Shares"), which Warrant shall have an exercise price equal to $2.77 per Underlying Share, and which Warrant shall have a purchase price equal to $0.125 per Underlying Share.

2.2 Separate Agreement. Each Purchaser shall severally, and not jointly, be liable for only the purchase of the Securities that appear on the Schedule of Purchasers that relate to such Purchaser. The Company’s agreement with each of the Purchasers is a separate agreement, and the sale of Securities to each of the Purchasers is a separate sale. The obligations of each Purchaser hereunder are expressly not conditioned on the purchase by any or all of the other Purchasers of the Securities such other Purchasers have agreed to purchase.
SECTION 3. CLOSING AND DELIVERY.

3.1 **Closing.** The closing of the purchase and sale of the Securities (which Securities are set forth in the Schedule of Purchasers) pursuant to this Agreement (the “Closing”) shall be held on March 28, 2008 at the offices of Latham & Watkins LLP, 140 Scott Drive, Menlo Park, California 94025, or on such other date and place as may be agreed to by the Company and the Purchasers. At or prior to the Closing, each Purchaser shall execute any related agreements or other documents required to be executed hereunder, dated as of the date of the Closing (the “Closing Date”).

3.2 **Issuance of the Securities at the Closing.** At the Closing, the Company shall issue to each Purchaser (a) stock certificates registered in the name of such Purchaser, or in such nominee name(s) as designated by such Purchaser, representing the number of Shares to be purchased by such Purchaser at such Closing as set forth in the Schedule of Purchasers against payment of the purchase price for such Shares and (b) a Warrant registered in the name of such Purchaser, or in such nominee name(s) as designated by such Purchaser, representing the number of Underlying Shares as set forth in the Schedule of Purchasers. The name(s) in which the stock certificates and Warrant are to be issued to each Purchaser are set forth in the Investor Questionnaire and the Selling Stockholder Notice and Questionnaire in the form attached hereto as Appendix I and II (the “Investor Questionnaire” and the “Selling Stockholder Questionnaire”, respectively), as completed by each Purchaser, which shall be provided to the Company no later than the Closing Date. The stock certificates and Warrants shall be delivered to each Purchaser promptly following the Closing Date, but in any event within 10 business days following the Closing Date.

3.3 **Delivery of the Registration Rights Agreement.** At the Closing, the Company and each Purchaser shall execute and deliver the Registration Rights Agreement in the form attached hereto as Appendix III (the “Registration Rights Agreement”), with respect to the registration of the Shares and the Underlying Shares under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”).

SECTION 4. REPRESENTATIONS, WARRANTIES AND COVENANTS OF THE COMPANY.

Except as set forth on the Schedule of Exceptions delivered to the Purchasers concurrently with the execution of this Agreement (the “Schedule of Exceptions”), the Company hereby represents and warrants as of the date hereof, and covenants with, the Purchasers as follows:

4.1 **Organization and Standing.** The Company has been duly incorporated and is validly existing as a corporation in good standing under the laws of Delaware, has full corporate power and authority to own or lease its properties and conduct its business as presently conducted, and is duly qualified as a foreign corporation and in good standing in all jurisdictions in which the character of the property owned or leased or the nature of the business transacted by it makes qualification necessary, except where the failure to be so qualified would not have a material adverse effect on the business, properties, financial condition or results or operations of the Company (a “Company Material Adverse Effect”). The Company has no subsidiaries or equity interest in any other entity.
4.2 Corporate Power; Authorization. The Company has all requisite corporate power, and has taken all requisite corporate action, to execute and deliver this Agreement, the Warrant, the Registration Rights Agreement and the Management Rights Agreement (as defined below and collectively, the “Transaction Documents”), sell and issue the Securities and carry out and perform all of its obligations under the Transaction Documents. Each Transaction Document constitutes the legal, valid and binding obligation of the Company, enforceable in accordance with its terms, except (i) as limited by applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium or similar laws relating to or affecting the enforcement of creditors’ rights generally, (ii) as limited by equitable principles generally, including any specific performance and (iii) with respect to the Registration Rights Agreement, as rights to indemnity or contribution may be limited by state or federal laws or public policy underlying such laws. The execution and delivery of the Transaction Documents do not, and the performance of the Transaction Documents and the compliance with the provisions of the Transaction Documents and the issuance, sale and delivery of the Securities and the Underlying Shares by the Company will not conflict with, or result in a breach or violation of the terms, conditions or provisions of, or constitute a default under, or result in the creation or imposition of any lien pursuant to the terms of, the Certificate of Incorporation or Bylaws of the Company or any statute, law, rule (including federal and state securities laws and the rules and regulations of the NASDAQ Capital Market (the “Principal Market”)) applicable to the Company or regulation or any state or federal order, judgment or decree applicable to the Company or any indenture, mortgage, lease or other material agreement or instrument to which the Company is a party or any of its properties is subject.

4.3 Issuance and Delivery of the Securities. The Securities have been duly authorized and, when issued and paid for in compliance with the provisions of this Agreement, will be validly issued, fully paid and nonassessable. The Underlying Shares have been duly authorized and, upon exercise of the Warrants in accordance with their terms, including payment of the exercise price therefore, will be validly issued, fully paid and nonassessable. The issuance and delivery of the Securities is not subject to preemptive, co-sale, right of first refusal or any other similar rights of the stockholders of the Company or any liens or encumbrances. Assuming the accuracy of the representations made by each Purchaser in Section 5, the offer and issuance by the Company of the Securities is exempt from registration under the Securities Act.

4.4 SEC Documents; Financial Statements. The Company has filed in a timely manner all documents that the Company was required to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) under Sections 13, 14(a) and 15(d) the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), since becoming subject to the requirements of the Exchange Act. As of their respective filing dates (or, if amended prior to the date of this Agreement, when amended), all documents filed by the Company with the Commission (the “SEC Documents”) complied in all material respects with the requirements of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations of the Commission promulgated thereunder. None of the SEC Documents as of their respective dates contained any untrue statement of material fact or omitted to state a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements made therein, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading. The financial statements of the Company included in the SEC Documents (the “Financial Statements”) comply
4.5 Capitalization. All of the Company’s outstanding shares of capital stock have been duly authorized and validly issued and are fully paid and nonassessable, have been issued in compliance with all federal and state securities laws, and were not issued in violation of or subject to any preemptive right or other rights to subscribe for or purchase securities. The authorized capital stock of the Company consists of 140,000,000 shares of common stock and 10,000,000 shares of undesignated Preferred Stock. As of the Effective Date, there are no shares of Preferred Stock issued and outstanding and there are 39,549,954 shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding, of which no shares are owned by the Company. There are no other shares of any other class or series of capital stock of the Company issued or outstanding. The Company has no capital stock reserved for issuance, except that, as of the Effective Date, there are 3,975,936 shares of Common Stock reserved for issuance pursuant to options outstanding on such date pursuant to the Company’s 2000 Stock Option Plan and 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. There are 1,591,636 shares of Common Stock available for future issuance under the Company’s 2000 Stock Option Plan. There are no bonds, debentures, notes or other indebtedness having general voting rights (or convertible into securities having such rights) (“Voting Debt”) of the Company issued and outstanding. Except as stated above, there are no existing options, warrants, calls, subscriptions or other rights, agreements, arrangements or commitments of any character, relating to the issued or unissued capital stock of the Company, obligating the Company to issue, transfer, sell, redeem, purchase, repurchase or otherwise acquire or cause to be issued, transferred, sold, redeemed, purchased, repurchased or otherwise acquired any capital stock or Voting Debt of, or other equity interest in, the Company or securities or rights convertible into or exchangeable for such shares or equity interests or obligations of the Company to grant, extend or enter into any such option, warrant, call, subscription or other right, agreement, arrangement or commitment. The issuance of Common Stock or other securities pursuant to any provision of this Agreement or the Warrant will not give rise to any preemptive rights or rights of first refusal on behalf of any Person or result in the triggering of any anti-dilution or other similar rights. Except as disclosed in the SEC Documents, there are no agreements or arrangements under which the Company or any of its Subsidiaries is obligated to register the sale of any of their securities under the Securities Act. There are no securities or instruments containing anti-dilution provisions that will be triggered by the issuance of the Securities or the Underlying Shares. The Company has made available upon request of the Purchasers, a true, correct and complete copy of the Company’s Certificate of Incorporation, as amended and as in effect on the date hereof (the “Certificate of Incorporation”), and the Company’s Bylaws, as amended and as in effect on the date hereof (the “Bylaws”).

4.6 Litigation. There are no legal or governmental actions, suits or other proceedings pending or, to the Company’s knowledge, threatened against the Company before or by any court, regulatory body or administrative agency or any other governmental agency or body, domestic, or foreign, which actions, suits or proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, could
reasonably be expected to have a Company Material Adverse Effect. The Company is not a party to or subject to the provisions of any injunction, judgment, decree or order of any court, regulatory body, administrative agency or other governmental agency or body that might have a Company Material Adverse Effect.

4.7 Governmental Consents. No consent, approval, order or authorization of, or registration, qualification, designation, declaration or filing with, any federal, state, or local governmental authority on the part of the Company is required in connection with the consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement or the Registration Rights Agreement except for (a) the filing of a Form D with the Commission under the Securities Act and compliance with the securities and blue sky laws in the states and other jurisdictions in which shares of Common Stock are offered and/or sold, which compliance will be effected in accordance with such laws, (b) the approval by the Principal Market of the listing of the Shares and the Underlying Shares and (c) the filing of one or more registration statements and all amendments thereto with the Commission as contemplated by the Registration Rights Agreement.

4.8 No Default or Consents. Neither the execution, delivery or performance of the Transaction Documents by the Company nor the consummation of any of the transactions contemplated thereby (including, without limitation, the issuance and sale by the Company of the Securities and the Underlying Shares) will give rise to a right to terminate or accelerate the due date of any payment due under, or conflict with or result in the breach of any term or provision of, or constitute a default (or an event which with notice or lapse of time or both would constitute a default) under, or require any consent or waiver under, or result in the execution or imposition of any lien, charge or encumbrance upon any properties or assets of the Company pursuant to the terms of, any indenture, mortgage, deed of trust or other agreement or instrument to which the Company is a party or by which the Company or any of its properties or businesses is bound, or any franchise, license, permit, judgment, decree, order, statute, rule or regulation applicable to the Company or violate any provision of the Certificate of Incorporation or the Bylaws, except in each case as would not cause, either individually or in the aggregate, a Company Material Adverse Effect, and except for such consents or waivers which have already been obtained and are in full force and effect.

4.9 No Material Adverse Change. Since December 31, 2006, except as disclosed in the SEC Documents, there have not been any changes in the assets, liabilities, financial condition or operations of the Company from that reflected in the Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2006, except changes which have not had, either individually or in the aggregate, a Company Material Adverse Effect.

4.10 No General Solicitation. Neither the Company, nor any of its affiliates, nor any Person acting on its or their behalf, has engaged in any form of general solicitation or general advertising (within the meaning of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act in connection with the offer or sale of the Securities.

4.11 No Integrated Offering. None of the Company, its Subsidiaries, any of their affiliates, or any Person acting on their behalf has, directly or indirectly, made any offers or sales of any security or solicited any offers to buy any security, under circumstances that would require registration of any of the Securities under the Securities Act or cause this offering of the
Securities to be integrated with prior offerings by the Company for purposes of the Securities Act or any applicable stockholder approval provisions, including, without limitation, under the rules and regulations of the Principal Market.

4.12 Board of Directors. The Company shall take all necessary acts to have one designee (the “Longitude Capital Board Member”) of Longitude Venture Partners, L.P. (“Longitude Capital”) nominated for election to the Company’s Board of Directors, in all cases subject to compliance with relevant Nasdaq rules and regulations and subject to the approval of such nominees by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors. If the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors does not approve any proposed Longitude Capital Board Member, Longitude Capital shall be entitled to propose another candidate who shall be reasonably acceptable to the Company and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors. The Company hereby agrees that Patrick G. Enright will be elected to the Board as the Longitude Capital Board Member coincident with the Closing. The Company shall use its best efforts, including preparation of proxy materials and solicitation of the Company’s stockholders, to have the Longitude Capital Board Member elected whenever its board seat comes up for election or for reelection. The Company’s obligations under this Section 4.12 with respect to the Longitude Capital Board Member shall terminate in their entirety if at any time Longitude Capital beneficially owns less than 5% of the Company’s issued and outstanding Common Stock (including shares of Common Stock issuable upon exercise of Warrants), and in such case, the Longitude Capital Board Member shall resign from the Board effective immediately.

4.13 Sarbanes-Oxley Act. To the knowledge of the executive officers of the Company, the Company is in material compliance with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 that are effective and applicable to the Company as of the date hereof, and the rules and regulations promulgated by the Commission thereunder that are effective and applicable to the Company as of the date hereof.

4.14 Patents and Trademarks. To the knowledge of the executive officers of the Company, the Company and the Subsidiaries have, or have rights to use, all patents, patent applications, trademarks, trademark applications, service marks, trade names, copyrights, licenses and other similar rights that are necessary or material for use in connection with their respective businesses as described in the SEC Documents and which the failure to so have could, individually or in the aggregate, have or reasonably be expected to result in a Material Adverse Effect (collectively, the “Intellectual Property Rights”). Except as set forth in the SEC Documents, neither the Company nor any Subsidiary has received a written notice that the Intellectual Property Rights used by the Company or any Subsidiary violates or infringes upon the rights of any Person. Except as set forth in the SEC Documents, to the knowledge of the executive officers of the Company, all such Intellectual Property Rights are enforceable and there is no existing infringement by another Person of any of the Intellectual Property Rights.

4.15 Listing and Maintenance Requirements. Except as specified in the SEC Documents and the Schedule of Exceptions, the Company has not, in the two years preceding the date hereof, received notice from the Principal Market to the effect that the Company is not in compliance with the listing or maintenance requirements thereof. Except as disclosed in the SEC Documents and the Schedule of Exceptions, the Company is in compliance with the listing and
maintenance requirements for continued listing of the Common Stock. The issuance and sale of the Securities under this Agreement does not contravene the rules and regulations of the Principal Market and no approval of the stockholders of the Company thereunder is required for the Company to issue and deliver to the Purchasers the Securities.

4.16 Disclosure. The Company understands and confirms that the Purchasers will rely on the foregoing representations and covenants in effecting transactions in securities of the Company. To the knowledge of the executive officers of the Company, all due diligence materials regarding the Company, its business and the transactions contemplated hereby, furnished by or on behalf of the Company to the Purchasers upon their request are, when taken together with the SEC Documents, true and correct in all material respects and do not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state any material fact necessary in order to make the statements made therein, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.

4.17 Contracts. (a) Each indenture, contract, lease, mortgage, deed of trust, note agreement, loan or other agreement or instrument of a character that is required to be described or summarized in the SEC Reports or to be filed as an exhibit to the SEC Reports under the Securities Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder (collectively, the “Material Contracts”) is so described, summarized or filed.

(b) The Material Contracts to which the Company is a party have been duly and validly authorized, executed and delivered by the Company and constitute the legal, valid and binding agreements of the Company, enforceable by and against the Company in accordance with their respective terms, except as such enforceability may be limited by applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization or other similar laws relating to enforcement of creditors’ rights generally, and general equitable principles relating to the availability of remedies, except as rights to indemnity or contribution may be limited by federal or state securities laws.

4.18 Properties and Assets. The Company has good and marketable title to all the properties and assets described as owned by it in the Company’s consolidated financial statements, free and clear of all liens, mortgages, pledges or encumbrances of any kind except (i) those, if any, reflected in such consolidated financial statements or (ii) those that are not material in amount and do not adversely affect the use made and proposed to be made of such property by the Company. The Company holds its leased properties under valid and binding leases. The Company owns or leases all such properties as are necessary to its operations as now conducted.

4.19 Compliance. The Company has not been advised, nor does it have any reason to believe, that it is not conducting its business in compliance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations of the jurisdictions in which it is conducting business, including, without limitation, all applicable local, state and federal environmental laws and regulations, except where failure to be so in compliance would not have a Company Material Adverse Effect.

4.20 Taxes. The Company has filed on a timely basis (giving effect to extensions) all required federal, state and foreign income and franchise tax returns and has paid or accrued all taxes shown as due thereon, and the Company does not have any knowledge of a tax deficiency
that has been or might be asserted or threatened against it that could have a Company Material Adverse Effect. All tax liabilities accrued through the date hereof have been adequately provided for on the books of the Company.

4.21 Transfer Taxes. On the Closing Date, all stock transfer or other taxes (other than income taxes) that are required to be paid in connection with the sale and transfer of the Securities to be sold to the Purchaser hereunder will have been fully paid or provided for by the Company and all laws imposing such taxes will have been fully complied with.

4.22 Investment Company. The Company is not an “investment company” or an “affiliated person” of, or “promoter” or “principal underwriter” for an investment company, within the meaning of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, and the rules and regulations of the Commission promulgated thereunder.

4.23 Insurance. The Company maintains insurance underwritten by insurers of recognized financial responsibility, of the types and in the amounts that the Company reasonably believes is adequate for businesses, including, but not limited to, Directors’ and Officers’ liability insurance and insurance covering all real and personal property owned or leased by the Company against theft, damage, destruction, acts of vandalism and all other risks customarily insured against, with such deductibles as are customary for companies in the same or similar business, all of which insurance is in full force and effect.

4.24 Price of Common Stock. The Company has not taken, and will not take, directly or indirectly, any action designed to cause or result in, or that has constituted or that might reasonably be expected to constitute, the stabilization or manipulation of the price of the shares of the Common Stock to facilitate the sale or resale of the Shares or the Underlying Shares.

4.25 Governmental Permits, Etc. The Company has all franchises, licenses, certificates and other authorizations from such federal, state or local government or governmental agency, department or body that are currently necessary for the operation of the business of the Company as currently conducted, except where the failure to possess currently such franchises, licenses, certificates and other authorizations is not reasonably expected to have a Company Material Adverse Effect.

4.26 Internal Control over Financial Reporting; Sarbanes-Oxley Matters. The Company maintains internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in paragraph (f) of Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act) as required by Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act. The Company is in compliance in all material respects with all applicable provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as amended, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. To the best of the Company’s knowledge, since the end of the Company’s most recent audited fiscal year, there has been no material weakness in the design or operation of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting (whether or not remediated) which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Company’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information.

4.27 Foreign Corrupt Practices. The Company, nor, to the knowledge of the Company, any director, officer, agent, employee or other Person acting on behalf of the Company
has, in the course of its actions for, or on behalf of, the Company (i) used any corporate funds for any unlawful contribution, gift, entertainment or other unlawful expenses relating to political activity; (ii) made any direct or indirect unlawful payment to any foreign or domestic government official or employee from corporate funds; (iii) violated or is in violation of any provision of the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977, as amended; or (iv) made any unlawful bribe, rebate, payoff, influence payment, kickback or other unlawful payment to any foreign or domestic government official or employee.

4.28 **Employee Relations.** The Company believes that its relations with its employees are good. No executive officer of the Company (as defined in Rule 501(f) promulgated under the Securities Act) has notified the Company that such officer intends to leave the Company or otherwise terminate such officer’s employment with the Company. No executive officer of the Company is, or is now expected to be, in violation of any material term of any employment contract, confidentiality, disclosure or proprietary information agreement, non-competition agreement, or any other agreement or any restrictive covenant involving or otherwise affecting such executive officer’s relationship with the Company, and the continued employment of each such executive officer does not subject the Company to any liability with respect to any of the foregoing matters.

4.29 **ERISA.** The Company is in compliance in all material respects with all presently applicable provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, including the regulations and published interpretations thereunder (herein called “**ERISA**”); no “reportable event” (as defined in **ERISA**) has occurred with respect to any “pension plan” (as defined in **ERISA**) for which the Company would have any liability; the Company has not incurred and does not expect to incur liability under (i) Title IV of **ERISA** with respect to termination of, or withdrawal from, any “pension plan”; or (ii) Sections 412 or 4971 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, including the regulations and published interpretations thereunder (the “**Code**”); and each “Pension Plan” for which the Company would have liability that is intended to be qualified under Section 401(a) of the Code is so qualified in all material respects and nothing has occurred, whether by action or by failure to act, which would cause the loss of such qualification.

**SECTION 5. REPRESENTATIONS, WARRANTIES AND COVENANTS OF THE PURCHASERS.**

5.1 Each Purchaser, severally and not jointly, represents and warrants to and covenants with the Company that:

(a) Purchaser, taking into account the personnel and resources it can practically bring to bear on the purchase of the Securities contemplated hereby, is knowledgeable, sophisticated and experienced in making, and is qualified to make, decisions with respect to investments in securities presenting an investment decision like that involved in the purchase of the Securities, including investments in securities issued by the Company, and has requested, received, reviewed and considered all information Purchaser deems relevant (including the SEC Documents) in making an informed decision to purchase the Securities.
(b) Purchaser is acquiring the Securities pursuant to this Agreement in the ordinary course of its business and for its own account for investment only and with no present intention of distributing any of such Securities or any arrangement or understanding with any other persons regarding the distribution of such Securities, except in compliance with Section 5.1(c).

(c) Purchaser will not, directly or indirectly, offer, sell, pledge, transfer or otherwise dispose of (or solicit any offers to buy, purchase or otherwise acquire or take a pledge of) any of the securities purchased hereunder except in compliance with the Securities Act, applicable blue sky laws, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

(d) Purchaser has, in connection with its decision to purchase the Securities, relied with respect to the Company and its affairs solely upon the SEC Documents and the representations and warranties of the Company contained herein.

(e) Purchaser is an “accredited investor” within the meaning of Rule 501 of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act or a Qualified Institutional Buyer within the meaning of Rule 144A promulgated under the Securities Act.

(f) Purchaser has full right, power, authority and capacity to enter into this Agreement and the Registration Rights Agreement and to consummate the transactions contemplated by this Agreement and the Registration Rights Agreement and has taken all necessary action to authorize the execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement and the Registration Rights Agreement. Upon the execution and delivery of this Agreement and the Registration Rights Agreement by Purchaser, this Agreement and the Registration Rights Agreement shall each constitute a valid and binding obligation of Purchaser, enforceable in accordance with its terms, except (i) as limited by applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium or similar laws relating to or affecting the enforcement of creditors’ rights generally, (ii) as limited by equitable principles generally, including any specific performance and (iii) with respect to the Registration Rights Agreement, as rights to indemnity or contribution may be limited by state or federal laws or public policy underlying such laws.

(g) Purchaser is not a broker or dealer registered pursuant to Section 15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (a “registered broker-dealer”) and is not affiliated with a registered broker dealer. Purchaser is not a party to any agreement for distribution of any of the Securities.

(h) The Purchaser shall have completed or caused to be completed and delivered to the Company at no later than the Closing Date, the Investor Questionnaire and the Selling Stockholder Questionnaire for use in preparation of the Registration Statement, and the answers to the Investor Questionnaire and the Selling Stockholder Questionnaire are true and correct in all material respects as of the date of this Agreement and will be true and correct as of the Closing Date and the effective date of the Registration Statement; provided that the Purchasers shall be entitled to update such information by providing notice thereof to the Company before the effective date of such Registration Statement.
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5.2 Purchaser represents, warrants and covenants to the Company that Purchaser has not, either directly or indirectly through an affiliate, agent or representative of the Company, engaged in any transaction in the Securities of the Company subsequent to September 30, 2007, except as set forth in filings made with the Commission pursuant to Section 16 of the Exchange Act. Purchaser represents and warrants to and covenants with the Company that Purchaser has not engaged and will not engage in any short sales of the Company’s Common Stock prior to the effectiveness of the Registration Statement (either directly or indirectly through an affiliate, agent or representative).

5.3 Purchaser understands that nothing in this Agreement or any other materials presented to Purchaser in connection with the purchase and sale of the Securities constitutes legal, tax or investment advice. Purchaser has consulted such legal, tax and investment advisors as it, in its sole discretion, has deemed necessary or appropriate in connection with its purchase of the Securities.

5.4 Legends. It is understood that the Shares and the Underlying Shares may bear one or more legends in substantially the following form and substance:

“THE SECURITIES EVIDENCED BY THIS CERTIFICATE HAVE NOT BEEN REGISTERED UNDER THE U.S. SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED (THE “SECURITIES ACT”), OR ANY OTHER APPLICABLE SECURITIES LAWS AND HAVE BEEN ISSUED IN RELIANCE UPON AN EXEMPTION FROM THE REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS OF THE SECURITIES ACT AND SUCH OTHER SECURITIES LAWS. NEITHER THIS SECURITY NOR ANY INTEREST OR PARTICIPATION HEREIN MAY BE REOFFERED, SOLD, ASSIGNED, TRANSFERRED, PLEDGED, ENCUMBERED, HYPOTHECATED OR OTHERWISE DISPOSED OF, EXCEPT PURSUANT TO AN EFFECTIVE REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OR PURSUANT TO A TRANSACTION WHICH IS EXEMPT FROM, OR NOT SUBJECT TO, SUCH REGISTRATION, IN EACH CASE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE SECURITIES LAWS, AND IN THE CASE OF A TRANSACTION EXEMPT FROM, OR NOT SUBJECT TO, SUCH REGISTRATION, UNLESS THE COMPANY HAS RECEIVED AN OPINION OF COUNSEL REASONABLY SATISFACTORY TO IT THAT SUCH TRANSACTION DOES NOT REQUIRE REGISTRATION UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT AND SUCH OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS.”

It is understood that the Warrants may bear one or more legends in substantially the following form and substance:

“THE SECURITIES EVIDENCED BY THIS CERTIFICATE HAVE NOT BEEN REGISTERED UNDER THE U.S. SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED (THE “SECURITIES ACT”), OR ANY OTHER APPLICABLE SECURITIES LAWS AND HAVE BEEN ISSUED IN RELIANCE UPON AN EXEMPTION FROM THE REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS OF THE SECURITIES ACT AND SUCH OTHER SECURITIES LAWS. NEITHER
In addition, stock certificates representing the Securities or the Underlying Shares may contain:

(a) Any legend required by the laws of the State of California, including any legend required by the California Department of Corporations.

(b) Any legend required by the blue sky laws of any other state to the extent such laws are applicable to the sale of such Securities or Underlying Shares hereunder.

(c) A legend regarding affiliate status, if applicable.

5.5 Restricted Securities. Purchaser understands that the Securities are characterized as “restricted securities” under the federal securities laws inasmuch as they are being acquired from the Company in a transaction not involving a public offering and that under such laws and applicable regulations such Securities may be resold without registration under the Securities Act only in certain limited circumstances. In this connection, such Purchaser represents that it is familiar with Commission Rule 144, as presently in effect, and understands the resale limitations imposed thereby and by the Securities Act.

SECTION 6. CONDITIONS TO COMPANY’S OBLIGATIONS AT THE CLOSING.

The Company’s obligation to complete the sale and issuance of the Securities and deliver Securities to each Purchaser, individually, as set forth in the Schedule of Purchasers at the Closing shall be subject to the following conditions to the extent not waived by the Company:

6.1 Receipt of Payment. The Company shall have received payment, by wire transfer of immediately available funds, in the full amount of the purchase price for the number of Securities being purchased by such Purchaser at the Closing as set forth in the Schedule of Purchasers; provided, that the Company may accept a secured promissory note from Paperboy Ventures LLC in lieu of wire transfers.

6.2 Representations and Warranties. The representations and warranties made by such Purchaser in Section 5 hereof shall be true and correct in all material respects as of, and as if made on, the date of this Agreement and as of the Closing Date.
6.3 **Receipt of Executed Documents.** Such Purchaser shall have executed and delivered to the Company the Registration Rights Agreement, the Investor Questionnaire and the Selling Stockholder Questionnaire.

6.4 **Nasdaq Approval.** The Shares and the Underlying Shares shall have been approved for listing on the Nasdaq Capital Market, subject to official notice of issuance.

**SECTION 7. CONDITIONS TO PURCHASERS’ OBLIGATIONS AT THE CLOSING.**

Each Purchaser’s obligation to accept delivery of the Securities and to pay for the Securities shall be subject to the following conditions to the extent not waived by such Purchaser:

7.1 **Representations and Warranties Correct.** The representations and warranties made by the Company in Section 4 hereof shall be true and correct in all material respects as of, and as if made on, the date of this Agreement and as of the Closing Date.

7.2 **Receipt of Executed Registration Rights Agreement.** The Company shall have executed and delivered to the Purchasers the Registration Rights Agreement.

7.3 **Legal Opinion.** The Purchasers shall have received an opinion of Latham & Watkins LLP, special counsel to the Company, substantially in the form set forth in Appendix IV hereto.

7.4 **Certificate.** Each Purchaser shall have received a certificate signed by the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial or Accounting Officer to the effect that the representations and warranties of the Company in Section 4 hereof are true and correct in all material respects as of, and as if made on, the date of this Agreement and as of the Closing Date and that the Company has satisfied in all material respects all of the conditions set forth in this Section 7.

7.5 **Good Standing.** The Company is validly existing as a corporation in good standing under the laws of Delaware.

7.6 **Management Rights Agreement.** The Company shall have executed and delivered to the Purchasers the Management Rights Agreement in a form satisfactory to Longitude Capital.

7.7 **Nasdaq Approval.** The Shares and the Underlying Shares shall have been approved for listing on the Nasdaq Capital Market, subject to official notice of issuance.

**SECTION 8. BROKER’S FEE.**

The Company and each Purchaser (severally and not jointly) hereby represent that there are no brokers or finders entitled to compensation in connection with the sale of the Securities, and shall indemnify each other for any such fees for which they are responsible.
SECTION 9. INDEMNIFICATION.

9.1 Indemnification by the Company. The Company agrees to indemnify and hold harmless each of the Purchasers and each Person, if any, who controls any Purchaser within the meaning of the Securities Act (each, an “Indemnified Party”), against any losses, claims, damages, liabilities or expenses, joint or several, to which such Indemnified Party may become subject under the Securities Act, the Exchange Act, or any other federal or state statutory law or regulation, or at common law or otherwise (including in settlement of any litigation, if such settlement is effected with the written consent of the Company), insofar as such losses, claims, damages, liabilities or expenses (or actions in respect thereof as contemplated below) arise out of or are based in whole or in part on any inaccuracy in the representations and warranties of the Company contained in this Agreement or any failure of the Company to perform its obligations hereunder, and will reimburse each Indemnified Party for any legal and other expenses reasonably incurred as such expenses are reasonably incurred by such Indemnified Party in connection with investigating, defending, settling, compromising or paying any such loss, claim, damage, liability, expense or action; provided, however, that the Company will not be liable in any such case to the extent that any such loss, claim, damage, liability or expense arises out of or is based upon (i) the failure of such Indemnified Party to comply with the covenants and agreements contained in Section 6 above respecting sale of the Securities (including the Warrant Shares), or (ii) the inaccuracy of any representations made by such Indemnified Party herein.

9.2 Indemnification by Investors. Each Purchaser shall severally, and not jointly, indemnify and hold harmless the other Purchasers and the Company, each of its directors, and each Person, if any, who controls the Company within the meaning of the Securities Act, against any losses, claims, damages, liabilities or expenses to which the Company, each of its directors or each of its controlling Persons may become subject, under the Securities Act, the Exchange Act, or any other federal or state statutory law or regulation, or at common law or otherwise (including in settlement of any litigation, if such settlement is effected with the written consent of such Purchaser) insofar as such losses, claims, damages, liabilities or expenses (or actions in respect thereof as contemplated below) arise out of or are based upon (i) any failure by such Purchaser to comply with the covenants and agreements contained in Section 6.3 above respecting the sale of the Securities (including the Warrant Shares) unless such failure by such Purchaser is directly caused by the Company’s failure to provide written notice of a Suspension to such Purchaser or (ii) the inaccuracy of any representation made by such Purchaser herein, in each case to the extent, and will reimburse the Company, each of its directors, and each of its controlling Persons for any legal and other expense reasonably incurred, as such expenses are reasonably incurred by the Company, each of its directors, and each of its controlling Persons in connection with investigating, defending, settling, compromising or paying any such loss, claim, damage, liability, expense or action. No Purchaser shall be liable for the indemnification obligations of any other Purchaser.

SECTION 10. DIRECTORS’ AND OFFICERS’ LIABILITY INSURANCE.

As long as a Longitude Capital Board Member is a member of the Board of Directors of the Company, the Company shall use its reasonable best efforts to obtain and keep Directors’ and Officers’ liability insurance in an amount reasonably acceptable to Longitude Capital to the extent such coverage is available on terms that are commercially acceptable to the Company’s Board of Directors and consistent with industry practice.
SECTION 11. ACCESS TO INFORMATION.

From the date hereof until the Closing, the Company will make reasonably available to the Purchasers’ representatives, consultants and their respective counsels for inspection, such information and documents as the Purchasers reasonably request, and will make available at reasonable times and to a reasonable extent officers and employees of the Company to discuss the business and affairs of the Company.

SECTION 12. USE OF PURCHASERS’ NAMES.

Except as otherwise required by applicable law or regulation, the Company shall not use the Purchasers’ names or the name of any of their affiliates in any advertisement, announcement, press release or other similar public communication unless it has received the prior written consent of the applicable Purchaser for the specific use contemplated which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld.

SECTION 13. NOTICES.

All notices, requests, consents and other communications hereunder shall be in writing, shall be sent by confirmed facsimile or electronic mail, or mailed by first-class registered or certified airmail, or nationally recognized overnight express courier, postage prepaid, and shall be deemed given when so sent in the case of facsimile or electronic mail transmission, or when so received in the case of mail or courier, and addressed as follows:

(a) if to the Company, to:

    Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated
    149 Commonwealth Drive
    Menlo Park, California 94025
    Attention: Chief Executive Officer Facsimile: (650) 327-3218
    E-Mail: mar2008pipe@corcept.com

    with a copy to:

    Latham & Watkins LLP
    140 Scott Drive
    Menlo Park, California 94025
    Attention: Alan C. Mendelson Facsimile: (650) 463-4693
    E-Mail: alan.mendelson@lw.com

    or to such other person at such other place as the Company shall designate to the Purchasers in writing; and
SECTION 14. MISCELLANEOUS.

14.1 Waivers and Amendments. Neither this Agreement nor any provision hereof may be changed, waived, discharged, terminated, modified or amended except upon the written consent of the Company and holders of at least a majority of the Securities.

14.2 Purchasers’ Rights under Alternative Transactions. If the Company receives a proposal (an “Alternative Proposal”) to enter into any agreement or commitment with respect to the purchase of, or the sale or transfer or issuance (whether by merger, consolidation or otherwise) of, (i) any shares of capital stock of the Company or another entity organized by affiliates of the Company or any securities convertible into or exchangeable for any such stock for the primary purpose of raising capital, or (ii) all or substantially all of the assets, or any material assets, of the Company or any subsidiary thereof, and the Company’s Board of Directors, in exercising its fiduciary duties under applicable law (including but not limited to the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware), determines not to consummate the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, the Company shall endeavor to negotiate with the Purchasers, for a period not to exceed 10 days, a new transaction with the Purchasers that is comparable to such Alternative Proposal; provided, that in any event, the Purchasers representing a majority of the shares of Common Stock subject to this Agreement shall be entitled to compel the Company to consummate the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

14.3 Headings. The headings of the various sections of this Agreement have been inserted for convenience of reference only and shall not be deemed to be part of this Agreement.

14.4 Severability. In case any provision contained in this Agreement should be invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any respect, the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions contained herein shall not in any way be affected or impaired thereby.

14.5 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the internal laws of the State of New York.

14.6 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which shall constitute an original, but all of which, when taken together, shall constitute but one instrument, and shall become effective when one or more counterparts have been signed by each party hereto and delivered to the other parties.

14.7 Successors and Assigns. Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, the provisions hereof shall inure to the benefit of, and be binding upon, the successors, assigns, heirs, executors and administrators of the parties hereto.

14.8 Entire Agreement. This Agreement and other documents delivered pursuant hereto, including the exhibit and the Schedule of Exceptions, constitute the full and entire understanding and agreement between the parties with regard to the subjects hereof and thereof.
14.9 Payment of Fees and Expenses.

(a) On the Closing Date, the Company shall reimburse each Purchaser for all expenses such Purchaser has incurred in connection with this Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereby, including consulting and reasonable legal fees, up to a maximum aggregate amount equal to $95,000; provided, however, that the Company agrees to reimburse Longitude Capital for all expenses reasonably incurred in connection with the formation of an escrow account to facilitate the Closing, which expenses shall not be included in the calculation of the maximum aggregate expenses for purposes of this Section 14.9(a).

(b) Subject to the provisions of Section 14.9(a) above, each of the Company and the Purchasers shall bear its own expenses and legal fees incurred on its behalf with respect to this Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereby. If any action at law or in equity is necessary to enforce or interpret the terms of this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to reasonable attorney’s fees, costs and necessary disbursements in addition to any other relief to which such party may be entitled.

[signature pages follow]
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IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed by their duly authorized representatives as of the day and year first above written.

CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED

By: /s/ Joseph K. Belanoff
Name: Joseph K. Belanoff
Title: Chief Executive Officer

SIGNATURE PAGE TO
SECURITIES PURCHASE AGREEMENT
PURCHASERS:

Longitude Venture Partners, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership
By: Longitude Capital Partners, LLC
Its: General Partner
By: /s/ Patrick Enright
Name: Patrick Enright
Title: Managing Member

The 2008 Cook Grantor Retained Annuity Trust
By: /s/ Joseph C. Cook, III
Name: Joseph C. Cook, III
Title: Trustee

David I. Mahoney & Winnifred C. Ellis 1998 Family Trust
By: /s/ David L. Mahoney
Name: David L. Mahoney
Title: Trustee

James N. & Pamela Wilson Trust
By: /s/ James Wilson
Name: James Wilson
Title: Trustee

Alta BioPharma Partners II, L.P.
By: /s/ Alix Marduel
Name: Alix Marduel
Title: Managing Director

Alta Embarcadero BioPharma Partners II, LLC
By: /s/ Alix Marduel
Name: Alix Marduel
Title: Manager

Sutter Hill Ventures, a California Limited Partnership
Name: G. Leonard Baker, Jr.
Title: Managing Director of the General Partner

G. Leonard Baker, Jr. and Mary Anne Baker, Co-Trustees of the Baker Revocable Trust U/A/D 2/3/03
Name: G. Leonard Baker, Jr.
Title: Trustee

Saunders Holdings, L.P.
Name: G. Leonard Baker, Jr.
Title: General Partner

Tench Coxe and Simone Otus Coxe, Co-Trustees of the Coxe Revocable Trust U/A/D 4/23/98
By: /s/ Tench Coxe*
Name: Tench Coxe
Title: Trustee

Gregory P. Sands and Sarah J.D. Sands as Trustees of Gregory P. and Sarah J.D. Sands Trust Agreement dated 2/24/99
By: /s/ Gregory P. Sands*
Name: Gregory P. Sands
Title: Trustee

Tallack Partners, L.P.
By: /s/ James C. Gaither*
Name: James C. Gaither
Title: General Partner

James N. White and Patricia A. O’Brien as Trustees of the White Family Trust U/A/D 4/3/97
By: /s/ James N. White*
Name: James N. White
Title: Trustee

Jeffrey W. Bird and Christina R. Bird as Trustees of Jeffrey W. and Christina R. Bird Trust Agreement dated 10/31/00
By: /s/ Jeffrey W. Bird*
Name: Jeffrey W. Bird
Title: Trustee
Ronald Daniel Bernal and Pamela Mayer Bernal as Trustees of Bernal Family Trust U/D/T 11/3/95

By: /s/ Ronald D. Bernal*
Name: Ronald D. Bernal
Title: Trustee

Robert Yin and Lily Yin as Trustees of Yin Family Trust dated March 1, 1997

By: /s/ Robert Yin
Name: Robert Yin
Title: Trustee

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. FBO SHV Profit Sharing Plan FBO Lynne B. Graw (Rollover)

By: /s/ Vicki M. Bandel
Name: Vicki M. Bandel
Title: Assistant Vice President & Trust Officer

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. FBO SHV Profit Sharing Plan FBO William H Younger, Jr.

By: /s/ Vicki M. Bandel
Name: Vicki M. Bandel
Title: Assistant Vice President & Trust Officer

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. FBO SHV Profit Sharing Plan FBO David L. Anderson

By: /s/ Vicki M. Bandel
Name: Vicki M. Bandel
Title: Assistant Vice President & Trust Officer

VP Company Investments 2008, LLC

By: /s/ Alan C. Mendelson
Name: Alan C. Mendelson
Title: Member of Management Committee

Vaughn Bryson

By: /s/ Vaughn D. Bryson
Name: Vaughn D. Bryson

Douglas G & Irene E. DeVivo Rev. Trust

By: /s/ Douglas G. DeVivo
Name: Douglas G. DeVivo
Title: Trustee

Bruce Hardy McLain

By: /s/ Bruce Hardy McLain
Name: Bruce Hardy McLain
Title: Managing Partner

* signed by Robert Yin Under Power of Attorney
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and Address</th>
<th>Number of Shares</th>
<th>Aggregate Purchase Price of Shares</th>
<th>Number of Warrants</th>
<th>Aggregate Purchase Price of Warrants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Longitude Venture Partners, L.P.</td>
<td>3,530,450</td>
<td>$9,779,347</td>
<td>1,765,225</td>
<td>$220,653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The 2008 Cook Grantor Retained Annuity Trust</td>
<td>176,522</td>
<td>$488,967</td>
<td>88,261</td>
<td>$11,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David L Mahoney &amp; Winnifred C. Ellis 1998 Family Trust</td>
<td>70,609</td>
<td>$195,587</td>
<td>35,304</td>
<td>$4,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James N. &amp; Pamela Wilson Trust</td>
<td>35,304</td>
<td>$97,793</td>
<td>17,652</td>
<td>$2,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alta BioPharma Partners II, L.P.</td>
<td>1,045,921</td>
<td>$2,897,201.34</td>
<td>522,960</td>
<td>$65,370.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alta Embarcadero BioPharma Partners II, LLC</td>
<td>13,214</td>
<td>$36,602.78</td>
<td>6,607</td>
<td>$825.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutter Hill Ventures, a California Limited Partnership</td>
<td>693,118</td>
<td>$1,919,936.86</td>
<td>346,559</td>
<td>$43,319.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Leonard Baker, Jr. and Anne Baker, Co-Trustees of the Baker Revocable Trust U/A/D 2/3/03</td>
<td>335,393</td>
<td>$929,038.61</td>
<td>167,696</td>
<td>$20,962.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saunders Holdings, L.P.</td>
<td>105,914</td>
<td>$293,381.78</td>
<td>52,957</td>
<td>$6,619.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tench Coxe and Simone Otus Coxe, Co-Trustees of the Coxe Revocable Trust U/A/D 4/23/98*</td>
<td>147,743</td>
<td>$409,248.11</td>
<td>73,871</td>
<td>$9,233.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory P. Sands and Sarah J.D. Sands as Trustees of Gregory P. and Sarah J.D. Sands Trust</td>
<td>17,940</td>
<td>$49,693.80</td>
<td>8,970</td>
<td>$1,121.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreement dated 2/24/99</td>
<td>17,574</td>
<td>$48,679.98</td>
<td>8,787</td>
<td>$1,098.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronald Daniel Bernal and Pamela Mayer Bernal as Trustees of Bernal Family Trust U/D/T 11/3/95</td>
<td>2,684</td>
<td>$7,434.68</td>
<td>1,342</td>
<td>$167.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James N. White and Patricia A. O’Brien as Trustees of the White Family Trust U/A/D 4/3/97</td>
<td>17,233</td>
<td>$47,735.41</td>
<td>8,616</td>
<td>$1,077.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffrey W. Bird and Christina R. Bird as Trustees of Jeffrey W. and Christina R. Bird Trust</td>
<td>15,518</td>
<td>$42,984.86</td>
<td>7,759</td>
<td>$969.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreement dated 10/31/00</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>$1,603.83</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>$36.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael I. Naar and Diane J. Naar as Trustees of Naar Family Trust U/A/D 12/22/94</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>$315.78</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>$7.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Yin and Lily Yin as Trustees of Yin Family Trust dated March 1, 1997</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>$750.67</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>$16.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. FBO SHV Profit Sharing Plan FBO Patricia Tom (Rollover)</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>$1,603.83</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>$36.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Account</td>
<td>Balance 1</td>
<td>Value 1</td>
<td>Balance 2</td>
<td>Value 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. FBO SHV Profit Sharing Plan FBO Lynne B. Graw (Rollover)</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>2,232.62</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>50.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. FBO SHV Profit Sharing Plan FBO David E. Sweet (Rollover)</td>
<td>6,151</td>
<td>17,038.27</td>
<td>3,075</td>
<td>384.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. FBO SHV Profit Sharing Plan FBO William H Younger, Jr.</td>
<td>115,691</td>
<td>320,464.07</td>
<td>57,845</td>
<td>7,230.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. FBO SHV Profit Sharing Plan FBO Sherryl W. Casella</td>
<td>2,938</td>
<td>8,138.26</td>
<td>1,469</td>
<td>183.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. FBO SHV Profit Sharing Plan FBO David L. Anderson</td>
<td>109,036</td>
<td>302,029.72</td>
<td>54,518</td>
<td>6,814.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paperboy Ventures, LLC</td>
<td>2,118,270</td>
<td>5,867,608</td>
<td>1,059,135</td>
<td>132,392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP Company Investments 2008, LLC</td>
<td>8,826</td>
<td>24,448.37</td>
<td>4,413</td>
<td>552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan C. and Agnes B. Mendelson Family Trust</td>
<td>8,826</td>
<td>24,448.37</td>
<td>4,413</td>
<td>552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaughn Bryson</td>
<td>70,609</td>
<td>195,587</td>
<td>35,304</td>
<td>4,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy M. Barbee</td>
<td>105,913</td>
<td>293,380</td>
<td>52,956</td>
<td>6,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas G &amp; Irene E. DeVivo Rev. Trust</td>
<td>35,304</td>
<td>97,793</td>
<td>17,652</td>
<td>2,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Point Group LP</td>
<td>88,261</td>
<td>244,484</td>
<td>44,130</td>
<td>5,516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Hardy McLain</td>
<td>26,478</td>
<td>73,345</td>
<td>13,239</td>
<td>1,655</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REGISTRATION RIGHTS AGREEMENT

This Registration Rights Agreement (this “Agreement”) is made and entered into as of March 14, 2008, by and among Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated, a Delaware corporation (the “Company”), and the investors signatory hereto (each a “Purchaser” and collectively, the “Purchasers”).

This Agreement is made pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 14, 2008, among the Company and the Purchasers (the “Purchase Agreement”).

NOW, THEREFORE, IN CONSIDERATION of the mutual covenants contained in this Agreement, and for other good and valuable consideration the receipt and adequacy of which are hereby acknowledged, the Company and the Purchasers agree as follows:

1. Definitions. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein that are defined in the Purchase Agreement shall have the meanings given such terms in the Purchase Agreement. As used in this Agreement, the following terms shall have the respective meanings set forth in this Section 1:

   “Common Stock” means the common stock, par value $0.001 per share, of the Company.

   “Effective Date” means the date that the Registration Statement filed pursuant to Section 2(a) is first declared effective by the Commission.

   “Effectiveness Date” means: (a) with respect to the Initial Registration, the 90th day following the Closing (or the 105th day following the Closing in the event the initial Registration Statement is reviewed by the Commission), (b) with respect to any additional Registration Statements that may be required pursuant to Section 2 hereof, the 90th day following the date on which the Company first knows, or reasonably should have known, that such additional Registration Statement is required under such Section (or the 105th day following the Closing in the event the initial Registration Statement is reviewed by the Commission).

   “Effectiveness Date” shall also have the meaning specified in Section 2(b).

   “Effectiveness Period” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 2(a).


   “Filing Date” means: (a) with respect to the initial Registration Statement required to be filed to cover the resale by the Holders of the Registrable Securities, the 30th day following the Closing, and (b) with respect to any additional Registration Statements that may be required pursuant to Section 2 hereof, the 30th day following the date on which the Company first knows, or reasonably should have known, that such additional Registration Statement is required under such Section.
“Holder” or “Holders” means the holder or holders, as the case may be, from time to time of Registrable Securities.

“Indemnified Party” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 5(c).

“Indemnifying Party” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 5(c).

“Initial Registration Statement” shall mean the initial Registration Statement required to be filed to cover the resale by the Holders of the Registrable Securities pursuant to Section 2(a).

“Losses” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 5(a).

“Person” means an individual or corporation, partnership, trust, incorporated or unincorporated association, joint venture, limited liability company, joint stock company, government (or an agency or subdivision thereof) or other entity of any kind.

“Proceeding” means an action, claim, suit, investigation or proceeding (including, without limitation, an investigation or partial proceeding, such as a deposition), whether commenced or threatened.

“Prospectus” means the prospectus included in a Registration Statement (including, without limitation, a prospectus that includes any information previously omitted from a prospectus filed as part of an effective registration statement in reliance upon Rule 430A or Rule 430B promulgated under the Securities Act), as amended or supplemented by any prospectus supplement, with respect to the terms of the offering of any portion of the Registrable Securities covered by a Registration Statement, and all other amendments and supplements to the Prospectus, including post-effective amendments, and all material incorporated by reference or deemed to be incorporated by reference in such Prospectus.

“Reduction Securities” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 2(d).

“Registrable Securities” means (i) the Shares issued pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, (ii) the Underlying Shares issuable upon exercise of the Warrants issued pursuant to the Purchase Agreement and (iii) any other shares of Common Stock issued as (or issuable upon conversion or exercise of any warrant, right or other security which is issued as) a dividend or other distribution with respect to, in exchange for or in replacement of the Shares or the Underlying Shares.

“Registration Statement” means each of the following: (i) an initial registration statement which is required to register the resale of the Registrable Securities, and (ii) each additional registration statement, if any, contemplated by Section 2, and including, in each case, the Prospectus, amendments and supplements to each such registration statement or Prospectus, including pre- and post-effective amendments, all exhibits thereto, and all material incorporated by reference or deemed to be incorporated by reference in such registration statement.
“Rule 144” means Rule 144 promulgated by the Commission pursuant to the Securities Act, as such Rule may be amended from time to time, or any similar rule or regulation hereafter adopted by the Commission having substantially the same effect as such Rule.

“Rule 415” means Rule 415 promulgated by the Commission pursuant to the Securities Act, as such Rule may be amended from time to time, or any similar rule or regulation hereafter adopted by the Commission having substantially the same effect as such Rule.

“Rule 424” means Rule 424 promulgated by the Commission pursuant to the Securities Act, as such Rule may be amended from time to time, or any similar rule or regulation hereafter adopted by the Commission having substantially the same effect as such Rule.

“Securities Act” means the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

“Shares” shall have the meaning set forth in the Purchase Agreement.

“Trading Day” means any day on which the Common Stock is traded on the Principal Market (as defined in the Purchase Agreement), or, if the Principal Market is not the principal trading market for the Common Stock, then on the principal securities exchange or securities market on which the Common Stock is then traded.

“Underlying Shares” shall have the meaning set forth in the Purchase Agreement.

“Warrants” shall have the meaning set forth in the Purchase Agreement.

2. Registration.

(a) On or prior to each Filing Date, the Company shall prepare and file with the Commission a Registration Statement covering the resale of all Registrable Securities not already covered by an existing and effective Registration Statement (except as provided in Section 2(b) and Section 2(d)) for an offering to be made on a continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415. The Registration Statement shall be on Form S-3 (except if the Company is not then eligible to register for resale the Registrable Securities on Form S-3, in which case such registration shall be on another appropriate form for such purpose) and shall contain (except if otherwise required pursuant to written comments received from the Commission upon a review of such Registration Statement) the “Plan of Distribution” in substantially the form attached hereto as Annex A. The Company shall use its best efforts to cause each Registration Statement to be declared effective under the Securities Act as soon as possible but, in any event, no later than the Effectiveness Date for such Registration Statement, and shall use its best efforts to keep the Registration Statement continuously effective under the Securities Act until the date on which all Registrable Securities under such Registration are available for sale under Rule 144(b) (or any successor provision thereto) without volume limitation (the “Effectiveness Period”), subject Section 6(d) hereof. It is agreed and understood that the Company shall, from time to time, be obligated to file one or more additional Registration Statements to cover any Registrable Securities which are not registered for resale pursuant to a pre-existing Registration Statement.
(h) Notwithstanding anything contained herein to the contrary, including the fact that such Registrable Securities may be registered pursuant to the Registration Statement referred to in Section 2(d) below, in the event that the Commission limits the amount of Registrable Securities that may be included and sold by Holders in any Registration Statement, including the Initial Registration Statement, pursuant to Rule 415 or any other basis, the Company may reduce the number of Registrable Securities included in such Registration Statement on behalf of the Holders (in case of an exclusion as to a portion of such Registrable Securities, such portion shall be allocated pro rata among such Holders first in proportion to the respective numbers of Registrable Securities represented by Underlying Shares requested to be registered by each such Holder over the total amount of Registrable Securities represented by Underlying Shares, and second in proportion to the respective numbers of Registrable Securities represented by Shares requested to be registered by each such Holder over the total amount of Registrable Securities represented by Shares requested to be registered by each such Holder over the total amount of Registrable Securities represented by Shares). In such event the Company shall give the Holders prompt notice of the number of the Registrable Securities excluded and the Company will not be liable for any liquidated damages under Section 2(c), or otherwise under this Agreement, in connection with the excluded Registrable Securities. The Company shall use its best efforts at the first opportunity that is permitted by the Commission to register for resale the Registrable Securities that were excluded from being registered on such Registration Statement. Such new Registration Statement shall be on Form S-3 (except if the Company is not then eligible to register for resale the Registrable Securities on Form S-3, in which case such registration shall be on another appropriate form for such purpose) and shall contain (except if otherwise required pursuant to written comments received from the Commission upon a review of such Registration Statement) the “Plan of Distribution” in substantially the form attached hereto as Annex A. The Company shall use its best efforts to cause each such Registration Statement to be declared effective under the Securities Act as soon as possible but, in any event, no later than the 90th day following the date on which the Company is required to file such Registration Statement under this Agreement (or the 105th day following the date on which the Company is required to file such Registration Statement under this Agreement in the event such Registration Statement is reviewed by the Commission) (such 90th or 105th day, as the case may be, the “Effectiveness Date” for such Registration Statement), and shall use its best efforts to keep such Registration Statement continuously effective under the Securities Act during the entire Effectiveness Period, subject to Section 6(d) hereof.

(c) If: (i) a Registration Statement is not filed on or prior to its Filing Date, (ii) a Registration Statement is not declared effective by the Commission on or prior to its required Effectiveness Date, or (iii) after its Effective Date, such Registration Statement ceases for any reason to be effective and available to the Holders as to all Registrable Securities to which it is required to cover at any time prior to the expiration of its Effectiveness Period for an aggregate of more than 40 consecutive Trading Days or an aggregate of 80 Trading Days (which need not be consecutive) in any given 360-day period, (any such failure or breach being referred to as an “Event,” and for purposes of clauses (i) or (ii) the date on which such Event occurs, and for purposes of clause (iii) the date on which such 40 consecutive or 80 Trading Day-period (as applicable) is exceeded, being referred to as the “Event Date”), then, in addition to any other rights available to the Holders: (x) on such Event Date the Company shall pay to each Holder an amount in cash, as liquidated damages and not as a penalty, equal to 1% of the aggregate...
purchase price paid by such Holder pursuant to the Purchase Agreement for its Registrable Securities then held; and (y) on each monthly anniversary of each
such Event Date thereof (if the applicable Event shall not have been cured by such date) until the applicable Event is cured, the Company shall pay to each
Holder an amount in cash, as partial liquidated damages and not as a penalty, equal to 1% of the aggregate purchase price paid by such Holder pursuant to the Purchase Agreement for its Registrable Securities then held, provided that all periods shall be tolled, with respect to a Holder, by the number of Trading Days during which such Holder fails to provide the Company with information regarding such Holder which was reasonably requested by the Company in order to effect the registration of such Holder’s Registrable Securities pursuant to Section 6(e) hereof. It shall be a condition precedent to the obligations of the Company to pay any liquidated damages pursuant to this Section 2 with respect to the Registrable Securities of any Holder that such Holder shall furnish to the Company such information regarding itself and the Registrable Securities held by it. The partial liquidated damages pursuant to the terms hereof shall apply on a pro rata basis for any portion of a month prior to the cure of an Event. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the maximum payment to an Holder associated with all Events in the aggregate shall not exceed (i) in any 30-day period following an Event Date, an aggregate of 1% of the aggregate purchase price paid by such Holder pursuant to the Purchase Agreement for its Registrable Securities then held and (ii) 10% of the aggregate purchase price paid by such Holder pursuant to the Purchase Agreement for its Registrable Securities then held.

(d) In the event that the number of Registrable Securities included in the Initial Registration Statement or any subsequent Registration Statement are reduced as provided in Section 2(b) above (such Registrable Securities, the “Reduction Securities”), the Company shall prepare and file with the Commission a Registration Statement covering the resale of the Reduction Securities on Form S-1 (or another appropriate form for such purpose). The Registration Statement shall contain (except if otherwise required pursuant to written comments received from the Commission upon a review of such Registration Statement) the “Plan of Distribution” in substantially the form attached hereto as Annex A. The Company shall use its best efforts to cause such Registration Statement to be declared effective under the Securities Act as soon as possible but, in any event, no later than the Effectiveness Date for such Registration Statement, and shall use its best efforts to keep the Registration Statement continuously effective under the Securities Act for the Effectiveness Period, subject Section 6(d) hereof. In the event that any Reduction Securities become registered pursuant to a Registration Statement on Form S-3 pursuant to Section 2(b) or otherwise, such Reduction Securities may be removed from the Registration Statement on Form S-1 contemplated by this Section 2(d).

3. Registration Procedures

In connection with the Company’s registration obligations hereunder, the Company shall:

(a) Not less than three Trading Days prior to the filing of a Registration Statement or any related Prospectus or any amendment or supplement thereto, the Company shall furnish to the Holders copies of all such documents proposed to be filed (other than those
incorporated by reference). Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Company shall not be required to furnish to the Holders any prospectus supplement being prepared and filed solely to name new or additional selling securityholders unless such Holders are named in such prospectus supplement. In addition, in the event that any Registration Statement is on Form S-1 (or other form which does not permit incorporation by reference), the Company shall not be required to furnish to the Holders any prospectus supplement containing information included in a report or proxy statement filed under the Exchange Act that would be incorporated by reference in such Registration Statement if such Registration Statement were on Form S-3 (or other form which permits incorporation by reference). The Company shall duly consider any comments made by Holders and received by the Company not later than two Trading Days prior to the filing of the Registration Statement, but shall not be required to accept any such comments to which it reasonably objects.

(b) (i) Prepare and file with the Commission such amendments, including post-effective amendments, to each Registration Statement and the Prospectus used in connection therewith as may be necessary to keep such Registration Statement continuously effective as to the applicable Registrable Securities for its Effectiveness Period and prepare and file with the Commission such additional Registration Statements in order to register for resale under the Securities Act all of the Registrable Securities; (ii) cause the related Prospectus to be amended or supplemented by any required Prospectus supplement, and as so supplemented or amended to be filed pursuant to Rule 424; (iii) respond as promptly as reasonably possible to any comments received from the Commission with respect to each Registration Statement or any amendment thereto and, as promptly as reasonably possible provide the Holders true and complete copies of all correspondence from and to the Commission relating to such Registration Statement that pertains to the Holders as Selling Stockholders but not any comments that would result in the disclosure to the Holders of material and non-public information concerning the Company; and (iv) comply in all material respects with the provisions of the Securities Act and the Exchange Act with respect to the Registration Statements and the disposition of all Registrable Securities covered by each Registration Statement.

(c) Notify the Holders as promptly as reasonably possible (and, in the case of (i)(A) below, not less than three Trading Days prior to such filing) and (if requested by any such Person) confirm such notice in writing no later than one Trading Day following the day: (i)(A) when a Prospectus or any prospectus supplement (but only to the extent notice is required under Section 3(a) above) or post-effective amendment to a Registration Statement is proposed to be filed; (B) when the Commission notifies the Company whether there will be a “review” of such Registration Statement and whenever the Commission comments in writing on such Registration Statement (in which case the Company shall provide true and complete copies thereof and all written responses thereto to each of the Holders that pertain to the Holders as a Selling Stockholder or to the Plan of Distribution, but not information which the Company believes would constitute material and non-public information); and (C) with respect to each Registration Statement or any post-effective amendment, when the same has been declared effective; (ii) of any request by the Commission or any other Federal or state governmental authority for amendments or supplements to a Registration Statement or Prospectus or for additional information that pertains to the Holders as Selling Stockholders or the Plan of Distribution; (iii) of the issuance by the Commission of any stop order suspending the effectiveness of a
Registration Statement covering any or all of the Registrable Securities or the initiation of any Proceedings for that purpose; (iv) of the receipt by the Company of any notification with respect to the suspension of the qualification or exemption from qualification of any of the Registrable Securities for sale in any jurisdiction, or the initiation or threatening of any Proceeding for such purpose; (v) of the occurrence of any event or passage of time that makes the financial statements included or incorporated by reference in a Registration Statement ineligible for inclusion or incorporation by reference therein or any statement made in such Registration Statement or Prospectus or any document incorporated or deemed to be incorporated therein by reference untrue in any material respect or that requires any revisions to such Registration Statement, Prospectus or other documents so that, in the case of such Registration Statement or the Prospectus, as the case may be, it will not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state any material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and (vi) of the occurrence or existence of any pending corporate development with respect to the Company that the Company believes may be material and that, in the determination of the Company, makes it not in the best interest of the Company to allow continued availability of a Registration Statement or Prospectus; provided, that any and all of such information shall remain confidential to each Holder until such information otherwise becomes public, unless disclosure by a Holder is required by law; provided, further, that notwithstanding each Holder’s agreement to keep such information confidential, each such Holder makes no acknowledgement that any such information is material, non-public information.

(d) Use its best efforts to avoid the issuance of, or, if issued, obtain the withdrawal of (i) any order suspending the effectiveness of a Registration Statement, or (ii) any suspension of the qualification (or exemption from qualification) of any of the Registrable Securities for sale in any jurisdiction, at the earliest practicable moment.

(e) Furnish to each Holder, without charge, at least one conformed copy of each Registration Statement and each amendment thereto and all exhibits to the extent reasonably requested by such Person (including those previously furnished or incorporated by reference) promptly after the filing of such documents with the Commission; provided, that the Company shall have no obligation to provide any document pursuant to this clause that is available on the EDGAR system.

(f) Promptly deliver to each Holder, without charge, as many copies of each Prospectus or Prospectuses (including each form of prospectus) and each amendment or supplement thereto as such Persons may reasonably request. Subject to Section 6(d) hereof, the Company hereby consents to the use of such Prospectus and each amendment or supplement thereto by each of the selling Holders in connection with the offering and sale of the Registrable Securities covered by such Prospectus and any amendment or supplement thereto.

(g) Prior to any public offering of Registrable Securities, use its best efforts to register or qualify or cooperate with the selling Holders in connection with the registration or qualification (or exemption from such registration or qualification) of such Registrable Securities for offer and sale under the securities or Blue Sky laws of those jurisdictions within the United States as any Holder reasonably requests in writing to keep each such registration or qualification
(or exemption therefrom) effective during the Effectiveness Period and to do any and all other acts or things necessary or advisable to enable the disposition in such jurisdictions of the Registrable Securities covered by the Registration Statements; provided, that the Company shall not be required to qualify generally to do business in any jurisdiction where it is not then so qualified or subject the Company to any material tax in any such jurisdiction where it is not then so subject.

(h) Cooperate with the Holders to facilitate the timely preparation and delivery of certificates representing Registrable Securities to be delivered to a transferee pursuant to the Registration Statements, which certificates shall be free, to the extent permitted by the Purchase Agreement, of all restrictive legends, and to enable such Registrable Securities to be in such denominations and registered in such names as any such Holders may request.

(i) Upon the occurrence of any event contemplated by Section 3(c)(v), as promptly as reasonably possible, prepare a supplement or amendment, including a post-effective amendment, to the affected Registration Statements or a supplement to the related Prospectus or any document incorporated or deemed to be incorporated therein by reference, and file any other required document so that, as thereafter delivered, no Registration Statement nor any Prospectus will contain an untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.

(j) The Company may require each selling Holder to furnish to the Company a certified statement as to the number of shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by such Holder and any Affiliate thereof, and, if required by the Commission, the natural persons thereof that have voting and dispositive control over the shares.

4. Registration Expenses. All fees and expenses incident to the Company’s performance of or compliance with its obligations under this Agreement (excluding any underwriting discounts and selling commissions and all legal fees and expenses of legal counsel for any Holder) shall be borne by the Company whether or not any Registrable Securities are sold pursuant to a Registration Statement. The fees and expenses referred to in the foregoing sentence shall include, without limitation, (i) all registration and filing fees (including, without limitation, fees and expenses (A) with respect to filings required to be made with the Trading Market on which the Common Stock is then listed for trading, and (B) in compliance with applicable state securities or Blue Sky laws), (ii) printing expenses (including, without limitation, expenses of printing certificates for Registrable Securities and of printing prospectuses if the printing of prospectuses is reasonably requested by the holders of a majority of the Registrable Securities included in the Registration Statement), (iii) messenger, telephone and delivery expenses, (iv) reasonable fees and disbursements of counsel for the Company, (v) Securities Act liability insurance, if the Company so desires such insurance, and (vi) reasonable fees and expenses of all other Persons retained by the Company in connection with the consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement. In addition, the Company shall be responsible for all of its internal expenses incurred in connection with the consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement (including, without limitation, all salaries and expenses of its officers and employees performing legal or accounting duties), the expense of any annual audit and the fees and expenses incurred in connection with the listing of the Registrable Securities on
5. **Indemnification.**

(a) **Indemnification by the Company.** The Company shall, notwithstanding any termination of this Agreement, indemnify and hold harmless each Holder, the officers, directors, agents, partners, members, stockholders and employees of each Holder, each Person who controls any such Holder (within the meaning of Section 15 of the Securities Act or Section 20 of the Exchange Act) and the officers, directors, agents, partners, members, stockholders and employees of each such controlling Person, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, from and against any and all losses, claims, damages, liabilities, costs (including, without limitation, reasonable costs of preparation and reasonable attorneys’ fees) and expenses (collectively, “Losses”), as incurred, arising out of or relating to any untrue or alleged untrue statement of a material fact contained in any Registration Statement, any Prospectus or any form of prospectus or in any amendment or supplement thereto (it being understood that the Holder has approved Annex A hereto for this purpose), or arising out of or relating to any omission or alleged omission of a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein (in the case of any Prospectus or form of prospectus or supplement thereto, in light of the circumstances under which they were made) not misleading, except to the extent, but only to the extent, that (1) such untrue statements, alleged untrue statements, omissions or alleged omissions are based solely upon information furnished by such Holder expressly for use therein, or to the extent that such information relates to such Holder or such Holder’s proposed method of distribution of Registrable Securities and was reviewed and expressly approved in writing by such Holder expressly for use in the Registration Statement, such Prospectus or such form of Prospectus or in any amendment or supplement thereto (it being understood that the Holder has approved Annex A hereto for this purpose) or (2) in the case of an occurrence of an event of the type specified in Section 3(c)(ii)-(v), the use by such Holder of an outdated or defective Prospectus after the Company has notified such Holder in writing that the Prospectus is outdated or defective and prior to the receipt by such Holder of an Advice (as defined below) or an amended or supplemented Prospectus, but only if and to the extent that following the receipt of the Advice or the amended or supplemented Prospectus the misstatement or omission giving rise to such Loss would have been corrected. The Company shall notify the Holders promptly of the institution, threat or assertion of any Proceeding of which the Company is aware in connection with the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

(b) **Indemnification by Holders.** Each Holder shall, notwithstanding any termination of this Agreement, severally and not jointly, indemnify and hold harmless the Company, its directors, officers, agents and employees, each Person who controls the Company (within the meaning of Section 15 of the Securities Act and Section 20 of the Exchange Act), and the directors, officers, agents, partners, members, stockholders or employees of such controlling Persons, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, from and against all Losses, as incurred, arising solely out of or based solely upon: (x) for so long as the Company is not a

any securities exchange as required hereunder. In no event shall the Company be responsible for any broker or similar commissions of any Holder or, except to the extent provided for in the Transaction Documents (as defined in the Purchase Agreement), any legal fees or other costs of the Holders.
“Seasoned Issuer” and the prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act apply to sales by such Holder, such Holder’s failure to comply with the prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act or (y) any untrue statement of a material fact contained in any Registration Statement, any Prospectus, or any form of prospectus, or in any amendment or supplement thereto, or arising solely out of or based solely upon any omission of a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein (in the case of any Prospectus, or any form of prospectus or supplement thereto, in light of the circumstances under which they were made) not misleading to the extent, but only to the extent that, (1) such untrue statements or omissions are based solely upon information regarding such Holder furnished in writing to the Company by such Holder expressly for use therein, or to the extent that such information relates to such Holder or such Holder’s proposed method of distribution of Registrable Securities and was reviewed and expressly approved in writing by such Holder expressly for use in the Registration Statement, such Prospectus or such form of Prospectus or in any amendment or supplement thereto (it being understood that the Holder has approved Annex A hereto for this purpose) or (2) in the case of an occurrence of an event of the type specified in Section 3(c) (ii)-(v), the use by such Holder of an outdated or defective Prospectus after the Company has notified such Holder in writing that the Prospectus is outdated or defective and prior to the receipt by such Holder of an Advice or an amended or supplemented Prospectus, but only if and to the extent that following the receipt of the Advice or the amended or supplemented Prospectus the misstatement or omission giving rise to such Loss would have been corrected. In no event shall the liability of any selling Holder hereunder be greater in amount than the dollar amount of the net proceeds received by such Holder upon the sale of the Registrable Securities giving rise to such indemnification obligation.

(c) Conduct of Indemnification Proceedings. If any Proceeding shall be brought or asserted against any Person entitled to indemnity hereunder (an “Indemnified Party”), such Indemnified Party shall promptly notify the Person from whom indemnity is sought (the “Indemnifying Party”) in writing, and the Indemnifying Party shall assume the defense thereof, including the employment of counsel reasonably satisfactory to the Indemnified Party and the payment of all fees and expenses incurred in connection with defense thereof, provided, that the failure of any Indemnified Party to give such notice shall not relieve the Indemnifying Party of its obligations or liabilities pursuant to this Agreement, except (and only) to the extent that it shall be finally determined by a court of competent jurisdiction (which determination is not subject to appeal or further review) that such failure shall have proximately and materially adversely prejudiced the Indemnifying Party.

An Indemnified Party shall have the right to employ separate counsel in any such Proceeding and to participate in the defense thereof, but the fees and expenses of such counsel shall be at the expense of such Indemnified Party or Parties unless: (1) the Indemnifying Party has agreed in writing to pay such fees and expenses; (2) the Indemnifying Party shall have failed promptly to assume the defense of such Proceeding and to employ counsel reasonably satisfactory to such Indemnified Party in any such Proceeding; or (3) the named parties to any such Proceeding (including any impleaded parties) include both such Indemnified Party and the Indemnifying Party, and such Indemnified Party shall have been advised by counsel that a conflict of interest is likely to exist if the same counsel were to represent such Indemnified Party and the Indemnifying Party (in which case, if such Indemnified Party notifies the Indemnifying
Party in writing that it elects to employ separate counsel at the expense of the Indemnifying Party, the Indemnifying Party shall not have the right to assume the defense thereof and such counsel shall be at the expense of the Indemnifying Party; provided, that the Indemnifying Party shall not be liable for the fees and expenses of more than one separate firm of attorneys at any time for all Indemnified Parties pursuant to this Section 5(c). The Indemnifying Party shall not be liable for any settlement of any such Proceeding effected without its written consent, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld. No Indemnifying Party shall, without the prior written consent of the Indemnified Party, effect any settlement of any pending Proceeding in respect of which any Indemnified Party is a party, unless such settlement includes an unconditional release of such Indemnified Party from all liability on claims that are the subject matter of such Proceeding.

All fees and expenses of the Indemnified Party (including reasonable fees and expenses to the extent incurred in connection with investigating or preparing to defend such Proceeding in a manner not inconsistent with this Section) shall be paid to the Indemnified Party, as incurred, within ten Trading Days of written notice thereof to the Indemnifying Party (regardless of whether it is ultimately determined that an Indemnified Party is not entitled to indemnification hereunder; provided, that the Indemnifying Party may require such Indemnified Party to undertake to reimburse all such fees and expenses to the extent it is finally judicially determined that such Indemnified Party is not entitled to indemnification hereunder).

(d) Contribution. If a claim for indemnification under Section 5(a) or 5(b) is unavailable to an Indemnified Party (by reason of public policy or otherwise), then each Indemnifying Party, in lieu of indemnifying such Indemnified Party, shall contribute to the amount paid or payable by such Indemnified Party as a result of such Losses, in such proportion as is appropriate to reflect the relative fault of the Indemnifying Party and Indemnified Party in connection with the actions, statements or omissions that resulted in such Losses as well as any other relevant equitable considerations. The relative fault of such Indemnifying Party and Indemnified Party shall be determined by reference to, among other things, whether any action in question, including any untrue or alleged untrue statement of a material fact or omission or alleged omission of a material fact, has been taken or made by, or relates to information supplied by, such Indemnifying Party or Indemnified Party, and the parties’ relative intent, knowledge, access to information and opportunity to correct or prevent such action, statement or omission. The amount paid or payable by a party as a result of any Losses shall be deemed to include, subject to the limitations set forth in Section 5(c), any reasonable attorneys’ or other reasonable fees or expenses incurred by such party in connection with any Proceeding to the extent such party would have been indemnified for such fees or expenses if the indemnification provided for in this Section was available to such party in accordance with its terms.

The parties hereto agree that it would not be just and equitable if contribution pursuant to this Section 5(d) were determined by pro rata allocation or by any other method of allocation that does not take into account the equitable considerations referred to in the immediately preceding paragraph. Notwithstanding the provisions of this Section 5(d), no Holder shall be required to contribute, in the aggregate, any amount in excess of the amount by which the proceeds actually received by such Holder from the sale of the Registrable Securities subject to the Proceeding exceeds the amount of any damages that such Holder has otherwise
been required to pay by reason of such untrue or alleged untrue statement or omission or alleged omission. No person guilty of fraudulent misrepresentation (within the meaning of Section 11(f) of the Securities Act) shall be entitled to contribution from any person who was not guilty of such fraudulent misrepresentation.

The indemnity and contribution agreements contained in this Section are in addition to any liability that the Indemnifying Parties may have to the Indemnified Parties and are not in diminution or limitation of the indemnification provisions under the Purchase Agreement.

6. Miscellaneous

(a) Remedies. In the event of a breach by the Company or by a Holder, of any of their obligations under this Agreement, each Holder or the Company, as the case may be, in addition to being entitled to exercise all rights granted by law and under this Agreement, including recovery of damages, will be entitled to specific performance of its rights under this Agreement. The Company and each Holder agree that monetary damages would not provide adequate compensation for any losses incurred by reason of a breach by it of any of the provisions of this Agreement and hereby further agree that, in the event of any action for specific performance in respect of such breach, it shall waive the defense that a remedy at law would be adequate.

(b) Compliance. Each Holder covenants and agrees that it will comply with the prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act as applicable to it in connection with sales of Registrable Securities pursuant to the Registration Statement.

(c) Subsequent Registration Rights. Until the initial Registration Statement required hereunder is declared effective by the Commission, the Company shall not enter into any agreement granting any registration rights with respect to any of its securities to any Person without the written consent of Holders representing no less than two-thirds of the then outstanding Registrable Securities; provided, that this Section 6(c) shall not prohibit the Company from fulfilling its obligations under any other registration rights agreements existing as of the date hereof; and provided, further, that this Section 6(c) shall not prohibit the Company from fulfilling any of the terms described in the Term Sheet executed as of March 11, 2008 between Kingsbridge Capital and the Company, including the granting of registration rights to Kingsbridge Capital pursuant to the terms thereof; provided, that the Initial Registration Statement is filed prior to the filing of any registration statement on behalf of Kingsbridge Capital or its affiliates.

(d) Discontinued Disposition. Each Holder agrees by its acquisition of such Registrable Securities that, upon receipt of a notice from the Company of the occurrence of any event of the kind described in Section 3(c), such Holder will forthwith discontinue disposition of such Registrable Securities under the Registration Statement until such Holder’s receipt of the copies of the supplemented Prospectus and/or amended Registration Statement or until it is advised in writing (the “Advice”) by the Company that the use of the applicable Prospectus may be resumed, and, in either case, has received copies of any additional or supplemental filings that
are incorporated or deemed to be incorporated by reference in such Prospectus or Registration Statement. The Company may provide appropriate stop orders to enforce the provisions of this paragraph.

(e) Furnishing of Information. Each Holder shall furnish in writing to the Company such information regarding itself, the Registrable Securities held by it and the intended method of disposition of the Registrable Securities held by it, as shall be reasonably requested by the Company to effect the registration of such Registrable Securities and shall execute such documents in connection with such registration as the Company may reasonably request.

(f) Piggy-Back Registrations. If at any time during the Effectiveness Period, except as contemplated by Section 2(b) or Section 2(d) hereof, there is not an effective Registration Statement covering all of the Registrable Securities and the Company shall determine to prepare and file with the Commission a registration statement relating to an offering for its own account or the account of others under the Securities Act of any of its equity securities, other than on Form S-4 or Form S-8 (each as promulgated under the Securities Act) or their then equivalents relating to equity securities to be issued solely in connection with any acquisition of any entity or business or equity securities issuable in connection with the stock option or other employee benefit plans, then the Company shall send to each Holder a written notice of such determination and, if within 15 days after the date of such notice, any such Holder shall so request in writing, the Company shall include in such registration statement all or any part of such Registrable Securities such Holder requests to be registered; provided, however, that the Company shall not be required to register any Registrable Securities pursuant to this Section 6(f) that are eligible for resale pursuant to Rule 144(b) promulgated under the Securities Act without volume limitation or that are the subject of a then effective Registration Statement.

(g) Amendments and Waivers. No provision of this Agreement may be waived or amended except in a written instrument signed by the Company and the Holder or Holders (as applicable) of no less than eighty percent of the then outstanding Registrable Securities. The Company shall provide prior notice to all Holders of any proposed waiver or amendment. No waiver of any default with respect to any provision, condition or requirement of this Agreement shall be deemed to be a continuing waiver in the future or a waiver of any subsequent default or a waiver of any other provision, condition or requirement hereof, nor shall any delay or omission of either party to exercise any right hereunder in any manner impair the exercise of any such right.

(h) Notices. All notices, requests, consents and other communications hereunder shall be in writing, shall be sent by confirmed facsimile or electronic mail, or mailed by first-class registered or certified airmail, or nationally recognized overnight express courier, postage prepaid, and shall be deemed given when so sent in the case of facsimile or electronic mail transmission, or when so received in the case of mail or courier, and addressed as follows:

If to the Company:  
Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated  
149 Commonwealth Drive  
Menlo Park, California 94025  
Attention: Chief Executive Officer  
Facsimile: (650) 327-3218  
E-Mail: mar2008pipe@corcept.com
(i) **Successors and Assigns.** This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the successors and permitted assigns of each of the parties and shall inure to the benefit of each Holder. Each Holder may assign its respective rights hereunder in the manner and to the Persons as permitted under the Purchase Agreement.

(j) **Execution and Counterparts.** This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which when so executed shall be deemed to be an original and, all of which taken together shall constitute one and the same Agreement. In the event that any signature is delivered by facsimile transmission, such signature shall create a valid binding obligation of the party executing (or on whose behalf such signature is executed) the same with the same force and effect as if such facsimile signature were the original thereof.

(k) **Governing Law.** This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New York as applied to contracts entered into and performed entirely in New York by New York residents.

(l) **Cumulative Remedies.** The remedies provided herein are cumulative and not exclusive of any remedies provided by law.

(m) **Severability.** If any term, provision, covenant or restriction of this Agreement is held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, illegal, void or unenforceable, the remainder of the terms, provisions, covenants and restrictions set forth herein shall remain in full force and effect and shall in no way be affected, impaired or invalidated, and the parties hereto shall use their best efforts to find and employ an alternative means to achieve the same or substantially the same result as that contemplated by such term, provision, covenant or restriction. It is hereby stipulated and declared to be the intention of the parties that they would have executed the remaining terms, provisions, covenants and restrictions without including any of such that may be hereafter declared invalid, illegal, void or unenforceable.
(n) Use of Terms. The parties agree and acknowledge that when, in this Agreement, the Company is required to use its best efforts to perform any covenant under this Agreement, such requirement shall not obligate the Company, in the reasonable judgment of the disinterested members of its Board of Directors, to perform any act that will have a material adverse effect on the Company.

(o) Headings. The headings in this Agreement are for convenience of reference only and shall not limit or otherwise affect the meaning hereof.

(p) Independent Nature of Purchasers’ Obligations and Rights. The obligations of each Purchaser hereunder is several and not joint with the obligations of any other Purchaser hereunder, and no Purchaser shall be responsible in any way for the performance of the obligations of any other Purchaser hereunder. The decision of each Purchaser to purchase Securities pursuant to the Transaction Documents has been made independently of any other Purchaser. Nothing contained herein or in any other agreement or document delivered at any closing, and no action taken by any Purchaser pursuant hereto or thereto, shall be deemed to constitute the Purchasers as a partnership, an association, a joint venture or any other kind of entity, or create a presumption that the Purchasers are in any way acting in concert with respect to such obligations or the transactions contemplated by this Agreement. Each Purchaser acknowledges that no other Purchaser has acted as agent for such Purchaser in connection with making its investment hereunder and that no Purchaser will be acting as agent of such Purchaser in connection with monitoring its investment in the Securities or enforcing its rights under the Transaction Documents. Each Purchaser shall be entitled to protect and enforce its rights, including without limitation the rights arising out of this Agreement, and it shall not be necessary for any other Purchaser to be joined as an additional party in any proceeding for such purpose.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Registration Rights Agreement as of the date first written above.

CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED

By:  /s/ Joseph K. Belanoff
Name: Joseph K. Belanoff
Title: Chief Executive Officer
PURCHASERS

Longitude Venture Partners, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership
By: Longitude Capital Partners, LLC
Its: General Partner
By: /s/ Patrick Enright
Name: Patrick Enright
Title: Managing Member

The 2008 Cook Grantor Retained Annuity Trust
By: /s/ Joseph C. Cook, III
Name: Joseph C. Cook, III
Title: Trustee

David L. Mahoney & Winnifred C. Ellis 1998 Family Trust
By: /s/ David L. Mahoney
Name: David L. Mahoney
Title: Trustee

James N. & Pamela Wilson Trust
By: /s/ James Wilson
Name: James Wilson
Title: Trustee

Alta BioPharma Partners II, L.P.
By: /s/ Alix Marduel
Name: Alix Marduel
Title: Managing Director

Alta Embarcadero BioPharma Partners II, LLC
By: /s/ Alix Marduel
Name: Alix Marduel
Title: Manager

Sutter Hill Ventures, a California Limited Partnership
Name: G. Leonard Baker, Jr.
Title: Managing Director of the General Partner

G. Leonard Baker, Jr. and Mary Anne Baker, Co-Trustees of the
Baker Revocable Trust U/A/D 2/3/03
Name: G. Leonard Baker, Jr.
Title: Trustee

Saunders Holdings, L.P.
Name: G. Leonard Baker, Jr.
Title: General Partner

Tench Coxe and Simone Otus Coxe, Co-Trustees of the Coxe
Revocable Trust U/A/D 4/23/98
By: /s/ Tench Coxe*
Name: Tench Coxe
Title: Trustee

Gregory P. Sands and Sarah J.D. Sands as Trustees of Gregory P.
and Sarah J.D. Sands Trust Agreement dated 2/24/99
By: /s/ Gregory P. Sands*
Name: Gregory P. Sands
Title: Trustee

Tallack Partners, L.P.
By: /s/ James C. Gaither*
Name: James C. Gaither
Title: General Partner

James N. White and Patricia A. O’Brien as Trustees of the White
Family Trust U/A/D 4/3/97
By: /s/ James N. White*
Name: James N. White
Title: Trustee

Jeffrey W. Bird and Christina R. Bird as Trustees of Jeffrey W. and
Christina R. Bird Trust Agreement dated 10/31/00
By: /s/ Jeffrey W. Bird*
Name: Jeffrey W. Bird
Title: Trustee
Ronald Daniel Bernal and Pamela Mayer Bernal as Trustees of Bernal Family Trust U/D/T 11/3/95

By: /s/ Ronald D. Bernal*
Name: Ronald D. Bernal
Title: Trustee

Michael I. Naar and Diane J. Naar as Trustees of Naar Family Trust U/A/D 12/22/94

By: /s/ Diane J. Naar*
Name: Diane J. Naar
Title: Trustee

Robert Yin and Lily Yin as Trustees of Yin Family Trust dated March 1, 1997

By: /s/ Robert Yin
Name: Robert Yin
Title: Trustee

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. FBO SHV Profit Sharing Plan FBO Lynne B. Graw (Rollover)

By: /s/ Vicki M. Bandel
Name: Vicki M. Bandel
Title: Assistant Vice President & Trust Officer

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. FBO SHV Profit Sharing Plan FBO William H Younger, Jr.

By: /s/ Vicki M. Bandel
Name: Vicki M. Bandel
Title: Assistant Vice President & Trust Officer

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. FBO SHV Profit Sharing Plan FBO David L. Anderson

By: /s/ Vicki M. Bandel
Name: Vicki M. Bandel
Title: Assistant Vice President & Trust Officer

VP Company Investments 2008, LLC

By: /s/ Alan C. Mendelson
Name: Alan C. Mendelson
Title: Member of Management Committee

Vaughn Bryson

By: /s/ Vaughn D. Bryson
Name: Vaughn D. Bryson

Douglas G & Irene E. DeVivo Rev. Trust

By: /s/ Douglas G. DeVivo
Name: Douglas G. DeVivo
Title: Trustee

Bruce Hardy McLain

By: /s/ Bruce Hardy McLain
Name: Bruce Hardy McLain
Title: Managing Partner

* signed by Robert Yin Under Power of Attorney
ANNEX A

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

The selling stockholders and any of their pledgees, donees, transferees, assignees or other successors-in-interest may, from time to time, sell, transfer or otherwise dispose of any or all of their shares of common stock or interests in shares of common stock on any stock exchange, market or trading facility on which the shares are traded or in private transactions. These dispositions may be at fixed prices, at prevailing market prices at the time of sale, at prices related to the prevailing market price, at varying prices determined at the time of sale, or at negotiated prices. The selling stockholders may use one or more of the following methods when disposing of the shares or interests therein:

• ordinary brokerage transactions and transactions in which the broker-dealer solicits purchasers;
• block trades in which the broker-dealer will attempt to sell the shares as agent but may position and resell a portion of the block as principal to facilitate the transaction;
• through brokers, dealers or underwriters that may act solely as agents;
• purchases by a broker-dealer as principal and resale by the broker-dealer for its account;
• an exchange distribution in accordance with the rules of the applicable exchange;
• privately negotiated transactions;
• short sales;
• through the writing or settlement of options or other hedging transactions entered into after the effective date of the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, whether through an options exchange or otherwise;
• broker-dealers may agree with the Selling Stockholders to sell a specified number of such shares at a stipulated price per share;
• a combination of any such methods of disposition; and
• any other method permitted pursuant to applicable law.

The selling stockholders may also sell shares under Rule 144 under the Securities Act, if available, rather than under this prospectus.
Broker-dealers engaged by the selling stockholders may arrange for other broker-dealers to participate in sales. Broker-dealers may receive commissions or discounts from the selling stockholders (or, if any broker-dealer acts as agent for the purchaser of shares, from the purchaser) in amounts to be negotiated. The selling stockholders do not expect these commissions and discounts to exceed what is customary in the types of transactions involved.

The selling stockholders may from time to time pledge or grant a security interest in some or all of the shares of common stock owned by them and, if they default in the performance of their secured obligations, the pledgees or secured parties may offer and sell shares of common stock from time to time under this prospectus, or under a supplement or amendment to this prospectus under Rule 424(b)(3) or other applicable provision of the Securities Act of 1933 amending the list of selling stockholders to include the pledgee, transferee or other successors in interest as selling stockholders under this prospectus.

Upon being notified in writing by a selling stockholder that any material arrangement has been entered into with a broker-dealer for the sale of common stock through a block trade, special offering, exchange distribution or secondary distribution or a purchase by a broker or dealer, we will file a supplement to this prospectus, if required, pursuant to Rule 424(b) under the Securities Act, disclosing (i) the name of each such selling stockholder and of the participating broker-dealer(s), (ii) the number of shares involved, (iii) the price at which such shares of common stock were sold, (iv) the commissions paid or discounts or concessions allowed to such broker-dealer(s), where applicable, (v) that such broker-dealer(s) did not conduct any investigation to verify the information set out or incorporated by reference in this prospectus, and (vi) other facts material to the transaction. In addition, upon being notified in writing by a selling stockholder that a donee or pledge intends to sell more than 500 shares of common stock, we will file a supplement to this prospectus if then required in accordance with applicable securities law.

The selling stockholders also may transfer the shares of common stock in other circumstances, in which case the transferees, pledgees or other successors in interest will be the selling beneficial owners for purposes of this prospectus.

In connection with the sale of the shares of common stock or interests in shares of common stock, the selling stockholders may enter into hedging transactions after the effective date of the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part with broker-dealers or other financial institutions, which may in turn engage in short sales of the common stock in the course of hedging the positions they assume. The selling stockholders may also sell shares of common stock short after the effective date of the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part and deliver these securities to close out their short positions, or loan or pledge the common stock to broker-dealers that in turn may sell these securities. The selling stockholders may also enter into option or other transactions after the effective date of the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part with broker-dealers or other financial institutions or the creation of one or more derivative securities which require the delivery to such broker-dealer or other financial institution of shares offered by this prospectus, which shares such broker-dealer or other financial institution may resell pursuant to this prospectus (as supplemented or amended to reflect such transaction).
The selling stockholders and any broker-dealers or agents that are involved in selling the shares may be deemed to be “underwriters” within the meaning of the Securities Act in connection with such sales. In such event, any commissions received by such broker-dealers or agents and any profit on the resale of the shares purchased by them may be deemed to be underwriting commissions or discounts under the Securities Act. The maximum commission or discount to be received by any member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) or independent broker-dealer will not be greater than 8% of the initial gross proceeds from the sale of any security being sold.

We have advised the selling stockholders that they are required to comply with Regulation M promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act during such time as they may be engaged in a distribution of the shares. The foregoing may affect the marketability of the common stock.

The aggregate proceeds to the selling securityholders from the sale of the common stock offered by them will be the purchase price of the common stock less discounts or commissions, if any. Each of the selling securityholders reserves the right to accept and, together with their agents from time to time, to reject, in whole or in part, any proposed purchase of common stock to be made directly or through agents. We will not receive any of the proceeds from this offering.

We are required to pay all fees and expenses incident to the registration of the shares. We have agreed to indemnify the selling stockholders against certain losses, claims, damages and liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act or otherwise.

We have agreed with the selling stockholders to keep the registration statement of which this prospectus constitutes a part effective until the earlier of (a) such time as all of the shares covered by this prospectus have been disposed of pursuant to and in accordance with the registration statement or (b) the date on which the shares of common stock covered by this prospectus may be sold by non-affiliates without any volume limitations pursuant to Rule 144 of the Securities Act.
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This COMMON STOCK PURCHASE AGREEMENT (this “Agreement”) is entered into as of the 25th day of March, 2008, by and between Kingsbridge Capital Limited, an entity organized and existing under the laws of the British Virgin Islands, whose registered address is Palm Grove House, 2nd Floor, Road Town, Tortola, British Virgin Islands (the “Investor”) and Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (the “Company”).

WHEREAS, the parties desire that, upon the terms and subject to the conditions and limitations set forth herein, the Company may issue and sell to the Investor, from time to time as provided herein, and the Investor shall purchase from the Company, up to $60 million worth of shares of Common Stock (as defined below); and

WHEREAS, such investments will be made in reliance upon the provisions of Section 4(2) (“Section 4(2)”) and Regulation D (“Regulation D”) of the United States Securities Act of 1933, as amended and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder (the “Securities Act”), and/or upon such other exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act as may be available with respect to any or all of the investments in Common Stock to be made hereunder; and

WHEREAS, the parties hereto are concurrently entering into a Registration Rights Agreement in the form of Exhibit A hereto (the “Registration Rights Agreement”) pursuant to which the Company shall register the Common Stock issued and sold to the Investor under this Agreement and issuable under the Warrant (as defined below), upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth therein; and

WHEREAS, in consideration for the Investor’s execution and delivery of, and its performance of its obligations under, this Agreement, the Company is concurrently issuing to the Investor a Warrant in the form of Exhibit B hereto (the “Warrant”) pursuant to which the Investor may purchase from the Company up to 330,000 shares of Common Stock, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth therein;

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereto agree as follows:

ARTICLE I
DEFINITIONS

As used in this Agreement, the following terms shall have the meanings set forth below:

“Alternative Draw Down Amount” means the product of (i) Average Trading Volume, (ii) the Closing Price on the Trading Day preceding the issuance of the Draw Down Notice, (iii) the number of Trading Days during the Draw Down Pricing Period, and (iv) the Liquidity Ratio.

“Average Trading Volume” means the average trading volume of the twenty (20) Trading Days during the thirty (30) Trading Days prior to the issuance of the Draw Down Notice that results from excluding the five (5) highest and five (5) lowest Trading Days during such period.

“Blackout Amount” shall have the meaning assigned to such term in the Registration Rights Agreement.
“Blackout Shares” shall have the meaning assigned to such term in the Registration Rights Agreement.

“Certificate” shall have the meaning assigned to such term in Section 4.3 hereof.

“Closing Date” shall have the meaning assigned to such term in Section 2.2 hereof.

“Closing Price” as of any particular day shall mean the closing price per share of the Company’s Common Stock as reported by Bloomberg L.P. on such day.

“Commission” means the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

“Commission Documents” shall have the meaning assigned to such term in Section 4.6 hereof.

“Commitment Period” means the period commencing on the Effective Date and expiring on the earliest to occur of (i) the date on which the Investor shall have purchased Shares pursuant to this Agreement for an aggregate purchase price equal to the Maximum Commitment Amount, (ii) the date this Agreement is terminated pursuant to Article VIII hereof, and (iii) the date occurring thirty-six (36) months from the Effective Date.

“Common Stock” means the common stock of the Company, par value $0.001 per share.

“Condition Satisfaction Date” shall have the meaning assigned to such term in Article VII hereof.

“Damages” means any loss, claim, damage, liability, costs and expenses (including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys’ fees and expenses and costs and reasonable expenses of expert witnesses and investigation).

“Draw Down” shall have the meaning assigned to such term in Section 3.1 hereof.

“Draw Down Amount” means the actual dollar amount of a Draw Down paid to the Company.

“Draw Down Discount Price” means (i) 90% of the VWAP on any Trading Day during a Draw Down Pricing Period when the VWAP equals or exceeds $1.50 but is less than or equal to $6.00, (ii) 92% of the VWAP on any Trading Day during the Draw Down Pricing Period when VWAP exceeds $6.00 but is less than or equal to $11.00, or (iii) 94% of the VWAP on any Trading Day during the Draw Down Pricing Period when VWAP exceeds $11.00.

“Draw Down Notice” shall have the meaning assigned to such term in Section 3.1 hereof.

“Draw Down Pricing Period” shall mean, with respect to each Draw Down, a period of eight (8) consecutive Trading Days beginning on the first Trading Day specified in a Draw Down Notice.

“DTC” shall mean the Depository Trust Company, or any successor thereto.
“Effective Date” means the first Trading Day immediately following the date on which the Registration Statement is declared effective by the Commission.


“Excluded Merger or Sale” shall have the meaning assigned to such term in the Warrant.

“FINRA” means the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority.

“Knowledge” means the actual knowledge of those officers of the Company required to file statements pursuant to Section 16 of the Exchange Act.

“Liquidity Ratio” means fifty percent (50%).

“Make Whole Amount” shall have the meaning specified in Section 3.7.

“Market Capitalization” means, as of any Trading Day, the product of (i) the closing sale price of the Company’s Common Stock as reported by Bloomberg L.P. using the AQR function and (ii) the number of outstanding shares of Common Stock of the Company as reported by Bloomberg L.P. using the DES function.

“Material Adverse Effect” means any effect that is not negated, corrected, cured or otherwise remedied within a reasonable period of time on the business, operations, properties or financial condition of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries that is material and adverse to the Company and such subsidiaries, taken as a whole, and/or any condition, circumstance, or situation that would prohibit or otherwise interfere with the ability of the Company to perform any of its obligations under this Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement or the Warrant in any material respect; provided, however, that none of the following shall constitute a “Material Adverse Effect”: (i) the effects of conditions or events that are generally applicable to the capital, financial, banking or currency markets or the biotechnology or pharmaceutical industries; (ii) the effects of conditions or events that are reasonably expected to occur in the Company’s ordinary course of business (such as, by way of example only, failed clinical trials, serious adverse events involving the Company’s product candidates, delays in product development, unfavorable regulatory determinations, difficulties involving collaborators or intellectual property disputes), except for purposes of Section 4.9 herein; (iii) any changes or effects resulting from the announcement or consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, including, without limitation, any changes or effects associated with any particular Draw Down, and (iv) changes in the market price of the Common Stock.

“Maximum Commitment Amount” means the lesser of (i) $60 million in aggregate Draw Down Amounts or (ii) 9,646,159 shares of Common Stock (as adjusted for stock splits, stock combinations, stock dividends and recapitalizations that occur on or after the date of this Agreement); provided, however, that in no event will the Maximum Commitment Amount equal or exceed the number of shares of Common Stock which would require shareholder approval under the applicable rules and regulations of the Principal Market.
“Maximum Draw Down Amount” means 1.25% of the Company’s Market Capitalization at the time of the Draw Down, or, at the Company’s option, the lesser of (A) 2.5% of the Company’s Market Capitalization at the time of the Draw Down, and (B) the Alternative Draw Down Amount; provided, however, that in no event may the Maximum Draw Down Amount exceed $10 million.

“Permitted Transaction” shall have the meaning assigned to such term in Section 6.6 hereof.

“Person” means any individual, corporation, partnership, limited liability company, association, trust or other entity or organization, including any government or political subdivision or an agency or instrumentality thereof.

“Principal Market” means the NASDAQ Capital Market, the NASDAQ Global Select Market, the NASDAQ Global Market, the American Stock Exchange or the New York Stock Exchange, whichever is at the time the principal trading exchange or market for the Common Stock.

“Prohibited Transaction” shall have the meaning assigned to such term in Section 6.7 hereof.

“Prospectus” as used in this Agreement means the prospectus in the form included in the Registration Statement, as supplemented from time to time pursuant to Rule 424(b) of the Securities Act.

“Registrable Securities” means (i) the Shares, (ii) the Warrant Shares, and (iii) any securities issued or issuable with respect to any of the foregoing by way of exchange, stock dividend or stock split or in connection with a combination of shares, recapitalization, merger, consolidation or other reorganization or otherwise. As to any particular Registrable Securities, once issued such securities shall cease to be Registrable Securities when (w) the Registration Statement has been declared effective by the Commission and such Registrable Securities have been disposed of pursuant to the Registration Statement, (x) such Registrable Securities have been sold under circumstances under which all of the applicable conditions of Rule 144 (or any similar provision then in force) under the Securities Act (“Rule 144”) are met, (y) such time as such Registrable Securities have been otherwise transferred to holders who may trade such shares without restriction under the Securities Act, and the Company has delivered a new certificate or other evidence of ownership for such securities not bearing a restrictive legend or (z) such Registrable Securities may be sold without registration and without any time, volume or manner limitations pursuant to Rule 144(b) (or any similar provision then in effect) under the Securities Act.

“Registration Rights Agreement” shall have the meaning set forth in the recitals of this Agreement.

“Registration Statement” shall have the meaning assigned to such term in the Registration Rights Agreement.
“Regulation D” shall have the meaning set forth in the recitals of this Agreement.

“Section 4(2)” shall have the meaning set forth in the recitals of this Agreement.

“Securities Act” shall have the meaning set forth in the recitals of this Agreement.

“Settlement Date” shall have the meaning assigned to such term in Section 3.5 hereof.

“Shares” means the shares of Common Stock of the Company that are and/or may be purchased hereunder.

“Trading Day” means any day other than a Saturday or a Sunday on which the Principal Market is open for trading in equity securities.

“VWAP” means the volume weighted average price (the aggregate sales price of all trades of Common Stock during each Trading Day divided by the total number of shares of Common Stock traded during such Trading Day) of the Common Stock during any Trading Day as reported by Bloomberg, L.P. using the AQR function.

“Warrant” shall have the meaning set forth in the recitals of this Agreement.

“Warrant Shares” means the shares of Common Stock issuable to the Investor upon exercise of the Warrant.

ARTICLE II
PURCHASE AND SALE OF COMMON STOCK

Section 2.1 Purchase and Sale of Stock. Upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in this Agreement, the Company shall to the extent it elects to make Draw Downs in accordance with Article III hereof, issue and sell to the Investor and the Investor shall purchase Common Stock from the Company for an aggregate (in Draw Down Amounts) of up to the Maximum Commitment Amount, consisting of purchases based on Draw Downs in accordance with Article III hereof.

Section 2.2 Closing. In consideration of and in express reliance upon the representations, warranties, covenants, terms and conditions of this Agreement, the Company agrees to issue and sell to the Investor, and the Investor agrees to purchase from the Company, that number of the Shares to be issued in connection with each Draw Down. The execution and delivery of this Agreement (the “Closing”) shall take place at the offices of Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP, 180 Maiden Lane, New York, NY 10038 at 5:00 p.m. local time on March __, 2008, or at such other time and place or on such date as the Investor and the Company may agree upon (the “Closing Date”). Each party shall deliver at or prior to the Closing all documents, instruments and writings required to be delivered at the Closing by such party pursuant to this Agreement. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in this Agreement, the Closing shall not occur until the Investor has received sufficient evidence that the Company has raised at least $15,000,000 in a financing or series of financings. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in this Agreement, the Investor shall have no commitment to purchase...
Common Stock unless and until the Investor has received evidence satisfactory to it, in its reasonable discretion, that the Company has received at least $15,000,000 in one or more financing transactions previously disclosed to the Investor.

Section 2.3 Registration Statement and Prospectus. The Company shall prepare and file with the Commission the Registration Statement (including the Prospectus) in accordance with the provisions of the Securities Act and the Registration Rights Agreement.

Section 2.4 Warrant. On the Closing Date, the Company shall issue and deliver the Warrant to the Investor.

Section 2.5 Blackout Shares. The Company shall deliver any Blackout Amount or issue and deliver any Blackout Shares to the Investor in accordance with Section 1.1(e) of the Registration Rights Agreement.

ARTICLE III
DRAW DOWN TERMS

Subject to the satisfaction of the conditions hereinafter set forth in this Agreement, the parties agree as follows:

Section 3.1 Draw Down Notice. During the Commitment Period, the Company may, in its sole discretion, issue a Draw Down Notice (as hereinafter defined) which shall specify the dollar amount of Shares the Company elects to sell to the Investor (each such election, a “Draw Down”) up to a Draw Down Amount equal to the Maximum Draw Down Amount, which Draw Down the Investor shall be obligated to accept. The Company shall inform the Investor in writing by sending a duly completed Draw Down Notice (as hereinafter defined) in the form of Exhibit C hereto by e-mail to the addresses set forth in Section 10.4, with a copy to the Investor’s counsel, as to such Draw Down Amount before commencement of trading on the first Trading Day of the related Draw Down Pricing Period (the “Draw Down Notice”). In addition to the Draw Down Amount, each Draw Down Notice shall designate the first Trading Day of the Draw Down Pricing Period. In no event shall any Draw Down Amount exceed the Maximum Draw Down Amount. Each Draw Down Notice shall be accompanied by a certificate, signed by the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, President or Vice President and Controller, dated as of the date of such Draw Down Notice, in the form of Exhibit D hereof.

Section 3.2 Number of Shares. Subject to Section 3.6(b), the number of Shares to be issued in connection with each Draw Down shall be equal to the sum of the number of shares issuable on each Trading Day of the Draw Down Pricing Period. The number of shares issuable on a Trading Day during a Draw Down Pricing Period shall be equal to the quotient of one eighth (1/8th) of the Draw Down Amount divided by the Draw Down Discount Price for such Trading Day.

Section 3.3 Limitation on Draw Downs. Only one Draw Down shall be permitted for each Draw Down Pricing Period.
Section 3.4 Trading Cushion. Unless the parties agree in writing otherwise, there shall be a minimum of seven (7) Trading Days between the expiration of any Draw Down Pricing Period and the beginning of the next succeeding Draw Down Pricing Period.

Section 3.5 Settlement. The number of Shares purchased by the Investor in any Draw Down shall be determined and settled on two separate dates. Shares purchased by the Investor during the first four Trading Days of any Draw Down Pricing Period shall be determined and settled no later than the sixth Trading Day of such Draw Down Pricing Period. Shares purchased by the Investor during the second four Trading Days of any Draw Down Pricing Period shall be determined and settled no later than the second Trading Day after the last Trading Day of such Draw Down Pricing Period. Each date on which settlement of the purchase and sale of Shares occurs hereunder being referred to as a “Settlement Date.” The Investor shall provide the Company with delivery instructions for the Shares to be issued at each Settlement Date at least two Trading Days in advance of such Settlement Date. The number of Shares actually issued shall be rounded to the nearest whole number of Shares.

Section 3.6 Delivery of Shares; Payment of Draw Down Amount.

(a) On each Settlement Date, the Company shall deliver the Shares purchased by the Investor to the Investor or its designees exclusively via book-entry through the DTC to an account designated by the Investor, and upon receipt of the Shares, the Investor shall cause payment thereof to be made to the Company’s designated account by wire transfer of immediately available funds, if the Shares are received by the Investor no later than 1:00 p.m. (Eastern Time), or next day available funds, if the Shares are received thereafter. Upon the written request of the Company, the Investor shall cause its banker to confirm to the Company that the Investor has provided irrevocable instructions to cause payment for the Shares to be made as set forth above, upon confirmation by such banker that the Shares have been delivered through the DTC in unrestricted form.

(b) For each Trading Day during a Draw Down Pricing Period where the VWAP is less than the greater of (i) 90% of the Closing Price of the Company’s Common Stock on the Trading Day immediately preceding the commencement of such Draw Down Pricing Period, or (ii) $1.50, such Trading Day shall not be used in calculating the number of Shares to be issued in connection with such Draw Down, and the Draw Down Amount in respect of such Draw Down Pricing Period shall be reduced by one eighth (1/8th) of the initial Draw Down Amount specified in the Draw Down Notice. If trading in the Company’s Common Stock is suspended for any reason for more than three (3) consecutive or non-consecutive hours during any Trading Day during a Draw Down Pricing Period, such Trading Day shall not be used in calculating the number of Shares to be issued in connection with such Draw Down, and the Draw Down Amount in respect of such Draw Down Pricing Period shall be reduced by one eighth (1/8th) of the initial Draw Down Amount specified in the Draw Down Notice.

Section 3.7 Failure to Deliver Shares. If on any Settlement Date, the Company fails to cause the delivery of the Shares purchased by the Investor, and such failure is not cured within two (2) Trading Days following such Settlement Date, the Company shall pay to the Investor on demand in cash by wire transfer of immediately available funds to an account designated by the Investor the “Make Whole Amount;” provided, however, that in the event that the Company is
prevented from delivering Shares in respect of any such Settlement Date in a timely manner by any fact or circumstance that is not reasonably within the control of, or directly attributable to, the Company, or is otherwise reasonably within the control of, or directly attributable to, the Investor, then such two (2) Trading Day period shall be automatically extended until such time as such fact or circumstance is cured. As used herein, the Make Whole Amount shall be an amount equal to the sum of (i) the Draw Down Amount actually paid by the Investor in respect of such Shares plus (ii) an amount equal to the actual loss suffered by the Investor in respect of sales to subsequent purchasers, pursuant to transactions entered into before the Settlement Date, of the Shares that were required to be delivered by the Company, which shall be based upon documentation reasonably satisfactory to the Company demonstrating the difference (if greater than zero) between (A) the price per share paid by the Investor to purchase such number of shares of Common Stock necessary for the Investor to meet its share delivery obligations to such subsequent purchasers minus (B) the average Draw Down Discount Price during the applicable Draw Down Pricing Period. In the event that the Make Whole Amount is not paid within two (2) Trading Days following a demand therefor from the Investor, the Make Whole Amount shall accrue interest per annum compounded daily at a rate equal to the greater of (i) the prime rate of interest then in effect as published by the Wall Street Journal plus three percent (3%) and (ii) ten percent (10%) up to and including the date on which the Make Whole Amount is actually paid. For the purposes of this Section 3.7 facts or circumstances that are reasonably within the control of the Company include such facts and circumstances attributable to acts or omissions of the Company, its officers, directors, employees, agents and representatives, including, without limitation, any transfer agent(s), accountant(s) and/or attorney(s) engaged by the Company in connection with the Company’s performance of its obligations hereunder. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in this Agreement, in the event that the Company pays the Make Whole Amount (plus interest, if applicable) in respect of any Settlement Date in accordance with this Section 3.7, such payment shall be the Investor’s sole remedy in respect of the Company’s failure to deliver Shares in respect of such Settlement Date, and the Company shall not be obligated to deliver such Shares.

ARTICLE IV
REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF THE COMPANY

The Company hereby makes the following representations and warranties to the Investor:

Section 4.1 Organization, Good Standing and Power. The Company is a corporation duly organized, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of the State of Delaware and has all requisite power and authority to own, lease and operate its properties and assets and to carry on its business as now being conducted. Except as set forth in the Commission Documents (as defined below), the Company does not own more than fifty percent (50%) of the outstanding capital stock of or control any other business entity, other than any wholly-owned subsidiary that is not “significant” within the meaning of Regulation S-X promulgated by the Commission. The Company is duly qualified as a foreign corporation to do business and is in good standing in every jurisdiction in which the nature of the business conducted or property owned by it makes such qualification necessary, other than those in which the failure to be so qualified or be in good standing would not have a Material Adverse Effect.
Section 4.2 Authorization; Enforcement. (i) The Company has the requisite corporate power and authority to enter into and perform its obligations under this Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement and the Warrant and to issue the Shares, the Warrant, the Warrant Shares and any Blackout Shares (except to the extent that the number of Blackout Shares required to be issued exceeds the number of authorized shares of Common Stock under the Certificate); (ii) the execution and delivery of this Agreement and the Registration Rights Agreement, and the execution, issuance and delivery of the Warrant, by the Company and the consummation by it of the transactions contemplated hereby and thereby have been duly and validly authorized by all necessary corporate action and no further consent or authorization of the Company or its Board of Directors or stockholders is required (other than as contemplated by Section 6.5); and (iii) each of this Agreement and the Registration Rights Agreement has been duly executed and delivered, and the Warrant has been duly executed, issued and delivered, by the Company and constitutes a valid and binding obligation of the Company enforceable against the Company in accordance with its terms, except as such enforceability may be limited by applicable bankruptcy, securities, insolvency, or similar laws relating to, or affecting generally the enforcement of, creditors’ rights and remedies, or indemnification or by other equitable principles of general application.

Section 4.3 Capitalization. The authorized capital stock of the Company and the shares thereof issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2007 are set forth on a schedule (the “Disclosure Schedule”) previously delivered to the Investor. All of the outstanding shares of the Common Stock have been duly and validly authorized and issued, and are fully paid and non-assessable. Except as set forth in this Agreement or as previously disclosed on the Disclosure Schedule, as of December 31, 2007, no shares of Common Stock were entitled to preemptive rights or registration rights and there were no outstanding options, warrants, scrip, rights to subscribe to, call or commitments of any character whatsoever relating to, or securities or rights convertible into or exchangeable for or giving any right to subscribe for, any shares of capital stock of the Company, except for stock options issued by the Company to its employees, directors and consultants. Except as set forth in this Agreement, the Commission Documents, or as previously disclosed to the Investor in the Disclosure Schedule, as of December 31, 2007, there were no contracts, commitments, understandings, or arrangements by which the Company is or may become bound to issue additional shares of the capital stock of the Company or options, securities or rights convertible into or exchangeable for or giving any right to subscribe for any shares of capital stock of the Company. Except as described in the Commission Documents or as previously disclosed to the Investor in the Disclosure Schedule, as of the date hereof the Company is not a party to any agreement granting registration rights to any Person with respect to any of its equity or debt securities. Except as set forth in the Commission Documents or as previously disclosed to the Investor in writing, as of the date hereof the Company is not a party to, and it has no Knowledge of, any agreement restricting the voting or transfer of any shares of the capital stock of the Company. The offer and sale of all capital stock, convertible securities, rights, warrants, or options of the Company issued during the twenty-four month period immediately prior to the Closing complied in all material respects with all applicable federal and state securities laws, and no stockholder has a right of rescission or damages with respect thereto that could reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect. The Company has furnished or made available to the Investor true and correct copies of the Company’s Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended and in effect on
the date hereof (the “Certificate”), and the Company’s Amended and Restated Bylaws, as amended and in effect on the date hereof (the “Bylaws”).

Section 4.4 Issuance of Shares. Subject to Section 6.5, the Shares, the Warrant and the Warrant Shares have been, and any Blackout Shares will be, duly authorized by all necessary corporate action (except to the extent that the number of Blackout Shares required to be issued exceeds the number of authorized shares of Common Stock under the Certificate) and, when issued and paid for in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement and the Warrant, and subject to, and in reliance on, the representations, warranties and covenants made herein by the Investor, the Shares and the Warrant Shares shall be validly issued and outstanding, fully paid and non-assessable, and the Investor shall be entitled to all rights accorded to a holder of shares of Common Stock.

Section 4.5 No Conflicts. The execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement, the Warrant and any other document or instrument contemplated hereby or thereby, by the Company and the consummation by the Company of the transactions contemplated hereby and thereby do not and shall not, in any material respect: (i) result in the violation of any provision of the Certificate or Bylaws, (ii) conflict with, or constitute a default (or an event which with notice or lapse of time or both would become a default) under, or give rise to any rights of termination, amendment, acceleration or cancellation of, any material agreement, mortgage, deed of trust, indenture, note, bond, license, lease agreement, instrument or obligation to which the Company is a party that has not been waived, where such default or conflict would constitute a Material Adverse Effect, (iii) create or impose a lien, charge or encumbrance on any property of the Company under any agreement or any commitment to which the Company is a party or by which the Company is bound or by which any of its respective properties or assets are bound which would constitute a Material Adverse Effect, (iv) result in a violation of any federal, state, local or foreign statute, rule, regulation, order, writ, judgment or decree (including federal and state securities laws and regulations) applicable to the Company or any of its subsidiaries or by which any property or asset of the Company or any of its subsidiaries are bound or affected where such violation would constitute a Material Adverse Effect, or (v) require any consent of any third-party that has not been obtained pursuant to any material contract to which the Company is subject or to which any of its assets, operations or management may be subject where the failure to obtain any such consent would constitute a Material Adverse Effect. The Company is not required under federal, state or local law, rule or regulation to obtain any consent, authorization or order of, or make any filing or registration with, any court or governmental agency in order for it to execute, deliver or perform any of its obligations under this Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement or the Warrant, or issue and sell the Shares, the Warrant Shares or the Blackout Shares (except to the extent that the number of Blackout Shares required to be issued exceeds the number of authorized shares of Common Stock under the Certificate) in accordance with the terms hereof and thereof (other than any filings that may be required to be made by the Company with the Commission, the FINRA/NASDAQ or state securities commissions subsequent to the Closing, and, any registration statement (including any amendment or supplement thereto) or any other filing or consent which may be filed pursuant to this Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement or the Warrant), provided that, for purposes of the representation made in this
Section 4.6 Commission Documents, Financial Statements.

(a) The Common Stock is registered pursuant to Section 12(b) or 12(g) of the Exchange Act, and since January 1, 2005 the Company has timely filed all reports, schedules, forms, statements and other documents required to be filed by it with the Commission pursuant to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act, including material filed pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act (all of the foregoing, including filings incorporated by reference therein, being referred to herein as the “Commission Documents”). Except as previously disclosed to the Investor in writing, since January 1, 2005 the Company has maintained all requirements for the continued listing or quotation of its Common Stock, and such Common Stock is currently listed or quoted on the NASDAQ Capital Market. To the extent not available on the Commission’s EDGAR filing system, the Company has made available to the Investor true and complete copies of the Commission Documents filed with the Commission since January 1, 2005 and prior to the Closing Date. The Company has not provided to the Investor any information which, according to applicable law, rule or regulation, should have been disclosed publicly by the Company but which has not been so disclosed, other than with respect to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement and other than with respect to information related to the fiscal year and quarter ended December 31, 2007, which will be included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, which need not be filed with the Commission prior to March 31, 2008. As of its date, the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 complied in all material respects with the requirements of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations of the Commission promulgated thereunder applicable to such document, and, as of its date, after giving effect to the information disclosed and incorporated by reference therein, to the Company’s Knowledge such Annual Report on Form 10-K did not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary in order to make the statements therein, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading. As of their respective dates, to the Company’s Knowledge the financial statements, together with the related notes and schedules thereto, of the Company included in the Commission Documents filed with the Commission since January 1, 2005 complied as to form in all material respects with all applicable accounting requirements and the published rules and regulations of the Commission or other applicable rules and regulations with respect thereto. Such financial statements, together with the related notes and schedules thereto, have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) applied on a consistent basis during the periods involved (except (i) as may be otherwise indicated in such financial statements or the notes thereto or (ii) in the case of unaudited interim statements, to the extent they may not include footnotes or may be condensed or summary statements), and fairly present in all material respects the financial condition of the Company and its subsidiaries as of the dates thereof and the results of operations and cash flows for the periods then ended (subject, in the case of unaudited statements, to normal year-end audit adjustments).

(b) The Company has timely filed with the Commission and made available to the Investor via EDGAR or otherwise all certifications and statements required by (x) Rule 13a-
Section 4.7 No Material Adverse Change. Except as disclosed in the Commission Documents or a press release of the Company, since December 31, 2007 no event or series of events has or have occurred that would, individually or in the aggregate, have a Material Adverse Effect on the Company.

Section 4.8 No Undisclosed Liabilities. To the Company’s Knowledge, neither the Company nor any of its subsidiaries has any liabilities, obligations, claims or losses (whether liquidated or unliquidated, secured or unsecured, absolute, accrued, contingent or otherwise) that would be required to be disclosed on a balance sheet of the Company or any subsidiary (including the notes thereto) in conformity with GAAP and are not disclosed in the Commission Documents, other than those incurred in the ordinary course of the Company’s or its subsidiaries respective businesses since December 31, 2007 or which, individually or in the aggregate, do not or would not be reasonably expected to have a Material Adverse Effect on the Company.

Section 4.9 No Undisclosed Events or Circumstances. To the Company’s Knowledge, no event or circumstance has occurred or exists with respect to the Company or its subsidiaries or their respective businesses, properties, operations or financial condition, which, under applicable law, rule or regulation, requires public disclosure or announcement by the Company but which has not been so publicly announced or disclosed and which, individually or in the aggregate, would be reasonably expected to have a Material Adverse Effect on the Company. For the avoidance of doubt, information related to the fiscal year and quarter ended December 31, 2007 will be included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, which need not be filed with the Commission prior to March 31, 2008.

Section 4.10 Actions Pending. There is no action, suit, claim, investigation or proceeding pending or, to the Knowledge of the Company, threatened against the Company or any subsidiary which questions the validity of this Agreement or the transactions contemplated hereby or any action taken or to be taken pursuant hereto or thereto. Except as set forth in the Commission Documents or in the Disclosure Schedule, there is no action, suit, claim, investigation or proceeding pending or, to the Knowledge of the Company, threatened, against or involving the Company, any subsidiary or any of their respective properties or assets, or to the Knowledge of the Company involving any officers or directors, in their capacity as officers or directors, of the Company or any of its subsidiaries, including, without limitation, any securities class action lawsuit or stockholder derivative lawsuit, that could be reasonably expected to have a Material Adverse Effect on the Company. Except as set forth in the Commission Documents or as previously disclosed to the Investor in writing, no judgment, order, writ, injunction or decree or award has been issued by or, to the Knowledge of the Company, requested of any court, arbitrator or governmental agency which could be reasonably expected to result in a Material Adverse Effect.
Section 4.11 Compliance with Law. The business of the Company and its subsidiaries have been and are presently being conducted in accordance with all applicable federal, state, local and foreign governmental laws, rules, regulations and ordinances, except as set forth in the Commission Documents or such that would not reasonably be expected to cause a Material Adverse Effect. Except as set forth in the Commission Documents, the Company and each of its subsidiaries have all franchises, permits, licenses, consents and other governmental or regulatory authorizations and approvals necessary for the conduct of its business as now being conducted by it, except for such franchises, permits, licenses, consents and other governmental or regulatory authorizations and approvals, the failure to possess which, individually or in the aggregate, could not reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect.

Section 4.12 Certain Fees. Except as expressly set forth in this Agreement, no brokers, finders or financial advisory fees or commissions will be payable by the Company or any of its subsidiaries in respect of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

Section 4.13 Disclosure. To the Company’s Knowledge, neither this Agreement nor any other documents, certificates or instruments furnished to the Investor by or on behalf of the Company or any subsidiary in connection with the transactions contemplated by this Agreement contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made herein or therein, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made herein or therein, not misleading.

Section 4.14 Material Non-Public Information. Except for this Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereby, neither the Company nor its employees have disclosed to the Investor, any material non-public information that, according to applicable law, rule or regulation, should have been disclosed publicly by the Company prior to the date hereof but which has not been so disclosed. For the avoidance of doubt, information related to the fiscal year and quarter ended December 31, 2007 will be included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, which need not be filed with the Commission prior to March 31, 2008.

Section 4.15 Exemption from Registration; Valid Issuances. Subject to, and in reliance on, the representations, warranties and covenants made herein by the Investor, the issuance and sale of the Shares, the Warrant, the Warrant Shares and any Blackout Shares in accordance with the terms and on the bases of the representations and warranties set forth in this Agreement, may and shall be properly issued pursuant to Section 4(2), Regulation D and/or any other applicable federal and state securities laws. Neither the sales of the Shares, the Warrant, the Warrant Shares or any Blackout Shares pursuant to, nor the Company’s performance of its obligations under, this Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement, or the Warrant shall (i) result in the creation or imposition of any liens, charges, claims or other encumbrances upon the Shares, the Warrant Shares, any Blackout Shares or any of the assets of the Company, or (ii) except as previously disclosed to the Investor in writing, entitle the holders of any outstanding shares of capital stock of the Company to preemptive or other rights to subscribe to or acquire the shares of Common Stock or other securities of the Company.

Section 4.16 No General Solicitation or Advertising in Regard to this Transaction. Neither the Company nor any of its affiliates or any Person acting on its or their
behalf (i) has conducted any general solicitation (as that term is used in Rule 502(c) of Regulation D) or general advertising with respect to any of the Shares, the Warrant, the Warrant Shares or any Blackout Shares or (ii) has made any offers or sales of any security or solicited any offers to buy any security under any circumstances that would require registration of the Shares under the Securities Act.

Section 4.17 No Integrated Offering. Neither the Company, nor any of its affiliates, nor any person acting on its or their behalf has, directly or indirectly, made any offers or sales of any security or solicited any offers to buy any security, other than pursuant to this Agreement and employee benefit plans, under circumstances that would require registration under the Securities Act of shares of the Common Stock issuable hereunder with any other offers or sales of securities of the Company.

Section 4.18 Acknowledgement Regarding Investor’s Purchase of Shares. The Company acknowledges and agrees that the Investor is acting solely in the capacity of an arm’s length investor with respect to this Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereunder. The Company further acknowledges that the Investor is not acting as a financial advisor or fiduciary of the Company (or in any similar capacity) with respect to this Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereunder and any advice given by the Investor or any of its representatives or agents in connection with this Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereunder is merely incidental to the Investor’s purchase of the Shares.

ARTICLE V
REPRESENTATIONS, WARRANTIES AND COVENANTS OF THE INVESTOR

The Investor hereby makes the following representations, warranties and covenants to the Company:

Section 5.1 Organization and Standing of the Investor. The Investor is a company duly organized, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of the British Virgin Islands.

Section 5.2 Authorization and Power. The Investor has the requisite power and authority to enter into and perform its obligations under this Agreement, the Warrant and the Registration Rights Agreement and to purchase the Shares, the Warrant and the Warrant Shares in accordance with the terms hereof and thereof. The execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement, the Warrant and the Registration Rights Agreement by Investor and the consummation by it of the transactions contemplated hereby or thereby have been duly authorized by all necessary corporate action, and no further consent or authorization of the Investor, its Board of Directors or stockholders is required. Each of this Agreement and the Registration Rights Agreement has been duly executed and delivered by the Investor and constitutes a valid and binding obligation of the Investor enforceable against the Investor in accordance with its terms, except as such enforceability may be limited by applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium, liquidation, conservatorship, receivership, or similar laws relating to, or affecting generally the enforcement of creditor’s rights and remedies or by other equitable principles of general application.
Section 5.3 No Conflicts. The execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement, the Warrant and any other document or instrument contemplated hereby, by the Investor and the consummation of the transactions contemplated thereby do not (i) violate any provision of the Investor’s charter documents or bylaws, (ii) conflict with, or constitute a default (or an event which with notice or lapse of time or both would become a default) under, or give to others any rights of termination, amendment, acceleration or cancellation of, any material agreement, mortgage, deed of trust, indenture, note, bond, license, lease agreement, instrument or obligation to which the Investor is a party, (iii) create or impose a lien, charge or encumbrance on any property of the Investor under any agreement or any commitment to which the Investor is a party or by which the Investor is bound or by which any of its respective properties or assets are bound, (iv) result in a violation of any federal, state, local or foreign statute, rule, regulation, order, writ, judgment or decree (including federal and state securities laws and regulations) applicable to the Investor or by which any property or asset of the Investor are bound or affected, or (v) require the consent of any third-party that has not been obtained pursuant to any material contract to which Investor is subject or to which any of its assets, operations or management may be subject. The Investor is not required under federal, state, foreign or local law, rule or regulation to obtain any consent, authorization or order of, or make any filing or registration with, any court or governmental agency in order for it to execute, deliver or perform any of its obligations under this Agreement or to purchase the Shares or the Warrant in accordance with the terms hereof, provided that, for purposes of the representation made in this sentence, the Investor is assuming and relying upon the accuracy of the relevant representations and agreements of the Company herein.

Section 5.4 Financial Capability. The Investor has the financial capability to perform all of its obligations under this Agreement, including the capability to purchase the Shares, the Warrant and the Warrant Shares in accordance with the terms hereof. The Investor has such knowledge and experience in business and financial matters that it is capable of evaluating the merits and risks of an investment in Common Stock and the Warrant. The Investor is an “accredited investor” as defined in Regulation D. The Investor is a “sophisticated investor” as described in Rule 506(b)(2)(ii) of Regulation D. The Investor acknowledges that an investment in the Common Stock and the Warrant is speculative and involves a high degree of risk.

Section 5.5 Information. The Investor and its advisors, if any, have been furnished with all materials relating to the business, finances and operations of the Company and materials relating to the offer and sale of the Shares, the Warrant and the Warrant Shares which have been requested by the Investor. The Investor has reviewed or received copies of the Commission Documents. The Investor and its advisors, if any, have been afforded the opportunity to ask questions of the Company. The Investor has sought such accounting, legal and tax advice as it has considered necessary to make an informed investment decision with respect to its acquisition of the Shares, the Warrant and the Warrant Shares. The Investor understands that it (and not the Company) shall be responsible for its own tax liabilities that may arise as a result of this investment or the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

Section 5.6 Trading Restrictions. The Investor covenants that neither the Investor nor any of its affiliates nor any entity managed or controlled by the Investor will, or cause or assist any Person to, enter into or execute any “short sale” (as such term is defined in Rule 200 of
Regulation SHO, or any successor regulation, promulgated by the Commission under the Exchange Act) of any securities of the Company, and that the Investor and its affiliates shall comply with all other applicable law.

Section 5.7 Statutory Underwriter Status. The Investor acknowledges that, pursuant to the Commission’s current interpretations of the Securities Act, the Investor will be disclosed as an “underwriter” within the meaning of the Securities Act in the Registration Statement (and amendments thereto) and in any Prospectus contained therein to the extent required by applicable law.

Section 5.8 Not an Affiliate. The Investor is not an officer, director or “affiliate” (as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act) of the Company.

Section 5.9 Manner of Sale. At no time was Investor presented with or solicited by or through any leaflet, public promotional meeting, television advertisement or any other form of general solicitation or advertising.

Section 5.10 Prospectus Delivery. The Investor agrees that unless the Shares and Warrant Shares are eligible for resale pursuant to all the conditions of Rule 144 without volume or manner of sale limitations, it will resell the Shares and Warrant Shares only pursuant to the Registration Statement, in a manner described under the caption “Plan of Distribution” in the Registration Statement, and in a manner in compliance with all applicable securities laws, including, without limitation, any applicable prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act and the insider trading restrictions of the Exchange Act.

ARTICLE VI
COVENANTS OF THE COMPANY

The Company covenants with the Investor as follows, which covenants are for the benefit of the Investor and its permitted assignees (as defined herein):

Section 6.1 Securities Compliance. The Company shall notify the Commission and the Principal Market, if and as applicable, in accordance with their respective rules and regulations, of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, and shall use commercially reasonable efforts to take all other necessary action and proceedings as may be required and permitted by applicable law, rule and regulation, for the legal and valid issuance of the Shares, the Warrant Shares and the Blackout Shares, if any, to the Investor. Each Commission Document to be filed with the Commission after the Closing Date and incorporated by reference in the Registration Statement and Prospectus, when such document becomes effective or is filed with the Commission, as the case may be, shall comply in all material respects with the requirements of the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, as applicable, and other federal, state and local laws, rules and regulations applicable to it, and shall not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary in order to make the statements therein, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.
Section 6.2 Reservation of Common Stock. As of the date hereof, the Company has available and the Company shall reserve and keep available at all times, free of preemptive rights and other similar contractual rights of stockholders, shares of Common Stock for the purpose of enabling the Company to satisfy any obligation to issue the Shares in connection with all Draw Downs contemplated hereunder and the Warrant Shares. The number of shares so reserved from time to time, as theretofore increased or reduced as hereinafter provided, may be reduced by the number of shares actually delivered hereunder.

Section 6.3 Registration and Listing. During the Commitment Period, the Company shall use commercially reasonable efforts to: (i) take all action necessary to cause its Common Stock to continue to be registered under Section 12(b) or 12(g) of the Exchange Act, (ii) comply in all respects with its reporting and filing obligations under the Exchange Act, (iii) prevent the termination or suspension of such registration, or the termination or suspension of its reporting and filing obligations under the Exchange Act or Securities Act (except as expressly permitted herein). The Company shall use commercially reasonable efforts to maintain the listing and trading of its Common Stock and the listing of the Shares purchased by Investor hereunder on the Principal Market (including, without limitation, maintaining sufficient net tangible assets) and will comply in all material respects with the Company’s reporting, filing and other obligations under the bylaws or rules of the FINRA and the Principal Market. The Company will not be required to carry out any action pursuant to this Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement or the Warrant that would adversely impact the listing of the Company’s securities on the Principal Market as now in effect, and as may be changed by the Company in the future in the Company’s discretion.

Section 6.4 Registration Statement. Without the prior written consent of the Investor, the Registration Statement shall be used solely in connection with the transactions between the Company and the Investor contemplated hereby.

Section 6.5 Compliance with Laws.

(a) The Company shall comply, and cause each subsidiary to comply, with all applicable laws, rules, regulations and orders, noncompliance with which could reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, neither the Company nor any of its officers, directors or affiliates will take, directly or indirectly, any action designed or intended to stabilize or manipulate the price of any security of the Company, or which would in the future reasonably be expected to cause or result in, stabilization or manipulation of the price of any security of the Company, in each case in contravention of applicable laws, rules, regulations or orders.

(b) Without the consent of its stockholders in accordance with FINRA and The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC rules, the Company will not be obligated to issue, and the Investor will not be obligated to purchase, any Shares or Blackout Shares which would result in the issuance under this Agreement, the Warrant and the Registration Rights Agreement of Shares and Blackout Shares (collectively) representing more than the applicable percentage under the rules of the FINRA and The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC , including, without limitation, NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 4350(i), that would require stockholder approval of the issuance thereof.
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Section 6.6 Other Financing. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to restrict the right of the Company to offer, sell and/or issue securities of any kind whatsoever, provided such transaction is not a Prohibited Transaction (as defined below) (any such transaction that is not a Prohibited Transaction is referred to in this Agreement as a "Permitted Transaction"). Without limiting the generality of the preceding sentence, the Company may, without the prior written consent of the Investor, (i) establish stock option or other equity incentive or award plans or agreements (for directors, employees, consultants and/or advisors), and issue securities thereunder, and amend such plans or agreements, including increasing the number of shares available thereunder, (ii) issue equity securities to finance, or otherwise in connection with, the acquisition, license or sale of one or more other companies, equipment, technologies or lines of business, (iii) issue shares of Common Stock and/or Preferred Stock in connection with the Company’s option, equity incentive or award plans, stock purchase plans, rights plans, warrants or options, (iv) issue shares of Common Stock and/or Preferred Stock in connection with the acquisition, license or sale of products, licenses, equipment or other assets and strategic partnerships or joint ventures; (v) issue shares of Common and/or Preferred Stock to consultants and/or advisors as consideration for services rendered or to be rendered, (vi) issue and sell equity or debt securities in a public offering, (vii) issue and sell any equity or debt securities in a private placement (other than in connection with any Prohibited Transaction), (viii) issue equity securities to equipment lessors, equipment vendors, banks or similar lending institutions in connection with leases or loans, or in connection with strategic commercial or licensing transactions, (ix) issue securities in connection with any stock split, stock dividend, recapitalization, reclassification or similar event by the Company, and (x) issue shares of Common Stock to the Investor under any other agreement entered into between the Investor and the Company.

Section 6.7 Prohibited Transactions. Except as set forth on Schedule 6.7 of the Disclosure Schedule, during the term of this Agreement, the Company shall not enter into any Prohibited Transaction without the prior written consent of the Investor, which consent may be withheld at the sole discretion of the Investor. For the purposes of this Agreement, the term "Prohibited Transaction" shall refer to the issuance by the Company of any "future priced securities," which shall mean the issuance of shares of Common Stock or securities of any type whatsoever that are, or may become, convertible or exchangeable into shares of Common Stock where the purchase, conversion or exchange price for such Common Stock is determined using any floating discount or other post-issuance adjustable discount to the market price of Common Stock, including, without limitation, pursuant to any equity line or other financing that is substantially similar to the financing provided for under this Agreement; provided that any future issuance by the Company of a convertible security (including warrants, "Convertible Security") that (i) contains provisions that adjust the conversion or exercise price of such Convertible Security ("Conversion Price") solely in the event of stock splits, dividends, distributions, reclassifications of the Company’s Common Stock, whether by merger, consolidation, sale of assets or reorganization, or similar events shall not be a Prohibited Transaction for purposes of this Section 6.7 so long as such Convertible Security does not contain a provision that adjusts the Conversion Price as a result of any issuances of new securities after the issue date of the Convertible Security at a price below the then effective Conversion Price of the Convertible Security, or as a result of any decline in the market price of the Common Stock after the issue date of the Convertible Security, other than a decline resulting directly from stock splits.
Sections 6.8 Corporate Existence. The Company shall take all steps necessary to preserve and continue the corporate existence of the Company; provided, however, that nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to prohibit the Company from engaging in any Excluded Merger or Sale with another Person provided that in the event of an Excluded Merger or Sale, if the surviving, successor or purchasing Person does not agree to assume the obligations under the Warrant, then the Company shall deliver a notice to the Investor at least ten (10) days before the consummation of such Excluded Merger or Sale (provided that, to the extent that such transaction has not been publicly disclosed, then the Investor agrees to maintain the confidentiality of such information and to use such information only in connection with a decision to exercise the Warrant), the Investor may exercise the Warrant at any time before the consummation of such Excluded Merger or Sale (and such exercise may be made contingent upon the consummation of such Excluded Merger or Sale), and any portion of the Warrant that has not been exercised before consummation of such Excluded Merger or Sale shall terminate and expire, and shall no longer be outstanding.

Section 6.9 Non-Disclosure of Non-Public Information. Subject to Section 6.10 below, except as otherwise expressly provided in this Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement or the Warrant, none of the Company, its officers, directors, employees nor agents shall disclose material non-public information to the Investor, its advisors or representatives.

Section 6.10 Notice of Certain Events Affecting Registration; Suspension of Right to Request a Draw Down. The Company shall promptly notify the Investor upon the occurrence of any of the following events in respect of the Registration Statement or the Prospectus related to the offer, issuance and sale of the Shares and the Warrant Shares hereunder: (i) receipt of any request for additional information by the Commission or any other federal or state governmental authority during the period of effectiveness of the Registration Statement for amendments or supplements to the Registration Statement or the Prospectus; (ii) the issuance by the Commission or any other federal or state governmental authority of any stop order suspending the effectiveness of the Registration Statement or the initiation of any proceedings for that purpose; and (iii) receipt of any notification with respect to the suspension of the qualification or exemption from qualification of any of the Registrable Securities for sale in any jurisdiction or the initiation or threatening of any proceeding for such purpose. If at any time the Commission shall issue any stop order suspending the effectiveness of the Registration Statement, the Company shall use commercially reasonable efforts to obtain the withdrawal of such order at the earliest possible time. The Company shall not be required to disclose to the Investor the substance or specific reasons of any of the events set forth in clauses (i) through (ii) of the previous sentence, only that the event has occurred. The Company shall not request a Draw Down during the continuation of any of the foregoing events.
Section 6.11 Amendments to the Registration Statement. When the Registration Statement is declared effective by the Commission, the Company shall not (a) file any amendment to the Registration Statement or make any amendment or supplement to the Prospectus of which the Investor shall not previously have been advised; provided, however, that the Company shall, to the extent it deems advisable, and without the prior consent of or notice to Investor, supplement the Prospectus within two Trading Days following the Settlement Date for each Draw Down solely to reflect the issuance of Shares with respect to such Draw Down; and provided, further, that the Company need not advise the Investor regarding any supplement the purpose of which is to update the Registration Statement and the Prospectus to include information the Company had previously filed with the Commission pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) under the Exchange Act; and (b) so long as, in the reasonable opinion of counsel for the Investor, a Prospectus is required to be delivered in connection with sales of the Shares by the Investor, if the Company files any information, documents or reports that are incorporated by reference in the Registration Statement pursuant to the Exchange Act, the Company shall, if requested in writing by the Investor, deliver a copy of such information, documents or reports to the Investor promptly following such filing to the extent such information, documents or reports are not available on the Commission’s EDGAR filing system.

Section 6.12 Prospectus Delivery. From time to time for such period as in the reasonable opinion of counsel for the Investor a prospectus is required by the Securities Act to be delivered in connection with sales by the Investor, the Company will expeditiously deliver to the Investor, without charge, as many copies of the Prospectus (and of any amendment or supplement thereto) as the Investor may reasonably request. The Company consents to the use of the Prospectus (and of any amendment or supplement thereto) in accordance with the provisions of the Securities Act and state securities laws in connection with the offering and sale of the Shares and the Warrant Shares and for such period of time thereafter as the Prospectus is required by the Securities Act to be delivered in connection with sales of the Shares and the Warrant Shares.

ARTICLE VII
CONDITIONS TO THE OBLIGATION OF THE INVESTOR TO ACCEPT A DRAW DOWN

The obligation of the Investor hereunder to accept a Draw Down Notice and to acquire and pay for the Shares in accordance therewith is subject to the satisfaction or waiver, at each Condition Satisfaction Date, of each of the conditions set forth below. Other than those conditions set forth in Section 7.12 which are for the Company’s sole benefit and may be waived by the Company at any time in its sole discretion, the conditions are for the Investor’s sole benefit and may be waived by the Investor at any time in its sole discretion. As used in this Agreement, the term “Condition Satisfaction Date” shall mean, with respect to each Draw Down, the date on which the applicable Draw Down Notice is delivered to the Investor and each Settlement Date in respect of the applicable Draw Down Pricing Period.

Section 7.1 Accuracy of the Company’s Representations and Warranties. Each of the representations and warranties of the Company shall be true and correct in all material respects.
as of the date when made as though made at that time except for representations and warranties that are expressly made as of a particular date.

Section 7.2 Performance by the Company. The Company shall have, in all material respects, performed, satisfied and complied with all covenants, agreements and conditions required by this Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement and the Warrant to be performed, satisfied or complied with by the Company.

Section 7.3 Compliance with Law. The Company shall have complied in all respects with all applicable federal, state and local governmental laws, rules, regulations and ordinances in connection with the execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement and the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby except for any failures to so comply which could not reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect.

Section 7.4 Effective Registration Statement. Upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Registration Rights Agreement, the Registration Statement shall have previously become effective and shall remain effective and (i) neither the Company nor the Investor shall have received notice that the Commission has issued or intends to issue a stop order with respect to the Registration Statement or that the Commission otherwise has suspended or withdrawn the effectiveness of the Registration Statement, either temporarily or permanently, or intends or has threatened to do so (unless the Commission’s concerns have been addressed and the Investor is reasonably satisfied that the Commission no longer is considering or intends to take such action), and (ii) no other suspension of the use or withdrawal of the effectiveness of the Registration Statement or the Prospectus shall exist.

Section 7.5 No Knowledge. The Company shall have no Knowledge of any event that could reasonably be expected to have the effect of causing the Registration Statement with respect to the resale of the Registrable Securities by the Investor to be suspended or otherwise ineffective (which event is reasonably likely to occur within eight Trading Days following the Trading Day on which a Draw Down Notice is delivered) as of the Settlement Date.

Section 7.6 No Suspension. Trading in the Company’s Common Stock shall not have been suspended by the Commission, the Principal Market or the FINRA and trading in securities generally as reported on the Principal Market shall not have been suspended or limited.

Section 7.7 No Injunction. No statute, rule, regulation, order, decree, writ, ruling or injunction shall have been enacted, entered, promulgated, endorsed or, to the Knowledge of the Company, threatened by any court or governmental authority of competent jurisdiction which prohibits the consummation of or which would materially modify or delay any of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

Section 7.8 No Proceedings or Litigation. No action, suit or proceeding before any arbitrator or any court or governmental authority shall have been commenced or, to the Knowledge of the Company, threatened, and, to the Knowledge of the Company no inquiry or investigation by any governmental authority shall have been threatened, against the Company or any subsidiary, or any of the officers, directors or affiliates of the Company or any subsidiary
seeking to enjoin, prevent or change the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, or seeking damages in connection with such transactions.

Section 7.9 Sufficient Shares Registered for Resale. The Company shall have sufficient Shares, calculated using the closing trade price of the Common Stock as of the Trading Day immediately preceding such Draw Down Notice, registered under the Registration Statement to issue and sell such Shares in accordance with such Draw Down Notice.

Section 7.10 Warrant. The Warrant shall have been duly executed, delivered and issued to the Investor, and the Company shall not be in default in any material respect under any of the provisions thereof, provided that any refusal by or failure of the Company to issue and deliver Warrant Shares in respect of any exercise (in whole or in part) thereof shall be deemed to be material for the purposes of this Section 7.10.

Section 7.11 Opinion of Counsel. The Investor shall have received the form of opinion mutually agreed to between the parties on the date of this Agreement.

Section 7.12 Accuracy of Investor’s Representation and Warranties. The representations and warranties of the Investor shall be true and correct in all material respects as of the date when made as though made at that time except for representations and warranties that are made as of a particular date.

ARTICLE VIII
TERMINATION

Section 8.1 Term. Unless otherwise terminated in accordance with Section 8.2 below, this Agreement shall terminate upon the earlier to occur of (i) the expiration of the Commitment Period or (ii) the issuance of Shares pursuant to this Agreement in an amount equal to the Maximum Commitment Amount.

Section 8.2 Other Termination.

(a) The Investor may terminate this Agreement upon (x) one (1) business day’s notice if the Company enters into any Prohibited Transaction as set forth in Section 6.7 without the Investor’s prior written consent, or (y) one (1) business day’s notice if the Investor provides written notice of a Material Adverse Effect to the Company, and such Material Adverse Effect continues for a period of ten (10) Trading Days after the receipt by the Company of such notice.

(b) The Investor may terminate this Agreement upon one (1) business day’s notice to the Company at any time in the event that the Registration Statement is not initially declared effective in accordance with the Registration Rights Agreement, provided, however, that in the event the Registration Statement is declared effective prior to the delivery of such notice, the Investor shall thereafter have no right to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Section 8.2(b).
(c) The Company may terminate this Agreement upon one (1) business day’s notice; provided, however, that the Company shall not terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Section 8.2(c) during any Draw Down Pricing Period; provided further, that, in the event of any termination of this Agreement by the Company hereunder, so long as the Investor owns Shares purchased hereunder and/or Warrant Shares, unless all of such shares of Common Stock may be resold by the Investor without registration and without any time, volume or manner limitations pursuant to Rule 144(k) (or any similar provision then in effect) under the Securities Act, the Company shall not suspend or withdraw the Registration Statement or otherwise cause the Registration Statement to become ineffective, or voluntarily delist the Common Stock from, the Principal Market without listing the Common Stock on another Principal Market.

(d) Each of the parties hereto may terminate this Agreement upon one (1) day’s notice if the other party has breached a material representation, warranty or covenant to this Agreement and such breach is not remedied within ten (10) Trading Days after notice of such breach is delivered to the breaching party.

Section 8.3 Effect of Termination. In the event of termination by the Company or the Investor, written notice thereof shall forthwith be given to the other party and the transactions contemplated by this Agreement shall be terminated without further action by either party. If this Agreement is terminated as provided in Section 8.1 or 8.2 herein, this Agreement shall become void and of no further force and effect, except as provided in Section 10.13. Nothing in this Section 8.3 shall be deemed to release the Company or the Investor from any liability for any breach under this Agreement occurring prior to such termination, or to impair the rights of the Company and the Investor to compel specific performance by the other party of its obligations under this Agreement arising prior to such termination.

ARTICLE IX
INDEMNIFICATION

Section 9.1 Indemnification.

(a) Except as otherwise provided in this Article IX, unless disputed as set forth in Section 9.2, the Company agrees to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Investor and its affiliates and their respective officers, directors, agents, employees, subsidiaries, partners, members and controlling persons (each, an "Investor Indemnified Party"), to the fullest extent permitted by law from and against any and all Damages directly resulting from or directly arising out of any breach of any representation or warranty, covenant or agreement (except as otherwise specifically provided) by the Company in this Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement or the Warrant; provided, however, that the Company shall not be liable under this Article IX to an Investor Indemnified Party to the extent that such Damages resulted or arose from the breach by an Investor Indemnified Party of any representation, warranty, covenant or agreement of an Investor Indemnified Party contained in this Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement or the Warrant or the negligence, recklessness, willful misconduct or bad faith of an Investor Indemnified Party. The parties intend that any Damages subject to indemnification pursuant to this Article IX will be net of insurance proceeds (which the Investor Indemnified Party agrees to use commercially reasonable efforts to recover). Accordingly, the amount which the Company is required to pay to any Investor Indemnified Party hereunder (a "Company Indemnity"
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Payment”) will be reduced by any insurance proceeds actually recovered by or on behalf of any Investor Indemnified Party in reduction of the related Damages. In addition, if an Investor Indemnified Party receives a Company Indemnity Payment required by this Article IX in respect of any Damages and subsequently receives any such insurance proceeds, then the Investor Indemnified Party will pay to the Company an amount equal to the Company Indemnity Payment received less the amount of the Company Indemnity Payment that would have been due if the insurance proceeds had been received, realized or recovered before the Company Indemnity Payment was made.

(b) Except as otherwise provided in this Article IX, unless disputed as set forth in Section 9.2, the Investor agrees to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Company and its affiliates and their respective officers, directors, agents, employees, subsidiaries, partners, members and controlling persons (each, a “Company Indemnified Party”), to the fullest extent permitted by law from and against any and all Damages directly resulting from or directly arising out of any breach of any representation or warranty, covenant or agreement by the Investor in this Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement or the Warrant; provided, however, that the Investor shall not be liable under this Article IX to a Company Indemnified Party to the extent that such Damages resulted or arose from the breach by a Company Indemnified Party of any representation, warranty, covenant or agreement of a Company Indemnified Party contained in this Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement or the Warrant or the negligence, recklessness, willful misconduct or bad faith of a Company Indemnified Party. The parties intend that any Damages subject to indemnification pursuant to this Article IX will be net of insurance proceeds (which the Company agrees to use commercially reasonable efforts to recover). Accordingly, the amount which the Investor is required to pay to any Company Indemnified Party hereunder (an “Investor Indemnity Payment”) will be reduced by any insurance proceeds theretofore actually recovered by or on behalf of any Company Indemnified Party in reduction of the related Damages. In addition, if a Company Indemnified Party receives an Investor Indemnity Payment required by this Article IX in respect of any Damages and subsequently receives any such insurance proceeds, then the Company Indemnified Party will pay to the Investor an amount equal to the Investor Indemnity Payment received less the amount of the Investor Indemnity Payment that would have been due if the insurance proceeds had been received, realized or recovered before the Investor Indemnity Payment was made.

Section 9.2 Notification of Claims for Indemnification. Each party entitled to indemnification under this Article IX (an “Indemnified Party”) shall, promptly after the receipt of notice of the commencement of any claim against such Indemnified Party in respect of which indemnity may be sought from the party obligated to indemnify such Indemnified Party under this Article IX (the “Indemnifying Party”), notify the Indemnifying Party in writing of the commencement thereof. Any such notice shall describe the claim in reasonable detail. The failure of any Indemnified Party to so notify the Indemnifying Party of any such action shall not relieve the Indemnifying Party from any liability which it may have to such Indemnified Party (a) other than pursuant to this Article IX or (b) under this Article IX unless, and only to the extent that, such failure results in the Indemnifying Party’s forfeiture of substantive rights or defenses or the Indemnifying Party is prejudiced by such delay. The procedures listed below shall govern the procedures for the handling of indemnification claims.
(a) Any claim for indemnification for Damages that do not result from a Third Party Claim as defined in the following paragraph, shall be asserted by written notice given by the Indemnified Party to the Indemnifying Party. Such Indemnifying Party shall have a period of thirty (30) days after the receipt of such notice within which to respond thereto. If such Indemnifying Party does not respond within such thirty (30) day period, such Indemnifying Party shall be deemed to have refused to accept responsibility to make payment as set forth in Section 9.1. If such Indemnifying Party does not respond within such thirty (30) day period or rejects such claim in whole or in part, the Indemnified Party shall be free to pursue such remedies as specified in this Agreement.

(b) If an Indemnified Party shall receive notice or otherwise learn of the assertion by a person or entity not a party to this Agreement of any threatened legal action or claim (collectively a “Third Party Claim”), with respect to which an Indemnifying Party may be obligated to provide indemnification, the Indemnified Party shall give such Indemnifying Party written notice thereof within twenty (20) days after becoming aware of such Third Party Claim.

(c) An Indemnifying Party may elect to defend (and, unless the Indemnifying Party has specified any reservations or exceptions, to seek to settle or compromise) at such Indemnifying Party’s own expense and by such Indemnifying Party’s own counsel, any Third Party Claim. Within thirty (30) days after the receipt of notice from an Indemnified Party (or sooner if the nature of such Third Party Claim so requires), the Indemnifying Party shall notify the Indemnified Party whether the Indemnifying Party will assume responsibility for defending such Third Party Claim, which election shall specify any reservations or exceptions. If such Indemnifying Party does not respond within such thirty (30) day period or rejects such claim in whole or in part, the Indemnified Party shall be free to pursue such remedies as specified in this Agreement. In case any such Third Party Claim shall be brought against any Indemnified Party, and it shall notify the Indemnifying Party of the commencement thereof, the Indemnifying Party shall be entitled to assume the defense thereof at its own expense, with counsel satisfactory to such Indemnified Party in its reasonable judgment; provided, however, that any Indemnified Party may, at its own expense, retain separate counsel to participate in such defense at its own expense. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in any Third Party Claim in which both the Indemnifying Party, on the one hand, and an Indemnified Party, on the other hand, are, or are reasonably likely to become, a party, such Indemnified Party shall have the right to employ separate counsel and to control its own defense of such claim if, in the reasonable opinion of counsel to such Indemnified Party, either (x) one or more significant defenses are available to the Indemnified Party that are not available to the Indemnifying Party or (y) a conflict or potential conflict exists between the Indemnifying Party, on the one hand, and such Indemnified Party, on the other hand, that would make such separate representation advisable; provided, however, that in such circumstances the Indemnifying Party (i) shall not be liable for the fees and expenses of more than one counsel to all Indemnified Parties and (ii) shall reimburse the Indemnified Parties for such reasonable fees and expenses of such counsel incurred in any such Third Party Claim, as such expenses are incurred, provided that the Indemnified Parties agree to repay such amounts if it is ultimately determined that the Indemnifying Party was not obligated to provide indemnification under this Article IX. The Indemnifying Party agrees that it shall not, without the prior written consent of the Indemnified Party, settle, compromise or consent to the entry of any judgment in any pending or threatened claim relating to the matters contemplated hereby (if
any Indemnified Party is a party thereto or has been actually threatened to be made a party thereto) unless such settlement, compromise or consent includes an unconditional release of such Indemnified Party from all liability arising or that may arise out of such claim. The Indemnifying Party shall not be liable for any settlement of any claim effected against an Indemnified Party without the Indemnifying Party’s written consent, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed. The rights accorded to an Indemnified Party hereunder shall be in addition to any rights that any Indemnified Party may have at common law, by separate agreement or otherwise; provided, however, that notwithstanding the foregoing or anything to the contrary contained in this Agreement, nothing in this Article IX shall restrict or limit any rights that any Indemnified Party may have to seek equitable relief.
ARTICLE X
MISCELLANEOUS

Section 10.1 Fees and Expenses

(a) Each of the Company and the Investor agrees to pay its own expenses incident to the performance of its obligations hereunder, except that the Company shall be solely responsible for (i) all reasonable attorneys fees and legal expenses incurred by the Investor in connection with the preparation, negotiation, execution and delivery of this Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement and the Warrant, and review of the Registration Statement, and in connection with any amendments, modifications or waivers of this Agreement, (ii) subject in all cases to Section 10.1(b) hereof, all reasonable fees and expenses incurred in connection with the Investor’s enforcement of this Agreement, including, without limitation, all reasonable attorneys fees and legal expenses, (iii) due diligence expenses incurred by the Investor during the term of this Agreement equal to $12,500 per calendar quarter, and (iv) all stamp or other similar taxes and duties, if any, levied in connection with issuance of the Shares pursuant hereto; provided, however, that in each of the above instances the Investor shall provide customary supporting invoices or similar documentation in reasonable detail describing such expenses (however, the Investor shall not be obligated to provide detailed time sheets); and provided further, that the maximum aggregate amount payable by the Company pursuant to clauses (i) above shall be $75,000 and the Investor shall bear all fees and expenses in excess of $75,000 in connection with the events described in clause (i) above.

(b) If any action at law or in equity is necessary to enforce or interpret the terms of this Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement or the Warrant, the prevailing party shall be entitled to reasonable fees, costs and necessary disbursements in addition to any other relief to which such party may be entitled.

Section 10.2 Reporting Entity for the Common Stock. The reporting entity relied upon for the determination of the trading price or trading volume of the Common Stock on any given Trading Day for the purposes of this Agreement shall be Bloomberg, L.P. or any successor thereto. The written mutual consent of the Investor and the Company shall be required to employ any other reporting entity.

Section 10.3 Brokerage. Each of the parties hereto represents that it has had no dealings in connection with this transaction with any finder or broker who will demand payment of any fee or commission from the other party. The Company on the one hand, and the Investor, on the other hand, agree to indemnify the other against and hold the other harmless from any and all liabilities to any Persons claiming brokerage commissions or finder’s fees on account of services purported to have been rendered on behalf of the indemnifying party in connection with this Agreement or the transactions contemplated hereby.
Section 10.4 Notices. All notices, demands, requests, consents, approvals, and other communications required or permitted hereunder shall be in writing and, unless otherwise specified herein, shall be (i) personally served, (ii) deposited in the mail, registered or certified, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, (iii) delivered by reputable air courier service with charges prepaid, or (iv) transmitted by hand delivery, telegram, or facsimile, addressed as set forth below or to such other address as such party shall have specified most recently by written notice given in accordance herewith, in each case with a copy to the e-mail address set forth beside the facsimile number for the addressee below. Any notice or other communication required or permitted to be given hereunder shall be deemed effective (a) upon hand delivery or delivery by facsimile, with accurate confirmation generated by the transmitting facsimile machine, at the address or number designated below (if delivered on a business day during normal business hours where such notice is to be received), or the first business day following such delivery if delivered other than on a business day during normal business hours where such notice is to be received) or (b) on the second business day following the date of mailing by express courier service, fully prepaid, addressed to such address, or upon actual receipt of such mailing, whichever shall first occur. The addresses for such communications shall be:

If to the Company:
Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated
149 Commonwealth Drive
Menlo Park, CA 94025
Facsimile: (650) 327-3218
Attention: Chief Executive Officer

with a copy (which shall not constitute notice) to:
Latham & Watkins LLP
140 Scott Drive
Menlo Park, CA 94025
Facsimile: (650) 463-4693
Attention: Alan C. Mendelson, Esq.

If to the Investor:
Kingsbridge Capital Limited
Attention: Mr. Tony Hillman
P.O. Box 1075
Elizabeth House
9 Castle Street
St. Helier
Jersey
JE42QP
Channel Islands
Telephone: 011-44-1534-636-041
Facsimile: 011-44-1534-636-042
Email: admin@kingsbridgecap.com; and adamgurney@kingsbridgecap.com

with a copy (which shall not constitute notice) to:
Either party hereto may from time to time change its address for notices under this Section by giving at least ten (10) days’ prior written notice of such changed address to the other party hereto.

Section 10.5 Assignment. Neither this Agreement nor any rights of the Investor or the Company hereunder may be assigned by either party to any other Person.

Section 10.6 Amendment; No Waiver. No party shall be liable or bound to any other party in any manner by any warranties, representations or covenants except as specifically set forth in this Agreement, the Warrant and the Registration Rights Agreement. Except as expressly provided in this Agreement, neither this Agreement nor any term hereof may be amended, waived, discharged or terminated other than by a written instrument signed by both parties hereto. The failure of either party to insist on strict compliance with this Agreement, or to exercise any right or remedy under this Agreement, shall not constitute a waiver of any rights provided under this Agreement, nor estop the parties from thereafter demanding full and complete compliance nor prevent the parties from exercising such a right or remedy in the future.

Section 10.7 Entire Agreement. This Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement and the Warrant set forth the entire agreement and understanding of the parties relating to the subject matter hereof and supersedes all prior and contemporaneous agreements, negotiations and understandings between the parties, both oral and written, relating to the subject matter hereof.

Section 10.8 Severability. If any provision of this Agreement becomes or is declared by a court of competent jurisdiction to be illegal, unenforceable or void, this Agreement shall continue in full force and effect without said provision; provided that, if the severance of such provision materially changes the economic benefits of this Agreement to either party as such benefits are anticipated as of the date hereof, then such party may terminate this Agreement on five (5) business days prior written notice to the other party. In such event, the Registration
Rights Agreement will terminate simultaneously with the termination of this Agreement; provided that in the event that this Agreement is terminated by the Company in accordance with this Section 10.8 and the Warrant Shares either have not been registered for resale by the Investor in accordance with the Registration Rights Agreement or are otherwise not freely tradable (if and when issued) in accordance with applicable law, then the Registration Rights Agreement in respect of the registration of the Warrant Shares shall remain in full force and effect.

Section 10.9 **Title and Subtitles.** The titles and subtitles used in this Agreement are used for the convenience of reference and are not to be considered in construing or interpreting this Agreement.

Section 10.10 **Counterparts.** This Agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which may be executed by less than all of the parties and shall be deemed to be an original instrument which shall be enforceable against the parties actually executing such counterparts and all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

Section 10.11 **Choice of Law.** This Agreement shall be construed under the laws of the State of New York.

Section 10.12 **Specific Enforcement, Consent to Jurisdiction.**

(a) The Company and the Investor acknowledge and agree that irreparable damage would occur in the event that any of the provisions of this Agreement were not performed in accordance with their specific terms or were otherwise breached. It is accordingly agreed that either party shall be entitled to an injunction or injunctions to prevent or cure breaches of the provisions of this Agreement by the other party and to enforce specifically the terms and provisions hereof or thereof, this being in addition to any other remedy to which either party may be entitled by law or equity.

(b) Each of the Company and the Investor (i) hereby irrevocably submits to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court and other courts of the United States sitting in the State of New York for the purposes of any suit, action or proceeding arising out of or relating to this Agreement and (ii) hereby waives, and agrees not to assert in any such suit, action or proceeding any claim that it is not personally subject to the jurisdiction of such court, that the suit, action or proceeding is brought in an inconvenient forum or that the venue of the suit, action or proceeding is improper. Each of the Company and the Investor consents to process being served in any such suit, action or proceeding by mailing a copy thereof to such party at the address in effect for notices to it under this Agreement and agrees that such service shall constitute good and sufficient service of process and notice thereof. Nothing in this Section 10.12 shall affect or limit any right to serve process in any other manner permitted by law.

Section 10.13 **Survival.** The representations and warranties of the Company and the Investor contained in Articles IV and V and the covenants contained in Article V and Article VI shall survive the execution and delivery hereof and the Closing until the termination of this Agreement, and the agreements and covenants set forth in Article VIII and Article IX of this Agreement shall survive the execution and delivery hereof and the Closing hereunder.
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Section 10.14 **Publicity.** Except as otherwise required by applicable law or regulation, or NASDAQ rule or judicial process, prior to the Closing, neither the Company nor the Investor shall issue any press release or otherwise make any public statement or announcement with respect to this Agreement or the transactions contemplated hereby or the existence of this Agreement. In the event the Company is required by law, regulation, NASDAQ rule or judicial process, based upon reasonable advice of the Company’s counsel, to issue a press release or otherwise make a public statement or announcement with respect to this Agreement prior to the Closing, the Company shall consult with the Investor on the form and substance of such press release, statement or announcement. Promptly after the Closing, each party may issue a press release or otherwise make a public statement or announcement with respect to this Agreement or the transactions contemplated hereby or the existence of this Agreement; provided that, prior to issuing any such press release, making any such public statement or announcement, the party wishing to make such release, statement or announcement consults and cooperates in good faith with the other party in order to formulate such press release, public statement or announcement in form and substance reasonably acceptable to both parties.

Section 10.15 **Further Assurances.** From and after the date of this Agreement, upon the request of the Investor or the Company, each of the Company and the Investor shall execute and deliver such instruments, documents and other writings as may be reasonably necessary or desirable to confirm and carry out and to effectuate fully the intent and purposes of this Agreement.

[Remainder of this page intentionally left blank]
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IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their respective authorized officer as of the date first written.

KINGSBRIDGE CAPITAL LIMITED

By: /s/ Adam Gurney
Adam Gurney
Managing Director

CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED

By: /s/ Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D.
Name: Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D.
Title: Chief Executive Officer
Exhibit A

Form of Registration Rights Agreement
Exhibit B
Form of Warrant
Reference is hereby made to that certain Common Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of March __, 2008 (the “Agreement”) by and between Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (the “Company”), and Kingsbridge Capital Limited, an entity organized and existing under the laws of the British Virgin Islands (the “Investor”). Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings given such terms in the Agreement.

In accordance with and pursuant to Section 3.1 of the Agreement, the Company hereby issues this Draw Down Notice to the Investor pursuant to the terms set forth below.

Draw Down Amount: $_________; and

First Trading Day of Draw Down Pricing Period: __________, 200[ _].
Enclosed with this Draw Down Notice is an executed copy of the Officer’s Certificate described in Section 3.1 of the Agreement, the base form of which is attached to such Agreement as Exhibit D.

Exhibit D
Officer’s Certificate

I, [NAME OF OFFICER], do hereby certify to Kingsbridge Capital Limited (the “Investor”), with respect to the common stock of Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated (the “Company”) issuable in connection with the Draw Down Notice, dated (the “Notice”) attached hereto and delivered pursuant to Article III of the Common Stock Purchase Agreement, dated March __, 2008 (the “Agreement”), by and between the Company and the Investor, as follows (capitalized terms used but undefined herein have the meanings given to such terms in the Agreement):

1. I am the duly elected [OFFICER] of the Company.

2. The representations and warranties of the Company set forth in Article IV of the Agreement are true and correct in all material respects as though made on and as of the date hereof (except for such representations and warranties that are made as of a particular date).

3. The Company has performed in all material respects all covenants and agreements to be performed by the Company on or prior to the date hereof related to the Notice and has satisfied each of the conditions to the obligation of the Investor set forth in Article VII of the Agreement.

4. Assuming confirmation by the Investor of the representations and agreements contained in Section 5.10 of the Agreement, the Shares issuable in respect of the Notice will be delivered without restrictive legend via book entry through the Depositary Trust Company to an account designated by the Investor.

The undersigned has executed this Certificate this _____ day of, 200[ ].

Name: __________________________________________

Title: __________________________________________
REGISTRATION RIGHTS AGREEMENT

This REGISTRATION RIGHTS AGREEMENT (this “Agreement”), dated as of March 25, 2008, is by and between CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED (the “Company”) and KINGSBRIDGE CAPITAL LIMITED (the “Investor”).

WHEREAS, the Company and the Investor have entered into that certain Common Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of the date hereof (the “Purchase Agreement”), pursuant to which the Company may issue, from time to time, to the Investor up to $60 million worth of shares of Common Stock as provided for therein;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the terms of, and in partial consideration for the Investor entering into, the Purchase Agreement, the Company has issued to the Investor a warrant, exercisable from time to time, in accordance with its terms, within five (5) years following the six-month anniversary of the date of issuance (the “Warrant”) for the purchase of an aggregate of up to 330,000 shares of Common Stock at a price specified in such Warrant;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the terms of, and in partial consideration for, the Investor’s agreement to enter into the Purchase Agreement, the Company has agreed to provide the Investor with certain registration rights with respect to the Registrable Securities (as defined in the Purchase Agreement) as set forth herein;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises, the representations, warranties, covenants and agreements contained herein, in the Warrant, and in the Purchase Agreement, and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, intending to be legally bound hereby, the parties hereto agree as follows (capitalized terms used herein and not defined herein shall have the respective meanings ascribed to them in the Purchase Agreement):

ARTICLE I
REGISTRATION RIGHTS

Section 1.1 Registration Statement

(a) Filing of the Registration Statement. Upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in this Agreement, the Company shall file with the Commission within sixty (60) calendar days after the Closing Date a registration statement on Form S-1 under the Securities Act or such other form as deemed appropriate by counsel to the Company for the registration for the resale by the Investor of the Registrable Securities (the “Registration Statement”).

(b) Effectiveness of the Registration Statement. The Company shall use commercially reasonable efforts (i) to have the Registration Statement declared effective by the Commission as soon as reasonably practicable, but in any event no later than one hundred eighty (180) calendar days after the Closing Date and (ii) to ensure that the Registration Statement remains in effect throughout the term of this Agreement as set forth in Section 4.2, subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement.
(c) **Regulatory Disapproval.** The contemplated effective date for the Registration Statement as described in Section 1.1(b) shall be extended without default or liquidated damages hereunder or under the Purchase Agreement in the event that the Company’s failure to obtain the effectiveness of the Registration Statement on a timely basis results from (i) the Commission’s disapproval of the structure of the transactions contemplated by the Purchase Agreement, or (ii) events or circumstances that are not in any way attributable to the Company. In the event of clause (i) above, the parties agree to cooperate with one another in good faith to arrive at a resolution acceptable to the Commission.

(d) **Failure to Maintain Effectiveness of Registration Statement.** In the event the Company fails to maintain the effectiveness of the Registration Statement (or the Prospectus) throughout the period set forth in Section 4.2, other than temporary suspensions during Blackout Periods as set forth in Section 1.1(e) and the Investor holds any Registrable Securities at any time during the period of such ineffectiveness (an “Ineffective Period”), and provided that such failure to maintain effectiveness was within the reasonable control of the Company (for the avoidance of doubt, the suspension of effectiveness of the Registration Statement as the result of filing a post-effective amendment to the Registration Statement when required pursuant to Section 10(a)(3) under the Securities Act or Item 512(a)(1) of Regulation S-K shall be deemed not to be within the reasonable control of the Company), the Company shall pay on demand to the Investor in immediately available funds into an account designated by the Investor an amount equal to the product of (i) the total number of Registrable Securities issued to the Investor under the Purchase Agreement (which, for the avoidance of doubt, shall not include any Warrant Shares) and owned by the Investor at any time during such Ineffective Period (and not otherwise sold, hypothecated or transferred) and (ii) the result, if greater than zero, obtained by subtracting the VWAP on the Trading Day immediately following the last day of such Ineffective Period from the VWAP on the Trading Day immediately preceding the day on which any such Ineffective Period began; provided, however, that (A) the foregoing payments shall not apply in respect of Registrable Securities (I) that are otherwise freely tradable by the Investor, including pursuant to Rule 144 under the Securities Act (as such Rule may be amended from time to time, “Rule 144”) or (II) if the Company offers to repurchase from the Investor such Registrable Securities for a per share purchase price equal to the VWAP on the Trading Day immediately preceding the day on which any such Ineffective Period began and (B) unless otherwise required by any applicable federal and state securities laws, the Company shall be under no obligation to supplement the Prospectus to reflect the issuance of any Shares pursuant to a Draw Down at any time prior to the day following the Settlement Date with respect to such Shares and that the failure to supplement the Prospectus prior to such time shall not be deemed a failure to maintain the effectiveness of the Registration Statement (or Prospectus) for purposes of this Agreement (including this Section 1.1(d)).

(e) **Deferral or Suspension During a Blackout Period.** Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 1.1(d), if in the good faith judgment of the Company, following consultation with legal counsel, it would be detrimental to the Company or its stockholders for the Registration Statement to be filed or for resales of Registrable Securities to be made pursuant to the Registration Statement due to (i) the existence of a material development or potential material development involving the Company that the Company would be obligated to disclose in the Registration Statement and which the Company has not disclosed, or which disclosure would be premature or otherwise inadvisable at such time or would have a Material Adverse Effect on the Company or its stockholders, or (ii) a filing of a Company-initiated registration of any class of its equity securities,
which, in the good faith judgment of the Company, would adversely effect or require premature disclosure of the filing of such Company-initiated registration (notice thereof, a "Blackout Notice"), the Company shall have the right to (A) immediately defer such filing for a period of not more than sixty (60) days beyond the date by which such Registration Statement was otherwise required hereunder to be filed or (B) suspend use of such Registration Statement for a period of not more than thirty (30) days (any such deferral or suspension period, a "Blackout Period"). The Company may not utilize any of its rights under this Section 1.1(e) to defer the filing of a Registration Statement (or suspend its effectiveness) more than six (6) times in any twelve (12) month period. In the event that, within fifteen (15) Trading Days following any Settlement Date, the Company gives a Blackout Notice to the Investor and the VWAP on the Trading Day immediately preceding such Blackout Period ("Old VWAP") is greater than the VWAP on the first Trading Day following such Blackout Period that the Investor may sell its Registrable Securities pursuant to an effective Registration Statement ("New VWAP"), then the Company shall pay to the Investor, by wire transfer of immediately available funds to an account designated by the Investor, the Blackout Amount. For the purposes of this Agreement, "Blackout Amount" means the product of (i) the number of Registrable Securities purchased by the Investor pursuant to the most recent Draw Down and actually held by the Investor immediately prior to the Blackout Period and (ii) the result, if greater than zero, obtained by subtracting the New VWAP from the Old VWAP, provided, however, that no Blackout Amount shall be payable in respect of Registrable Securities (A) that are otherwise freely tradable to United States Persons by the Investor, including under Rule 144, during the Blackout Period, or (B) if the Company offers to repurchase from the Investor such Registrable Securities for a per share purchase price equal to the VWAP on the Trading Day immediately preceding the day on which any such Blackout Period began. For any Blackout Period in respect of which a Blackout Amount becomes due and payable, rather than paying the Blackout Amount, the Company may at its sole discretion, issue to the Investor shares of Common Stock with an aggregate market value determined as of the first Trading Day following such Blackout Period equal to the Blackout Amount ("Blackout Shares").

(f) Liquidated Damages. The Company and the Investor hereto acknowledge and agree that the amounts payable under Sections 1.1(d) and 1.1(e) and the Blackout Shares deliverable under Section 1.1(e) above shall constitute liquidated damages and not penalties. The parties further acknowledge that (i) the amount of loss or damages likely to be incurred by the Investor is incapable or is difficult to precisely estimate, (ii) the amounts specified in such subsections bear a reasonable proportion and are not plainly or grossly disproportionate to the probable loss likely to be incurred in connection with any failure by the Company to obtain or maintain the effectiveness of the Registration Statement, (iii) one of the reasons for the Company and the Investor reaching an agreement as to such amounts was the uncertainty and cost of litigation regarding the question of actual damages, and (iv) the Company and the Investor are sophisticated business parties and have been represented by sophisticated and able legal and financial counsel and negotiated this Agreement at arm’s length. The Investor further agrees that, if the Company makes the payments provided in Sections 1.1(d) and 1.1(e), the Company’s deferral or suspension of the Registration Statement shall not constitute a material breach or default of any obligation of the Company to the Investor.

(g) Additional Registration Statements. In the event and to the extent that the Registration Statement fails to register a sufficient amount of Common Stock necessary for the Company to issue and sell to the Investor and the Investor to purchase from the Company all of the
Registrable Securities to be issued, sold and purchased under the Purchase Agreement and the Warrant, the Company shall, upon a timetable mutually agreeable to both the Company and the Investor, prepare and file with the Commission an additional registration statement or statements in order to effectuate the purpose of this Agreement, the Purchase Agreement, and the Warrant.

(h) Discontinued Disposition. The Investor acknowledges that it would be seriously detrimental to the Investor, the Company and the Company’s stockholders for a Registration Statement to be filed (or remain in effect) during the occurrence of any event described in clauses (i) and (ii) of the first sentence of Section 1.1(e) and that it is therefore essential to defer such filing (or suspend the use thereof) during such events. The Investor agrees that, after written notice from the Company, it will cease any disposition of the Registrable Securities during the occurrence of such events and until notified by the Company in writing that the Investor may resume disposition of the Registrable Securities pursuant to the Registration Statement.

ARTICLE II REGISTRATION PROCEDURES

Section 2.1 Filings; Information. The Company shall effect the registration with respect to the sale of the Registrable Securities by the Investor in accordance with the intended methods of disposition thereof. Without limiting the foregoing, the Company in each such case will do the following as expeditiously as possible, but in no event later than the deadline, if any, prescribed therefor in this Agreement:

(a) Subject to Section 1.1(e), the Company shall (i) prepare and file with the Commission the Registration Statement; (ii) use commercially reasonable efforts to cause such filed Registration Statement to become and to remain effective (pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act or otherwise); (iii) prepare and file with the Commission such amendments and supplements to the Registration Statement and the Prospectus used in connection therewith as may be necessary to keep such Registration Statement effective for the time period prescribed by Section 4.2 and in order to effectuate the purpose of this Agreement, the Purchase Agreement, and the Warrant; and (iv) comply with the provisions of the Securities Act with respect to the disposition of all securities covered by such Registration Statement during such period in accordance with the intended methods of disposition by the Investor set forth in such Registration Statement; provided, however, that the Investor shall be responsible for the delivery of the Prospectus to the Persons to whom the Investor sells the Shares and the Warrant Shares, and the Investor agrees to dispose of Registrable Securities in compliance with the plan of distribution described in the Registration Statement and otherwise in compliance with applicable federal and state securities laws.

(b) The Company shall deliver to the Investor and its counsel, in accordance with the notice provisions of Section 4.8, such number of copies of the Registration Statement, each amendment and supplement thereto (in each case including all exhibits thereto), the Prospectus (including each preliminary prospectus) and such other documents or information as the Investor or counsel may reasonably request in order to facilitate the disposition of the Registrable Securities, provided, however, that to the extent reasonably practicable, such delivery may be accomplished via electronic means.
(c) After the filing of the Registration Statement, the Company shall promptly notify the Investor of any stop order issued or threatened by the Commission in connection therewith and take commercially reasonable actions required to prevent the entry of such stop order or to remove it if entered.

(d) The Company shall use commercially reasonable efforts to (i) register or qualify the Registrable Securities under such other securities or blue sky laws of each jurisdiction in the United States as the Investor may reasonably (in light of its intended plan of distribution) request, and (ii) cause the Registrable Securities to be registered with or approved by such other governmental agencies or authorities in the United States as may be necessary by virtue of the business and operations of the Company and do any and all other customary acts and things that may be reasonably necessary or advisable to enable the Investor to consummate the disposition of the Registrable Securities; provided, however, that the Company will not be required to qualify generally to do business in any jurisdiction where it would not otherwise be required to qualify but for this Section 2.1(d), subject itself to taxation in any such jurisdiction, consent or subject itself to general service of process in any such jurisdiction, change any existing business practices, benefit plans or outstanding securities or amend or otherwise modify the Certificate or Bylaws.

(e) The Company shall make available to the Investor (and will deliver to Investor’s counsel), (i) subject to restrictions imposed by the United States federal government or any agency or instrumentality thereof, copies of all public correspondence between the Commission and the Company concerning the Registration Statement and will also make available for inspection by the Investor and any attorney, accountant or other professional retained by the Investor (collectively, the “Inspectors”), (ii) upon reasonable advance notice during normal business hours all financial and other records, pertinent corporate documents and properties of the Company (collectively, the “Records”) as shall be reasonably necessary to enable them to exercise their due diligence responsibility, and cause the Company’s officers and employees to supply all information reasonably requested by any Inspectors in connection with the Registration Statement; provided, however, that (i) the Company shall not be obligated to disclose any portion of the Records consisting of either (A) material non-public information or (B) confidential information of a third party and (ii) any such Inspectors must agree in writing for the benefit of the Company not to use or disclose any such Records except as provided in this Section 2.1(e). Records that the Company determines, in good faith, to be confidential and that it notifies the Inspectors are confidential shall not be disclosed by the Inspectors unless the disclosure or release of such Records is requested or required pursuant to oral questions, interrogatories, requests for information or documents or a subpoena or other order from a court of competent jurisdiction or other judicial or governmental process; provided, however, that prior to any disclosure or release pursuant to the immediately preceding clause, the Inspectors shall provide the Company with prompt notice of any such request or requirement so that the Company may seek an appropriate protective order or waive such Inspectors’ obligation not to disclose such Records; and, provided, further, that if failing the entry of a protective order or the waiver by the Company permitting the disclosure or release of such Records, the Inspectors, upon advice of counsel, are compelled to disclose such Records, the Inspectors may disclose that portion of the Records that counsel has advised the Inspectors that the Inspectors are compelled to disclose; provided, however, that upon any such required disclosure, such Inspector shall use his or her best efforts to obtain reasonable assurances that confidential treatment will be afforded such information. The Investor agrees that information obtained by it solely as a result of such inspections (not including any information obtained from a third party who,
insofar as is known to the Investor after reasonable inquiry, is not prohibited from providing such information by a contractual, legal or fiduciary obligation to the Company) shall be deemed confidential and shall not be used for any purposes other than as indicated above or by it as the basis for any market transactions in the securities of the Company or its affiliates unless and until such information is made generally available to the public. The Investor further agrees that it will, upon learning that disclosure of such Records is sought in a court of competent jurisdiction, give notice to the Company and allow the Company, at its expense, to undertake appropriate action to prevent disclosure of the Records deemed confidential.

(f) The Company shall otherwise comply in all material respects with all applicable rules and regulations of the Commission, including, without limitation, compliance with applicable reporting requirements under the Exchange Act.

(g) The Company shall appoint a transfer agent and registrar for all of the Registrable Securities covered by such Registration Statement not later than the effective date of such Registration Statement.

(h) The Company shall cooperate with the Company, as reasonably requested by the Company, in connection with the preparation and filing of any Registration Statement hereunder. The Company may require the Investor to promptly furnish in writing to the Company such information as may be required in connection with such registration including, without limitation, all such information as may be requested by the Commission, the NASDAQ Stock Market or FINRA or any state securities commission and all such information regarding the Investor, the Registrable Securities held by the Investor and the intended method of disposition of the Registrable Securities. The Investor agrees to provide such information requested in connection with such registration within five (5) business days after receiving such written request and the Company shall not be responsible for any delays in obtaining or maintaining the effectiveness of the Registration Statement caused by the Investor’s failure to timely provide such information.

(i) Upon receipt of a Blackout Notice from the Company, the Investor shall immediately discontinue disposition of Registrable Securities pursuant to the Registration Statement covering such Registrable Securities until (i) the Company advises the Investor that the Blackout Period has terminated and (ii) the Investor receives copies of a supplemented or amended prospectus, if necessary. If so directed by the Company, the Investor will deliver to the Company (at the expense of the Company) or destroy (and deliver to the Company a certificate of destruction) all copies in the Investor’s possession (other than a limited number of file copies) of the prospectus covering such Registrable Securities that is current at the time of receipt of such notice.

Section 2.2 Registration Expenses. Except as set forth in Section 10.1 of the Purchase Agreement, the Company shall pay all registration expenses incurred in connection with the Registration Statement (the “Registration Expenses”), including, without limitation: (a) all registration, filing, securities exchange listing and fees required by the NASDAQ Stock Market, (b) all registration, filing, qualification and other fees and expenses of compliance with securities or blue sky laws (including reasonable fees and disbursements of counsel in connection with blue sky qualifications of the Registrable Securities), (c) all word processing, duplicating, printing, messenger and delivery expenses, (d) the Company’s internal expenses (including, without limitation, all salaries and expenses of its officers and employees performing legal or accounting duties), (e) the
fees and expenses incurred by the Company in connection with the listing of the Registrable Securities, (f) reasonable fees and disbursements of counsel for
the Company and customary fees and expenses for independent certified public accountants retained by the Company (including the expenses of any special
audits or comfort letters or costs associated with the delivery by independent certified public accountants of such special audit(s) or comfort letter(s), (g) the
fees and expenses of any special experts retained by the Company in connection with such registration and amendments and supplements to the Registration
Statement and Prospectus, and (h) premiums and other costs of the Company for policies of insurance against liabilities arising out of any public offering of
the Registrable Securities being registered. Any fees and disbursements of underwriters, broker-dealers or investment bankers, including without limitation
underwriting fees, discounts, transfer taxes or commissions, and any other fees or expenses (including legal fees and expenses) if any, attributable to the sale
of Registrable Securities, shall be payable by each holder of Registrable Securities pro rata on the basis of the number of Registrable Securities of each such
holder that are included in a registration under this Agreement.

ARTICLE III
INDEMNIFICATION

Section 3.1 Indemnification. The Company agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the Investor, its partners, affiliates, officers, directors, employees and
duly authorized agents, and each Person or entity, if any, who controls the Investor within the meaning of Section 15 of the Securities Act or Section 20 of the
Exchange Act, together with the partners, affiliates, officers, directors, employees and duly authorized agents of such controlling Person or entity
(collectively, the “Controlling Persons”), from and against any loss, claim, damage, liability, costs and expenses (including, without limitation, reasonable
attorneys’ fees and disbursements and costs and expenses of investigating and defending any such claim) (collectively, “Damages”), joint or several, and any
action or proceeding in respect thereof to which the Investor, its partners, affiliates, officers, directors, employees and duly authorized agents, and any
Controlling Person, may become subject under the Securities Act or otherwise, as incurred, insofar as such Damages (or actions or proceedings in respect
thereof) arise out of, or are based upon, any untrue statement or alleged untrue statement of a material fact contained in any Registration Statement, or in any
preliminary prospectus, final prospectus, summary prospectus, amendment or supplement relating to the Registrable Securities or arises out of, or are based
upon, any omission or alleged omission to state therein a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein under the
circumstances not misleading, and shall reimburse the Investor, its partners, affiliates, officers, directors, employees and duly authorized agents, and each
such Controlling Person, for any legal and other expenses reasonably incurred by the Investor, its partners, affiliates, officers, directors, employees and duly
authorized agents, or any such Controlling Person, as incurred, in investigating or defending or preparing to defend against any such Damages or actions or
proceedings; provided, however, that the Company shall not be liable to the extent that any such Damages arise out of the Investor’s (or any other
indemnified Person’s) failure to send or give a copy of the final prospectus or supplement (as then amended or supplemented) to the persons asserting an
untrue statement or alleged untrue statement or omission or alleged omission at or prior to the written confirmation of the sale of Registrable Securities to
such person if such statement or omission was corrected in such final prospectus or supplement; provided, further, that the Company shall not be liable to the
extent that any such Damages arise out of or are based upon an untrue statement or alleged untrue
statement or omission or alleged omission made in such Registration Statement, or any such preliminary prospectus, final prospectus, summary prospectus, amendment or supplement in reliance upon and in conformity with written information furnished to the Company by or on behalf of the Investor or any other person who participates as an underwriter in the offering or sale of such securities, in either case, specifically stating that it is for use in the preparation thereof. In connection with any Registration Statement with respect to which the Investor is participating, the Investor will indemnify and hold harmless, to the same extent and in the same manner as set forth in the preceding paragraph, the Company, each of its partners, affiliates, officers, directors, employees and duly authorized agents of such controlling Person (each a “Company Indemnified Person”) against any Damages to which any Company Indemnified Person may become subject under the Securities Act, the Exchange Act or otherwise, insofar as such Damages arise out of or are based upon (a) any untrue statement or alleged untrue statement of a material fact contained in any Registration Statement, or in any preliminary prospectus, final prospectus, summary prospectus, amendment or supplement relating to the Registrable Securities or arise out of, or are based upon, any omission or alleged omission to state therein a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein under the circumstances not misleading to the extent that such violation occurs in reliance upon and in conformity with written information furnished to the Company by the Investor or on behalf of the Investor expressly for use in connection with such Registration Statement or (b) any failure by the Investor to comply with prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act, the Exchange Act or any other law or legal requirement applicable to sales under the Registration Statement;

Section 3.2 Conduct of Indemnification Proceedings. All claims for indemnification under Section 3.1 shall be asserted and resolved in accordance with the provisions of Section 9.2 of the Purchase Agreement.

Section 3.3 Additional Indemnification. Indemnification similar to that specified in the preceding paragraphs of this Article III (with appropriate modifications) shall be given by the Company with respect to any required registration or other qualification of securities under any federal or state law or regulation of any governmental authority other than the Securities Act. The provisions of this Article III shall be in addition to any other rights to indemnification, contribution or other remedies which an Indemnified Party or a Company Indemnified Person may have pursuant to law, equity, contract or otherwise.

To the extent that any indemnification provided for herein is prohibited or limited by law, the indemnifying party will make the maximum contribution with respect to any amounts for which it would otherwise be liable under this Article III to the fullest extent permitted by law. However, (a) no contribution will be made under circumstances where maker of such contribution would not have been required to indemnify the indemnified party under the fault standards set forth in this Article III, (b) if the Investor is guilty of fraudulent misrepresentation (within the meaning of Section 11(f) of the Securities Act) the Investor will not be entitled to contribution from any Person who is not guilty of such fraudulent misrepresentation, and (c) contribution (together with any indemnification obligations under this Agreement) by the Investor will be limited in amount to the proceeds received by the Investor from sales of Registrable Securities.
ARTICLE IV
MISCELLANEOUS

Section 4.1 No Outstanding Registration Rights. Except as otherwise disclosed in accordance with the Purchase Agreement or in the Commission Documents, the Company represents and warrants to the Investor that there is not in effect on the date hereof any agreement by the Company pursuant to which any holders of securities of the Company have a right to cause the Company to register or qualify such securities under the Securities Act or any securities or blue sky laws of any jurisdiction.

Section 4.2 Term. The registration rights provided to the holders of Registrable Securities hereunder, and the Company’s obligation to keep the Registration Statement effective, shall terminate at the earlier of (a) such time that is two years following the termination of the Purchase Agreement, (b) such time as all Registrable Securities have been issued and have ceased to be Registrable Securities, or (c) upon the consummation of an “Excluded Merger or Sale” as defined in the Warrant. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Section 1.1(d), Article III, Section 4.7, Section 4.8, Section 4.9, Section 4.10 and Section 4.13 shall survive the termination of this Agreement.

Section 4.3 Rule 144. The Company will, at its expense, promptly take such action as holders of Registrable Securities may reasonably request to enable such holders of Registrable Securities to sell Registrable Securities without registration under the Securities Act within the limitation of the exemptions provided by (a) Rule 144 under the Securities Act (“Rule 144”), as such Rule may be amended from time to time, or (b) any similar rule or regulation hereafter adopted by the Commission. If at any time the Company is not required to file such reports, it will, at its expense, forthwith upon the written request of any holder of Registrable Securities, make available adequate current public information with respect to the Company within the meaning of Rule 144(c)(2) or such other information as necessary to permit sales pursuant to Rule 144. Upon the request of the Investor, the Company will deliver to the Investor a written statement, signed by the Company’s principal financial officer, as to whether it has complied with such requirements.

Section 4.4 Certificate. The Company will, at its expense, forthwith upon the request of any holder of Registrable Securities, deliver to such holder a certificate, signed by the Company’s principal financial officer, stating (a) the Company’s name, address and telephone number (including area code), (b) the Company’s Internal Revenue Service identification number, (c) the Company’s Commission file number, (d) the number of shares of each class of Stock outstanding as shown by the most recent report or statement published by the Company, and (e) whether the Company has filed the reports required to be filed under the Exchange Act for a period of at least ninety (90) days prior to the date of such certificate and in addition has filed the most recent annual report required to be filed thereunder.

Section 4.5 Amendment And Modification. Any provision of this Agreement may be waived, provided that such waiver is set forth in a writing executed by both parties to this Agreement. The provisions of this Agreement, including the provisions of this sentence, may be amended, modified or supplemented, and waivers or consents to departures from the provisions hereof may be given, with the written consent of the Investor and the Company. No course of dealing between or among any Person having any interest in this Agreement will be deemed
Section 4.6 Successors and Assigns; Entire Agreement. This Agreement and all of the provisions hereof shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties hereto and their respective successors and permitted assigns. The Company may assign this Agreement at any time in connection with a sale or acquisition of the Company, whether by merger, consolidation, sale of all or substantially all of the Company’s assets, or similar transaction, without the consent of the Investor, provided that the successor or acquiring Person or entity agrees in writing to assume all of the Company’s rights and obligations under this Agreement. Investor may assign its rights and obligations under this Agreement only with the prior written consent of the Company, and any purported assignment by the Investor absent the Company’s consent shall be null and void. This Agreement, together with the Purchase Agreement and the Warrant sets forth the entire agreement and understanding between the parties as to the subject matter hereof and merges and supersedes all prior discussions, agreements and understandings of any and every nature among them.

Section 4.7 Severability. If any provision of this Agreement becomes or is declared by a court of competent jurisdiction to be illegal, unenforceable or void, this Agreement shall continue in full force and effect without said provision; provided that, if the severance of such provision materially changes the economic benefits of this Agreement to either party as such benefits are anticipated as of the date hereof, then such party may terminate this Agreement on five (5) business days prior written notice to the other party. In such event, the Purchase Agreement will terminate simultaneously with the termination of this Agreement.

Section 4.8 Notices. All notices, demands, requests, consents, approvals, and other communications required or permitted hereunder shall be given in accordance with Section 10.4 of the Purchase Agreement.

Section 4.9 Governing Law; Dispute Resolution. This Agreement shall be construed under the laws of the State of New York.

Section 4.10 Headings. The headings in this Agreement are for convenience of reference only and shall not constitute a part of this Agreement, nor shall they affect their meaning, construction or effect.

Section 4.11 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to be an original instrument and all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

Section 4.12 Further Assurances. Each party shall cooperate and take such action as may be reasonably requested by another party in order to carry out the provisions and purposes of this Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereby.

Section 4.13 Absence of Presumption. This Agreement shall be construed without regard to any presumption or rule requiring construction or interpretation against the party drafting or causing any instrument to be drafted.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, as of the date first set forth above.

KINGSBRIDGE CAPITAL LIMITED

By: /s/ Adam Gurney
    Adam Gurney
    Managing Director

CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED

By: /s/ Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D.
    Name: Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D.
    Title: Chief Executive Officer
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Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the following Registration Statements of Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated (a development stage company) of our report dated March 28, 2008, with respect to the financial statements of Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated (a development stage company) included in this Annual Report (Form 10-K) for the year ended December 31, 2007:

- Registration Statement on Form S-8 (No. 333-116127) pertaining to the 2000 Stock Option Plan and the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan of Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated (a development stage company), and
- Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-149087) pertaining to debt securities, preferred stock, $0.001 par value per share, common stock, $0.001 par value per share, equity warrants and debt warrants issuable by Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated (a development stage company).

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP
Palo Alto, California
March 28, 2008
CERTIFICATION

I, Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2007 of Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant’s other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
   (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
   (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
   (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
   (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant’s other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant’s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
   (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
   (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ JOSEPH K. BELANOFF
Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D.
Chief Executive Officer
March 31, 2008
CERTIFICATION

I, Anne LeDoux, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2007 of Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant’s other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
   (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
   (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
   (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
   (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant’s other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant’s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
   (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
   (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant’s internal controls over financial reporting.

/s/ ANNE LEDOUX
Anne LeDoux
Vice President and Controller
March 31, 2008
Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated (the “Company”) on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2007, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I, Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D., Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ JOSEPH K. BELANOFF
Joseph K. Belanoff, M.D.
Chief Executive Officer
March 31, 2008
In connection with the Annual Report of Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated (the “Company”) on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2007, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I, Anne LeDoux, Vice President and Controller of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ ANNE LEDOUX
Anne LeDoux
Vice President and Controller
March 31, 2008