

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 [FEE REQUIRED]

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 1995

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13
OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934 [NO FEE REQUIRED]

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number No.	Registrant, State of Incorporation, Address of Principal Executive Offices and Telephone Number	IRS Employer Identification
1-11299	ENTERGY CORPORATION (a Delaware corporation) 639 Loyola Avenue New Orleans, Louisiana 70113 Telephone (504) 529-5262	72-1229752
1-10764	ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY (an Arkansas corporation) 425 West Capitol Avenue, 40th Floor Little Rock, Arkansas 72201 Telephone (501) 377-4000	71-0005900
1-2703	GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY (a Texas corporation) 350 Pine Street Beaumont, Texas 77701 Telephone (409) 838-6631	74-0662730
1-8474	LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY (a Louisiana corporation) 639 Loyola Avenue New Orleans, Louisiana 70113 Telephone (504) 529-5262	72-0245590
0-320	MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY (a Mississippi corporation) 308 East Pearl Street Jackson, Mississippi 39201 Telephone (601) 368-5000	64-0205830
0-5807	NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC SERVICE INC. (a Louisiana corporation) 639 Loyola Avenue New Orleans, Louisiana 70113 Telephone (504) 529-5262	72-0273040
1-9067	SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC. (an Arkansas corporation) Echelon One 1340 Echelon Parkway Jackson, Mississippi 39213 Telephone (601) 368-5000	72-0752777

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Registrant	Title of Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Entergy Corporation	Common Stock, \$0.01 Par Value - 227,770,617 Shares outstanding at February 29, 1996	New York Stock Exchange, Inc. Chicago Stock Exchange Incorporated Pacific Stock Exchange Incorporated
Arkansas Power & Light Company	\$2.40 Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$0.01 Par Value (\$25 Involuntary Liquidation Value)	New York Stock Exchange, Inc.
Gulf States Utilities Company	Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value:	
	\$4.40 Dividend Series	New York Stock Exchange, Inc.
	\$4.52 Dividend Series	New York Stock Exchange, Inc.
	\$5.08 Dividend Series	New York Stock Exchange, Inc.
	\$8.80 Dividend Series	New York Stock Exchange, Inc.
	Adjustable Rate Series B (Depository Receipts)	New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

Preference Stock, Cumulative, New York Stock

Inc.	without Par Value	Exchange,
	\$1.75 Dividend Series	
Louisiana Power & Stock Light Company Inc.	9.68% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$25 Par Value	New York Exchange,
Stock Inc.	12.64% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$25 Par Value	New York Exchange,

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Registrant -----	Title of Class -----
Arkansas Power & Light \$100 Company	Preferred Stock, Cumulative, Par Value Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$25 Par Value Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$0.01 Par Value
Gulf States Utilities \$100 Company	Preferred Stock, Cumulative, Par Value
Louisiana Power & Light \$100 Company	Preferred Stock, Cumulative, Par Value Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$25 Par Value
Mississippi Power & \$100 Light Company	Preferred Stock, Cumulative, Par Value
New Orleans Public \$100 Service Inc.	Preferred Stock, Cumulative, Par Value

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No _____

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrants' knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. X

The aggregate market value of Entergy Corporation Common Stock, \$0.01 Par Value, held by non affiliates, was \$6.5 billion based on the reported last sale price of such stock on the New York Stock Exchange on February 29, 1996. Entergy Corporation is the sole holder of the common stock of Arkansas Power & Light Company, Gulf States Utilities Company, Louisiana Power & Light Company, Mississippi Power & Light Company, New Orleans Public Service Inc., and System Energy Resources, Inc.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Proxy Statement of Entergy Corporation to be filed in connection with its Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be held May 2, 1996, are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page			
Number			

Definitions			i
Part I			
Item	1.	Business	1
Item	2	Properties	40
Item	3.	Legal Proceedings	40
Item	4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	40
Part II			
Item	5.	Market for Registrants' Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	40
Item	6.	Selected Financial Data	41
Item	7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	41
Item	8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	42
Item	9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	184
Part III			
Item	10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrants	184
Item	11.	Executive Compensation	194
Item	12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	200
Item	13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	204
Part IV			
Item	14.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K	205
Experts			206
Signatures			207
Consents of Experts			214
Report of Independent Accountants on Financial Statement Schedules			218
Independent Auditors' Report on Financial Statement Schedules			219
Index to Financial Statement Schedules			S-1
Exhibit Index			E-1

This combined Form 10-K is separately filed by Entergy Corporation, Arkansas Power & Light Company, Gulf States Utilities Company, Louisiana Power & Light Company, Mississippi Power & Light Company, New Orleans Public Service Inc., and System Energy Resources, Inc. Information contained herein relating to any individual company is filed by such company on its own behalf. None of these companies make any representations as to information relating to the other companies.

This report (including the material incorporated herein by reference) should be read in its entirety. No one section of the report deals with all aspects of the subject matter.

DEFINITIONS

Certain abbreviations or acronyms used in the text and notes are defined below:

Abbreviation or Acronym Term

AFUDC	Allowance for Funds Used During Construction
Algiers	15th Ward of the City of New Orleans, Louisiana
ALJ	Administrative Law Judge
ANO	Arkansas Nuclear One Steam Electric Generating Station (nuclear), owned by AP&L
ANO 1	Unit No. 1 of ANO
ANO 2	Unit No. 2 of ANO
AP&L	Arkansas Power & Light Company
APSC	Arkansas Public Service Commission
Arkansas District Court Western	United States District Court for the District of Arkansas
Availability Agreement as LP&L,	Agreement, dated as of June 21, 1974, amended, among System Energy and AP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI, and the assignments thereof
Cajun	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.

Capital Funds Agreement Agreement, dated as of June 21, 1974, as amended, between System Energy and Entergy

	Corporation, and the assignments thereof
CitiPower	CitiPower Ltd.
City of New Orleans or City	New Orleans, Louisiana
Council	Council of the City of New Orleans, Louisiana
D.C. Circuit the	United States Court of Appeals for District of Columbia Circuit
DOE	United States Department of Energy
Eighth Circuit the	United States Court of Appeals for Eighth Circuit
EPAct	Energy Policy Act of 1992
Entergy	Entergy Corporation and its various direct and indirect subsidiaries
Entergy Corporation corporation, Florida	Entergy Corporation, a Delaware successor to Entergy Corporation, a corporation

DEFINITIONS (Continued)

Abbreviation or Acronym Term

Entergy Enterprises	Entergy Enterprises, Inc.
Entergy Operations	Entergy Operations, Inc.
Entergy Power	Entergy Power, Inc.
Entergy Services	Entergy Services, Inc.
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EWG	Exempt Wholesale Generator
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Fifth Circuit Fifth	United States Court of Appeals for the Circuit
G&R	General and Refunding
Grand Gulf Station	Grand Gulf Steam Electric Generating (nuclear), owned 90% by System Energy
Grand Gulf 1	Unit No. 1 of Grand Gulf
Grand Gulf 2	Unit No. 2 of Grand Gulf
GSU	Gulf States Utilities Company (including wholly owned subsidiaries -
Varibus & Railway	Corporation, GSG&T, Inc., Prudential Oil Gas, Inc., and Southern Gulf Company)

Independence Independence Steam Electric Generating Station (coal), owned 16% by AP&L, 25% by MP&L, and 16% by Entergy Power

Independence 2 Unit No. 2 of the Independence Station, owned 25% by MP&L and 31.5% by

Entergy Power

IRS Internal Revenue Service

KWh Kilowatt-Hour(s)

LP&L Louisiana Power & Light Company

LPSC
Commission Louisiana Public Service

MCF 1,000 cubic feet of gas

DEFINITIONS (Continued)

Abbreviation or Acronym Term

Merger	The combination transaction, consummated on December 31, 1993, by which GSU became
a	subsidiary of Entergy Corporation
and	Entergy Corporation became a
Delaware	corporation
MP&L	Mississippi Power & Light Company
MPSC	Mississippi Public Service Commission
MW	Megawatt(s)
Nelson Unit 6 Steam	Unit No. 6 (coal) of the Nelson Electric Generating Station, owned 70%
by	GSU
NISCO	Nelson Industrial Steam Company
1991 NOPSI Settlement 1991, Alliance consumer Grand	Settlement retroactive to October 4, among NOPSI, the Council, and the for Affordable Energy, Inc. (local advocate group), which settled certain

Gulf 1 prudence issues and certain litigation related to the February 4 Resolution

1994 NOPSI Settlement Settlement effective January 1, 1995,

NOPSI	between NOPSI and the Council in which
in	agreed to implement a permanent reduction
disputes	electric and gas rates and resolve
of	with the Council in the interpretation
	the 1991 NOPSI Settlement
NOPSI	New Orleans Public Service Inc.
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Operating Companies AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI, collectively

PRP Potentially Responsible Party (a person or entity that may be responsible for

remediation of environmental contamination)

PUCT

Public Utility Commission of Texas

PUHCA
1935,

Public Utility Holding Company Act of
as amended

PURPA

Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act

Rate Cap
base

for

the

the

July

jurisdiction,

December

The level of GSU's retail electric
rates in effect at December 31, 1993,
the Louisiana retail jurisdiction, and
level of such rates in effect prior to
settlement agreement with the PUCT on
21, 1994, for the Texas retail
which may not be exceeded before
31, 1998

DEFINITIONS (Concluded)

Abbreviation or Acronym Term

- - - - -

Reallocation Agreement a AP&L, Energy energy	1981 Agreement, superseded in part by June 13, 1985 decision of FERC, among LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System relating to the sale of capacity and from Grand Gulf
Ritchie 2 Steam	Unit No. 2 of the R. E. Ritchie Electric Generating Station (gas/oil)
River Bend Station	River Bend Steam Electric Generating (nuclear), owned 70% by GSU
RUS or	Rural Utility Services (formerly the Rural Electrification Administration "REA")
SEC	Securities and Exchange Commission

SFAS Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, promulgated by the Financial

	Accounting Standards Board
SMEPA	South Mississippi Electric Power Agency
System direct	Entergy Corporation and its various and indirect subsidiaries
System Agreement as Companies generating	Agreement, effective January 1, 1983, modified, among the Operating relating to the sharing of capacity and other power resources
System Energy	System Energy Resources, Inc.
System Fuels	System Fuels, Inc.

Unit Power Sales Agreement Agreement, dated as of June 10, 1982, as amended and approved by FERC, among AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy,

energy relating to the sale of capacity and
from System Energy's share of Grand Gulf 1
Waterford 3 Unit No. 3 (nuclear) of the Waterford
Steam Electric Generating Station, owned 90.7%
by LP&L. The remaining 9.3% undivided
interest is leased by LP&L

PART I

Item 1. Business

BUSINESS OF ENTERGY

General

Entergy Corporation was originally incorporated under the laws of the State of Florida on May 27, 1949. On December 31, 1993, Entergy Corporation merged with and into Entergy-GSU Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, which then changed its name to Entergy Corporation. Entergy Corporation is a public utility holding company registered under PUHCA and does not own or operate any significant assets other than the stock of its subsidiaries. Entergy Corporation owns all of the outstanding common stock of five domestic retail operating electric utility subsidiaries, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI. AP&L was incorporated under the laws of the State of Arkansas in 1926; GSU was incorporated under the laws of the State of Texas in 1925; LP&L and NOPSI were incorporated under the laws of the State of Louisiana in 1974 and 1926, respectively; and MP&L was incorporated under the laws of the State of Mississippi in 1963. As of December 31, 1995, the Operating Companies provided electric service to approximately 2.4 million customers in the States of Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Tennessee, and Texas. In addition, GSU furnishes natural gas utility service in the Baton Rouge, Louisiana area, and NOPSI furnishes natural gas utility service in the New Orleans, Louisiana area. GSU produces and sells, on a nonregulated basis, process steam and by-product electricity supplied from its steam electric extraction plant to a large industrial customer. The business of the Operating Companies is subject to seasonal fluctuations with the peak period occurring during the third quarter. During 1995, the System's electric sales as a percentage of total System electric sales were: residential - - - - 26.8%; commercial - 20%; and industrial - 40.8%. Electric revenues from these sectors as a percentage of total System electric revenues were: 35.6% - residential; 24.4% - commercial; and 29.6% - industrial. Sales to governmental and municipal sectors and to nonaffiliated utilities accounted for the balance of energy sales. The System's major industrial customers are in the chemical processing, petroleum refining, paper products, and food products industries.

Entergy Corporation also owns directly all of the outstanding common stock of the following subsidiary companies: System Energy, Entergy Services, Entergy Operations, Entergy Power, Entergy Enterprises, Entergy S.A., Entergy Argentina S.A., Entergy Argentina S.A., Ltd., Entergy Power Development Corporation, Entergy Transener S.A., Entergy Power Marketing Corporation, Entergy Power Development International Holdings, Inc., and Entergy Power Development International Corporation. System Energy is a nuclear generating company that was incorporated under the laws of the State of Arkansas in 1974. System Energy sells at wholesale the capacity and energy from its 90% interest in Grand Gulf 1 to its only customers, AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI (see "CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS AND FUTURE FINANCING -

Certain System Financial and Support Agreements - Unit Power Sales Agreement," below). System Energy has approximately a 78.5% ownership interest and an 11.5% leasehold interest in Grand Gulf 1. Entergy Services, a Delaware corporation, provides general executive, advisory, administrative, accounting, legal, engineering, and other services to the Operating Companies, generally at cost. Entergy Operations, a Delaware corporation, is a nuclear management company that operates ANO, River Bend, Waterford 3, and Grand Gulf 1, subject to the owner oversight of AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy, respectively. Entergy Power, a Delaware corporation, is an independent power producer that owns 809 MW of generating capacity and markets its capacity and energy in the wholesale market and in other markets not otherwise presently served by the System. (For further information on regulatory proceedings related to Entergy Power, see "RATE MATTERS AND REGULATION - - - - Rate Matters - Wholesale Rate Matters - Entergy Power," below). Entergy Enterprises is a nonutility company incorporated under Delaware law that invests in and develops energy-related projects and other businesses that are or may be of benefit to the System's utility business (see "Domestic and Foreign Energy-Related Investments," below). Entergy Enterprises also markets outside the System technical expertise, products, and services developed by the Operating Companies that have commercial value beyond their use in the System's operations and provides services to certain nonutility companies in the System. Entergy Corporation also has subsidiaries that participate in utility projects located outside the System's retail service territory, both domestically and internationally. See "Domestic and Foreign Energy- Related Investments" and "CitiPower Acquisition," below) for a discussion of these subsidiaries.

AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI own 35%, 33%, 19%, and 13%, respectively, of all the common stock of System Fuels, a non-profit subsidiary incorporated in Louisiana that implements and/or maintains certain programs to procure, deliver, and store fuel supplies for the Operating Companies.

GSU has four wholly owned subsidiaries: Varibus Corporation, GSG&T, Inc., Southern Gulf Railway Company, and Prudential Oil & Gas, Inc. Varibus Corporation operates intrastate gas pipelines in Louisiana, which are used primarily to transport fuel to two of GSU's generating stations. GSG&T, Inc. owns the Lewis Creek Station, a gas-fired generating plant, which is leased to and operated by GSU. Southern Gulf Railway Company owns and will operate several miles of rail track being constructed in Louisiana for the purpose of transporting coal for use as a boiler fuel at Nelson Unit 6. Prudential Oil & Gas, Inc., which was formerly in the business of exploring, developing, and operating oil and gas properties in Texas and Louisiana, is presently inactive.

Entergy Corporation-GSU Merger

On December 31, 1993, GSU became a wholly owned subsidiary of Entergy Corporation. As consideration to GSU's shareholders, Entergy Corporation paid \$250 million in cash and issued 56,695,724 shares of its common stock, based upon a valuation of \$35.8417 per share, in exchange for outstanding shares of GSU common stock.

Unless otherwise noted, consolidated financial position and statistical information contained in this report for the years ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993 (such as assets, liabilities, and property) includes the associated GSU amounts. Consolidated financial results and statistical information (such as revenues, sales, and expenses) for the years ended December 31, 1995 and 1994 includes such GSU amounts, while periods ending before January 1, 1994, do not include GSU amounts; those amounts are presented separately for GSU in this report.

Certain Industry and System Challenges

The System's business is affected by various challenges and issues, many of which confront the electric utility industry generally. These issues and challenges include:

- responding to an increasingly competitive environment (see "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - SIGNIFICANT FACTORS AND KNOWN TRENDS");
- addressing current and proposed structural changes in the electric utility industry and changes in the regulation of generation and transmission of electricity (see "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - SIGNIFICANT FACTORS AND KNOWN TRENDS");
- achieving cost savings anticipated with the Merger;
- complying with regulatory requirements with respect to nuclear operations (see "RATE MATTERS AND REGULATION - Regulation - Regulation of the Nuclear Power Industry," below) and environmental matters (see "RATE MATTERS AND REGULATION - Regulation - Environmental Regulation," below);
- resolving GSU's major contingencies, including potential write-offs and refunds related to River Bend (see "RATE MATTERS AND REGULATION - Rate Matters - Retail Rate Matters - GSU," below), litigation with Cajun relating to its ownership interest in River Bend, and Cajun's bankruptcy proceedings (see "RATE MATTERS AND REGULATION - Regulation - Other Regulation and Litigation - Cajun - River Bend Litigation," below); and
- implementing a new accounting standard that describes the circumstances in which assets are determined to be impaired, which may eventually be applied to "stranded costs" (costs not recoverable from those customers for whose benefit the costs were incurred) resulting from increased competition (see "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - SIGNIFICANT FACTORS AND KNOWN TRENDS,");
- achieving high levels of operating efficiencies, cost control, and returns on investments in Entergy Corporation's growing portfolio of non utility and overseas business ventures (see "Domestic and Foreign Energy-Related Investments" and "CitiPower Acquisition," below).

Domestic and Foreign Energy-Related Investments

Entergy Corporation seeks opportunities to expand its energy-related businesses that are not regulated by state and local regulatory authorities (nonregulated businesses). These nonregulated businesses currently include power development and new technology related to the utility business. Entergy Corporation's strategy is to identify and pursue nonregulated business opportunities that have the potential to earn a greater return than its regulated utility operations. Entergy Corporation has expanded its investments in nonregulated business opportunities overseas as well as in the United States. Through the end of 1995, Entergy Corporation had participated in foreign non-regulated electric ventures in Pakistan, Argentina, and Peru. As of December 31, 1995, Entergy Corporation had invested \$555.5 million in equity capital (reduced by accumulated losses of \$169 million) in nonregulated businesses. See the discussion below of Entergy Corporation's acquisition of CitiPower on January 5, 1996.

During 1995, Entergy Corporation's nonregulated businesses activities included the following:

- (1) Entergy Power's \$246.7 million debt obligation to Entergy Corporation was converted into equity in April 1995. Entergy Power sells capacity and energy from its 100% and 31.5% interest in Ritchie 2 and Independence 2, respectively. Entergy Power purchased an interest in these plants from AP&L in 1990. Entergy Corporation originally financed Entergy Power principally with a loan to Entergy Power. Entergy Power was formed to compete with other utilities and independent power producers in the bulk power market.
- (2) In April 1995, Entergy Systems and Service, Inc. (Entergy SASI) and Systems and Service International, Inc. (SASI), amended their existing distribution agreement. As a result, Entergy SASI liquidated its equity interest in SASI. Previously, Entergy SASI, a subsidiary of Entergy Enterprises, held a 9.95% equity interest in SASI, a manufacturer of efficient lighting products. Entergy SASI distributes such products

purchased under a distribution agreement with SASI, in conjunction with providing various energy management services to its customers. The amended distribution agreement discussed above provided for a reduction in SASI's profit margin on its sale of products to Entergy SASI and transferred the rights to certain of SASI's energy efficient technologies to Entergy SASI. In exchange, among other things, Entergy SASI transferred to SASI all of its equity ownership in SASI.

(3) In June 1995, Entergy Corporation contributed \$125 million in equity capital to Entergy SASI through Entergy Enterprises, Inc., thus allowing Entergy SASI to retire its debt obligation to Entergy Corporation. Entergy Corporation had previously provided loans to Entergy SASI to fund Entergy SASI's business expansion.

(4) As of December 31, 1995, Entergy Enterprises wrote down its equity interest in First Pacific Networks (FPN), a communications company, by \$9.3 million to reflect what management believes is a permanent decline in market value. Entergy Enterprises holds a 7.9% equity interest in FPN. The total cost of Entergy Enterprises' investment in FPN as of December 31, 1995, was approximately \$1.2 million.

(5) In June 1995, Entergy Corporation received SEC authorization to invest up to \$350 million through December 31, 1997, in Entergy Enterprises. Such investments may take the form of purchases of common stock, capital contributions, loans, and/or guarantees of indebtedness or other obligations of Entergy Enterprises or certain of its affiliated companies. In January 1995 Entergy Corporation guaranteed \$65 million of EP Edegel, Inc., a subsidiary of Entergy Corporation, obligations.

(6) In 1995, Entergy Corporation has requested approval from the SEC to form a new nonregulated subsidiary named Entergy Technologies Company (ETC). ETC would offer bulk interstate telecommunications service to telecommunications carriers which in turn would market that service to third parties. The recently enacted Telecommunications Reform Act of 1996 permits Entergy to market such a service, pending state and local regulatory approval. See MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - SIGNIFICANT FACTORS AND KNOWN TRENDS for a discussion of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and its impact on Entergy.

(7) During the third quarter of 1995, Entergy Corporation's subsidiary, Entergy S.A., purchased 3.9% of the outstanding stock of the Central Buenos Aires Project (CBA Project) for \$1.7 million. Entergy S.A., owns a 10% interest in a consortium with other nonaffiliated companies that acquired a 60% interest in Central Costanera, S.A. (Costanera), a steam electric generating facility located in Argentina. Through Entergy S.A.'s interest in Costanera, Entergy S.A. indirectly purchased an additional 3% of the outstanding stock of the CBA Project. In October 1995, Entergy Power Holding Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of Entergy Corporation, purchased Entergy S.A.'s interest in the CBA Project and purchased an additional 3.9% of the outstanding stock of the CBA Project for \$1.9 million. The CBA Project includes the addition of a 220 MW combustion turbine and heat recovery boiler to a generating unit at the Costanera steam electric generating facility. This addition will provide electricity to the Argentina transmission grid and steam to the Costanera generating unit. The open cycle portion of the CBA Project, providing electricity to the Argentina grid, was placed into operation at the end of October 1995. The steam recovery portion, which will provide steam to the Costanera generating unit, is expected to be in operation in October 1996.

(8) On November 30, 1995, Entergy Corporation's subsidiary, Entergy Power Development Corporation, purchased through a consortium 20.8% of Edegel, S.A. for \$100 million in equity and \$65 million of debt guaranteed by Entergy Corporation. Edegel S.A. is a privatization project in Lima, Peru consisting of 5 hydroelectric generation stations (totaling 539 MW) and one thermal station (154 MW) supporting 345 miles of transmission lines. An additional 100 MW of thermal load capacity is required to be installed within one year. The additional plant is expected to be financed by Edegel S.A.

(9) In early October 1995, FERC issued an order granting exempt wholesale generator status to Entergy Power Marketing Corporation (EPM), a wholly owned subsidiary of Entergy Corporation. EPM was created during 1995 to become a buyer and seller of electrical energy and its generating fuels. In February 1996, FERC approved market-based rate sales of electricity by EPM. Such approval will allow EPM to begin providing wholesale customers with a variety of products including physical and financial trading. Pending approval from the SEC, EPM expects to begin financial trading by the summer of 1996.

Entergy Corporation's net investment in nonregulated subsidiaries, reduced by accumulated losses, as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, is as follows:

Nonregulated Subsidiary -----	Net Investment	
	1995*	1994
	(In Millions)	
Entergy Power Development 80.8 Corporation	\$ 180.6	\$
Entergy Power, Inc. 154.4	173.1	
Entergy Enterprises, Inc. 22.2	112.0	
Entergy Argentina S.A., Ltd. 41.1	42.0	
Entergy Transener 22.7	19.0	
Entergy Argentina 17.1	17.4	
Entergy S.A. 13.3	11.4	
-----	-----	
Total	\$ 555.5	
\$351.6		
=====	=====	

* Excludes Entergy Corporation's equity investment in CitiPower completed on January 5, 1996. See "CitiPower Acquisition" below.

In 1995, Entergy Corporation's nonregulated investments reduced consolidated net income by approximately \$64.8 million. In the near term, these investments are unlikely to have a positive effect on Entergy Corporation's earnings, but management believes that these investments will contribute to future earnings growth. Certain of these investments may involve a higher degree of risk than domestic regulated utility enterprises.

International operations are subject to the risks inherent in conducting business abroad, including possible nationalization or expropriation, price and currency exchange controls, limitations on foreign participation in local energy-related enterprises, and other restrictions. Changes in the relative value of currencies occur from time to time and their effects may be favorable or unfavorable on results of operations. In addition, there are exchange control restrictions in certain countries relating to repatriation of earnings.

CitiPower Acquisition

On January 5, 1996, Entergy Corporation finalized its acquisition of CitiPower, an electric distribution company serving Melbourne, Australia, and surrounding suburbs. The purchase price of CitiPower was approximately \$1.2 billion, of which \$294 million represented an equity investment by Entergy Corporation, and the remainder represented debt. Entergy Corporation funded the majority of the equity portion of the investment by using \$230 million of its \$300 million line of credit. CitiPower serves approximately 234,500 customers, the majority of which are commercial customers. At the time of the acquisition, CitiPower had 846 employees.

Selected Data

Selected domestic customer and sales data for 1995 are summarized in the following tables:

		Customers as of December 31, 1995	
Area Served		Electric	Gas
AP&L	Portions of Arkansas and Tennessee	607,916	-
GSU	Portions of Texas and Louisiana	623,147	89,848
LP&L	Portions of Louisiana	612,124	-
MP&L	Portions of Mississippi	366,298	-
NOPSI	City of New Orleans, except Algiers, which is provided electric service by LP&L	190,332	-
153,370			
----- System 243,218		2,399,817	
=====		=====	

1995 - Selected Electric Energy Sales Data

	AP&L	GSU	LP&L	MP&L	NOPSI	System Energy	Energy System
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
(Millions of KWh)							
Electric Department:							
Sales to retail customers	16,692	29,622	30,051	10,981	5,648	-	92,994
Sales for resale:							
- Affiliates	8,386	2,935	44	959	149	7,212	-
- Others	5,066	2,212	1,293	692	297	-	10,471
Total	30,144	34,769	31,388	12,632	6,094	7,212	103,465
Steam Department:							
- Sales to steam products customer	-	1,742	-	-	-	-	1,742
TOTAL	30,144	36,511	31,388	12,632	6,094	7,212	105,207
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
Average use per residential customer (KWh)	11,324	14,475	14,623	13,400	11,941	-	13,353
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

NOPSI sold 16,782,805 MCF of natural gas to retail customers in 1995. Revenues from natural gas operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1995, were material for NOPSI, but not material for the System (see "INDUSTRY SEGMENTS" below for a description of NOPSI's business segments).

GSU sold 6,476,496 MCF of natural gas to retail customers in 1995. Revenues from natural gas operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1995, were not material for GSU.

See "ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - - - - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON," and "SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON OF AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and SYSTEM ENERGY,"

(which follow each company's financial statements in this report) for further information with respect to operating statistics.

Employees

As of December 31, 1995, Entergy had 13,521 employees as follows:

Full-time:	
Entergy Corporation	-
AP&L	1,647
GSU	1,833
LP&L	1,082
MP&L	892
NOPSI	489
System Energy	-
Entergy Operations	4,102
Entergy Services	2,529
Other Subsidiaries	869

Total Full-time	13,443
Part-time	78

Total Entergy System	13,521
=====	
Competition	

Refer to "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - SIGNIFICANT FACTORS AND KNOWN TRENDS" for a detailed discussion of competitive challenges Entergy faces in the utility industry.

CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS AND FUTURE FINANCING

Construction expenditures by company (including environmental expenditures, which are immaterial, and AFUDC, but excluding nuclear fuel) for the period 1996-1998 are estimated as follows:

	1996	1997	1998	Total
		(In Millions)		
AP&L	\$152	\$144	\$136	
\$432				
GSU	155	127	131	
413				
LP&L	125	111	114	
350				
MP&L	69	68	68	
205				
NOPSI	22	28	26	
76				
System Energy	23	20	20	
63				
ESI	24	12	12	
48				
Other	1	-	-	
1				
	-----	-----	-----	

System	\$571	\$510	\$507	
\$1,588				
	=====	=====	=====	
=====				

No significant construction costs are expected in connection with the System's generating facilities. Actual construction costs may vary from these estimates because of a number of factors, including changes in load growth estimates, changes in environmental regulations, modifications to nuclear units to meet regulatory requirements, increasing costs of labor, equipment and materials, and cost of capital. In addition to construction expenditure requirements, the System must meet scheduled long-term debt and preferred stock maturities and cash sinking fund requirements. See Notes 4, 5, and 6 to the financial statements for further capital requirements and financing information.

Entergy Corporation's primary capital requirements are to invest periodically in, or make loans to, its subsidiaries and to invest in new energy-related enterprises. See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES," for additional discussion of Entergy Corporation's current and future planned investments in its subsidiaries and financial sources for such investments. One source of funds for Entergy is dividend distributions from its subsidiaries. Certain events could limit the amount of these distributions. Such events include River Bend rate appeals and pending litigation with Cajun. Substantial write-offs or charges resulting from adverse rulings in these matters could adversely affect GSU's ability to continue to pay dividends. See Notes 2 and 8 to the financial statements regarding River Bend rate appeals and pending litigation with Cajun.

Certain System Financial and Support Agreements

Unit Power Sales Agreement (AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy)

The Unit Power Sales Agreement allocates capacity and energy from System Energy's 90% ownership and leasehold interests in Grand Gulf 1 (and the related costs) to AP&L (36%), LP&L (14%), MP&L (33%), and NOPSI (17%). AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI make payments to System Energy for their respective entitlements of capacity and energy on a full cost-of-service basis regardless of the quantity of energy delivered, so long as Grand Gulf 1 remains in commercial operation. Payments under the Unit Power Sales Agreement are System Energy's only source of operating revenues. The financial condition of System Energy depends upon the continued commercial operation of Grand Gulf 1 and the receipt of payments from AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI. Payments made by AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI under the Unit Power Sales Agreement are generally recovered through rates. In the case of AP&L and LP&L, payments are also recovered through sales of electricity from their respective retained shares of Grand Gulf 1. See Note 1 to the financial statements for further information regarding retained shares.

Availability Agreement (AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy)

The Availability Agreement among System Energy and AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI was entered into in 1974 in connection with the financing by System Energy of Grand Gulf. The agreement provided that System Energy would join in the agreement among AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI for the sharing of generating capacity and other capacity and energy resources on or before the date on which Grand Gulf 1 was placed in commercial operation. It also provided that System Energy would make available to AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI all capacity and energy available from System Energy's share of Grand Gulf.

AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI also agreed severally to pay System Energy monthly for the right to receive capacity and energy available from Grand Gulf in amounts that (when added to any amounts received by System Energy under the Unit Power Sales Agreement, or otherwise) would at least equal System Energy's total operating expenses for Grand Gulf (including depreciation at a specified rate) and interest charges.

As amended to date, the Availability Agreement provides that:

- the obligations of AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI for payments for Grand Gulf 1 become effective upon commercial operation of Grand Gulf 1 on July 1, 1985;
- the sale of capacity and energy generated by Grand Gulf may be governed by a separate power purchase agreement among System Energy and AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI;
- the September 1989 write-off of System Energy's investment in Grand Gulf 2, amounting to approximately \$900 million, will be amortized for Availability Agreement purposes over 27 years rather than in the month the write-off was recognized on System Energy's books; and
- the allocation percentages under the Availability Agreement are fixed as follows: AP&L - 17.1%; LP&L - 26.9%; MP&L - 31.3%; and NOPSI - 24.7%.

As noted above, the Unit Power Sales Agreement provides for different allocation percentages for sales of capacity and energy from Grand Gulf 1. However, the allocation percentages under the Availability Agreement remain in effect and would govern payments made under such agreement in the event of a shortfall of funds available to System Energy from other sources, including payments by AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI to System Energy under the Unit Power Sales Agreement.

System Energy has assigned its rights to payments and advances from AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI under the Availability Agreement as security for its first mortgage bonds and reimbursement obligations to certain banks providing the letters of credit in connection with the equity funding of the sale and leaseback transactions described in Note 9 to the financial statements under "Sale and Leaseback Transactions - Grand Gulf 1 Lease Obligations (System Energy)." In these assignments, AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI further agreed that, in the event they were prohibited by governmental action from making payments under the Availability Agreement (if, for example, FERC reduced or disallowed such payments as constituting excessive rates), they would then make subordinated advances to System Energy in the same amounts and at the same times as the prohibited payments. System Energy would not be allowed to repay these subordinated advances so long as it remained in default under the related indebtedness or in other similar circumstances.

Each of the assignment agreements relating to the Availability Agreement provides that AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI shall make payments directly to System Energy. However, if there is an event of default, AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI must make those payments directly to the holders of indebtedness that are the beneficiaries of such assignment agreements. The payments must be made pro rata according to the amount of the respective obligations secured.

The obligations of AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI to make payments under the Availability Agreement are subject to the receipt and continued effectiveness of all necessary regulatory approvals. Sales of capacity and energy under the Availability Agreement would require that the Availability Agreement be submitted to FERC for approval with respect to the terms of such sale. No such filing with FERC has been made because sales of capacity and energy from Grand Gulf are being made pursuant to the Unit Power Sales Agreement. Other aspects of the Availability Agreement, including the obligations of AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI to make subordinated advances, are subject to the jurisdiction of the SEC under PUHCA, whose approval has been obtained. If, for any reason, sales of capacity and energy are made in the future pursuant to the Availability Agreement, the jurisdictional portions of the Availability Agreement would be submitted to FERC for approval.

Since commercial operation of Grand Gulf 1 began, payments under the Unit Power Sales Agreement to System Energy have exceeded the amounts payable under the Availability Agreement. Accordingly, no payments under the Availability Agreement by AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI have ever been required. In the event such payments were required, the ability of AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI to recover from their customers amounts paid under the Availability Agreement, or under the assignments thereof, would depend upon the outcome of rate proceedings before state and local regulatory authorities. In view of the controversies that arose over the allocation of capacity and energy from Grand Gulf 1 pursuant to the Unit Power Sales Agreement, opposition to full recovery would be likely and the outcome of such proceedings, should they occur, is not predictable.

Capital Funds Agreement (Entergy Corporation and System Energy)

System Energy and Entergy Corporation have entered into the Capital Funds Agreement whereby Entergy Corporation has agreed to supply System Energy with sufficient capital to (1) maintain System Energy's equity capital at an amount equal to a minimum of 35% of its total capitalization (excluding short-term debt) and (2) permit the continued commercial operation of Grand Gulf 1 and pay in full all indebtedness for borrowed money of System Energy when due under any circumstances.

Entergy Corporation has entered into various supplements to the Capital Funds Agreement, and System Energy has assigned its rights under such supplements as security for its first mortgage bonds and for reimbursement obligations to certain banks providing letters of credit in connection with the equity funding of the sale and leaseback transactions described in Note 9 to the financial statements under "Sale and Leaseback Transactions - Grand Gulf 1 Lease Obligations (System Energy)." Each such supplement provides that permitted indebtedness for borrowed money incurred by System Energy in connection with the financing of Grand Gulf may be secured by System Energy's rights under the Capital Funds Agreement on a pro rata basis (except for the Specific Payments, as defined below). In addition, in the supplements to the Capital Funds Agreement relating to the specific indebtedness being secured, Entergy Corporation has agreed to make cash capital contributions directly to System Energy sufficient to enable System Energy to make payments when due on such indebtedness (Specific Payments). However, if there is an event of default, Entergy Corporation must make those payments directly to the holders of indebtedness benefiting from the supplemental agreements. The payments (other than the Specific Payments) must be made pro rata according to the amount of the respective obligations benefiting from the supplemental agreements.

RATE MATTERS AND REGULATION

Rate Matters

The Operating Companies' retail rates are regulated by state and/or local regulatory authorities, as described below. FERC regulates their wholesale rates (including intrasystem sales pursuant to the System Agreement) and interstate transmission of electricity, as well as rates for System Energy's sales of capacity and energy from Grand Gulf 1 to AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI pursuant to the Unit Power Sales Agreement.

Wholesale Rate Matters

System Energy

As described above under "Certain System Financial and Support Agreements," System Energy recovers costs related to its interest in Grand Gulf 1 through rates charged to AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI for capacity and energy under the Unit Power Sales Agreement.

On December 12, 1995, System Energy implemented a \$65.5 million rate increase, subject to refund. Refer to Note 2 for a discussion of the rate increase filed by System Energy with FERC.

Entergy Power

In 1990, authorizations were obtained from the SEC, FERC, the APSC, and the Public Service Commission of Missouri for Entergy Power to purchase AP&L's interest in Independence 2 and Ritchie 2, and to begin marketing the capacity and energy from the units in certain wholesale markets. The SEC order was appealed to the D.C. Circuit by various intervenors. The D.C. Circuit reversed a portion of the SEC order and remanded the case to the SEC for consideration of the effect of the transfers on the System's future costs of replacement generating capacity and fuel. On September 9, 1993, the City of New Orleans and the LPSC each requested a hearing. However, on January 5, 1994, the City of New Orleans withdrew from the proceeding, pursuant to its settlement with NOPSI of various issues related to the Merger. In November 1995, the SEC issued an order in which the SEC reaffirmed its prior order authorizing the acquisition and formation of Entergy Power and denying the LPSC's request for a hearing. The November 1995 order was not appealed, and the statutory period for such an appeal has expired.

In a related matter, on August 20, 1990, the City of New Orleans filed a complaint against Entergy Corporation, AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy, requesting that FERC investigate AP&L's transfer of its interest in Independence 2 and Ritchie 2 to Entergy Power and the effect of the transfer on AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and their ratepayers. On October 20, 1995, the D.C. Circuit affirmed FERC's original orders that the transfer and its effect on current rates was prudent. However, a determination of the prudence of the transfer on future replacement costs was deferred until a time when the need for such replacement capacity occurs.

System Agreement (Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy)

AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI engage in the coordinated planning, construction, and operation of generation and transmission facilities pursuant to the terms of the System Agreement as described under "PROPERTY - Generating Stations," below.

In connection with the Merger, FERC approved certain rate schedule changes to integrate GSU into the System Agreement. Certain commitments were also adopted to assure that the ratepayers of AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI will not be allocated higher costs. Such commitments included: (1) a tracking mechanism to protect these companies from certain unexpected increases in fuel costs; (2) the exclusion of GSU from the distribution of profits from power sales contracts entered into prior to the Merger; (3) a methodology to estimate the cost of capital in future FERC proceedings; and (4) a stipulation that these companies be insulated from certain direct effects on capacity equalization payments if GSU should acquire Cajun's 30% share in River Bend. See "Regulation - Other Regulation and Litigation," for information on appeals of FERC Merger orders and related pending rate schedule changes.

In the December 15, 1993, order approving the Merger, FERC also initiated a new proceeding to consider whether the System Agreement permits certain out-of-service generating units to be included in reserve equalization calculations under Service Schedule MSS-1 of that agreement. In connection with this proceeding, the LPSC and the MPSC submitted testimony seeking retroactive refunds for LP&L and MP&L (estimated at \$22.6 million and \$13.2 million, respectively). The FERC staff subsequently submitted testimony concluding that Entergy's treatment was reasonable. However, because it concluded that Entergy's treatment violated the tariff, FERC staff maintained that refunds of approximately \$7.2 million should be ordered. Entergy submitted testimony on September 23, 1994, describing the potential impacts (not including interest) on Service Schedule MSS-1 calculations if extended reserve shutdown units were not included in the MSS-1 calculations during the period 1987 through 1993. Under such a theory, LP&L and MP&L would have been overbilled by \$10.6 and \$8.8 million respectively, and AP&L and NOPSI would have been underbilled by \$6.3 and \$13.1 million respectively. The amounts potentially subject to refund will continue to accrue while the case is pending.

On March 3, 1995, a FERC ALJ issued an opinion holding that the practice of including the out-of-service units in the reserve equalization calculations during the period 1987 through 1993 was not permitted by Service Schedule MSS-1 and, therefore, constituted a violation of the System Agreement. However, the ALJ found that the violation was in good faith and had benefited the customers of the System as a whole. Accordingly, the ALJ recommended that no retroactive refunds should be ordered. The ALJ also held that the System Agreement should be amended to allow out-of-service units to be included in reserve equalization as proposed in an offer of settlement filed by Entergy on February 16, 1994. The ALJ's opinion is subject to review by FERC. If FERC concurs with the finding that the System Agreement was violated, it would have the discretion to order that refunds be made. If that were to occur, certain Operating Companies may be required to refund some or all of the amount by which they were underbilled pursuant to the System Agreement. The Operating Companies cannot determine at this time whether they would be authorized to recover through retail rates any amounts associated with refunds that might be ordered by FERC in this proceeding. The matter remains pending before FERC.

On March 14, 1995, the LPSC filed a complaint with FERC alleging that the System Agreement results in unjust and unreasonable rates and requested that FERC order a hearing on this matter. The LPSC contends that the failure of the System Agreement to exclude curtailable load from the determination of an Operating Company's responsibility for reserve equalization and transmission equalization costs results in an unjust and unreasonable cost allocation to the Operating Companies that does not cause these costs to be incurred, and also results in cross-subsidization among the Operating Companies. Further, the LPSC alleges that the mechanism by which the Operating Companies purchase energy under the System Agreement results in unjust and unreasonable rates because it does not permit Operating Companies that engage in real time pricing to be charged the marginal cost of the energy generated for the real time pricing customer. In May 1995, the LPSC amended its original complaint and Entergy subsequently filed an answer to the LPSC's amended complaint. The LPSC's amended complaint asserts that the System Agreement should be revised to exclude curtailable load from the cost allocation determination due to conflicts with federal policies under PURPA and with Entergy's system planning philosophy. Entergy's response asserts that both the provisions under PURPA and the Entergy system planning philosophy referred to in the LPSC's amended complaint are applicable only to retail sales.

In June 1995, the APSC filed a complaint with FERC alleging that, because of changed circumstances, FERC's allocation of nuclear decommissioning costs in the System is no longer just and reasonable. The APSC proposes that the System Agreement be amended to provide a new schedule that would equalize nuclear decommissioning costs according to load responsibility among the pre-merger operating companies.

Open Access Transmission (Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI)

On August 2, 1991, Entergy Services, as agent for AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and Entergy Power, submitted to FERC (1) proposed tariffs that, subject to certain conditions, would provide to electric utilities "open access" to the System's integrated transmission system, and (2) rate schedules providing for sales of wholesale power at market-based rates. FERC approved the filing in August 1992, and various parties filed appeals with the D.C. Circuit. The case was remanded to FERC in July 1994 for further proceedings. On October 31, 1994, Entergy Services as agent for AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI filed revised transmission tariffs. On January 6, 1995, FERC issued an order accepting the tariffs for filing and made them effective, subject to refund. These tariffs provide both point-to-point and network transmission service, and are intended to provide "comparability of service" over the Entergy transmission network. In that order FERC also ordered that Entergy Power's market pricing authority be investigated, thereby making Entergy Power's market price rate schedules subject to refund. An order in the market price rate investigation is expected to be issued by January 1997. Entergy expects that no refunds relating to market price rates will be required.

On March 29, 1995, FERC issued a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (Mega-NOPR) which would require public utilities to provide non-discriminatory open access transmission service to wholesale customers, and which would also provide guidance on the recovery of wholesale and retail stranded costs. Under the proposal, public utilities would be required to file transmission tariffs for both point-to-point and network service. Model transmission tariffs were included in the proposal. With regard to pending proceedings, including Entergy's tariff proceeding, FERC directed the parties to proceed with their cases while taking into account FERC's views expressed in the proposed rule. Hearings relating to Entergy Services' open access tariffs concluded on February 22, 1996.

In September 1995 and January 1996, Entergy Services filed offers of partial settlement accepting certain provisions of the transmission tariffs contained in the Mega-NOPR and resolving certain rate issues. The remaining rate and tariff issues will be resolved as part of the FERC's rulemaking in the Mega-NOPR, or after scheduled hearings. In August 1995, EPM filed an application for permission to make market-based

sales, but subsequently asked that action not be taken on that request until the open access transmission service proceeding discussed above is resolved. On December 13, 1995, Entergy Services filed revised transmission tariffs in a separate proceeding proposing terms and conditions for open access transmission service that are substantially identical to the terms and conditions contained in the Mega-NOPR transmission tariffs with rates to be the same as those determined in the pending proceeding. On February 14, 1996, FERC accepted for filing the revised transmission tariffs making rates subject to the outcome of the pending proceeding and conditionally accepted EPM's application for market based sales.

Wholesale Contract (AP&L)

In March 1994, North Little Rock, Arkansas awarded to AP&L a wholesale power contract that will provide estimated revenues of \$347 million over 11 years. Under the contract, the price per KWh was reduced 18% with increases in price through the year 2004. AP&L, which has been serving North Little Rock for over 40 years, was awarded the contract after intense bidding with several competitors. On May 22, 1994, FERC accepted the contract. Rehearings were requested by one of AP&L's competitors. In September 1995, FERC denied the petition for rehearing.

Retail Rate Matters

General (AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI)

Certain costs related to Grand Gulf 1, Waterford 3, and River Bend were phased into retail rates over a period of years in order to avoid the "rate shock" associated with increasing rates to reflect all such costs at once. The deferral period in which costs are incurred but not currently recovered has expired for all of these programs, and AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI are now recovering those costs that were previously deferred.

GSU is involved in several rate proceedings involving, among other things, recovery of costs associated with River Bend. Some rate relief has been received, but GSU has been unable to obtain recognition in rates for a substantial portion of its River Bend investment. Recovery of certain costs was disallowed while other costs were deferred for future recovery, held in abeyance pending further regulatory action, or treated as investments in deregulated assets. Rate proceedings and appeals relating to these issues are ongoing as discussed in "GSU" below.

As a means of minimizing the need for retail rate increases, the System is committed to containing costs to the greatest degree practicable. In accordance with this retail rate policy, the Operating Companies have agreed to retail rate caps and/or rate freezes for specified periods of time.

The retail regulatory philosophy is shifting in some jurisdictions from traditional cost of service regulation to incentive rate regulation. System management believes incentive and performance-based rate plans encourage efficiencies and productivity while permitting utilities and their customers to share in the resulting benefits. MP&L implemented an incentive-rate plan in March 1994, and, in June 1995, LP&L implemented a performance-based formula rate plan. Recognizing that many industrial customers have energy alternatives, Entergy continues to work with these customers to address their needs. In certain cases, competitive prices are negotiated using variable-rate designs.

Least Cost Integrated Resource Planning (AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI)

The System continues to utilize integrated resource planning (IRP), also known as least cost planning, in order to compete more effectively in both retail and wholesale markets. IRP is the development of integrated supply and demand side strategies to meet future electricity demands reliably, at the lowest possible cost, and in a more competitive manner.

In 1992, AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI each filed a Least Cost Integrated Resource Plan (LCIRP) with its respective regulator. However, in 1994 the System substantially revised its approach to IRP, and AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI requested that their retail regulators allow for significant changes in the IRP process. At MP&L's request, the MPSC dismissed MP&L's LCIRP filing. Due to the increasingly competitive nature of the electric service market, the System believes that changes in the IRP process are required. Entergy has adopted a streamlined process that focuses on minimizing the cost of incremental resources and maximizing the System's flexibility to adapt its resource plans to the changing environment in which electric utilities now operate.

On October 10, 1995, despite Entergy's request, the APSC issued an order requiring that Arkansas utilities file current integrated resource plans at least every three years. In this order, the APSC emphasized that planning processes must continue to evolve and publicly available information on utility resource plans must be maintained. The LPSC has established generic hearings to address IRP issues for all electric utilities within its jurisdiction. These proceedings are currently ongoing. The Council has suspended the requirement to file an LCIRP with the Council and has received testimony and held public hearings regarding the revision of its IRP Ordinance. LP&L and NOPSI are awaiting an order from the Council that would resolve the matter of IRP. Currently, the PUCT does not have formal IRP rules in place. Legislation passed in 1995 requires that the PUCT have IRP rules in place by September of 1996. This rulemaking process has been initiated by the PUCT, and GSU is actively participating in this process.

In the fourth quarter of 1995, the System provided to its retail regulators (the APSC, the Council, the LPSC, the MPSC, and the PUCT) a new IRP for informational purposes only. The new IRP provides for a flexible resource strategy to meet the System's additional resource requirements over the next ten years. The IRP provides for the utilization of capacity currently in extended reserve shutdown to meet additional load growth, but also provides the flexibility to rely on short-term power purchases, upgrades to existing nuclear capacity, or cogeneration when these resources are more economical.

AP&L

Rate Freeze

In connection with the settlement of various issues related to the Merger, AP&L agreed that it will not request any general retail rate increase that would take effect before November 3, 1998, except for certain instances. See Note 2 for a discussion of the rate freeze as well as other aspects of the settlement agreement between AP&L and the APSC.

Recovery of Grand Gulf 1 Costs

Under the settlement agreement entered into with the APSC in 1985 and amended in 1988, AP&L agreed to retain a portion of its Grand Gulf 1-related costs, recover a portion of such costs currently, and defer a portion of such costs for future recovery. In 1995 and subsequent years, AP&L retains 22% of its 36% interest in Grand Gulf 1 costs and recovers the remaining 78%. Deferrals ceased in 1990, and AP&L is recovering a portion of the previously deferred costs each year through 1998. As of December 31, 1995, the balance of deferred costs was \$360 million. AP&L is permitted to recover on a current basis the incremental costs of financing the unrecovered deferrals.

AP&L has the right to sell capacity and energy from its retained share of Grand Gulf 1 to third parties and to sell such energy to its retail customers at a price equal to AP&L's avoided energy cost. Proceeds of sales to third parties of AP&L's retained share of Grand Gulf 1 capacity and energy accrue to the benefit of AP&L's stockholder.

Fuel Adjustment Clause

AP&L's retail rate schedules include a fuel adjustment clause to recover the excess cost of fuel and purchased power incurred in the second prior month. The fuel adjustment clause also contains a nuclear reserve fund designed to cover the cost of replacement energy during scheduled maintenance and refueling outages at ANO, and an incentive provision that permits over- or under-recovery of the excess cost of replacement energy when ANO is operating or down for reasons other than refueling.

GSU

Rate Cap and Other Merger-Related Rate Agreements

In 1993, the LPSC and the PUCT approved separate regulatory proposals, which included the implementation of a five-year Rate Cap on GSU's retail electric base rates in the respective states and provisions for passing fuel and nonfuel savings created by the Merger on to the customers. See Note 2 for a discussion of the Rate Cap as well as other aspects of the settlement agreement between GSU and the LPSC and the PUCT.

Recovery of River Bend Costs

GSU deferred approximately \$369 million of River Bend operating costs, purchased power costs, and accrued carrying charges pursuant to a 1986 PUCT accounting order. Approximately \$182 million of these costs are being amortized over a 20-year period ending in the year 2009, and the remaining \$187 million are not being amortized pending the ultimate outcome of the Rate Appeal as discussed in "Texas Jurisdiction - River Bend," below. As of December 31, 1995, the unamortized balance of these costs was \$312 million. Further, GSU deferred approximately \$400.4 million of similar costs pursuant to a 1986 LPSC accounting order. These costs, of which approximately \$83 million are unamortized as of December 31, 1995, are being amortized over a 10-year period ending in 1998.

In accordance with a phase-in plan approved by the LPSC, GSU deferred \$294 million of its River Bend costs related to the period February 1988 through February 1991. GSU has amortized \$172 million through December 31, 1995, and the remaining \$122 million will be recovered over approximately 2.2 years.

Texas Jurisdiction - River Bend

In May 1988, the PUCT granted GSU a permanent increase in annual revenues of \$59.9 million resulting from the inclusion in rate base of approximately \$1.6 billion of company-wide River Bend plant investment and approximately \$182 million of related Texas retail jurisdiction deferred River Bend costs (Allowed Deferrals). In addition, the PUCT disallowed as imprudent \$63.5 million of company-wide River Bend plant costs and placed in abeyance, with no finding as to prudence, approximately \$1.4 billion of company-wide River Bend plant investment and approximately \$157 million of Texas retail jurisdiction deferred River Bend operating and carrying costs.

As discussed in Note 2, various appeals of the PUCT's order have been filed. GSU has filed an appeal with the Texas Supreme Court. On February 9, 1996, the Texas Supreme Court agreed to hear the appeal. Oral arguments are scheduled for March 19, 1996.

As of December 31, 1995, the River Bend plant costs disallowed for retail ratemaking purposes in Texas, the River Bend plant costs held in abeyance, and the related operating and carrying cost deferrals totaled (net of taxes) approximately \$13 million, \$276 million (both net of depreciation), and \$169 million, respectively. Allowed Deferrals were approximately \$83 million, net of taxes and amortization, as of December 31, 1995. GSU estimates it has recorded approximately \$182 million of revenues as of December 31, 1995, as a result of the originally ordered rate treatment by the PUCT of these deferred costs. If recovery of the Allowed Deferrals is not upheld, future revenues based upon those allowed deferrals could be lost, and no assurance can be given as to whether or not refunds to customers of revenue received based upon such deferred costs will be required.

As discussed in Note 2, as of December 31, 1995, GSU has made no write-offs or reserves for the River Bend-related costs. See below for a discussion of the write-off of deferred operating and carrying costs required under SFAS 121 in 1996. Based on advice from Clark, Thomas & Winters, A Professional Corporation, legal counsel of record in the Rate Appeal, management believes that it is reasonably possible that the case will be remanded to the PUCT, and that the PUCT will be allowed to rule on the prudence of the abeyed River Bend plant costs. Management and legal counsel are unable to predict the amount, if any, of abeyed and previously disallowed River Bend plant costs that ultimately may be disallowed by the PUCT. As of December 31, 1995, a net of tax write-off of up to \$289 million could be required if the PUCT ultimately issues an adverse ruling on the abeyed and disallowed plant costs.

The following factors support management's position that a loss contingency requiring accrual has not occurred, and that all, or substantially all, of the abeyed plant costs will ultimately be recovered:

1. The \$1.4 billion of abeyed River Bend plant costs have never been ruled imprudent and disallowed by the PUCT;
2. Analysis by Sandlin Associates, management consultants with expertise in the cost of nuclear power plants, which supports the prudence of substantially all of the abeyed construction costs;
3. Historical inclusion by the PUCT of prudent construction costs in rate base; and
4. The analysis of GSU's legal staff, which has considerable experience in Texas rate case litigation.

Additionally, based on advice from Clark, Thomas & Winters, A Professional Corporation, legal counsel of record in the Rate Appeal, management believes that it is reasonably possible that the Allowed Deferrals will continue to be recovered in rates, and that it is reasonably possible that the deferred costs related to the \$1.4 billion of abeyed River Bend plant costs will be recovered in rates to the extent that the \$1.4 billion of abeyed River Bend plant is recovered.

The adoption of SFAS 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of" (SFAS 121), became effective January 1, 1996. SFAS 121 changes the standard for continued recognition of regulatory assets, and as a result in 1996 GSU will be required to write-off \$169 million of rate deferrals discussed above. The standard also describes circumstances that may result in assets being impaired and provides criteria for recognition and measurement of asset impairment. See Note 1 for further information regarding SFAS 121.

NISCO Unrecovered Costs

In 1986, the PUCT ordered that the purchased power costs from NISCO in excess of GSU's avoided costs be disallowed. The PUCT disallowance resulted in approximately \$12 million to \$15 million of unrecovered purchased power costs on an annual basis, which GSU continued to expense as the costs were incurred. In April 1991, the Texas Supreme Court, on the appeal of such order, ordered the PUCT to allow GSU to recover purchased power payments in excess of its avoided cost in future proceedings if GSU established to the PUCT's satisfaction that the payments were reasonable and necessary expenses.

In January 1992, GSU applied to the PUCT for a new fixed fuel factor and requested a final reconciliation of fuel and purchased power costs incurred between December 1, 1986 and September 30, 1991. GSU proposed to recover net under-recoveries and interest (including under-recoveries related to NISCO) over a twelve-month period. In June 1993, the PUCT concluded that the purchased power payments made to NISCO in excess of GSU's avoided cost were not reasonably incurred. In October 1993, GSU appealed the PUCT's order to the Travis County District Court where the matter is still pending. As of December 31, 1995, GSU has expensed \$119.4 million of unrecovered purchased power costs and deferred revenue pending the appeal of the District Court. No assurance can be given as to the timing or outcome of the appeal.

PUCT Fuel Cost Review

On January 9, 1995, GSU and various parties reached an agreement for the reconciliation of over- and under-recovery of fuel and purchased power expenses for the period October 1, 1991, through December 31, 1993. On April 17, 1995, the PUCT issued a final order approving the settlement. As a result of the PUCT order, \$7.6 million of prior period fuel costs were refunded to customers through the fuel adjustment clause.

Retail Rate Proceedings

Refer to Note 2 for a discussion of additional retail rate proceedings which have been resolved during the current year and/or are currently outstanding in the regulatory jurisdictions in which GSU operates.

Fuel Recovery

GSU's Texas rate schedules include a fixed fuel factor to recover fuel and purchased power costs not recovered in base rates. The fixed factor may be revised every six months in accordance with a schedule set by the PUCT for each utility. To the extent actual costs vary from the fixed factor, refunds or surcharges are required or permitted, respectively. Fuel costs are also subject to reconciliation proceedings every three years. GSU's Louisiana electric rate schedules include a fuel adjustment clause to reflect the cost of fuel and purchased power costs in the second prior month, adjusted by a surcharge for deferred fuel expense arising from the monthly reconciliation of actual fuel cost incurred with fuel revenues billed to customers.

GSU's Louisiana gas rates include a purchased gas adjustment to recover the cost of purchased gas.

Steam Customer Contract

GSU is currently negotiating with its only steam customer whose contract is scheduled to expire in 1997. It is anticipated that GSU will be successful in such negotiations and the contract will be renewed. During 1995 sales to this customer contributed \$44.5 million in base revenues to GSU.

LP&L

Recovery of Waterford 3 and Grand Gulf 1 Costs

In a series of LPSC orders, court decisions, and agreements from late 1985 to mid-1988, LP&L was granted rate relief with respect to costs associated with Waterford 3 and LP&L's share of capacity and energy from Grand Gulf 1, subject to certain terms and conditions. With respect to Waterford 3, LP&L was granted an increase aggregating \$170.9 million over the period 1985-1988, and LP&L agreed to permanently absorb, and not recover from retail ratepayers, \$284 million of its investment in the unit and to defer \$266 million of its costs related to the years 1985-1988 to be recovered over approximately 8.6 years beginning in April 1988. As of December 31, 1995, LP&L's unrecovered deferral balance was \$26 million.

With respect to Grand Gulf 1, LP&L agreed to retain, and not recover from retail ratepayers, 18% of its 14% share or, approximately 2.52% of the costs of Grand Gulf 1's capacity and energy. LP&L is allowed to recover, through the fuel adjustment clause, 4.6 cents per KWh for the energy related to its retained portion of these costs. Alternatively, LP&L may sell such energy to nonaffiliated parties at prices above the fuel adjustment clause recovery amount, subject to the LPSC's approval.

Performance-Based Formula Rate Plan

In June 1995, in conjunction with the LPSC's rate review, a performance-based formula rate plan previously proposed by LP&L was approved with certain modifications. At the same time, the LPSC ordered a \$49.4 million reduction in base rates. For a discussion of LP&L's approved performance-based formula rate plan, LP&L's subsequent appeal of the LPSC's June 1995 rate order, and the final settlement of this appeal, see Note 2.

Fuel Adjustment Clause

LP&L's rate schedules include a fuel adjustment clause to reflect the cost of fuel and purchased power in the second prior month. The fuel adjustment also reflects a surcharge for deferred fuel expense arising from the monthly reconciliation of actual fuel cost incurred with fuel revenues billed to customers.

MP&L

Retail Rate Proceedings

Refer to Note 2 for a discussion of the retail rate proceedings which have been resolved during the current year and/or are currently outstanding in the regulatory jurisdictions in which MP&L operates.

Rate Freeze

In connection with the settlement of various issues related to the Merger, MP&L agreed that it will not request any general retail rate increase to take effect before November 3, 1998, except for certain instances. See Note 2 for a discussion of the rate freeze as well as other aspects of the settlement agreement between MP&L and the MPSC.

Recovery of Grand Gulf 1 Costs

In 1988 the MPSC granted MP&L an annual base rate increase of approximately \$326.5 million in connection with its allocated share of Grand Gulf 1 costs. The MPSC also provided for the deferral of a portion of such costs that were incurred each year through 1992, and recovery of these deferrals over a period of six years ending in 1998. As of December 31, 1995, the uncollected balance of MP&L's deferred costs was approximately \$378 million. MP&L is permitted to recover the carrying charges on all deferred amounts on a current basis.

Formula Rate Plan

Under a formula incentive rate plan (Formula Rate Plan) effective March 25, 1994, MP&L's earned rate of return is calculated automatically every 12 months and compared to and adjusted against a benchmark rate of return (calculated under a separate formula within the Formula Rate Plan). The Formula Rate Plan allows for periodic small adjustments in rates based on a comparison of actual earned returns to benchmark returns and upon certain performance factors. Pursuant to a stipulation with the MPSC's Public Utilities Staff, MP&L did not request an adjustment in rates based on its earned rate of return for the 12-months ended December 31, 1994.

Fuel Adjustment Clause

MP&L's rate schedules include a fuel adjustment clause that recovers changes in cost of fuel and purchased power. The monthly fuel adjustment rate is based on projected sales and costs for the month, adjusted for differences between actual and estimated costs and KWh sales for the second prior month.

NOPSI

Recovery of Grand Gulf 1 Costs

Under NOPSI's various Rate Settlements with the Council in 1986, 1988, and 1991, NOPSI agreed to absorb and not recover from ratepayers a total of \$96.2 million of its Grand Gulf 1 costs. NOPSI was permitted to implement annual rate increases in decreasing amounts each year through 1995, and to defer certain costs and related carrying charges, for recovery on a schedule extending from 1991 through 2001. As of December 31, 1995, the uncollected balance of NOPSI's deferred costs was \$171 million. The 1994 NOPSI Settlement did not affect the scheduled Grand Gulf 1 phase-in rate increases.

1994 NOPSI Settlement

In a settlement with the Council that was approved on December 29, 1994, NOPSI agreed to reduce electric and gas rates and issue credits and refunds to customers. Effective January 1, 1995, NOPSI implemented a \$31.8 million permanent reduction in electric base rates and a \$3.1 million permanent reduction in gas base rates. The 1994 NOPSI Settlement also required NOPSI to credit its customers \$25 million over a 21-month period, beginning January 1, 1995, in order to resolve disputes with the Council regarding the interpretation of the 1991 NOPSI Settlement. See Note 2 for additional discussion of the rate reductions and refunds ordered by the Council in the 1994 NOPSI settlement, as well as the 1995 and 1996 annual earnings reviews required by the Council.

Fuel Adjustment Clause

NOPSI's electric rate schedules include a fuel adjustment clause to reflect the cost of fuel in the second prior month, adjusted by a surcharge for deferred fuel expense arising from the monthly reconciliation of actual fuel incurred with fuel cost revenues billed to customers. The adjustment, on a monthly basis, also reflects the difference between nonfuel Grand Gulf 1 costs paid by NOPSI and the estimate of such costs provided in NOPSI's Grand Gulf 1 Rate Settlements. NOPSI's gas rate schedules include an adjustment to reflect gas costs in excess of those collected in base rates, adjusted by a surcharge similar to that included in the electric fuel adjustment clause.

Regulation

Federal Regulation (Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy)

PUHCA

Entergy Corporation is a public utility holding company registered under PUHCA. As such, Entergy Corporation and its various direct and indirect subsidiaries (with the exception of its EWG and foreign utility subsidiaries) are subject to the broad regulatory provisions of that Act. Except with respect to investments in certain domestic power projects, foreign utility company projects, and telecommunication projects,

PUHCA limits the operations of a registered holding company system to a single, integrated public utility system, plus additional systems and businesses as provided by that section. See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - SIGNIFICANT FACTORS AND KNOWN TRENDS," for a discussion of the Telecommunications Act.

Entergy Corporation and other electric utility holding companies, have supported legislation in the United States Congress which would repeal PUHCA, which requires detailed oversight by the SEC of many business practices and activities of utility holding companies and their subsidiaries. The proposed legislation would transfer certain aspects of the oversight of public utility holding companies from the SEC to FERC.

Entergy believes that PUHCA inhibits its ability to compete in the evolving electric energy marketplace and largely duplicates the oversight activities already performed by FERC and state and local regulators. In June 1995, the SEC adopted a report proposing options for the repeal or significant modification of PUHCA and proposed rule changes that would reduce the regulations governing utility holding companies. One rule change adopted as a result of such proposals eliminated the requirement to receive prior authorization for capital contributions made by a parent company to its nonutility subsidiary companies and for financing its non utility subsidiary companies. Such rule was appealed to the D.C. Circuit by the City of New Orleans where the appeal was denied in January 1996.

Federal Power Act

The Operating Companies, System Energy, and Entergy Power are subject to the Federal Power Act as administered by FERC and the DOE. The Federal Power Act provides for regulatory jurisdiction over the licensing of certain hydroelectric projects, the transmission and wholesale sale of electric energy in interstate commerce, and certain other activities, including accounting policies and practices. Such regulation includes jurisdiction over the rates charged by System Energy for capacity and energy provided to AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI from Grand Gulf 1.

AP&L holds a license for two hydroelectric projects (70 MW) that was renewed on July 2, 1980. This license, granted by FERC, will expire in February 2003.

Regulation of the Nuclear Power Industry (Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy)

General

Under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 and the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, operation of nuclear plants is intensively regulated by the NRC, which has broad power to impose licensing and safety-related requirements. In the event of non-compliance, the NRC has the authority to impose fines or shut down a unit, or both, depending upon its assessment of the severity of the situation, until compliance is achieved. AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy, as owners of all or a portion of ANO, River Bend, Waterford 3, and Grand Gulf 1, respectively, and Entergy Operations, as the operator of these units, are subject to the jurisdiction of the NRC. Revised safety requirements promulgated by the NRC have, in the past, necessitated substantial capital expenditures at these nuclear plants, and additional such expenditures could be required in the future.

The nuclear power industry faces uncertainties with respect to the cost and long-term availability of sites for disposal of spent nuclear fuel and other radioactive waste, nuclear plant operations, the technological and financial aspects of decommissioning plants at the end of their licensed lives, and requirements relating to nuclear insurance. These matters are briefly discussed below.

Spent Fuel and Other High-Level Radioactive Waste

Under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, the DOE is required, for a specified fee, to construct storage facilities for, and to dispose of, all spent nuclear fuel and other high-level radioactive waste generated by domestic nuclear power reactors. However, the DOE has not yet identified a permanent storage repository and, as a result, future expenditures may be required to increase spent fuel storage capacity at the plant sites. For further information concerning spent fuel disposal contracts with the DOE, schedules for initial shipments of spent nuclear fuel, current on-site storage capacity, and costs of providing additional on-site storage, see Note 8.

Low-Level Radioactive Waste

The availability and cost of disposal facilities for low-level radioactive waste resulting from normal nuclear plant operations are subject to a number of uncertainties. Under the Low-Level Radioactive Waste Policy Act of 1980, as amended, each state is responsible for disposal of its own waste, and states may participate in regional compacts to fulfill their responsibilities jointly. The States of Arkansas and Louisiana participate in the Central States Compact, and the State of Mississippi participates in the Southeast Compact. Two disposal sites are currently operating in the United States, and until recently both were closed to out-of-region generators. The Barnwell Disposal Facility (Barnwell), located in South Carolina and operated by the Southeast Compact, reopened to out-of-region generators in July 1995. The South Carolina State legislative action reopening Barnwell must be renewed annually. The availability of Barnwell provides only temporary relief from low-level radioactive waste storage and does not alleviate the need to develop new disposal capacity.

Both the Central States Compact and the Southeast Compact are working to establish additional disposal sites. The System, along with other waste generators, funds the development costs for new disposal facilities. As of December 1995, the System's cumulative expenditures for the development of new disposal facilities totaled approximately \$38 million. Future levels of expenditures cannot be predicted. Until long-term disposal facilities are established, the System will seek continued access to existing facilities. If such access is unavailable, the System will store low-level waste at its nuclear plant sites.

Decommissioning

AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy are recovering from ratepayers portions of their estimated decommissioning costs for ANO, River Bend, Waterford 3, and Grand Gulf 1, respectively. These amounts are deposited in trust funds that, together with the related earnings, can only be used for future decommissioning costs. Estimated decommissioning costs are periodically reviewed and updated to reflect inflation and changes in regulatory requirements and technology, and applications are periodically made to appropriate regulatory authorities to reflect in rates any future changes in projected decommissioning costs. For additional information with respect to decommissioning costs for ANO, River Bend, Waterford 3, and Grand Gulf 1, see Note 8.

Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fees

The EPAct requires all electric utilities (including AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy) that have purchased uranium enrichment services from the DOE to contribute up to a total of \$150 million annually, adjusted for inflation, up to a total of \$2.25 billion over approximately 15 years, for decontamination and decommissioning of enrichment facilities. In accordance with the EPAct, contributions to decontamination and decommissioning funds are recovered through rates in the same manner as other fuel costs. See Note 8 for the estimated annual contributions by the System companies for decontamination and decommissioning fees.

Nuclear Insurance

The Price-Anderson Act limits public liability for a single nuclear incident to approximately \$8.92 billion. AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy have protection with respect to this liability through a combination of private insurance and an industry assessment program, and also have insurance for property damage, costs of replacement power, and other risks relating to nuclear generating units. For a discussion of insurance applicable to the nuclear programs of AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy, see Note 8.

Nuclear Operations

General (Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy)

Entergy Operations operates ANO, River Bend, Waterford 3, and Grand Gulf 1, subject to the owner oversight of AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy, respectively. AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy, and the other Grand Gulf 1 and River Bend co-owners, have retained their ownership interests in their respective nuclear generating units. AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy have also retained their associated capacity and energy entitlements, and pay directly or reimburse Entergy Operations at cost for its operation of the units.

ANO Matters (Entergy Corporation and AP&L)

Entergy Operations has made inspections and repairs from time to time on ANO 2's steam generators. During the October 1995 inspection, additional cracks in the tubes were discovered. Currently, Entergy Operations is monitoring the development of the cracks and assessing various options for the repair or the replacement of ANO 2's steam generators. See Note 8 for additional information.

River Bend (Entergy Corporation and GSU)

In connection with the Merger, GSU filed two applications with the NRC in January 1993 to amend the River Bend operating license. The applications sought the NRC's consent to the Merger and to a change in the licensed operator of the facility from GSU to Entergy Operations. In August 1993 Cajun filed a petition to intervene and a request for a hearing in the proceeding. In January 1994, the presiding NRC Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (ASLB) issued an order granting Cajun's petition to intervene and ordering a hearing on one of Cajun's contentions. In 1994, subsequent to Cajun's intervention in such proceedings, the NRC Staff issued the two license amendments for River Bend, which were effective immediately upon consummation of the Merger. A hearing on the proceeding before the ASLB has been postponed, pending approval of a petition by Cajun to withdraw such a proceeding. On February 14, 1994, Cajun filed with the D.C. Circuit petitions for review of the two license amendments for River Bend. In March 1995, the D.C. Circuit ordered the original NRC order and license amendments be set aside, and remanded the case to the NRC for further consideration. Subsequently, the NRC affirmed its original findings and reissued the two license amendments approving the Merger and the change in the licensed operator of River Bend. Cajun has filed a petition for review with the D. C. Circuit, and oral arguments are expected to be heard in May 1996. These two amendments are in full force and effect, but are subject to the outcome of the two proceedings.

State Regulation (AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI)

General

Each of the Operating Companies is subject to regulation by state and/or local regulatory authorities having jurisdiction over the areas in which it operates. Such regulation includes authority to set rates for retail electric and gas service. (See "RATE MATTERS AND REGULATION - - - - Rate Matters - Retail Rate Matters," above.)

AP&L is subject to regulation by the APSC and the Tennessee Public Service Commission (TPSC). APSC regulation includes the authority to set rates, determine reasonable and adequate service, fix the value of property used and useful, require proper accounting, control leasing, control the acquisition or sale of any public utility plant or property constituting an operating unit or system, set rates of depreciation, issue certificates of convenience and necessity and certificates of environmental compatibility and public need, and control the issuance and sale of securities. Regulation by the TPSC includes the authority to set standards of service and rates for service to customers in the state, require proper accounting, control the issuance and sale of securities, and issue certificates of convenience and necessity.

GSU is subject to the jurisdiction of the municipal authorities of incorporated cities in Texas as to retail rates and services within their boundaries, with appellate jurisdiction over such matters residing in the PUCT. GSU is also subject to regulation by the PUCT as to retail rates and services in rural areas, certification of new generating plants, and extensions of service into new areas. GSU is subject to regulation by the LPSC as to electric and gas service, rates and charges, certification of generating facilities and power or capacity purchase contracts, depreciation, accounting, and other matters.

LP&L is subject to regulation by the LPSC as to electric service, rates and charges, certification of generating facilities and power or capacity purchase contracts, depreciation, accounting, and other matters. LP&L is also subject to the jurisdiction of the Council with respect to such matters within Algiers.

MP&L is subject to regulation as to service, service areas, facilities, and retail rates by the MPSC. MP&L is also subject to regulation by the APSC as to the certificate of environmental compatibility and public need for the Independence Station.

NOPSI is subject to regulation by the Council as to electric and gas service, rates and charges, standards of service, depreciation, accounting, issuance of certain securities, and other matters.

Franchises

AP&L holds exclusive franchises to provide electric service in 300 incorporated cities and towns in Arkansas. These franchises are unlimited in duration and continue until such a time when the municipalities purchase the utility property. In Arkansas, franchises are considered to be contracts and, therefore, are terminable upon breach of the contract.

GSU holds non-exclusive franchises, permits, or certificates of convenience and necessity to provide electric and gas service in 55 incorporated villages, cities, and towns in Louisiana and 64 incorporated cities and towns in Texas. GSU ordinarily holds 50-year franchises in Texas and 60-year franchises in Louisiana. GSU's current electric franchises will expire in 2007 - 2036 in Texas and in 2015 - 2046 in Louisiana. The natural gas franchise in the City of Baton Rouge will expire in 2015. In addition, GSU has received from the PUCT a certificate of convenience and necessity to provide electric service to areas within 21 counties in eastern Texas.

LP&L holds non-exclusive franchises to provide electric service in 116 incorporated villages, cities, and towns. Most of these municipal franchises have 25-year terms, although six municipalities have granted LP&L 60-year franchises. LP&L also supplies electric service in 353 unincorporated communities, all of which are located in parishes in which LP&L holds non-exclusive franchises.

MP&L has received from the MPSC certificates of public convenience and necessity to provide electric service to areas within 45 counties in western Mississippi, which include a number of municipalities. Under Mississippi statutory law, such certificates are exclusive. MP&L may continue to serve in such municipalities upon payment of a statutory franchise fee, regardless of whether an original municipal franchise is still in existence.

NOPSI provides electric and gas service in the City of New Orleans pursuant to city ordinances, which state, among other things, that the City has a continuing option to purchase NOPSI's electric and gas utility properties.

System Energy has no distribution franchises. Its business is currently limited to wholesale power sales.

Environmental Regulation

General

In the areas of air quality, water quality, control of toxic substances and hazardous and solid wastes, and other environmental matters, the facilities and operations of the System companies are subject to regulation by various federal, state, and local authorities. The System companies believe they are in substantial compliance with environmental regulations currently applicable to their respective facilities and operations. They have incurred significant costs in meeting environmental protection standards. Because environmental regulations are continually changing, the ultimate compliance costs to the System companies cannot be precisely estimated. However, management currently estimates that ultimate capital expenditures for environmental compliance purposes, including those discussed in "Clean Air Legislation," below, will not be material for the System as a whole.

Clean Air Legislation

The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (the Act) set up three programs that affect the System companies: an acid rain program for control of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x), an ozone nonattainment area program for control of NO_x and volatile organic compounds, and an operating permits program for administration and enforcement of these and other Clean Air Act programs.

Under the acid rain program, no additional control equipment is expected to be required by the System to control SO₂. The Act provides "allowances" to most of the affected System companies' generating units for emissions based upon past emission levels and operating characteristics. Each allowance is an entitlement to emit one ton of SO₂ per year. Under the Act, utilities will be required to possess allowances for SO₂ emissions from affected generating units. All of the Entergy company generating units are classified as "Phase II" units under the Act and are subject to SO₂ allowance requirements beginning in the year 2000. Based on operating history, the System companies are considered "clean" utilities and have been allocated more allowances than are currently necessary for normal operations. Management believes that it will be able to operate its units efficiently without installing scrubbers or purchasing allowances from outside sources, and that one or more of the System companies may have excess allowances available for sale.

The System companies have installed continuous emission monitoring (CEM) equipment at their fossil generating units to comply with EPA regulations under the Act, and CEM software and computer equipment is currently being updated at AP&L, MP&L, LP&L, and NOPSI generating units. Such CEM equipment resulted in approximately \$5.2 million of capital costs during 1995. No material costs for CEM equipment are expected in 1996.

Control equipment may eventually be required for NO_x reductions due to the ozone nonattainment status of the areas served by GSU in and around Beaumont and Houston, Texas. Texas environmental authorities are studying the causes of ozone pollution and will decide during 1996 whether to require controls. If Texas decides to regulate NO_x, the cost of such control equipment for the affected GSU plants is estimated at \$10.4 million through the year 2000.

In accordance with the Act, the EPA promulgated operating permit regulations in 1994 that may set new operating criteria for fossil plants relating to fuels, emissions, and equipment maintenance practices. Some or all Entergy Companies may also have to install additional CEM equipment as a result of these regulations. The cost will be determined on a state-by-state basis as the plants are granted permits during 1996 and 1997. Related capital and operation and maintenance costs are expected to begin in 1996, but are not expected to be material. The authority to impose permit fees under this program has been delegated to the states by the EPA and, depending on the outcomes of various decisions of each state regulatory authority, total permit fees for the System could range from \$1.6 to \$5.0 million annually.

Other Environmental Matters

The provisions of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (CERCLA), authorize the EPA and, indirectly, the states to require generators and certain transporters of certain hazardous substances released from or at a site, and the owners or operators of any such site, to clean-up the site or reimburse such clean-up costs. CERCLA has been interpreted to impose joint and several liability on responsible parties. The System companies sent waste materials to various disposal sites over the years. Also, certain operating procedures and maintenance practices, that historically were not subject to regulation, are now regulated by environmental laws. Some of these sites have been the subject of governmental action under CERCLA, as a result of which the System companies have become involved with site clean-up activities. The System companies have participated to various degrees in accordance with their potential liability in such site clean-ups and have developed experience with clean-up costs. The System companies have established reserves for such environmental clean-up/restoration activities. In the aggregate, the cost of such remediation is not considered material to the System.

AP&L

AP&L has received notices from time to time from the EPA, the Arkansas Department of Pollution Control and Ecology (ADPC&E), and others alleging that it, along with others, may be a PRP for clean-up costs associated with various sites in Arkansas. Most of these sites are neither owned nor operated by any System company. Contaminants at the sites include polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), lead, and other hazardous substances.

In response to such notices from the EPA and the ADPC&E, the sites discussed below have been remediated:

At the EPA's request, AP&L voluntarily performed stabilization activities at the Benton Salvage site in Saline County, Arkansas. While the EPA has not named PRPs for this site, AP&L has negotiated an agreement with the EPA to remove waste stored at the site. AP&L will spend approximately \$250,000 to remove and dispose of waste material at the Benton Salvage site. Although GSU and LP&L have had minor involvement in the Benton Salvage site, no remediation action is anticipated by these companies.

As a result of an internal investigation, AP&L has identified soil contamination at AP&L-owned sites located in Blytheville and Pine Bluff, Arkansas. The contamination appears to be a result of operating procedures that were performed prior to any applicable environmental regulation. Remediation of the Blytheville and Pine Bluff sites was completed in 1995 at a total cost of approximately \$2.25 million.

Reynolds Metals Company (Reynolds) and AP&L notified the EPA in 1989 of possible PCB contamination at two former Reynolds plant sites (Jones Mill and Patterson) in Arkansas to which AP&L had supplied power. Subsequently, AP&L completed remediation at the substations serving the plant sites at a cost of \$1.7 million. Additional PCB contamination was found in a portion of a drainage ditch that flows from the Patterson facility to the Ouachita River. Reynolds demanded that AP&L participate in remediation efforts with respect to the ditch. AP&L and independent contractors engaged by AP&L conducted an investigation of the ditch contamination and the possible migration of PCBs from the electrical equipment that AP&L maintained at the plant. The investigation concluded that little, if any, of the contamination was caused by AP&L. AP&L has thus far expended approximately \$150,000 on investigation of the ditch. In May 1995, AP&L was named as a defendant in a suit by Reynolds seeking to recover a share of its costs associated with the clean-up of hazardous substances at the Patterson site. Reynolds alleges that it has spent \$11.2 million to clean-up the site, and that AP&L bears some responsibility for PCB contamination at the site. AP&L believes that it has no liability for contamination at the Patterson site and is contesting the lawsuit.

AP&L entered into a Consent Administrative Order, dated February 21, 1991, with the ADPC&E that named AP&L as a PRP for the initial stabilization associated with contamination at the Utilities Services, Inc. state Superfund site located near Rison, Arkansas. This site was found to have soil contaminated by PCBs and pentachlorophenol (a wood preservative). Containers and drums that contained PCBs and other hazardous substances were found at the site. AP&L's share of total remediation costs is estimated to range between \$3.0 and \$5.0 million. AP&L is attempting to identify and notify other PRPs with respect to this site. AP&L has received assurances that the ADPC&E will use its enforcement authority to allocate remediation expenses among AP&L and any other PRPs that can be identified. Approximately 20 PRPs have been identified to date. AP&L has performed the activities necessary to stabilize the site, at a cost of approximately \$350,000. AP&L believes that its potential liability for this site will not be material.

GSU

GSU has been designated by the EPA as a PRP for the clean-up of certain hazardous waste disposal sites. GSU is currently negotiating with the EPA and state authorities regarding the clean-up of these sites. Several class action and other suits have been filed in state and federal courts seeking relief from GSU and others for damages caused by the disposal of hazardous waste and for asbestos-related disease allegedly resulting from exposure on GSU premises (see "Other Regulation and Litigation" below). While the amounts at issue may be substantial, GSU believes that its results of operations and financial condition will not be materially adversely affected by the outcome of the suits. Through December 31, 1995, \$7.9 million has been expended on clean-up activities. As of December 31, 1995, a remaining recorded liability of \$21.7 million existed relating to the clean-up of five sites at which GSU has been designated a PRP.

In 1971, GSU purchased property near its Sabine generating station, known as the Bailey site, for possible expansion of cooling water facilities. Although it was not known to GSU at the time, the property was utilized by area industries in the 1950's and 1960's as an industrial waste dump. GSU sold the property in 1984. In October 1984, an abandoned waste site on the property was included on the Superfund National Priorities List (NPL) by the EPA. GSU has pursued negotiations with the EPA and is a member of a task force with other PRPs for the voluntary clean-up of the waste site. A Consent Decree has been signed by all PRPs for the voluntary clean-up of the Bailey site. Additional wastes have been discovered at the site since the original clean-up costs were estimated. Remediation of the Bailey site is being redesigned and costs are currently expected to be approximately \$33 million. GSU is expected to be responsible for 2.26% of the estimated clean-up cost. Federal and state agencies are presently examining potential liabilities associated with natural resource damages. This matter is currently under negotiation with the other PRPs and the agencies. GSU does not believe that its ultimate responsibility with respect to this site will be material after allowance for the existing clean-up reserve in the amount of \$760,000.

GSU is currently involved in a multi-phased remedial investigation of an abandoned manufactured gas plant (MGP) site, known as the Lake Charles Service Center, located in Lake Charles, Louisiana. The property was the site of an MGP that is believed to have operated from approximately 1916 to 1931. Coal tar, a by-product of the distillation process employed at MGPs, was apparently routed to a portion of the property for disposal. The same area has also been used as a landfill. Under an order issued by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ), which is currently stayed, GSU was required to investigate and, if necessary, take remedial action at the site. Preliminary estimates of remediation costs are approximately \$20 million. On February 13, 1995, the EPA published a proposed rule adding the Lake Charles Service Center to the NPL. Another PRP has been identified and is believed to have had a role in the ownership and operation of the MGP. Negotiations with that company for joint participation and possible remedial action have been held and are expected to continue. GSU currently is awaiting notification from the EPA before initiating additional clean-up negotiations or actions. GSU does not presently believe that its ultimate responsibility with respect to this site will be material, after allowance for the existing clean-up reserve of \$19.8 million.

GSU along with LP&L has been named as a PRP for an abandoned waste oil recycling plant site in Livingston Parish, Louisiana, known as Combustion, Inc., which is included on the NPL. Although most surface remediation has been completed, additional studies related to residual groundwater contamination are expected to continue in 1996. GSU and LP&L have been named as defendants in a class action lawsuit lodged against a group of PRPs associated with the site. (For information regarding litigation in connection with the Combustion, Inc. site, see "Other Regulation and Litigation" below.) GSU does not presently believe that its ultimate responsibility with respect to this site will be material.

GSU received notification in 1992 from the EPA of potential liability at a site located in Iota, Louisiana. This site was the depository of a variety of wastes, including medical and chemical wastes. In addition to GSU, over 200 parties have been named as PRPs. The EPA has completed remediation at the Iota site. However, it is continuing its investigation of the site and has notified the PRPs of the possibility of this site being linked to other sites. GSU does not believe it is implicated in these other sites. GSU has not received notification of liability or location with regard to the other sites, and does not believe that its ultimate responsibility with respect to these other sites will be material.

GSU, along with AP&L and LP&L, has been notified of its potential liability with respect to the Benton Salvage site located in Saline County, Arkansas. Although GSU and LP&L have had minor involvement in the Benton Salvage site, no remediation action is anticipated by these companies. See "AP&L" above for a discussion of the Benton Salvage site.

LP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy

LP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy have received notices from the EPA and/or the states of Louisiana and Mississippi that one or more than one company may be a PRP for disposal sites that are neither owned nor operated by any System company. In response to such notices the sites discussed below have been remediated:

LP&L and NOPSI have completed remediation at the Rose Chemical site located in Missouri and the aggregate remaining costs are considered immaterial.

LP&L, along with AP&L and GSU, was notified in 1990 of its potential liability at the Benton Salvage site located in Saline County, Arkansas. Although GSU and LP&L have been involved in the Benton Salvage site, their contributions are considered minor; and therefore, no remediation action is required by these companies. See "AP&L" above for a discussion of the Benton Salvage site.

The EPA named LP&L and System Energy as two of the 44 PRPs for the Disposal Systems, Inc. site in Mississippi. The State of Mississippi has indicated that it intends to have the PRPs conduct a clean-up of the Disposal Systems, Inc. site but has not yet taken formal action. LP&L has settled this matter with the EPA. The State of Mississippi is continuing to evaluate whether additional remediation measures are necessary. However, further remediation costs at the Disposal Systems, Inc. site are not expected to be material.

NOPSI received notice from the EPA with respect to a Mississippi site, known as Pike County, in the fall of 1994. The EPA alleged that NOPSI sold and shipped hazardous waste to the Pike County site during 1983 and 1984. NOPSI has negotiated a final settlement with the EPA for remediation of the site and no further costs are expected.

From 1992 to 1994, LP&L performed site assessments and remedial activities at three retired power plants, known as the Homer, Jonesboro, and Thibodaux municipal sites, previously owned and operated by Louisiana municipalities. LP&L purchased the power plants as part of the acquisition of municipal electric systems after operating them for the last few years of their useful lives. The site assessments indicated some subsurface contamination from fuel oil. LP&L has completed all remediation work to the LDEQ's satisfaction for these three former generating plants, and follow-up sampling has been completed at the Homer site. Sampling at the Jonesboro and Thibodaux sites is expected to be completed in 1996. The costs incurred through December 31, 1995 for the Homer, Jonesboro, and Thibodaux sites are \$22,000, \$156,000, and \$34,000, respectively. Any remaining costs are considered immaterial.

There are certain disposal sites in which LP&L and NOPSI have been named by the EPA as PRPs for associated clean-up costs, but management believes no liability exists in connection with these sites for LP&L and NOPSI. Such Louisiana sites include Combustion Inc., an abandoned waste oil recycling plant site located in Livingston Parish (involving at least 70 PRPs, including GSU), and the Dutchtown site (also included on the NPL and involving 57 PRPs). LP&L has found no evidence of its involvement in the Combustion Inc. site. (For information regarding litigation in connection with the Livingston Parish site, see "Other Regulation and Litigation," below). With respect to the Dutchtown site, NOPSI believes it has no liability because the material it sent to this site was not a hazardous substance.

During 1993, the LDEQ issued new rules for solid waste regulation, including regulation of waste water impoundments. LP&L has determined that certain of its power plant waste water impoundments were affected by these regulations and has chosen to upgrade or close them. As a result, a remaining recorded liability in the amount of \$10.6 million existed at December 31, 1995, for waste water upgrades and closures to be completed by the end of 1996. Cumulative expenditures relating to the upgrades and closures of waste water impoundments were \$5.6 million as of December 31, 1995.

Other Regulation and Litigation

Merger (Entergy Corporation and GSU)

In July and August 1992, Entergy Corporation and GSU filed applications with FERC, the LPSC, and the PUCT, and Entergy Corporation, Entergy Operations, and Entergy Services filed an application with the SEC under PUHCA, seeking authorization of various aspects of the Merger. In January 1993, GSU filed two applications with the NRC seeking approval of the change in ownership of GSU and an amendment to the operating license for River Bend to reflect its operation by Entergy Operations. All regulatory approvals were obtained in 1993 and the Merger was consummated on December 31, 1993.

FERC's December 15, 1993, and May 17, 1994, orders approving the Merger were appealed to the D.C. Circuit by Entergy Services, the City, the Arkansas Electric Energy Consumers (AEEC), the APSC, Cajun, the MPSC, the American Forest and Paper Association, the State of Mississippi, the City of Benton and other cities, and Occidental Chemical Corporation (Occidental). Entergy seeks review of FERC's deletion of a 40% cap on the amount of fuel savings GSU may be required to transfer to other Entergy operating companies under a tracking mechanism designed to protect the other companies from certain unexpected increases in fuel costs. The other parties are seeking to overturn FERC's decisions on various grounds, including the issues of whether FERC appropriately conditioned the Merger to protect various interested parties from alleged harm and FERC's reliance on Entergy's transmission tariff to mitigate any potential anticompetitive impacts of the Merger.

On November 18, 1994, the D. C. Circuit denied motions filed by Cajun, Occidental, and AEEC for a remand to FERC and a partial summary grant of the petitions for review. At the same time, the D.C. Circuit ordered that the cases be held in abeyance pending FERC's issuance of (1) a final order on remand in the proceedings on Entergy's transmission tariff, see discussion of tariff case in "RATE MATTERS AND REGULATION - Rate Matters - Wholesale Rate Matters - Open Access Transmission" above, and (2) a final order on competition issues in the proceedings on the Merger.

On December 30, 1993, Entergy Services submitted to FERC tariff revisions to comply with FERC's order dated December 15, 1993, approving the Merger. On February 4, 1994, the APSC and AEEC filed with FERC a joint protest to the compliance filing. They alleged that Entergy must insulate the ratepayers of AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI from all litigation liabilities related to GSU's River Bend nuclear facility. In its May 17, 1994, order on rehearing, FERC addressed Entergy's commitment to insulate the customers of AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI against liability resulting from certain litigation involving River Bend. In response to FERC's clarification of Entergy's commitment, Entergy Services filed a compliance filing on June 16, 1994, which amended certain System Agreement language submitted with the December 30, 1993, filing. APSC and AEEC subsequently filed protests questioning the adequacy of Entergy's June 16, 1994, compliance filing. Entergy filed an answer to the protest reiterating its full compliance with the requirements of FERC's May 17, 1994, order on rehearing. FERC has not yet acted on the compliance filings.

Requests for rehearing of the SEC order were filed with the SEC by Houston Industries Incorporated and Houston Lighting & Power Company on December 28, 1993, and petitions for review seeking to set aside the SEC order were filed with the D.C. Circuit by these parties and by Cajun in February 1994. The matter has been remanded by the D.C. Circuit to the SEC for further consideration in light of developments at FERC relating to Entergy's transmission tariffs.

Appeals seeking to set aside the LPSC order related to the Merger were filed in the 19th Judicial District Court for the Parish of East Baton Rouge, Louisiana, by Houston Lighting & Power Company on August 13, 1993, and by the Alliance for Affordable Energy, Inc. on August 20, 1993. Subsequently, on February 9, 1994, Houston Lighting & Power Company filed a motion voluntarily dismissing its appeal. In judgments issued in February and November 1995, the 19th Judicial District Court dismissed the appeals of the Alliance for Affordable Energy, Inc.

Flowage Easement Suits (AP&L)

Three lawsuits (subsequently consolidated into one) were filed in the Arkansas District Court by numerous plaintiffs against AP&L and Entergy Services in connection with the operation of two dams during a period of heavy rainfall and flooding in May 1990. The consolidated lawsuits sought approximately \$14.4 million in property losses and other compensatory damages, and \$500 million in punitive damages. In their responses to these complaints, AP&L and Entergy Services asserted, among other things, that AP&L owns flowage easements giving it the permanent right to inundate the lands owned or occupied by the plaintiffs in connection with the operation of the dams. Rulings issued by the Arkansas District Court in June and November 1991 found that AP&L had the right to enforce its flowage easements and that Entergy Services was entitled to the benefit of AP&L's flowage easements. Such rulings removed from consideration damages in the approximate amount of \$13.5 million alleged to have occurred within the areas covered by the easements. As a result, over 300 plaintiffs claiming damage within the easements were dismissed from the consolidated case in December 1991. Certain plaintiffs appealed the Arkansas District Court rulings to the Eighth Circuit, and these appeals were ultimately denied in December 1993. The remaining plaintiffs, to whom the flowage easements did not apply, had obtained a stay and an administrative termination of their claims, pending the outcome of the appeal. On February 10, 1995, such plaintiffs petitioned the Arkansas District Court to reopen the proceedings as to their claims. In March 1995, the Arkansas District Court ordered the reopening of the proceedings relating to the plaintiffs' claims which were previously stayed and administratively terminated, and the claims were subsequently tried. On November 9, 1995, the Arkansas District Court dismissed all remaining plaintiffs' claims, resolving the case in favor of AP&L.

Asbestos and Hazardous Waste Suits

(GSU and LP&L)

A number of plaintiffs who allegedly suffered damage or injury, or are survivors of persons who allegedly died, as a result of exposure to "hazardous toxic waste" that emanated from a site in Livingston Parish, sued GSU and approximately 70 other defendants, including LP&L, in 17 suits filed in the Livingston Parish, Louisiana District Court (State District Court). The plaintiffs alleged that the defendants generated, transported, or participated in the storage of such wastes at the facility, which was previously operated as a waste oil recycling facility. These State District Court suits, which seek damages in total amounts ranging from \$1 million to \$10 billion and are now consolidated in a class action, and three federal suits in three states other than Louisiana involving issues arising from the same facility, have been removed and transferred, respectively, to the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana. No assurances can be given to the timing or outcome of these suits.

(GSU)

A total of six suits have been filed on behalf of approximately 3,415 plaintiffs in state and federal courts in Jefferson County, Texas. These suits seek relief from GSU as well as numerous other defendants for damages caused by the alleged exposure to hazardous waste and asbestos on the defendants' premises. At least five other individual suits have been filed in Beaumont against GSU and others, seeking damages for alleged asbestos exposure. All of the plaintiffs in such suits are also suing GSU and all other defendants on a conspiracy count. It is not yet known how many of the plaintiffs in the suits discussed above worked on GSU's premises. There have been approximately 55 asbestos-related law suits filed in the District Court of Calcasieu Parish in Lake Charles, Louisiana, on behalf of an aggregate of 119 plaintiffs naming numerous defendants including GSU, and GSU expects additional cases to be filed. The suits allege that each plaintiff contracted an asbestos-related disease from exposure to asbestos insulation products on the premises of such defendants. Settlements of the two largest of the Jefferson County suits (involving about 1,660 groups of claimants) and 38 suits in Calcasieu Parish (involving approximately 91 plaintiffs) have been consummated. GSU was named as one of a number of defendants in nearly all of the suits. GSU's share of the settlements of these cases was not material to its financial position or results of operations.

Cajun - River Bend Litigation (Entergy Corporation and GSU)

GSU has significant business relationships with Cajun, including co-ownership of River Bend (operated by GSU) and Big Cajun 2, Unit 3 (operated by Cajun). GSU and Cajun, respectively, own 70% and 30% undivided interests in River Bend and 42% and 58% undivided interests in Big Cajun 2, Unit 3. Cajun is currently in reorganization proceedings under the United States Bankruptcy Code.

In June 1989, Cajun filed a civil action against GSU in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana (District Court). Cajun's complaint seeks to annul, rescind, terminate and/or dissolve the Joint Ownership Participation and Operating Agreement (Operating Agreement) entered into on August 28, 1979 relating to River Bend. Cajun alleges fraud and error by GSU, breach of its fiduciary duties owed to Cajun and/or GSU's repudiation, renunciation, abandonment or dissolution of its core obligations under the Operating Agreement, as well as the lack or failure of cause and/or consideration for Cajun's performance under the Operating Agreement. The suit also seeks to recover Cajun's alleged \$1.6 billion investment in the unit as damages, plus attorneys' fees, interest, and costs. Two member cooperatives of Cajun have brought an independent action to declare the Operating Agreement void, based upon failure to get prior LPSC approval alleged to be necessary. GSU believes the suits are without merit and is contesting them vigorously.

A trial on the portion of the suit by Cajun to rescind the Operating Agreement began in April 1994 and was completed in March 1995. On October 24, 1995, the District Court issued a memorandum opinion ruling in favor of GSU. The District Court found that Cajun did not prove that GSU fraudulently induced it to execute the Operating Agreement and that Cajun failed to timely assert its claim. A final judgment on this portion of the suit is not expected to be entered until all claims asserted by Cajun have been heard. The trial of the second portion of the suit currently is scheduled to begin on July 2, 1996. If GSU is ultimately unsuccessful in this litigation and is required to pay substantial damages, GSU would probably be unable to make such payments and could be forced to seek relief from its creditors under the United States Bankruptcy Code. If GSU prevails in this litigation, there can be no assurance that the United States Bankruptcy Court will allow funding by Cajun of all required costs of ownership in River Bend.

In the bankruptcy proceedings, Cajun filed a motion to reject the Operating Agreement as a burdensome executory contract. GSU responded on January 10, 1995, with a memorandum opposing Cajun's motion. If the District Court were to grant Cajun's motion to reject the Operating Agreement, Cajun would be relieved of its financial obligations under the contract, while GSU would likely have a substantial damage claim arising from any such rejection. Although GSU believes that Cajun's motion to reject the Operating Agreement is without merit, it is not possible to predict the outcome or ultimate impact of these proceedings.

See Note 8 for additional information regarding the Cajun litigation, Cajun's bankruptcy filing, related filings, and the ongoing potential effects of these matters upon GSU.

As the result of an order issued by the District Court in August 1995, a former federal bankruptcy judge, Ralph Mabey, was appointed as trustee to oversee Cajun in bankruptcy. The LPSC and Cajun appealed the appointment of a trustee to the Fifth Circuit where the action of the District

Court was reversed and remanded for further proceedings. However, in January 1996, the Fifth Circuit reversed its original position and affirmed the appointment of the trustee.

In October 1995, the appeals court affirmed the District Court's preliminary injunction in the Cajun litigation. The preliminary injunction stipulated that GSU should make payments for its portion of expenses for Big Cajun 2, Unit 3 into the registry of the District Court. As of December 31, 1995, \$38 million had been paid by GSU into the registry of the District Court.

Cajun has not paid its full share of capital costs, operating and maintenance expenses and other costs for repairs and improvements to River Bend since 1992. However, Cajun continues to pay its share of decommissioning costs for River Bend. Cajun's unpaid portion of River Bend operating and maintenance expenses (including nuclear fuel) and capital costs for 1995 was approximately \$58.7 million. The cumulative cost (excluding nuclear fuel) to GSU resulting from Cajun's failure to pay its full share of River Bend-related costs, reduced by the proceeds from the sale by GSU of Cajun's share of River Bend power and payments for GSU's portion of expenses for Big Cajun 2, Unit 3 into the registry of the District Court, was \$31.1 million as of December 31, 1995. These amounts are reflected in long-term receivables with an offsetting reserve in other deferred credits. Cajun's bankruptcy may affect the ultimate collectibility of the amounts owed to GSU, including any amounts that may be awarded in litigation.

Cajun - Transmission Service (Entergy Corporation and GSU)

GSU and Cajun are parties to FERC proceedings relating to transmission service charge disputes. See Note 8 for additional information regarding these FERC proceedings, FERC orders issued as a result of such proceedings and the potential effects of these proceedings upon GSU.

On December 7, 1993, Cajun filed a complaint in the Middle District of Louisiana alleging that GSU failed to provide Cajun an opportunity to construct certain facilities that allegedly would have reduced its rates under Service Schedule CTOC, and is seeking an order compelling the conveyance of certain facilities and awarding unspecified damages. GSU has moved to dismiss the complaint on the basis, among others, that FERC has already addressed the matter in the proceedings described in Note 8.

Service Area Dispute

(Entergy Corporation and GSU)

GSU was requested by Cajun and Jefferson Davis Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Jefferson Davis), to provide the transmission of power over GSU's system for delivery to an area near Lake Charles, Louisiana. GSU provides electric service to industrial and other customers in this area, and Cajun and Jefferson Davis do not. In October 1989, Cajun filed a complaint at FERC contending that GSU wrongfully refused to provide Cajun certain transmission services so that its member, Jefferson Davis, could provide service to certain industrial customers, and it requested FERC to order GSU to provide the service. Subsequently, the FERC summarily dismissed Cajun's complaint, but the D.C. Circuit reversed FERC's summary determination and remanded the case to FERC for a hearing. Ultimately, in March 1994, the FERC issued an order dismissing Cajun's complaint and finding that GSU properly exercised its contractual right to refuse to provide transmission service to Cajun. In August 1994, the FERC denied a rehearing. Subsequently, Cajun filed a petition for review of the FERC's orders in the D.C. Circuit. In October 1995, the D.C. Circuit affirmed the FERC's previous opinion in its entirety.

Cajun and Jefferson Davis also brought a related action in federal court in the Western District of Louisiana alleging that GSU breached its obligations under the parties' contract and violated the antitrust laws by refusing to provide the transmission service described above. Cajun and Jefferson Davis seek an injunction requiring GSU to provide the requested service and unspecified treble damages for GSU's refusal to provide the service. In November 1989, the district court denied Cajun's and Jefferson Davis' motion for a preliminary injunction. In May 1991, the judge stayed the proceeding pending final resolution of the matters still pending before FERC.

(Entergy Corporation and MP&L)

On October 11, 1994, twelve Mississippi cities filed a complaint in state court against MP&L and eight electric power associations seeking a judgment from the court declaring unconstitutional certain Mississippi statutes that establish the procedure that must be followed before a municipality can acquire the facilities and certificate rights of a utility serving in the municipality. Specifically, the suit requests that the court declare unconstitutional certain 1987 amendments to the Mississippi Public Utilities Act that require that the MPSC cancel a utility's certificate to serve in the municipality before a municipality may acquire a utility's facilities located in the municipality. The suit also requests that the court find that Mississippi municipalities can serve any consumer in the boundaries of the municipality and within one mile thereof. On January 6, 1995, MP&L and the other defendants filed motions to dismiss. In October 1995, the state court dismissed the complaint. The plaintiffs have appealed the dismissal to the Mississippi Supreme Court.

Cajun/River Bend Repairs (Entergy Corporation and GSU)

In December 1991, Cajun filed a complaint seeking declaratory and injunctive relief from the U. S. District Court for the Middle District of

Louisiana. The complaint concerns GSU's position that Cajun has defaulted on the payment of its share of certain expenditures to repair corrosion damage in the service water system, to repair a feedwater nozzle crack and to repair a turbine rotor. Cajun alleges that it has no obligation to pay its share of such costs and seeks a declaration that it may elect not to participate in the funding of such costs and that GSU may not demand payment or attempt to implement default provisions in the Operating Agreement. Cajun alleges that if it is required to pay its share of such costs it would be forced to default on other obligations. See "Cajun - River Bend" above for information regarding Cajun's bankruptcy filing. GSU believes that Cajun is in default under the provisions of the Operating Agreement. No assurance can be given as to the outcome or timing of this action brought by Cajun.

Taxes Paid Under Protest (Entergy Corporation and LP&L)

Since the mid-1980's, LP&L and the tax authorities of St. Charles Parish, Louisiana (Parish), the parish in which Waterford 3 is located, have disputed use taxes paid on nuclear fuel (\$4.9 million through 1989) under protest by LP&L. LP&L continues to be successful in lawsuits in the Parish with regard to recovering these taxes, plus interest, and also with regard to Parish lease tax issues pertaining to fuel financing arrangements. In October 1994, Parish tax authorities sued LP&L and Entergy Corporation in the Civil District Court of Orleans Parish, Louisiana, claiming that \$1.4 million of sales and use and lease taxes paid under protest by LP&L with respect to newly acquired nuclear fuel were not, in fact, paid under protest, and that unspecified additional taxes, interest, and penalties are due. Subsequently, the suit filed by the Parish tax authorities was dismissed. In September 1995, LP&L similarly paid use tax under protest in the amount of \$209,000 with regard to the delivery of a new batch of fuel. In June 1995, LP&L received a favorable decision from the Louisiana Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals that confirmed that no such use taxes are due. The Parish and LP&L are currently discussing a possible settlement of all pending tax-related litigation including the likely return of the amounts paid under protest in October 1994 and September 1995. The suits by LP&L with regard to state use tax paid under protest on nuclear fuel are still pending.

Federal Income Tax Audit (Entergy Corporation, LP&L, and System Energy)

In August 1994, Entergy received an IRS report covering the federal income tax audit of Entergy Corporation and subsidiaries for the years 1988 - 1990. The report asserts an \$80 million tax deficiency for the 1990 consolidated federal income tax returns related primarily to the application of accelerated investment tax credits associated with Waterford 3 and Grand Gulf nuclear plants. Entergy Corporation believes there is no material tax deficiency and is vigorously contesting the proposed assessment.

Panda Energy Corporation Complaint (Entergy Corporation)

Panda Energy Corporation (Panda) has commenced litigation in the Dallas District Court naming Entergy Corporation, Energy Enterprises, Entergy Power, Entergy Power Asia, Ltd., and Entergy Power Development Corporation as defendants. The allegations against the defendants include, among others, tortious interference with contractual relations, conspiracy, misappropriation of corporate opportunity, unfair competition and fraud, and constructive trust issues. Panda seeks damages of approximately \$4.8 billion, of which \$3.6 billion is claimed in punitive damages. Entergy believes that this lawsuit is without merit, that the damages claimed are insupportable, and that some or all of the claims against Entergy will be dismissed. However, no assurance can be given as to the timing or outcome of this matter.

Catalyst Technologies, Inc. (Entergy Corporation)

In June 1993 Catalyst Technologies, Inc. (CTI) filed a petition against Electec, Inc. (Electec), the predecessor to Entergy Enterprises. Prior to the filing of the petition, CTI and Electec entered into an agreement whereby CTI was required to raise a specified amount of funding in exchange for the right to acquire Electec's computer software technology marketing rights. CTI alleges that due to actions of Electec, it was unable to secure the necessary funding, and therefore, was not able to meet the terms of the agreement. The petition alleges breach of contract, breach of the obligation of good- faith and fair dealing, and bad-faith breach of contract against Electec. Subsequent to the filing of the petition, CTI indicated that it is seeking to recover approximately \$36 million from Entergy Enterprises. No trial date has been set at this time. No assurance can be given as to the timing or outcome of this matter.

EARNINGS RATIOS OF OPERATING COMPANIES AND SYSTEM ENERGY

The Operating Companies and System Energy's ratios of earnings to fixed charges and ratios of earnings to fixed charges and preferred dividends pursuant to Item 503 of SEC Regulation S-K are as follows:

	Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges			
	Years Ended December 31,			
	1991	1992	1993	1994
1995				
AP&L	2.25	2.28	3.11 (c)	2.32
2.56				
GSU	1.56	1.72	1.54	.36 (d)
1.86				
LP&L	2.40	2.79	3.06	2.91
3.18				
MP&L	2.36	2.37	3.79 (c)	2.12
2.92				
NOPSI	5.66 (b)	2.66	4.68 (c)	1.91
3.93				
System Energy	1.74	2.04	1.87	1.23
2.07				

	Ratios of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends			
	Years Ended December 31,			
	1991	1992	1993	1994
1995				
AP&L	1.87	1.86	2.54 (c)	1.97
2.12				
GSU (a)	1.19	1.37	1.21	.29 (d)
1.54				
LP&L	1.95	2.18	2.39	2.43
2.60				
MP&L	1.94	1.97	3.08 (c)	1.81
2.51				
NOPSI	4.97 (b)	2.36	4.12 (c)	1.73
3.56				

(a) "Preferred Dividends" in the case of GSU also include dividends on preference stock.

(b) Earnings for the year ended December 31, 1991, include the \$90 million effect of the 1991 NOPSI Settlement.

(c) Earnings for the year ended December 31, 1993, include approximately \$81 million, \$52 million, and \$18 million for AP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI, respectively, related to the change in accounting principle to provide for the accrual of estimated unbilled revenues.

(d) Earnings for the year ended December 31, 1994, for GSU were not adequate to cover fixed charges and combined fixed charges and preferred dividends by \$144.8 million and \$197.1 million, respectively.

INDUSTRY SEGMENTS

NOPSI

Narrative Description of NOPSI Industry Segments

Electric Service

NOPSI supplied retail electric service to 190,332 customers as of December 31, 1995. During 1995, 39% of electric operating revenues was derived from residential sales, 40% from commercial sales, 6% from industrial sales, and 15% from sales to governmental and municipal customers.

Natural Gas Service

NOPSI supplied retail natural gas service to 153,370 customers as of December 31, 1995. During 1995, 56% of gas operating revenues was derived from residential sales, 19% from commercial sales, 9% from industrial sales, and 16% from sales to governmental and municipal customers. (See "FUEL SUPPLY - Natural Gas Purchased for Resale.")

Selected Financial Information Relating to Industry Segments

For selected financial information relating to NOPSI's industry segments, see NOPSI's financial statements and Note 14.

Employees by Segment

NOPSI's full-time employees by industry segment as of December 31, 1995, were as follows:

Electric	
378	
Natural Gas	
111	
---	Total
489	
===	

(For further information with respect to NOPSI's segments, see "PROPERTY.")

GSU

For the year ended December 31, 1995, 96% of GSU's operating revenues was derived from the electric utility business. Of the remaining operating revenues 3% was derived from the steam business and 1% from the natural gas business.

PROPERTY

Generating Stations

The total capability of the System's owned and leased generating stations as of December 31, 1995, by company and by fuel type, is indicated below:

Owned and Leased Capability MW(1)							
Company	Total		Fossil	Nuclear	Gas Turbine and Internal Combustion		Hydro
AP&L	4,373	(2)	2,379	1,694	230	(4)	70
GSU	6,558	(2)	5,828	655	75		-
LP&L	5,423	(2)	4,329	1,075	19		-
MP&L	3,063	(2)	3,052	-	11		-
NOPSI	934	(2)	918	-	16		-
System Energy	1,051		-	1,051	-		-
Total System	21,402	(3)	16,506	4,475	351		70

(1) "Owned and Leased Capability" is the dependable load carrying capability as demonstrated under actual operating conditions based on the primary fuel (assuming no curtailments) that each station was designed to utilize.

(2) Excludes the capacity of fossil-fueled generating stations placed on extended reserve as follows: AP&L - 506 MW; GSU - 405 MW; LP&L - 157 MW; MP&L - 73 MW; and NOPSI - 143 MW. Generating stations that are not expected to be utilized in the near-term to meet load requirements are placed in extended reserve shutdown in order to minimize operating expenses.

(3) Excludes net capability of generating facilities owned by Entergy Power, which owns 809 MW of fossil-fueled capacity.

(4) Includes 188 MW of capacity leased by AP&L through 1999.

Load and capacity projections are regularly reviewed in order to coordinate and recommend the location and time of installation of additional generating capacity and of interconnections in light of the availability of power, the location of new loads, and maximum economy to the System. Based on load and capability projections and bulk power availability, the System has no current need to install additional generating capacity. When new generation resources are needed, the System plans to meet this need with a variety of sources other than construction of new base load generating capacity. In the meantime, the System will meet capacity needs by, among other things, purchasing power in the wholesale power market and/or removing generating stations from extended reserve shutdown.

Under the terms of the System Agreement, certain generating capacity and other power resources are shared among the Operating Companies. Among other things, the System Agreement provides that parties having generating capacity greater than their load requirements (long companies) shall sell receive payments from those parties having deficiencies in generating capacity (short companies) and an amount sufficient to cover certain of the long companies' costs, including operating expenses, fixed charges on debt, dividend requirements on preferred and preference stock, and a fair rate of return on common equity investment. Under the System Agreement, these charges are based on costs associated with the long companies' steam electric generating units fueled by oil or gas. In addition, for all energy exchanged among the Operating Companies under the System Agreement, the short companies are required to pay the cost of fuel consumed in generating such energy plus a charge to cover other associated costs (see "RATE MATTERS AND REGULATION - Rate Matters - Wholesale Rate Matters - System Agreement," above, for a discussion of FERC proceedings relating to the System Agreement).

The System's business is subject to seasonal fluctuations, with the peak period occurring in the summer months. The System's 1995 (and all-time) peak demand of 19,590 MW occurred on August 16, 1995. The net System capability at the time of peak was 21,100 MW, net of off-system firm sales of 302 MW. The capacity margin at the time of the peak was approximately 7.2%, excluding units placed on extended reserve and capacity owned by Entergy Power.

Interconnections

The electric power supply facilities of Entergy consist principally of steam-electric production facilities strategically located with reference to availability of fuel, protection of local loads, and other controlling economic factors. These are interconnected by a transmission system operating at various voltages up to 500 kilovolts. Generally, with the exception of Grand Gulf 1, Entergy Power's capacity and a small portion of MP&L's capacity, operating facilities or interests therein are owned by the System operating company serving the area in which the facilities are located. However, all of the System's generating facilities are centrally dispatched and operated in order to obtain the lowest cost sources of energy with a minimum of investment and the most efficient use of plant.

In addition to the many neighboring utilities with which the Operating Companies interconnect, the Operating Companies are members of the Southwest Power Pool, the primary purpose of which is to ensure the reliability and adequacy of the electric bulk power supply in the southwest region of the United States. The Southwest Power Pool is a member of the North American Electric Reliability Council. The Operating Companies are also members of the Western Systems Power Pool.

Gas Property

As of December 31, 1995, NOPSI distributed and transported natural gas for distribution solely within the limits of the City of New Orleans through a total of 1,421 miles of gas distribution mains and 40 miles of gas transmission lines. Koch Gateway Pipeline Company is a principal supplier of natural gas to NOPSI, delivering to 6 of NOPSI's 14 delivery points.

As of December 31, 1995, the gas properties of GSU were not material to GSU.

Titles

The System's generating stations are generally located on properties owned in fee simple. The greater portion of the transmission and distribution lines of the Operating Companies has been constructed over property of private owners pursuant to easements or on public highways and streets pursuant to appropriate franchises. The rights of each Operating Company in the realty on which its facilities are located are considered by it to be adequate for its use in the conduct of its business. Minor defects and irregularities customarily found in properties of like size and character exist, but such defects and irregularities do not materially impair the use of the properties affected thereby. The Operating Companies generally have the right of eminent domain, whereby they may, if necessary, perfect or secure titles to, or easements or servitudes on, privately-held lands used or to be used in their utility operations.

Substantially all the physical properties owned by each Operating Company and System Energy, respectively, are subject to the lien of a mortgage and deed of trust securing the first mortgage bonds of such company. The Lewis Creek generating station is owned by GSG&T, Inc., and is not subject to the lien of the GSU mortgage securing the first mortgage bonds of GSU, but is leased to and operated by GSU. In the case of LP&L, certain properties are also subject to the liens of second mortgages securing other obligations of LP&L. In the case of MP&L and NOPSI, substantially all of their properties and assets are also subject to the second mortgage lien of their respective general and refunding mortgage bond indentures.

FUEL SUPPLY

Entergy's sources of generation and average fuel cost per KWh, excluding Entergy Power, for the years 1993-1995 were:

Coal	Natural Gas		Fuel Oil		Nuclear Fuel		%	%
	%	Cents	%	Cents	%	Cents		
	of Gen	per KWh	of Gen	per KWh	of Gen	Per KWh		
Year								
1995	50	1.99	-	-	35	.60	15	1.73
1994	44	2.24	1	3.99	39	.60	16	1.82
1993- Entergy (excluding GSU)	27	2.70	7	2.10	51	.58	15	1.91
1993 - GSU	69	2.44	-	-	14	1.19	17	1.77

The System's actual 1995 and projected 1996 sources of generation, excluding Entergy Power, are:

	Natural Gas		Fuel Oil		Nuclear		Coal	
	1995	1996	1995	1996	1995	1996	1995	1996
1996 System 18%	50%	46%	-	-	35%	36%	15%	
AP&L	9	8	-	-	55	48	35	43
GSU	69	76	-	-	18	16	13	8
LP&L	63	57	-	-	37	43	-	-
MP&L	72	70	1	-	-	-	27	30
NOPSI	100	100	-	-	-	-	-	-
System Energy	-	-	-	-	100 (a)	100 (a)	-	-

(a) Capacity and energy from System Energy's interest in Grand Gulf 1 is allocated as follows: AP&L - 36%; LP&L - 14%; MP&L - 33%; and NOPSI - 17%.

The balance of generation, which was immaterial, was provided by hydroelectric power.

Natural Gas

The Operating Companies have long-term firm and short-term interruptible gas contracts. Long-term firm contracts comprise less than 40% of total System requirements but can be called upon, if necessary, to satisfy a significant percentage of the System's needs. Additional gas requirements are satisfied by short-term contracts and spot-market purchases. GSU has a transportation service agreement with a gas supplier that provides flexible natural gas service to certain generating stations by using such supplier's pipeline and gas storage facility.

Many factors, including wellhead deliverability, storage and pipeline capacity, and demand requirements of end users influence the availability and price of natural gas supplies for power plants. Demand is tied to regional weather conditions as well as to the prices of other energy sources. Supplies of natural gas are expected to be adequate in 1996. However, pursuant to federal and state regulations, gas supplies to power plants may be interrupted during periods of shortage. To the extent natural gas supplies may be disrupted, the Operating Companies will use alternate fuels, such as oil, or rely on coal and nuclear generation.

Coal

AP&L has long-term contracts with mines in the State of Wyoming for the supply of low-sulfur coal for the White Bluff Steam Electric Generating Station and Independence. These contracts, which expire in 2002 and 2011, provide for approximately 85% of AP&L's expected annual coal requirements. Additional requirements are satisfied by annual spot market purchases. GSU has a contract for a supply of low-sulfur Wyoming coal for Nelson Unit 6, which should be sufficient to satisfy the fuel requirements at Nelson Unit 6 through 2004. Cajun has advised GSU that it has contracts that should provide an adequate supply of coal until 1999 for the operation of Big Cajun 2, Unit 3.

Nuclear Fuel

The nuclear fuel cycle involves the mining and milling of uranium ore to produce a concentrate, the conversion of uranium concentrate to uranium hexafluoride gas, enrichment of that gas, fabrication of nuclear fuel assemblies for use in fueling nuclear reactors, and disposal of the spent fuel.

System Fuels is responsible for contracts to acquire nuclear material to be used in fueling AP&L's, LP&L's, and System Energy's nuclear units and maintaining inventories of such materials during the various stages of processing. Each of these companies contracts for the fabrication of its own nuclear fuel and purchases the required enriched uranium hexafluoride from System Fuels. The requirements for GSU's River Bend plant are covered by contracts made by GSU. Entergy Operations acts as agent for System Fuels and GSU in negotiating and/or administering nuclear fuel contracts.

In October 1989, System Fuels entered into a revolving credit agreement with a bank that provides up to \$45 million in borrowings to finance its nuclear materials and services inventory. Should System Fuels default on its obligations under its credit agreement, AP&L, LP&L, and System Energy have agreed to purchase nuclear materials and services under the agreement.

Based upon the planned fuel cycles for the System's nuclear units, the following tabulation shows the years through which existing contracts and inventory will provide materials and services:

	Uranium Concentrate	Acquisition of or Conversion to Uranium Hexafluoride	Enrich- ment	Fabri- cation	Spent Fuel
Disposal					
ANO 1	(1)	(1)	(2)	1997	(3)
ANO 2	(1)	(1)	(2)	1999	(3)
River Bend	(1)	(1)	(2)	2000	(3)
Waterford 3	(1)	(1)	(2)	1999	(3)
Grand Gulf 1	(1)	(1)	(2)	2000	(3)

(1) Current contracts will provide a significant percentage of these materials and services through termination dates ranging from 1996-1999. Additional materials and services required beyond these dates are estimated to be available for the foreseeable future.

(2) Current contracts will provide a significant percentage of these materials and services through approximately 2000.

(3) The Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 provides for the disposal of spent nuclear fuel or high level waste by the DOE.

The System will enter into additional arrangements to acquire nuclear fuel beyond the dates shown above. Except as noted above, Entergy cannot predict the ultimate availability or cost of such arrangements at this time.

AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy currently have arrangements to lease nuclear fuel and related equipment and services in aggregate amounts up to \$130 million, \$70 million, \$80 million, and \$80 million, respectively. As of December 31, 1995, the unrecovered cost base of AP&L's, GSU's, LP&L's, and System Energy's nuclear fuel leases amounted to approximately \$98.7 million, \$69.9 million, \$72.9 million, and \$71.4 million, respectively. The lessors finance the acquisition and ownership of nuclear fuel through credit agreements and the issuance of notes. These agreements are subject to annual renewal with, in LP&L's and GSU's case, the consent of the lenders. The credit agreements for AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy have been extended and now have termination dates of December 1998, December 1998, January 1999, and February 1999, respectively. The debt securities issued pursuant to these fuel lease arrangements have varying maturities through January 31, 1999. It is expected that the credit agreements will be extended or alternative financing will be secured by each lessor upon the maturity of the current arrangements. If extensions or alternative financing cannot be arranged, the lessee in each case must purchase sufficient nuclear fuel to allow the lessor to retire such borrowings.

Natural Gas Purchased for Resale

NOPSI has several suppliers of natural gas for resale. Its system is interconnected with three interstate and three intrastate pipelines. Presently, NOPSI's primary suppliers are Koch Gas Services Company (KGS), an interstate gas marketer, and Bridgeline and Pontchartrain, intrastate pipelines. NOPSI has a firm gas purchase contract with KGS. The KGS gas supply is transported to NOPSI pursuant to a "No-Notice" transportation service agreement with Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (KGPC). This service is subject to FERC-approved rates. NOPSI has firm contracts with its two intrastate suppliers and also makes interruptible spot market purchases. In recent years, natural gas deliveries have been subject primarily to weather-related curtailments. However, NOPSI has experienced no such curtailments.

After the implementation of FERC-mandated interstate pipeline restructuring in 1993, curtailments of interstate gas supply could occur if NOPSI's suppliers failed to perform their obligations to deliver gas under their supply agreements. KGPC could curtail transportation capacity only in the event of pipeline system constraints. Based on the current supply of natural gas, and absent extreme weather-related curtailments, NOPSI does not anticipate any interruptions in natural gas deliveries to its customers.

GSU purchases natural gas for resale under a "No-Notice" type of agreement from Mid Louisiana Gas Company. Abandonment of service by the present supplier would be subject to abandonment proceedings by FERC.

Research

AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI are members of the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI). EPRI conducts a broad range of research in major technical fields related to the electric utility industry. Entergy participates in various EPRI projects based on Entergy's needs and available resources. During 1995, 1994, and 1993, the System contributed approximately \$9 million, \$18 million, and \$17 million,

respectively, for the various research programs in which Entergy was involved.

Item 2. Properties

Refer to Item 1. "Business - PROPERTY," for information regarding the properties of the registrants.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Refer to Item 1. "Business - RATE MATTERS AND REGULATION," for details of the registrants' material rate proceedings and other regulatory proceedings and litigation that are pending or that terminated in the fourth quarter of 1995.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

During the fourth quarter of 1995, no matters were submitted to a vote of the security holders of Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, or System Energy.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrants' Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

Entergy Corporation

The shares of Entergy Corporation's common stock are listed on the New York, Chicago, and Pacific Stock Exchanges.

The high and low prices of Entergy Corporation's common stock for each quarterly period in 1995 and 1994 were as follows:

	1995		1994	
	High	Low	High	Low
	(In Dollars)			
First 1/8	24 3/4	20	37 3/8	31
Second 5/8	25 3/8	21	32 1/8	24
Third 5/8	26 1/8	23 3/4	26 1/4	22
Fourth 1/4	29 1/4	26 1/4	24 3/4	21

Dividends of 45 cents per share were paid on Entergy Corporation's common stock in each of the quarters of 1995 and 1994.

As of February 29, 1996, there were 98,911 stockholders of record of Entergy Corporation.

For information with respect to Entergy Corporation's future ability to pay dividends, refer to Note 7, "DIVIDEND RESTRICTIONS." In addition to the restrictions described in Note 7, PUHCA provides that, without approval of the SEC, the unrestricted, undistributed retained earnings of any Entergy Corporation subsidiary are not available for distribution to Entergy Corporation's common stockholders until such earnings are made available to Entergy Corporation through the declaration of dividends by such subsidiaries.

AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy

There is no market for the common stock of Entergy Corporation's subsidiaries as all shares are owned by Entergy Corporation. Cash dividends on common stock paid by the subsidiaries to Entergy Corporation during 1995 and 1994, were as follows:

1994	1995	(In
Millions)		
AP&L	\$153.4	
\$80.0		
GSU	--	
\$289.1		
LP&L	\$221.5	
\$167.1		
MP&L	\$61.7	
\$45.6		
NOPSI	\$30.6	
\$33.3		
System Energy	\$92.8	
\$148.3		
Entergy S.A.	\$3.5	
--		
Entergy Transener	\$2.1	
--		

In February 1996, Entergy Corporation received common stock dividend payments from its subsidiaries totaling \$48.7 million. For information with respect to restrictions that limit the ability of System Energy and the Operating Companies to pay dividends, see Note 7.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Entergy Corporation. Refer to information under the heading "ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE- YEAR COMPARISON."

AP&L. Refer to information under the heading "ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON."

GSU. Refer to information under the heading "GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON."

LP&L. Refer to information under the heading "LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON."

MP&L. Refer to information under the heading "MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON."

NOPSI. Refer to information under the heading "NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC SERVICE INC. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON."

System Energy. Refer to information under the heading "SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON."

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries. Refer to information under the heading "ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES," " - - - - SIGNIFICANT FACTORS AND KNOWN TRENDS," and "- RESULTS OF OPERATIONS."

AP&L. Refer to information under the heading "ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - RESULTS OF OPERATIONS."

GSU. Refer to information under the heading "GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS -

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS."

LP&L. Refer to information under the heading "LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - RESULTS OF OPERATIONS."

MP&L. Refer to information under the heading "MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - RESULTS OF OPERATIONS."

NOPSI. Refer to information under the heading "NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC SERVICE INC. MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - RESULTS OF OPERATIONS."

System Energy. Refer to information under the heading "SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC. MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - RESULTS OF OPERATIONS."

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries:

Report of Management	44
Audit Committee Chairperson's Letter	45
Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries	46
Report of Independent Accountants for Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries	55
Independent Auditors' Report for Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries	56
Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries	57
Statements of Consolidated Income For the Years Ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993 for Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries	59
Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows For the Years Ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993 for Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries	60
Balance Sheets, December 31, 1995 and 1994 for Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries	62
Statements of Consolidated Retained Earnings and Paid-In Capital for the Years Ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993 for Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries	64
Selected Financial Data - Five-Year Comparison for Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries	65
Report of Independent Accountants for Arkansas Power & Light Company	66
Independent Auditors' Report for Arkansas Power & Light Company	67
Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for Arkansas Power & Light Company	68
Statements of Income For the Years Ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993 for Arkansas Power & Light Company	70
Statements of Cash Flows For the Years Ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993 for Arkansas Power & Light Company	71
Balance Sheets, December 31, 1995 and 1994 for Arkansas Power & Light Company	72
Statements of Retained Earnings for the Years Ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993 for Arkansas Power & Light Company	74
Selected Financial Data - Five-Year Comparison for Arkansas Power & Light Company	75
Report of Independent Accountants for Gulf States Utilities Company	76
Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for Gulf States Utilities Company	78
Statements of Income For the Years Ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993 for Gulf States Utilities Company	80
Statements of Cash Flows For the Years Ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993 for Gulf States Utilities Company	81
Balance Sheets, December 31, 1995 and 1994 for Gulf States Utilities Company	82

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

REPORT OF MANAGEMENT

The management of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries has prepared and is responsible for the financial statements and related financial information included herein. The financial statements are based on generally accepted accounting principles. Financial information included elsewhere in this report is consistent with the financial statements.

To meet its responsibilities with respect to financial information, management maintains and enforces a system of internal accounting controls that is designed to provide reasonable assurance, on a cost-effective basis, as to the integrity, objectivity, and reliability of the financial records, and as to the protection of assets. This system includes communication through written policies and procedures, an employee Code of Conduct, and an organizational structure that provides for appropriate division of responsibility and the training of personnel. This system is also tested by a comprehensive internal audit program.

The independent public accountants provide an objective assessment of the degree to which management meets its responsibility for fairness of financial reporting. They regularly evaluate the system of internal accounting controls and perform such tests and other procedures as they deem necessary to reach and express an opinion on the fairness of the financial statements.

Management believes that these policies and procedures provide reasonable assurance that its operations are carried out with a high standard of business conduct.

/s/Ed Lupberger
ED LUPBERGER
Chairman, President, and Chief
and
Executive Officer of Entergy
Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L and
NOPSI

/s/Gerald D. McInvale
GERALD D. MCINVALE
Executive Vice President

Chief Financial Officer

/s/Donald C. Hintz
DONALD C. HINTZ
President and Chief Executive Officer of System Energy

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

AUDIT COMMITTEE CHAIRPERSON'S LETTER

The Entergy Corporation Board of Directors' Audit Committee is comprised of four directors who are not officers of Entergy Corporation: Lucie J. Fjeldstad, Chairperson, Dr. Norman C. Francis, James R. Nichols, and H. Duke Shackelford. The committee held four meetings during 1995.

The Audit Committee oversees Entergy Corporation's financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors and provides reasonable assurance to the Board that sufficient operating, accounting, and financial controls are in existence and are adequately reviewed by programs of internal and external audits.

The Audit Committee discussed with Entergy's internal auditors and the independent public accountants (Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P.) the overall scope and specific plans for their respective audits, as well as Entergy Corporation's financial statements and the adequacy of Entergy Corporation's internal controls. The committee met, together and separately, with Entergy's internal auditors and independent public accountants, without management present, to discuss the results of their audits, their evaluation of Entergy Corporation's internal controls, and the overall quality of Entergy Corporation's financial reporting. The meetings also were designed to facilitate and encourage private communication between the committee and the internal auditors and independent public accountants.

/s/Lucie J. Fjeldstad
LUCIE J. FJELDSTAD
Chairperson, Audit
Committee

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Entergy, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy

Cash Flows

Entergy is involved in capital-intensive businesses, which require large investments in long-lived assets. While capital expenditures for the construction of new generating capacity are not currently planned, the System does require significant capital resources for the periodic maturity of debt and preferred stock, ongoing construction expenditures, and increasing investments in domestic and foreign energy-related businesses. Net cash flow from operations totaled \$1.397 billion, \$1.538 billion, and \$1.074 billion in 1995, 1994, and 1993, respectively. Net cash flow from operations for the Operating Companies and System Energy was as follows:

	1995	1994	1993
	-----	-----	
		(In Millions)	
AP&L	\$ 338	\$ 356	\$ 346
GSU	\$ 401	\$ 326	\$ 255
LP&L	\$ 385	\$ 368	\$ 300
MP&L	\$ 185	\$ 195	\$ 149
NOPSI	\$ 99	\$ 39	\$ 70
System Energy	\$ 96	\$ 337	\$ 318

In 1995, AP&L's net cash flow from operations decreased because of increases in customer accounts receivables due to increased 1995 sales and the replenishment of coal inventory which was depleted in 1994. This decrease was partially offset by lower other operation and maintenance expense. GSU's net cash flow from operations increased in 1995 due to higher revenues and lower operation and maintenance expenses. This increase was partially offset by a Texas retail rate refund, recorded in 1994 and paid in 1995. LP&L's net cash flow from operations increased in 1995 as a result of lower operation and maintenance expenses partially offset by a rate reduction in April 1995. MP&L's net cash flow from operations decreased in 1995 because of increased accounts receivable balances due to increased 1995 sales, partially offset by lower other operation and maintenance expenses. NOPSI's net cash flow from operations was higher in 1995 than 1994 because refunds that were made in 1994 as a result of the NOPSI settlement did not impact 1995 cash flow. Lower operation and maintenance expenses in 1995 for NOPSI also contributed to the increase. System Energy's net cash flow from operations decreased in 1995 due to refunds made to associated companies in 1995 as the result of a 1994 FERC audit settlement, and higher income tax payments in 1995.

Financing Sources

In recent years, cash flows of the Operating Companies, supplemented by cash on hand, have been sufficient to meet substantially all investing and financing requirements, including capital expenditures, dividends and debt/preferred stock maturities. Entergy's ability to fund these capital requirements with cash from operations results, in part, from continued efforts to streamline operations and reduce costs, as well as from collections under rate phase-in plans that exceed current cash requirements for the related costs. (In the income statement, these revenue collections are offset by the amortization of previously deferred costs; therefore, there is no effect on net income.) These phase-in plans will continue to contribute to Entergy's cash position for the next several years. Specifically, the Grand Gulf 1 phase-in plans will expire in 1998 for AP&L and MP&L, and in 2001 for NOPSI.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

GSU's phase-in plan for River Bend will expire in 1998, and LP&L's phase-in plan for Waterford 3 expires in 1996. In addition, the Operating Companies and System Energy have the ability to meet future capital requirements through future debt or preferred stock issuances, as discussed below. Also, to the extent current market interest and dividend rates allow, the Operating Companies and System Energy may continue to refinance high-cost debt and preferred stock prior to maturity. See Notes 5, 6, and 8 for additional information on the System's capital and refinancing requirements in 1996 - 2000.

Entergy Corporation periodically reviews its capital structure to determine its future needs for debt and equity financing. Certain agreements and restrictions limit the amount of mortgage bonds and preferred stock that can be issued by the Operating Companies and System Energy. Based on the most restrictive applicable tests as of December 31, 1995, and assumed annual interest or dividend rates of 8.25% for bonds and 8.50% for preferred stock, each of the Operating Companies and System Energy could have issued mortgage bonds or preferred stock in the following amounts:

Company	Mortgage Bonds (In Millions)	Preferred Stock
AP&L 553	\$ 307	\$
GSU (a)	\$ 824	
LP&L 829	\$ 106	\$
MP&L 269	\$ 256	\$
NOPSI 187	\$ 55	\$
System Energy (b)	\$ 137	

(a) GSU was precluded from issuing preferred stock at December 31, 1995.

(b) System Energy's charter does not presently provide for the issuance of preferred stock.

In addition to these amounts, the Operating Companies and System Energy have the ability, subject to certain conditions, to issue bonds against retired bonds. Such amounts may be significant in some instances, and, in some cases, no earnings coverage test is required. AP&L may also issue preferred stock to refund outstanding preferred stock without meeting an earnings coverage test. GSU has no earnings coverage limitations on the issuance of preference stock. In January of 1996, the Boards of Directors of AP&L and LP&L authorized the officers of those companies to deposit cash with the trustees under their respective first mortgage indentures to satisfy the annual maintenance and replacement fund requirements thereunder, and to require the trustees to use such cash to redeem all or a part of certain series of first mortgage bonds at par as permitted by the respective first mortgage indentures. See Notes 5 and 6 for long-term debt and preferred stock issuances and retirements. See Note 4 for information on the System's short-term borrowings.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Financing Requirements

Productive investment by Entergy Corporation is necessary to enhance the long-term value of its common stock. Entergy Corporation has been expanding its investments in nonregulated business opportunities overseas as well as in the United States. Through the end of 1995, Entergy Corporation had participated in foreign non regulated electric ventures in Pakistan, Argentina, and Peru. As of December 31, 1995, Entergy Corporation had invested \$555.5 million in equity capital (reduced by \$169 million of accumulated losses) in nonregulated businesses. See Note 15 for a discussion of Entergy Corporation's acquisition of CitiPower on January 5, 1996.

In addition to investing in nonregulated businesses, Entergy Corporation's capital requirements include periodically investing in, or making loans to, its subsidiaries, and sustaining its dividends. To meet such capital requirements, Entergy Corporation will utilize internally generated funds, cash on hand, and the \$70 million remaining on its \$300 million credit facility (\$230 million of this credit facility was used for the CitiPower acquisition). Entergy Corporation receives funds through dividend payments from its subsidiaries. During 1995, such common stock dividend payments from subsidiaries totaled \$565.6 million, none of which was contributed by GSU. Entergy Corporation, in turn, paid \$408.6 million of dividends on its common stock. Declarations of dividends on common stock are made at the discretion of Entergy Corporation's Board of Directors. It is anticipated that management will not recommend future dividend increases to the Board unless such increases are justified by sustained earnings growth of Entergy Corporation and its subsidiaries. See Note 7 for information on dividend restrictions.

Entergy Corporation and GSU

See Notes 2 and 8 regarding River Bend rate appeals and litigation with Cajun. Adverse rulings in the River Bend rate appeal could result in approximately \$289 million of potential write-offs (net of tax) and \$182 million in refunds of previously collected revenue. Such write-offs and charges, as well as the application of SFAS 121 (see Note 1), could result in substantial net losses being reported in the future by Entergy Corporation and GSU, with resulting adverse adjustments to common equity of Entergy Corporation and GSU. Adverse resolution of these matters could adversely affect GSU's ability to obtain financing, which could in turn affect GSU's liquidity and ability to pay dividends. Although Entergy Corporation's common shareholders experienced some dilution in earnings as a result of the Merger, Entergy believes that the Merger will ultimately be beneficial to common shareholders in terms of strategic benefits as well as economies and efficiencies produced.

Entergy Corporation and System Energy

Under the Capital Funds Agreement, Entergy Corporation has agreed to supply to System Energy sufficient capital to maintain System Energy's equity capital at a minimum of 35% of its total capitalization (excluding short-term debt), to permit the continued commercial operation of Grand Gulf 1, and to pay in full all indebtedness for borrowed money of System Energy when due under any circumstances. In addition, under supplements to the Capital Funds Agreement assigning System Energy's rights as security for specific debt of System Energy, Entergy Corporation has agreed to make cash capital contributions, if required, to enable System Energy to make payments on such debt when due. The Capital Funds Agreement can be terminated by the parties thereto, subject to consent of certain creditors.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
SIGNIFICANT FACTORS AND KNOWN TRENDS

Competition and Industry Challenges

Electric utilities traditionally have operated as regulated monopolies in which there was little opportunity for direct competition in the provision of electric service. In return for the ability to receive a reasonable return on and of their investments, utilities were obligated to provide service and meet future customer requirements. However, the electric utility industry is now undergoing a transition to an environment of increased retail and wholesale competition.

Pressures that underlie the movement toward increasing competition are numerous and complex. They include legislative and regulatory changes, technological advances, consumer demands, greater availability of natural gas, environmental needs, and other factors. The increasingly competitive environment presents opportunities to compete for new customers, as well as the risk of loss of existing customers. Competition presents Entergy with many challenges. The following have been identified by Entergy as its major competitive challenges.

The Energy Policy Act of 1992

The EPAct addresses a wide range of energy issues and is being implemented by both FERC and state regulators. The EPAct is designed to promote competition among utility and non utility generators by amending PUHCA to exempt from regulation a class of EWGs, among others, consisting of utility affiliates and non utilities that own and operate facilities for the generation and transmission of power for sale at wholesale. The EPAct also gave FERC the authority to order investor- owned utilities to transmit power and energy to or for wholesale purchasers and sellers. This creates potential for electric utilities and other power producers to gain increased access to the transmission systems of other utilities to facilitate wholesale sales.

In response to the EPAct, FERC issued a notice of proposed rulemaking in mid-1994. This rulemaking concerns a regulatory framework for dealing with recovery of costs that were prudently incurred by electric utilities to serve customers under the traditional regulatory framework. These costs may become "stranded" as a result of increased competition. On March 29, 1995, FERC issued a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking in this proceeding that would require public utilities to provide nondiscriminatory open access transmission service to wholesale customers and would also provide guidance on the recovery of wholesale and retail stranded costs. The risk of exposure to stranded costs that may result from competition in the industry will depend on the extent and timing of retail competition, the resolution of jurisdictional issues concerning stranded cost recovery, and the extent to which such costs are recovered from departing or remaining customers.

With regard to pending proceedings, including Entergy's open access transmission tariff proceedings originally filed in 1991 and amended in 1994 and 1995, FERC directed the parties to proceed with their cases while taking into account FERC's proposed rule. Comments and reply comments on the proposed rulemaking have now been filed with FERC by interested parties. Certain of the parties filing comments have proposed that FERC should order the immediate unbundling of all retail services as part of the final rulemaking in this proceeding, which is expected in the second quarter of 1996. In its comments in the proposed rulemaking, Entergy urged FERC to exercise its authority and responsibility to serve as a "backstop" in the event a state is unable or unwilling to provide for stranded-cost recovery -- particularly in the case of multi state utilities (such as the System), where cost shifting among jurisdictions might otherwise occur.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
SIGNIFICANT FACTORS AND KNOWN TRENDS

Retail and Wholesale Rate Issues

The retail regulatory philosophy is shifting in some jurisdictions from traditional cost-of-service regulation to incentive-rate regulation. Incentive and performance-based rate plans encourage efficiencies and productivity while permitting utilities and their customers to share in the results. MP&L implemented an incentive-rate plan in March 1994 and, in June 1995, the LPSC implemented a performance-based formula rate plan for LP&L. The continuing pattern of rate reductions is a characteristic of the competitive environment in which Entergy operates.

Several of the Operating Companies have recently been ordered to grant base rate reductions and have refunded or credited customers for previous overcollections of rates. See Note 2 for additional discussion of rate reductions and incentive-rate regulation.

In connection with the Merger, AP&L and MP&L agreed with their respective retail regulators not to request any general retail rate increases that would take effect before November 1998, with certain exceptions. MP&L also agreed that during this period retail base rates under its formula rate plan would not be increased above the level of rates in effect on November 1, 1993. In connection with the Merger, NOPSI agreed with the Council to reduce its annual electric base rates by \$4.8 million, effective for bills rendered on or after November 1, 1993. GSU agreed with the LPSC and PUCT to a five-year Rate Cap on retail electric rates, and to pass through to retail customers the fuel savings and a certain percentage of the nonfuel savings created by the Merger. Under the terms of their respective Merger agreements, the LPSC and PUCT have reviewed GSU's base rates during the first post-Merger earnings analysis and ordered rate reductions. See Note 2 for additional discussion of GSU's post-Merger filings with the LPSC and the PUCT.

System Energy implemented a \$65.5 million rate increase, subject to refund, in December 1995.

Potential Changes in the Electric Utility Industry

Retail wheeling, the transmission by an electric utility of energy produced by another entity over the utility's transmission and distribution system to a retail customer in the electric utility's area of service, continues to evolve. Approximately 40 states have initiated studies of the concept of retail competition or are considering it as part of industry restructuring. Within the area served by the Operating Companies, the City of New Orleans, Louisiana, and Texas are conducting such studies.

In January 1996, the Council voted to investigate retail utility service competition. Although no date has been set, the investigation will focus on the impact of competition, service unbundling, and utility restructuring on consumers of retail electric and gas utility service in New Orleans. Earlier in 1995, a newly incorporated entity, Crescent City Utilities, Inc., submitted to the Council a draft resolution intended to permit the use of NOPSI's gas and electric transmission and distribution facilities by any other franchised utility to supply electricity and gas to retail customers in New Orleans. The Council has not scheduled hearings relating to this resolution.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

SIGNIFICANT FACTORS AND KNOWN TRENDS

The PUCT is currently developing rules that will permit greater wholesale electric competition in Texas, as mandated by the Texas legislature in its 1995 session. These wholesale transmission access rules are expected to be in place by the first quarter of 1996. In addition, the PUCT is developing information to be contained in reports that will be submitted to the 1997 legislature concerning broader competitive issues such as the unbundling of electric utility operations, market-based pricing, performance-based ratemaking, and the identification and recovery of potential stranded costs as part of the transition to a more competitive electric industry environment. This information will be developed through a series of workshops and comments by interested parties throughout 1996. In addition, during 1995, the Texas legislature revised the Public Utility Regulatory Act, the law regulating electric utilities in Texas. The revised law permits utility and non utility EWGs and power marketers to sell wholesale power in the state. The revised law also permits the discounting of rates with certain conditions, but does not change the current law governing retail wheeling or the treatment of federal income taxes.

During the second quarter of 1995, the Louisiana legislature considered a bill permitting local retail wheeling. The bill was defeated, but similar bills are likely to be introduced in the future. During the same time period, the LPSC initiated a generic docket to investigate retail, wholesale, and affiliate wheeling of electricity. Currently, no procedural schedule has been set for this docket.

During January 1996, a bill entitled the "Electric Power Competition Act of 1996" was introduced into the United States House of Representatives. The bill proposes to amend certain provisions under PURPA for the purpose of facilitating future deregulation of the electric power industry.

In some areas of the country, municipalities (or comparable entities) whose residents are served at retail by an investor-owned utility pursuant to a franchise, are exploring the possibility of establishing new electric distribution systems, or extending existing ones. In some cases, municipalities are also seeking new delivery points in order to serve retail customers, especially large industrial customers, which currently receive service from an investor-owned utility. Where successful, however, the establishment of a municipal system or the acquisition by a municipal system of a utility's customers could result in the utility's inability to recover costs that it has incurred for the purpose of serving those customers.

Significant Industrial Cogeneration Effects

Many of Entergy's industrial customers, whose costs structures are energy-sensitive, have energy alternatives available to them such as fuel switching, cogeneration, and production shifting. Cogeneration is generally defined as the combined production of electricity and some other useful form of heat, typically steam. Cogenerated power may either be sold by its producer to the local utility at its avoided cost under PURPA, and/or utilized by the cogenerator to displace purchases from the utility. To the extent that cogeneration is used by industrial customers to meet their own power requirements, the System may suffer loss of industrial load. It is the practice of the Operating Companies to negotiate the renewal of contracts with large industrial customers prior to their expiration. In certain cases (particularly for GSU and LP&L), contracts or special tariffs that use flexible pricing have been negotiated with industrial customers to keep these customers on the System. The pricing agreements are not at full cost of service. Such rates may fully recover all related costs, but provide only a minimal return, if any, on investment. In 1995, KWh sales to GSU's and LP&L's industrial customers at less than full cost-of-service rates made up approximately 27% and 39% of GSU's and LP&L's total industrial class sales, respectively.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
SIGNIFICANT FACTORS AND KNOWN TRENDS

Since PURPA was enacted in 1978, the Operating Companies have been largely successful in retaining industrial load. The Operating Companies anticipate they will be successful in renegotiating such contracts with large industrial customers. However, this competitive challenge will likely increase. There can be no assurance that the Operating Companies will be successful or that future revenues will not be lost to other forms of generation.

The Council has recently approved a resolution requiring its prior approval of regulatory treatment of any lost contribution to fixed costs as a result of incentive-rate agreements with large industrial or commercial customers entered into for the purposes of retaining those customers. The resolution also requires prior approval by the Council of the regulatory treatment of stranded costs resulting from the loss of large customers.

During 1995, LP&L received separate notices from two large industrial customers that will proceed with proposed cogeneration projects for the purpose of fulfilling their future electric energy needs. These customers will continue to purchase their energy requirements from LP&L until their cogeneration facilities are completed and operational, which is expected to occur between the years 1997 and 1998. After that time these customers will still purchase energy from LP&L, but at a reduced level. During 1995, these two customers represented an aggregate of approximately 18% of total LP&L industrial sales, and provided 12% of total industrial base revenues.

Domestic and Foreign Energy-Related Investments

Entergy Corporation seeks opportunities to expand its domestic energy-related businesses that are not regulated by state and local regulatory authorities, as well as foreign power investments that provide returns in excess of similar domestic investments. Such business ventures currently include power development and new technology related to the utility business. Entergy Corporation's strategy is to identify and pursue business opportunities that have the potential to earn a greater return than its regulated utility operations. Refer to "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES" for a discussion of Entergy Corporation's 1995 investment in domestic and foreign energy-related businesses. These investments may involve a greater risk than domestically regulated utility enterprises. In 1995, Entergy Corporation's investments in domestic and foreign energy-related investments reduced consolidated net income by approximately \$64.8 million. While such investments did not have a positive effect on 1995 earnings, management believes they will show profits in the near term.

In an effort to expand into new energy-related businesses, Entergy plans to commercialize its fiber optic telecommunications network that connects system facilities and supports its internal business needs. Entergy will provide long-haul fiber optic capacity to major telecommunications carriers, which in turn will market that service to third parties. The recently enacted Telecommunications Act of 1996 permits Entergy to market such a service, pending state and local regulatory approval. On February 8, 1996, the President of the United States signed the Telecommunications Act into law. This new law contains an exemption from PUHCA that will permit registered utility holding companies to form and capitalize subsidiaries to engage in telephone, telecommunications, and information service businesses without SEC approval. However, the law requires that such telecommunications subsidiaries file for exemption with the Federal Communications Commission, and that they not engage in transactions with utility affiliates within their holding company systems or acquire utility affiliates' property without state or local regulatory approval. Entergy Corporation has requested approval from the SEC to form a new nonregulated subsidiary named Entergy Technologies Company to commercialize the Entergy telecommunications network.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
SIGNIFICANT FACTORS AND KNOWN TRENDS

In early October 1995, FERC issued an order granting EWG status to Entergy Power Marketing Corporation (EPM), a wholly owned subsidiary of Entergy Corporation. EPM was created during 1995 to become a buyer and seller of electrical energy and its generating fuels. In February 1996, FERC approved market-based rate sales of electricity by EPM. Such approval will allow EPM to begin providing wholesale customers with a variety of services including physical and financial trading. Pending approval from the SEC, EPM expects to begin financial trading by the summer of 1996.

On January 5, 1996, Entergy Corporation finalized its acquisition of CitiPower, an electric distribution company serving Melbourne, Australia, and surrounding suburbs. The purchase price of CitiPower was approximately \$1.2 billion, of which \$294 million represented an equity investment by Entergy Corporation, and the remainder represented debt. Entergy Corporation funded the majority of the equity portion of the investment by using \$230 million of its \$300 million line of credit. CitiPower serves approximately 234,500 customers, the majority of which are commercial customers. At the time of the acquisition, CitiPower had 846 employees.

ANO Matters

Entergy Operations has made inspections and repairs from time to time on ANO 2's steam generators. During the October 1995 inspection, additional cracks in the tubes were discovered. Currently, Entergy Operations is in the process of gathering information and assessing various options for the repair or replacement of ANO 2's steam generators. See Note 8 for additional information.

Deregulated Utility Operations

GSU discontinued regulatory accounting principles for its wholesale jurisdiction and steam department and the Louisiana deregulated portion of River Bend during 1989 and 1991, respectively. The operating income (loss) from these operations was \$7.2 million in 1995, \$(5.2) million in 1994, and \$(2.9) million in 1993.

The increase in 1995 net income from deregulated operations was due to increased revenues and reduced operation and maintenance expenses, partially offset by increased depreciation. The larger net loss from deregulated operations in 1994 was principally due to a smaller income tax benefit. The future impact of the deregulated utility operations on Entergy and GSU's results of operations and financial position will depend on future operating costs, the efficiency and availability of generating units, and the future market for energy over the remaining life of the assets. Entergy expects the performance of its deregulated utility operations to improve, due to continued reductions in operation and maintenance expenses. The deregulated operations will be subject to the requirements of SFAS 121, as discussed in Note 1, in determining the recognition of any asset impairment.

Property Tax Exemptions

LP&L and GSU are working with tax authorities to determine the method for calculating the amount of property taxes to be paid once Waterford 3 and River Bend's local property tax exemptions expire. Waterford 3's exemption expired in December 1995 and River Bend's exemption expires in December 1996. LP&L expects that the LPSC will address the accounting treatment and recovery of Waterford 3's property taxes in April 1996, in conjunction with the annual filing required under its performance-based formula rate plan.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
SIGNIFICANT FACTORS AND KNOWN TRENDS

Environmental Issues

GSU has been notified by the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) that it has been designated as a PRP for the clean-up of certain hazardous waste disposal sites. See Note 8 for additional information.

As a consequence of rules for solid waste regulation issued by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality in 1993, LP&L has determined that certain of its power plant wastewater impoundments must be upgraded or closed. See Note 8 for additional information.

Accounting Issues

New Accounting Standard - In March 1995, the FASB issued SFAS 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of" (SFAS 121), effective January 1, 1996. This standard describes circumstances that may result in assets being impaired and provides criteria for recognition and measurement of asset impairment. See Notes 1 and 2 for information regarding the potential impacts of the new accounting standard on Entergy.

Continued Application of SFAS 71 - As a result of the EPAct and actions of regulatory commissions, the electric utility industry is moving toward a combination of competition and a modified regulatory environment. The System's financial statements currently reflect, for the most part, assets and costs based on current cost-based ratemaking regulations in accordance with SFAS 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation" (SFAS 71). Continued applicability of SFAS 71 to the System's financial statements requires that rates set by an independent regulator on a cost-of-service basis can actually be charged to and collected from customers.

In the event that all or a portion of a utility's operations cease to meet those criteria for various reasons, including deregulation, a change in the method of regulation, or a change in the competitive environment for the utility's regulated services, the utility should discontinue application of SFAS 71 for the relevant portion. That discontinuation should be reported by elimination from the balance sheet of the effects of any actions of regulators recorded as regulatory assets and liabilities.

As of December 31, 1995, and for the foreseeable future, the System's financial statements continue to follow SFAS 71, except for certain portions of GSU's business. See Note 1 for additional discussion of Entergy's application of SFAS 71.

Accounting for Decommissioning Costs - The staff of the SEC has been reviewing the financial accounting practices of the electric utility industry regarding the recognition, measurement, and classification of nuclear decommissioning costs for nuclear generating stations in the financial statements of electric utilities. In February 1996 the FASB issued an exposure draft of the proposed SFAS addressing the accounting for decommissioning costs as well as liabilities related to the closure and removal of all long-lived assets. See Note 8 for a discussion of proposed changes in the accounting for decommissioning/closure costs and the potential impact of these changes on Entergy.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Entergy Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, and the related statements of consolidated income, retained earnings and paid-in-capital and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. The consolidated financial statements of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries for the year ended December 31, 1993, were audited by other auditors, whose report, dated February 11, 1994, included explanatory paragraphs that (i) described changes in 1993 in the method of accounting for revenues by certain of the Corporation's subsidiaries (Note 1); (ii) uncertainties regarding costs capitalized by Gulf States Utilities Company for its River Bend Unit I Nuclear Generating Plant (River Bend) and other rate-related contingencies which may result in a refund of revenues previously collected (Note 2); and, (iii) an uncertainty regarding civil actions against Gulf States Utilities Company (Note 8).

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the net amount of capitalized costs for River Bend exceed those costs currently being recovered through rates. At December 31, 1995, approximately \$482 million is not currently being recovered through rates. If current regulatory and court orders are not modified, a write-off of all or a portion of such costs may be required. Additionally, other rate-related contingencies exist which may result in refunds of revenues previously collected. The extent of such write-off of capitalized River Bend costs or refunds of revenues previously collected, if any, will not be determined until appropriate rate proceedings and court appeals have been concluded. Accordingly, the accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments or provision for write-off or refund that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties. As also discussed in Note 2, approximately \$187 million of additional deferred River Bend operating costs which exceed those costs currently being recovered through rates are expected to be written-off upon the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of." Adoption of this Statement is required on January 1, 1996.

As discussed in Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements, civil actions have been initiated against Gulf States Utilities Company to, among other things, recover the co-owner's investment in River Bend and to annul the River Bend Joint Ownership Participation and Operating Agreement. The ultimate outcome of these proceedings cannot presently be determined.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, in 1995 one of the Corporation's subsidiaries changed its method of accounting for incremental nuclear plant outage maintenance costs.

COOPERS & LYBRAND L.L.P.

New Orleans, Louisiana

February 14, 1996

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of the Directors and the Shareholders of Entergy Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying statements of consolidated income, retained earnings and paid-in capital, and cash flows of Entergy Corporation and subsidiaries for the year ended December 31, 1993. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We did not audit the financial statements of Gulf States Utilities Company (a consolidated subsidiary acquired on December 31, 1993), which statements reflect total assets constituting 31% of consolidated total assets at December 31, 1993. Those statements were audited by other auditors whose report (which included explanatory paragraphs regarding the uncertainties discussed in the fourth and fifth paragraphs below) has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for Gulf States Utilities Company, is based solely on the report of such auditors.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit and the report of the other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audit and the report of the other auditors, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the results of Entergy Corporation and subsidiaries' operations and their cash flows for the year ended December 31, 1993 in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Corporation acquired a 70% interest in River Bend Unit 1 Nuclear Generating Plant (River Bend) through its acquisition of Gulf States Utilities Company on December 31, 1993. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the net amount of capitalized costs for River Bend exceed those costs currently being recovered through rates. If current regulatory and court orders are not modified, a write-off of all or a portion of such costs may be required. Additionally, as discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, other rate-related contingencies exist which may result in a refund of revenues previously collected. The extent of such write-off of capitalized River Bend costs or refund of revenue previously collected, if any, will not be determined until appropriate rate proceedings and court appeals have been concluded. Accordingly, the accompanying 1993 consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties.

As discussed in Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements, civil actions have been initiated against Gulf States Utilities Company to, among other things, recover the co-owner's investment in River Bend and to annul the related joint ownership participation and operating agreement. The ultimate outcome of these proceedings, including their impact on Gulf States Utilities Company, cannot presently be determined. Accordingly, the accompanying 1993 consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, certain of the Corporation's subsidiaries changed their method of accounting for revenues in 1993.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New Orleans, Louisiana

February 11, 1994

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

On December 31, 1993, GSU became a subsidiary of Entergy Corporation. In accordance with the purchase method of accounting, the results of operations for the twelve months ended December 31, 1993, of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries reported in its Statements of Consolidated Income and Cash Flows do not include GSU's results of operations. However, the following discussion is presented with GSU's 1993 results of operations included for comparative purposes.

Net Income

Consolidated net income increased in 1995 due primarily to increased electric operating revenues, decreased other operation and maintenance expenses, the onetime recording of the cumulative effect of the change in accounting method for incremental nuclear refueling outage maintenance costs at AP&L, and decreased interest expense, partially offset by increased income taxes and decreased miscellaneous income - net.

Consolidated net income decreased in 1994 due primarily to the one time recording in 1993 of the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle for unbilled revenues for AP&L, GSU, MP&L, and NOPSI, and a base-rate reduction ordered by the PUCT. In addition, net income was impacted by a decrease in revenues, increased Merger- related costs, certain restructuring costs, and decreased miscellaneous income - net, partially offset by a decrease in interest on long-term debt and preferred dividend requirements.

Significant factors affecting the results of operations and causing variances between the years 1995 and 1994, and 1994 and 1993, are discussed under "Revenues and Sales," "Expenses," and "Other" below.

Revenues and Sales

See "SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON," following the notes, for information on operating revenues by source and KWh sales.

The changes in electric operating revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 1995, are as follows:

Description	Increase/ (Decrease)
-----	-----
	(In Millions)
Change in base revenues	\$6.6
Rate riders	15.3
Fuel cost recovery (28.0)	
Sales volume/weather	141.3
Other revenue (including unbilled)	4.3
Sales for resale	49.5
System Energy-FERC Settlement	120.5

Total	\$309.5
	=====

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Electric operating revenues increased in 1995 as a result of an increase in retail energy sales, the effects of the 1994 FERC Settlement, and increased wholesale revenues, partially offset by rate reductions at GSU, LP&L, and NOPSI and lower fuel adjustment revenues. Warmer weather and non-weather related volume growth contributed equally to the increase in retail electric energy sales. The increase in sales for resale was primarily from increased energy sales outside of Entergy's service area. The increase in other revenues was due to the effects of the 1994 FERC Settlement and the 1994 NOPSI Settlement.

Electric operating revenues decreased in 1994 due primarily to rate reductions at GSU, MP&L, and NOPSI, the effects of the 1994 NOPSI Settlement and the FERC Settlement, and decreased fuel adjustment revenues, partially offset by increased retail energy sales and increased collections of previously deferred Grand Gulf 1-related costs.

Gas operating revenues decreased in 1995 because of a milder winter than in 1994, gas rate reductions agreed to in the 1994 NOPSI Settlement, and a lower unit price for gas purchased for resale. Gas operating revenues decreased slightly in 1994 as a result of lower weather-related sales.

Expenses

Operating expenses increased in 1995 due to increased income taxes related to higher pre-tax book income and the effects of the 1994 FERC Settlement. In addition, nuclear refueling outage expenses increased due to a 1995 refueling outage at Grand Gulf 1 and the adoption of the change in accounting method at AP&L. The increase in operating expenses was partially offset by a reduction in other operation and maintenance expenses. Other operation and maintenance expenses decreased primarily because of lower payroll-related expenses resulting from the restructuring program discussed in Note 11 and 1994 Merger-related costs.

Operating expenses decreased in 1994 due primarily to decreased power purchases from nonassociated utilities and to changes in generation requirements for the Operating Companies, decreased nuclear refueling outage expenses as the result of Grand Gulf 1 outage expenses incurred in 1993, decreased income taxes due primarily to lower pre-tax book income, and the effects of the FERC Settlement.

Interest charges decreased in 1995 and 1994 as a result of the retirement and refinancing of higher cost long-term debt.

Preferred dividend requirements decreased in 1995 and 1994 due to stock redemption activities.

Other

Miscellaneous other income - net decreased in 1995 due primarily to expansion activities in nonregulated businesses.

Miscellaneous other income - net decreased in 1994 due primarily to the amortization of the plant acquisition adjustment related to the GSU Merger, the adoption of SFAS 116, "Accounting for Contributions Made and Contributions Received," and reduced Grand Gulf 1 carrying charges at AP&L.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	1995	1994	1993
	(In Thousands, Except Share Data)		
Operating Revenues:			
Electric	\$ 6,121,141	\$ 5,811,600	\$ 4,384,233
Natural gas	103,992	118,962	90,991
Steam products	49,295	46,559	-
Total	6,274,428	5,977,121	4,475,224
Operating Expenses:			
Operation and maintenance:			
Fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale	1,395,889	1,450,598	907,100
Purchased power	356,596	340,067	278,070
Nuclear refueling outage expenses	84,972	63,979	76,383
Other operation and maintenance	1,468,851	1,581,520	1,045,713
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	690,841	656,896	443,550
Taxes other than income taxes	299,926	284,234	199,151
Income taxes	349,528	131,965	251,163
Amortization of rate deferrals	408,087	399,121	280,753
Total	5,054,690	4,908,380	3,481,883
Operating Income	1,219,738	1,068,741	993,341
Other Income (Deductions):			
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	9,629	11,903	8,049
Miscellaneous - net	(20,947)	20,631	50,957
Income taxes	13,346	241	(33,640)
Total	2,028	32,775	25,366
Interest Charges:			
Interest on long-term debt	633,851	665,541	503,797
Other interest - net	33,749	22,354	5,740
Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction	(8,368)	(9,938)	(5,478)
Preferred and preference dividend requirements of subsidiaries and other	77,969	81,718	56,559
Total	737,201	759,675	560,618
Income before the Cumulative Effect of Accounting Changes	484,565	341,841	458,089
Cumulative Effect of Accounting Changes (net of income taxes)	35,415	-	93,841
Net Income	\$ 519,980	\$ 341,841	\$ 551,930
Earnings per average common share before cumulative effect of accounting changes	\$ 2.13	\$ 1.49	\$ 2.62
Earnings per average common share	\$ 2.28	\$ 1.49	\$ 3.16
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 1.80	\$ 1.80	\$ 1.65
Average number of common shares outstanding	227,669,970	228,734,843	174,887,556

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	1995	1994	1993
	(In Thousands)		
Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 519,980	\$ 341,841	\$ 551,930
Noncash items included in net income:			
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle	(35,415)	-	(93,841)
Change in rate deferrals/excess capacity-net	390,177	394,344	200,532
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	690,841	656,896	443,550
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits	(31,006)	(151,731)	17,669
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(9,629)	(11,903)	(8,049)
Amortization of deferred revenues	-	(14,632)	(42,470)
Changes in working capital:			
Receivables	(30,550)	(382)	(40,682)
Fuel inventory	(28,956)	16,993	(1,161)
Accounts payable	(19,124)	65,776	(9,167)
Taxes accrued	115,250	(25,689)	(32,761)
Interest accrued	(194)	(15,255)	(758)
Reserve for rate refund	(48,117)	56,972	-
Other working capital accounts	(114,436)	105,907	51,100
Refunds to customers - gas contract settlement	-	-	(56,027)
Decommissioning trust contributions	(37,756)	(24,755)	(20,402)
Provision for estimated losses and reserves	14,065	22,522	20,832
Other	21,601	120,863	94,092
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	1,396,731	1,537,767	1,074,387
Investing Activities:			
Merger with GSU - cash paid	-	-	(250,000)
Merger with GSU - cash acquired	-	-	261,349
Construction/capital expenditures	(618,436)	(676,180)	(512,235)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	9,629	11,903	8,049
Nuclear fuel purchases	(207,501)	(179,932)	(118,216)
Proceeds from sale/leaseback of nuclear fuel	226,607	128,675	121,526
Investment in nonregulated/nonutility properties	(172,814)	(49,859)	(76,870)
Proceeds received from sale of property	-	26,000	-
Decrease in other temporary investments	-	-	17,012
Net cash flow used in investing activities	(762,515)	(739,393)	(549,385)
Financing Activities:			
Proceeds from the issuance of:			
First mortgage bonds	-	59,410	605,000
General and refunding mortgage bonds	109,285	24,534	350,000
Other long-term debt	273,542	164,699	106,070
Retirement of:			
First mortgage bonds	(225,800)	(303,800)	(911,692)
General and refunding mortgage bonds	(69,200)	(45,000)	(99,400)
Other long-term debt	(221,043)	(148,962)	(69,982)
Premium and expense on refinancing sale/leaseback bonds	-	(48,497)	-
Repurchase of common stock	-	(119,486)	(20,558)
Redemption of preferred stock	(46,564)	(49,091)	(56,000)
Changes in short-term borrowings	(126,200)	128,200	43,000
Common stock dividends paid	(408,553)	(410,223)	(287,483)
Net cash flow used in financing activities	(714,533)	(748,216)	(341,045)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(80,317)	50,158	183,957
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	613,907	563,749	379,792
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 533,590	\$ 613,907	\$ 563,749

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest - net of amount capitalized	\$ 626,531	\$ 660,150	\$ 485,876
Income taxes	\$ 285,738	\$ 218,667	\$ 159,659
Noncash investing and financing activities:			
Capital lease obligations incurred	-	\$ 88,574	\$ 126,812
Change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation of decommissioning trust assets	\$ 16,614	\$ (2,198)	-
Merger with GSU - common stock issued	-	-	\$ 2,032,071

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
ASSETS

	December 31,	
	1995	1994
	(In Thousands)	
Utility Plant:		
Electric	\$ 21,698,593	\$ 21,184,013
Plant acquisition adjustment - GSU	471,690	487,955
Electric plant under leases	675,425	668,846
Property under capital leases - electric	145,146	161,950
Natural gas	166,872	164,013
Steam products	77,551	77,307
Construction work in progress	482,950	476,816
Nuclear fuel under capital leases	312,782	265,520
Nuclear fuel	49,100	70,147
	24,080,109	23,556,567
Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization	8,259,318	7,639,549
	15,820,791	15,917,018
Other Property and Investments:		
Decommissioning trust funds	277,716	207,395
Other	434,619	240,745
	712,335	448,140
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash	42,822	87,700
Temporary cash investments - at cost, which approximates market	490,768	526,207
	533,590	613,907
Total cash and cash equivalents	533,590	613,907
Special deposits	10,884	8,074
Notes receivable	6,907	9,509
Accounts receivable:		
Customer (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$7.1 million in 1995 and \$6.7 million in 1994)	333,343	348,169
Other	59,176	66,651
Accrued unbilled revenues	293,461	240,610
Deferred fuel	25,924	-
Fuel inventory	122,167	93,211
Materials and supplies - at average cost	345,330	365,956
Rate deferrals	420,221	388,995
Prepayments and other	164,237	98,811
	2,315,240	2,233,893
Deferred Debits and Other Assets:		
Regulatory assets:		
Rate deferrals	1,033,282	1,443,283
SFAS 109 regulatory asset - net	1,279,495	1,417,646
Unamortized loss on reacquired debt	224,131	232,420
Other regulatory assets	329,397	325,521
Long-term receivables	224,726	264,752
Other	326,533	339,201
	3,417,564	4,022,823
TOTAL	\$ 22,265,930	\$ 22,621,874

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES

	December 31,	
	----- 1995 -----	----- 1994 -----
	(In Thousands)	
Capitalization:		
Common stock, \$.01 par value, authorized 500,000,000 shares; issued 230,017,485 shares in 1995 and 1994	\$ 2,300	\$ 2,300
Paid-in capital	4,201,483	4,202,134
Retained earnings	2,335,579	2,223,739
Less - treasury stock (2,251,318 shares in 1995 and 2,608,908 in 1994)	67,642	77,378
	-----	-----
Total common shareholders' equity	6,471,720	6,350,795
Subsidiary's preference stock	150,000	150,000
Subsidiaries' preferred stock:		
Without sinking fund	550,955	550,955
With sinking fund	253,460	299,946
Long-term debt	6,777,124	7,093,473
	-----	-----
Total	14,203,259	14,445,169
	-----	-----
Other Noncurrent Liabilities:		
Obligations under capital leases	303,664	273,947
Other	317,949	310,977
	-----	-----
Total	621,613	584,924
	-----	-----
Current Liabilities:		
Currently maturing long-term debt	558,650	349,085
Notes payable	45,667	171,867
Accounts payable	460,379	479,503
Customer deposits	140,054	134,478
Taxes accrued	207,828	92,578
Accumulated deferred income taxes	72,847	40,313
Interest accrued	195,445	195,639
Dividends declared	12,194	13,599
Deferred fuel cost	-	27,066
Nuclear refueling reserve	22,627	48,071
Obligations under capital leases	151,140	151,904
Reserve for rate refund	8,855	56,972
Other	224,412	279,259
	-----	-----
Total	2,100,098	2,040,334
	-----	-----
Deferred Credits:		
Accumulated deferred income taxes	3,777,644	3,915,138
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits	612,701	649,898
Other	950,615	986,411
	-----	-----
Total	5,340,960	5,551,447
	-----	-----
Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 2, 8, and 9)		
TOTAL	\$ 22,265,930	\$ 22,621,874
	=====	=====

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED RETAINED EARNINGS AND PAID-IN CAPITAL

				For the Years Ended December 31,		
				1995	1994	1993
				(In Thousands)		
Retained Earnings, January 1	\$	2,223,739	\$	2,310,082	\$	2,062,188
Add:						
Net income		519,980		341,841		551,930
Total		2,743,719		2,651,923		2,614,118
Deduct:						
Dividends declared on common stock		409,801		411,806		288,342
Common stock retirements		-		13,940		13,906
Capital stock and other expenses		(1,661)		2,438		1,788
Total		408,140		428,184		304,036
Retained Earnings, December 31	\$	2,335,579	\$	2,223,739	\$	2,310,082
Paid-in Capital, January 1	\$	4,202,134	\$	4,223,682	\$	1,327,589
Add:						
Loss on reacquisition of subsidiaries' preferred stock		(26)		(23)		(20)
Issuance of 56,695,724 shares of common stock in the merger with GSU		-		-		2,027,325
Issuance of 174,552,011 shares of common stock at \$.01 par value net of the retirement of 174,552,011 shares of common stock at \$5.00 par value		-		-		871,015
Capital stock expense		(3,002)		-		-
Total		4,199,106		4,223,659		4,225,909
Deduct:						
Common stock retirements		-		22,468		4,389
Capital stock discounts and other expenses		(2,377)		(943)		(2,162)
Total		(2,377)		21,525		2,227
Paid-in Capital, December 31	\$	4,201,483	\$	4,202,134	\$	4,223,682

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON

	1995	1994	1993	1992	1991
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	(In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)				
Operating revenues	\$ 6,274,428	\$ 5,977,121	\$ 4,475,224	\$ 4,098,332	\$ 4,059,135
Income before cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle	\$ 484,565	\$ 341,841	\$ 458,089	\$ 437,637	\$ 482,032
Earnings per share before cumulative effect of accounting changes	\$ 2.13	\$ 1.49	\$ 2.62	\$ 2.48	\$ 2.64
Dividends declared per share	\$ 1.80	\$ 1.80	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.45	\$ 1.25
Return on average common equity	8.11%	5.31%	12.58%	10.31%	11.57%
Book value per share, year-end (2)	\$ 28.41	\$ 27.93	\$ 28.27	\$ 24.35	\$ 23.46
Total assets (2)	\$ 22,265,930	\$ 22,621,874	\$ 22,876,697	\$ 14,239,537	\$ 14,383,102
Long-term obligations (1)(2)	\$ 7,484,248	\$ 7,817,366	\$ 8,177,882	\$ 5,630,505	\$ 5,801,364

(1) Includes long-term debt (excluding currently maturing debt), preferred and preference stock with sinking fund, and noncurrent capital lease obligations.

(2) 1993 amounts include the effects of the Merger in accordance with the purchase method of accounting for combinations.

	1995 -----	1994 -----	1993 -----	1992 -----	1991 -----
(In Thousands)					
Electric Operating Revenues:					
Residential	\$ 2,177,348	\$ 2,127,820	\$ 1,594,515	\$ 1,441,628	
\$1,462,673					
Commercial	1,491,818	1,500,462	1,071,070	1,008,474	
996,095					
Industrial	1,810,045	1,834,155	1,197,695	1,098,147	
1,068,224					
Governmental	154,032	159,840	136,471	127,880	
128,699					

Total retail	5,633,243	5,622,277	3,999,751	3,676,129	
3,655,691					
Sales for resale	367,997	312,892	295,769	252,288	
220,347					
Other (1)	119,901	(123,569)	88,713	96,971	
106,146					

Total	\$ 6,121,141	\$ 5,811,600	\$ 4,384,233	\$ 4,025,388	
\$3,982,184					
=====					
=====					
Billed Electric Energy Sales (Millions of KWh):					
Residential	27,704	26,231	18,946	17,549	
18,329					
Commercial	20,719	20,050	13,420	12,928	
13,164					
Industrial	42,260	41,030	24,889	23,610	
23,466					
Governmental	2,311	2,233	1,887	1,839	
1,903					

Total retail	92,994	89,544	59,142	55,926	
56,862					
Sales for resale	10,471	7,908	8,291	7,979	
7,346					

Total	103,465	97,452	67,433	63,905	
64,208					
=====					
=====					

(1)1994 includes the effects of the FERC Settlement, the 1994 NOPSI Settlement, and a GSU reserve for rate refund.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Arkansas Power & Light Company

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Arkansas Power & Light Company as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, and the related statements of income, retained earnings and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. The financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 1993, were audited by other auditors, whose report, dated February 11, 1994, included an explanatory paragraph that described a change in the method of accounting for revenues, which is discussed in Note 1 to these financial statements.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, in 1995 the Company changed its method of accounting for incremental nuclear plant outage maintenance costs.

COOPERS & LYBRAND L.L.P.

New Orleans, Louisiana

February 14, 1996

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Shareholders and the Board of Directors of Arkansas Power & Light Company:

We have audited the accompanying statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows of Arkansas Power & Light Company (AP&L) for the year ended December 31, 1993. These financial statements are the responsibility of AP&L's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the results of AP&L's operations and its cash flows for the year ended December 31, 1993 in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, AP&L changed its method of accounting for revenues in 1993.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New Orleans, Louisiana

February 11, 1994

ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Income

Net income increased in 1995 due primarily to the onetime recording of the cumulative effect of the change in accounting method for incremental nuclear refueling outage maintenance costs as discussed in Note 1. Excluding the above mentioned item, net income for 1995 decreased due to an increase in depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning expenses and income tax expense offset by an increase in revenues from retail energy sales and a decrease in other operation and maintenance expenses.

Net income decreased in 1994 due primarily to the onetime recording in the first quarter of 1993 of the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle for unbilled revenues and its ongoing effects, and to increased other operation and maintenance expenses resulting from restructuring and storm damage costs during 1994.

Significant factors affecting the results of operations and causing variances between the years 1995 and 1994, and 1994 and 1993, are discussed under "Revenues and Sales," "Expenses," and "Other" below.

Revenues and Sales

See "SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON," following the notes to financial statements, for information on operating revenues by source and KWh sales.

The changes in electric operating revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 1995, are as follows:

Description -----	Increase/ (Decrease) -----
Millions)	(In
Change in base revenues \$(3.4)	
Rate riders	15.9
Fuel cost recovery	25.1
Sales volume/weather	38.2
Other revenue (including unbilled)	9.7
Sales for resale (28.0)	

Total	\$57.5 =====

Electric operating revenues increased for 1995 due primarily to increased retail energy sales and fuel adjustment revenues partially offset by a decrease in sales for resale to associated companies. The increase in sales volume/weather resulted from increased customers and associated usage, while the remainder resulted from warmer weather in the summer months. The decrease in sales for resale to associated companies was caused by changes in generation availability and requirements among the Operating Companies.

Total revenues remained relatively unchanged in 1994. Retail revenues decreased primarily due to lower recovery of fuel revenues during the year offset by increased sales for resale to associated companies in 1994, caused by changes in generation availability and requirements among the Operating Companies.

ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Expenses

Operating expenses increased in 1995 because of an increase in depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning expenses and income tax expense, offset by a decrease in other operation and maintenance expenses. Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning expenses increased primarily due to additions and upgrades at ANO and additions to transmission lines, substations, and other equipment. Also, decommissioning expense increased due to the implementation of the decommissioning rate rider which resulted from the decommissioning study performed in 1994. Income tax expense increased primarily due to the write-off in 1994 of investment tax credits in accordance with the FERC Settlement, as discussed below. Income tax expense also increased due to higher pre-tax income in 1995. The decrease in other operation and maintenance expenses is largely due to restructuring costs and storm damage costs recorded in 1994 .

Operating expenses increased in 1994 due primarily to increased other operation and maintenance expenses and increased amortization of rate deferrals partially offset by lower purchased power expenses. Other operation and maintenance expenses increased in 1994 primarily due to the storm damage and restructuring costs as discussed in Note

11. The decrease in 1994 purchased power expenses is primarily due to the decrease in the price of purchased power. Total income taxes decreased during 1994 primarily due to the write-off of unamortized deferred investment tax credit of \$27.3 million due to a FERC settlement and due to lower pretax income in 1994. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in tax expense due to the true-up of actual income tax expense for 1993 determined during 1994.

Other

Miscellaneous other income - net decreased in 1994 due primarily to reduced Grand Gulf 1 carrying charges. Other income taxes decreased in 1994 primarily due to a lower pretax income as discussed above. Interest on long-term debt decreased in 1994 due primarily to the continued retirement and refinancing of high-cost debt.

ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	1995	1994	1993
	(In Thousands)		
Operating Revenues	\$ 1,648,233	\$ 1,590,742	\$ 1,591,568
Operating Expenses:			
Operation and maintenance:			
Fuel and fuel-related expenses	231,619	261,932	257,983
Purchased power	363,199	328,379	349,718
Nuclear refueling outage expenses	31,754	33,107	30,069
Other operation and maintenance	375,059	390,472	373,758
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	162,087	149,878	135,530
Taxes other than income taxes	38,319	33,610	28,626
Income taxes	53,936	9,938	18,746
Amortization of rate deferrals	174,329	166,793	160,916
Total	1,430,302	1,374,109	1,355,346
Operating Income	217,931	216,633	236,222
Other Income (Deductions):			
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	3,567	4,001	3,627
Miscellaneous - net	46,227	48,049	64,884
Income taxes	(18,146)	(19,282)	(32,451)
Total	31,648	32,768	36,060
Interest Charges:			
Interest on long-term debt	106,853	106,001	110,472
Other interest - net	8,485	4,811	9,118
Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction	(2,424)	(3,674)	(2,418)
Total	112,914	107,138	117,172
Income before the Cumulative Effect of Accounting Changes	136,665	142,263	155,110
Cumulative Effect of Accounting Changes (net of income taxes)	35,415	-	50,187
Net Income	172,080	142,263	205,297
Preferred Stock Dividend Requirements and Other	18,093	19,275	20,877
Earnings Applicable to Common Stock	\$ 153,987	\$ 122,988	\$ 184,420

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	----- 1995 -----	----- 1994 -----	----- 1993 -----
	(In Thousands)		
Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 172,080	\$ 142,263	\$ 205,297
Noncash items included in net income:			
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle	(35,415)	-	(50,187)
Change in rate deferrals/excess capacity-net	125,504	102,959	84,712
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	162,087	149,878	135,530
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits	(33,882)	(54,080)	(6,965)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(3,567)	(4,001)	(3,627)
Changes in working capital:			
Receivables	(39,209)	10,817	7,385
Fuel inventory	(22,895)	17,359	173
Accounts payable	55,732	(32,114)	20,608
Taxes accrued	(5,080)	2,226	(21,983)
Interest accrued	(824)	(346)	201
Other working capital accounts	(28,375)	20,324	26,486
Decommissioning trust contributions	(16,702)	(11,581)	(11,491)
Provision for estimated losses and reserves	2,849	16,617	1,963
Other	6,055	(4,744)	(41,826)
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	----- 338,358	----- 355,577	----- 346,276
Investing Activities:			
Construction expenditures	(165,071)	(179,116)	(176,540)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	3,567	4,001	3,627
Nuclear fuel purchases	(41,219)	(40,074)	(29,156)
Proceeds from sale/leaseback of nuclear fuel	41,832	40,074	29,156
Net cash flow used in investing activities	----- (160,891)	----- (175,115)	----- (172,913)
Financing Activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of:			
First mortgage bonds	-	-	445,000
Other long-term debt	118,662	27,992	48,070
Retirement of:			
First mortgage bonds	(25,800)	(800)	(441,141)
Other long-term debt	(124,025)	(30,231)	(47,700)
Redemption of preferred stock	(9,500)	(11,500)	(15,500)
Changes in short-term borrowings	(34,000)	12,605	17,395
Dividends paid:			
Common stock	(153,400)	(80,000)	(156,300)
Preferred stock	(18,362)	(19,597)	(21,362)
Net cash flow used in financing activities	----- (246,425)	----- (101,531)	----- (171,538)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	----- (68,958)	----- 78,931	----- 1,825
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	80,756	1,825	-
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 11,798	\$ 80,756	\$ 1,825
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest - net of amount capitalized	\$ 102,851	\$ 98,787	\$ 103,826
Income taxes	\$ 113,080	\$ 79,553	\$ 66,366
Noncash investing and financing activities:			
Capital lease obligations incurred	\$ -	\$ 47,719	\$ 48,513
Change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation of decommissioning trust assets	\$ 9,128	\$ 1,361	\$ -

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
BALANCE SHEETS
ASSETS

	December 31,	
	1995	1994
	(In Thousands)	
Utility Plant:		
Electric	\$ 4,438,519	\$ 4,293,097
Property under capital leases	48,968	56,135
Construction work in progress	119,874	136,701
Nuclear fuel under capital lease	98,691	94,628
Total	4,706,052	4,580,561
Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization	1,846,112	1,710,216
Utility plant - net	2,859,940	2,870,345
Other Property and Investments:		
Investment in subsidiary companies - at equity	11,122	11,215
Decommissioning trust fund	166,832	127,136
Other - at cost (less accumulated depreciation)	5,085	4,628
Total	183,039	142,979
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash	7,780	3,737
Temporary cash investments - at cost, which approximates market:		
Associated companies	908	4,713
Other	3,110	72,306
Total cash and cash equivalents	11,798	80,756
Accounts receivable:		
Customer (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2.1 million in 1995 and \$2.0 million in 1994)	75,445	53,781
Associated companies	40,577	28,506
Other	6,962	11,181
Accrued unbilled revenues	93,556	83,863
Fuel inventory - at average cost	57,456	34,561
Materials and supplies - at average cost	75,030	79,886
Rate deferrals	131,634	113,630
Deferred excess capacity	11,088	8,414
Deferred nuclear refueling outage costs	32,824	-
Prepayments and other	15,215	23,867
Total	551,585	518,445
Deferred Debits and Other Assets:		
Regulatory assets:		
Rate deferrals	228,390	360,496
Deferred excess capacity	5,984	20,060
SFAS 109 regulatory asset - net	219,906	227,068
Unamortized loss on reacquired debt	58,684	57,344
Other regulatory assets	68,160	68,813
Other	28,727	26,665
Total	609,851	760,446
TOTAL	\$ 4,204,415	\$ 4,292,215

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
BALANCE SHEETS
CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES

	December 31,		
	----- 1995 -----		----- 1994 -----
	(In Thousands)		
Capitalization:			
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 325,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 46,980,196 shares in 1995 and 1994	\$	470	\$ 470
Paid-in capital		590,844	590,844
Retained earnings		492,386	491,799
		-----	-----
Total common shareholder's equity		1,083,700	1,083,113
Preferred stock:			
Without sinking fund		176,350	176,350
With sinking fund		49,027	58,527
Long-term debt		1,281,203	1,293,879
		-----	-----
Total		2,590,280	2,611,869
		-----	-----
Other Noncurrent Liabilities:			
Obligations under capital leases		93,574	94,534
Other		67,444	68,235
		-----	-----
Total		161,018	162,769
		-----	-----
Current Liabilities:			
Currently maturing long-term debt		28,700	28,175
Notes payable		667	34,667
Accounts payable:			
Associated companies		42,156	17,345
Other		120,250	89,329
Customer deposits		18,594	17,113
Taxes accrued		40,159	45,239
Accumulated deferred income taxes		48,992	25,043
Interest accrued		30,240	31,064
Co-owner advances		34,450	20,639
Deferred fuel cost		17,837	20,254
Nuclear refueling reserve		-	37,954
Obligations under capital leases		54,697	56,154
Other		30,696	50,359
		-----	-----
Total		467,438	473,335
		-----	-----
Deferred Credits:			
Accumulated deferred income taxes		823,471	859,558
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits		112,890	118,548
Other		49,318	66,136
		-----	-----
Total		985,679	1,044,242
		-----	-----
Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 2, 8, and 9)			
TOTAL	\$	4,204,415	\$ 4,292,215
		=====	=====

See Notes to Financial Statements.

**ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
STATEMENTS OF RETAINED EARNINGS**

For the Years Ended December 31,			

	1995	1994	1993

	(In Thousands)		
Retained Earnings, January 1	\$ 491,799	\$ 448,811	\$ 420,691
Add:			
Net income	172,080	142,263	205,297

Total	663,879	591,074	625,988

Deduct:			
Dividends declared:			
Preferred stock	18,093	19,275	20,877
Common stock	153,400	80,000	156,300

Total	171,493	99,275	177,177

Retained Earnings, December 31 (Note 7)	\$ 492,386	\$ 491,799	\$ 448,811
	=====		

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON

	1995	1994	1993	1992	1991
	----	----	----	----	----
			(In Thousands)		
Operating revenues	\$1,648,233	\$1,590,742	\$1,591,568	\$1,521,129	\$1,528,270
Income before cumulative effect of accounting changes	\$136,665	\$142,263	\$155,110	\$130,529	\$143,451
Total assets	\$4,204,415	\$4,292,215	\$4,334,105	\$4,038,811	\$4,192,020
Long-term obligations (1)	\$1,423,804	\$1,446,940	\$1,478,203	\$1,453,588	\$1,670,678

(1) Includes long-term debt (excluding currently maturing debt), preferred stock with sinking fund, and noncurrent capital lease obligations.

See Notes 1, 3, and 10 for the effect of accounting changes in 1995 and 1993 .

	1995	1994	1993	1992	1991
	----	----	----	----	----
	(In Thousands)				
Electric Operating Revenues:					
Residential	\$ 542,862	\$ 506,160	\$ 528,734	\$ 476,090	\$ 494,375
Commercial	318,475	307,296	306,742	291,367	289,291
Industrial	362,854	338,988	336,856	325,569	324,632
Governmental	17,084	16,698	16,670	17,700	19,731
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total retail	1,241,275	1,169,142	1,189,002	1,110,726	1,128,029
Sales for resale					
Associated companies	178,885	212,314	175,784	203,470	209,343
Non-associated companies	195,844	182,920	203,696	181,558	164,392
Other	32,229	26,366	23,086	25,375	26,506
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total	\$ 1,648,233	\$1,590,742	\$1,591,568	\$1,521,129	\$1,528,270
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

Billed Electric Energy Sales (Millions of KWh):					
Residential	5,868	5,522	5,680	5,102	5,564
Commercial	4,267	4,147	4,067	3,841	3,967
Industrial	6,314	5,941	5,690	5,509	5,565
Governmental	243	231	230	248	290
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total retail	16,692	15,841	15,667	14,700	15,386
Sales for resale					
Associated companies	8,386	10,591	8,307	10,357	11,250
Non-associated companies	5,066	4,906	5,643	5,056	4,837
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total	30,144	31,338	29,617	30,113	31,473
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Gulf States Utilities Company

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Gulf States Utilities Company as of December 31, 1995 and 1994 and the related statements of income (loss), retained earnings and paid-in-capital and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1995. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1995 in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the net amount of capitalized costs for its River Bend Unit I Nuclear Generating Plant (River Bend) exceed those costs currently being recovered through rates. At December 31, 1995, approximately \$482 million is not currently being recovered through rates. If current regulatory and court orders are not modified, a write-off of all or a portion of such costs may be required. Additionally, other rate-related contingencies exist which may result in refunds of revenues previously collected. The extent of such write-off of capitalized River Bend costs or refunds of revenues previously collected, if any, will not be determined until appropriate rate proceedings and court appeals have been concluded. Accordingly, the accompanying financial statements do not include any adjustments or provision for write-off or refund that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties. As also discussed in Note 2, approximately \$187 million of additional deferred River Bend operating costs which exceed those costs currently being recovered through rates are expected to be written-off upon the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of." Adoption of this Statement is required on January 1, 1996.

As discussed in Note 8 to the financial statements, civil actions have been initiated against Gulf States Utilities Company to, among other things, recover the co-owner's investment in River Bend and to annul the River Bend Joint Ownership Participation and Operating Agreement. The ultimate outcome of these proceedings cannot presently be determined.

As discussed in Note 13 to the financial statements, the common stock of the Company was acquired on December 31, 1993.

As discussed in Note 3 to the financial statements, in 1993, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." As discussed in Note 10 to the financial statements, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 106, "Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions," as of January 1, 1993. As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, as of January 1, 1993, the Company began accruing revenues for energy delivered to customers but not yet billed.

COOPERS & LYBRAND L.L.P.

New Orleans, Louisiana
February 14, 1996

GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Income

Net income increased in 1995 principally as the result of an increase in electric operating revenues, a decrease in other operation and maintenance expenses, and an increase in other income. These changes were partially offset by higher income taxes.

Net income decreased in 1994 due primarily to write-offs and charges associated with the resolution of contingencies and additional Merger-related costs aggregating \$137 million, a base rate reduction ordered by the PUCT applied retroactively to March 1994, and restructuring costs. See Note 2 and Note 11 for additional information.

Significant factors affecting the results of operations and causing variances between the years 1995 and 1994, and 1994 and 1993, are discussed under "Revenues and Sales," "Expenses," and "Other" below.

Revenues and Sales

See "SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON," following the notes to financial statements, for information on operating revenues by source and KWh sales.

The changes in electric operating revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 1995, are as follows:

Description -----	Increase/ (Decrease) ----- (In
Millions)	
Change in base revenues	\$32.0
Fuel cost recovery (29.6)	
Sales volume/weather	35.0
Other revenue (including unbilled)	1.1
Sales for resale	31.3

Total	\$69.8 =====

Electric operating revenues increased in 1995 primarily due to increased sales volume/weather and higher sales for resale. These increases were partially offset by lower fuel adjustment revenues, which do not affect net income. Base revenues also increased in 1995 as a result of rate refund reserves established in 1994, as discussed below, which were subsequently reduced as a result of an amended PUCT order. The increase in base revenues was partially offset by rate reductions in effect for Texas and Louisiana. Sales volume/weather increased because of warmer than normal weather and an increase in usage by all customer classes. Sales for resale increased as a result of changes in generation availability and requirements among the Operating Companies.

Electric operating revenues decreased in 1994 due primarily to a base rate reduction ordered by the PUCT applied retroactively to March 1994, see Note 2 for additional information, and lower retail fuel revenues partially offset by increased wholesale revenues associated with higher sales for resale and increased retail base revenue. The decrease in retail revenues is primarily due to a decrease in fuel recovery revenue and a November 1993 rate reduction in Texas. Energy sales increased due primarily to higher sales for resale as a result of GSU's participation in the System power pool.

GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Gas operating revenues decreased for 1995 primarily due to a decrease in residential sales. This decrease was the result of a milder winter than in 1994.

Expenses

Operating expenses decreased in 1995 as a result of lower other operation and maintenance expenses and purchased power expenses, partially offset by higher income taxes. Other operation and maintenance expenses decreased primarily due to charges made in 1994 for Merger-related costs, restructuring costs, and certain pre-acquisition contingencies including unfunded Cajun-River Bend costs and environmental clean-up costs. Purchased power expenses decreased because of the availability of less expensive gas and nuclear fuel for use in electric generation as well as changes in the generation requirements among the Operating Companies. In addition, the decrease in purchased power expenses in 1995 was the result of the recording of a provision for refund of disallowed purchased power expenses in 1994. Income taxes increased primarily due to higher pre-tax income in 1995.

Operating expenses increased in 1994 due primarily to higher purchased power and other operation and maintenance expenses, partially offset by lower fuel for electric generation and fuel-related expense and lower income tax expense. Purchased power expenses increased in 1994 due to GSU's participation in joint dispatch through the System power pool resulting from increased energy sales as discussed above. The increase in purchased power expenses in 1994 was also due to the recording of a provision for refund of disallowed purchased power costs resulting from a Louisiana Supreme Court ruling. Fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale decreased in 1994 primarily due to lower gas prices.

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased in 1994 due primarily to charges associated with certain pre-acquisition contingencies, additional Merger-related costs and restructuring costs as discussed in Note 11.

Income taxes decreased in 1994 due primarily to lower pretax income resulting from the charges discussed above.

Other

Other miscellaneous income increased in 1995 as the result of certain adjustments made in 1994 related to pre-acquisition contingencies including Cajun-River Bend litigation (see Note 8 for additional information) the write-off of previously disallowed rate deferrals, and plant held for future use. As a result of these charges, income taxes on other income were significantly higher in 1995 compared to 1994.

Other miscellaneous income decreased in 1994 due to the write-off of plant held for future use, establishment of a reserve related to the Cajun-River Bend litigation, the write-off of previously disallowed rate deferrals, and obsolete spare parts. These charges were partially offset by lower interest expense as a result of the continued refinancing of high-cost debt.

Income taxes decreased in 1994 due primarily to the charges discussed above.

GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY
STATEMENTS OF INCOME (LOSS)

For the Years Ended December 31,

	1995	1994	1993
	(In Thousands)		
Operating Revenues:			
Electric	\$ 1,788,964	\$ 1,719,201	\$ 1,747,961
Natural gas	23,715	31,605	32,466
Steam products	49,295	46,559	47,193
Total	1,861,974	1,797,365	1,827,620
Operating Expenses:			
Operation and maintenance:			
Fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale	516,812	517,177	559,416
Purchased power	169,767	192,937	123,949
Nuclear refueling outage expenses	10,607	12,684	10,706
Other operation and maintenance	432,647	505,701	469,664
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	202,224	197,151	190,405
Taxes other than income taxes	102,228	98,096	95,742
Income taxes	57,235	(6,448)	46,007
Amortization of rate deferrals	66,025	66,416	61,115
Total	1,557,545	1,583,714	1,557,004
Operating Income	304,429	213,651	270,616
Other Income (Deductions):			
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	1,125	1,334	726
Write-off of plant held for future use	-	(85,476)	-
Miscellaneous - net	22,573	(64,843)	19,996
Income taxes	(6,009)	55,638	(12,009)
Total	17,689	(93,347)	8,713
Interest Charges:			
Interest on long-term debt	191,341	195,414	202,235
Other interest - net	8,884	8,720	8,364
Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction	(1,026)	(1,075)	(731)
Total	199,199	203,059	209,868
Income (Loss) before Extraordinary Items and the Cumulative Effect of an Accounting Change	122,919	(82,755)	69,461
Extraordinary Items (net of income taxes)	-	-	(1,259)
Cumulative Effect of an Accounting Change (net of income taxes)	-	-	10,660
Net Income (Loss)	122,919	(82,755)	78,862
Preferred and Preference Stock Dividend Requirements and Other	29,643	29,919	35,581
Earnings (Loss) Applicable to Common Stock	\$ 93,276	\$ (112,674)	\$ 43,281

See Notes to Financial Statements.

GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	----- 1995 -----	----- 1994 -----	----- 1993 -----
	(In Thousands)		
Operating Activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 122,919	\$ (82,755)	\$ 78,862
Noncash items included in net income:			
Extraordinary items	-	-	1,259
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle	-	-	(10,660)
Change in rate deferrals	66,025	96,979	61,115
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	202,224	197,151	190,405
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits	63,231	(62,171)	41,302
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(1,125)	(1,334)	(726)
Write-off of plant held for future use	-	85,476	-
Changes in working capital:			
Receivables	40,193	(72,341)	6,879
Fuel inventory	(6,357)	(2,336)	(2,289)
Accounts payable	(4,820)	60,112	11,072
Taxes accrued	24,935	(10,378)	3,764
Interest accrued	1,510	(4,189)	(2,497)
Reserve for rate refund	(56,972)	56,972	-
Other working capital accounts	(40,919)	33,781	(9,915)
Decommissioning trust contributions	(8,147)	(3,202)	(2,710)
Purchased power settlement	-	-	(169,300)
Provision for estimated losses and reserves	10,119	4,181	20,349
Other	(12,062)	30,413	38,525
	-----	-----	-----
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	400,754	326,359	255,435
	-----	-----	-----
Investing Activities:			
Construction expenditures	(185,944)	(155,989)	(115,481)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	1,125	1,334	726
Nuclear fuel purchases	(1,425)	(31,178)	(2,118)
Proceeds from sale/leaseback of nuclear fuel	542	29,386	2,118
Refund of escrow account and other property	-	-	5,921
	-----	-----	-----
Net cash flow used in investing activities	(185,702)	(156,447)	(108,834)
	-----	-----	-----
Financing Activities:			
Proceeds from the issuance of:			
First mortgage bonds	-	-	338,379
Other long-term debt	2,277	101,109	21,440
Preference stock	-	-	146,625
Retirement of:			
First mortgage bonds	-	-	(360,199)
Other long-term debt	(50,425)	(102,425)	(18,398)
Redemption of preferred and preference stock	(7,283)	(6,070)	(174,841)
Dividends paid:			
Common stock	-	(289,100)	-
Preferred and preference stock	(29,661)	(30,131)	(35,999)
	-----	-----	-----
Net cash flow used in financing activities	(85,092)	(326,617)	(82,993)
	-----	-----	-----
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	129,960	(156,705)	63,608
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	104,644	261,349	197,741
	-----	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 234,604	\$ 104,644	\$ 261,349
	=====	=====	=====
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest - net of amount capitalized	\$ 187,918	\$ 191,850	\$ 197,058
Income taxes	\$ 208	\$ 251	\$ 15,600
Noncash investing and financing activities:			
Capital lease obligations incurred	-	\$ 31,178	\$ 17,143
Change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation of decommissioning trust assets	\$ 2,121	\$ (915)	-

See Notes to Financial Statements.

**GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY
BALANCE SHEETS
ASSETS**

	December 31,	
	1995	1994
	(In Thousands)	
Utility Plant:		
Electric	\$ 6,942,983	\$ 6,842,726
Natural gas	45,789	44,505
Steam products	77,551	77,307
Property under capital leases	77,918	82,914
Construction work in progress	148,043	96,176
Nuclear fuel under capital lease	69,853	80,042
Total	7,362,137	7,223,670
Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization	2,664,943	2,504,826
Utility plant - net	4,697,194	4,718,844
Other Property and Investments:		
Decommissioning trust fund	32,943	21,309
Other - at cost (less accumulated depreciation)	28,626	29,315
Total	61,569	50,624
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash	13,751	8,063
Temporary cash investments - at cost, which approximates market:		
Associated companies	46,336	5,085
Other	174,517	91,496
Total cash and cash equivalents	234,604	104,644
Accounts receivable:		
Customer (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1.6 million in 1995 and \$0.7 million in 1994)	110,187	167,745
Associated companies	1,395	12,732
Other	15,497	20,706
Accrued unbilled revenues	73,381	39,470
Deferred fuel costs	31,154	6,314
Accumulated deferred income taxes	43,465	49,457
Fuel inventory	32,141	25,784
Materials and supplies - at average cost	91,288	90,054
Rate deferrals	97,164	100,478
Prepayments and other	15,566	13,754
Total	745,842	631,138
Deferred Debits and Other Assets:		
Regulatory assets:		
Rate deferrals	419,904	506,974
SFAS 109 regulatory asset-net	453,628	426,358
Unamortized loss on reacquired debt	61,233	63,994
Other regulatory assets	27,836	35,168
Long-term receivables	224,727	264,752
Other	169,125	145,609
Total	1,356,453	1,442,855
TOTAL	\$ 6,861,058	\$ 6,843,461

See Notes to Financial Statements.

**GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY
BALANCE SHEETS
CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES**

	December 31,		
	-----	-----	-----
	1995		1994
	-----		-----
	(In Thousands)		
Capitalization:			
Common stock, no par value, authorized 200,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 100 shares in 1995 and 1994	\$	114,055	\$ 114,055
Paid-in capital		1,152,505	1,152,336
Retained earnings		357,704	264,626
		-----	-----
Total common shareholder's equity		1,624,264	1,531,017
Preference stock		150,000	150,000
Preferred stock:			
Without sinking fund		136,444	136,444
With sinking fund		87,654	94,934
Long-term debt		2,175,471	2,318,417
		-----	-----
Total		4,173,833	4,230,812
		-----	-----
Other Noncurrent Liabilities:			
Obligations under capital leases		108,078	125,691
Other		78,245	68,753
		-----	-----
Total		186,323	194,444
		-----	-----
Current Liabilities:			
Currently maturing long-term debt		145,425	50,425
Accounts payable:			
Associated companies		31,349	31,722
Other		136,528	140,975
Customer deposits		21,983	22,216
Taxes accrued		37,413	12,478
Interest accrued		56,837	55,327
Nuclear refueling reserve		22,627	10,117
Obligations under capital lease		37,773	37,265
Reserve for rate refund		-	56,972
Other		86,653	111,963
		-----	-----
Total		576,588	529,460
		-----	-----
Deferred Credits:			
Accumulated deferred income taxes		1,177,144	1,100,396
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits		208,618	199,428
Deferred River Bend finance charges		58,047	82,406
Other		480,505	506,515
		-----	-----
Total		1,924,314	1,888,745
		-----	-----
Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 2, 8, and 9)			
TOTAL	\$	6,861,058	\$ 6,843,461
		=====	=====

See Notes to Financial Statements.

GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY
STATEMENTS OF RETAINED EARNINGS AND PAID-IN CAPITAL

		For the Years Ended December 31,		
		1995	1994	1993
		----- (In Thousands) -----		
Retained Earnings, January 1	\$	264,626	\$ 666,401	\$ 631,462
Add:				
Net income (loss)		122,919	(82,755)	78,862
Total		----- 387,545	----- 583,646	----- 710,324
Deduct:				
Dividends declared:				
Preferred and preference stock		29,482	29,831	35,581
Common stock		-	289,100	-
Preferred and preference stock redemption and other		359	89	8,342
Total		----- 29,841	----- 319,020	----- 43,923
Retained Earnings, December 31 (Note 7)	\$	----- 357,704	----- \$ 264,626	----- \$ 666,401
		=====	=====	=====
Paid-in Capital, January 1	\$	1,152,336	\$ 1,152,304	\$ 67,316
Add:				
Issuance of 100 shares of no par common stock with a stated value of \$114,055 net of the retirement of 114,055,065 shares of no par common stock		-	-	1,086,868
Gain (loss) on reacquisition of preferred and preference stock		169	32	(1,880)
Total		----- 169	----- 32	----- (1,880)
Paid-in Capital, December 31	\$	----- 1,152,505	----- \$ 1,152,336	----- \$ 1,152,304
		=====	=====	=====

See Notes to Financial Statements.

GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON

	1995 ----	1994 ----	1993 ----	1992 ----	1991 ----
	(In Thousands)				
Operating revenues	\$1,861,974	\$1,797,365	\$1,827,620	\$1,773,374	\$1,702,235
Income (loss) before extraordinary items and the cumulative effect of accounting changes	\$122,919	\$(82,755)	\$69,461	\$139,413	\$112,391
Total assets	\$6,861,058	\$6,843,461	\$7,137,351	\$7,164,447	\$7,183,119
Long-term obligations (1)	\$2,521,203	\$2,689,042	\$2,772,002	\$2,798,768	\$2,816,577

(1) Includes long-term debt (excluding currently maturing debt), preferred and preference stock with sinking fund, and noncurrent capital lease obligations.

See Notes 1 and 10 for the effect of accounting changes in 1993 and Notes 2 and 8 regarding River Bend rate appeals and litigation with Cajun.

	1995 ----	1994 ----	1993 ----	1992 ----	1991 ----
	(In Thousands)				
Electric Operating Revenues:					
Residential	\$ 573,566	\$ 569,997	\$ 585,799	\$ 560,552	\$ 547,147
Commercial	412,601	414,929	415,267	400,803	383,883
Industrial	604,688	626,047	650,230	642,298	582,568
Governmental	25,042	25,242	26,118	26,195	24,792
Total retail	1,615,897	1,636,215	1,677,414	1,629,848	1,538,390
Sales for resale					
Associated companies	62,431	45,263	-	-	-
Non-associated	67,103	52,967	31,898	24,485	44,136
companies					
Other (1)	43,533	(15,244)	38,649	40,203	41,433
Total	\$ 1,788,964	\$ 1,719,201	\$ 1,747,961	\$ 1,694,536	\$ 1,623,959
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
Billed Electric Energy Sales (Millions of KWh):					
Residential	7,699	7,351	7,192	6,825	6,925
Commercial	6,219	6,089	5,711	5,474	5,460
Industrial	15,393	15,026	14,294	14,413	13,629
Governmental	311	297	296	302	295
Total retail	29,622	28,763	27,493	27,014	26,309
Sales for resale					
Associated companies	2,935	1,866	-	-	-
Non-associated	2,212	1,650	666	540	1,049
companies					
Total Electric Department	34,769	32,279	28,159	27,554	27,358
Steam Department	1,742	1,659	1,597	1,722	1,711
Total	36,511	33,938	29,756	29,276	29,069
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

(1) 1994 includes the effects of a GSU reserve for rate refund.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Louisiana Power & Light Company

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Louisiana Power & Light Company as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, and the related statements of income, retained earnings and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. The financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 1993, were audited by other auditors, whose report, dated February 11, 1994, expressed an unqualified opinion on these financial statements.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

COOPERS & LYBRAND L.L.P.

New Orleans, Louisiana

February 14, 1996

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Shareholders and the Board of Directors of Louisiana Power & Light Company:

We have audited the accompanying statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows of Louisiana Power & Light Company (LP&L) for the year ended December 31, 1993. These financial statements are the responsibility of LP&L's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the results of LP&L's operations and its cash flows for the year ended December 31, 1993 in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New Orleans, Louisiana

February 11, 1994

LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Income

Net income decreased in 1995 due to an April 1995 rate reduction and higher income taxes, partially offset by lower other operation and maintenance expenses. Net income increased in 1994 due primarily to the fourth quarter write-off of unamortized balances of deferred investment tax credits, partially offset by lower operating revenues and higher other operation and maintenance expenses.

Significant factors affecting the results of operations and causing variances between the years 1995 and 1994, and 1994 and 1993, are discussed under "Revenues and Sales" and "Expenses" below.

Revenues and Sales

See "SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON," following the notes to financial statements, for information on operating revenues by source and KWh sales.

The changes in operating revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 1995, are as follows:

Description -----	Increase/ (Decrease) ----- (In Millions)
Change in base revenues	
\$(29.9)	
Fuel cost recovery	
(35.9)	
Sales volume/weather	
40.7	
Other revenue (including unbilled)	
(23.3)	
Sales for resale	
12.9	

Total	
\$(35.5)	
=====	

Operating revenues were lower in 1995 due primarily to a base rate reduction in the second quarter of 1995 and to lower fuel adjustment revenues, which do not affect net income. This decrease was partially offset by increased customer usage, principally caused by warmer summer weather. The completion of the amortization of proceeds from litigation with a gas supplier in the second quarter of 1994 also contributed to the decrease in other revenue, partially offset by higher sales to non-associated utilities.

Operating revenues were lower in 1994 due primarily to the completion of the amortization of the proceeds resulting from litigation with a gas supplier in the second quarter and lower wholesale revenues partially offset by higher retail revenues. Wholesale revenues decreased due primarily to lower sales to non-associated utilities. Retail revenues increased due primarily to increases in sales to industrial and commercial customers.

LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Expenses

Operating expenses decreased in 1995 due to decreases in fuel expenses, including purchased power, and other operation and maintenance expenses, partially offset by an increase in depreciation and income taxes. The decrease in fuel expenses is due to lower fuel prices partially offset by an increase in generation. Other operation and maintenance expenses decreased because of lower payroll-related expenses as a result of the restructuring program discussed in Note 11, power plant waste water site closures in 1994, and a court settlement reducing legal expense. Depreciation expense increased due to capital improvements to distribution lines and substations and to an increase in the depreciation rate associated with Waterford 3. Income taxes increased due to the write-off in 1994 of deferred investment tax credits in accordance with the 1994 FERC Settlement, a decrease in tax depreciation associated with Waterford 3, and higher pre-tax income.

Operating expenses decreased in 1994 due primarily to a decrease in income tax expense as a result of the write-off of deferred investment tax credits pursuant to a FERC settlement and lower fuel expenses partially offset by higher other operation and maintenance expenses. The decrease in fuel and purchased power expenses is due primarily to lower fuel and purchased power prices. The increase in other operation and maintenance expenses is due primarily to restructuring costs and power plant waste water site closures. Interest expense decreased in 1994 as a result of the retirement and refinancing of high-cost debt.

LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	1995	1994	1993
	(In Thousands)		
Operating Revenues	\$ 1,674,875	\$ 1,710,415	\$ 1,731,541
Operating Expenses:			
Operation and maintenance:			
Fuel and fuel-related expenses	300,015	331,422	338,670
Purchased power	351,583	366,564	381,252
Nuclear refueling outage expenses	17,675	18,187	18,380
Other operation and maintenance	311,535	350,854	342,195
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	161,023	151,994	142,051
Taxes other than income taxes	55,867	56,101	50,391
Income taxes	116,486	63,751	108,568
Amortization of rate deferrals	28,422	28,422	28,422
Total	1,342,606	1,367,295	1,409,929
Operating Income	332,269	343,120	321,612
Other Income (Deductions):			
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	1,950	3,486	2,581
Miscellaneous - net	2,831	747	2,069
Income taxes	(628)	463	(2,245)
Total	4,153	4,696	2,405
Interest Charges:			
Interest on long-term debt	129,691	129,952	130,352
Other interest - net	7,210	6,494	6,605
Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction	(2,016)	(2,469)	(1,748)
Total	134,885	133,977	135,209
Net Income	201,537	213,839	188,808
Preferred Stock Dividend Requirements and Other	21,307	23,319	24,754
Earnings Applicable to Common Stock	\$ 180,230	\$ 190,520	\$ 164,054
See Notes to Financial Statements.			

LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	----- 1995 -----	----- 1994 -----	----- 1993 -----
	(In Thousands)		
Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 201,537	\$ 213,839	\$ 188,808
Noncash items included in net income:			
Change in rate deferrals	28,422	28,422	28,422
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	161,023	151,994	142,051
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits	2,450	(15,972)	40,262
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(1,950)	(3,486)	(2,581)
Amortization of deferred revenues	-	(14,632)	(42,470)
Changes in working capital:			
Receivables	(8,069)	1,094	(8,046)
Accounts payable	4,420	(6,811)	(28,198)
Taxes accrued	20,472	(16,970)	6,861
Interest accrued	1,215	846	1,003
Other working capital accounts	(16,993)	31,064	15,205
Refunds to customers - gas contract settlement	-	-	(56,027)
Decommissioning trust contributions	(7,493)	(4,815)	(4,000)
Other	(377)	3,048	18,298
	-----	-----	-----
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	384,657	367,621	299,588
Investing Activities:			
Construction expenditures	(120,244)	(140,669)	(163,142)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	1,950	3,486	2,581
Nuclear fuel purchases	(44,707)	-	-
Proceeds from sale/leaseback of nuclear fuel	47,293	-	-
	-----	-----	-----
Net cash flow used in investing activities	(115,708)	(137,183)	(160,561)
Financing Activities:			
Proceeds from the issuance of:			
First mortgage bonds	-	-	100,000
Other long-term debt	16,577	19,946	58,000
Retirement of:			
First mortgage bonds	(75,000)	(25,000)	(100,919)
Other long-term debt	(308)	(322)	(22,052)
Redemption of preferred stock	(11,256)	(15,038)	(22,500)
Changes in short-term borrowings	49,305	(24,887)	52,041
Dividends paid:			
Common stock	(221,500)	(167,100)	(167,600)
Preferred stock	(21,115)	(22,808)	(25,290)
	-----	-----	-----
Net cash flow used in financing activities	(263,297)	(235,209)	(128,320)
	-----	-----	-----
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	5,652	(4,771)	10,707
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	28,718	33,489	22,782
	-----	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 34,370	\$ 28,718	\$ 33,489
	=====	=====	=====
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest - net of amount capitalized	\$ 128,485	\$ 128,000	\$ 127,497
Income taxes	\$ 96,066	\$ 96,442	\$ 62,414
Noncash investing and financing activities:			
Capital lease obligations incurred	-	9,677	\$ 33,210
Change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation of decommissioning trust assets	\$ 2,304	\$ (1,129)	-

See Notes to Financial Statements.

LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
BALANCE SHEETS
ASSETS

	December 31,	
	1995	1994
	(In Thousands)	
Utility Plant:		
Electric	\$ 4,886,898	\$ 4,778,126
Property under capital leases	231,121	229,468
Construction work in progress	87,567	94,791
Nuclear fuel under capital lease	72,864	44,238
Nuclear fuel	1,506	6,420
	5,279,956	5,153,043
Total		
Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization	1,742,306	1,600,510
	3,537,650	3,552,533
Utility plant - net		
Other Property and Investments:		
Nonutility property	20,060	20,060
Decommissioning trust fund	38,560	27,076
Investment in subsidiary companies - at equity	14,230	14,230
Other	1,113	1,078
	73,963	62,444
Total		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash	3,952	-
Temporary cash investments - at cost, which approximates market	30,418	28,718
	34,370	28,718
Total cash and cash equivalents		
Accounts receivable:		
Customer (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1.4 million in 1995 and \$1.2 million in 1994)	72,328	58,858
Associated companies	8,033	9,827
Other	8,979	11,609
Accrued unbilled revenues	62,132	63,109
Deferred fuel costs	10,200	-
Accumulated deferred income taxes	-	3,702
Materials and supplies - at average cost	79,799	89,692
Rate deferrals	25,609	28,422
Deferred nuclear refueling outage costs	21,344	15,041
Prepayments and other	9,118	13,487
	331,912	322,465
Total		
Deferred Debits and Other Assets:		
Regulatory assets:		
Rate deferrals	-	25,609
SFAS 109 regulatory asset - net	301,520	379,263
Unamortized loss on reacquired debt	39,474	43,656
Other regulatory assets	23,935	25,736
Other	23,069	23,733
	387,998	497,997
Total		
TOTAL	\$ 4,331,523	\$ 4,435,439
	=====	=====

See Notes to Financial Statements.

LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
BALANCE SHEETS
CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES

	December 31,		
	-----	-----	-----
	1995	1994	-----
	-----	-----	-----
	(In Thousands)		
Capitalization:			
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 250,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 165,173,180 shares in 1995 and 1994	\$	1,088,900	\$ 1,088,900
Capital stock expense and other		(4,836)	(5,367)
Retained earnings		72,150	113,420
		-----	-----
Total common shareholder's equity		1,156,214	1,196,953
Preferred stock:			
Without sinking fund		160,500	160,500
With sinking fund		100,009	111,265
Long-term debt		1,385,171	1,403,055
		-----	-----
Total		2,801,894	2,871,773
		-----	-----
Other Noncurrent Liabilities:			
Obligations under capital leases		43,362	16,238
Other		50,835	54,216
		-----	-----
Total		94,197	70,454
		-----	-----
Current Liabilities:			
Currently maturing long-term debt		35,260	75,320
Notes payable			
Associated companies		61,459	7,954
Other		15,000	19,200
Accounts payable:			
Associated companies		37,494	20,793
Other		69,922	82,203
Customer deposits		56,924	54,934
Taxes accrued		18,612	(1,860)
Accumulated deferred income taxes		3,366	-
Interest accrued		44,202	42,987
Dividends declared		5,149	5,489
Deferred fuel cost		-	13,983
Obligations under capital leases		28,000	28,000
Other		17,397	20,156
		-----	-----
Total		392,785	369,159
		-----	-----
Deferred Credits:			
Accumulated deferred income taxes		807,278	883,945
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits		145,561	151,259
Deferred interest - Waterford 3 lease obligation		23,947	26,000
Other		65,861	62,849
		-----	-----
Total		1,042,647	1,124,053
		-----	-----
Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 2, 8, and 9)			
	\$	4,331,523	\$ 4,435,439
		=====	=====

See Notes to Financial Statements.

**LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
STATEMENTS OF RETAINED EARNINGS**

For the Years Ended December 31,			
	1995	1994	1993
----- (In Thousands) -----			
Retained Earnings, January 1	\$ 113,420	\$ 89,849	\$ 94,510
Add:			
Net income	201,537	213,839	188,808
Total	----- 314,957	----- 303,688	----- 283,318
Deduct:			
Dividends declared:			
Preferred stock	20,775	22,359	24,553
Common stock	221,500	167,100	167,600
Capital stock expenses	532	809	1,316
Total	----- 242,807	----- 190,268	----- 193,469
Retained Earnings, December 31 (Note 7)	\$ 72,150	\$ 113,420	\$ 89,849
	=====	=====	=====

See Notes to Financial Statements.

LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON

	1995	1994	1993	1992	1991
	----	----	----	----	----
			(In Thousands)		
Operating revenues	\$1,674,875	\$1,710,415	\$1,731,541	\$1,553,745	\$1,528,934
Net income	\$201,537	\$213,839	\$188,808	\$182,989	\$166,572
Total assets	\$4,331,523	\$ 4,435,439	\$4,463,998	\$4,109,148	\$4,131,751
Long-term obligations (1)	\$1,528,542	\$1,530,558	\$1,611,436	\$1,622,909	\$1,582,606

(1) Includes long-term debt (excluding currently maturing debt), preferred stock with sinking fund, and noncurrent capital lease obligations.

See Notes 3 and 10 for the effect of accounting changes in 1993.

	1995	1994	1993	1992	1991
	----	----	----	----	----
	(In Thousands)				
Electric Operating Revenues:					
Residential	\$ 583,373	\$ 577,084	\$ 572,738	\$ 518,255	\$
525,594					
Commercial	353,582	358,672	345,254	320,688	
318,613					
Industrial	641,196	659,061	652,574	578,741	
558,036					
Governmental	31,616	31,679	29,723	27,780	
28,303					
	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Total retail	1,609,767	1,626,496	1,600,289	1,445,464	
1,430,546					
Sales for resale					
Associated	1,178	352	4,849	5,454	
182					
companies					
Non-associated	48,987	36,928	46,414	33,178	
31,815					
companies					
Other	14,943	46,639	79,989	69,649	
66,391					
	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Total	\$ 1,674,875	\$1,710,415	\$1,731,541	\$1,553,745	
\$1,528,934					
Billed Electric	=====	=====	=====	=====	
Energy					
Sales (Millions of kWh):					
Residential	7,855	7,449	7,368	6,996	
7,182					
Commercial	4,786	4,631	4,435	4,307	
4,367					
Industrial	16,971	16,561	15,914	15,013	
14,832					
Governmental	439	423	398	385	
405					
	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Total retail	30,051	29,064	28,115	26,701	
26,786					
Sales for resale					
Associated	44	10	112	204	
6					
companies					
Non-associated	1,293	776	1,213	1,101	
1,195					
companies					
	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Total	31,388	29,850	29,440	28,006	
27,987					
	=====	=====	=====	=====	
=====					

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Mississippi Power & Light Company

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Mississippi Power & Light Company as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, and the related statements of income, retained earnings and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. The financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 1993, were audited by other auditors, whose report, dated February 11, 1994, included an explanatory paragraph that described a change in the method of accounting for revenues, which is discussed in Note 1 to these financial statements.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

COOPERS & LYBRAND L.L.P.

New Orleans, Louisiana
February 14, 1996

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Shareholders and the Board of Directors of Mississippi Power & Light Company:

We have audited the accompanying statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows of Mississippi Power & Light Company (MP&L) for the year ended December 31, 1993. These financial statements are the responsibility of MP&L's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the results of MP&L's operations and its cash flows for the year ended December 31, 1993 in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, MP&L changed its method of accounting for revenues in 1993.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New Orleans, Louisiana

February 11, 1994

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Income

Net income increased in 1995 primarily due to increased revenues and a decrease in other operation and maintenance expenses partially offset by an increase in income tax expense. Net income decreased in 1994 due primarily to the onetime recording in the first quarter of 1993 of the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle for unbilled revenues. In addition, net income was reduced by the rate reduction in connection with the formula incentive-rate plan, partially offset by a FERC settlement.

Significant factors affecting the results of operations and causing variances between the years 1995 and 1994, and 1994 and 1993, are discussed under "Revenues and Sales," "Expenses," and "Other" below.

Revenues and Sales

See "SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON," following the notes to financial statements, for information on operating revenues by source and KWh sales.

The changes in electric operating revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 1995, are as follows:

Description ----- -----	Increase/ (Decrease)
Millions)	(In
Change in base revenues	\$(6.1)
Grand Gulf Rate Rider	(0.6)
Fuel cost recovery	12.8
Sales volume/weather	14.9
Other revenue (including unbilled)	5.6
Sales for resale	3.4

Total	\$30.0 =====

Operating revenues increased in 1995 primarily due to an increase in retail and wholesale energy sales and higher fuel adjustment revenues, partially offset by rate reductions. Retail energy sales increased primarily due to the impact of weather and increased customer usage. Fuel adjustment revenues increased in response to higher fuel costs and do not impact net income. Operating revenues decreased in 1994 due to the impact of the rate reduction in connection with the incentive-rate plan that went into effect in March 1994, partially offset by higher energy sales. In addition to the factors cited above for revenues, accrued unbilled revenues decreased due to a change in the cycle billing dates offset by an increase in billed revenues. This decrease was partially offset by increased commercial and industrial retail sales.

Expenses

Operating expenses increased in 1995 due primarily to an increase in income tax expense partially offset by a decrease in other operation and maintenance expenses. Operating expenses increased in 1994 due primarily to increased amortization of rate deferrals partially offset by lower fuel/purchased power and income tax expenses.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Income tax expense increased in 1995 due primarily to the 1994 write-off of unamortized deferred investment tax credits and higher pretax income in 1995. Income taxes decreased in 1994 due primary to lower pretax income, and the write-off of unamortized deferred investment tax credits in accordance with a FERC settlement.

Other operation and maintenance expense decreased in 1995 due primarily to 1994 Merger-related costs allocated to MP&L and payroll expenses. No significant Merger-related costs were allocated to MP&L during the current year. Payroll expenses decreased as a result of the restructuring program announced and accrued for during the third quarter of 1994. The restructuring program included a reduction in the number of MP&L employees during 1995. In addition, maintenance expenses decreased at various power plants.

Purchased power expense decreased in 1994 due primarily to changes in generation availability and requirements among the Operating Companies and a lower per unit price for power purchased.

The amortization of rate deferrals increased in 1994 reflecting the fact that MP&L, based on the Revised Plan, collected more Grand Gulf 1-related costs from its customers in 1994 than in 1993.

Other

Interest expense decreased in 1994 due primarily to the retirement and refinancing of high-cost debt.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	1995	1994	1993
	(In Thousands)		
Operating Revenues	\$ 889,843	\$ 859,845	\$ 883,818
Operating Expenses:			
Operation and maintenance:			
Fuel and fuel-related expenses	163,198	164,428	135,258
Purchased power	240,519	235,019	289,016
Other operation and maintenance	144,183	156,954	156,405
Depreciation and amortization	38,197	36,592	32,152
Taxes other than income taxes	46,019	43,963	41,878
Income taxes	33,716	16,651	33,074
Amortization of rate deferrals	107,339	110,481	70,715
Total	773,171	764,088	758,498
Operating Income	116,672	95,757	125,320
Other Income (Deductions):			
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	950	1,660	928
Miscellaneous - net	3,036	(1,117)	948
Income taxes - (debit)	(1,161)	4,176	(3,462)
Total	2,825	4,719	(1,586)
Interest Charges:			
Interest on long-term debt	46,998	47,835	53,558
Other interest - net	4,638	4,929	1,802
Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction	(806)	(1,067)	(663)
Total	50,830	51,697	54,697
Income before the Cumulative Effect of an Accounting Change	68,667	48,779	69,037
Cumulative Effect of an Accounting Change (net of income taxes)	-	-	32,706
Net Income	68,667	48,779	101,743
Preferred Stock Dividend Requirements and Other	7,515	7,624	9,160
Earnings Applicable to Common Stock	\$ 61,152	\$ 41,155	\$ 92,583

See Notes to Financial Statements.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	----- 1995 -----	----- 1994 -----	----- 1993 -----
	(In Thousands)		
Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 68,667	\$ 48,779	\$ 101,743
Noncash items included in net income:			
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle	-	-	(32,706)
Change in rate deferrals	114,304	109,105	71,555
Depreciation and amortization	38,197	36,592	32,152
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits	(36,774)	(34,409)	(17,881)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(950)	(1,660)	(928)
Changes in working capital:			
Receivables	(5,277)	33,154	(11,814)
Fuel inventory	(1,901)	3,872	(1,327)
Accounts payable	15,553	(8,783)	5,055
Taxes accrued	7,818	(3,431)	(4,200)
Interest accrued	1,457	(2,794)	780
Other working capital accounts	(21,108)	13,480	(1,120)
Other	4,957	1,209	8,073
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	----- 184,943 -----	----- 195,114 -----	----- 149,382 -----
Investing Activities:			
Construction expenditures	(79,146)	(121,386)	(66,404)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	950	1,660	928
Net cash flow used in investing activities	----- (78,196) -----	----- (119,726) -----	----- (65,476) -----
Financing Activities:			
Proceeds from the issuance of:			
General and refunding bonds	79,480	24,534	250,000
Other long-term debt	-	15,652	-
Retirement of:			
General and refunding bonds	(45,000)	(30,000)	(55,000)
First mortgage bonds	(20,000)	(18,000)	(204,501)
Other long-term debt	(965)	(16,045)	(230)
Redemption of preferred stock	(15,000)	(15,000)	(16,500)
Changes in short-term borrowings	(30,000)	18,432	11,568
Dividends paid:			
Common stock	(61,700)	(45,600)	(85,800)
Preferred stock	(6,215)	(7,762)	(9,452)
Net cash flow used in financing activities	----- (99,400) -----	----- (73,789) -----	----- (109,915) -----
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	7,347	1,599	(26,009)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	9,598	7,999	34,008
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 16,945 =====	\$ 9,598 =====	\$ 7,999 =====
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest - net of amount capitalized	\$ 48,617	\$ 52,737	\$ 52,459
Income taxes	\$ 67,746	\$ 39,000	\$ 58,831

See Notes to Financial Statements.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
BALANCE SHEETS
ASSETS

	December 31,	
	1995	1994
	(In Thousands)	
Utility Plant:		
Electric	\$ 1,559,955	\$ 1,475,322
Construction work in progress	55,443	67,119
Total	1,615,398	1,542,441
Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization	613,712	582,514
Utility plant - net	1,001,686	959,927
Other Property and Investments:		
Investment in subsidiary companies - at equity	5,531	5,531
Other	5,615	5,624
Total	11,146	11,155
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash	2,574	5,080
Temporary cash investments - at cost, which approximates market:		
Associated companies	3,248	276
Other	11,123	4,242
Total cash and cash equivalents	16,945	9,598
Accounts receivable:		
Customer (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1.6 million in 1995 and \$2.1 million in 1994)	46,214	43,846
Associated companies	1,134	4,680
Other	1,967	2,789
Accrued unbilled revenues	47,150	39,873
Fuel inventory - at average cost	6,681	4,780
Materials and supplies - at average cost	19,233	20,642
Rate deferrals	130,622	114,921
Prepayments and other	11,536	10,672
Total	281,482	251,801
Deferred Debits and Other Assets:		
Regulatory assets:		
Rate deferrals	247,072	377,077
Unamortized loss on reacquired debt	10,105	10,488
Other regulatory assets	17,736	18,811
SFAS 109 regulatory asset - net	6,445	-
Other	6,311	8,569
Total	287,669	414,945
TOTAL	\$ 1,581,983	\$ 1,637,828

See Notes to Financial Statements.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
BALANCE SHEETS
CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES

	December 31,		
	-----		-----
	1995		1994
	-----		-----
	(In Thousands)		
Capitalization:			
Common stock, no par value, authorized 15,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 8,666,357 shares in 1995 and 1994	\$	199,326	\$ 199,326
Capital stock expense and other		(218)	(1,762)
Retained earnings		231,463	232,011
		-----	-----
Total common shareholder's equity		430,571	429,575
Preferred stock:			
Without sinking fund		57,881	57,881
With sinking fund		16,770	31,770
Long-term debt		494,404	475,233
		-----	-----
Total		999,626	994,459
		-----	-----
Other Noncurrent Liabilities		11,625	9,536
		-----	-----
Current Liabilities:			
Currently maturing long-term debt		61,015	65,965
Notes payable		-	30,000
Accounts payable:			
Associated companies		24,391	2,350
Other		32,100	38,588
Customer deposits		24,339	22,793
Taxes accrued		28,639	20,821
Accumulated deferred income taxes		54,090	47,515
Interest accrued		21,834	20,377
Other		6,875	30,318
		-----	-----
Total		253,283	278,727
		-----	-----
Deferred Credits:			
Accumulated deferred income taxes		278,581	301,288
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits		27,978	29,528
SFAS 109 regulatory liability - net		-	13,099
Other		10,890	11,191
		-----	-----
Total		317,449	355,106
		-----	-----
Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 2 and 8)			
TOTAL	\$	1,581,983	\$ 1,637,828
		=====	=====

See Notes to Financial Statements.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
STATEMENTS OF RETAINED EARNINGS

For the Years Ended December 31,			

	1995	1994	1993

	(In Thousands)		
Retained Earnings, January 1	\$ 232,011	\$ 236,337	\$ 230,201
Add:			
Net income	68,667	48,779	101,743

Total	300,678	285,116	331,944

Deduct:			
Dividends declared:			
Preferred stock	5,971	7,404	8,964
Common stock	61,700	45,600	85,800
Preferred stock expenses	1,544	101	843

Total	69,215	53,105	95,607

Retained Earnings, December 31 (Note 7)	\$ 231,463	\$ 232,011	\$ 236,337
	=====		

See Notes to Financial Statements.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON

	1995	1994	1993	1992	1991
	----	----	----	----	----
			(In Thousands)		
Operating revenues	\$889,843	\$859,845	\$883,818	\$ 799,483	
\$762,338					
Income before cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle	\$68,667	\$48,779	\$69,037	\$65,036	
\$63,088					
Total assets	\$1,581,983	\$1,637,828	\$1,681,992	\$ 1,665,480	
\$1,692,382					
Long-term obligations (1)	\$511,613	\$507,555	\$563,612	\$ 576,787	
\$576,599					

(1) Includes long-term debt (excluding currently maturing debt), preferred stock with sinking fund, and noncurrent capital lease obligations.

See Notes 1, 3, and 9 for the effect of accounting changes in 1993.

	1995	1994	1993	1992	1991
	----	----	----	----	----
	(In Thousands)				
Electric Operating Revenues:					
Residential	\$ 336,194	\$ 332,567	\$ 341,620	\$ 309,614	\$
306,675					
Commercial	262,786	257,154	251,285	236,191	
229,073					
Industrial	178,466	184,637	182,060	169,977	
161,494					
Governmental	27,410	27,495	28,530	26,377	
25,567					

Total retail	804,856	801,853	803,495	742,159	
722,809					
Sales for resale					
Associated	35,928	37,747	34,640	17,988	
9,781					
companies					
Non-associated	21,906	16,728	21,100	19,995	
15,706					
companies					
Other	27,153	3,517	24,583	19,341	
14,042					

Total	\$ 889,843	\$ 859,845	\$ 883,818	\$ 799,483	\$
762,338					
	=====	=====	=====	=====	
=====					
Billed Electric Energy					
Sales (Millions of KWh):					
Residential	4,233	4,014	3,983	3,644	
3,739					
Commercial	3,368	3,151	2,928	2,804	
2,807					
Industrial	3,044	2,985	2,787	2,631	
2,582					
Governmental	336	330	336	318	
321					

Total retail	10,981	10,480	10,034	9,397	
9,449					
Sales for resale					
Associated					
companies	959	1,079	758	253	
376					
Non-associated					
companies	692	512	670	937	
656					

Total	12,632	12,071	11,462	10,587	
10,481					
	=====	=====	=====	=====	
=====					

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of New Orleans Public Service Inc.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of New Orleans Public Service Inc. as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, and the related statements of income, retained earnings and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. The financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 1993, were audited by other auditors, whose report, dated February 11, 1994, included an explanatory paragraph that described a change in the method of accounting for revenues, which is discussed in Note 1 to these financial statements.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

COOPERS & LYBRAND L.L.P.

New Orleans, Louisiana
February 14, 1996

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Shareholders and the Board of Directors of New Orleans Public Service Inc.

We have audited the accompanying statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows of New Orleans Public Service Inc. (NOPSI) for the year ended December 31, 1993. These financial statements are the responsibility of NOPSI's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the results of NOPSI's operations and its cash flows for the year ended December 31, 1993 in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, NOPSI changed its method of accounting for revenues in 1993.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New Orleans, Louisiana

February 11, 1994

NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC SERVICE INC.

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Income

Net income increased in 1995 principally due to 1994 refunds associated with the 1994 NOPSI Settlement and a decrease in other operation and maintenance expense, partially offset by a permanent rate reduction that took place January 1, 1995. Net income decreased in 1994 due to the effects of the 1994 NOPSI Settlement and the one- time recording of the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle for unbilled revenues in 1993, partially offset by lower operating expenses. See Note 2 for a discussion of the 1994 NOPSI Settlement.

Significant factors affecting the results of operations and causing variances between the years 1995 and 1994, and 1994 and 1993, are discussed under "Revenues and Sales" and "Expenses" below.

Revenues and Sales

See "SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA-FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON," following the notes to financial statements, for information on electric operating revenues by source and KWh sales.

The changes in electric operating revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 1995, are as follows:

Description ----- -----	Increase/ (Decrease)
	(In
Millions)	
Change in base revenues	\$12.2
Fuel cost recovery	(0.3)
Sales volume/weather	12.5
Other revenue (including unbilled)	6.1
Sales for resale	3.5

Total	\$34.0 =====

Electric operating revenues increased in 1995 as a result of refunds in 1994 associated with the 1994 NOPSI Settlement and an increase in energy sales. The increase in energy sales is primarily due to weather effects on retail sales and an increase in sales for resale. Electric operating revenues decreased in 1994 due primarily to the effects of the 1994 NOPSI Settlement as discussed in Note 2. Electric energy sales increased slightly in 1994.

Gas operating revenues decreased in 1995 primarily due to the rate reduction agreed to in the NOPSI Settlement effective January 1, 1995, and a lower unit purchase price for gas purchased for resale. Gas operating revenues decreased slightly in 1994 as a result of lower gas sales.

Expenses

Operating expenses increased in 1995 due primarily to an increase in income taxes and the increased amortization of rate deferrals, partially offset by a decrease in fuel and other operation and maintenance expenses. Fuel expenses decreased in 1995 primarily due to a decrease in fuel prices. Other operation and maintenance expenses decreased primarily due to a decrease in maintenance activity and lower payroll expenses. The decrease in payroll expenses is the result of the 1994 restructuring and the related decrease in employees. Operating expenses decreased in 1994 due primarily to lower purchased power expenses and lower income tax expenses.

NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC SERVICE INC.

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Purchased power expenses decreased in 1994 due primarily to changes in generation availability and requirements among the Operating Companies and lower costs.

Gas purchased for resale decreased in 1995 due lower gas prices. Gas purchased for resale decreased in 1994 due to decreased gas sales.

Income taxes increased in 1995 as a result of lower pretax income in 1994 due to the 1994 NOPSI Settlement and the write-off of the unamortized balances of deferred investment tax credits pursuant to the FERC Settlement in 1994. Income taxes decreased in 1994 due primarily to lower pretax income, resulting from the 1994 NOPSI Settlement, and the write-off of the unamortized balances of deferred investment tax credits pursuant to the FERC Settlement.

The increases in the amortization of rate deferrals in 1995 and 1994 are primarily a result of the collection of larger amounts of previously deferred costs under the 1991 NOPSI Settlement, which allowed NOPSI to record an additional \$90 million of previously incurred Grand Gulf 1-related costs.

NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC SERVICE INC.
STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	1995	1994	1993
	(In Thousands)		
Operating Revenues:			
Electric	\$ 394,394	\$ 360,430	\$ 423,830
Natural gas	80,276	87,357	90,992
Total	474,670	447,787	514,822
Operating Expenses:			
Operation and maintenance:			
Fuel, fuel-related expenses			
and gas purchased for resale	102,314	113,735	112,451
Purchased power	145,920	145,935	165,963
Other operation and maintenance	76,510	80,656	87,797
Depreciation and amortization	19,420	19,275	17,284
Taxes other than income taxes	27,805	27,814	26,643
Income taxes	19,836	3,602	24,232
Rate deferrals:			
Rate deferrals	-	-	(1,651)
Amortization of rate deferrals	31,971	27,009	22,351
Total	423,776	418,026	455,070
Operating Income	50,894	29,761	59,752
Other Income (Deductions):			
Allowance for equity funds used			
during construction	158	331	141
Miscellaneous - net	1,639	2,141	(1,055)
Income taxes	(631)	(998)	(1,115)
Total	1,166	1,474	(2,029)
Interest Charges:			
Interest on long-term debt	15,948	17,092	20,076
Other interest - net	1,853	1,179	1,016
Allowance for borrowed funds used			
during construction	(127)	(247)	(130)
Total	17,674	18,024	20,962
Income before the Cumulative Effect			
of an Accounting Change	34,386	13,211	36,761
Cumulative Effect of an Accounting			
Change (net of income taxes)	-	-	10,948
Net Income	34,386	13,211	47,709
Preferred Stock Dividend Requirements			
and Other	1,411	1,581	1,768
Earnings Applicable to Common Stock	\$ 32,975	\$ 11,630	\$ 45,941

See Notes to Financial Statements.

**NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC SERVICE INC.
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	----- 1995 -----	----- 1994 -----	----- 1993 -----
	(In Thousands)		
Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 34,386	\$ 13,211	\$ 47,709
Noncash items included in net income:			
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle	-	-	(10,948)
Change in rate deferrals	31,564	24,106	15,842
Depreciation and amortization	19,420	19,275	17,284
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits	(1,998)	(18,006)	(2,132)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(158)	(331)	(141)
Changes in working capital:			
Receivables	(5,468)	15,362	(6,725)
Accounts payable	12,566	(19,132)	1,169
Taxes accrued	3,225	(2,832)	(82)
Interest accrued	(131)	(230)	(1,319)
Income tax receivable	20,172	(20,172)	-
Other working capital accounts	(4,803)	18,454	1,365
Other	(9,500)	8,851	8,345
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	----- 99,275	----- 38,556	----- 70,367
Investing Activities:			
Construction expenditures	(27,836)	(22,777)	(24,813)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	158	331	141
Net cash flow used in investing activities	----- (27,678)	----- (22,446)	----- (24,672)
Financing Activities:			
Proceeds from the issuance of general and refunding bonds	29,805	-	100,000
Retirement of:			
First mortgage bonds	-	-	(56,823)
General and refunding bonds	(24,200)	(15,000)	(44,400)
Redemption of preferred stock	(3,525)	(1,500)	(1,500)
Dividends paid:			
Common stock	(30,600)	(33,300)	(43,900)
Preferred stock	(1,362)	(1,596)	(1,825)
Net cash flow used in financing activities	----- (29,882)	----- (51,396)	----- (48,448)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	----- 41,715	----- (35,286)	----- (2,753)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	8,031	43,317	46,070
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 49,746	\$ 8,031	\$ 43,317
	=====	=====	=====
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest - net of amount capitalized	\$ 17,187	\$ 17,707	\$ 21,953
Income taxes (refund) - net	\$ (941)	\$ 45,984	\$ 25,661

See Notes to Financial Statements.

**NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC SERVICE INC.
BALANCE SHEETS
ASSETS**

	December 31,	
	1995	1994
	(In Thousands)	
Utility Plant:		
Electric	\$ 483,581	\$ 470,560
Natural gas	121,083	119,508
Construction work in progress	17,525	7,284
Total	622,189	597,352
Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization	335,021	319,576
Utility plant - net	287,168	277,776
Other Property and Investments:		
Investment in subsidiary companies - at equity	3,259	3,259
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash	1,693	849
Temporary cash investments - at cost, which approximates market:		
Associated companies	10,860	2,472
Other	37,193	4,710
Total cash and cash equivalents	49,746	8,031
Accounts receivable:		
Customer (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.5 in 1995 and \$0.8 million in 1994)	29,168	23,938
Associated companies	551	3,503
Other	843	600
Accrued unbilled revenues	17,242	14,295
Deferred electric fuel and resale gas costs	2,647	856
Materials and supplies - at average cost	8,950	9,676
Rate deferrals	35,191	31,544
Income tax receivable	-	20,172
Prepayments and other	4,529	5,636
Total	148,867	118,251
Deferred Debits and Other Assets:		
Regulatory assets:		
Rate deferrals	137,916	173,127
SFAS 109 regulatory asset-net	6,813	8,792
Unamortized loss on reacquired debt	1,932	2,361
Other regulatory assets	9,204	5,647
Other	1,047	3,681
Total	156,912	193,608
TOTAL	\$ 596,206	\$ 592,894

See Notes to Financial Statements.

**NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC SERVICE INC.
BALANCE SHEETS
CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES**

	December 31,		
	-----		-----
	1995		1994
	-----		-----
	(In Thousands)		
Capitalization:			
Common stock, \$4 par value, authorized 10,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 8,435,900 shares in 1995 and 1994	\$	33,744	\$ 33,744
Paid-in capital		36,306	36,201
Retained earnings subsequent to the elimination of the accumulated deficit on November 30, 1988		81,261	78,886
		-----	-----
Total common shareholder's equity		151,311	148,831
Preferred stock:			
Without sinking fund		19,780	19,780
With sinking fund		-	3,450
Long-term debt		155,958	164,160
		-----	-----
Total		327,049	336,221
		-----	-----
Other Noncurrent Liabilities		17,745	19,063
		-----	-----
Current Liabilities:			
Currently maturing long-term debt		38,250	24,200
Accounts payable:			
Associated companies		13,851	6,456
Other		24,674	19,503
Customer deposits		18,214	17,422
Accumulated deferred income taxes		9,174	4,925
Taxes accrued		5,554	2,329
Interest accrued		5,111	5,242
Other		14,345	19,982
		-----	-----
Total		129,173	100,059
		-----	-----
Deferred Credits:			
Accumulated deferred income taxes		81,654	89,246
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits		8,618	9,251
Other		31,967	39,054
		-----	-----
Total		122,239	137,551
		-----	-----
Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 2 and 8)			
TOTAL	\$	596,206	\$ 592,894
		=====	=====

See Notes to Financial Statements.

**NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC SERVICE INC.
STATEMENTS OF RETAINED EARNINGS**

For the Years Ended December 31,				
		1995	1994	1993

(In Thousands)				
Retained Earnings, January 1	\$	78,886	\$ 100,556	\$ 98,560
Add:				
Net income		34,386	13,211	47,709
		-----	-----	-----
Total		113,272	113,767	146,269
		-----	-----	-----
Deduct:				
Dividends declared:				
Preferred stock		1,231	1,536	1,768
Common stock		30,600	33,300	43,900
Capital stock expenses		180	45	45
		-----	-----	-----
Total		32,011	34,881	45,713
		-----	-----	-----
Retained Earnings, December 31 (Note 7)	\$	81,261	\$ 78,886	\$ 100,556
		=====	=====	=====

See Notes to Financial Statements.

NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC SERVICE INC.

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON

	1995	1994	1993	1992
1991	----	----	----	----

		(In Thousands)		
Operating revenues	\$474,670	\$447,787	\$514,822	\$464,879
\$476,165				
Income before	\$34,386	\$13,211	\$36,761	\$26,424
74,699				
cumulative effect				
of a change in				
accounting				
principle				
Total assets	\$596,206	\$592,894	\$647,605	\$621,691
685,217				
Long-term	\$155,958	\$167,610	\$193,262	\$165,917
231,901				
obligations (1)				

(1) Includes long-term debt (excluding currently maturing debt) and preferred stock with sinking fund.

See Notes 1, 3, and 9 for the effect of accounting changes in 1993.

	1995	1994	1993	1992	1991
	----	----	----	----	----
	(In Thousands)				
Electric Operating Revenues:					
Residential	\$ 141,353	\$ 142,013	\$ 151,423	\$ 137,668	\$
136,030					
Commercial	144,374	162,410	167,788	160,229	
159,118					
Industrial	22,842	25,422	26,205	23,860	
24,062					
Governmental	52,880	58,726	61,548	56,023	
55,097					

Total retail	361,449	388,571	406,964	377,780	
374,307					
Sales for resale					
Associated	3,217	2,061	2,487	3,086	
2,759					
companies					
Non-associated	9,864	7,512	9,291	7,234	
7,046					
companies					
Other (1)	19,864	(37,714)	5,088	3,836	
15,102					

Total	\$ 394,394	\$ 360,430	\$ 423,830	\$ 391,936	\$
399,214					
	=====	=====	=====	=====	
=====					
Billed Electric Energy					
Sales (Millions of KWh):					
Residential	2,049	1,896	1,914	1,806	
1,844					
Commercial	2,079	2,031	1,989	1,977	
2,023					
Industrial	537	518	499	457	
487					
Governmental	983	951	924	888	
887					

Total retail	5,648	5,396	5,326	5,128	
5,241					
Sales for resale					
Associated	149	92	89	155	
145					
companies					
Non-associated	297	202	262	250	
273					
companies					

Total	6,094	5,690	5,677	5,533	
5,659					
	=====	=====	=====	=====	
=====					

(1) 1994 includes the effects of the 1994 NPSI Settlement.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors and Shareholder of System Energy Resources, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of System Energy Resources, Inc. as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, and the related statements of income, retained earnings and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. The financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 1993, were audited by other auditors, whose report, dated February 11, 1994, expressed an unqualified opinion on these financial statements.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

COOPERS & LYBRAND L.L.P.

New Orleans, Louisiana

February 14, 1996

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Shareholders and the Board of Directors of System Energy Resources, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows of System Energy Resources, Inc. (System Energy) for the year ended December 31, 1993. These financial statements are the responsibility of System Energy's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the results of System Energy's operations and its cash flows for the year ended December 31, 1993 in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New Orleans, Louisiana

February 11, 1994 (November 30, 1994 as to Note 2, "Rate and Regulatory Matters - FERC Settlement")

SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Income

Net income increased in 1995 primarily due to the effect of the FERC Settlement which reduced 1994 net income by \$80.2 million. See Note 2 for a discussion of the FERC Settlement. This was partially offset by revenues being adversely impacted by a lower return on System Energy's decreasing investment in Grand Gulf 1. These factors also resulted in the decrease in 1994 net income.

Significant factors affecting the results of operations and causing variances between the years 1995 and 1994, and 1994 and 1993, are discussed under "Revenues" and "Expenses" below.

Revenues

Operating revenues increased in 1995 due primarily to the effect of the FERC Settlement on 1994 revenues as discussed in "Net Income" above and the recovery of increased expenses in connection with a Grand Gulf 1 refueling outage offset by a lower return on System Energy's decreasing investment in Grand Gulf 1. Revenues attributable to the return on investment are expected to continue to decline each year as a result of the depreciation of System Energy's investment in Grand Gulf 1.

Operating revenues decreased in 1994 due primarily to the effect of the FERC Settlement as discussed in "Net Income" above, a lower return on System Energy's decreasing investment in Grand Gulf 1, and decreased operation and maintenance expenses. See Note 1 for a description of the components of System Energy's operating revenues.

Expenses

Operating expenses increased in 1995 due primarily to higher nuclear refueling outage expenses, higher depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning, and higher income taxes, partially offset by lower fuel expenses as a result of the refueling outage. Grand Gulf 1 was on-line for 285 days in 1995 as compared with 345 days in 1994. The difference in the on-line days was primarily due to the unit's seventh refueling outage that lasted from April 15, 1995, to June 21, 1995 (68 days), and, to a lesser extent, unplanned outages in 1995 totaling 12 days, compared to 20 days in 1994. Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning increased due to a \$4 million increase in amortization (as a result of the reclassification of \$81 million of Grand Gulf 1 costs and the accelerated amortization of the reclassified costs over a ten-year period in accordance with the 1994 FERC Settlement) and \$1 million in decommissioning. Total income taxes increased in 1995 due primarily to higher pretax book income.

Operating expenses decreased in 1994 due primarily to lower other operation and maintenance expenses and lower income taxes. The lower level of outages for 1994 increased fuel for electric generation, but was partially offset by less expensive nuclear fuel and increased operating efficiency. Nonfuel operation and maintenance expenses decreased significantly in 1994 due to declines in contract work expenses, employee benefits, and materials and supplies expenses. Total income taxes decreased in 1994 due primarily to lower pretax book income.

Interest charges decreased in both 1995 and 1994 due primarily to the retirement and refinancing of high-cost long-term debt partially offset by interest associated with the FERC Settlement refunds.

SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.
STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	1995	1994	1993
	(In Thousands)		
Operating Revenues	\$ 605,639	\$ 474,963	\$ 650,768
Operating Expenses:			
Operation and maintenance:			
Fuel and fuel-related expenses	40,262	48,107	42,296
Nuclear refueling outage expenses	24,935	-	27,933
Other operation and maintenance	98,441	96,504	107,416
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	100,747	93,861	90,920
Taxes other than income taxes	27,549	26,637	26,589
Income taxes	77,410	38,087	83,412
Total	369,344	303,196	378,566
Operating Income	236,295	171,767	272,202
Other Income (Deductions):			
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	1,878	1,090	772
Miscellaneous - net	2,492	6,402	6,518
Income taxes	1,917	1,250	4,859
Total	6,287	8,742	12,149
Interest Charges:			
Interest on long-term debt	143,020	169,248	189,338
Other interest - net	8,491	7,257	1,600
Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction	(1,968)	(1,403)	(514)
Total	149,543	175,102	190,424
Net Income	\$ 93,039	\$ 5,407	\$ 93,927

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	----- 1995 -----	----- 1994 -----	----- 1993 -----
	(In Thousands)		
Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 93,039	\$ 5,407	\$ 93,927
Noncash items included in net income:			
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	100,747	93,861	90,920
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits	(45,337)	(30,640)	15,832
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(1,878)	(1,090)	(772)
Changes in working capital:			
Receivables	(66,433)	48,411	6,199
Accounts payable	(18,955)	35,469	(15,123)
Taxes accrued	37,266	14,430	(2,272)
Interest accrued	(4,053)	(8,133)	(1,631)
Other working capital accounts	(21,874)	14,024	2,832
Recoverable income taxes	-	92,689	130,152
Decommissioning trust contributions	(5,414)	(5,157)	(4,911)
FERC Settlement - refund obligation	(3,540)	60,388	-
Provision for estimated losses and reserves	3,167	(2,371)	1,377
Other	29,725	19,699	1,526
	-----	-----	-----
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	96,460	336,987	318,056
	-----	-----	-----
Investing Activities:			
Construction expenditures	(21,747)	(20,766)	(23,083)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	1,878	1,090	772
Nuclear fuel purchases	(51,455)	(26,414)	(32,822)
Proceeds from sale/leaseback of nuclear fuel	52,188	-	32,822
	-----	-----	-----
Net cash flow used in investing activities	(19,136)	(46,090)	(22,311)
	-----	-----	-----
Financing Activities:			
Proceeds from the issuance of:			
First mortgage bonds	-	59,410	60,000
Other long-term debt	73,343	-	-
Retirement of:			
First mortgage bonds	(105,000)	(260,000)	(108,308)
Other long-term debt	(45,320)	-	-
Premium and expenses paid on refinancing sale/leaseback bonds	-	(48,436)	-
Changes in short-term borrowings	2,990	-	-
Common stock dividends paid	(92,800)	(148,300)	(233,100)
	-----	-----	-----
Net cash flow used in financing activities	(166,787)	(397,326)	(281,408)
	-----	-----	-----
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(89,463)	(106,429)	14,337
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	89,703	196,132	181,795
	-----	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 240	\$ 89,703	\$ 196,132
	=====	=====	=====
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest - net of amount capitalized	\$ 147,492	\$ 176,503	\$ 186,786
Income taxes (refund)	\$ 87,016	\$ (39,586)	\$ (65,992)
Noncash investing and financing activities:			
Capital lease obligation incurred	-	-	\$ 45,089
Change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation of decommissioning trust assets	\$ 3,061	\$ (1,515)	-

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.
BALANCE SHEETS
ASSETS

	December 31,	
	1995	1994
	(In Thousands)	
Utility Plant:		
Electric	\$ 2,977,303	\$ 2,939,384
Electric plant under lease	444,305	439,378
Construction work in progress	35,946	46,547
Nuclear fuel under capital lease	71,374	46,688
Nuclear fuel	-	26,360
Total	3,528,928	3,498,357
Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization	861,752	751,717
Utility plant - net	2,667,176	2,746,640
Other Property and Investments:		
Decommissioning trust fund	40,927	30,359
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash	240	-
Temporary cash investments - at cost, which approximates market:		
Associated companies	-	5,489
Other	-	84,214
Total cash and cash equivalents	240	89,703
Accounts receivable:		
Associated companies	72,458	7,450
Other	4,837	3,412
Materials and supplies - at average cost	67,661	71,991
Prepayments and other	16,050	5,429
Total	161,246	177,985
Deferred Debits and Other Assets:		
Regulatory assets:		
SFAS 109 regulatory asset-net	291,181	389,264
Unamortized loss on reacquired debt	52,702	54,577
Other regulatory assets	203,731	199,080
Other	14,049	15,454
Total	561,663	658,375
TOTAL	\$ 3,431,012	\$ 3,613,359

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.
BALANCE SHEETS
CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES

	December 31,		
	----- 1995 -----		----- 1994 -----
	(In Thousands)		
Capitalization:			
Common stock, no par value, authorized 1,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 789,350 shares in 1995 and 1994	\$	789,350	\$ 789,350
Paid-in capital		7	7
Retained earnings		85,920	85,681
		-----	-----
Total common shareholder's equity		875,277	875,038
Long-term debt		1,219,917	1,438,305
		-----	-----
Total		2,095,194	2,313,343
		-----	-----
Other Noncurrent Liabilities:			
Obligations under capital leases		44,107	18,688
Other		16,068	14,342
		-----	-----
		60,175	33,030
		-----	-----
Current Liabilities:			
Currently maturing long-term debt		250,000	105,000
Notes payable-associated companies		2,990	-
Accounts payable:			
Associated companies		17,458	32,272
Other		19,063	23,204
Taxes accrued		72,648	35,382
Interest accrued		36,743	40,796
Obligations under capital lease		28,000	28,000
Other		4,211	19,794
		-----	-----
Total		431,113	284,448
		-----	-----
Deferred Credits:			
Accumulated deferred income taxes		602,182	746,502
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits		107,119	110,584
FERC Settlement - refund obligation		56,848	60,388
Other		78,381	65,064
		-----	-----
Total		844,530	982,538
		-----	-----
Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 2, 8, and 9)			
	\$	3,431,012	\$ 3,613,359
		=====	=====

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.
STATEMENTS OF RETAINED EARNINGS

For the Years Ended December 31,			

	1995	1994	1993

(In Thousands)			
Retained Earnings, January 1	\$ 85,681	\$ 228,574	\$ 367,747
Add:			
Net income	93,039	5,407	93,927
Total	----- 178,720	----- 233,981	----- 461,674
Deduct:			
Dividends declared	----- 92,800	----- 148,300	----- 233,100
Retained Earnings, December 31 (Note 7)	\$ ----- 85,920	\$ ----- 85,681	\$ ----- 228,574
	=====	=====	=====

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA - FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON

	1995	1994	1993	1992	1991
	----	----	----	----	----
		(Dollars in Thousands)			
Operating revenues 686,664	\$605,639	\$474,963	\$650,768	\$723,410	\$
Net income 104,622	\$93,039	\$5,407	\$93,927	\$130,141	\$
Total assets 3,642,203	\$3,431,012	\$3,613,359	\$3,891,066	\$3,672,441	\$
Long-term 1,707,471 obligations (1)	\$1,264,024	\$1,456,993	\$1,536,593	\$1,768,299	\$
Electric energy sales (Millions of KWh) 8,220	7,212	8,653	7,113	7,354	

(1) Includes long-term debt (excluding current maturities) and noncurrent capital lease obligations.

See Note 2 for information with respect to refunds and charges resulting from the FERC Settlement in 1994 and Note 3 for the effect of the accounting change for income taxes in 1993.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy)

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Entergy Corporation and its direct subsidiaries: AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, System Energy, Entergy Services, Entergy Operations, Entergy Power, Entergy Enterprises, System Fuels, Entergy S.A., Entergy Argentina S.A., Entergy Power Marketing Corporation, Entergy Power Development Corporation, Entergy Argentina S.A., Ltd., Entergy Transener S.A., Entergy Power Development International Holdings, Inc., and Entergy Power Development International Holdings. A number of these subsidiaries have additional subsidiaries.

Because the acquisition of GSU was consummated on December 31, 1993, under the purchase method of accounting, GSU's operations were not included in the consolidated amounts for the year ended December 31, 1993. GSU is included in all of the consolidated financial statements for 1994 and 1995. All references made to Entergy or the System as of, and subsequent to, the Merger closing date include amounts and information pertaining to GSU as an Entergy company. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated. Entergy Corporation's utility subsidiaries maintain accounts in accordance with FERC and other regulatory guidelines. Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to current classifications with no effect on net income or shareholders' equity.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of Entergy Corporation and its subsidiaries' financial statements, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during fiscal years 1995, 1994, and 1993. Adjustments to the reported amounts of assets and liabilities may be necessary in the future to the extent that future estimates or actual results are different from the estimates used in 1995 financial statements.

Revenues and Fuel Costs

AP&L, LP&L, and MP&L generate, transmit, and distribute electricity (primarily to retail customers) in the States of Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi, respectively. GSU generates, transmits, and distributes electricity primarily to retail customers in the States of Texas and Louisiana; distributes gas at retail in the City of Baton Rouge, Louisiana, and vicinity; and also sells steam to a large refinery complex in Baton Rouge. NOPSI sells both electricity and gas to retail customers in the city of New Orleans (except for Algiers where LP&L is the electricity supplier).

System Energy's operating revenues recover operating expenses, depreciation, and capital costs attributable to Grand Gulf 1 from AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI. Capital costs are computed by allowing a return on System Energy's common equity funds allocable to its net investment in Grand Gulf 1, plus System Energy's effective interest cost for its debt allocable to its investment in Grand Gulf 1. See Note 2 for a discussion of System Energy's proposed rate increase.

A portion of AP&L's and LP&L's purchase of power from Grand Gulf has not been included in the determination of the cost of service to retail customers by the APSC and LPSC, respectively, as described in Note 2.

The Operating Companies accrue estimated revenues for energy delivered since the latest billings. However, prior to January 1, 1993, AP&L, GSU, MP&L, and NOPSI recognized electric and gas revenues when billed. To provide a better matching of revenues and expenses, effective January 1, 1993, AP&L, GSU, MP&L, and NOPSI adopted a change in accounting principle to provide for the accrual of estimated unbilled revenues. The cumulative effect (excluding GSU) of this accounting change as of January 1, 1993, increased System 1993 net income by \$93.8 million (net of income taxes of \$57.2 million), or \$0.54 per share. The impacts on the individual operating companies are shown below:

Tax	Net of	
	Total	Tax Effect
	-----	-----
	(In Thousands)	
AP&L	\$81,327	\$31,140
\$50,187		
MP&L	52,162	19,456
32,706		
NOPSI	17,540	6,592
10,948		
	-----	-----
System	\$151,029	\$57,188
\$93,841		
	=====	=====
=====		

In accordance with a LPSC rate order, GSU recorded a deferred credit of \$16.6 million for the January 1, 1993, amount of unbilled revenues. See Note 2 regarding GSU's subsequent appeals of the LPSC order regarding deferred unbilled revenues.

The Operating Companies' rate schedules (except GSU's Texas retail rate schedules) include fuel adjustment clauses that allow either current recovery or deferrals of fuel costs until such costs are reflected in the related revenues. GSU's Texas retail rate schedules include a fixed fuel factor approved by the PUCT, which remains in effect until changed as part of a general rate case, fuel reconciliation, or fixed fuel factor filing.

Utility Plant

Utility plant is stated at original cost. The original cost of utility plant retired or removed, plus the applicable removal costs, less salvage, is charged to accumulated depreciation. Maintenance, repairs, and minor replacement costs are charged to operating expenses. Substantially all of the utility plant is subject to liens of the subsidiaries' mortgage bond indentures.

Utility plant includes the portions of Grand Gulf 1 and Waterford 3 that were sold and currently are leased back. For financial reporting purposes, these sale and leaseback transactions are reflected as financing transactions.

Net electric utility plant in service, by company and functional category, as of December 31, 1995 (excluding owned and leased nuclear fuel and the plant acquisition adjustment related to the Merger), is shown below:

Total	Production	Transmission	Distribution	Other
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	(In Millions)			
AP&L	\$1,203	\$424	\$867	\$147
\$2,641				
GSU	3,110	430	725	179
4,444				
LP&L	2,303	239	766	68
3,376				
MP&L	228	260	389	69
946				
NOPSI	22	20	145	18
205				
System Energy	2,534	12	-	14
2,560				
System	9,532	1,387	2,892	593
14,404				

Depreciation is computed on the straight-line basis at rates based on the estimated service lives and costs of removal of the various classes of property. Depreciation rates on average depreciable property are shown below:

System Energy	System	AP&L	GSU	LP&L	MP&L	NOPSI	
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
1995	2.9%	3.3%	2.7%	3.0%	2.4%	3.1%	2.9%
1994	3.0%	3.4%	2.7%	3.0%	2.4%	3.1%	3.0%
1993	3.0%	3.4%	2.7%	3.0%	2.4%	3.1%	2.9%

AFUDC represents the approximate net composite interest cost of borrowed funds and a reasonable return on the equity funds used for construction. Although AFUDC increases both utility plant and earnings, it is only realized in cash through depreciation provisions included in rates.

Jointly-Owned Generating Stations

Certain Entergy Corporation subsidiaries own undivided interests in several jointly-owned electric generating facilities and record the investments and expenses associated with these generating stations to the extent of their respective ownership interests. As of December 31, 1995, the subsidiaries' investment and accumulated depreciation in each of these generating stations were as follows:

Generating Stations	Fuel Type	Total Megawatt Capability	Ownership	Investment	Accumulated Depreciation
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
(In Thousands)					
AP&L					
Independence Unit 1	Coal	836	31.50%	\$117,526	\$40,733
Common Facilities	Coal		15.75%	29,674	9,207
White Bluff Units 1&2	Coal	1,660	57.00%	398,292	157,008
GSU					
River Bend Unit 1	Nuclear	936	70.00%	3,067,996	670,020
Roy S. Nelson Unit 6	Coal	550	70.00%	390,036	155,997
Big Cajun 2 Unit 3	Coal	540	42.00%	219,990	80,522
MP&L -					
Independence Units 1&2	Coal	1,678	25.00%	221,512	75,482
Common Facilities	Coal			3,326	91
System Energy					
Grand Gulf Unit 1	Nuclear	1,143	90.00%	3,409,317	861,752
Entergy Power-					
Independence Unit 2	Coal	842	31.50%	178,292	54,436

Income Taxes

Entergy Corporation and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return. Income taxes are allocated to the System companies in proportion to their contribution to consolidated taxable income. SEC regulations require that no Entergy Corporation subsidiary pay more taxes than it would have paid if a separate income tax return had been filed. Deferred income taxes are recorded for all temporary differences between the book and tax basis of assets and liabilities and for certain credits available for carryforward.

Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date

of enactment.

Investment tax credits are deferred and amortized based upon the average useful life of the related property in accordance with rate treatment. As discussed in Note 3, in 1993 Entergy changed its accounting for income taxes to conform with SFAS 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes."

Acquisition Adjustment

Entergy Corporation, upon completion of the Merger in December 1993, recorded an acquisition adjustment in utility plant in the amount of \$380 million, representing the excess of the purchase price over the historical cost of the GSU net assets acquired. During 1994, Entergy recorded an additional \$124 million of acquisition adjustment related to the resolution of certain preacquisition contingencies and appropriate allocation of purchase price.

The acquisition adjustment is being amortized on a straight-line basis over a 31-year period beginning January 1, 1994, which approximates the remaining average book life of the plant acquired as a result of the Merger. As of December 31, 1995, the unamortized balance of the acquisition adjustment was \$472 million. The System anticipates that its future net cash flows will be sufficient to recover such amortization.

Reacquired Debt

The premiums and costs associated with reacquired debt are being amortized over the life of the related new issuances, in accordance with ratemaking treatment.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Entergy considers all unrestricted highly liquid debt instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Continued Application of SFAS 71

As a result of the EPAct, other Federal laws, and actions of regulatory commissions, the electric utility industry is moving toward a combination of competition and a modified regulatory environment. The Operating Companies' and System Energy's financial statements currently reflect, for the most part, assets and costs based on cost-based ratemaking regulation, in accordance with SFAS 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation." Continued applicability of SFAS 71 to the System's financial statements requires that rates set by an independent regulator on a cost-of-service basis (including a reasonable rate of return on invested capital) can actually be charged to and collected from customers.

In the event either all or a portion of a utility's operations cease to meet those criteria for various reasons, including deregulation, a change in the method of regulation or a change in the competitive environment for the utility's regulated services, the utility should discontinue application of SFAS 71 for the relevant portion. That discontinuation would be reported by elimination from the balance sheet of the effects of any actions of regulators recorded as regulatory assets and liabilities.

As of December 31, 1995, and for the foreseeable future, the System's financial statements continue to follow SFAS 71, with the exceptions noted below.

SFAS 101

SFAS 101, "Accounting for the Discontinuation of Application of FASB Statement No. 71," specifies how an enterprise that ceases to meet the criteria for application of SFAS 71 to all or part of its operations should report that event in its financial statements. GSU discontinued regulatory accounting principles for its wholesale jurisdiction and its steam department during 1989 and for the Louisiana retail deregulated portion of River Bend in 1991. The results of Entergy's deregulated operations (before interest charges) for the years ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993 are as follows:

	1995	1994	1993
	-----	-----	-----
	(In Thousands)		
Operating Revenues	\$141,171	\$ 138,822	\$141,399
Operating Expenses			
Fuel, operating, and maintenance	105,733	116,386	120,177
Depreciation	31,129	27,890	28,554
Income taxes	(2,914)	(249)	
(4,411)			
Total Operating Expenses	----- 133,948	----- 144,027	----- 144,320
Net Income (Loss) From Deregulated Utility Operations	----- \$ 7,223	----- \$(5,205)	
\$(2,921)	=====	=====	=====

SFAS 121

In March 1995, the FASB issued SFAS 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of" (SFAS 121), which became effective January 1, 1996. This statement describes circumstances that may result in assets (including goodwill such as the Merger acquisition adjustment, discussed above) being impaired. The statement also provides criteria for recognition and measurement of asset impairment. Note 2 describes regulatory assets of \$169 million (net of tax) related to Texas retail deferred River Bend operating and carrying costs. These deferred costs will be required to be written off upon the adoption of SFAS 121.

Certain other assets and operations of the Operating Companies totaling approximately \$1.7 billion (pre-tax) could be affected by SFAS 121 in the future. Those assets include AP&L's and LP&L's retained shares of Grand Gulf 1, GSU's Louisiana deregulated asset plan, and its Texas jurisdiction abeyed portion of the River Bend plant, in addition to the wholesale jurisdiction and steam department operations of GSU. As discussed above, GSU has previously discontinued the application of SFAS 71 for the Louisiana deregulated asset plan, operations under the wholesale jurisdiction, and the steam department.

Entergy periodically reviews these assets and operations in order to determine if the carrying value of such assets will be recovered. Generally, this determination is based on the net cash flows expected to result from such operations and assets. Projected net cash flows depend on the future operating costs associated with the assets, the efficiency and availability of the assets and generating units, and the future market and price for energy over the remaining life of the assets. Based on current estimates of future cash flows as prescribed under SFAS 121, management anticipates that future revenues from such assets and operations of Entergy will fully recover all related costs.

Change in Accounting for Nuclear Refueling Outage Costs (Entergy Corporation and AP&L)

In December 1995, at the recommendation of FERC, AP&L changed its method of accounting for nuclear refueling outage costs. The change, effective January 1, 1995, results in AP&L deferring incremental maintenance costs incurred during an outage and amortizing those costs over the operating period immediately following the nuclear refueling outage, which is the period that the charges are billed to customers. Previously, estimated costs of refueling outages were accrued over the period (generally 18 months) preceding each scheduled outage. The effect of the change for the year ended December 31, 1995, was to decrease net income by \$5.1 million (net of income taxes of \$3.3 million) or \$.02 per share. The cumulative effect of the change was to increase net income \$35.4 million (net of income taxes of \$22.9 million) or \$.15 per share. The pro forma effects of the change in accounting for nuclear refueling outages in 1994 and 1993, assuming the new method was applied retroactively to those years, would have been to decrease net income \$3.2 million (net of income taxes of \$2.1 million) and \$6.5 million (net of income taxes of \$4.2 million), respectively, or \$.01 per share and \$.04 per share, respectively.

Fair Value Disclosures

The estimated fair value of financial instruments was determined using bid prices reported by dealer markets and by nationally recognized investment banking firms. Considerable judgment is required in developing the estimates of fair value. Therefore, estimates are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that Entergy could realize in a current market exchange. In addition, gains or losses realized on financial instruments may be reflected in future rates and not accrue to the benefit of stockholders.

Entergy considers the carrying amounts of financial instruments classified as current assets and liabilities to be a reasonable estimate of their fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments. In addition, Entergy does not expect that performance of its obligations will be required in connection with certain off-balance sheet commitments and guarantees considered financial instruments. Due to this factor, and

because of the related-party nature of these commitments and guarantees, determination of fair value is not considered practicable. See Notes 5, 6, and 8 for additional disclosure concerning fair value methodologies.

NOTE 2. RATE AND REGULATORY MATTERS

Merger-Related Rate Agreements (Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI)

In November 1993, Entergy Corporation, AP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI entered into separate settlement agreements whereby the APSC, MPSC, and Council agreed to withdraw from the SEC proceeding related to the Merger. In return AP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI agreed, among other things, that their retail ratepayers would be protected from (1) increases in the cost of capital resulting from risks associated with the Merger, (2) recovery of any portion of the acquisition premium or transactional costs associated with the Merger, (3) certain direct allocations of costs associated with GSU's River Bend nuclear unit, and (4) any losses of GSU resulting from resolution of litigation in connection with its ownership of River Bend. AP&L and MP&L agreed not to request any general retail rate increase that would take effect before November 1998, except for, among other things, increases associated with the recovery of certain Grand Gulf 1-related costs, recovery of certain taxes, and catastrophic events, and in the case of AP&L, excess capacity costs and costs related to the adoption of SFAS 106 that were previously deferred. MP&L agreed that retail base rates under the formula rate plan would not be increased above November 1, 1993, levels for a period of five years beginning November 9, 1993.

In 1993, the LPSC and the PUCT approved separate regulatory proposals for GSU that include the following elements: (1) a five-year Rate Cap on GSU's retail electric base rates in the respective states, except for force majeure (defined to include, among other things, war, natural catastrophes, and high inflation); (2) a provision for passing through to retail customers the jurisdictional portion of the fuel savings created by the Merger; and (3) a mechanism for tracking nonfuel operation and maintenance savings created by the Merger. The LPSC regulatory plan provides that such nonfuel savings will be shared 60% by shareholders and 40% by ratepayers during the eight years following the Merger. The LPSC plan requires annual regulatory filings by the end of May through the year 2001. The PUCT regulatory plan provides that such savings will be shared equally by shareholders and ratepayers, except that the shareholders' portion will be reduced by \$2.6 million per year on a total company basis in years four through eight. The PUCT plan also requires a series of future regulatory filings in November 1996, 1998, and 2001 to ensure that the ratepayers' share of such savings be reflected in rates on a timely basis. In addition, the plan requires Entergy Corporation to hold GSU's Texas retail customers harmless from the effects of the removal by FERC of a 40% cap on the amount of fuel savings GSU may be required to transfer to other Operating Companies under the FERC tracking mechanism (see below). On January 14, 1994, Entergy Corporation filed a petition for review before the D.C. Circuit seeking review of FERC's deletion of the 40% cap provision in the fuel cost protection mechanism. The matter is currently being held in abeyance.

FERC approved GSU's inclusion in the System Agreement. Commitments were adopted to provide reasonable assurance that the ratepayers of AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI will not be allocated higher costs including, among other things, (1) a tracking mechanism to protect AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI from certain unexpected increases in fuel costs, (2) the distribution of profits from power sales contracts entered into prior to the Merger, (3) a methodology to estimate the cost of capital in future FERC proceedings, and (4) a stipulation that AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI will be insulated from certain direct effects on capacity equalization payments if GSU were to acquire Cajun's 30% share in River Bend. The Operating Companies' regulatory authorities can elect to "opt out" of the fuel tracker, but are not required to make such an election until FERC has approved the respective Operating Company's compliance filing. The City and the MPSC have made such an election.

River Bend (Entergy Corporation and GSU)

In May 1988, the PUCT granted GSU a permanent increase in annual revenues of \$59.9 million resulting from the inclusion in rate base of approximately \$1.6 billion of company-wide River Bend plant investment and approximately \$182 million of related Texas retail jurisdiction deferred River Bend costs (Allowed Deferrals). In addition, the PUCT disallowed as imprudent \$63.5 million of company-wide River Bend plant costs and placed in abeyance, with no finding of prudence, approximately \$1.4 billion of company-wide River Bend plant investment and approximately \$157 million of Texas retail jurisdiction deferred River Bend operating and carrying costs. The PUCT affirmed that the rate treatment of such amounts would be subject to future demonstration of the prudence of such costs. GSU and intervening parties appealed this order (Rate Appeal) and GSU filed a separate rate case asking, among other things, that the abeyed River Bend plant costs be found prudent (Separate Rate Case). Intervening parties filed suit in a Texas district court to prohibit the Separate Rate Case and prevailed. The district court's decision in favor of the intervenors was ultimately appealed to the Texas Supreme Court, which ruled in 1990 that the prudence of the purported abeyed costs could not be relitigated in a separate rate proceeding. The Texas Supreme Court's decision stated that all issues relating to the merits of the original PUCT order, including the prudence of all River Bend-related costs, should be addressed in the Rate Appeal.

In October 1991, the Texas district court in the Rate Appeal issued an order holding that, while it was clear the PUCT made an error in assuming it could set aside \$1.4 billion of the total costs of River Bend and consider them in a later proceeding, the PUCT, nevertheless, found that GSU had not met its burden of proof related to the amounts placed in abeyance. The court also ruled that the Allowed Deferrals should not be included in rate base. The court further stated that the PUCT had erred in reducing GSU's deferred costs by \$1.50 for each \$1.00 of revenue collected under the interim rate increases authorized in 1987 and 1988. The court remanded the case to the PUCT with instructions as to the proper handling of the Allowed Deferrals. GSU's motion for rehearing was denied and, in December 1991, GSU filed an appeal of the October 1991 district court order. The PUCT also appealed the October 1991 district court order, which served to supersede the district court's

judgment, rendering it unenforceable under Texas law.

In August 1994, the Texas Third District Court of Appeals (the Appellate Court) affirmed the district court's decision that there was substantial evidence to support the PUCT's 1988 decision not to include the abeyed construction costs in GSU's rate base. While acknowledging that the PUCT had exceeded its authority in attempting to defer a decision on the inclusion of those costs in rate base in order to allow GSU a further opportunity to demonstrate the prudence of those costs in a subsequent proceeding, the Appellate Court found that GSU had suffered no harm or lack of due process as a result of the PUCT's error. Accordingly, the Appellate Court held that the PUCT's action had the effect of disallowing the company-wide \$1.4 billion of River Bend construction costs for ratemaking purposes. In its August 1994 opinion, the Appellate Court also held that GSU's deferred operating and maintenance costs associated with the allowed portion of River Bend, as well as GSU's deferred River Bend carrying costs included in the Allowed Deferrals, should be included in rate base. The Appellate Court's August 1994 opinion affirmed the PUCT's original order in this case.

The Appellate Court's August 1994 opinion was entered by two judges, with a third judge dissenting. The dissenting opinion stated that the result of the majority opinion was, among other things, to deprive GSU of due process at the PUCT because the PUCT never reached a finding on the \$1.4 billion of construction costs.

In October 1994, the Appellate Court denied GSU's motion for rehearing on the August 1994 opinion as to the \$1.4 billion in River Bend construction costs and other matters. GSU appealed the Appellate Court's decision to the Texas Supreme Court. On February 9, 1996, the Texas Supreme Court agreed to hear the appeal. Oral arguments are scheduled for March 19, 1996.

As of December 31, 1995, the River Bend plant costs disallowed for retail ratemaking purposes in Texas, the River Bend plant costs held in abeyance, and the related operating and carrying cost deferrals totaled (net of taxes) approximately \$13 million, \$276 million (both net of depreciation), and \$169 million, respectively. Allowed Deferrals were approximately \$83 million, net of taxes and amortization, as of December 31, 1995. GSU estimates it has collected approximately \$182 million of revenues as of December 31, 1995, as a result of the originally ordered rate treatment by the PUCT of these deferred costs. If recovery of the Allowed Deferrals is not upheld, future revenues based upon those allowed deferrals could also be lost, and no assurance can be given as to whether or not refunds to customers of revenue received based upon such deferred costs will be required.

No assurance can be given as to the timing or outcome of the remands or appeals described above. Pending further developments in these cases, GSU has made no write-offs or reserves for the River Bend-related costs. See below for a discussion of the write-off of deferred operating and carrying cost required under SFAS 121 in 1996. Based on advice from Clark, Thomas & Winters, A Professional Corporation, legal counsel of record in the Rate Appeal, management believes that it is reasonably possible that the case will be remanded to the PUCT, and the PUCT will be allowed to rule on the prudence of the abeyed River Bend plant costs. At this time, management and legal counsel are unable to predict the amount, if any, of the abeyed and previously disallowed River Bend plant costs that ultimately may be disallowed by the PUCT. A net of tax write-off as of December 31, 1995, of up to \$289 million could be required based on an ultimate adverse ruling by the PUCT on the abeyed and disallowed costs.

In prior proceedings, the PUCT has held that the original cost of nuclear power plants will be included in rates to the extent those costs were prudently incurred. Based upon the PUCT's prior decisions, management believes that River Bend construction costs were prudently incurred and that it is reasonably possible that it will recover in rate base, or otherwise through means such as a deregulated asset plan, all or substantially all of the abeyed River Bend plant costs. However, management also recognizes that it is reasonably possible that not all of the abeyed River Bend plant costs may ultimately be recovered.

As part of its direct case in the Separate Rate Case, GSU filed a cost reconciliation study prepared by Sandlin Associates, management consultants with expertise in the cost analysis of nuclear power plants, which supports the reasonableness of the River Bend costs held in abeyance by the PUCT. This reconciliation study determined that approximately 82% of the River Bend cost increase above the amount included by the PUCT in rate base was a result of changes in federal nuclear safety requirements, and provided other support for the remainder of the abeyed amounts.

There have been four other rate proceedings in Texas involving nuclear power plants. Disallowed investment in the plants ranged from 0% to 15%. Each case was unique, and the disallowances in each were made for different reasons. Appeals of two of these PUCT decisions are currently pending.

The following factors support management's position that a loss contingency requiring accrual has not occurred, and its belief that all, or substantially all, of the abeyed plant costs will ultimately be recovered:

1. The \$1.4 billion of abeyed River Bend plant costs have never been ruled imprudent and disallowed by the PUCT;
2. Analysis by Sandlin Associates, which supports the prudence of substantially all of the abeyed construction costs;
3. Historical inclusion by the PUCT of prudent construction costs in rate base; and
4. The analysis of GSU's legal staff, which has considerable experience in Texas rate case litigation.

Based on advice from Clark, Thomas & Winters, A Professional Corporation, legal counsel of record in the Rate Appeal, management believes that it is reasonably possible that the Allowed Deferrals will continue to be recovered in rates, and that it is reasonably possible that the deferred costs related to the \$1.4 billion of abeyed River Bend plant costs will be recovered in rates to the extent that the \$1.4 billion of abeyed River Bend plant is recovered.

The adoption of SFAS 121 became effective January 1, 1996. SFAS 121 changes the standard for continued recognition of regulatory assets and, as a result GSU will be required to write-off \$169 million of rate deferrals in 1996. The standard also describes circumstances that may result in assets being impaired and provides criteria for recognition and measurement of asset impairment. See Note 1 for further information regarding SFAS 121.

Filings with the PUCT and Texas Cities (Entergy Corporation and GSU)

In March 1994, the Texas Office of Public Utility Counsel and certain cities served by GSU instituted an investigation of the reasonableness of GSU's rates. On March 20, 1995, the PUCT ordered a \$72.9 million annual base rate reduction for the period March 31, 1994, through September 1, 1994, decreasing to an annual base rate reduction of \$52.9 million after September 1, 1994. In accordance with the Merger agreement, the rate reduction was applied retroactively to March 31, 1994.

On May 26, 1995, the PUCT amended its previously issued March 20, 1995 rate order, reducing the \$52.9 million annual base rate reduction to an annual level of \$36.5 million. The PUCT's action was based, in part, upon a Texas Supreme Court decision not to require a utility to use the prospective tax benefits generated by disallowed expenses to reduce rates. The PUCT's May 26, 1995, amended order no longer required GSU to pass such prospective tax benefits onto its customers. The rate refund, retroactive to March 31, 1994, was approximately \$61.8 million (including interest) and was refunded to customers in September, October, and November 1995. GSU and other parties have appealed the PUCT order, but no assurance can be given as to the timing or outcome of the appeal.

Filings with the LPSC

(Entergy Corporation and GSU)

In May 1994, GSU filed a required earnings analysis with the LPSC for the test year preceding the Merger (1993). On December 14, 1994, the LPSC ordered a \$12.7 million annual rate reduction for GSU, effective January 1995. GSU received a preliminary injunction from the District Court regarding \$8.3 million of the reduction relating to the earnings effect of a 1994 change in accounting for unbilled revenues. On January 1, 1995, GSU reduced rates by \$4.4 million. GSU filed an appeal of the entire \$12.7 million rate reduction with the District Court, which denied the appeal in July 1995. GSU has appealed the order to the Louisiana Supreme Court. The preliminary injunction relating to \$8.3 million of the reduction will remain in effect during the appeal.

On May 31, 1995, GSU filed its second required post-Merger earnings analysis with the LPSC. Hearings on this review were held and a decision is expected in mid-1996.

(Entergy Corporation and LP&L)

In August 1994, LP&L filed a performance-based formula rate plan with the LPSC. The proposed formula rate plan would continue existing LP&L rates at current levels, while providing a financial incentive to reduce costs and maintain high levels of customer satisfaction and system reliability. The plan would allow LP&L the opportunity to earn a higher rate of return if it improves performance over time. Conversely, if performance declines, the rate of return LP&L could earn would be lowered. This would provide a financial incentive for LP&L to continuously improve in all three performance categories (price, customer satisfaction, and service reliability).

On June 2, 1995, as a result of the LPSC's earnings review of LP&L's performance-based formula rate plan, a \$49.4 million reduction in base rates was ordered. This included \$10.5 million of rate reductions previously made through the fuel adjustment clause. The net effect of the LPSC order was to reduce rates by \$38.9 million. The LPSC approved LP&L's proposed formula rate plan with the following modifications. An earnings band was established with a range from 10.4% to 12% for return on equity. If LP&L's earnings fall within the bandwidth, no adjustment in rates occurs. However, if LP&L's earnings are above or below the established earnings band, prospective rate decreases or increases will occur. The LPSC also reduced LP&L's authorized rate of return from 12.76% to 11.2%. The LPSC rate order was retroactive to April 27, 1995.

On June 9, 1995, LP&L appealed the \$49.4 million rate reduction and filed a petition for injunctive relief from implementation of \$14.7 million of the reduction. The \$14.7 million portion of the rate reduction represents revenue imputed to LP&L as a result of the LPSC's conclusion that LP&L charged unreasonably low rates to three industrial customers. Subsequently, a request for a \$14.7 million rate increase was filed by LP&L. On July 13, 1995, LP&L was granted a preliminary injunction by the District Court on \$14.7 million of the rate reduction pending a final LPSC order. Exclusive of the \$14.7 million stayed under the preliminary injunction, the rate refund was retroactive to April 27, 1995, and amounted to approximately \$8.2 million. Customers received the refunds in the months of September and October 1995.

In an order issued on January 31, 1996, the LPSC approved a settlement reducing the \$14.7 million portion of the rate reduction to \$12.35

million. Rate refunds subject to this settlement were retroactive to April 27, 1995, and were made in the months of January and February 1996. The refunds and related interest resulting from the settlement amounted to \$8.9 million. The District Court case discussed above was dismissed as part of the settlement.

LPSC Fuel Cost Review (Entergy Corporation and GSU)

In November 1993, the LPSC ordered a review of GSU's fuel costs for the period October 1988 through September 1991 (Phase 1) based on the number of outages at River Bend and the findings in the June 1993 PUCT fuel reconciliation case. In July 1994, the LPSC ruled in the Phase 1 fuel review case and ordered GSU to refund approximately \$27 million to its customers. Under the order, a refund of \$13.1 million was made through a billing credit on August 1994 bills. In August 1994, GSU appealed the remaining \$13.9 million of the LPSC-ordered refund to the district court. GSU has made no reserve for the remaining portion, pending outcome of the district court appeal, and no assurance can be given as to the timing or outcome of the appeal.

The LPSC is currently conducting the second phase of its review of GSU's fuel costs for the period October 1991 through December 1994. On June 30, 1995, the LPSC consultants filed testimony recommending a disallowance of \$38.7 million of fuel costs. Hearings began in December 1995 and are expected to be completed in early March 1996.

Deregulated Asset Plan (Entergy Corporation and GSU)

A deregulated asset plan representing an unregulated portion (approximately 24%) of River Bend (plant costs, generation, revenues, and expenses) was established pursuant to a January 1992 LPSC order. The plan allows GSU to sell such generation to Louisiana retail customers at 4.6 cents per KWh or off-system at higher prices, with certain sharing provisions for sharing such incremental revenue above 4.6 cents per KWh between ratepayers and shareholders.

River Bend Cost Deferrals (Entergy Corporation and GSU)

GSU deferred approximately \$369 million of River Bend operating and purchased power costs, and accrued carrying charges, pursuant to a 1986 PUCT accounting order. Approximately \$182 million of these costs are being amortized over a 20-year period, and the remaining \$187 million are not being amortized pending the outcome of the Rate Appeal. As of December 31, 1995, the unamortized balance of these costs was \$312 million. GSU deferred approximately \$400.4 million of similar costs pursuant to a 1986 LPSC accounting order, of which approximately \$83 million were unamortized as of December 31, 1995, and are being amortized over a 10-year period ending in 1998.

In accordance with a phase-in plan approved by the LPSC, GSU deferred \$294 million of its River Bend costs related to the period February 1988 through February 1991. GSU has amortized \$172 million through December 31, 1995. The remainder of \$122 million will be recovered over approximately 2.2 years.

Grand Gulf 1 and Waterford 3 Deferrals

(Entergy Corporation and AP&L)

Under the settlement agreement entered into with the APSC in 1985 and amended in 1988, AP&L agreed to retain a portion of its Grand Gulf 1-related costs, recover a portion of such costs currently, and defer a portion of such costs for future recovery. In 1995 and subsequent years, AP&L retains 22% of its 36% interest in Grand Gulf 1 costs and recovers the remaining 78%. The deferrals ceased in 1990, and AP&L is recovering a portion of the previously deferred costs each year through 1998. As of December 31, 1995, the balance of deferred costs was \$360 million. AP&L is permitted to recover on a current basis the incremental costs of financing the unrecovered deferrals. In the event AP&L is not able to sell its retained share to third parties, it may sell such energy to its retail customers at a price equal to its avoided energy cost, which is currently less than AP&L's cost of energy from its retained share.

(Entergy Corporation and LP&L)

In a series of LPSC orders, court decisions, and agreements from late 1985 to mid-1988, LP&L was granted rate relief with respect to costs associated with Waterford 3 and LP&L's share of capacity and energy from Grand Gulf 1, subject to certain terms and conditions. With respect to Waterford 3, LP&L was granted an increase aggregating \$170.9 million over the period 1985-1988, and agreed to permanently absorb, and not recover from retail ratepayers, \$284 million of its investment in the unit and to defer \$266 million of its costs related to the years 1985-1988 to be recovered over approximately 8.6 years beginning in April 1988. As of December 31, 1995, LP&L's unrecovered deferral balance was \$26 million.

With respect to Grand Gulf 1, in November 1988, LP&L agreed to retain and not recover from retail ratepayers, 18% of its 14% share (approximately 2.52%) of the costs of Grand Gulf 1 capacity and energy. LP&L is allowed to recover through the fuel adjustment clause 4.6 cents per KWh for the energy related to its retained portion of these costs. Alternatively, LP&L may sell such energy to nonaffiliated parties at prices above the fuel adjustment clause recovery amount, subject to the LPSC's approval.

(Entergy Corporation and MP&L)

MP&L entered into a revised plan with the MPSC that provides, among other things, for the recovery by MP&L, in equal annual installments over ten years beginning October 1, 1988, of all Grand Gulf 1-related costs deferred through September 30, 1988, pursuant to a final order by the MPSC. Additionally, the plan provides that MP&L defer, in decreasing amounts, a portion of its Grand Gulf 1-related costs over four years beginning October 1, 1988. These deferrals are being recovered by MP&L over a six-year period beginning in October 1992 and ending in September 1998. As of December 31, 1995, the uncollected balance of MP&L's deferred costs was approximately \$378 million. The plan also allows for the current recovery of carrying charges on all deferred amounts.

(Entergy Corporation and NOPSI)

Under NOPSI's various Rate Settlements with the Council in 1986, 1988, and 1991, NOPSI agreed to absorb and not recover from ratepayers a total of \$96.2 million of its Grand Gulf 1 costs. NOPSI was permitted to implement annual rate increases in decreasing amounts each year through 1995, and to defer certain costs and related carrying charges for recovery on a schedule extending from 1991 through 2001. As of December 31, 1995, the uncollected balance of NOPSI's deferred costs was \$171 million.

February 1994 Ice Storm/Rate Rider (Entergy Corporation and MP&L) In early February 1994, an ice storm left more than 80,000 MP&L customers without electric power across the service area. The storm was the most severe natural disaster ever to affect the System, causing damage to transmission and distribution lines, equipment, poles, and facilities in certain areas, primarily in Mississippi. Repair costs totaled approximately \$77.2 million, with \$64.6 million of these amounts capitalized as plant-related costs. The remaining balances were recorded as a deferred debit.

Subsequent to a request by MP&L for rate recovery, the MPSC approved a stipulation in September 1994, with respect to the recovery of ice storm costs recorded through April 30, 1994. Under the stipulation, MP&L implemented an ice storm rate rider, which increased rates approximately \$8 million for a period of five years beginning on September 29, 1994. This stipulation also stated that at the end of the five-year period, the revenue requirement associated with the undepreciated ice storm capitalized costs will be included in MP&L's base rates to the extent that this revenue requirement does not result in MP&L's rate of return on rate base being above the benchmark rate of return under MP&L's Formula Rate Plan.

In September 1995, the MPSC approved a second stipulation which allows for a \$2.5 million rate increase for a period of four years beginning September 28, 1995, to recover costs related to the ice storm that were recorded after April 30, 1994. The stipulation also allows for undepreciated ice storm capital costs recorded after April 30, 1994, to be treated as described above.

1994 NOPSI Settlement (Entergy Corporation and NOPSI) In a settlement with the Council that was approved on December 29, 1994, NOPSI agreed to reduce electric and gas rates and issue credits and refunds to customers. Effective January 1, 1995, NOPSI implemented a \$31.8 million permanent reduction in electric base rates and a \$3.1 million permanent reduction in gas base rates. These adjustments resolved issues associated with NOPSI's return on equity exceeding 13.76% for the test year ended September 30, 1994. Under the 1991 NOPSI Settlement, NOPSI is recovering from its retail customers its allocable share of certain costs related to Grand Gulf 1. NOPSI's base rates to recover those costs were derived from estimates of those costs made at that time. Any overrecovery of costs is required to be returned to customers. Grand Gulf 1 has experienced lower operating costs than previously estimated, and NOPSI accordingly is reducing its base rates in two steps to match more accurately the current costs related to Grand Gulf 1. On January 1, 1995, NOPSI implemented a \$10 million permanent reduction in base electric rates to reflect the reduced costs related to Grand Gulf 1, which was followed by an additional \$4.4 million rate reduction on October 31, 1995. These Grand Gulf rate reductions, which are expected to be largely offset by lower operating costs, may reduce NOPSI's after-tax net income by approximately \$1.4 million per year beginning November 1, 1995. The Grand Gulf 1 phase-in rate increase in the amount of \$4.4 million on October 31, 1995, was not affected by the 1994 NOPSI Settlement.

The 1994 NOPSI Settlement also required NOPSI to credit its customers \$25 million over a 21-month period beginning January 1, 1995, in order to resolve disputes with the Council regarding the interpretation of the 1991 NOPSI Settlement. NOPSI reduced its revenues by \$25 million and recorded a \$15.4 million net-of-tax reserve associated with the credit in the fourth quarter of 1994. The 1994 NOPSI Settlement further required NOPSI to refund, in December 1994, \$13.3 million of credits previously scheduled to be made to customers during the period January 1995 through July 1995. These credits were associated with a July 7, 1994, Council resolution that ordered a \$24.95 million rate reduction based on NOPSI's overearnings during the test year ended September 30, 1993. Accordingly, NOPSI recorded an \$8 million net-of-tax charge in the fourth quarter of 1994.

The 1994 NOPSI Settlement also required NOPSI to refund \$9.3 million of overcollections associated with Grand Gulf 1 operating costs, and \$10.5 million of refunds associated with the settlement by System Energy of a FERC tax audit. The settlement of the FERC tax audit by System Energy required refunds to be passed on to NOPSI and to other Entergy subsidiaries and then on to customers. These refunds have no effect on current period net income.

Pursuant to the 1994 NOPSI Settlement, NOPSI is required to make earnings filings with the Council for the 1995 and 1996 rate years. A review of NOPSI's earnings for the test year ending September 30, 1995, will require NOPSI to credit customers \$6.2 million over a 12-month

period beginning March 11, 1996. Hearings with the Council as to the reasonableness and prudence of NOPSI's deferred Least Cost Intergrated Resource Planning expenses for cost recovery purposes are scheduled for April 1996.

Proposed Rate Increase

(System Energy)

System Energy filed an application with FERC on May 12, 1995, for a \$65.5 million rate increase. The request seeks changes to System Energy's rate schedule, including increases in the revenue requirement associated with decommissioning costs, the depreciation rate, and the rate of return on common equity. On December 12, 1995, System Energy implemented a \$65.5 million rate increase, subject to refund. Hearings on System Energy's request began in January 1996 and were completed in February 1996. The ALJ's initial decision is expected in 1996.

(MP&L)

MP&L's allocation of the proposed System Energy wholesale rate increase is \$21.6 million. In July 1995, MP&L filed a schedule with the MPSC that will defer the ultimate amount of the System Energy rate increase. The deferral plan, which was approved by the MPSC, began in December 1995, the effective date of the System Energy rate increase, and will end after the issuance of a final order by FERC. The deferred rate increase is to be amortized over 48 months beginning October 1998.

(NOPSI)

NOPSI's allocation of the proposed System Energy wholesale rate increase is \$11.1 million. In February 1996, NOPSI filed a plan with the City to defer 50% of the amount of the System Energy rate increase. The deferral began with the February 1996 bill to NOPSI from System Energy and will end after the issuance of a final order by FERC.

FERC Settlement (Entergy Corporation and System Energy)

In November 1994, FERC approved an agreement settling a long-standing dispute involving income tax allocation procedures of System Energy. In accordance with the agreement, System Energy refunded approximately \$61.7 million to AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI, each of which in turn has made refunds or credits to its customers (except for those portions attributable to AP&L's and LP&L's retained share of Grand Gulf 1 costs). Additionally, System Energy will refund a total of approximately \$62 million, plus interest, to AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI over the period through June 2004. The settlement also required the write-off of certain related unamortized balances of deferred investment tax credits by AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI. The settlement reduced Entergy Corporation's consolidated net income for the year ended December 31, 1994, by approximately \$68.2 million, offset by the write-off of the unamortized balances of related deferred investment tax credits of approximately \$69.4 million (\$2.9 million for Entergy Corporation; \$27.3 million for AP&L; \$31.5 million for LP&L; \$6 million for MP&L; and \$1.7 million for NOPSI). System Energy also reclassified from utility plant to other deferred debits approximately \$81 million of other Grand Gulf 1 costs. Although such costs are excluded from rate base, System Energy is recovering them over a 10-year period. Interest on the \$62 million refund and the loss of the return on the \$81 million of other Grand Gulf 1 costs will reduce Entergy's and System Energy's net income by approximately \$10 million annually over the next 10 years.

FERC Return on Equity Case

In August 1992, FERC instituted an investigation of the return on equity (ROE) component of all formula wholesale rates for System Energy as well as AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI. Rates under the Unit Power Sales Agreement are based on System Energy's cost of service, including a return on common equity which had been set at 13%.

In August 1993, Entergy and the state regulatory agencies that intervened in the proceeding reached an agreement (Settlement Agreement) in this matter. The Settlement Agreement, which was approved by FERC on October 25, 1993, provides that an 11.0% ROE will be included in the formula rates under the Unit Power Sales Agreement. System Energy's refunds payable to AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI, which were due prospectively from November 3, 1992, were reflected as a credit to their bills in October 1993. These refunds decreased System Energy's 1993 revenues and net income by approximately \$29.4 million and \$18.2 million, respectively. The Unit Power Sales Agreement formula rate, including the 11.0% ROE component, currently remains in effect. However, in December 1995, System Energy implemented a rate increase subject to refund, which included an increased return on common equity. Refer to above for a discussion of the proposed System Energy rate increase.

NOTE 3. INCOME TAXES

Entergy Corporation

Entergy Corporation's income tax expense consists of the following:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	1995	1994	1993
	-----	-----	-----
	(In Thousands)		
Current:			
Federal	\$306,910	\$227,046	\$236,513
State	60,278	50,300	30,618
	-----	-----	
Total	367,188	277,346	267,131
Deferred -- net	13,333	(54,429)	118,656
Investment tax credit	(21,478)	(24,739)	
(43,796)			
adjustments--net			
Investment tax credit	-	(66,454)	
-			
amortization - FERC Settlement	-----	-----	
Recorded income tax expense	\$359,043	\$131,724	\$341,991
	=====	=====	
Charged to operations	\$349,528	\$131,965	\$251,163
Charged (credited) to other	(13,346)	(241)	33,640
income			
Charged to cumulative effect	22,861	-	57,188
	-----	-----	
Total income taxes	\$359,043	\$131,724	\$341,991
	=====	=====	

Entergy Corporation's total income taxes differ from the amounts computed by applying the statutory Federal income tax rate to income before taxes. The reasons for the differences are:

	For the Years Ended December 31,					
	1995		1994		1993	
	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income
	(Dollars in Thousands)					
Computed at statutory rate	\$334,944	35.0	\$194,448	35.0	\$332,555	35.0
Increases (reductions) in tax resulting from:						
Amortization of excess deferred income taxes	(5,516)	(0.5)	(5,845)	(1.1)	(7,063)	(0.7)
State income taxes net of federal income tax effect	42,599	4.5	13,766	2.5	30,160	3.2
Amortization of investment tax credits	(20,549)	(2.1)	(27,337)	(4.9)	(25,911)	(2.7)
Amortization of investment tax credits - FERC Settlement	-	-	(66,454)	(12.0)	-	-
Depreciation	1,670	0.1	9,995	1.8	5,925	0.6
SFAS 109 adjustment	-	-	-	-	9,547	1.0
Other--net	5,895	0.5	13,151	2.4	(3,222)	(0.4)
Total income taxes	\$359,043	37.5	\$131,724	23.7	\$341,991	36.0

Significant components of Entergy Corporation's net deferred tax liabilities as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, are as follows:

	1995	1994
	----	----
	(In Thousands)	
Deferred Tax Liabilities:		

Net regulatory assets/(liabilities)	\$(1,494,000)	
\$(1,645,119)		
Plant related basis differences	(3,071,519)	
(3,092,889)		
Rate deferrals	(467,691)	
(617,699)		
Other	(117,510)	
(181,743)		

Total	\$(5,150,720)	
\$(5,537,450)		
	=====	
Deferred Tax Assets:		

Sale and leaseback	225,620	
247,842		
Accumulated deferred investment tax credit	214,505	
227,473		
NOL carryforwards	151,141	
251,000		
Investment tax credit carryforwards	167,713	
255,394		
Valuation allowance	(44,597)	
(64,407)		
Other	585,847	
664,697		

Total	\$1,300,229	
\$1,581,999		
	=====	
Net deferred tax liability	\$(3,850,491)	
\$(3,955,451)		
	=====	
	=====	

Arkansas Power & Light Company

AP&L's income tax expense consists of the following:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	1995	1994	1993
	(In Thousands)		
Current:			
Federal	\$87,937	\$64,238	\$47,326
State	18,027	19,062	10,836
Total	105,964	83,300	58,162
Deferred -- net	(5,363)	(17,939)	34,748
Investment tax credit adjustments- (10,573)	(5,658)	(8,814)	
- - - - -net			
Investment tax credit amortization - FERC Settlement	-	(27,327)	-
Recorded income tax expense	\$94,943	\$29,220	\$82,337
Charged to operations	\$53,936	\$9,938	\$18,746
Charged (credited) to other income	18,146	19,282	32,451
Charged to cumulative effect	22,861	-	31,140
Total income taxes	\$94,943	\$29,220	\$82,337

AP&L's total income taxes differ from the amounts computed by applying the statutory Federal income tax rate to income before taxes. The reasons for the differences are:

For the Years Ended December 31,

	1995		1994		1993	
	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income
Computed at statutory rate	\$93,458	35.0	\$60,017	35.0	\$100,673	35.0
Increases (reductions) in tax resulting from:						
State income taxes net of federal income tax effect	11,551	4.3	7,821	4.6	12,119	4.2
Amortization of investment tax credits	(5,658)	(2.1)	(10,220)	(6.0)	(11,702)	(4.1)
Investment tax credit amortization -						
FERC settlement	-	-	(27,327)	(15.9)	-	-
Depreciation	(1,510)	(0.6)	(921)	(0.5)	(3,156)	(1.1)
Reversal of prior year contingency	-	-	-	-	(3,771)	(1.3)
Flow-through/permanent differences	(3,259)	(1.2)	(208)	(0.1)	(7,669)	(2.7)
Other--net	361	0.1	58	-	(4,157)	(1.4)
Total income taxes	\$94,943	35.5	\$29,220	17.1	\$82,337	28.6

Significant components of AP&L's net deferred tax liabilities as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, are as follows:

	1995	1994
	-----	-----
	(In Thousands)	
Deferred Tax Liabilities:		

Net regulatory	\$(264,166)	
\$(273,574)		
assets/(liabilities)		
Plant related basis	(480,465)	
(465,787)		
differences		
Rate deferrals	(131,261)	
(183,700)		
Bond reacquisition costs	(23,022)	
(22,496)		
Decontamination and	(15,942)	
(17,104)		
decommissioning fund		
Other	(30,511)	
(20,317)		

Total	\$(945,367)	
\$(982,978)		
	=====	
Deferred Tax Assets:		

Accumulated deferred	44,260	
46,506		
investment tax credit		
Provision-FASB 5 contingencies	7,250	
9,214		
Alternative minimum tax credit	-	
3,536		
Other	21,394	
39,121		

Total	\$72,904	
\$98,377		
	=====	
Net deferred tax liability	\$(872,463)	
\$(884,601)		
	=====	

Gulf States Utilities Company

GSU's income tax expense consists of the following:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	1995	1994	1993
	-----	-----	-----
	(In Thousands)		
Current:			
Federal	\$13	\$71	\$16,714
State	-	14	-
	-----	-----	-----
Total	13	85	16,714
Deferred -- net	67,703	(57,911)	46,477
Investment tax credit	(4,472)	(4,260)	1,093
adjustments--net	-----	-----	-----
Recorded income tax	\$63,244	\$(62,086)	\$64,284
expense	=====	=====	=====
Charged to operations	\$57,235	\$(6,448)	\$46,007
Charged (credited) to other	6,009	(55,638)	12,009
income			
Charged to extraordinary	-	-	
(671)			
items			
Charged to cumulative	-	-	6,939
effect			
	-----	-----	-----
Total income taxes	\$63,244	\$(62,086)	\$64,284
	=====	=====	=====

GSU's total income taxes differ from the amounts computed by applying the statutory Federal income tax rate to income before taxes. The reasons for the differences are:

For the Years Ended December 31,

	1995	1994		1993		
	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income
			(Dollars in Thousands)			
Computed at statutory rate	\$65,157	35.0	(\$50,694)	(35.0)	\$50,101	35.0
Increases (reductions) in tax resulting from:						
State income taxes net of federal income tax effect	8,375	4.5	(6,571)	(4.5)	1,332	0.9
Rate deferrals - net	6,240	3.4	6,551	4.5	6,193	4.3
Depreciation	(13,073)	(7.0)	(8,188)	(5.7)	(11,343)	(7.9)
Impact of change in tax rate	-	-	-	-	5,179	3.6
Book expenses not deducted for tax	-	-	151	0.1	15,134	10.6
Amortization of investment tax credits	(4,475)	(2.4)	(4,472)	(3.1)	(4,435)	(3.1)
Other--net	1,020	0.5	1,137	0.8	2,123	1.5
Total income taxes	<u>\$63,244</u>	<u>34.0</u>	<u>(\$62,086)</u>	<u>(42.9)</u>	<u>\$64,284</u>	<u>44.9</u>

Significant components of GSU's net deferred tax liabilities as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, are as follows:

	1995 -----	1994 -----
	(In Thousands)	
Deferred Tax Liabilities:		

Net regulatory	\$(512,281)	
\$(494,443)		
assets/(liabilities)		
Plant related basis differences	(1,060,241)	
(1,065,053)		
Rate deferrals	(104,695)	
(132,213)		
Other	(1,814)	
(23,163)		

Total	\$(1,679,031)	
\$(1,714,872)		
	=====	
	=====	
Deferred Tax Assets:		

Net operating loss carryforwards	\$151,141	\$251,000
Investment tax credit	167,713	173,852
carryforward		
Valuation allowance - investment	(44,597)	
(64,407)		
tax credit carryforward		
Accumulated deferred investment	58,653	69,269
tax credit		
Alternative minimum tax credit	39,709	39,743
Other	172,733	194,476

Total	\$545,352	\$663,933
	=====	
	=====	
Net deferred tax liability	\$(1,133,679)	
\$(1,050,939)		
	=====	
	=====	

Louisiana Power & Light Company

LP&L's income tax expense consists of the following:

31,	For the Years Ended December		
	1995	1994	1993
	(In Thousands)		
Current:			
Federal	\$93,670	\$68,891	\$62,037
State	20,994	10,369	8,514
Total	114,664	79,260	70,551
Deferred -- net	8,148	21,580	43,017
Investment tax credit adjustments- (2,755)	(5,698)	(6,048)	
- - - - -net			
Investment tax credit amortization - FERC settlement	-	(31,504)	-
Recorded income tax expense	\$117,114	\$63,288	\$110,813
Charged to operations	\$116,486	\$63,751	\$108,568
Charged (credited) to other income	628	(463)	2,245
Total income taxes	\$117,114	\$63,288	\$110,813

LP&L's total income taxes differ from the amounts computed by applying the statutory Federal income tax rate to income before taxes. The reasons for the differences are:

	For the Years Ended December 31,					
	1995		1994		1993	
	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income
	(Dollars in Thousands)					
Computed at statutory rate	\$111,528	35.0	\$96,994	35.0	\$104,867	35.0
Increases (reductions) in tax resulting from:						
State income taxes net of federal income tax effect	11,532	3.6	5,147	1.9	6,727	2.2
Depreciation	2,693	0.8	3,219	1.2	2,550	0.9
Impact of change in tax rate	(2,626)	(0.8)	(2,749)	(1.0)	(2,767)	(0.9)
Amortization of investment tax credits	(5,711)	(1.8)	(6,305)	(2.3)	(6,876)	(2.3)
Amortization of investment tax credits - FERC settlement	-	-	(31,504)	(11.3)	-	-
SFAS 109 adjustment	-	-	-	-	4,193	1.4
Other--net	(302)	(0.1)	(1,514)	(0.6)	2,119	0.7
Total income taxes	\$117,114	36.7	\$63,288	22.9	\$110,813	37.0

Significant components of LP&L's net deferred tax liabilities as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, are as follows:

	1995	1994
	-----	-----
	(In Thousands)	
Deferred Tax Liabilities:		

Net regulatory	\$(357,528)	
\$(437,468)		
assets/(liabilities)		
Plant related basis	(722,680)	
(722,653)		
differences		
Rate deferrals	(12,652)	
(26,695)		
Other	(35,272)	
(32,972)		

Total	\$(1,128,132)	
\$(1,219,788)		
	=====	
Deferred Tax Assets:		

Unbilled revenues	\$16,850	
\$11,108		
Accumulated deferred	56,008	
58,205		
investment tax credit		
Removal cost	59,148	
52,576		
Alternative minimum tax	27,409	
56,222		
credit		
Waterford 3 sale and	105,788	
102,111		
leaseback		
Other	52,285	
59,323		

Total	\$317,488	
\$339,545		
	=====	
Net deferred tax liability	\$(810,644)	
\$(880,243)		
	=====	

Mississippi Power & Light Company

MP&L's income tax expense consists of the following:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	1995	1994	1993
	(In Thousands)		
Current:			
Federal	\$62,436	\$39,505	\$46,744
State	9,215	7,379	7,673

Total	71,651	46,884	54,417
Deferred -- net	(35,224)	(26,763)	539
Investment tax credit adjustments-	(1,550)	(1,673)	1,036
- - - - net			
Investment tax credit amortization	-	(5,973)	-
- FERC Settlement			

Recorded income tax expense	\$34,877	\$12,475	\$55,992
=====			
Charged to operations	\$33,716	\$16,651	\$33,074
Charged (credited) to other income	1,161	(4,176)	3,462
Charged to cumulative effect	-	-	19,456

Total income taxes	\$34,877	\$12,475	\$55,992
=====			

MP&L's total income taxes differ from the amounts computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate to income before taxes. The reasons for the differences are:

For the Years Ended December 31,

	1995		1994		1993	
	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income
Computed at statutory rate	\$36,240	35.0	\$21,438	35.0	\$55,207	35.0
Increases (reductions) in tax resulting from:						
State income taxes net of federal income						
tax effect	3,344	3.2	2,465	4.0	3,253	2.1
Depreciation	739	0.7	1,930	3.2	(5,890)	(3.7)
Amortization of excess DIT	(3,465)	(3.3)	(3,810)	(6.2)	(4,680)	(3.0)
Amortization of investment tax credits	(1,548)	(1.5)	(1,674)	(2.7)	(1,772)	(1.1)
Amortization of investment tax credits -						
FERC Settlement	-	-	(5,973)	(9.8)	-	-
Adjustments of prior year taxes	(246)	(0.2)	(1,954)	(3.2)	5,228	3.3
FASB 109 Adjustment			-	-	3,439	2.2
Other--net	(187)	(0.2)	53	0.1	1,207	0.8
Total income taxes	\$34,877	33.7	\$12,475	20.4	\$55,992	35.6

Significant components of MP&L's net deferred tax liabilities as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, are as follows:

	1995 -----	1994 -----
	(In Thousands)	
Deferred Tax Liabilities:		

Net regulatory assets/(liabilities)	\$(17,147)	\$1,804
Plant related basis differences	(181,792)	(173,965)
Rate deferrals	(157,168)	(201,037)
Other	(9,339)	(13,318)

Total	\$(365,446)	\$(386,516)
=====		
Deferred Tax Assets:		
Accumulated deferred investment tax credit	\$10,702	\$11,295
Removal cost	2,316	2,824
Pension related items	2,342	3,182
Other	17,415	20,412

Total	\$32,775	\$37,713
=====		
Net deferred tax liability	\$(332,671)	\$(348,803)
=====		

New Orleans Public Service Inc.

NOPSI's income tax expense consists of the following:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	1995 -----	1994 -----	1993 -----
	(In Thousands)		
Current:			
Federal	\$19,071	\$19,557	\$23,400
State	3,394	3,049	4,079
Total	22,465	22,606	27,479
Deferred -- net	(1,364)	(15,674)	5,203
Investment tax credit adjustments-	(634)	(681)	
(743)			
- - - - -net			
Investment tax credit adjustments-	-	(1,651)	-
-FERC Settlement			
Recorded income tax expense	\$20,467	\$4,600	\$31,939
=====			
Charged to operations	\$19,836	\$3,602	\$24,232
Charged (credited) to other income	631	998	1,115
Charged to cumulative effect	-	-	6,592
Total income taxes	\$20,467	\$4,600	\$31,939

NOPSI's total income taxes differ from the amounts computed by applying the statutory Federal income tax rate to income before taxes. The reasons for the differences are:

	For the Years Ended December 31,					
	1995		1994		1993	
	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income
			(Dollars in Thousands)			
Computed at statutory rate	\$19,198	35.0	\$6,234	35.0	\$27,877	35.0
Increases (reductions) in tax resulting from:						
State income taxes net of federal income tax effect	1,971	3.6	456	2.6	3,411	4.3
Depreciation	(661)	(1.2)	(586)	(3.3)	(780)	(1.0)
Amortization of investment tax credits	(634)	(1.2)	(681)	(3.8)	(745)	(0.9)
Investment tax credit amortization-						
FERC settlement	-	-	(1,651)	(9.2)		
Amortization of excess deferred income tax	575	1.1	714	4.0	384	0.5
Adjustments of prior year taxes	101	0.2	(423)	(2.4)	2,413	3.0
FASB 109 adjustment	-	-	-	-	(1,170)	(1.5)
Other--net	(83)	(0.2)	537	3.0	549	0.7
Total income taxes	\$20,467	37.3	\$4,600	25.9	\$31,939	40.1

Significant components of NOPSI's net deferred tax liabilities as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, are as follows:

	1995	1994
	----	----
	(In Thousands)	
Deferred Tax Liabilities:		

Net regulatory	\$(10,723)	
\$(12,946)		
assets/(liabilities)		
Plant related basis	(50,820)	
(50,624)		
Rate deferrals - net	(61,915)	
(74,054)		
Other	(3,134)	
(3,303)		

Total	\$(126,592)	
\$(140,927)		
	=====	
	=====	
Deferred Tax Assets:		

Unbilled revenues	\$3,689	\$3,051
Accumulated deferred investment	3,910	4,154
tax credit		
Pension related items	4,189	4,497
Removal costs	10,019	9,146
Operating reserves	6,795	6,665
Rate refund	459	9,620
Other	6,703	9,623

Total	\$35,764	\$46,756
	=====	
	=====	
Net deferred tax liability	\$(90,828)	
\$(94,171)		
	=====	
	=====	

System Energy Resources, Inc.

System Energy's income tax expense consists of the following:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	1995	1994	1993
	(In Thousands)		
Current:			
Federal	\$108,920	\$54,295	\$59,050
State	11,910	13,182	3,671
Total	120,830	67,477	62,721
Deferred -- net	(41,871)	(27,375)	46,284
Investment tax credit	(3,466)	(3,265)	
(30,452)			
adjustments--net			
Recorded income tax expense	\$75,493	\$36,837	\$78,553
Charged to operations	\$77,410	\$38,087	\$83,412
Charged (credited) to other	(1,917)	(1,250)	
(4,859)			
income			
Total income taxes	\$75,493	\$36,837	\$78,553

System Energy's total income taxes differ from the amounts computed by applying the statutory Federal income tax rate to income before taxes. The reasons for the differences are:

	1995		1994		1993	
	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income	Amount	% of Pre-tax Income
	(Dollars in Thousands)					
Computed at statutory rate	\$58,986	35.0	\$14,785	35.0	\$60,368	35.0
Increases (reductions) in tax resulting from:						
Depreciation	13,482	8.0	14,541	34.4	12,839	7.4
State income taxes net of federal income tax effect	7,036	4.2	7,565	17.9	6,778	3.9
Amortization of investment tax credits	(3,480)	(2.1)	(3,476)	(8.2)	(3,759)	(2.2)
Adjustments of prior year taxes	2	-	2,947	7.0	5,292	3.0
Other--net	(533)	(0.3)	475	1.1	(2,965)	(1.6)
Total income taxes	\$75,493	44.8	\$36,837	87.2	\$78,553	45.5

Significant components of System Energy's net deferred tax liabilities as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, are as follows:

	1995	1994
	-----	-----
	In Thousands)	
Deferred Tax Liabilities:		

Net regulatory assets/liabilities	\$(332,154)	
\$(431,562)		
Plant related basis differences	(538,215)	
(577,286)		
Other	(10,365)	
(11,280)		
	-----	-----
Total	\$(880,734)	
\$(1,020,128)		
	=====	=====
Deferred Tax Assets:		

Sale and leaseback	\$119,832	\$145,731
FERC Settlement	19,519	23,098
Accumulated deferred investment tax credit	40,973	42,298
Alternative minimum tax credit	63,642	38,179
Other	34,586	24,320
	-----	-----
Total	\$278,552	\$273,626
	=====	=====
Net deferred tax liability	\$(602,182)	
\$(746,502)		
	=====	=====

As of December 31, 1995, Entergy had investment tax credit (ITC) carryforwards of \$167.7 million, federal net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards of \$384.6 million and state NOL carryforwards of \$355.0 million, all related to GSU operations. The ITC carryforwards include the 35% reduction required by the Tax Reform Act of 1986 and may be applied against federal income tax liability of only GSU and, if not utilized, will expire between 1996 and 2002. It is currently anticipated that approximately \$44.6 million of ITC carryforward will expire unutilized. A valuation allowance has been provided for deferred tax assets relating to that amount. The alternative minimum tax (AMT) credit carryforwards as of December 31, 1995, were \$130.7 million, including \$39.7 million at GSU, \$27.4 million at LP&L, and \$63.6 million at SERI. This AMT credit can be carried forward indefinitely and will reduce the System's federal income tax liability in the future.

In accordance with the System Energy-FERC Settlement, the System wrote off \$66.5 million of unamortized deferred investment tax credits in 1994, including \$27.3 million at AP&L, \$31.5 million at LP&L, \$6.0 million at MP&L, and \$1.7 million at NOPSI.

In 1993, the System adopted SFAS 109. SFAS 109 required that deferred income taxes be recorded for all carryforwards and temporary differences between the book and tax basis of assets and liabilities, and that deferred tax balances be based on enacted tax laws at tax rates that are expected to be in effect when the temporary differences reverse. SFAS 109 required that regulated enterprises recognize adjustments resulting from implementation as regulatory assets or liabilities if it is probable that such amounts will be recovered from or returned to customers in future rates. A substantial majority of the adjustments required by SFAS 109 was recorded to deferred tax balance sheet accounts with offsetting adjustments to regulatory assets and liabilities. As a result of the adoption of SFAS 109, Entergy's 1993 net income and earnings per share were decreased by \$13.2 million and \$0.08 per share, respectively, and assets and liabilities were increased by \$822.7 million and \$835.9 million, respectively. The cumulative effect of the adoption of SFAS 109 is included in income tax expense charged to operations. The following table shows the effect of the adoption of SFAS 109 on 1993 net income, assets and liabilities for AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and SERI.

	Increase (Decrease) in Net Income	Increase in Assets	Increase in Liabilities
	-----	-----	
		(In Millions)	
AP&L	(\$2.6)	\$168.2	\$170.8
LP&L	(5.7)	309.7	315.4
MP&L	(1.7)	50.2	51.9
NOPSI	0.3	4.1	3.8
System Energy	0.4	327.9	327.5

GSU recorded the adoption of SFAS 109 by restating 1990, 1991, and 1992 financial statements and including a charge of \$96.5 million for the cumulative effect of the adoption of SFAS 109 in 1990 primarily for that portion of the operations on which GSU has discontinued regulatory accounting principles.

In August 1994, Entergy received an IRS report covering the federal income tax audit of Entergy Corporation and subsidiaries for the years 1988 - 1990. The report asserts an \$80 million tax deficiency for the 1990 consolidated federal income tax returns related primarily to the application of accelerated investment tax credits associated with Waterford 3 and Grand Gulf nuclear plants. Entergy believes there is no material tax deficiency and is vigorously contesting the proposed assessment.

NOTE 4. LINES OF CREDIT AND RELATED BORROWINGS (Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy)

The SEC has authorized AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy to effect short-term borrowings up to \$125 million, \$125 million, \$150 million, \$100 million, \$39 million, and \$125 million, respectively (for a total of \$664 million). These limits may be increased to as much as \$1.216 billion in total (subject to individual authorizations for each company) after further SEC approval. These authorizations are effective through November 30, 1996. Of these companies, only LP&L and System Energy had borrowings outstanding as of December 31, 1995. LP&L had \$76.5 million of borrowings outstanding, including \$61.5 million under the money pool, an intra-System borrowing arrangement designed to reduce the System's dependence on external short-term borrowings. LP&L had unused bank lines of credit in the amount of \$2.7 million. System Energy had money pool borrowings outstanding of approximately \$3 million at December 31, 1995. AP&L and MP&L had undrawn lines of credit as of December 31, 1995, of \$34 million and \$30 million, respectively.

On July 27, 1995, Entergy Corporation received SEC authorization for a \$300 million bank credit facility. Thereafter, a three-year credit agreement was signed with a group of banks on October 10, 1995, to provide up to \$300 million of loans to Entergy Corporation. As of December 31, 1995, no amounts were outstanding against this credit facility. However, on January 4, 1996, \$230 million was borrowed against the facility for use in the acquisition of CitiPower. See Note 15 for a discussion of the acquisition.

Other Entergy companies have financing agreements and facilities permitting them to borrow up to \$135 million, of which \$30 million was outstanding as of December 31, 1995. Some of these borrowings are restricted as to use, and are secured by certain assets.

In total, the System had commitments in the amount of \$516.7 million at December 31, 1995, of which \$471.7 million was unused. The weighted average interest rate on the outstanding borrowings at December 31, 1995, and December 31, 1994, was 6.35% and 7.18%, respectively. Commitment fees on the lines of credit for AP&L, LP&L, and MP&L are 0.125% of the undrawn amounts. The commitment fee for Entergy Corporation's \$300 million credit facility is currently 0.17%, but can fluctuate depending on the senior debt ratings of the Operating Companies.

NOTE 5. PREFERRED, PREFERENCE, AND COMMON STOCK (Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI)

The number of shares, authorized and outstanding, and dollar value of preferred and preference stock for Entergy, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI as of December 31, 1995, and 1994 were:

	Shares Authorized and Outstanding		Total Dollar Value		Call Price Per Share as of December 31,
	1995	1994	1995	1994	1995
(Dollars in Thousands)					
AP&L Preferred Stock					

Without sinking fund:					
Cumulative, \$100 par value:					
4.32% Series	70,000	70,000	\$7,000	\$7,000	\$103.647
4.72% Series	93,500	93,500	9,350	9,350	\$107.000
4.56% Series	75,000	75,000	7,500	7,500	\$102.830
4.56% 1995 Series	75,000	75,000	7,500	7,500	\$102.500
6.08% Series	100,000	100,000	10,000	10,000	\$102.830
7.32% Series	100,000	100,000	10,000	10,000	\$103.170
7.08% Series	150,000	150,000	15,000	15,000	\$103.250
7.40% Series	200,000	200,000	20,000	20,000	\$102.800
7.88% Series	150,000	150,000	15,000	15,000	\$103.000
Cumulative, \$25 par value:					
8.84% Series	400,000	400,000	10,000	10,000	\$26.560
Cumulative, \$0.01 par value:					
\$2.40 Series (a)(b)	2,000,000	2,000,000	50,000	50,000	-
\$1.96 Series (a)(b)	600,000	600,000	15,000	15,000	-
Total without sinking fund	4,013,500	4,013,500	\$176,350	\$176,350	
=====					
With sinking fund:					
Cumulative, \$100 par value:					
8.52% Series	350,000	375,000	\$35,000	\$37,500	\$106.390
Cumulative, \$25 par value:					
9.92% Series	561,085	641,085	14,027	16,027	\$26.320
13.28% Series	-	200,000	-	5,000	-
Total with sinking fund:	911,085	1,216,085	\$49,027	\$58,527	
=====					
Fair Value of Preferred Stock with sinking fund(d)			\$51,476	\$60,600	
=====					

	Shares Authorized and Outstanding		Total Dollar Value		Call Price Per Share as of December 31,
	1995	1994	1995	1994	1995
(Dollars in Thousands)					
GSU Preferred and Preference Stock					

Preference Stock					
Cumulative, without par value					
7% Series (a)(b)	6,000,000	6,000,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	-
	=====	=====	=====	=====	
Preferred Stock					
Authorized 6,000,000, \$100 par					
value, cumulative					
Without sinking fund:					
4.40% Series	51,173	51,173	\$5,117	\$5,117	\$108.00
4.50% Series	5,830	5,830	583	583	\$105.00
4.40%-1949 Series	1,655	1,655	166	166	\$103.00
4.20% Series	9,745	9,745	975	975	\$102.82
4.44% Series	14,804	14,804	1,480	1,480	\$103.75
5.00% Series	10,993	10,993	1,099	1,099	\$104.25
5.08% Series	26,845	26,845	2,685	2,685	\$104.63
4.52% Series	10,564	10,564	1,056	1,056	\$103.57
6.08% Series	32,829	32,829	3,283	3,283	\$103.34
7.56% Series	350,000	350,000	35,000	35,000	\$101.80
8.52% Series	500,000	500,000	50,000	50,000	\$102.43
9.96% Series	350,000	350,000	35,000	35,000	\$102.64
	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Total without sinking fund	1,364,438	1,364,438	\$136,444	\$136,444	
	=====	=====	=====	=====	
With sinking fund:					
8.80% Series	204,495	226,807	\$20,450	\$22,680	\$100.00
9.75% Series	19,543	21,565	1,954	2,154	\$100.00
8.64% Series	168,000	182,000	16,800	18,200	\$101.00
Adjustable Rate-A,7.00%(c)	192,000	204,000	19,200	20,400	\$100.00
Adjustable Rate-B,7.00%(c)	292,500	315,000	29,250	31,500	\$100.00
	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Total with sinking fund:	876,538	949,372	\$87,654	\$94,934	
	=====	=====	=====	=====	
Fair Value of Preference Stock and Preferred Stock with sinking fund(d)			\$219,191	\$227,800	
			=====	=====	

	Shares Authorized and Outstanding		Total Dollar Value		Call Price Per Share as of December 31,
	1995	1994	1995	1994	1995
(Dollars in Thousands)					
LP&L Preferred Stock					
Without sinking fund:					
Cumulative, \$100 par value:					
4.96% Series	60,000	60,000	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$104.25
4.16% Series	70,000	70,000	7,000	7,000	\$104.21
4.44% Series	70,000	70,000	7,000	7,000	\$104.06
5.16% Series	75,000	75,000	7,500	7,500	\$104.18
5.40% Series	80,000	80,000	8,000	8,000	\$103.00
6.44% Series	80,000	80,000	8,000	8,000	\$102.92
7.84% Series	100,000	100,000	10,000	10,000	\$103.78
7.36% Series	100,000	100,000	10,000	10,000	\$103.36
8.56% Series	100,000	100,000	10,000	10,000	\$103.14
Cumulative, \$25 par value:					
8.00% Series (b)	1,480,000	1,480,000	37,000	37,000	-
9.68% Series (b)	2,000,000	2,000,000	50,000	50,000	-
Total without sinking fund	4,215,000	4,215,000	\$160,500	\$160,500	
With sinking fund:					
Cumulative, \$100 par value:					
7.00% Series (b)	500,000	500,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	-
8.00% Series (b)	350,000	350,000	35,000	35,000	-
Cumulative, \$25 par value:					
10.72% Series	-	150,211	-	3,756	-
12.64% Series	600,370	900,370	15,009	22,509	\$26.58
Total with sinking fund	1,450,370	1,900,581	\$100,009	\$111,265	
Fair Value of Preferred Stock with sinking fund(d)			\$103,135	\$113,000	

Per 31,	Shares		Total		Call Price
	Authorized and Outstanding		Dollar Value		Share as of December
	1995	1994	1995	1994	1995

(Dollars in Thousands)

MP&L Preferred Stock

Without sinking fund:					
Cumulative, \$100 par value:					
4.36% Series	59,920	59,920	\$5,992	\$5,992	\$103.86
4.56% Series	43,888	43,888	4,389	4,389	\$107.00
4.92% Series	100,000	100,000	10,000	10,000	\$102.88
7.44% Series	100,000	100,000	10,000	10,000	\$102.81
8.36% Series(b)	200,000	200,000	20,000	20,000	-
9.16% Series	75,000	75,000	7,500	7,500	\$104.06
Total without sinking fund	578,808	578,808	\$57,881	\$57,881	
With sinking fund:					
Cumulative, \$100 par value:					
9.00% Series	0	70,000	\$ -	\$7,000	-
9.76% Series	140,000	210,000	14,000	21,000	\$101.09
12.00% Series	27,700	37,700	2,770	3,770	\$106.00
Total with sinking fund	167,700	317,700	\$16,770	\$31,770	
Fair Value of Preferred Stock with sinking fund(d)			\$16,936	\$32,500	

	Shares		Total		Call Price Per
	Authorized and Outstanding		Dollar Value		Share as of December 31,
	1995	1994	1995	1994	1995

(Dollars in Thousands)

NOPSI Preferred Stock

Without sinking fund:					
Cumulative, \$100 par value:					
4 3/4% Preferred Stock	77,798	77,798	\$7,780	\$7,780	\$105.00
4.36% Series	60,000	60,000	6,000	6,000	\$104.58
5.56% Series	60,000	60,000	6,000	6,000	\$102.59
Total without sinking fund	197,798	197,798	\$19,780	\$19,780	
With sinking fund:					
Cumulative, \$100 par value:					
15.44% Series	-	34,495	\$ -	\$3,450	-
Fair Value of Preferred Stock with sinking fund(d)			\$ -	\$3,600	

Entergy

Subsidiaries' Preference Stock (a)(b):	6,000,000	6,000,000	\$150,000	\$150,000
	=====	=====	=====	
=====				
Subsidiaries' Preferred Stock:				
Without sinking fund	10,369,544	10,369,544	\$550,955	\$550,955
	=====	=====	=====	
=====				
With sinking fund	3,405,693	4,418,233	\$253,460	\$299,946
	=====	=====	=====	
=====				
Fair Value of Preference Stock and Preferred Stock with sinking fund(d)			\$390,738	\$437,500
			=====	
=====				

(a) The total dollar value represents the involuntary liquidation value of \$25 per share.

(b) These series are not redeemable as of December 31, 1995.

(c) Rates are as of December 31, 1995.

(d) Fair values were determined using bid prices reported by dealer markets and by nationally recognized investment banking firms. See Note 1 for additional disclosure of fair value of financial instruments.

Changes in the preferred stock, with and without sinking fund, preference stock, and common stock of AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI during the last three years were:

	Number of Shares		
	1995	1994	1993
	-----	-----	-----
Preferred stock retirements			
AP&L			
\$100 par value	(25,000)	(45,000)	
(85,000)			
\$25 par value	(280,000)	(280,000)	
(280,000)			
GSU			
\$100 par value	(72,834)	(60,667)	
(1,683,834)			
LP&L			
\$25 par value	(450,211)	(601,537)	
(900,000)			
MP&L			
\$100 par value	(150,000)	(150,000)	
(165,000)			
NOPSI			
\$100 par value	(34,495)	(15,000)	
(15,000)			
Preference stock issuances, GSU	-	-	6,000,000
Common stock issuances, GSU	-	-	100
Common stock retirements, GSU	-	-	
(114,055,065)			

Cash sinking fund requirements for the next five years for preferred stock, outstanding as of December 31, 1995 are:

(a)	Entergy	AP&L (a)	GSU (a)	LP&L (a)	MP&L
	(In Thousands)				
1996 \$7,500	\$21,817	\$4,500	\$6,067	\$3,750	
1997 7,500	21,817	4,500	6,067	3,750	
1998 500	14,817	4,500	6,067	3,750	
1999 500	64,826	4,500	6,067	53,759	
2000 500	161,067	4,500	156,067	-	

(a) AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and MP&L have the annual noncumulative option to redeem, at par, additional amounts of certain series of their outstanding preferred stock.

On December 31, 1993, Entergy Corporation issued 56,695,724 shares of common stock in connection with the Merger. In addition, Entergy Corporation redeemed 174,552,011 shares of \$5 par value common stock and reissued 174,552,011 shares of \$0.01 par value common stock resulting in an increase in paid-in capital of \$871 million.

Entergy Corporation had a program in which it repurchased and retired (returned to authorized but unissued status) 1,230,000 shares of common stock at a cost of \$30.7 million in 1994. In addition, 627,000 shares of treasury stock were purchased for cash during 1993 at a cost of \$20.6 million. A portion of the treasury shares purchased in 1993 was subsequently reissued, and in connection with the Merger on December 31, 1993, the remaining balance of 579,274 shares of treasury stock was canceled.

Entergy Corporation from time to time acquires shares of its common stock to be held as treasury shares and to be reissued to meet the requirements of the Stock Plan for Outside Directors (Directors' Plan), the Equity Ownership Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (Equity Plan), and certain other stock benefit plans. Under this program, 2,805,000 of treasury shares were purchased in 1994 at a cost of \$88.8 million. The Directors' Plan awards nonemployee directors a portion of their compensation in the form of a fixed number of shares of Entergy Corporation common stock. Shares awarded under the Directors' Plan were 9,251, 18,757, and 12,550 during 1995, 1994, and 1993, respectively. The Equity Plan grants stock options, restricted shares, and equity awards to key employees of the System companies. The costs of awards are charged to income over the period of the grant or restricted period, as appropriate. Amounts charged to compensation expense in 1995 were immaterial. Stock options, which comprise 50% of the shares targeted for distribution under the Equity Plan, are granted at exercise prices not less than market value on the date of grant. The options are generally exercisable no less than six months nor more than 10 years after the date of grant.

Nonstatutory stock option transactions are summarized as follows:

of	Option Price -----	Number Options

Options outstanding as of January 1, 1993	-	45,000
Options granted during 1993	\$34.750	70,000
	\$39.750	6,107
Options exercised during 1993 (13,198)	\$29.625	
	\$34.750	
(5,000)		
Options granted during 1994	\$37.000	67,500
Options exercised during 1994	-	
-		
Options granted during 1995	\$23.375	65,000
	\$20.875 (a)	250,000
Options exercised during 1995 (7,500)	\$23.375	
	\$24.125	
(5,000)		
Options expired unused during 1995 (15,000)	-	

Options remaining as of December 31, 1995 457,909		
=====		

(a) Options were not exercisable as of December 31, 1995.

The Employee Stock Investment Plan (ESIP) is authorized to issue or acquire, through March 31, 1997, up to 2,000,000 shares of its common stock to be held as treasury shares and reissued to meet the requirements of the ESIP. Under the ESIP, employees may be granted the opportunity to purchase (for up to 10% of their regular annual salary, but not more than \$25,000) common stock at 85% of the market value on the first or last business day of the plan year, whichever is lower. Through this program, employees purchased 329,863 shares for the 1994 plan year. The 1995 plan year runs from April 1, 1995, to March 31, 1996.

NOTE 6. LONG - TERM DEBT (Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy)

The long-term debt of Entergy Corporation's subsidiaries, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy, as of December 31, 1995, was:

Maturities		Interest Rates		Entery	AP&L	GSU	LP&L	MP&L	NOPSI	System Energy
From	To	From	To							
(In Thousands)										
First Mortgage Bonds										
1996	1999	5%	10.5%	\$1,064,410	\$75,160	\$445,000	\$104,000	\$35,000	\$35,250	\$370,000
2000	2004	6%	9.75%	1,282,320	180,800	670,000	361,520			70,000
2005	2009	6.25%	11.375%	355,319	215,000	120,000				20,319
2010	2014	11.375%		50,000						50,000
2015	2019	9.75%	11.375%	95,000	75,000					20,000
2020	2024	7%	10.375%	1,008,818	373,818	450,000	185,000			
G&R Bonds										
1996	1999	6.95%	11.2%	152,000				122,000	30,000	
2000	2023	6.625%	8.8%	485,000				355,000	130,000	
Governmental Obligations (b)										
1996	2008	5.9%	10%	110,868	51,495	46,300	12,158	915		
2009	2023	5.95%	12.50%	1,551,235	240,700	435,735	412,170	46,030		416,600
Debentures										
1996	2008	9.72%		150,000		150,000				
2000		7.38%		30,000						30,000
Long-Term DOE Obligations (Note 8)				111,536	111,536					
Waterford 3 Lease Obligation 8.76% (Note 9)				353,600			353,600			
Grand Gulf Lease Obligation 7.02% (Note 9)				500,000						500,000
Line of Credit, variable rate, due 1998				65,000						
Other Long-Term Debt				9,156		9,156				
Unamortized Premium and Discount - Net				(38,488)	(13,606)	(5,295)	(8,017)	(3,526)	(1,042)	(7,002)
Total Long-Term Debt				7,335,774	1,309,903	2,320,896	1,420,431	555,419	194,208	1,469,917
Less Amount Due Within One Year				558,650	28,700	145,425	35,260	61,015	38,250	250,000
Long-Term Debt Excluding Amount Due Within One Year				\$6,777,124	\$1,281,203	\$2,175,471	\$1,385,171	\$494,404	\$155,958	\$1,219,917
Fair Value of Long-Term Debt (c)				\$6,666,420	\$1,213,511	\$2,416,932	\$1,136,246	\$594,365	\$198,785	\$1,041,581

The long-term debt of Entergy Corporation's subsidiaries, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy, as of December 31, 1994, was:

Maturities		Interest Rates		Entery	AP&L	GSU	LP&L	MP&L	NOPSI	System Energy
From	To	From	To							
(In Thousands)										
First Mortgage Bonds										
1995	1999	4.625%	14%	\$1,290,210	\$100,960	\$445,000	\$179,000	\$55,000	\$35,250	\$475,000
2000	2004	6%	9.75%	1,282,320	180,800	670,000	361,520			70,000
2005	2009	6.25%	11.375%	355,319	215,000	120,000				20,319
2010	2014	11.375%		50,000						50,000
2015	2019	9.75%	11.375%	95,000	75,000					20,000
2020	2024	7%	10.375%	1,008,818	373,818	450,000	185,000			
G&R Bonds										
1995	1999	5.95%	14.95%(a)	221,200				167,000	54,200	
2000	2023	6.625%	8.65%	375,000				275,000	100,000	
Governmental Obligations (b)										
1995	2008	5.9%	10%	114,622	53,120	46,725	12,472	1,880		
2009	2023	5.95%	12.50%	1,527,768	234,004	435,735	395,400	46,030		416,600
Debentures - Due 1998, 9.72%				200,000		200,000				
Long-Term DOE Obligations (Note 8)				105,163	105,163					
Waterford 3 Lease Obligation 8.76% (Note 9)				353,600			353,600			
Grand Gulf Lease Obligation 7.02% (Note 9)				500,000						500,000
Other Long-Term Debt				6,879		6,879				
Unamortized Premium and Discount - Net				(43,341)	(15,811)	(5,497)	(8,617)	(3,712)	(1,090)	(8,614)
Total Long-Term Debt				7,442,558	1,322,054	2,368,842	1,478,375	541,198	188,360	1,543,305
Less Amount Due Within One Year				349,085	28,175	50,425	75,320	65,965	24,200	105,000
Long-Term Debt Excluding Amount Due Within One Year				\$7,093,473	\$1,293,879	\$2,318,417	\$1,403,055	\$475,233	\$164,160	\$1,438,305
Fair Value of Long-Term Debt (c)				\$6,293,000	\$1,133,600	\$2,277,300	\$1,089,200	\$523,100	\$178,700	\$1,091,000

(a) \$20 million of MP&L's 14.95% Series G&R Bonds and \$9.2 million of NOPSI's 13.9% Series G&R Bonds were due 2/1/95. All other series are at interest rates within the range of 6.95% - 11.2%.

(b) Consists of pollution control bonds, certain series of which are secured by non-interest bearing first mortgage bonds.

(c) The fair value excludes lease obligations, long-term DOE obligations, and other long-term debt and was determined using bid prices reported by dealer markets and by nationally recognized investment banking firms. See Note 1 for additional information on disclosure of fair value of financial instruments.

The annual long-term debt maturities (excluding lease obligations) and annual cash sinking fund requirements for the next five years follow:

System Entery (a) AP&L (b) GSU (c) LP&L (d) MP&L (e) NOPSI Energy
(Dollars In Thousands)

1996 250,000	558,650	28,700	145,425	35,260	61,015	38,250
1997 10,000	361,270	33,065	160,865	34,325	96,015	27,000
1998 70,000	314,920	18,710	190,890	35,300	20	-
1999 70,000	172,391	1,225	100,915	231	20	-
2000 40,000	143,015	1,825	945	100,225	20	-

(a) Not included are other sinking fund requirements of approximately \$20.4 million annually which may be satisfied by cash or by certification of property additions at the rate of 167% of such requirements.

(b) Not included are other sinking fund requirements of approximately \$1.1 million annually which may be satisfied by cash or by certification of property additions at the rate of 167% of such requirements.

(c) Not included are other sinking fund requirements of approximately \$13.8 million annually which may be satisfied by cash or by certification of property additions at the rate of 167% of such requirements.

(d) Not included are other sinking fund requirements of approximately \$5.5 million annually which may be satisfied by cash or by certification of property additions at the rate of 167% of such requirements.

(e) Not included are other sinking fund requirements of approximately \$0.1 million for 1996 which may be satisfied by cash or by certification of property additions at the rate of 167% of such requirements.

GSU has two outstanding series of pollution control bonds collateralized by irrevocable letters of credit, which are scheduled to expire before the scheduled maturity of the bonds. The letter of credit collateralizing the \$28.4 million variable rate series, due December 1, 2015, expires in September 1996 and the letter of credit collateralizing the \$20 million variable rate series, due April 1, 2016, expires in April 1996. GSU plans to refinance these series or renew the letters of credit.

Under MP&L's G&R Mortgage, G&R Bonds are issuable based upon 70% of bondable property additions, based upon 50% of accumulated deferred Grand Gulf 1 related costs, based upon the retirement of certain bonds previously outstanding, or based upon the deposit of cash with the trustee. MP&L's G&R Mortgage prohibits the issuance of additional first mortgage bonds (including for refunding purposes) under MP&L's first mortgage indenture, except such first mortgage bonds as may hereafter be issued from time to time at MP&L's option to the corporate trustee under the G&R Mortgage to provide additional security for MP&L's G&R Bonds.

Under NOPSI's G&R Mortgage, G&R Bonds are issuable based upon 70% of bondable property additions or based upon 50% of accumulated deferred Grand Gulf 1-related costs. The G&R Mortgage precludes the issuance of any additional bonds based upon property additions if the total amount of outstanding Rate Recovery Mortgage Bonds issued on the basis of the uncollected balance of deferred Grand Gulf 1-related costs exceeds 66 2/3% of the balance of such deferred costs. As of December 31, 1995, the total amount of Rate Recovery Mortgage Bonds outstanding aggregated \$30.0 million, or 17.3% of NOPSI's accumulated deferred Grand Gulf 1-related costs.

NOTE 7. DIVIDEND RESTRICTIONS - (Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy)

Provisions within the Articles of Incorporation or pertinent Indentures and various other agreements related to the long-term debt and preferred stock of Entergy Corporation's subsidiaries restrict the payment of cash dividends or other distributions on their common and preferred stock. Additionally, PUHCA prohibits Entergy Corporation's subsidiaries from making loans or advances to Entergy Corporation. Detailed below are the restricted common equity and restricted retained earnings unavailable for distribution to Entergy Corporation by subsidiary.

Restricted Company	Restricted	
	Equity	Earnings
	(In Millions)	
AP&L	\$ 882.6	\$ 291.3
GSU	1,266.5	-
LP&L	1,084.1	-
MP&L	334.8	135.7
NOPSI	85.2	15.2
System Energy	808.1	18.7
	-----	-----
Entergy	\$ 4,461.3	\$ 460.9
	=====	=====

NOTE 8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Cajun - River Bend Litigation (Entergy Corporation and GSU)

GSU has significant business relationships with Cajun, including co-ownership of River Bend (operated by GSU) and Big Cajun 2, Unit 3 (operated by Cajun). GSU and Cajun, respectively, own 70% and 30% undivided interests in River Bend and 42% and 58% undivided interests in Big Cajun 2, Unit 3.

In June 1989, Cajun filed a civil action against GSU in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana (District Court). Cajun's complaint seeks to annul, rescind, terminate, and/or dissolve the Joint Ownership Participation and Operating Agreement (Operating Agreement) entered into on August 28, 1979, relating to River Bend. Cajun alleges fraud and error by GSU, breach of its fiduciary duties owed to Cajun, and/or GSU's repudiation, renunciation, abandonment, or dissolution of its core obligations under the Operating Agreement, as well as the lack or failure of cause and/or consideration for Cajun's performance under the Operating Agreement. The suit also seeks to recover Cajun's alleged \$1.6 billion investment in the unit as damages, plus attorneys' fees, interest, and costs. Two member cooperatives of Cajun have brought an independent action to declare the Operating Agreement void, based upon failure to get prior LPSC approval alleged to be necessary. GSU believes the suits are without merit and is contesting them vigorously.

A trial on the portion of the suit by Cajun to rescind the Operating Agreement began in April 1994 and was completed in March 1995. On October 24, 1995, the District Court issued a memorandum opinion ruling in favor of GSU. The District Court found that Cajun did not prove that GSU fraudulently induced it to execute the Operating Agreement and that Cajun failed to timely assert its claim. A final judgment on this portion of the suit will not be entered until all claims asserted by Cajun have been heard. The second portion of the suit is scheduled to begin on July 2, 1996. If GSU is ultimately unsuccessful in this litigation and is required to pay substantial damages, GSU would probably be unable to make such payments and could be forced to seek relief from its creditors under the United States Bankruptcy Code. If GSU prevails in this litigation, there can be no assurance that the United States Bankruptcy Court will allow funding of all required costs of Cajun's ownership in River Bend.

Cajun has not paid its full share of capital costs, operating and maintenance expenses, or other costs for repairs and improvements to River Bend since 1992. In addition, certain costs and expenses paid by Cajun were paid under protest. These actions were taken by Cajun based on its contention, with which GSU disagrees, that River Bend's operating and maintenance expenses were excessive. Cajun's unpaid portion of River Bend operating and maintenance expenses (including nuclear fuel) and capital costs for 1995 was approximately \$58.7 million. Cajun continues to pay its share of decommissioning costs for River Bend.

During the period in which Cajun is not paying its share of River Bend costs, GSU intends to fund all costs necessary for the safe, continuing operation of the unit. The responsibilities of Entergy Operations as the licensed operator of River Bend, for safely operating and maintaining the unit, are not affected by Cajun's actions.

In view of Cajun's failure to fund its share of River Bend-related operating, maintenance, and capital costs, GSU has (i) credited GSU's share of expenses for Big Cajun 2, Unit 3 against amounts due from Cajun to GSU, and (ii) sought to market Cajun's share of the power from River Bend and apply the proceeds to the amounts due from Cajun to GSU. As a result, on November 2, 1994, Cajun discontinued supplying GSU with its share of power from Big Cajun 2, Unit 3. GSU requested an order from the District Court requiring Cajun to supply GSU with this energy and allowing GSU to credit amounts due to Cajun for Big Cajun 2, Unit 3 energy against amounts Cajun owed to GSU for River Bend. In December 1994, by means of a preliminary injunction, the District Court ordered Cajun to supply GSU with its share of energy from Big Cajun 2, Unit 3 and ordered GSU to make payments for its share of Big Cajun 2, Unit 3 expenses to the registry of the District Court. In October 1995, the Fifth Circuit affirmed the District Court's preliminary injunction. As of December 31, 1995, \$38 million had been paid by

GSU into the registry of the District Court.

On December 21, 1994, Cajun filed a petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Middle District of Louisiana seeking bankruptcy relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Cajun's bankruptcy could have a material adverse effect on GSU. However, GSU is taking appropriate steps to protect its interests and its claims against Cajun arising from the co-ownership in River Bend and Big Cajun 2, Unit 3. On December 31, 1994, the District Court issued an order lifting an automatic stay as to certain proceedings, with the result that the preliminary injunction granted by the Court in December 1994 remains in effect. Cajun filed a Notice of Appeal on January 18, 1995, to the Fifth Circuit seeking a reversal of the District Court's grant of the preliminary injunction. No hearing date has been set on Cajun's appeal.

In the bankruptcy proceedings, Cajun filed on January 10, 1995, a motion to reject the Operating Agreement as a burdensome executory contract. GSU responded on January 10, 1995, with a memorandum opposing Cajun's motion. Should the court grant Cajun's motion to reject the Operating Agreement, Cajun would be relieved of its financial obligations under the contract, while GSU would likely have a substantial damage claim arising from any such rejection. Although GSU believes that Cajun's motion to reject the Operating Agreement is without merit, it is not possible to predict the outcome or ultimate impact of these proceedings.

The cumulative cost (excluding nuclear fuel) to GSU resulting from Cajun's failure to pay its full share of River Bend-related costs, reduced by the proceeds from the sale by GSU of Cajun's share of River Bend power and payments for GSU's portion of expenses for Big Cajun 2, Unit 3 into the registry of the District Court, was \$31.1 million as of December 31, 1995. These amounts are reflected in long-term receivables with an offsetting reserve in other deferred credits. Cajun's bankruptcy may affect the ultimate collectibility of the amounts owed to GSU, including any amounts that may be awarded in litigation.

Cajun - Transmission Service (Entergy Corporation and GSU)

GSU and Cajun are parties to FERC proceedings relating to transmission service charge disputes. In April 1992, FERC issued a final order in these disputes. In May 1992, GSU and Cajun filed motions for rehearings on certain portions of the order, which are still pending at FERC. In June 1992, GSU filed a petition for review in the United States Court of Appeals regarding certain of the other issues decided by FERC. In August 1993, the United States Court of Appeals rendered an opinion reversing FERC's order regarding the portion of such disputes relating to the calculations of certain credits and equalization charges under GSU's service schedules with Cajun. The opinion remanded the issues to FERC for further proceedings consistent with its opinion. In February 1995, FERC eliminated an issue from the remand that GSU believes the Court of Appeals directed FERC to reconsider. In orders issued on August 3, 1995, and October 2, 1995, FERC affirmed an April 1995 ruling by an ALJ in the remanded portion of GSU's and Cajun's ongoing transmission service charge disputes before FERC. Both GSU and Cajun have petitioned for appeal. No hearing dates have been set in the appeals.

Under GSU's interpretation of the 1992 FERC order, as modified by its August 3, 1995, and October 2, 1995, orders, Cajun would owe GSU approximately \$64.9 million as of December 31, 1995. GSU further estimates that if it were to prevail in its May 1992 motion for rehearing and on certain other issues decided adversely to GSU in the February 1995, August 1995, and October 1995 FERC orders, which GSU has appealed, Cajun would owe GSU approximately \$143.5 million, as of December 31, 1995. If Cajun were to prevail in its May 1992 motion for rehearing to FERC, and if GSU were not to prevail in its May 1992 motion for rehearing to FERC, and if Cajun were to prevail in appealing FERC's August and October 1995 orders, GSU estimates it would owe Cajun approximately \$96.4 million as of December 31, 1995. The above amounts are exclusive of a \$7.3 million payment by Cajun on December 31, 1990, which the parties agreed to apply to the disputed transmission service charges. Pending FERC's ruling on the May 1992 motions for rehearing, GSU has continued to bill Cajun, utilizing the historical billing methodology, and has recorded underpaid transmission charges, including interest, in the amount of \$137.2 million as of December 31, 1995. This amount is reflected in long-term receivables, with an offsetting reserve in other deferred credits. Cajun's bankruptcy may affect GSU's collection of the above amounts. FERC has determined that the collection of the pre-petition debt of Cajun is an issue properly decided in the bankruptcy proceeding.

Capital Requirements and Financing (Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy)

Construction expenditures (excluding nuclear fuel) for the years 1996, 1997, and 1998 are estimated to total \$571 million, \$510 million, and \$507 million, respectively. The System will also require \$1.3 billion during the period 1996-1998 to meet long-term debt and preferred stock maturities and cash sinking fund requirements. The System plans to meet the above requirements primarily with internally generated funds and cash on hand, supplemented by the issuance of debt and preferred stock and the use of its outstanding credit facility. Certain System companies may also continue with the acquisition or refinancing of all or a portion of certain outstanding series of preferred stock and long-term debt. See Notes 5 and 6 for further information.

Grand Gulf 1-Related Agreements

Capital Funds Agreement (Entergy Corporation and System Energy)

Entergy Corporation has agreed to supply System Energy with sufficient capital to (1) maintain System Energy's equity capital at an amount equal to a minimum of 35% of its total capitalization (excluding short-term debt), and (2) permit the continued commercial operation of Grand

Gulf 1 and pay in full all indebtedness for borrowed money of System Energy when due under any circumstances. In addition, under supplements to the Capital Funds Agreement assigning System Energy's rights as security for specific debt of System Energy, Entergy Corporation has agreed to make cash capital contributions to enable System Energy to make payments on such debt when due.

System Energy has entered into various agreements with AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI whereby they are obligated to purchase their respective entitlements of capacity and energy from System Energy's 90% ownership and leasehold interest in Grand Gulf 1, and to make payments that, together with other available funds, are adequate to cover System Energy's operating expenses. System Energy would have to secure funds from other sources, including Entergy Corporation's obligations under the Capital Funds Agreement, to cover any shortfalls from payments received from AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI under these agreements.

Unit Power Sales Agreement (AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy)

System Energy has agreed to sell all of its 90% owned and leased share of capacity and energy from Grand Gulf 1 to AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI in accordance with specified percentages (AP&L-36%, LP&L-14%, MP&L-33% and NOPSI-17%) as ordered by FERC. Charges under this agreement are paid in consideration for the purchasing companies' respective entitlement to receive capacity and energy and are payable irrespective of the quantity of energy delivered so long as the unit remains in commercial operation. The agreement will remain in effect until terminated by the parties and approved by FERC, most likely upon Grand Gulf 1's retirement from service. Monthly obligations for payments, including the rate increase which was placed into effect in December 1995, subject to refund, under the agreement are approximately \$21 million, \$8 million, \$19 million, and \$10 million for AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI, respectively.

Availability Agreement (AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy)

AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI are individually obligated to make payments or subordinated advances to System Energy in accordance with stated percentages (AP&L-17.1%, LP&L-26.9%, MP&L-31.3%, and NOPSI- 24.7%) in amounts that when added to amounts received under the Unit Power Sales Agreement or otherwise, are adequate to cover all of System Energy's operating expenses as defined, including an amount sufficient to amortize Grand Gulf 2 over 27 years. (See Reallocation Agreement terms below.) System Energy has assigned its rights to payments and advances to certain creditors as security for certain obligations. Since commercial operation of Grand Gulf 1, payments under the Unit Power Sales Agreement have exceeded the amounts payable under the Availability Agreement. Accordingly, no payments have ever been required. If AP&L or MP&L fails to make its Unit Power Sales Agreement payments, and System Energy is unable to obtain funds from other sources, LP&L and NOPSI could become subject to claims or demands by System Energy or its creditors for payments or advances under the Availability Agreement (or the assignments thereof) equal to the difference between their required Unit Power Sales Agreement payments and their required Availability Agreement payments.

Reallocation Agreement (AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy)

System Energy and AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI entered into the Reallocation Agreement relating to the sale of capacity and energy from the Grand Gulf and the related costs, in which LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI agreed to assume all of AP&L's responsibilities and obligations with respect to the Grand Gulf under the Availability Agreement. FERC's decision allocating a portion of Grand Gulf 1 capacity and energy to AP&L supersedes the Reallocation Agreement as it relates to Grand Gulf

1. Responsibility for any Grand Gulf 2 amortization amounts has been individually allocated (LP&L-26.23%, MP&L-43.97%, and NOPSI-29.80%) under the terms of the Reallocation Agreement. However, the Reallocation Agreement does not affect AP&L's obligation to System Energy's lenders under the assignments referred to in the preceding paragraph. AP&L would be liable for its share of such amounts if LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI were unable to meet their contractual obligations. No payments of any amortization amounts will be required as long as amounts paid to System Energy under the Unit Power Sales Agreement, including other funds available to System Energy, exceed amounts required under the Availability Agreement, which is expected to be the case for the foreseeable future.

Reimbursement Agreement (System Energy)

In December 1988, System Energy entered into two entirely separate, but identical, arrangements for the sales and leasebacks of an approximate aggregate 11.5% ownership interest in Grand Gulf 1 (see Note 9). In connection with the equity funding of the sale and leaseback arrangements, letters of credit are required to be maintained to secure certain amounts payable for the benefit of the equity investors by System Energy under the leases. The current letters of credit are effective until January 15, 1997.

Under the provisions of a bank letter of credit reimbursement agreement, System Energy has agreed to a number of covenants relating to the maintenance of certain capitalization and fixed charge coverage ratios. System Energy agreed, during the term of the reimbursement agreement, to maintain its equity at not less than 33% of its adjusted capitalization (defined in the reimbursement agreement to include certain amounts not included in capitalization for financial statement purposes). In addition, System Energy must maintain, with respect to each fiscal quarter during the term of the reimbursement agreement, a ratio of adjusted net income to interest expense (calculated, in each case, as specified in the reimbursement agreement) of at least 1.60 times earnings. As of December 31, 1995, System Energy's equity approximated 34.8% of its adjusted capitalization, and its fixed charge coverage ratio was 2.11.

Fuel Purchase Agreements

(AP&L and MP&L)

AP&L has long-term contracts with mines in the State of Wyoming for the supply of low-sulfur coal for the White Bluff Steam Electric Generating Station and Independence (which is 25% owned by MP&L). These contracts, which expire in 2002 and 2011, provide for approximately 85% of AP&L's expected annual coal requirements. Additional requirements are satisfied by annual spot market purchases.

(GSU)

GSU has a contract for a supply of low-sulfur Wyoming coal for Nelson Unit 6, which should be sufficient to satisfy the fuel requirements at Nelson Unit 6 through 2004. Cajun has advised GSU that it has contracts that should provide an adequate supply of coal until 1999 for the operation of Big Cajun 2, Unit 3.

GSU has long-term gas contracts, which will satisfy approximately 75% of its annual requirements. Such contracts generally require GSU to purchase in the range of 40% of expected total gas needs. Additional gas requirements are satisfied under less expensive short-term contracts. GSU has a transportation service agreement with a gas supplier that provides flexible natural gas service to the Sabine and Lewis Creek generating stations. This service is provided by the supplier's pipeline and salt dome gas storage facility, which has a present capacity of 5.3 billion cubic feet of natural gas.

(LP&L)

In June 1992, LP&L agreed to a renegotiated 20-year natural gas supply contract. LP&L agreed to purchase natural gas in annual amounts equal to approximately one-third of its projected annual fuel requirements for certain generating units. Annual demand charges associated with this contract are estimated to be \$8.6 million through 1997, and a total of \$116.6 million for the years 1998 through 2012. LP&L recovers the cost of fuel consumed during the generation of electricity through its fuel adjustment clause.

Power Purchases/Sales Agreements

(GSU)

In 1988, GSU entered into a joint venture with a primary term of 20 years with Conoco, Inc., Citgo Petroleum Corporation, and Vista Chemical Company (Industrial Participants) whereby GSU's Nelson Units 1 and 2 were sold to a partnership (NISCO) consisting of the Industrial Participants and GSU. The Industrial Participants supply the fuel for the units, while GSU operates the units at the discretion of the Industrial Participants and purchases the electricity produced by the units. GSU is continuing to sell electricity to the Industrial Participants. For the years ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993, the purchases by GSU of electricity from the joint venture totaled \$59.7 million, \$58.3 million, and \$62.6 million, respectively.

(LP&L)

LP&L has a long-term agreement through the year 2031 to purchase energy generated by a hydroelectric facility. During 1995, 1994, and 1993, LP&L made payments under the contract of approximately \$55.7 million, \$56.3 million, and \$66.9 million, respectively. If the maximum percentage (94%) of the energy is made available to LP&L, current production projections would require estimated payments of approximately \$47 million in 1996, \$54 million in 1997, and a total of \$3.5 billion for the years 1998 through 2031. LP&L recovers the costs of purchased energy through its fuel adjustment clause.

System Fuels (AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy)

AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI have interests in System Fuels of 35%, 33%, 19%, and 13%, respectively. The parent companies of System Fuels agreed to make loans to System Fuels to finance its fuel procurement, delivery, and storage activities. As of December 31, 1995, AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI had, respectively, approximately \$11 million, \$14.2 million, \$5.5 million, and \$3.3 million in loans outstanding to System Fuels which mature in 2008.

In addition, System Fuels entered into a revolving credit agreement with a bank that provides \$45 million in borrowings to finance System Fuels' nuclear materials and services inventory. Should System Fuels default on its obligations under its credit agreement, AP&L, LP&L, and System Energy have agreed to purchase nuclear materials and services financed under the agreement.

Nuclear Insurance (Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy)

The Price-Anderson Act limits public liability for a single nuclear incident to approximately \$8.92 billion. The System has protection for this liability through a combination of private insurance (currently \$200 million each for AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy) and an industry

assessment program. Under the assessment program, the maximum payment requirement for each nuclear incident would be \$79.3 million per reactor, payable at a rate of \$10 million per licensed reactor per incident per year. The System has five licensed reactors. As a co-licensee of Grand Gulf 1 with System Energy, SMEPA would share 10% of this obligation. With respect to River Bend, any assessments pertaining to this program are allocated in accordance with the respective ownership interests of GSU and Cajun. In addition, the System participates in a private insurance program which provides coverage for worker tort claims filed for bodily injury caused by radiation exposure. The program provides for a maximum assessment of approximately \$16 million for the System's five nuclear units in the event losses exceed accumulated reserve funds.

AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy are also members of certain insurance programs that provide coverage for property damage, including decontamination and premature decommissioning expense, to members' nuclear generating plants. As of December 31, 1995, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy each was insured against such losses up to \$2.75 billion. In addition, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI are members of an insurance program that covers certain replacement power and business interruption costs incurred due to prolonged nuclear unit outages. Under the property damage and replacement power/business interruption insurance programs, these System companies could be subject to assessments if losses exceed the accumulated funds available to the insurers. As of December 31, 1995, the maximum amounts of such possible assessments were: AP&L - \$36.3 million; GSU - \$22.0 million; LP&L - \$33.2 million; MP&L - \$0.8 million; NOPSI - \$0.5 million; and System Energy - \$29.0 million. Under its agreement with System Energy, SMEPA would share in System Energy's obligation. Cajun shares approximately \$4.6 million of GSU's obligation.

The amount of property insurance presently carried by the System exceeds the NRC's minimum requirement for nuclear power plant licensees of \$1.06 billion per site. NRC regulations provide that the proceeds of this insurance must be used, first, to place and maintain the reactor in a safe and stable condition and, second, to complete decontamination operations. Only after proceeds are dedicated for such use and regulatory approval is secured would any remaining proceeds be made available for the benefit of plant owners or their creditors.

Spent Nuclear Fuel and Decommissioning Costs (Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy)

AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy provide for estimated future disposal costs for spent nuclear fuel in accordance with the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982. The affected System companies entered into contracts with the DOE, whereby the DOE will furnish disposal service at a cost of one mill per net KWh generated and sold after April 7, 1983, plus a onetime fee for generation prior to that date. AP&L, the only System company that generated electricity with nuclear fuel prior to that date, elected to pay the onetime fee plus accrued interest, no earlier than 1998, and has recorded a liability as of December 31, 1995, of approximately \$111 million for generation subsequent to 1983. The fees payable to the DOE may be adjusted in the future to assure full recovery. The System considers all costs incurred or to be incurred, except accrued interest, for the disposal of spent nuclear fuel to be proper components of nuclear fuel expense, and provisions to recover such costs have been or will be made in applications to regulatory authorities.

Delays have occurred in the DOE's program for the acceptance and disposal of spent nuclear fuel at a permanent repository. In a statement released February 17, 1993, the DOE asserted that it does not have a legal obligation to accept spent nuclear fuel without an operational repository for which it has not yet arranged. Currently, the DOE projects it will begin to accept spent fuel no earlier than 2015. In the meantime, all System companies are responsible for spent fuel storage. Current on-site spent fuel storage capacity at River Bend, Waterford 3, and Grand Gulf 1 is estimated to be sufficient until 2003, 2000, and 2004, respectively. Thereafter, the affected companies will provide additional storage. Current on-site spent fuel storage capacity at ANO is estimated to be sufficient until mid-1998, at which time an ANO storage facility using dry casks will begin operation. This facility is estimated to provide sufficient storage until 2000, with the capability of being expanded further as required. The initial cost of providing the additional on-site spent fuel storage capability required at ANO, River Bend, Waterford 3, and Grand Gulf 1 is expected to be approximately \$5 million to \$10 million per unit. In addition, about \$3 million to \$5 million per unit will be required every two to three years subsequent to 2000 for ANO and every four to five years subsequent to 2003, 2000, and 2004 for River Bend, Waterford 3, and Grand Gulf 1, respectively, until the DOE's repository begins accepting such units' spent fuel.

Entergy Operations and System Fuels joined in lawsuits against the DOE, seeking clarification of the DOE's responsibility to receive spent nuclear fuel beginning in 1998. The original suits, filed June 20, 1994, asked for a ruling stating that the Nuclear Waste Policy Act require the DOE to begin taking title to the spent fuel and to start removing it from nuclear power plants in 1998, a mandate for the DOE's nuclear waste management program to begin accepting fuel in 1998 and court monitoring of the program, and the potential for escrow of payments to a nuclear waste fund instead of directly to the DOE.

Total decommissioning costs at December 31, 1995, for the System nuclear power plants, excluding co-owner shares, have been estimated as follows:

	Total Estimated Decommissioning Costs (In Millions)
ANO 1 and ANO 2 (based on a 1994 interim update to the 1992 cost study)	\$806.3
River Bend (based on a 1991 cost study reflecting 1990 dollars)	267.8
Waterford 3 (based on a 1994 updated study in 1993 dollars)	320.1
Grand Gulf 1 (based on a 1994 cost study using 1993 dollars)	365.9

	\$1,760.1
	=====

AP&L and LP&L are authorized to recover in rates amounts that, when added to estimated investment income, should be sufficient to meet the above estimated decommissioning costs for ANO and Waterford 3, respectively. In the Texas retail jurisdiction, GSU is recovering in rates decommissioning costs (based on the 1991 cost study) that, with adjustments, total \$204.9 million. In the Louisiana retail jurisdiction, GSU is currently recovering in rates decommissioning costs (based on a 1985 cost study) which total \$141 million. GSU included decommissioning costs (based on the 1991 study) in the LPSC rate review filed in May 1995 which has not yet been concluded. System Energy was previously recovering in rates amounts sufficient to fund \$198 million (in 1989 dollars) of its decommissioning costs. System Energy included decommissioning costs (based on the 1994 study) in its rate increase filing with FERC. Rates in this proceeding were placed into effect in December 1995, subject to refund. AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy periodically review and update estimated decommissioning costs. Although the System is presently underrecovering based on the above estimates, applications are periodically made to the appropriate regulatory authorities to reflect in rates any future change in projected decommissioning costs. The amounts recovered in rates are deposited in trust funds and reported at market value as quoted on nationally traded markets. These trust fund assets largely offset the accumulated decommissioning liability that is recorded as accumulated depreciation for AP&L, GSU, and LP&L, and as other deferred credits for System Energy.

The cumulative liabilities and actual decommissioning expenses recorded in 1995 by the System companies were as follows:

Cumulative 1995 1995 Cumulative Liabilities as of Trust Decommissioning Liabilities as of

1995	December 31, 1994	Earnings	Expenses	December 31,
	(In Millions)			
ANO 1 and ANO 2	\$137.4	\$13.9	\$17.7	\$169.0
River Bend	22.2	1.4	8.1	31.7
Waterford 3	28.2	1.7	7.5	37.4
Grand Gulf 1	31.9	2.1	5.4	39.4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	\$219.7	\$19.1	\$38.7	\$277.5
	=====	=====	=====	=====

In 1994 and 1993, ANO's decommissioning expense was \$12.2 million and \$11.0 million, respectively; River Bend's decommissioning expense was \$3.0 million, respectively; Waterford 3's decommissioning expense was \$4.8 million and \$4.0 million, respectively; and Grand Gulf 1's decommissioning expense was \$5.2 million and \$4.9 million, respectively. The actual decommissioning costs may vary from the estimates because of regulatory requirements, changes in technology, and increased costs of labor, materials, and equipment. Management believes that actual decommissioning costs are likely to be higher than the estimated amounts presented above.

The staff of the SEC has questioned certain of the financial accounting practices of the electric utility industry regarding the recognition, measurement, and classification of decommissioning costs for nuclear generating stations in the financial statements of electric utilities. In response to these questions, the FASB has been reviewing the accounting for decommissioning and has expanded the scope of its review to include liabilities related to the closure and removal of all long-lived assets. An exposure draft of the proposed SFAS was issued in February 1996 would be effective in 1997. The proposed SFAS would require measurement of the liability for closure and removal of long-lived assets (including decommissioning) based on discounted future cash flows. Those future cash flows should be determined by estimating current costs and adjusting for inflation, efficiencies that may be gained from experience with similar activities, and consideration of reasonable future

advances in technology. It also would require that changes in the decommissioning/closure cost liability resulting from changes in assumptions should be recognized with a corresponding adjustment to the plant asset, and depreciation should be revised prospectively. The proposed SFAS stated that the initial recognition of the decommissioning/closure cost liability would result in an asset that should be presented with other plant costs on the financial statements because the cost of decommissioning/closing the plant is recognized as part of the total cost of the plant asset. In addition there would be a regulatory asset recognized on the financial statements to the extent the initial decommissioning/closure liability has increased due to the passage of time, and such costs are probable of future recovery.

If current electric utility industry accounting practices with respect to nuclear decommissioning and other closure costs are changed, annual provisions for such costs could increase, the estimated cost for decommissioning/closure could be recorded as a liability rather than as accumulated depreciation, and trust fund income from decommissioning trusts could be reported as investment income rather than as a reduction to decommissioning expense.

The EPAct has a provision that assesses domestic nuclear utilities with fees for the decontamination and decommissioning of the DOE's past uranium enrichment operations. The decontamination and decommissioning assessments will be used to set up a fund into which contributions from utilities and the federal government will be placed. AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy's annual assessments, which will be adjusted annually for inflation, are approximately \$3.4 million, \$0.9 million, \$1.3 million, and \$1.4 million (in 1995 dollars), respectively, for approximately 15 years. At December 31, 1995, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy had recorded liabilities of \$35.3 million, \$6.0 million, \$13.2 million, and \$12.8 million, respectively, for decontamination and decommissioning fees in other current liabilities and other noncurrent liabilities, and these liabilities were offset in the consolidated financial statements by regulatory assets. FERC requires that utilities treat these assessments as costs of fuel as they are amortized and are recovered through rates in the same manner as other fuel costs.

ANO Matters (Entergy Corporation and AP&L)

Cracks in steam generator tubes at ANO 2 were discovered and repaired during an outage in March 1992. Further inspections and repairs were conducted at subsequent refueling and mid-cycle outages, including the most recent refueling outage in October 1995. Beginning in January 1995, ANO 2's output was reduced 15 megawatts or 1.6% due to secondary side fouling, tube plugging, and reduction of primary temperature. During the October 1995 inspection, additional cracks in the tubes were discovered. The unit may be approaching the limit for the number of steam generator tubes that can be plugged with the unit in operation. If the currently established limit is reached, Entergy Operations could be required during future outages to insert sleeves in some of the steam generator tubes that were previously plugged. Entergy Operations is monitoring the development of the cracks and assessing various options for the repair or the replacement of ANO 2's steam generators. Certain of these options could, in the future, require significant capital expenditures and result in additional outages. However, a decision as to the repair or replacement of ANO 2's steam generators is not expected prior to 1997. Entergy Operations periodically meets with the NRC to discuss the results of inspections of the generator tubes, as well as the timing of future inspections.

Environmental Issues

(AP&L)

In May 1995, AP&L was named as a defendant in a suit by Reynolds Metals Company (Reynolds), seeking to recover a share of the costs associated with the clean-up of hazardous substances at a site south of Arkadelphia, Arkansas. Reynolds alleges that it has spent \$11.2 million to clean-up the site, and that the site was contaminated in part with PCBs for which AP&L bears some responsibility. AP&L, voluntarily, at its expense, has already completed remediation at a nearby substation site and believes that it has no liability for contamination at the site that is subject to the Reynolds suit and is contesting the lawsuit. Regardless of the outcome, AP&L does not believe this matter would have a materially adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations.

(GSU)

GSU has been designated as a PRP for the clean-up of certain hazardous waste disposal sites. GSU is currently negotiating with the EPA and state authorities regarding the clean-up of these sites. Several class action and other suits have been filed in state and federal courts seeking relief from GSU and others for damages caused by the disposal of hazardous waste and for asbestos-related disease allegedly resulting from exposure on GSU premises. While the amounts at issue in the clean-up efforts and suits may be substantial, GSU believes that its results of operations and financial condition will not be materially adversely affected by the outcome of the suits. Through December 31, 1995, \$7.9 million has been expended on the clean-up. As of December 31, 1995, a remaining recorded liability of \$21.7 million existed relating to the clean-up of five sites at which GSU has been designated a PRP.

(LP&L)

During 1993, the LDEQ issued new rules for solid waste regulation, including regulation of wastewater impoundments. LP&L has determined that certain of its power plant wastewater impoundments were affected by these regulations and has chosen to upgrade or close them. As a result, a remaining recorded liability in the amount of \$10.6 million existed at December 31, 1995, for wastewater upgrades and closures to be completed in 1996. Cumulative expenditures relating to the upgrades and closures of wastewater impoundments were \$5.6 million as of

December 31, 1995.

City Franchise Ordinances (NOPSI)

NOPSI provides electric and gas service in the City of New Orleans pursuant to City franchise ordinances that state, among other things, that the City has a continuing option to purchase NOPSI's electric and gas utility properties.

NOTE 9. LEASES

General

As of December 31, 1995, the System had capital leases and noncancelable operating leases for equipment, buildings, vehicles, and fuel storage facilities (excluding nuclear fuel leases and the sale and leaseback transactions) with minimum lease payments as follows:

Year	Capital Leases		
	Entergy	AP&L (In Thousands)	GSU
1996	\$ 29,054	\$ 11,126	\$
12,475			
1997	24,653	8,293	
12,475			
1998	24,634	8,293	
12,475			
1999	24,610	8,294	
12,475			
2000	22,872	6,987	
12,049			
Years thereafter	113,421	41,708	
69,331			
Minimum lease payments	239,244	84,701	
131,280			
Less: Amount			
representing interest	87,284	34,360	
47,921			
-----	-----	-----	
Present value of net			
minimum lease payments	\$ 151,960	\$ 50,341	\$
83,359			
=====	=====	=====	

Operating Leases

Year	Entergy	AP&L (In Thousands)	GSU	LP&L
1996	\$ 76,866	\$ 36,498	\$ 12,871	\$ 4,820
1997	66,009	29,460	12,566	4,369
1998	65,914	29,047	16,499	4,256
1999	63,198	27,304	16,499	3,990
2000	59,760	25,722	16,326	3,846
Years thereafter	214,577	71,272	60,518	1,905
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Minimum lease payments	\$ 546,324	\$ 219,303	\$ 135,279	\$ 23,186
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

Rental expense for the System leases (excluding nuclear fuel leases and the sale and leaseback transactions) amounted to approximately \$67.8 million, \$64.8 million, and \$62.7 million in 1995, 1994, and 1993, respectively. These amounts include \$27.7 million, \$26.4 million, and \$23.2 million, respectively, for AP&L, \$15.1 million, \$15.3 million, and \$31.9 million, respectively for GSU, and \$14.8 million, \$12.1 million, and \$6.6 million, respectively, for LP&L.

Nuclear Fuel Leases

AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy each has arrangements to lease nuclear fuel in an aggregate amount up to \$395 million as of December 31, 1995. The lessors finance the acquisition and ownership of nuclear fuel through credit agreements and the issuance of notes. These agreements are subject to annual renewal with, in LP&L's and GSU's case, the consent of the lenders. The credit agreements for AP&L, GSU, LP&L, and System Energy have been extended and now have termination dates of December 1998, December 1998, January 1999, and February 1999, respectively. The debt securities issued pursuant to these fuel lease arrangements have varying maturities through January 31, 1999. It is expected that the credit agreements will be extended or alternative financing will be secured by each lessor upon the maturity of the current arrangements. If extensions or alternative financing cannot be arranged, the lessee in each case must purchase sufficient nuclear fuel to allow the lessor to retire such borrowings.

Lease payments are based on nuclear fuel use. Nuclear fuel lease expense charged to operations by the System in 1995, 1994, and 1993 was \$153.5 million (including interest of \$22.1 million), \$163.4 million (including interest of \$27.3 million), and \$145.8 million (excluding GSU and including interest of \$20.5 million), respectively. Specifically, in 1995, 1994, and 1993, AP&L's expense was \$46.8 million, \$56.2 million, and \$69.7 million (including interest of \$6.7 million, \$7.5 million, and \$10.6 million), respectively; GSU's expense was \$41.4 million, \$37.2 million, and \$43.6 million (including interest of \$6.0 million, \$8.7 million, and \$10.2 million), respectively; LP&L's expense was \$30.8 million, \$32.2 million, and \$39.9 million (including interest of \$3.7 million, \$4.3 million, and \$4.9 million), respectively; System Energy's expense was \$34.5 million, \$37.8 million, and \$36.2 million (including interest of \$5.7 million, \$6.8 million, and \$5.1 million), respectively.

Sale and Leaseback Transactions

Waterford 3 Lease Obligations (LP&L)

On September 28, 1989, LP&L entered into three transactions for the sale (for an aggregate cash consideration of \$353.6 million) and leaseback of three undivided portions of its 100% ownership interest in Waterford 3. The three undivided interests in Waterford 3 sold and leased back exclude certain transmission, pollution control, and other facilities that are part of Waterford 3. The interests sold and leased back are equivalent on an aggregate cost basis to approximately a 9.3% undivided interest in Waterford 3. LP&L is leasing back the interests on a net lease basis over an approximate 28-year basic lease term. LP&L has options to terminate the lease and to repurchase the interests in Waterford 3 at certain intervals during the basic lease term. Further, at the end of the basic lease term, LP&L has an option to renew the lease or to repurchase the undivided interests in Waterford 3.

Interests were acquired from LP&L with funds obtained from the issuance and sale by the purchasers of intermediate-term and long-term

secured lease obligation bonds. The lease payments to be made by LP&L will be sufficient to service such debt.

LP&L did not exercise its option to repurchase the undivided interests in Waterford 3 in September 1994. As a result, LP&L was required to provide collateral for the equity portion of certain amounts payable by LP&L under the leases. Such collateral was in the form of a new series of non interest-bearing first mortgage bonds in the aggregate principal amount of \$208.2 million issued by LP&L in September 1994.

Upon the occurrence of certain adverse events (including lease events of default, events of loss, deemed loss events or certain adverse "Financial Events" with respect to LP&L), LP&L may be obligated to pay amounts sufficient to permit the termination of the lease transactions and may be required to assume the outstanding indebtedness issued to finance the acquisition of the undivided interests in Waterford 3. "Financial Events" include, among other things, failure by LP&L, following the expiration of any applicable grace or cure periods, to maintain (1) as of the end of any fiscal quarter, total equity capital (including preferred stock) at least equal to 30% of adjusted capitalization, or (2) in respect of the 12-month period ending on the last day of any fiscal quarter, a fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.50. As of December 31, 1995, LP&L's total equity capital (including preferred stock) was 48.7% of adjusted capitalization and its fixed charge coverage ratio was 3.29.

As of December 31, 1995, LP&L had future minimum lease payments (reflecting an overall implicit rate of 8.76%) in connection with the Waterford 3 sale and leaseback transactions as follows (in thousands):

1996	\$
35,165	
1997	
39,805	
1998	
41,447	
1999	
50,530	
2000	
47,510	
Years thereafter	
628,704	

Total	
843,161	
Less: Amount representing interest	
489,561	

Present value of net minimum lease payments	\$
353,600	
=====	

Grand Gulf 1 Lease Obligations (System Energy)

On December 28, 1988, System Energy entered into two arrangements for the sale and leaseback of an aggregate 11.5% undivided ownership interest in Grand Gulf 1 for an aggregate cash consideration of \$500 million. System Energy is leasing back the undivided interest on a net lease basis over a 26 1/2-year basic lease term. System Energy has options to terminate the leases and to repurchase the undivided interest in Grand Gulf 1 at certain intervals during the basic lease term. Further, at the end of the basic lease term, System Energy has an option to renew the leases or to repurchase the undivided interest in Grand Gulf 1. See Note 8 with respect to certain other terms of the transactions.

In accordance with SFAS 98, "Accounting for Leases," due to "continuing involvement" by System Energy, the sale and leaseback arrangements of the undivided portions of Grand Gulf 1, as described above, are required to be reflected for financial reporting purposes as financing transactions in System Energy's financial statements. The amounts charged to expense for financial reporting purposes include the interest portion of the lease obligations and depreciation of the plant. However, operating revenues include the recovery of the lease payments because the transactions are accounted for as sales and leasebacks for rate-making purposes. The total of interest and depreciation expense exceeds the corresponding revenues realized during the early part of the lease term. Consistent with a recommendation contained in a FERC audit report, System Energy recorded as a deferred asset the difference between the recovery of the lease payments and the amounts expensed for interest and depreciation and is recording such difference as a deferred asset on an ongoing basis. The amount of this deferred asset was \$85.8 million and \$78.5 million as of December 31, 1995, and 1994, respectively.

As of December 31, 1995, System Energy had future minimum lease payments (reflecting an implicit rate of 7.02% after the above refinancing) as follows (in thousands):

1996	\$	42,753
1997		42,753
1998		42,753
1999		42,753
2000		42,753
Years thereafter		760,067

Total		973,832
Less: Amount representing interest		473,832

Present value of net minimum lease payments	\$	500,000
		=====

NOTE 10. POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS (Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy)

Pension Plans

The System companies have various postretirement benefit plans covering substantially all of their employees. The pension plans are noncontributory and provide pension benefits that are based on employees' credited service and compensation during the final years before retirement. Entergy Corporation and its subsidiaries fund pension costs in accordance with contribution guidelines established by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The assets of the plans include common and preferred stocks, fixed income securities, interest in a money market fund, and insurance contracts. Prior to January 1, 1995, all System Companies' non-bargaining employees were generally included in a plan sponsored by the System company where they were employed. However, NOPSI was a participating employer in a plan sponsored by LP&L. Effective January 1, 1995, these employees became participants in a new plan with provisions substantially identical to their previous plan.

Total 1995, 1994, and 1993 pension cost of Entergy Corporation and its subsidiaries (excluding GSU for 1993 for the Entergy Corporation total), including amounts capitalized, included the following components (in thousands):

1995	Entergy	AP&L	GSU	LP&L	MP&L	NOPSI	System Energy
Service cost - benefits earned during the period	\$ 29,282	\$ 7,786	\$ 6,686	\$ 4,143	\$ 2,152	\$ 1,158	\$ 2,260
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	80,794	24,372	21,098	15,111	9,240	2,680	2,230
Actual return on plan assets	(261,864)	(71,807)	(82,624)	(53,348)	(30,443)	(1,614)	(8,827)
Net amortization and deferral	178,345	47,766	53,921	34,902	20,081	64	5,510
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net pension cost	\$ 26,557	\$ 8,117	\$ (919)	\$ 808	\$ 1,030	\$ 2,288	\$ 1,173
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

1994							System
	Entergy	AP&L	GSU	LP&L	MP&L	NOPSI	Energy
Service cost - benefits earned during the period	\$ 35,712	\$ 8,854	\$ 9,497	\$ 5,441	\$ 2,484	\$ 1,502	\$ 2,619
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	77,943	22,651	21,335	14,473	8,648	2,740	2,148
Actual return on plan assets	10,381	365	6,785	2,024	1,507	-	498
Net amortization and deferral	(96,893)	(24,474)	(39,405)	(19,981)	(11,843)	(970)	(3,535)
Other	17,963	-	17,963	-	-	-	-
Net pension cost	\$ 45,106	\$ 7,396	\$ 16,175	\$ 1,957	\$ 796	\$ 3,272	\$ 1,730

1993							System
	Entergy	AP&L	GSU	LP&L	MP&L	NOPSI	Energy
Service cost - benefits earned during the period	\$ 21,760	\$ 7,940	\$ 10,417	\$ 4,900	\$ 2,409	\$ 1,387	\$ 2,045
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	53,371	21,744	17,643	14,684	8,583	2,422	1,709
Actual return on plan assets	(81,708)	(31,984)	(43,400)	(26,533)	(15,053)	-	(3,828)
Net amortization and deferral	27,261	10,531	14,863	8,712	5,325	(49)	972
Net pension cost	\$ 20,684	\$ 8,231	\$ (477)	\$ 1,763	\$ 1,264	\$ 3,760	\$ 898

The funded status of Entergy's various pension plans as of December 31, 1995 and 1994 was (in thousands):

1995							System
	Entergy	AP&L	GSU	LP&L	MP&L	NOPSI	Energy
Actuarial present value of accumulated pension plan obligation:							
Vested	\$989,509	\$298,358	\$256,173	\$192,697	\$116,851	\$44,324	\$23,692
Nonvested	4,555	1,342	792	705	147	29	640
Accumulated benefit obligation	994,064	299,700	256,965	193,402	116,998	44,353	24,332
Plan assets at fair value	1,224,594	337,929	374,010	245,521	140,513	18,658	41,951
Projected benefit obligation	1,156,831	341,946	289,666	218,715	129,180	51,699	36,491
Plan assets in excess of (less than) projected benefit obligation	67,763	(4,017)	84,344	26,806	11,333	(33,041)	5,460
Unrecognized prior service cost	35,946	15,042	12,021	6,469	4,883	2,224	1,180
Unrecognized transition asset	(46,856)	(14,015)	(11,937)	(16,845)	(7,502)	(963)	(5,887)
Unrecognized net loss (gain)	(94,618)	(23,545)	(135,303)	(28,060)	(13,832)	22,751	(3,074)
Accrued pension asset (liability)	(\$37,765)	(\$26,535)	(\$50,875)	(\$11,630)	(\$5,118)	(\$9,029)	(\$2,321)

1994	Entergy	AP&L	GSU	LP&L	MP&L	NOPSI	System Energy
Actuarial present value of accumulated pension plan obligation:							
Vested	\$851,194	\$238,769	\$273,509	\$154,927	\$94,978	\$26,291	\$13,305
Nonvested	6,479	1,797	1,502	795	299	41	986
Accumulated benefit obligation	857,673	240,566	275,011	155,722	95,277	26,332	14,291
Plan assets at fair value	1,014,430	283,437	313,035	198,724	117,853	18,180	33,285
Projected benefit obligation	999,153	283,256	290,802	178,895	109,250	33,738	27,239
Plan assets in excess of (less than) projected benefit obligation	15,277	181	22,233	19,829	8,603	(15,558)	6,046
Unrecognized prior service cost	25,501	6,568	13,720	4,881	4,198	2,291	1,242
Unrecognized transition asset	(54,209)	(16,350)	(14,324)	(19,653)	(8,752)	(1,159)	(6,484)
Unrecognized net loss (gain)	(9,332)	(12,453)	(73,423)	(16,677)	(8,138)	5,779	(1,952)
Other	-	-	-	(1,584)	-	1,584	-
Accrued pension asset (liability)	(\$22,763)	(\$22,054)	(\$51,794)	(\$13,204)	(\$4,089)	(\$7,063)	(\$1,148)

The significant actuarial assumptions used in computing the information above for 1995, 1994, and 1993 (only 1995 and 1994 with respect to GSU being included in the Entergy Corporation total), were as follows: weighted average discount rate, 7.5% for 1995, 8.5% for 1994, and 7.5% for 1993, weighted average rate of increase in future compensation levels, 4.6% for 1995, 5.1% for 1994 and 5.6% (5% for GSU) for 1993; and expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, 8.5%. Transition assets of the System are being amortized over the greater of the remaining service period of active participants or 15 years.

In 1994, GSU recorded an \$18.0 million charge related to early retirement programs in connection with the Merger, of which \$15.2 million was expensed.

Other Postretirement Benefits

The System companies also provide certain health care and life insurance benefits for retired employees. Substantially all employees may become eligible for these benefits if they reach retirement age while still working for the System companies.

Effective January 1, 1993, Entergy adopted SFAS 106. The new standard required a change from a cash method to an accrual method of accounting for postretirement benefits other than pensions. The Operating Companies, other than MP&L and NOPSI, continue to fund these benefits on a pay-as-you-go basis. During 1994, pursuant to regulatory directives, MP&L and NOPSI began to fund their postretirement benefit obligation. These assets are invested in a money market fund. At January 1, 1993, the actuarially determined accumulated postretirement benefit obligation (APBO) earned by retirees and active employees was estimated to be approximately \$241.4 million and \$128 million for Entergy (other than GSU) and for GSU, respectively. Such obligations are being amortized over a 20-year period beginning in 1993.

The Operating Companies have sought approval, in their respective regulatory jurisdictions, to implement the appropriate accounting requirements related to SFAS 106 for ratemaking purposes. AP&L has received an order permitting deferral, as a regulatory asset, of the difference between its annual cash expenditures for postretirement benefits other than pensions and the SFAS 106 accrual, for up to a five-year period commencing January 1, 1993. MP&L is expensing its SFAS 106 costs, which are reflected in rates pursuant to an order from the MPSC in connection with MP&L's formulary incentive-rate plan (see Note 2). The LPSC ordered GSU and LP&L to continue the use of the pay-as-you-go method for ratemaking purposes for postretirement benefits other than pensions, but the LPSC retains the flexibility to examine individual companies' accounting for postretirement benefits to determine if special exceptions to this order are warranted. NOPSI is expensing its SFAS 106 costs. Pursuant to resolutions adopted in November 1993 by the Council related to the Merger, NOPSI's SFAS 106 expenses through October 31, 1996, will be allowed by the Council for purposes of evaluating the appropriateness of NOPSI's rates. Pursuant to the PUCT's May 26, 1995, amended order, GSU is currently collecting its SFAS 106 costs in rates.

Total 1995, 1994 and 1993 postretirement benefit cost of Entergy Corporation and its subsidiaries (excluding GSU for the Entergy Corporation total for 1993), including amounts capitalized and deferred, included the following components (in thousands):

1995						
	Entergy	AP&L	GSU	LP&L	MP&L	NOPSI
Service cost - benefits earned during the period	\$ 10,797	\$ 2,777	\$ 1,864	\$ 2,047	\$ 909	\$ 650
Interest cost on APBO	25,629	5,398	8,526	4,215	1,969	3,258
Actual return on plan assets	(759)	-	-	-	(245)	(514)
Net amortization and deferral	11,023	2,702	4,477	2,121	988	1,876
Net postretirement benefit cost	\$ 46,690	\$ 10,877	\$ 14,867	\$ 8,383	\$ 3,621	\$ 5,270

1994						
	Entergy	AP&L	GSU	LP&L	MP&L	NOPSI
Service cost - benefits earned during the period	\$ 11,863	\$ 3,080	\$ 2,169	\$ 2,433	\$ 876	\$ 813
Interest cost on APBO	23,312	5,510	6,449	4,422	1,833	3,502
Actual return on plan assets	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net amortization and deferral	9,891	3,833	2,832	3,066	1,122	2,569
Net postretirement benefit cost	\$ 45,066	\$ 12,423	\$ 11,450	\$ 9,921	\$ 3,831	\$ 6,884

1993						
	Entergy	AP&L	GSU	LP&L	MP&L	NOPSI
Service cost - benefits earned during the period	\$ 7,751	\$ 2,366	\$ 5,467	\$ 2,083	\$ 812	\$ 822
Interest cost on APBO	19,394	6,427	9,976	4,749	2,400	4,248
Actual return on plan assets	(71)	(71)	-	-	-	-
Net amortization and deferral	12,071	3,954	6,402	2,971	1,502	2,678
Net postretirement benefit cost	\$ 39,145	\$ 12,676	\$ 21,845	\$ 9,803	\$ 4,714	\$ 7,748

The funded status of Entergy's postretirement plans as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, was (in thousands):

1995						
	Entergy	AP&L	GSU	LP&L	MP&L	NOPSI
Actuarial present value of accumulated postretirement benefit obligation:						
Retirees	\$244,192	\$46,633	\$101,698	\$36,262	\$15,957	\$33,652
Other fully eligible participants	48,393	9,161	17,334	7,614	4,619	3,215
Other active participants	71,464	16,745	15,980	13,288	5,692	4,306
Accumulated benefit obligation	364,049	72,539	135,012	57,164	26,268	41,173
Plan assets at fair value	15,494	-	-	-	5,151	10,343
Plan assets less than APBO	(348,555)	(72,539)	(135,012)	(57,164)	(21,117)	(30,830)
Unrecognized transition obligation	204,348	67,206	107,975	50,517	25,533	45,539
Unrecognized net loss (gain)/other	(1,639)	(16,757)	(617)	(8,556)	(6,179)	(13,835)
Accrued postretirement benefit liability	(\$145,846)	(\$22,090)	(\$27,654)	(\$15,203)	(\$1,763)	\$874

1994	Entergy	AP&L	GSU	LP&L	MP&L	NOPSI
Actuarial present value of accumulated postretirement benefit obligation:						
Retirees	\$186,570	\$49,291	\$39,695	\$38,401	\$15,531	\$38,059
Other fully eligible participants	58,330	9,876	26,069	8,550	4,293	3,351
Other active participants	52,324	12,204	13,445	9,695	3,561	3,551
Accumulated benefit obligation	297,224	71,371	79,209	56,646	23,385	44,961
Plan assets at fair value	9,733	-	-	-	2,949	6,784
Plan assets less than APBO	(287,491)	(71,371)	(79,209)	(56,646)	(20,436)	(38,177)
Unrecognized transition obligation	217,275	71,160	115,232	53,488	27,035	48,217
Unrecognized net loss (gain)	(58,178)	(16,272)	(57,410)	(8,253)	(8,636)	(10,057)
Accrued postretirement benefit liability	(\$128,394)	(\$16,483)	(\$21,387)	(\$11,411)	(\$2,037)	(\$17)

The assumed health care cost trend rate used in measuring the APBO of the System companies was 8.4% for 1996, gradually decreasing each successive year until it reaches 5.0% in 2005. A one percentage-point increase in the assumed health care cost trend rate for each year would have increased the APBO of the System companies, as of December 31, 1995, by 11.3% (AP&L-11.8%, GSU-10.4%, LP&L-11.8%, MP&L-12.2% and NOPSI- 10.0%), and the sum of the service cost and interest cost by approximately 14.1% (AP&L-15.0%, GSU-12.8%, LP&L-14.4%, MP&L-14.4% and NOPSI-12.8%). The assumed discount rate and rate of increase in future compensation used in determining the APBO were 7.5% for 1995, 8.5% for 1994 and 7.5% for 1993, and 4.6% for 1995, 5.1% for 1994 and 5.5% (5% for GSU) for 1993, respectively. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets was 8.5% for 1995.

NOTE 11. RESTRUCTURING COSTS (Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI)

The restructuring programs announced by Entergy in 1994 and 1995 included anticipated reductions in the number of employees and the consolidation of offices and facilities. The programs are designed to reduce costs, improve operating efficiencies, and increase shareholder value in order to enable Entergy to become a low-cost producer. The balances as of December 31, 1994, and 1995, for restructuring liabilities associated with these programs are shown below by company along with the actual termination benefits paid under the programs.

of	Restructuring Liability as of	Additional	Payments	Restructuring Liability as
Company	December 31, 1994	1995 Charges	Made in 1995	December 31, 1995
	(In Millions)			
AP&L	\$12.2	\$16.2	(\$20.1)	\$8.3
GSU	6.5	13.1	(14.2)	\$5.4
LP&L	6.8	6.4	(11.0)	\$2.2
MP&L	6.2	2.9	(6.6)	\$2.5
NOPSI	3.4	0.2	(3.0)	\$0.6
Other	-	9.6	(4.4)	\$5.2
Total	\$35.1	\$48.4	(\$59.3)	\$24.2

The restructuring charges shown above primarily included employee severance costs related to the expected termination of approximately 2,750 employees in various groups. As of December 31, 1995, 2,100 employees had either been terminated or accepted voluntary separation packages under the restructuring plan.

Additionally, the System recorded \$24.3 million in 1994 (of which \$23.8 million was recorded by GSU) for remaining severance and augmented retirement benefits related to the Merger. Actual termination benefits paid under the program during 1995 amounted to \$21.6 million. During that same period, adjustments to the allocation of the total liability were made among the System companies. At December 31, 1995, the total remaining System liability for expected future Merger-related outlays was \$2.8 million, comprised principally of GSU's liability

of \$2.3 million.

NOTE 12. TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES (AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy)

The various Operating Companies purchase electricity from and/or sell electricity to other Operating Companies, System Energy, and Entergy Power (in the case of AP&L) under rate schedules filed with FERC. In addition, the Operating Companies and System Energy purchase fuel from System Fuels, receive technical, advisory, and administrative services from Entergy Services, and receive management and operating services from Entergy Operations.

As described in Note 1, all of System Energy's operating revenues consist of billings to AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI.

The tables below contain the various affiliate transactions among the Operating Companies and System Energy (in millions).

Intercompany Revenues

System Energy	AP&L	GSU	LP&L	MP&L	NOPSI	
1995	\$ 195.5	\$62.7	\$ 1.6	\$ 43.3	\$ 3.2	\$
605.6						
1994	\$ 232.6	\$44.4	\$ 1.0	\$ 45.8	\$ 2.1	\$
475.0						
1993	\$ 175.8	\$ -	\$ 4.8	\$ 40.7	\$ 2.5	\$
650.8						

Intercompany Operating Expenses

System Energy	AP&L (1)	GSU	LP&L	MP&L	NOPSI	
1995	\$ 316.0	\$ 266.5	\$ 335.5	\$ 262.6	\$ 164.4	\$
6.5						
1994	\$ 310.7	\$ 296.9	\$ 365.8	\$ 280.2	\$ 170.1	\$
10.5						
1993	\$ 323.2	\$ 25.5	\$ 322.0	\$ 360.5	\$ 176.3	\$
12.3						

(1) Includes \$31.0 million in 1995, \$25.7 million in 1994, and \$16.8 million in 1993 for power purchased from Entergy Power.

Operating Expenses Paid or Reimbursed to Entergy Operations

System		AP&L	GSU	LP&L	
Energy					
1995	\$	189.8	\$ 129.1	\$ 122.6	\$
116.9					
1994	\$	221.2	\$ 210.2	\$ 152.5	\$
179.6					
1993	\$	226.3	\$ -	\$ 118.9	\$
151.3					

In addition, certain materials and services required for fabrication of nuclear fuel are acquired and financed by System Fuels and then sold to System Energy as needed. Charges for these materials and services, which represent additions to nuclear fuel, amounted to approximately \$51.5 million in 1995, \$26.4 million in 1994, and \$32.8 million in 1993.

NOTE 13. ENTERGY CORPORATION-GSU MERGER

On December 31, 1993, Entergy Corporation and GSU consummated the Merger. GSU became a wholly owned subsidiary of Entergy Corporation and continues to operate as an electric utility corporation under the regulation of FERC, the SEC, the PUCT, and the LPSC. As consideration to GSU's shareholders, Entergy Corporation paid \$250 million and issued 56,695,724 shares of its common stock in exchange for the 114,055,065 outstanding shares of GSU common stock. In addition, \$33.5 million of transaction costs were capitalized in connection with the Merger. Note 1 describes the accounting for the acquisition adjustment recorded in connection with the Merger.

The pro forma combined revenues, net income, earnings per common share before extraordinary items, cumulative effect of accounting changes, and earnings per common share of Entergy Corporation presented below give effect to the Merger as if it had occurred at January 1, 1992. This unaudited pro forma information is not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that would have occurred had the Merger been consummated for the period for which it is being given effect.

	Years Ended December 31	
	1993	1992
	(In Thousands, Except Per Share	
Amounts)		
Revenues	\$6,286,999	\$5,850,973
Net income	\$ 595,211	\$ 521,783
Earnings per average common share before extraordinary items and cumulative effect of accounting changes	\$ 2.10	\$ 2.26
Earnings per average common share	\$ 2.57	\$ 2.24

NOTE 14. BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION

NOPSI supplies electric and natural gas services in the City. NOPSI's segment information follows:

	1995		1994		1993	
	Electric	Gas	Electric (In Thousands)	Gas	Electric	Gas
Operating revenues	\$394,394	\$80,276	\$360,430	\$87,357	\$423,830	\$90,992
Revenue from sales to unaffiliated customers (1)	\$391,977	\$80,276	\$358,369	\$87,357	\$421,343	\$90,992
Operating income before income taxes	\$ 61,092	\$ 9,638	\$ 23,976	\$ 9,387	\$ 72,572	\$11,412
Operating income	\$ 43,489	\$ 7,405	\$ 22,358	\$ 7,403	\$ 52,046	\$ 7,706
Net utility plant	\$204,407	\$65,236	\$209,901	\$67,875	\$211,776	\$63,803
Depreciation expense	\$ 15,858	\$ 3,290	\$ 15,743	\$ 3,310	\$ 14,308	\$ 2,976
Construction expenditures	\$ 21,729	\$ 6,107	\$ 16,997	\$ 5,780	\$ 19,774	\$ 5,039

(1) NOPSI's intersegment transactions are not material (less than 1% of sales to unaffiliated customers).

NOTE 15. SUBSEQUENT EVENT (UNAUDITED)

Acquisition of CitiPower (Entergy Corporation)

On January 5, 1996, Entergy Corporation finalized its acquisition of CitiPower, an electric distribution utility serving Melbourne, Australia. Entergy Corporation made an equity investment of \$294 million in CitiPower and the remainder of the total purchase price of approximately \$1.2 billion was made up of new CitiPower debt. CitiPower has 234,500 customers, the majority of which are commercial customers.

NOTE 16. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

(Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy)

The business of the System is subject to seasonal fluctuations with the peak period occurring during the third quarter. Operating results for the four quarters of 1995 and 1994 were:

Operating Revenues

	Entergy	AP&L	GSU(a) (In Thousands)	LP&L	MP&L	NOPSI(d)	System Energy(e)
1995:							
First Quarter	\$1,333,768	\$339,596	\$399,346	\$353,462	\$193,579	\$108,886	\$151,664
Second Quarter	1,555,381	412,164	479,609	406,576	236,120	112,666	158,632
Third Quarter	1,959,428	530,448	540,287	529,457	259,223	146,720	144,758
Fourth Quarter	1,425,851	366,025	442,732	385,380	200,921	106,398	150,585
1994:							
First Quarter	1,404,779	371,091	429,658	384,296	185,687	117,088	147,847
Second Quarter	1,587,558	414,901	456,855	442,113	230,580	124,402	151,219
Third Quarter	1,829,214	470,770	545,531	502,926	257,496	133,574	150,949
Fourth Quarter	1,155,570	333,980	365,321	381,080	186,082	72,723	24,948

Operating Income (Loss)

	Entergy	AP&L(b)(c)	GSU(a)(b)	LP&L(c)	MP&L(c)	NOPSI(c)(d)	System Energy(e)
	(In Thousands)						
1995:							
First Quarter	\$234,560	\$29,682	\$47,371	\$69,317	\$22,270	\$10,863	\$60,072
Second Quarter	333,825	67,367	88,778	85,970	32,792	12,500	61,290
Third Quarter	445,975	94,076	113,531	125,168	41,789	21,085	57,663
Fourth Quarter	205,378	26,806	54,749	51,814	19,821	6,446	57,270
1994:							
First Quarter	253,870	44,674	58,561	68,668	18,715	6,459	64,342
Second Quarter	325,935	59,581	83,357	80,686	33,828	17,880	65,779
Third Quarter	336,611	56,163	64,853	99,824	23,675	15,941	65,869
Fourth Quarter	152,325	56,215	6,880	93,942	19,539	(10,519)	(24,223)

Net Income (Loss)

	Entergy(f)	AP&L(b)(c)(f)	GSU(a)(b)	LP&L(c)	MP&L(c)	NOPSI(c)(d)	System Energy(e)
	(In Thousands)						
1995:							
First Quarter	\$90,392	\$10,714	\$3,635	\$36,062	\$9,774	\$6,245	\$22,565
Second Quarter	162,703	47,844	43,353	53,082	20,578	8,688	23,802
Third Quarter	263,118	73,963	68,112	92,819	29,228	16,862	23,366
Fourth Quarter	3,767	39,559	7,819	19,574	9,087	2,591	23,306
1994:							
First Quarter	70,735	26,388	11,043	37,096	6,249	1,813	21,549
Second Quarter	144,337	41,763	33,084	48,353	21,653	13,812	25,212
Third Quarter	143,198	36,630	(31,662)	67,029	10,856	11,933	24,934
Fourth Quarter	(16,429)	37,482	(95,220)	61,361	10,021	(14,347)	(66,288)

(a) See Note 2 for information regarding the recording of a reserve for rate refund in December 1994.

(b) See Note 11 for information regarding the recording of certain restructuring costs in 1994 and 1995.

(c) See Note 3 for information regarding the write-off of certain unamortized deferred investment tax credits in the fourth quarter of 1994.

(d) See Note 2 for information regarding credits and refunds recorded in 1994 as a result of the 1994 NOPSI Settlement.

(e) See Note 2 for information regarding the recording of refunds in connection with the FERC Settlement in November 1994.

(f) The fourth quarter of 1995 reflects an increase in net income of \$35.4 million (net of income taxes of \$22.9 million) and an increase in earnings per share of \$.15 due to the recording of the cumulative effect of the change in accounting method for incremental nuclear refueling outage maintenance costs. See Note 1 for a discussion of the change in accounting method.

Earnings (Loss) per Average Common Share (Entergy Corporation)

	1995	1994
First Quarter	\$0.40	\$ 0.31
Second Quarter	\$0.71	\$ 0.63
Third Quarter	\$1.16	\$ 0.63
Fourth Quarter (f)	\$0.02	
	\$(0.07)	

Item 9. Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants On Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

No event that would be described in response to this item has occurred with respect to Entergy, System Energy, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, or NOPSI.

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrants.

All officers and directors listed below held the specified positions with their respective companies as of the date of filing this report.

ENTERGY CORPORATION

Directors

Information required by this item concerning directors of Entergy Corporation is set forth under the heading "Election of Directors" contained in the Proxy Statement of Entergy Corporation to be filed in connection with its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held May 17, 1996, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Name	Age	Position	Period
Officers			
Edwin Lupberger(a)	59	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, President, and Director of Entergy Corporation	1985-Present
		Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI	1993-Present
		Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and Director of GSU	1994-Present
		Chairman of the Board of System Energy and Entergy Enterprises	1986-Present
		Chairman of the Board of Entergy Operations	1990-Present
		Chairman of the Board of Entergy Services	1985-Present
		Chief Executive Officer of Entergy Services	1991-Present
		Chief Executive Officer of Entergy Power, Entergy Power Development Corporation, and Entergy-Richmond Power Corporation	1993-Present
		Chief Executive Officer of Entergy Pakistan, Ltd. and Entergy Power Asia, Ltd.	1994-Present
		Chief Executive Officer of Entergy EDEGEL I, Inc., EP EDEGEL, Inc., Entergy Power Development International Corporation, Entergy Power Holding I, Ltd., Entergy Power Holding II, Ltd., Entergy Power Marketing Corporation, Entergy Power Operations Corporation, Entergy Power Operations Holdings, Ltd., Entergy Power Operations Pakistan LDC, Entergy Victoria LDC, Entergy Victoria Holdings LDC, Entergy Yacyreta I, Inc., EPG Cayman Holding I, EPG Cayman Holding II	1995-Present
		President of Entergy Corporation	1995-Present
		President of Entergy Services and Entergy Enterprises	1994-Present
		Director of AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy	1986-Present
		Director of Entergy Operations and Entergy Services	1994-Present
		Director of Entergy Enterprises	1984-Present
		Chairman of the Board of Entergy Power	1990-1993
		Chief Executive Officer of Entergy Enterprises	1991-1994
		President of Entergy Corporation	1985-1991
		President of Entergy Services and Entergy Enterprises	1990-1991
		Director of System Fuels	1986-1992
Jerry L. Maulden	59	Vice Chairman of Entergy Corporation	1995-Present
		Vice Chairman and Chief Operating Officer of AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI	1993-Present
		Vice Chairman of Entergy Services	1992-Present
		Director of AP&L	1979-Present
		Director of GSU	1993-Present
		Director of LP&L and NOPSI	1991-Present
		Director of MP&L	1988-Present
		Director of Entergy Operations	1990-Present

(a) Mr. Lupberger is a director of First Commerce Corporation, New Orleans, LA, International Shipholding Corporation, New Orleans, LA, and First National Bank of Commerce, New Orleans, LA.

(b) Mr. Bemis is a director of Deposit Guaranty National Bank, Jackson, MS and Deposit Guaranty Corporation, Jackson, MS.

(c) Mr. Meiners is a director of Trustmark National Bank, Jackson, MS, and Trustmark Corporation, Jackson, MS.

Each director and officer of the applicable System company is elected yearly to serve until the first Board Meeting following the Annual Meeting of Stockholders or until a successor is elected and qualified. Annual meetings are currently expected to be held as follows:

Entergy Corporation - May 17, 1996

AP&L - May 13, 1996

GSU - May 13, 1996

LP&L - May 13, 1996

MP&L - May 13, 1996

NOPSI - May 13, 1996

System Energy - May 13, 1996

Directorships shown above are generally limited to entities subject to Section 12 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 or to the Investment Company Act of 1940.

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act and Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended, require the Corporation's officers, directors and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of the Corporation's equity securities to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership concerning the securities of the Corporation and its subsidiaries with the SEC and to furnish the Corporation with copies of all Section 16(a) and 17(a) forms they file. Terry L. Ogletree, an officer of Entergy Enterprises, Inc., filed a Form 3 in March of 1995, which inadvertently failed to report ownership of 5,000 restricted shares of the Corporation's stock. This has now been correctly reported.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

ENTERGY CORPORATION

Information called for by this item concerning the directors and officers of Entergy Corporation and the Personnel Committee of Entergy Corporation's Board of Directors is set forth under the headings "Executive Compensation" and "Personnel Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation" contained in the Proxy Statement of Entergy Corporation to be filed in connection with its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 17, 1996, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, AND SYSTEM ENERGY

Summary Compensation Table

The following table includes the Chief Executive Officers and the four other most highly compensated executive officers in office as of December 31, 1995 at AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy. This determination was based on total annual base salary and bonuses (including bonuses of an extraordinary and nonrecurring nature) from all System sources earned by each officer during the year 1995. See Item 10, "Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrants," incorporated herein by reference, for information on the principal positions of the executive officers named in the table below.

AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy

As shown in Item 10, most executive officers named below are employed by several System companies. Because it would be impracticable to allocate such officers' salaries among the various companies, the table below includes aggregate compensation paid by all System companies.

Name	Year	Annual Compensation			Long-Term Compensation Awards			(b) LTIP Payouts	(c) All Other Compensation
		Salary	(a) Bonus	Other Annual Compensation	Restricted Stock Awards	Securities Underlying Options	Payouts		
Michael B. Bemis	1995	\$290,000	\$216,909	\$22,844	(d)	27,500 shares	\$294,282	\$27,607	
	1994	288,846	76,923	32,940	(d)	2,500	28,275	22,982	
	1993	258,538	161,142	62,372	(d)	2,500	50,125	74,619	
Joseph L. Blount	1995	\$119,185	\$43,645	\$15,842	(d)	0 shares	\$ 0	\$15,705	
	1994	115,171	17,064	9,339	(d)	0	0	12,416	
	1993	109,090	0	4,416	(d)	0	0	15,926	
Donald C. Hintz*	1995	\$325,000	\$265,049	\$13,394	(d)	30,000 shares	\$409,414	\$23,569	
	1994	320,769	142,749	52,389	(d)	5,000	48,379	23,056	
	1993	265,386	166,560	48,548	(d)	5,000	85,774	24,462	
Jerry D. Jackson	1995	\$325,000	\$256,838	\$43,054	(d)	30,000 shares	\$422,438	\$24,794	
	1994	323,711	106,155	29,598	(d)	5,000	56,550	23,370	
	1993	288,559	217,287	36,166	(d)	6,719	100,250	25,961	
Edwin Lupberger**	1995	\$700,000	\$568,400	\$29,624	(d)	60,000 shares	\$781,337	\$33,142	
	1994	681,539	218,789	39,961	(d)	10,000	139,525	29,457	
	1993	542,077	437,610	20,327	(d)	13,438	248,313	32,957	
Jerry L. Maulden	1995	\$435,000	\$353,220	\$26,248	(d)	30,000 shares	\$422,438	\$28,504	
	1994	426,134	135,962	63,994	(d)	5,000	56,550	25,690	
	1993	385,000	286,985	84,655	(d)	5,000	100,250	25,639	
Gerald D. McInvale	1995	\$255,481	\$186,739	\$12,525	(d)	27,500 shares	\$294,282	\$21,263	
	1994	244,165	66,227	14,146	(d)	2,500	28,275	19,581	
	1993	221,696	141,811	48,805	(d)	2,500	50,125	22,667	
William J. Regan, Jr.	1995	\$120,577	\$54,727	\$21,141	(d)	0	\$ 0	\$14,633	

* Chief Executive Officer of System Energy.

** Chief Executive Officer of AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI.

(a) Includes bonuses earned pursuant to the Annual Incentive Plan.

(b) Amounts include the value of restricted shares that vested in 1995, 1994, and 1993 (see note (d) below) under Entergy's Equity Ownership Plan.

(c) Includes the following:

(1) 1995 employer payments for Executive Medical Plan premiums as follows: Mr. Bemis \$3,019; Mr. Blount \$3,019; Mr. Hintz \$3,019; Mr. Jackson \$3,019; Mr. Lupberger \$3,019; Mr. Maulden \$3,019; Mr. McInvale \$3,019; Mr. Regan \$2,013.

(2) 1995 benefit accruals under the Defined Contribution Restoration Plan as follows: Mr. Bemis \$4,200; Mr. Hintz \$5,250; Mr. Jackson \$5,250; Mr. Lupberger \$16,500; Mr. Maulden \$8,550; Mr. McInvale \$3,164.

(3) 1995 employer contributions to the System Savings Plan as follows: Mr. Bemis \$4,500; Mr. Blount \$3,576; Mr. Hintz \$4,500; Mr. Jackson \$4,500; Mr. Lupberger \$4,500; Mr. Maulden \$4,500; Mr. McInvale \$4,500; Mr. Regan \$877.

(4) 1995 reimbursements under the Executive Financial Counseling Program as follows: Mr. Bemis \$2,625; Mr. Jackson \$1,225; Mr. Lupberger \$3,100; Mr. Maulden \$2,715; Mr. McInvale \$680.

(5) 1995 payments for personal use under the Private Ownership Vehicle Plan as follows: Mr. Bemis \$9,900; Mr. Blount \$7,200; Mr. Hintz \$10,800; Mr. Jackson \$10,800; Mr. Lupberger \$6,023; Mr. Maulden \$9,720; Mr. McInvale \$9,900; Mr. Regan \$4,800.

(6) 1995 earnings under the Entergy Stock Investment Plan as follows: Mr. Bemis \$3,363; Mr. Blount \$1,910.

(7) 1995 reimbursements for moving expenses paid to Mr. Regan in the amount of \$6,943.

(d) There were no restricted stock awards in 1995 under the Equity Ownership Plan. At December 31, 1995, the number and value of the aggregate restricted stock holdings were as follows: Mr. Bemis: 4,000 shares, \$117,000; Mr. Hintz: 5,429 shares, \$158,798; Mr. Jackson: 5,500 shares, \$160,875; Mr. Lupberger: 10,900 shares, \$318,825; Mr. Maulden: 5,500 shares, \$160,875; and Mr. McInvale: 4,000 shares, \$117,000. Accumulated dividends are paid on restricted stock when vested. The value of stock for which restrictions were lifted in 1995, and the applicable portion of accumulated cash dividends, are reported in the LTIP Payouts column in the above table. The value of restricted stock awards as of December 31, 1995 are determined by multiplying the total number of shares awarded by the closing market price of Entergy Corporation common stock on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Transactions on December 29, 1995 (\$29.25 per share).

Option Grants in 1995

The following table summarizes option grants during 1995 to the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table above. The absence, in the table below, of any named officer indicates that no options were granted to such officer.

AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Entergy

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options Granted	Individual Grants % of Total Options Granted to Employees in 1995	Exercise Price (per share)	Expiration Date	Potential Realizable Value at Assumed Annual Rates of Stock Price Appreciation for Option Term(c)	
					5%	10%
Michael B. Bemis	2,500 (a)	0.8%	\$23.375 (a)	1/26/05	\$ 36,751	\$ 93,134
	25,000 (b)	7.9%	20.875 (b)	3/31/05	328,204	831,734
Donald C. Hintz	5,000 (a)	1.6%	23.375 (a)	1/26/05	73,502	186,269
	25,000 (b)	7.9%	20.875 (b)	3/31/05	328,204	831,734
Jerry D. Jackson	5,000 (a)	1.6%	23.375 (a)	1/26/05	0	0
	25,000 (b)	7.9%	20.875 (b)	3/31/05	328,204	831,734
Edwin Lupberger	10,000 (a)	3.2%	23.375 (a)	1/26/05	147,004	372,537
	50,000 (b)	15.9%	20.875 (b)	3/31/05	656,409	1,663,469
Jerry L. Maulden	5,000 (a)	1.6%	23.375 (a)	1/26/05	73,502	186,269
	25,000 (b)	7.9%	20.875 (b)	3/31/05	328,204	831,734
Gerald D. McInvale	2,500 (a)	0.8%	23.375 (a)	1/26/05	36,751	93,134
	25,000 (b)	7.9%	20.875 (b)	3/31/05	328,204	831,734

(a) Options were granted on January 26, 1995, pursuant to the Equity Ownership Plan. All options granted on this date have an exercise price equal to the closing price of Entergy Corporation common stock on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Transactions on January 26, 1995. These options became exercisable on July 26, 1995.

(b) Options were granted on March 31, 1995, pursuant to the Equity Ownership Plan. All options granted on this date have an exercise price equal to the closing price of Entergy Corporation common stock on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Transactions on March 31, 1995. These options will become exercisable on March 31, 1998.

(c) Calculation based on the market price of the underlying securities over a ten-year period assuming annual compounding. The column presents estimates of potential values based on simple mathematical assumptions. The actual value, if any, an executive officer may realize is dependent upon the market price on the date of option exercise.

Aggregated Option Exercises in 1995 and December 31, 1995 Option Values

The following table summarizes the number and value of options exercised during 1995, as well as the number and value of unexercised

options, as of December 31, 1995, held by the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table above.

Name	Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized(a)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options as of December 31, 1995		Value of Unexercised In-the-Money Options as of December 31, 1995(b)	
			Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisable	Unexercisable
Michael B. Bemis	0	\$ 0	10,000	25,000	58,750	\$209,375
Donald C. Hintz	0	0	17,500	25,000	29,375	209,375
Jerry D. Jackson	5,000	21,817	14,411	25,000	0	209,375
Edwin Lupberger	0	0	38,824	50,000	58,750	418,750
Jerry L. Maulden	0	0	20,000	25,000	29,375	209,375
Gerald D. McInvale	0	0	10,000	25,000	14,688	209,375

(a) Based on the difference between the closing price of the Corporation's Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Transactions on the exercise date of November 17, 1995, and the option exercise price.

(b) Based on the difference between the closing price of the Corporation's Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Transactions on December 29, 1995, and the option exercise price.

Pension Plan Tables

AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy

Retirement Income Plan Table

Annual Covered Compensation	Years of Service				
	15	20	25	30	35
\$100,000	\$22,500	\$30,000	\$37,500	\$45,000	\$
52,000					
200,000	45,500	60,000	75,000	90,000	
105,000					
300,000	67,500	90,000	112,500	135,000	
157,500					
400,000	90,000	120,000	150,000	180,000	
210,000					
500,000	112,500	150,000	187,500	225,000	
262,500					
850,000	191,250	255,000	318,750	382,500	
446,250					

All of the named officers of AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI and System Energy participate in a Retirement Income Plan (a defined benefit plan) that provides a benefit for employees at retirement from the System based upon (1) generally all years of service beginning at age 21 through termination, with a forty-year maximum, multiplied by (2) 1.5%, multiplied by (3) the final average compensation. Final average compensation is based on the highest consecutive 60 months of covered compensation in the last 120 months of service. The normal form of benefit for a single employee is a lifetime annuity and for a married employee is a 50% joint and survivor annuity. Other actuarially equivalent options are available to each retiree. Retirement benefits are not subject to any deduction for Social Security or other offset amounts. The amount of the named executive officers' annual compensation covered by the plan as of December 31, 1995, is represented by the salary column in the Summary Compensation Table above.

The maximum benefit under each Retirement Income Plan is limited by Sections 401 and 415 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended; however, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy have elected to participate in the Pension Equalization Plan sponsored by Entergy Corporation. Under this plan, certain executives, including the named executive officers, would receive an amount equal to the benefit payable under the Retirement Income Plans, without regard to the limitations, less the amount actually payable under the Retirement Income Plans.

Effective January 1, 1995, the System Companies Retirement Income Plans were amended to transfer assets and related liabilities to a single Entergy Corporation Retirement Plan for all non- bargaining unit employees. Each Retirement Income Plan (except GSU) was amended effective February 1, 1991, to provide a minimum accrued benefit as of that date to any employee who was vested as of that date. For purposes of calculating such minimum accrued benefit, each eligible employee was deemed to have had an additional five years of service and age as of that date. The additional years of age did not count toward eligibility for early retirement, but served only to reduce the early retirement discount factor for those employees who were at least age 50 as of that date.

The credited years of service under the Retirement Income Plan (without giving effect to the five additional years of service credited pursuant to the February 1, 1991 amendment as discussed above) as of December 31, 1995, for the following executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table above were: Mr. Bemis 13; Mr. Blount 11; and Mr. Maulden 30.

The credited years of service under the respective Retirement Income Plan, as amended, as of December 31, 1995 for the following executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table, as a result of entering into supplemental retirement agreements, were as follows: Mr. Hintz 24; Mr. Jackson 16; Mr. Lupberger 32; and Mr. McInvale 23.

In addition to the Retirement Income Plan discussed above, AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy participate in the Supplemental Retirement Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (SRP) and the Post-Retirement Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (PRP). Participation is limited to one of these two plans and is at the invitation of AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy. The participant may receive from the appropriate System company a monthly benefit payment not in excess of .025 (under the SRP) or .0333 (under the PRP) times the participant's average basic annual salary (as defined in the plans) for a maximum of 120 months. Mr. Hintz has entered into a SRP participation contract, and all of the other executive officers of AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy named in the Summary Compensation Table (except for Mr. Blount, Mr. McInvale and Mr. Regan) have entered into PRP participation contracts. Current estimates indicate that the annual payments to a named executive officer under the above plans would be less than the payments to that officer under the System Executive Retirement Plan.

System Executive Retirement Plan Table (1)

	Annual Covered Compensation		Years of Service			
	\$ 200,000	\$ 90,000	15	20	25	30+
\$120,000				\$100,000	\$110,000	
180,000	300,000	135,000		150,000	165,000	
240,000	400,000	180,000		200,000	220,000	
300,000	500,000	225,000		250,000	275,000	
360,000	600,000	270,000		300,000	330,000	
420,000	700,000	315,000		350,000	385,000	
600,000	1,000,000	450,000		500,000	550,000	

(1)Benefits shown are based on a target replacement ratio of 50% based on the years of service and covered compensation shown. The benefits for 10, 15, and 20 or more years of service at the 45% and 55% replacement levels would decrease (in the case of 45%) or increase (in the case of 55%) by the following percentages: 3.0%, 4.5%, and 5.0%, respectively.

In 1993, Entergy Corporation adopted the System Executive Retirement Plan (SERP). AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy are participating employers in the SERP. The SERP is an unfunded defined benefit plan offered at retirement to certain senior executives, which would currently include all the executive officers (except Mr. Blount) named in the Summary Compensation Table above. Participating executives choose, at retirement, between the retirement benefits paid under provisions of the SERP or those payable under the executive retirement benefit plans discussed above. Covered pay under the SERP includes final annual base salary (see the Summary Compensation Table above, for the base salary covered by the SERP as of December 31, 1995) plus the Target Incentive Award (i.e., a percentage of final annual base salary) for the participant in effect at retirement. Benefits paid under the SERP are calculated by multiplying the covered pay times target pay replacement ratios (45%, 50%, or 55%, dependent on job rating at retirement) that are attained, according to plan design, at 20 years of credited service. The target ratios are increased by 1% for each year of service over 20 years, up to a maximum of 30

years of service. In accordance with the SERP formula, the target ratios are reduced for each year of service below 20 years. The credited years of service under this plan are identical to the years of service for named executive officers (other than Mr. Bemis, Mr. Jackson, and Mr. McInvale) disclosed above in the "Pension Plan Tables-Retirement Income Plan Table" section. Mr. Bemis, Mr. Jackson, and Mr. McInvale have 23 years, 22 years, and 14 years, respectively, of credited service under this plan.

The normal form of benefit for a single employee is a lifetime annuity and for a married employee is a 50% joint and survivor annuity. All SERP payments are guaranteed for ten years. Other actuarially equivalent options are available to each retiree. SERP benefits are offset by any and all defined benefit plan payments from the System and from prior employers. SERP benefits are not subject to Social Security offsets.

Eligibility for and receipt of benefits under any of the executive plans described above are contingent upon several factors. The participant must agree that, without the specific consent of the System company for which such participant was last employed, he may take no employment after retirement with any entity that is in competition with, or similar in nature to, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy or any affiliate thereof. Eligibility for benefits is forfeitable for various reasons, including violation of an agreement with AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy, resignation of employment, or termination for cause.

In addition to the non-bargaining unit employees Retirement Income Plan discussed above, GSU provides, among other benefits to officers, an Executive Income Security Plan for key managerial personnel. The plan provides participants with certain retirement, disability, termination, and survivors' benefits. To the extent that such benefits are not funded by the employee benefit plans of GSU or by vested benefits payable by the participants' former employers, GSU is obligated to make supplemental payments to participants or their survivors. The plan provides that upon the death or disability of a participant during his employment, he or his designated survivors will receive (i) during the first year following his death or disability an amount not to exceed his annual base salary, and (ii) thereafter for a number of years until the participant attains or would have attained age 65, but not less than nine years, an amount equal to one-half of the participant's annual base salary. The plan also provides supplemental retirement benefits for life for participants retiring after reaching age 65 equal to 1/2 of the participant's average final compensation rate, with 1/2 of such benefit upon the death of the participant being payable to a surviving spouse for life.

GSU amended and restated the plan effective March 1, 1991, to provide such benefits for life upon termination of employment of a participating officer or key managerial employee without cause (as defined in the plan) or if the participant separates from employment for good reason (as defined in the plan), with 1/2 of such benefits to be payable to a surviving spouse for life. Further, the plan was amended to provide medical benefits for a participant and his family when the participant separates from service. These medical benefits generally continue until the participant is eligible to receive medical benefits from a subsequent employer; but in the case of a participant who is over 50 at the time of separation and was participating in the plan on March 1, 1991, medical benefits continue for life. By virtue of the 1991 amendment and restatement, benefits for a participant under such plan cannot be modified once he becomes eligible to participate in the plan.

Compensation of Directors

AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy currently have no non-employee directors, and none of the current directors is compensated for his responsibilities as director.

Retired non-employee directors of AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI with a minimum of five years of service on the respective Boards of Directors are paid \$200 a month for a term of years corresponding to the number of years of active service as directors. Retired non-employee directors with over ten years of service receive a lifetime benefit of \$200 a month. Years of service as an advisory director are included in calculating this benefit. System Energy has no retired non-employee directors.

Retired non-employee directors of GSU receive retirement benefits under a plan in which all directors who served continuously for a period of years will receive a percentage of their retainer fee in effect at the time of their retirement for life. The retirement benefit is 30 percent of the retainer fee for service of not less than five nor more than nine years, 40 percent for service of not less than ten nor more than fourteen years, and 50 percent for fifteen or more years of service. For those directors who retired prior to the retirement age, their benefits will be reduced. The plan also provides disability retirement and optional hospital and medical coverage if the director has served at least five years prior to the disability. The retired director pays one-third of the premium for such optional hospital and medical coverage and GSU pays the remaining two-thirds. Years of service as an advisory director are included in calculating these benefits.

Employment Contracts and Termination of Employment and Change-in- Control Arrangements

GSU

On January 18, 1991, GSU established an Executive Continuity Plan for elected and appointed officers providing for severance benefits equal to 2.99 times the officer's annual compensation upon termination of employment for reasons other than cause or upon a resignation of employment for good reason within two years after a change in control of GSU. Benefits are prorated if the officer is within three years of normal retirement age (65) at termination of employment. The plan further provides for continued participation in medical, dental, and life insurance programs for three years following termination unless such benefits are available from a subsequent employer. The plan provides for outplacement assistance to aid a terminated officer in securing another position. Upon consummation of the Merger on December 31, 1993,

GSU made a one time contribution of \$16,330,693 to a trust equivalent to the then present value of the maximum benefits which might be payable under the plan. As of December 31, 1995, the balance in the trust had been reduced to \$7,678,628. If and to the extent outstanding benefits are not paid to the participants, the balance in the trust will be returned to GSU.

As a result of the Merger, GSU is obligated to pay benefits under the Executive Income Security Plan to those persons who were participants at the time of the Merger and who later terminated their employment under circumstances described in the plan. For additional description of the benefits under the Executive Income Security Plan, see the "Pension Plan Tables-System Executive Retirement Plan Table" section noted above.

Personnel Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The compensation of AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy executive officers was set by the Personnel Committee of Entergy Corporation's Board of Directors for 1995. No officers or employees of such companies participated in deliberations concerning compensation during 1995. The Personnel Committee of Entergy Corporation's Board of Directors is set forth under the heading "Report of Personnel Committee on Executive Compensation" contained in the Proxy Statement of Entergy Corporation to be filed in connection with its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held May 17, 1996, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

Entergy Corporation owns 100% of the outstanding common stock of registrants AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy. The information with respect to persons known by Entergy Corporation to be beneficial owners of more than 5% of Entergy Corporation's common stock is included under the heading "Voting Securities Outstanding" in the Proxy Statement of Entergy Corporation to be filed in connection with its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held May 17, 1996, which information is incorporated herein by reference. The registrants know of no contractual arrangements that may, at a subsequent date, result in a change in control of any of the registrants.

The directors, the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table above, and the directors and officers as a group for Entergy Corporation, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy, respectively, beneficially owned directly or indirectly the cumulative preferred stock of an Operating Company and common stock of Entergy Corporation as indicated:

As of December 31, 1995

Entergy Corporation
Common Stock

Name	Preferred Stock(a) Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership(b)		Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership(b)	
	Sole Voting and Investment Power(c)	Other Beneficial Ownership	Sole Voting and Investment Power(c)	Other Beneficial Ownership (d)(e)(f)(g)
Entergy Corporation				
W. Frank Blount*	-	-	3,734	-
John A. Cooper, Jr.*	6,000 (a)	-	6,334	-
Lucie J. Fjeldstad*	-	-	2,684	-
Dr. Norman C. Francis*	-	-	1,000	-
Donald C. Hintz**	-	-	40,451	50,151
Kaneaster Hodges, Jr.*	-	-	3,517	-
Jerry D. Jackson**	-	-	40,290	48,148
Robert v.d. Luft*	-	-	2,984	-
Edwin Lupberger*** (h)(i)	-	-	83,552	111,381
Jerry L. Maulden**	-	-	77,924	61,816
Gerald D. McInvale**	-	-	37,005	39,920
Adm. Kinnaird R. McKee*	-	-	2,167	-
Paul W. Murrill*	-	-	2,754	-
James R. Nichols*	-	-	4,179	-
Eugene H. Owen*	-	3,500 (a)	2,392	-
John N. Palmer, Sr.*	-	-	15,000	-
Robert D. Pugh*	-	-	6,000	10,000 (i)
H. Duke Shackelford*	-	-	8,750	3,950 (i)
Wm. Clifford Smith*	-	-	4,670	-
Bismark A. Steinhagen*	-	-	7,037	-
All directors and executive officers	6,000	3,500	371,483	371,631
AP&L				
Michael B. Bemis**	-	-	38,793	44,907
Donald C. Hintz**	-	-	40,451	50,151
Jerry D. Jackson**	-	-	40,290	48,148
R. Drake Keith***	-	-	7,535	12,570
Edwin Lupberger** (h)(i)	-	-	83,552	111,381
Jerry L. Maulden**	-	-	77,924	61,816
All directors and executive officers	-	-	416,735	495,796
GSU				
Michael B. Bemis**	-	-	38,793	44,907
Frank F. Gallaher***	-	-	37,958	42,616
Donald C. Hintz**	-	-	40,451	50,151
Jerry D. Jackson**	-	-	40,290	48,148
Edwin Lupberger** (h)(i)	-	-	83,552	111,381
Jerry L. Maulden**	-	-	77,924	61,816
All directors and executive officers	-	-	403,151	474,665
LP&L				
Michael B. Bemis**	-	-	38,793	44,907
John J. Cordaro***	-	-	3,669	11,785
Donald C. Hintz**	-	-	40,451	50,151
Jerry D. Jackson**	-	-	40,290	48,148
Edwin Lupberger** (h)(i)	-	-	83,552	111,381
Jerry L. Maulden**	-	-	77,924	61,816
All directors and executive officers	-	-	406,074	494,161

* Director of the respective Company

** Named Executive Officer of the respective Company *** Officer and Director of the respective Company

(a) Stock ownership amounts refer to 6,000 shares of AP&L's \$0.01 Par Value (\$25 liquidation value) Preferred Stock held by the John A. Cooper Trust, and 3,500 shares of AP&L's \$0.01 Par Value (\$25 liquidation value) Preferred Stock held by Eugene H. Owen. Mr. Cooper disclaims any personal interest in these shares.

(b) Based on information furnished by the respective individuals. The ownership amounts shown for each individual and for all directors and executive officers as a group do not exceed one percent of the outstanding securities of any class of security so owned.

(c) Includes all shares as to which the individual has the sole voting power and powers of disposition, or power to direct the voting and disposition.

(d) Includes, for the named persons, shares of Entergy Corporation common stock held in the Employee Stock Ownership Plan of the registrants as follows: Michael B. Bemis, 767 shares; Joseph L. Blount, 810 shares; John J. Cordaro, 1,082 shares; Frank F. Gallaher, 1,011 shares; William D. Hamilton, 617 shares; Donald C. Hintz, 810 shares; Jerry D. Jackson, 810 shares; R. Drake Keith, 810 shares; Edwin Lupberger, 886 shares; Jerry L. Maulden, 856 shares; Gerald D. McInvale, 118 shares; and Donald E. Meiners, 594 shares.

(e) Includes, for the named persons, shares of Entergy Corporation common stock held in the System Savings Plan company account as follows: Michael B. Bemis, 5,140 shares; Joseph L. Blount, 1,809 shares; John J. Cordaro, 2,003 shares; Frank F. Gallaher, 3,930 shares; William D. Hamilton, 1,591 shares; Donald C. Hintz, 1,412 shares; Jerry D. Jackson, 2,427 shares; R. Drake Keith, 4,336 shares; Edwin Lupberger, 6,771 shares; Jerry L. Maulden, 10,460 shares; Gerald D. McInvale, 802 shares; Donald E. Meiners, 4,950 shares; William J. Regan, 15 shares.

(f) Includes, for the named persons, unvested restricted shares of Entergy Corporation common stock held in the Equity Ownership Plan as follows: Michael B. Bemis, 4,000 shares; John J. Cordaro, 1,200 shares; Frank F. Gallaher, 5,175 shares; Donald C. Hintz, 5,429 shares; Jerry D. Jackson, 5,500 shares; R. Drake Keith, 250 shares; Edwin Lupberger, 10,900 shares; Jerry L. Maulden, 5,500 shares; Gerald D. McInvale, 4,000 shares; and Donald E. Meiners, 250 shares.

(g) Includes, for the named persons, shares of Entergy Corporation common stock in the form of unexercised stock options awarded pursuant to the Equity Ownership Plan as follows: Michael B. Bemis, 35,000 shares; John J. Cordaro 7,500 shares; Frank F. Gallaher, 32,500 shares; Donald C. Hintz, 42,500 shares; Jerry D. Jackson, 39,411 shares; R. Drake Keith, 7,174 shares; Edwin Lupberger, 88,824 shares; Jerry L. Maulden, 45,000 shares; Gerald D. McInvale, 35,000 shares; and Donald E. Meiners, 10,000 shares.

(h) Includes 1,500 shares of Entergy Corporation common stock held jointly between Edwin Lupberger and Ms. E. H. Lupberger.

(i) Includes, for the named persons, shares of Entergy Corporation common stock held by their spouses. The named persons disclaim any personal interest in these shares as follows: Edwin Lupberger, 2,500 shares; Robert D. Pugh, 10,000 shares; and H. Duke Shackelford, 3,950 shares.

(j) Includes 752 shares of Entergy Corporation common stock held jointly with spouse.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Information called for by this item concerning the directors and officers of Entergy Corporation is set forth under the heading "Certain Transactions" in the Proxy Statement of Entergy Corporation to be filed in connection with its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 17, 1996, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

See Item 10, "Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrants," for information on certain relationships and transactions required to be reported under this item.

Other than as provided under applicable corporate laws, the System companies do not have policies whereby transactions involving executive officers and directors of the System are approved by a majority of disinterested directors. However, pursuant to the Entergy Corporation Code of Conduct, transactions involving a System company and its executive officers must have prior approval by the next higher reporting level of that individual, and transactions involving a System company and its directors must be reported to the secretary of the appropriate System company.

PART IV

Item 14. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K.

(a)1. Financial Statements and Independent Auditors' Reports for Entergy, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy are listed in the Index to Financial Statements (see pages 42 and 43)

(a)2. Financial Statement Schedules

Reports of Independent Accountants on Financial Statement Schedules (see pages 218 and 219)

Financial Statement Schedules are listed in the Index to Financial Statement Schedules (see page S-1)

(a)3. Exhibits

Exhibits for Entergy, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L, NOPSI, and System Energy are listed in the Exhibit Index (see page E-1). Each management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit hereto is identified as such by footnote in the Exhibit Index.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

Entergy and NOPSI

A current report on Form 8-K, dated April 20, 1995, was filed with the SEC on April 26, 1995, reporting information under Item 5. "Other Events".

Entergy and GSU

A current report on Form 8-K, dated July 26, 1995, was filed with the SEC on July 26, 1995, reporting information under Item 5. "Other Events".

A current report on Form 8-K, dated October 25, 1995, was filed with the SEC on October 25, 1995, reporting information under Item 5. "Other Events".

EXPERTS

The statements attributed to Clark, Thomas & Winters, a professional corporation, as to legal conclusions with respect to GSU's rate regulation in Texas under Item 1. "Rate Matters and Regulation - Rate Matters - Retail Rate Matters - GSU" and in Note 2 to Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Consolidated Financial Statements and GSU's Financial Statements, "Rate and Regulatory Matters," have been reviewed by such firm and are included herein upon the authority of such firm as experts.

The statements attributed to Sandlin Associates regarding the analysis of River Bend Construction costs of GSU under Item 1. "Rate Matters and Regulation - Rate Matters - Retail Rate Matters - GSU" and in Note 2 to Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Consolidated Financial Statements and GSU's Financial Statements, "Rate and Regulatory Matters," have been reviewed by such firm and are included herein upon the authority of such firm as experts.

ENTERGY CORPORATION

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. The signature of the undersigned company shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such company and any subsidiaries thereof.

ENTERGY CORPORATION

*By /s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr.
Louis E. Buck, Jr., Vice
President
and Chief Accounting Officer*

Date: March 11, 1996

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated. The signature of each of the undersigned shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to the above-named company and any subsidiaries thereof.

<i>Signature</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Date</i>
<i>/s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr. Louis E. Buck, Jr. 1996</i>	<i>Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)</i>	<i>March 11,</i>

Edwin Lupberger (Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and Director; Principal Executive Officer); Gerald D. McInvale (Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer; Principal Financial Officer); W. Frank Blount, John A. Cooper, Jr., Lucie J. Fjeldstad, N. C. Francis, Kaneaster Hodges, Jr., Robert v.d. Luft, Kinnaird R. McKee, Paul W. Murrill, James R. Nichols, Eugene H. Owen, John N. Palmer, Sr., Robert D. Pugh, H. Duke Shackelford, Wm. Clifford Smith, and Bismark A. Steinhagen (Directors).

*By: /s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr. March 11,
1996
(Louis E. Buck, Jr., Attorney-in-fact)*

ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. The signature of the undersigned company shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such company and any subsidiaries thereof.

ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By /s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr.
Louis E. Buck, Jr., Vice
President,
Chief Accounting Officer and
Assistant Secretary

Date: March 11, 1996

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated. The signature of each of the undersigned shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to the above-named company and any subsidiaries thereof.

<i>Signature</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Date</i>
<i>/s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr. Louis E. Buck, Jr. 1996</i>	<i>Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Assistant Secretary (Principal Accounting Officer)</i>	<i>March 11,</i>

Edwin Lupberger (Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and Director; Principal Executive Officer); Gerald D. McInvale (Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Director; Principal Financial Officer); Michael B. Bemis, Donald C. Hintz, Jerry D. Jackson, R. Drake Keith, and Jerry L. Maulden (Directors).

By: /s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr. March 11,
1996
(Louis E. Buck, Jr., Attorney-in-fact)

GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. The signature of the undersigned company shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such company and any subsidiaries thereof.

GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY

By /s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr.
Louis E. Buck, Jr., Vice
President,
Chief Accounting Officer and
Assistant Secretary

Date: March 11, 1996

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated. The signature of each of the undersigned shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to the above-named company and any subsidiaries thereof.

<i>Signature</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Date</i>
<i>/s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr. Louis E. Buck, Jr. 1996</i>	<i>Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Assistant Secretary (Principal Accounting Officer)</i>	<i>March 11,</i>

Edwin Lupberger (Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and Director; Principal Executive Officer); Gerald D. McInvale (Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Director; Principal Financial Officer); Michael B. Bemis, Frank F. Gallaher, Donald C. Hintz, Jerry D. Jackson, and Jerry L. Maulden (Directors).

By: /s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr. March 11,
1996
(Louis E. Buck, Jr., Attorney-in-fact)

LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. The signature of the undersigned company shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such company and any subsidiaries thereof.

LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By */s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr.*
Louis E. Buck, Jr., Vice
President,
Chief Accounting Officer and
Assistant Secretary

Date: March 11, 1996

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated. The signature of each of the undersigned shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to the above-named company and any subsidiaries thereof.

<i>Signature</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Date</i>
<i>/s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr.</i> <i>Louis E. Buck, Jr.</i> <i>1996</i>	<i>Vice President, Chief Accounting</i> <i>Officer and Assistant Secretary</i> <i>(Principal Accounting Officer)</i>	<i>March 11,</i>

Edwin Lupberger (Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and Director; Principal Executive Officer); Gerald D. McInvale (Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Director; Principal Financial Officer); Michael B. Bemis, John J. Cordaro, Donald C. Hintz, Jerry D. Jackson, and Jerry L. Maulden (Directors).

By: */s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr.* *March 11,*
1996
(Louis E. Buck, Jr., Attorney-in-fact)

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. The signature of the undersigned company shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such company and any subsidiaries thereof.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

*By /s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr.
Louis E. Buck, Jr., Vice
President,
Chief Accounting Officer and
Assistant Secretary*

Date: March 11, 1996

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated. The signature of each of the undersigned shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to the above-named company and any subsidiaries thereof.

Signature Title Date

<i>/s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr. Louis E. Buck, Jr. 1996</i>	<i>Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Assistant Secretary (Principal Accounting Officer)</i>	<i>March 11,</i>
---	--	------------------

Edwin Lupberger (Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and Director; Principal Executive Officer); Gerald D. McInvale (Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Director; Principal Financial Officer); Michael B. Bemis, Donald C. Hintz, Jerry D. Jackson, Jerry L. Maulden, and Donald E. Meiners (Directors).

<i>By: /s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr. 1996 (Louis E. Buck, Jr., Attorney-in-fact)</i>	<i>March 11,</i>
---	------------------

NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC SERVICE INC.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. The signature of the undersigned company shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such company and any subsidiaries thereof.

NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC SERVICE INC.

*By /s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr.
Louis E. Buck, Jr., Vice
President,
Chief Accounting Officer and
Assistant Secretary*

Date: March 11, 1996

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated. The signature of each of the undersigned shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to the above-named company and any subsidiaries thereof.

Signature Title Date

<i>/s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr. Louis E. Buck, Jr. 1996</i>	<i>Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Assistant Secretary (Principal Accounting Officer)</i>	<i>March 11,</i>
---	--	------------------

Edwin Lupberger (Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and Director; Principal Executive Officer); Gerald D. McInvale (Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Director; Principal Financial Officer); John J. Cordaro, Jerry D. Jackson, and Jerry L. Maulden (Directors).

<i>By: /s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr. 1996 (Louis E. Buck, Jr., Attorney-in-fact)</i>	<i>March 11,</i>
---	------------------

SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. The signature of the undersigned company shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such company and any subsidiaries thereof.

SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.

By /s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr.
Louis E. Buck, Jr., Vice
President
and Chief Accounting Officer

Date: March 11, 1996

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated. The signature of each of the undersigned shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to the above-named company and any subsidiaries thereof.

<i>Signature</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Date</i>
<i>/s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr. Louis E. Buck, Jr. 1996</i>	<i>Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)</i>	<i>March 11,</i>

Donald C. Hintz (President, Chief Executive Officer and Director; Principal Executive Officer); Gerald D. McInvale (Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Director; Principal Financial Officer); Edwin Lupberger (Chairman of the Board), and Jerry L. Maulden (Directors).

By: /s/ Louis E. Buck, Jr. March 11,
1996
(Louis E. Buck, Jr., Attorney-in-fact)

EXHIBIT 23(a)
CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Post-Effective Amendment Nos. 2, 3, 4A, and 5A on Form S-8 and the related Prospectuses to registration statement of Entergy Corporation on Form S-4 (File Number 33-54298), of our reports dated February 14, 1996, on our audits of the financial statements and financial statement schedules of Entergy Corporation as of and for the years ended December 31, 1995 and 1994, which reports include emphasis paragraphs related to rate-related contingencies and legal proceedings and a 1995 change of accounting method for incremental nuclear plant outage maintenance costs by one of the Corporation's subsidiaries, and are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the registration statements and the related Prospectuses of Arkansas Power & Light Company on Form S-3 (File Numbers 33-36149, 33-48356, 33-50289 and 333-00103) of our reports dated February 14, 1996, on our audits of the financial statements and financial statement schedule of Arkansas Power & Light Company as of and for the years ended December 31, 1995 and 1994, which reports include an emphasis paragraph related to the Company's 1995 change in its method of accounting for incremental nuclear plant outage maintenance costs, and are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We consent to the incorporation by reference in registration statements and the related Prospectuses of Gulf States Utilities Company on Form S-3 (File Numbers 33-49739 and 33-51181) and Form S-8 (File Numbers 2-76551 and 2-98011) of our reports dated February 14, 1996, on our audits of the financial statements and financial statement schedule of Gulf States Utilities Company as of December 31, 1995 and 1994 and for the three years ended December 31, 1995, which reports include emphasis paragraphs related to rate-related contingencies, legal proceedings and changes in accounting for income taxes, postretirement benefits and unbilled revenue, and are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the registration statements and the related Prospectuses of Louisiana Power & Light Company on Form S-3 (File Numbers 33-46085, 33-39221, 33-50937, 333-00105, and 333-01329) of our reports dated February 14, 1996, on our audits of the financial statements and financial statement schedule of Louisiana Power & Light Company as of and for the years ended December 31, 1995 and 1994, which are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the registration statements and the related Prospectuses of Mississippi Power & Light Company on Form S-3 (File Numbers 33-53004, 33-55826 and 33-50507) of our reports dated February 14, 1996, on our audits of the financial statements and financial statement schedule of Mississippi Power & Light Company as of and for the years ended December 31, 1995 and 1994, which are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the registration statements and the related Prospectuses of New Orleans Public Service Inc. on Form S-3 (File Numbers 33-57926 and 333-00255) of our reports dated February 14, 1996, on our audits of the financial statement and financial statement schedules of New Orleans Public Service Inc. as of and for the years ended December 31, 1995 and 1994, which are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the registration statements and the related Prospectuses of System Energy Resources, Inc. on Form S-3 (File Numbers 33-47662 and 33-61189) of our reports dated February 14, 1996, on our audits of the financial statements of System Energy Resources, Inc. as of and for the years ended December 31, 1995 and 1994, which are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

COOPERS & LYBRAND L.L.P.

New Orleans, Louisiana

March 8, 1996

EXHIBIT 23(b)
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' CONSENT

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Post-Effective Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 4A, and 5A on Form S-8 to Registration Statement No. 33-54298 of Entergy Corporation on Form S-4, and the related Prospectuses, of our reports dated February 11, 1994, appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Entergy Corporation.

We also consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statements Nos. 333-00103, 33-36149, 33-48356 and 33-50289 of Arkansas Power & Light Company on Form S-3, and the related Prospectuses, of our reports dated February 11, 1994, appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Arkansas Power & Light Company.

We also consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statements Nos. 333-01329, 333-00105, 33-46085, 33-39221 and 33-50937 of Louisiana Power & Light Company on Form S-3, and the related Prospectuses, of our reports dated February 11, 1994, appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Louisiana Power & Light Company.

We also consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statements Nos. 33-53004, 33-55826 and 33-50507 of Mississippi Power & Light Company on Form S-3, and the related Prospectuses, of our reports dated February 11, 1994, appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Mississippi Power & Light Company.

We also consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement Nos. 333-00255 and 33-57926 of New Orleans Public Service Inc. on Form S-3, and the related Prospectuses, of our reports dated February 11, 1994, appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of New Orleans Public Service Inc.

We also consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement Nos. 33-61189 and 33-47662 of System Energy Resources, Inc. on Form S-3, and the related Prospectuses, of our reports dated February 11, 1994 (November 30, 1994 as to Note 2, "Rate and Regulatory Matters - FERC Settlement"), appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of System Energy Resources, Inc.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New Orleans, Louisiana

March 8, 1996

EXHIBIT 23(c)

CONSENT

We consent to the reference to our firm under the heading "Experts", and to the inclusion in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Gulf States Utilities Company ("GSU") of the statements of legal conclusions attributed to us herein (the Statements of Legal Conclusions) under Part I, Item 1. Business - "Rate Matters and Regulation" and in the discussion of Texas jurisdictional matters set forth in Note 2 to GSU's Financial Statements and Note 2 to Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Consolidated Financial Statements appearing as Item 8. of Part II of this Form 10-K, which Statements of Legal Conclusions have been prepared or reviewed by us (Clark, Thomas & Winters, a Professional Corporation). We also consent to the incorporation by reference in the registration statements of GSU on Form S-3 and Form S-8 (File Numbers 2-76551, 2-98011, 33-49739, and 33-51181) of such reference and Statements of Legal Conclusions.]

CLARK, THOMAS & WINTERS
A Professional Corporation

Austin, Texas
March 11, 1996

EXHIBIT 23(d)

CONSENT

We consent to the reference to our firm under the heading "Experts" and to the inclusion in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Gulf States Utilities Company ("GSU") of the statements (Statements) regarding the analysis by our Firm of River Bend construction costs which are made herein under Part I, Item 1. Business - "Rate Matters and Regulation" and in the discussion of Texas jurisdictional matters set forth in Note 2 to GSU's Financial Statements and Note 2 to Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries' Consolidated Financial Statements appearing as Item 8. of Part II of this Form 10-K, which Statements have been prepared or reviewed by us (Sandlin Associates). We also consent to the incorporation by reference in the registration statements of GSU on Form S-3 and Form S-8 (File Numbers 2-76551, 2-98011, 33-49739 and 33-51181) of such reference and Statements.

SANDLIN ASSOCIATES
Management Consultants

Pasco, Washington
March 11, 1996

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS ON FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Entergy Corporation

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries and the financial statements of Arkansas Power & Light Company, Louisiana Power & Light Company, Mississippi Power & Light Company, New Orleans Public Service Inc., and System Energy Resources, Inc. as of and for the years ended December 31, 1995 and 1994, and the financial statements of Gulf States Utilities Company as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1995, and have issued our reports, included elsewhere in this Form 10-K, thereon dated February 14, 1996, which reports as to Entergy Corporation and Gulf States Utilities Company include emphasis paragraphs related to rate-related contingencies and legal proceedings, and which report as to Gulf States Utilities Company includes an emphasis paragraph related to changes in accounting for income taxes, postretirement benefits and unbilled revenue, and which reports as to Entergy Corporation and Arkansas Power & Light Company include an emphasis paragraph related to changes in accounting for incremental nuclear plant outage maintenance expenses. In connection with our audits of such financial statements, we have also audited the related financial statement schedules included in Item 14(a)2 of this Form 10-K.

In our opinion the financial statement schedules referred to above, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects the information required to be included therein.

COOPERS & LYBRAND L.L.P.

New Orleans, Louisiana
February 14, 1996

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

To the Shareholders and the Board of Directors of Entergy Corporation

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of Entergy Corporation and subsidiaries and the financial statements of Arkansas Power & Light Company, Louisiana Power & Light Company, Mississippi Power & Light Company, New Orleans Public Service Inc., and System Energy Resources, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 1993, and have issued our reports thereon dated February 11, 1994, which report as to Entergy Corporation includes explanatory paragraphs as to uncertainties because of certain regulatory and litigation matters, and which report as to System Energy Resources, Inc. is dated November 30, 1994 as to Note 2, "Rate and Regulatory Matters - FERC Settlement"; such reports are included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Our audit also included the 1993 financial statement schedules of these companies, listed in Item 14(a)2. These financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the companies' managements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audit. We did not audit the financial statements of Gulf States Utilities Company (a consolidated subsidiary of Entergy Corporation acquired on December 31, 1993), which statements reflect total assets constituting 31% of consolidated total assets at December 31, 1993. Those statements were audited by other auditors whose report (which included explanatory paragraphs regarding uncertainties because of certain regulatory and litigation matters) has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for Gulf States Utilities Company, is based solely on the report of such other auditors. In our opinion, based on our audit and the report of the other auditors, such financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New Orleans, Louisiana

February 11, 1994

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Schedule
Page

I	Financial Statements of Entergy Corporation: Statements of Income - For the Years Ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993	S-2
	Statements of Cash Flows - For the Years Ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993	S-3
	Balance Sheets, December 31, 1995 and 1994	S-4
	Statements of Retained Earnings and Paid-In Capital - For the Years Ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993	S-5
II	Valuation and Qualifying Accounts 1995, 1994, and 1993:	
	Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries	S-6
	Arkansas Power & Light Company	S-7
	Gulf States Utilities Company	S-8
	Louisiana Power & Light Company	S-9
	Mississippi Power & Light Company	
S-10		
S-11	New Orleans Public Service Inc.	

Schedules other than those listed above are omitted because they are not required, not applicable or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

Columns have been omitted from schedules filed because the information is not applicable.

ENTERGY CORPORATION
SCHEDULE I-FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF ENTERGY CORPORATION
STATEMENTS OF INCOME

31,	For the Years Ended December		
	1995	1994	1993
	(In Thousands)		
Income:			
Equity in income of subsidiaries	\$549,144	\$369,701	\$557,681
Interest on temporary investments	20,641	25,496	18,520
	-----	-----	-----
Total	569,785	395,197	576,201
	-----	-----	-----
Expenses and Other Deductions:			
Administrative and general expenses	53,872	57,846	25,129
Income taxes (credit)	(5,383)	(6,350)	3,587
Taxes other than income (credit)	1,102	465	
(696)			
Interest (credit)	214	1,395	
(3,749)			
	-----	-----	-----
Total	49,805	53,356	24,271
	-----	-----	-----
Net Income	\$519,980	\$341,841	\$551,930
	=====	=====	=====

See Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8.

ENTERGY CORPORATION

SCHEDULE I - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF ENTERGY CORPORATION
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	1995	1994	1993
	(In Thousands)		
Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$519,980	\$341,841	\$551,930
Noncash items included in net income:			
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(549,144)	(369,701)	(557,681)
Deferred income taxes	(2,024)	7,007	3,771
Depreciation	1,421	959	-
Changes in working capital:			
Receivables	2,161	(5,085)	(1,082)
Payables	(3,776)	(11,945)	1,367
Other working capital accounts	(1,701)	(2,563)	531
Common stock dividends received from subsidiaries	565,589	763,400	686,700
Other	8,652	(12,137)	(20,938)
	-----	-----	-----
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	541,158	711,776	664,598
	-----	-----	-----
Investing Activities:			
Merger with GSU - cash paid	-	-	(250,000)
Investment in subsidiaries	(477,709)	(49,892)	(86,221)
Capital expenditures	-	(3,178)	(22,861)
Decrease in other temporary investments	-	-	17,012
Proceeds received from the sale of property	-	26,000	-
Advance to subsidiary	221,540	(11,840)	(24,642)
	-----	-----	-----
Net cash flow used in investing activities	(256,169)	(38,910)	(366,712)
	-----	-----	-----
Financing Activities:			
Changes in short-term borrowings	-	(43,000)	43,000
Common stock dividends paid	(408,553)	(410,223)	(287,483)
Retirement of common stock	-	(119,486)	(20,558)
	-----	-----	-----
Net cash flow used in financing activities	(408,553)	(572,709)	(265,041)
	-----	-----	-----
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(123,564)	100,157	32,845
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	252,708	152,551	119,706
	-----	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$129,144	\$252,708	\$152,551
	=====	=====	=====
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Noncash investing and financing activities:			
Merger with GSU-Common stock issued	-	-	\$2,032,071

See Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8.

ENTERGY CORPORATION

SCHEDULE I - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF ENTERGY CORPORATION
BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31,	
	1995	1994
	(In Thousands)	
ASSETS		
Investment in Wholly-owned Subsidiaries	\$6,354,267	\$6,110,504
	-----	-----
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash	25	-
Temporary cash investments - at cost, which approximates market:		
Associated companies	29,180	83,339
Other	99,939	169,369
	-----	-----
Total cash and cash equivalents	129,144	252,708
Accounts receivable:		
Associated companies	8,697	10,413
Other	356	375
Interest receivable	497	923
Other	9,511	6,901
	-----	-----
Total	148,205	271,320
	-----	-----
Deferred Debits	47,381	55,185
	-----	-----
TOTAL	\$6,549,853	\$6,437,009
	=====	=====
CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES		
Capitalization:		
Common stock, \$.01 par value in 1995 and 1994: authorized 500,000,000 shares; issued 230,017,485 shares in 1995 and 1994	\$2,300	\$2,300
Paid-in capital	4,201,483	4,202,134
Retained earnings	2,335,579	2,223,739
Less cost of treasury stock 2,251,318 shares in 1995 and 2,608,908 shares in 1994)	(67,642)	(77,378)
	-----	-----
Total common shareholders' equity	6,471,720	6,350,795
	-----	-----
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable:		
Associated companies	762	4,578
Other	1,142	1,102
Other current liabilities	5,930	5,021
	-----	-----
Total	7,834	10,701
	-----	-----
Deferred Credits and Noncurrent Liabilities	70,299	75,513
	-----	-----
Total	\$6,549,853	\$6,437,009
	=====	=====

See Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Financial Statements
in Part II, Item 8.

ENTERGY CORPORATION

SCHEDULE I - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF ENTERGY CORPORATION
STATEMENTS OF RETAINED EARNINGS AND PAID-IN CAPITAL

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	1995	1994	1993
	(In Thousands)		
Retained Earnings, January 1	\$2,223,739	\$2,310,082	\$2,062,188
Add:			
Net income	519,980	341,841	551,930
Total	----- 2,743,719	----- 2,651,923	----- 2,614,118
Deduct:			
Dividends declared on common stock	409,801	411,806	288,342
Common stock retirements	-	13,940	13,906
Capital stock and other expenses	(1,661)	2,438	1,788
Total	----- 408,140	----- 428,184	----- 304,036
Retained Earnings, December 31	----- \$2,335,579	----- \$2,223,739	----- \$2,310,082
Paid-in Capital, January 1	\$4,202,134	\$4,223,682	\$1,327,589
Add:			
Gain (loss) on reacquisition of subsidiaries' preferred stock	(26)	(23)	(20)
Issuance of 56,695,724 shares of common stock in the merger with GSU	-	-	2,027,325
Issuance of 174,552,011 shares of common stock at \$.01 par value net of the retirement of 174,552,011 shares of common stock at \$5.00 par value	-	-	871,015
Issuance of stock related to ESIP	(3,002)		
Total	----- 4,199,106	----- 4,223,659	----- 4,225,909
Deduct:			
Common stock retirements	-	22,468	4,389
Capital stock discounts and other expenses	(2,377)	(943)	(2,162)
Total	----- (2,377)	----- 21,525	----- 2,227
Paid-in Capital, December 31	----- \$4,201,483	----- \$4,202,134	----- \$4,223,682

See Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

Years Ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993

(In Thousands)

Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F
Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Additions Charged to Income	Other	Acquisition of GSU	Balance at End of Period
			Changes Deductions from Provisions (Note 1)		
Year ended December 31, 1995					
Accumulated Provisions					
Deducted from Assets--					
Doubtful Accounts	\$6,740	\$14,586	\$14,217	-	\$7,109
Other	0	12,337	0	-	12,337
Total	\$6,740	\$26,923	\$14,217	-	\$19,446
Accumulated Provisions Not Deducted from Assets:					
Property insurance	\$32,871	\$16,263	\$12,401	-	\$36,733
Injuries and damages (Note 2)	22,066	11,667	13,752	-	19,981
Environmental	42,739	7,639	10,116	-	40,262
Total	\$97,676	\$35,569	\$36,269	-	\$96,976
Year ended December 31, 1994					
Accumulated Provisions					
Deducted from Assets--					
Doubtful Accounts	\$8,808	\$8,266	\$10,334	-	\$6,740
Accumulated Provisions Not Deducted from Assets:					
Property insurance	\$34,546	\$25,592	\$27,267	-	\$32,871
Injuries and damages (Note 2)	23,096	10,993	12,023	-	22,066
Environmental	26,753	21,292	5,306	-	42,739
Total	\$84,395	\$57,877	\$44,596	-	\$97,676
Year ended December 31, 1993					
Accumulated Provisions					
Deducted from Assets--					
Doubtful Accounts	\$6,193	\$8,565	\$8,333	\$2,383	\$8,808
Accumulated Provisions Not Deducted from Assets:					
Property insurance	\$25,177	\$5,714	\$7,217	\$10,872	\$34,546
Injuries and damages (Note 2)	15,978	11,702	14,053	9,469	23,096
Environmental	8,006	1,672	1,076	18,151	26,753
Total	\$49,161	\$19,088	\$22,346	\$38,492	\$84,395

Notes:

- (1) Deductions from provisions represent losses or expenses for which the respective provisions were created. In the case of the provision for doubtful accounts, such deductions are reduced by recoveries of amounts previously written off.
- (2) Injuries and damages provision is provided to absorb all current expenses as appropriate and for the estimated cost of settling claims for injuries and damages.

ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
 Years Ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993
 (In Thousands)

Period	Column A Description	Column B Balance at Beginning of Period	Column C Additions Charged to Income	Column D Other Changes Deductions from Provisions (Note 1)	Column E Balance at End of
Year ended December 31, 1995	Accumulated Provisions Deducted from Assets-- Doubtful Accounts	\$1,950	\$3,997	\$3,889	
	\$2,058	=====	=====	=====	
	Accumulated Provisions Not Deducted from Assets: Property insurance	\$1,916	\$4,810	\$5,826	
	\$900				
	Injuries and damages (Note 2)	2,660	710	1,560	
	1,810				
	Environmental	5,350	4,435	3,271	
	6,514	-----	-----	-----	
	Total	\$9,926	\$9,955	\$10,657	
	\$9,224	=====	=====	=====	
Year ended December 31, 1994	Accumulated Provisions Deducted from Assets-- Doubtful Accounts	\$2,050	\$1,967	\$2,067	
	\$1,950	=====	=====	=====	
	Accumulated Provisions Not Deducted from Assets: Property insurance	\$2,821	\$18,782	\$19,687	
	\$1,916				
	Injuries and damages (Note 2)	3,259	1,316	1,915	
	2,660				
	Environmental	6,825	1,510	2,985	
	5,350	-----	-----	-----	
	Total	\$12,905	\$21,608	\$24,587	
	\$9,926	=====	=====	=====	
Year ended December 31, 1993	Accumulated Provisions Deducted from Assets-- Doubtful Accounts	\$1,613	\$3,439	\$3,002	
	\$2,050	=====	=====	=====	
	Accumulated Provisions Not Deducted from Assets: Property insurance	\$5,182	\$1,952	\$4,313	
	\$2,821				
	Injuries and damages	2,660	1,070	6,662	
	3,259				
	Environmental	6,766	1,122	1,063	
	6,825				

GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY

SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
 Years Ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993
 (In Thousands)

Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E
Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Additions Charged to Income	Other	Balance at End of
			Deductions from Provisions (Note 1)	
Period				
Year ended December 31, 1995				
Accumulated Provisions Deducted from Assets-- Doubtful Accounts	\$715	\$3,715	\$2,822	\$1,608
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Accumulated Provisions Not Deducted from Assets-- Property insurance	\$10,451	\$6,396	\$2,706	\$14,141
Injuries and damages (Note 2)	6,922	6,243	7,966	5,199
Environmental	20,314	2,483	933	21,864
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total	\$37,687	\$15,122	\$11,605	\$41,204
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Year ended December 31, 1994				
Accumulated Provisions Deducted from Assets-- Doubtful Accounts	\$2,383	\$701	\$2,369	\$715
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Accumulated Provisions Not Deducted from Assets-- Property insurance	\$10,872	\$2,170	\$2,591	\$10,451
Injuries and damages (Note 2)	9,469	2,970	5,517	6,922
Environmental	18,151	2,589	426	20,314
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total	\$38,492	\$7,729	\$8,534	\$37,687
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Year ended December 31, 1993				
Accumulated Provisions Deducted from Assets-- Doubtful Accounts	\$2,953	\$929	\$1,499	\$2,383
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Accumulated Provisions Not Deducted from Assets-- Property insurance	\$9,397	\$1,302	(\$173)	\$10,872
Injuries and damages (Note 2)	6,594	11,511	8,636	9,469
Environmental	19,328	3	1,180	18,151
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total	\$35,319	\$12,816	\$9,643	\$38,492
	=====	=====	=====	=====

Notes:

(1) Deductions from provisions represent losses or expenses for which the respective provisions were created. In the case of the provision for doubtful accounts, such deductions are reduced by recoveries of amounts previously written off.

(2) Injuries and damages provision is provided to absorb all current expenses as appropriate and for the estimated cost of settling claims for injuries and damages.

LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
 Years Ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993
 (In Thousands)

Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E
Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Charged to Income	Other Changes	Balance at End of
			Deductions from Provisions (Note 1)	
Period				
Year ended December 31, 1995				
Accumulated Provisions				
Deducted from Assets--				
Doubtful Accounts	\$1,175	\$2,450	\$2,235	
\$1,390	=====	=====	=====	
=====				
Accumulated Provisions Not				
Deducted from Assets:				
Property insurance	\$814	\$3,537	\$3,338	
\$1,013				
Injuries and damages (Note 2)	7,350	4,486	3,422	
8,414				
Environmental	16,394	(89)	4,926	
11,379	-----	-----	-----	

Total	\$24,558	\$7,934	\$11,686	
\$20,806	=====	=====	=====	
=====				
Year ended December 31, 1994				
Accumulated Provisions				
Deducted from Assets--				
Doubtful Accounts	\$1,075	\$2,023	\$1,923	
\$1,175	=====	=====	=====	
=====				
Accumulated Provisions Not				
Deducted from Assets:				
Property insurance	\$2,388	\$3,120	\$4,694	
\$814				
Injuries and damages (Note 2)	4,779	5,848	3,277	
7,350				
Environmental	1,237	16,868	1,711	
16,394	-----	-----	-----	

Total	\$8,404	\$25,836	\$9,682	
\$24,558	=====	=====	=====	
=====				
Year ended December 31, 1993				
Accumulated Provisions				
Deducted from Assets--				
Doubtful Accounts	\$1,956	\$337	\$1,218	
\$1,075	=====	=====	=====	
=====				
Accumulated Provisions Not				
Deducted from Assets:				
Property insurance	\$2,474	\$1,800	\$1,886	
\$2,388				
Injuries and damages	4,779	6,015	4,122	
4,779				
Environmental	700	550	13	
1,237				

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
 Years Ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993
 (In Thousands)

Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E
Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Charged to Income	Other Changes	Balance at End of
			Deductions from Provisions (Note 1)	
Period				
Year ended December 31, 1995				
Accumulated Provisions				
Deducted from Assets--				
Doubtful Accounts	\$2,070	\$1,691	\$2,176	
\$1,585	=====	=====	=====	
=====				
Accumulated Provisions Not				
Deducted from Assets:				
Property insurance	\$3,779	\$1,520	\$286	
\$5,013				
Injuries and damages (Note 2)	3,725	(1,154)	6	
2,565				
Environmental	684	735	952	
467	-----	-----	-----	

Total	\$8,188	\$1,101	\$1,244	
\$8,045	=====	=====	=====	
=====				
Year ended December 31, 1994				
Accumulated Provisions				
Deducted from Assets--				
Doubtful Accounts	\$2,470	\$1,897	\$2,297	
\$2,070	=====	=====	=====	
=====				
Accumulated Provisions Not				
Deducted from Assets:				
Property insurance	\$2,554	\$1,520	\$295	
\$3,779				
Injuries and damages (Note 2)	3,478	365	118	
3,725				
Environmental	500	300	116	
684	-----	-----	-----	

Total	\$6,532	\$2,185	\$529	
\$8,188	=====	=====	=====	
=====				
Year ended December 31, 1993				
Accumulated Provisions				
Deducted from Assets--				
Doubtful Accounts	\$1,274	\$3,629	\$2,433	
\$2,470	=====	=====	=====	
=====				
Accumulated Provisions Not				
Deducted from Assets:				
Property insurance	\$2,051	\$1,521	\$1,018	
\$2,554				
Injuries and damages	2,064	500	1,369	
3,478				
Environmental	500	-	-	
500				

NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC SERVICE INC.

SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
 Years Ended December 31, 1995, 1994, and 1993
 (In Thousands)

Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E
Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Additions Charged to Income	Other Changes Deductions from Provisions (Note 1)	Balance at End of Period
Year ended December 31, 1995				
Accumulated Provisions Deducted from Assets-- Doubtful Accounts	\$830	\$2,733	\$3,095	\$468
Accumulated Provisions Not Deducted from Assets:				
Property insurance	\$15,911	-	\$245	\$15,666
Injuries and damages (Note 2)	1,409	1,382	798	1,993
Environmental	(3)	75	34	38
Total	\$17,317	\$1,457	\$1,077	\$17,697
Year ended December 31, 1994				
Accumulated Provisions Deducted from Assets-- Doubtful Accounts	\$830	\$1,678	\$1,678	\$830
Accumulated Provisions Not Deducted from Assets:				
Property insurance	\$15,911	-	-	\$15,911
Injuries and damages (Note 2)	2,111	494	1,196	1,409
Environmental	40	25	68	
(3)				
Total	\$18,062	\$519	\$1,264	\$17,317
Year ended December 31, 1993				
Accumulated Provisions Deducted from Assets-- Doubtful Accounts	\$1,350	\$1,160	\$1,680	\$830
Accumulated Provisions Not Deducted from Assets:				
Property insurance	\$15,470	\$441	-	\$15,911
Injuries and damages (Note 2)	2,329	1,682	1,900	2,111
Environmental	40	-	-	40
Total	\$17,839	\$2,123	\$1,900	\$18,062

Notes:

- (1) Deductions from provisions represent losses or expenses for which the respective provisions were created. In the case of the provision for doubtful accounts, such deductions are reduced by recoveries of amounts previously written off.
- (2) Injuries and damages provision is provided to absorb all current expenses as appropriate and for the estimated cost of settling claims for injuries and damages.

EXHIBIT INDEX

The following exhibits indicated by an asterisk preceding the exhibit number are filed herewith. The balance of the exhibits have heretofore been filed with the SEC, respectively, as the exhibits and in the file numbers indicated and are incorporated herein by reference. The exhibits marked with a (+) are management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements required to be filed herewith and required to be identified as such by Item 14 of Form 10-K. Reference is made to a duplicate list of exhibits being filed as a part of this Form 10-K, which list, prepared in accordance with Item 102 of Regulation S-T of the SEC, immediately precedes the exhibits being physically filed with this Form 10-K.

(3) (i) Articles of Incorporation

Entergy Corporation

- (a) 1 -- Certificate of Incorporation of Entergy Corporation dated December 31, 1993 (A-1(a) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-8059).

System Energy

- (b) 1 -- Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of System Energy and amendments thereto through April 28, 1989 (A-1(a) to Form U-1 in 70-5399).

AP&L

- (c) 1 -- Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of AP&L and amendments thereto through May 27, 1992 (4(c) in 33-50289).

GSU

- (d) 1 -- Restated Articles of Incorporation of GSU and amendments thereto through May 28, 1993 (A-11 in 70- 8059).
(d) 2 -- Statement of Resolution amending Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended, of GSU (A-11(a) in 70- 8059).

LP&L

- (e) 1 -- Restated Articles of Incorporation of LP&L and amendments thereto through July 21, 1994 (3(a) to Form 10- Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1994 in 1-8474).

MP&L

- *(f) 1 -- Restated Articles of Incorporation of MP&L and amendments thereto through January 19, 1996.

NOPSI

- (g) 1 -- Restatement of Articles of Incorporation of NOPSI and amendments thereto through July 21, 1994 (3(c) to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1994 in 0-5807).

(3) (ii) By-Laws

- (a) -- By-Laws of Entergy Corporation effective August 25, 1992, and as presently in effect (A-2(a) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-8059).
(b) -- By-Laws of System Energy effective May 4, 1989, and as presently in effect (A-2(a) in 70-5399).
(c) -- By-Laws of AP&L as amended effective May 5, 1994, and as presently in effect (4(f) in 33-50289).
(d) -- By-Laws of GSU as amended effective May 5, 1994, and as presently in effect (A-12 in 70-8059).
(e) -- By-Laws of LP&L effective January 23, 1984, and as presently in effect (A-4 in 70-6962).

*f) -- By-Laws of MP&L effective April 5, 1995, and as presently in effect.

(g) -- By-Laws of NOPSI effective May 5, 1994, and as presently in effect (3(b) to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1989 in 0-5807).

(4) Instruments Defining Rights of Security Holders, Including Indentures

Entergy Corporation

(a) 1 -- See (4)(b) through (4)(g) below for instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of System Energy, AP&L, GSU, LP&L, MP&L and NOPSI.

(a) 2 -- Credit Agreement, dated as of October 3, 1989, between System Fuels and The Yasuda Trust and Banking Co., Ltd., New York Branch, as agent (B-1(c) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated October 6, 1989, in 70-7668).

(a) 3 -- First Amendment, dated as of March 1, 1992, to Credit Agreement, dated as of October 3, 1989, between System Fuels and The Yasuda Trust and Banking Co., Ltd., New York Branch, as agent (4(a)5 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991 in 1-3517).

(a) 4 -- Second Amendment, dated as of September 30, 1992, to Credit Agreement dated as of October 3, 1989, between System Fuels and The Yasuda Trust and Banking Co., Ltd., New York Branch, as agent (4(a)6 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

(a) 5 -- Security Agreement, dated as of October 3, 1989, as amended, between System Fuels and The Yasuda Trust and Banking Co., Ltd., New York Branch, as agent (B-3(c) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated October 6, 1989, in 70-7668), as amended by First Amendment to Security Agreement, dated as of March 14, 1990 (A to Rule 24 Certificate, dated March 7, 1990, in 70-7668).

(a) 6 -- Consent and Agreement, dated as of October 3, 1989, among System Fuels, The Yasuda Trust and Banking Co., Ltd., New York Branch, as agent, AP&L, LP&L, and System Energy (B-5(c) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated October 6, 1989, in 70-7668).

(a) 7 -- Credit Agreement, dated as of October 10, 1995, among Entergy, the Banks (Bank of America National Trust & Savings Association, The Bank of New York, Chemical Bank, Citibank, N.A., Union Bank of Switzerland, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., the Bank of Nova Scotia, Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, Bank N.A., First National Bank of Commerce and Whitney National Bank) and Citibank, N.A., as Agent (Exhibit B to Rule 24 Certificate dated October 20, 1995 in File No. 70-8149).

System Energy

(b) 1 -- Mortgage and Deed of Trust, dated as of June 15, 1977, as amended by nineteen Supplemental Indentures (A-1 in 70-5890 (Mortgage); B and C to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-5890 (First); B to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6259 (Second); 20(a)-5 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1981, in 1-3517 (Third); A-1(e)-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6985 (Fourth); B to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7021 (Fifth); B to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7021 (Sixth); A-3(b) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7026 (Seventh); A-3(b) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7158 (Eighth); B to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7123 (Ninth); B-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7272 (Tenth); B-2 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7272 (Eleventh); B-3 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7272 (Twelfth); B-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7382 (Thirteenth); B-2 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7382 (Fourteenth); A-2(c) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7946 (Fifteenth); A-2(c) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7946 (Sixteenth); A-2(d) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7946 (Seventeenth); A-2(e) to Rule 24 Certificate dated May 4, 1993 in 70-7946 (Eighteenth); and A-2(g) to Rule 24 Certificate dated May 6, 1994, in 70-7946 (Nineteenth)).

(b) 2 -- Facility Lease No. 1, dated as of December 1, 1988, between Meridian Trust Company and Stephen M. Carta (Steven Kaba, successor), as Owner Trustees, and System Energy (B-2(c)(1) to Rule 24 Certificate dated January 9, 1989 in 70-7561), as supplemented by Lease Supplement No. 1 dated as of April 1, 1989 (B-22(b) (1) to Rule 24 Certificate dated April 21, 1989 in 70-7561) and Lease Supplement No. 2 dated as of January 1, 1994 (B-3(d) to Rule 24 Certificate dated January 31, 1994 in 70- 8215).

(b) 3 -- Facility Lease No. 2, dated as of December 1, 1988 between Meridian Trust Company and Stephen M. Carta (Steven Kaba, successor), as Owner Trustees, and System Energy (B-2(c)(2) to Rule 24 Certificate dated January 9, 1989 in 70-7561), as supplemented by Lease Supplement No. 1 dated as of April 1, 1989 (B-22(b) (2) to Rule 24 Certificate dated April 21, 1989 in 70-7561) and Lease Supplement No. 2 dated as of January 1, 1994 (B-4(d) Rule 24 Certificate dated January 31, 1994 in 70-8215).

(b) 4 -- Indenture (for Unsecured Debt Securities), dated as of September 1, 1995, between System Energy Resources, Inc., and Chemical Bank (B-10(a) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-8511).

AP&L

(c) 1 -- Mortgage and Deed of Trust, dated as of October 1, 1944, as amended by fifty-two Supplemental Indentures (7(d) in 2-5463 (Mortgage); 7(b) in 2-7121 (First); 7(c) in 2-7605 (Second); 7(d) in 2-8100 (Third); 7(a)-4 in 2-8482 (Fourth); 7(a)-5 in 2-9149 (Fifth); 4(a)-6 in 2-9789 (Sixth); 4(a)-7 in 2-10261 (Seventh); 4(a)-8 in 2-11043 (Eighth); 2(b)-9 in 2-11468 (Ninth); 2(b)-10 in 2-15767 (Tenth); D in 70-3952 (Eleventh); D in 70-4099 (Twelfth); 4(d) in 2-23185 (Thirteenth); 2(c) in 2-24414 (Fourteenth); 2(c) in 2-25913 (Fifteenth); 2(c) in 2-28869 (Sixteenth); 2(d) in 2-28869 (Seventeenth); 2(c) in 2-35107 (Eighteenth); 2(d) in 2-36646 (Nineteenth); 2(c) in 2-39253 (Twentieth); 2(c) in 2-41080 (Twenty-first); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-5151 (Twenty-second); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-5257 (Twenty-third); C to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-5343 (Twenty-fourth); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-5404 (Twenty-fifth); C to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-5502 (Twenty-sixth); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-5556 (Twenty-seventh); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-5693 (Twenty-eighth); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6078 (Twenty-ninth); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6174 (Thirtieth); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6246 (Thirty-first); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6498 (Thirty-second); A-4b-2 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6326 (Thirty-third); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6607 (Thirty-fourth); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6650 (Thirty-fifth); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate, dated December 1, 1982, in 70-6774 (Thirty-sixth); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate, dated February 17, 1983, in 70-6774 (Thirty-seventh); A-2(a) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated December 5, 1984, in 70-6858 (Thirty-eighth); A-3(a) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7127 (Thirty-ninth); A-7 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7068 (Fortieth); A-8(b) to Rule 24 Certificate dated July 6, 1989 in 70-7346 (Forty-first); A-8(c) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated February 1, 1990 in 70-7346 (Forty-second); 4 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1990 in 1-10764 (Forty-third); A-2(a) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated November 30, 1990, in 70-7802 (Forty-fourth); A-2(b) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated January 24, 1991, in 70-7802 (Forty-fifth); 4(d)(2) in 33-54298 (Forty-sixth); 4(c)(2) to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-10764 (Forty-seventh); 4(b) to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1993 in 1-10764 (Forty-eighth); 4(c) to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1993 in 1-10764 (Forty-ninth); 4(b) to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1993 in 1-10764 (Fiftieth); 4(c) to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1993 in 1-10764 (Fifty-first); and 4(a) to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1994 (Fifty-second)).

GSU

(d) 1 -- Indenture of Mortgage, dated September 1, 1926, as amended by certain Supplemental Indentures (B-a-I-1 in Registration No. 2-2449 (Mortgage); 7-A-9 in Registration No. 2-6893 (Seventh); B to Form 8-K dated September 1, 1959 (Eighteenth); B to Form 8-K dated February 1, 1966 (Twenty-second); B to Form 8-K dated March 1, 1967 (Twenty-third); C to Form 8-K dated March 1, 1968 (Twenty-fourth); B to Form 8-K dated November 1, 1968 (Twenty-fifth); B to Form 8-K dated April 1, 1969 (Twenty-sixth); 2-A-8 in Registration No. 2-66612 (Thirty-eighth); 4-2 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1984 in 1-2703 (Forty-eighth); 4-2 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1988 in 1-2703 (Fifty-second); 4 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991 in 1-2703 (Fifty-third); 4 to Form 8-K dated July 29, 1992 in 1-2703 (Fifth-fourth); 4 to Form 10-K dated December 31, 1992 in 1-2703 (Fifty-fifth); 4 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1993 in 1-2703 (Fifty-sixth); and 4-2 to Amendment No. 9 to Registration No. 2-76551 (Fifty-seventh)).

(d) 2 -- Indenture, dated March 21, 1939, accepting resignation of The Chase National Bank of the City of New York as trustee and appointing Central Hanover Bank and Trust Company as successor trustee (B-a-1-6 in Registration No. 2-4076).

(d) 3 -- Trust Indenture for 9.72% Debentures due July 1, 1998 (4 in Registration No. 33-40113).

LP&L

(e) 1 -- Mortgage and Deed of Trust, dated as of April 1, 1944, as amended by fifty Supplemental Indentures (7(d) in 2-5317 (Mortgage); 7(b) in 2-7408 (First); 7(c) in 2-8636 (Second); 4(b)-3 in 2-10412 (Third); 4(b)-4 in 2-12264 (Fourth); 2(b)-5 in 2-12936 (Fifth); D in 70-3862 (Sixth); 2(b)-7 in 2-22340 (Seventh); 2(c) in 2-24429 (Eighth); 4(c)-9 in 2-25801 (Ninth); 4(c)-10 in 2-26911 (Tenth); 2(c) in 2-28123 (Eleventh); 2(c) in 2-34659 (Twelfth); C to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-4793 (Thirteenth); 2(b)-2 in 2-38378 (Fourteenth); 2(b)-2 in 2-39437 (Fifteenth); 2(b)-2 in 2-42523 (Sixteenth); C to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-5242 (Seventeenth); C to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-5330 (Eighteenth); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-5449 (Nineteenth); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-5550 (Twentieth); A-6(a) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-5598 (Twenty-first); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-5711 (Twenty-second); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-5919 (Twenty-third); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6102 (Twenty-fourth); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6169 (Twenty-fifth); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6278 (Twenty-sixth); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6355 (Twenty-seventh); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6508 (Twenty-eighth); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6556 (Twenty-ninth); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6635 (Thirtieth); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6834 (Thirty-first); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6886 (Thirty-second); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6993 (Thirty-third); C-2 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6993 (Thirty-fourth); C-3 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6993 (Thirty-fifth); A-2(a) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7166 (Thirty-sixth); A-2(a) in 70-7226 (Thirty-seventh); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7270 (Thirty-eighth); 4(a) to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1988, in 1-8474 (Thirty-ninth); A-2(b) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7553 (Fortieth); A-2(d) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7553 (Forty-first); A-3(a) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7822 (Forty-second); A-3(b) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7822 (Forty-third); A-2(b) to Rule 24 Certificate in File No. 70-7822 (Forty-fourth); A-3(c) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7822 (Forty-fifth); A-2(c) to Rule 24 Certificate dated April 7, 1993 in 70-7822 (Forty-sixth); A-3(d) to Rule 24 Certificate dated June 4, 1993 in 70-7822 (Forty-seventh); A-3(e) to Rule 24 Certificate dated December 21, 1993 in 70-7822 (Forty-eighth); A-3(f) to Rule 24 Certificate dated August 1, 1994 in 70-7822 (Forty-ninth) and A-4(c) to

Rule 24 Certificate dated September 28, 1994 in 70-7653 (Fiftieth)).

(e) 2 -- Facility Lease No. 1, dated as of September 1, 1989, between First National Bank of Commerce, as Owner Trustee, and LP&L (4(c)-1 in Registration No. 33-30660).

(e) 3 -- Facility Lease No. 2, dated as of September 1, 1989, between First National Bank of Commerce, as Owner Trustee, and LP&L (4(c)-2 in Registration No. 33-30660).

(e) 4 -- Facility Lease No. 3, dated as of September 1, 1989, between First National Bank of Commerce, as Owner Trustee, and LP&L (4(c)-3 in Registration No. 33-30660).

MP&L

(f) 1 -- Mortgage and Deed of Trust, dated as of September 1, 1944, as amended by twenty-five Supplemental Indentures (7(d) in 2-5437 (Mortgage); 7(b) in 2-7051 (First); 7(c) in 2-7763 (Second); 7(d) in 2-8484 (Third); 4(b)-4 in 2-10059 (Fourth); 2(b)-5 in 2-13942 (Fifth); A-11 to Form U-1 in 70-4116 (Sixth); 2(b)-7 in 2-23084 (Seventh); 4(c)-9 in 2-24234 (Eighth); 2(b)-9(a) in 2-25502 (Ninth); A-11(a) to Form U-1 in 70-4803 (Tenth); A-12(a) to Form U-1 in 70-4892 (Eleventh); A-13(a) to Form U-1 in 70-5165 (Twelfth); A-14(a) to Form U-1 in 70-5286 (Thirteenth); A-15(a) to Form U-1 in 70-5371 (Fourteenth); A-16(a) to Form U-1 in 70-5417 (Fifteenth); A-17 to Form U-1 in 70-5484 (Sixteenth); 2(a)-19 in 2-54234 (Seventeenth); C-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6619 (Eighteenth); A-2(c) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6672 (Nineteenth); A-2(d) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6672 (Twentieth); C-1(a) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6816 (Twenty-first); C-1(a) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7020 (Twenty-second); C-1(b) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7020 (Twenty-third); C-1(a) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7230 (Twenty-fourth); and A-2(a) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7419 (Twenty-fifth)).

(f) 2 -- Mortgage and Deed of Trust, dated as of February 1, 1988, as amended by tenth Supplemental Indentures (A-2(a)-2 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7461 (Mortgage); A-2(b)-2 in 70-7461 (First); A-5(b) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7419 (Second); A-4(b) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7554 (Third); A-1(b)-1 to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7737 (Fourth); A-2(b) to Rule 24 Certificate dated November 24, 1992 in 70-7914 (Fifth); A-2(e) to Rule 24 Certificate dated January 22, 1993 in 70-7914 (Sixth); A-2(g) to Form U-1 in 70-7914 (Seventh); A-2(i) to Rule 24 Certificate dated November 10, 1993 in 70-7914 (Eighth); A-2(j) to Rule 24 Certificate dated July 22, 1994 in 70-7914 (Ninth); and A-2(l) to Rule 24 Certificate dated April 21, 1995 in File 70-7914 (Tenth)).

NOPSI

(g) 1 -- Mortgage and Deed of Trust, dated as of July 1, 1944, as amended by eleven Supplemental Indentures (B-3 in 2-5411 (Mortgage); 7(b) in 2-7674 (First); 4(a)-2 in 2-10126 (Second); 4(b) in 2-12136 (Third); 2(b)-4 in 2-17959 (Fourth); 2(b)-5 in 2-19807 (Fifth); D to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-4023 (Sixth); 2(c) in 2-24523 (Seventh); 4(c)-9 in 2-26031 (Eighth); 2(a)-3 in 2-50438 (Ninth); 2(a)-3 in 2-62575 (Tenth); and A-2(b) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7262 (Eleventh)).

(g) 2 -- Mortgage and Deed of Trust, dated as of May 1, 1987, as amended by four Supplemental Indentures (A-2(c) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7350 (Mortgage); A-5(b) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7350 (First); A-4(b) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7448 (Second); 4(f)4 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 0-5807 (Third); 4(a) to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1993 in 0-5807 (Fourth); and 4(a) to Form 8-K dated April 26, 1995 in File No. 0-5807 (Fifth)).

(10) Material Contracts

Entergy Corporation

(a) 1 -- Agreement, dated April 23, 1982, among certain System companies, relating to System Planning and Development and Intra-System Transactions (10(a)1 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1982, in 1-3517).

(a) 2 -- Middle South Utilities System Agency Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a)-2 in 2-41080).

(a) 3 -- Amendment, dated February 10, 1971, to Middle South Utilities System Agency Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a)-4 in 2-41080).

(a) 4 -- Amendment, dated May 12, 1988, to Middle South Utilities System Agency Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a)-4 in 2-41080).

(a) 5 -- Middle South Utilities System Agency Coordination Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a)-3 in 2-41080).

(a) 6 -- Service Agreement with Entergy Services, dated as of April 1, 1963 (5(a)-5 in 2-41080).

(a) 7 -- Amendment, dated January 1, 1972, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (5(a)-6 in 2-43175).

- (a) 8 -- Amendment, dated April 27, 1984, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(a)-7 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1984, in 1-3517).
- (a) 9 -- Amendment, dated August 1, 1988, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(a)-8 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1988, in 1-3517).
- (a) 10-- Amendment, dated January 1, 1991, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(a)-9 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990, in 1-3517).
- (a) 11-- Amendment, dated January 1, 1992, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(a)-11 for the year ended December 31, 1994 in 1-3517).
- (a) 12-- Availability Agreement, dated June 21, 1974, among System Energy and certain other System companies (B to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 24, 1974, in 70-5399).
- (a) 13-- First Amendment to Availability Agreement, dated as of June 30, 1977 (B to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 24, 1977, in 70-5399).
- (a) 14-- Second Amendment to Availability Agreement, dated as of June 15, 1981 (E to Rule 24 Certificate, dated July 1, 1981, in 70-6592).
- (a) 15-- Third Amendment to Availability Agreement, dated as of June 28, 1984 (B-13(a) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated July 6, 1984, in 70-6985).
- (a) 16-- Fourth Amendment to Availability Agreement, dated as of June 1, 1989 (A to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 8, 1989, in 70-5399).
- (a) 17-- Fifteenth Assignment of Availability Agreement, Consent and Agreement, dated as of May 1, 1986, with Deposit Guaranty National Bank, United States Trust Company of New York and Malcolm J. Hood, as Trustees (B-3(b) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 5, 1986, in 70-7158).
- (a) 18-- Eighteenth Assignment of Availability Agreement, Consent and Agreement, dated as of September 1, 1986, with United States Trust Company of New York and Gerard F. Ganey, as Trustees (C-2 to Rule 24 Certificate, dated October 1, 1986, in 70-7272).
- (a) 19-- Nineteenth Assignment of Availability Agreement, Consent and Agreement, dated as of September 1, 1986, with United States Trust Company of New York and Gerard F. Ganey, as Trustees (C-3 to Rule 24 Certificate, dated October 1, 1986, in 70-7272).
- (a) 20-- Twenty-sixth Assignment of Availability Agreement, Consent and Agreement, dated as of October 1, 1992, with United States Trust Company of New York and Gerard F. Ganey, as Trustees (B-2(c) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated November 2, 1992, in 70-7946).
- (a) 21-- Twenty-seventh Assignment of Availability Agreement, Consent and Agreement, dated as of April 1, 1993, with United States Trust Company of New York and Gerard F. Ganey as Trustees (B-2(d) to Rule 24 Certificate dated May 4, 1993 in 70-7946).
- (a) 22-- Twenty-eighth Assignment of Availability Agreement, Consent and Agreement, dated as of December 17, 1993, with Chemical Bank, as Agent (B-2(a) to Rule 24 Certificate dated December 22, 1993 in 70-7561).
- (a) 23-- Twenty-ninth Assignment of Availability Agreement, Consent and Agreement, dated as of April 1, 1994, with United States Trust Company of New York and Gerard F. Ganey as Trustees (B-2(f) to Rule 24 Certificate dated May 6, 1994, in 70-7946).
- (a) 24-- Capital Funds Agreement, dated June 21, 1974, between Entergy Corporation and System Energy (C to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 24, 1974, in 70-5399).
- (a) 25-- First Amendment to Capital Funds Agreement, dated as of June 1, 1989 (B to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 8, 1989, in 70-5399).
- (a) 26-- Fifteenth Supplementary Capital Funds Agreement and Assignment, dated as of May 1, 1986, with Deposit Guaranty National Bank, United States Trust Company of New York and Malcolm J. Hood, as Trustees (B-4(b) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 5, 1986, in 70-7158).
- (a) 27-- Eighteenth Supplementary Capital Funds Agreement and Assignment, dated as of September 1, 1986, with United States Trust Company of New York and Gerard F. Ganey, as Trustees (D-2 to Rule 24 Certificate, dated October 1, 1986, in 70-7272).
- (a) 28-- Nineteenth Supplementary Capital Funds Agreement and Assignment, dated as of September 1, 1986, with United States Trust Company of New York and Gerard F. Ganey, as Trustees (D-3 to Rule 24 Certificate, dated October 1, 1986, in 70-7272).

- (a) 29-- Twenty-sixth Supplementary Capital Funds Agreement and Assignment, dated as of October 1, 1992, with United States Trust Company of New York and Gerard F. Ganey, as Trustees (B-3(c) to Rule 24 Certificate dated November 2, 1992 in 70-7946).
- (a) 30-- Twenty-seventh Supplementary Capital Funds Agreement and Assignment, dated as of April 1, 1993, with United States Trust Company of New York and Gerard F. Ganey, as Trustees (B-3(d) to Rule 24 Certificate dated May 4, 1993 in 70-7946).
- (a) 31-- Twenty-eighth Supplementary Capital Funds Agreement and Assignment, dated as of December 17, 1993, with Chemical Bank, as Agent (B-3(a) to Rule 24 Certificate dated December 22, 1993 in 70-7561).
- (a) 32-- Twenty-ninth Supplementary Capital Funds Agreement and Assignment, dated as of April 1, 1994, with United States Trust Company of New York and Gerard F. Ganey, as Trustees (B-3(f) to Rule 24 Certificate dated May 6, 1994, in 70-7946).
- (a) 33-- First Amendment to Supplementary Capital Funds Agreements and Assignments, dated as of June 1, 1989, by and between Entergy Corporation, System Energy, Deposit Guaranty National Bank, United States Trust Company of New York and Gerard F. Ganey (C to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 8, 1989, in 70-7026).
- (a) 34-- First Amendment to Supplementary Capital Funds Agreements and Assignments, dated as of June 1, 1989, by and between Entergy Corporation, System Energy, United States Trust Company of New York and Gerard F. Ganey (C to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 8, 1989, in 70-7123).
- (a) 35-- First Amendment to Supplementary Capital Funds Agreement and Assignment, dated as of June 1, 1989, by and between Entergy Corporation, System Energy and Chemical Bank (C to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 8, 1989, in 70-7561).
- +(a) 36-- Agreement between Entergy Corporation and Edwin Lupberger (10(a)-42 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1985, in 1-3517).
- (a) 37-- Reallocation Agreement, dated as of July 28, 1981, among System Energy and certain other System companies (B-1(a) in 70-6624).
- (a) 38-- Joint Construction, Acquisition and Ownership Agreement, dated as of May 1, 1980, between System Energy and SMEPA (B-1(a) in 70-6337), as amended by Amendment No. 1, dated as of May 1, 1980 (B-1(c) in 70-6337) and Amendment No. 2, dated as of October 31, 1980 (1 to Rule 24 Certificate, dated October 30, 1981, in 70-6337).
- (a) 39-- Operating Agreement dated as of May 1, 1980, between System Energy and SMEPA (B(2)(a) in 70-6337).
- (a) 40-- Assignment, Assumption and Further Agreement No. 1, dated as of December 1, 1988, among System Energy, Meridian Trust Company and Stephen M. Carta, and SMEPA (B-7(c)(1) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated January 9, 1989, in 70-7561).
- (a) 41-- Assignment, Assumption and Further Agreement No. 2, dated as of December 1, 1988, among System Energy, Meridian Trust Company and Stephen M. Carta, and SMEPA (B-7(c)(2) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated January 9, 1989, in 70-7561).
- (a) 42-- Substitute Power Agreement, dated as of May 1, 1980, among MP&L, System Energy and SMEPA (B(3)(a) in 70-6337).
- (a) 43-- Grand Gulf Unit No. 2 Supplementary Agreement, dated as of February 7, 1986, between System Energy and SMEPA (10(aaa) in 33-4033).
- (a) 44-- Compromise and Settlement Agreement, dated June 4, 1982, between Texaco, Inc. and LP&L (28(a) to Form 8-K, dated June 4, 1982, in 1-3517).
- +(a) 45-- Post-Retirement Plan (10(a)37 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1983, in 1-3517).
- (a) 46-- Unit Power Sales Agreement, dated as of June 10, 1982, between System Energy and AP&L, LP&L, MP&L and NOPSI (10(a)-39 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1982, in 1-3517).
- (a) 47-- First Amendment to Unit Power Sales Agreement, dated as of June 28, 1984, between System Energy and AP&L, LP&L, MP&L and NOPSI (19 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1984, in 1-3517).
- (a) 48-- Revised Unit Power Sales Agreement (10(ss) in 33-4033).
- (a) 49-- Middle South Utilities Inc. and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement, dated April 28, 1988 (Exhibit D-1 to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1987).

- (a) 50-- First Amendment, dated January 1, 1990, to the Middle South Utilities Inc. and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement (D-2 to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1989).
- (a) 51-- Second Amendment dated January 1, 1992, to the Entergy Corporation and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement (D-3 to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1992).
- (a) 52-- Third Amendment dated January 1, 1994 to Entergy Corporation and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement (D-3(a) to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1993).
- (a) 53-- Guaranty Agreement between Entergy Corporation and AP&L, dated as of September 20, 1990 (B-1(a) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated September 27, 1990, in 70-7757).
- (a) 54-- Guarantee Agreement between Entergy Corporation and LP&L, dated as of September 20, 1990 (B-2(a) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated September 27, 1990, in 70-7757).
- (a) 55-- Guarantee Agreement between Entergy Corporation and System Energy, dated as of September 20, 1990 (B-3(a) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated September 27, 1990, in 70- 7757).
- (a) 56-- Loan Agreement between Entergy Operations and Entergy Corporation, dated as of September 20, 1990 (B-12(b) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 15, 1990, in 70-7679).
- (a) 57-- Loan Agreement between Entergy Power and Entergy Corporation, dated as of August 28, 1990 (A-4(b) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated September 6, 1990, in 70-7684).
- (a) 58-- Loan Agreement between Entergy Corporation and Entergy Systems and Service, Inc., dated as of December 29, 1992 (A-4(b) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7947).
- + (a) 59-- Executive Financial Counseling Program of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a) 52 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989, in 1-3517).
- + (a) 60-- Entergy Corporation Annual Incentive Plan (10(a) 54 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989, in 1-3517).
- + (a) 61-- Equity Ownership Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (A-4(a) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated May 24, 1991, in 70-7831).
- + (a) 62-- Retired Outside Director Benefit Plan (10(a)63 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991, in 1-3517).
- + (a) 63-- Agreement between Entergy Corporation and Jerry D. Jackson. (10(a) 67 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (a) 64-- Agreement between Entergy Services, Inc., a subsidiary of Entergy Corporation, and Gerald D. McInvale (10(a) 68 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (a) 65-- Supplemental Retirement Plan (10(a) 69 to Form 10- K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (a) 66-- Defined Contribution Restoration Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a)53 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989 in 1-3517).
- + (a) 67-- Amendment No. 1 to the Equity Ownership Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a) 71 to Form 10- K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (a) 68-- Executive Disability Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a) 72 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (a) 69-- Executive Medical Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a) 73 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (a) 70-- Stock Plan for Outside Directors of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries, as amended (10(a) 74 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1- 3517).
- + (a) 71-- Summary Description of Private Ownership Vehicle Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a) 75 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1- 3517).

(a) 72-- Agreement and Plan of Reorganization Between Entergy Corporation and Gulf States Utilities Company, dated June 5, 1992 (1 to Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 5, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(a) 73-- Amendment to Defined Contribution Restoration Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a) 81 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993 in 1-11299).

+(a) 74-- System Executive Retirement Plan (10(a) 82 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993 in 1-11299).

System Energy

(b) 1 through

(b) 12-- See 10(a)-12 through 10(a)-23 above.

(b) 13 through

(b) 24-- See 10(a)-24 through 10(a)-35 above.

(b) 25-- Reallocation Agreement, dated as of July 28, 1981, among System Energy and certain other System companies (B-1(a) in 70-6624).

(b) 26-- Joint Construction, Acquisition and Ownership Agreement, dated as of May 1, 1980, between System Energy and SMEPA (B-1(a) in 70-6337), as amended by Amendment No. 1, dated as of May 1, 1980 (B-1(c) in 70-6337) and Amendment No. 2, dated as of October 31, 1980 (1 to Rule 24 Certificate, dated October 30, 1981, in 70-6337).

(b) 27-- Operating Agreement, dated as of May 1, 1980, between System Energy and SMEPA (B(2)(a) in 70-6337).

(b) 28-- Installment Sale Agreement, dated as of December 1, 1983 between System Energy and Claiborne County, Mississippi (B-1 to First Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6913).

(b) 29-- Installment Sale Agreement, dated as of June 1, 1984, between System Energy and Claiborne County, Mississippi (B-2 to Second Rule 24 Certificate in 70-6913).

(b) 30-- Installment Sale Agreement, dated as of December 1, 1984, between System Energy and Claiborne County, Mississippi (B-1 to First Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7026).

(b) 31-- Installment Sale Agreement, dated as of May 1, 1986, between System Energy and Claiborne County, Mississippi (B-1(b) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7158).

(b) 32-- Amended and Restated Installment Sale Agreement, dated as of May 1, 1995, between System Energy and Claiborne County, Mississippi (B-6(a) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-8511).

(b) 33-- Facility Lease No. 1, dated as of December 1, 1988, between Meridian Trust Company and Stephen M. Carta (Stephen J. Kaba, successor), as Owner Trustees, and System Energy (B-2(c)(1) to Rule 24 Certificate dated January 9, 1989 in 70-7561), as supplemented by Lease Supplement No. 1 dated as of April 1, 1989 (B-22(b) (1) to Rule 24 Certificate dated April 21, 1989 in 70-7561) and Lease Supplement No. 2 dated as of January 1, 1994 (B-3(d) to Rule 24 Certificate dated January 31, 1994 in 70- 8215).

(b) 34-- Facility Lease No. 2, dated as of December 1, 1988 between Meridian Trust Company and Stephen M. Carta (Stephen J. Kaba, successor), as Owner Trustees, and System Energy (B-2(c)(2) to Rule 24 Certificate dated January 9, 1989 in 70-7561), as supplemented by Lease Supplement No. 1 dated as of April 1, 1989 (B-22(b) (2) to Rule 24 Certificate dated April 21, 1989 in 70-7561) and Lease Supplement No. 2 dated as of January 1, 1994 (B-4(d) Rule 24 Certificate dated January 31, 1994 in 70- 8215).

(b) 35-- Assignment, Assumption and Further Agreement No. 1, dated as of December 1, 1988, among System Energy, Meridian Trust Company and Stephen M. Carta, and SMEPA (B-7(c)(1) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated January 9, 1989, in 70-7561).

(b) 36-- Assignment, Assumption and Further Agreement No. 2, dated as of December 1, 1988, among System Energy, Meridian Trust Company and Stephen M. Carta, and SMEPA (B-7(c)(2) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated January 9, 1989, in 70-7561).

(b) 37-- Collateral Trust Indenture, dated as of January 1, 1994, among System Energy, GG1B Funding Corporation and Bankers Trust Company, as Trustee (A-3(e) to Rule 24 Certificate dated January 31, 1994, in 70-8215), as supplemented by Supplemental Indenture No. 1 dated January 1, 1994, (A-3(f) to Rule 24 Certificate dated January 31, 1994, in 70-8215).

- (b) 38-- Substitute Power Agreement, dated as of May 1, 1980, among MP&L, System Energy and SMEPA (B(3)(a) in 70-6337).
- (b) 39-- Grand Gulf Unit No. 2 Supplementary Agreement, dated as of February 7, 1986, between System Energy and SMEPA (10(aaa) in 33-4033).
- (b) 40-- Unit Power Sales Agreement, dated as of June 10, 1982, between System Energy and AP&L, LP&L, MP&L and NOPSI (10(a)-39 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1982, in 1-3517).
- (b) 41-- First Amendment to the Unit Power Sales Agreement, dated as of June 28, 1984, between System Energy and AP&L, LP&L, MP&L and NOPSI (19 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1984, in 1-3517).
- (b) 42-- Revised Unit Power Sales Agreement (10(ss) in 33-4033).
- (b) 43-- Fuel Lease, dated as of February 24, 1989, between River Fuel Funding Company #3, Inc. and System Energy (B-1(b) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated March 3, 1989, in 70-7604).
- (b) 44-- System Energy's Consent, dated January 31, 1995, pursuant to Fuel Lease, dated as of February 24, 1989, between River Fuel Funding Company #3, Inc. and System Energy (B-1(c) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated February 13, 1995 in 70-7604).
- (b) 45-- Sales Agreement, dated as of June 21, 1974, between System Energy and MP&L (D to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 26, 1974, in 70-5399).
- (b) 46-- Service Agreement, dated as of June 21, 1974, between System Energy and MP&L (E to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 26, 1974, in 70-5399).
- (b) 47-- Partial Termination Agreement, dated as of December 1, 1986, between System Energy and MP&L (A-2 to Rule 24 Certificate, dated January 8, 1987, in 70-5399).
- (b) 48-- Middle South Utilities, Inc. and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement, dated April 28, 1988 (D-1 to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1987).
- (b) 49-- First Amendment, dated January 1, 1990 to the Middle South Utilities Inc. and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement (D-2 to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1989).
- (b) 50-- Second Amendment dated January 1, 1992, to the Entergy Corporation and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement (D-3 to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1992).
- (b) 51-- Third Amendment dated January 1, 1994 to Entergy Corporation and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement (D-3(a) to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1993).
- (b) 52-- Service Agreement with Entergy Services, dated as of July 16, 1974, as amended (10(b)-43 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1988, in 1-9067).
- (b) 53-- Amendment, dated January 1, 1991, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(b)-45 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990, in 1-9067).
- (b) 54-- Amendment, dated January 1, 1992, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(a) -11 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994 in 1-3517).
- (b) 55-- Operating Agreement between Entergy Operations and System Energy, dated as of June 6, 1990 (B-3(b) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 15, 1990, in 70-7679).
- (b) 56-- Guarantee Agreement between Entergy Corporation and System Energy, dated as of September 20, 1990 (B-3(a) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated September 27, 1990, in 70-7757).
- + (b) 57-- Agreement between System Energy and Donald C. Hintz (10(b)47 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991, in 1-9067).
- + (b) 58-- Agreement between Entergy Corporation and Edwin Lupberger (10(a)-42 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1985 in 1-3517).

+(b) 59-- Agreement between Entergy Services and Gerald D. McInvalle (10(a)-69 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

AP&L

(c) 1 -- Agreement, dated April 23, 1982, among AP&L and certain other System companies, relating to System Planning and Development and Intra-System Transactions (10(a) 1 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1982, in 1-3517).

(c) 2 -- Middle South Utilities System Agency Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a)2 in 2-41080).

(c) 3 -- Amendment, dated February 10, 1971, to Middle South Utilities System Agency Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a)-4 in 2-41080).

(c) 4 -- Amendment, dated May 12, 1988, to Middle South Utilities System Agency Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a) 4 in 2-41080).

(c) 5 -- Middle South Utilities System Agency Coordination Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a)-3 in 2-41080).

(c) 6 -- Service Agreement with Entergy Services, dated as of April 1, 1963 (5(a)-5 in 2-41080).

(c) 7 -- Amendment, dated January 1, 1972, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (5(a)- 6 in 2-43175).

(c) 8 -- Amendment, dated April 27, 1984, to Service Agreement, with Entergy Services (10(a)- 7 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1984, in 1-3517).

(c) 9 -- Amendment, dated August 1, 1988, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(c)- 8 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1988, in 1-10764).

(c) 10-- Amendment, dated January 1, 1991, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(c)-9 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990, in 1-10764).

(c) 11-- Amendment, dated January 1, 1992, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(a)-11 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994 in 1-3517).

(c) 12 through

(c) 23-- See 10(a)-12 through 10(a)-23 above.

(c) 24-- Agreement, dated August 20, 1954, between AP&L and the United States of America (SPA)(13(h) in 2-11467).

(c) 25-- Amendment, dated April 19, 1955, to the United States of America (SPA) Contract, dated August 20, 1954 (5(d)-2 in 2-41080).

(c) 26-- Amendment, dated January 3, 1964, to the United States of America (SPA) Contract, dated August 20, 1954 (5(d)-3 in 2-41080).

(c) 27-- Amendment, dated September 5, 1968, to the United States of America (SPA) Contract, dated August 20, 1954 (5(d)-4 in 2-41080).

(c) 28-- Amendment, dated November 19, 1970, to the United States of America (SPA) Contract, dated August 20, 1954 (5(d)-5 in 2-41080).

(c) 29-- Amendment, dated July 18, 1961, to the United States of America (SPA) Contract, dated August 20, 1954 (5(d)-6 in 2-41080).

(c) 30-- Amendment, dated December 27, 1961, to the United States of America (SPA) Contract, dated August 20, 1954 (5(d)-7 in 2-41080).

(c) 31-- Amendment, dated January 25, 1968, to the United States of America (SPA) Contract, dated August 20, 1954 (5(d)-8 in 2-41080).

- (c) 32-- Amendment, dated October 14, 1971, to the United States of America (SPA) Contract, dated August 20, 1954 (5(d)-9 in 2-43175).
- (c) 33-- Amendment, dated January 10, 1977, to the United States of America (SPA) Contract, dated August 20, 1954 (5(d)-10 in 2-60233).
- (c) 34-- Agreement, dated May 14, 1971, between AP&L and the United States of America (SPA) (5(e) in 2-41080).
- (c) 35-- Amendment, dated January 10, 1977, to the United States of America (SPA) Contract, dated May 14, 1971 (5(e)-1 in 2-60233).
- (c) 36-- Contract, dated May 28, 1943, Amendment to Contract, dated July 21, 1949, and Supplement to Amendment to Contract, dated December 30, 1949, between AP&L and McKamie Gas Cleaning Company; Agreements, dated as of September 30, 1965, between AP&L and former stockholders of McKamie Gas Cleaning Company; and Letter Agreement, dated June 22, 1966, by Humble Oil & Refining Company accepted by AP&L on June 24, 1966 (5(k)-7 in 2-41080).
- (c) 37-- Agreement, dated April 3, 1972, between Entergy Services and Gulf United Nuclear Fuels Corporation (5(l)-3 in 2-46152).
- (c) 38-- Fuel Lease, dated as of December 22, 1988, between River Fuel Trust #1 and AP&L (B-1(b) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7571).
- (c) 39-- White Bluff Operating Agreement, dated June 27, 1977, among AP&L and Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation and City Water and Light Plant of the City of Jonesboro, Arkansas (B-2(a) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 30, 1977, in 70-6009).
- (c) 40-- White Bluff Ownership Agreement, dated June 27, 1977, among AP&L and Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation and City Water and Light Plant of the City of Jonesboro, Arkansas (B-1(a) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 30, 1977, in 70-6009).
- (c) 41-- Agreement, dated June 29, 1979, between AP&L and City of Conway, Arkansas (5(r)-3 in 2-66235).
- (c) 42-- Transmission Agreement, dated August 2, 1977, between AP&L and City Water and Light Plant of the City of Jonesboro, Arkansas (5(r)-3 in 2-60233).
- (c) 43-- Power Coordination, Interchange and Transmission Service Agreement, dated as of June 27, 1977, between Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation and AP&L (5(r)-4 in 2-60233).
- (c) 44-- Independence Steam Electric Station Operating Agreement, dated July 31, 1979, among AP&L and Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation and City Water and Light Plant of the City of Jonesboro, Arkansas and City of Conway, Arkansas (5(r)-6 in 2-66235).
- (c) 45-- Amendment, dated December 4, 1984, to the Independence Steam Electric Station Operating Agreement (10(c) 51 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1984, in 1-10764).
- (c) 46-- Independence Steam Electric Station Ownership Agreement, dated July 31, 1979, among AP&L and Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation and City Water and Light Plant of the City of Jonesboro, Arkansas and City of Conway, Arkansas (5(r)-7 in 2-66235).
- (c) 47-- Amendment, dated December 28, 1979, to the Independence Steam Electric Station Ownership Agreement (5(r)-7(a) in 2-66235).
- (c) 48-- Amendment, dated December 4, 1984, to the Independence Steam Electric Station Ownership Agreement (10(c) 54 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1984, in 1-10764).
- (c) 49-- Owner's Agreement, dated November 28, 1984, among AP&L, MP&L, other co-owners of the Independence Station (10(c) 55 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1984, in 1-10764).
- (c) 50-- Consent, Agreement and Assumption, dated December 4, 1984, among AP&L, MP&L, other co-owners of the Independence Station and United States Trust Company of New York, as Trustee (10(c) 56 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1984, in 1-10764).
- (c) 51-- Power Coordination, Interchange and Transmission Service Agreement, dated as of July 31, 1979, between AP&L and City Water and Light Plant of the City of Jonesboro, Arkansas (5(r)-8 in 2-66235).
- (c) 52-- Power Coordination, Interchange and Transmission Agreement, dated as of June 29, 1979, between City of Conway, Arkansas and

AP&L (5(r)-9 in 2-66235).

(c) 53-- Agreement, dated June 21, 1979, between AP&L and Reeves E. Ritchie ((10)(b)-90 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1980, in 1-10764).

(c) 54-- Reallocation Agreement, dated as of July 28, 1981, among System Energy and certain other System companies (B-1(a) in 70-6624).

+ (c) 55-- Post-Retirement Plan (10(b) 55 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1983, in 1-10764).

(c) 56-- Unit Power Sales Agreement, dated as of June 10, 1982, between System Energy and AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI (10(a) 39 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1982, in 1-3517).

(c) 57-- First Amendment to Unit Power Sales Agreement, dated as of June 28, 1984, between System Energy, AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI (19 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1984, in 1-3517).

(c) 58-- Revised Unit Power Sales Agreement (10(ss) in 33-4033).

(c) 59-- Contract For Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel and/or High-Level Radioactive Waste, dated June 30, 1983, among the DOE, System Fuels and AP&L (10(b)-57 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1983, in 1-10764).

(c) 60-- Middle South Utilities, Inc. and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement, dated April 28, 1988 (D-1 to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1987).

(c) 61-- First Amendment, dated January 1, 1990, to the Middle South Utilities, Inc. and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement (D-2 to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1989).

(c) 62-- Second Amendment dated January 1, 1992, to the Entergy Corporation and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement (D-3 to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1992).

(c) 63-- Third Amendment dated January 1, 1994, to Entergy Corporation and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement (D-3(a) to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1993).

(c) 64-- Assignment of Coal Supply Agreement, dated December 1, 1987, between System Fuels and AP&L (B to Rule 24 letter filing, dated November 10, 1987, in 70-5964).

(c) 65-- Coal Supply Agreement, dated December 22, 1976, between System Fuels and Antelope Coal Company (B-1 in 70-5964), as amended by First Amendment (A to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-5964); Second Amendment (A to Rule 24 letter filing, dated December 16, 1983, in 70-5964); and Third Amendment (A to Rule 24 letter filing, dated November 10, 1987 in 70-5964).

(c) 66-- Operating Agreement between Entergy Operations and AP&L, dated as of June 6, 1990 (B-1(b) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 15, 1990, in 70-7679).

(c) 67-- Guaranty Agreement between Entergy Corporation and AP&L, dated as of September 20, 1990 (B-1(a) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated September 27, 1990, in 70-7757).

(c) 68-- Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Independence Unit 2 between AP&L and Entergy Power, dated as of August 28, 1990 (B-3(c) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated September 6, 1990, in 70-7684).

(c) 69-- Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Ritchie Unit 2 between AP&L and Entergy Power, dated as of August 28, 1990 (B-4(d) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated September 6, 1990, in 70-7684).

(c) 70-- Ritchie Steam Electric Station Unit No. 2 Operating Agreement between AP&L and Entergy Power, dated as of August 28, 1990 (B-5(a) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated September 6, 1990, in 70-7684).

(c) 71-- Ritchie Steam Electric Station Unit No. 2 Ownership Agreement between AP&L and Entergy Power, dated as of August 28, 1990 (B-6(a) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated September 6, 1990, in 70-7684).

(c) 72-- Power Coordination, Interchange and Transmission Service Agreement between Entergy Power and AP&L, dated as of August 28, 1990 (10(c)-71 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990, in 1-10764).

+ (c) 73-- Executive Financial Counseling Program of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a)52 to Form 10-K for the year ended

December 31, 1989, in 1-3517).

+(c) 74-- Entergy Corporation Annual Incentive Plan (10(a)54 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989, in 1-3517).

+(c) 75-- Equity Ownership Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (A-4(a) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated May 24, 1991, in 70-7831).

+(c) 76-- Agreement between Arkansas Power & Light Company and R. Drake Keith. (10(c) 78 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-10764).

+(c) 77-- Supplemental Retirement Plan (10(a)69 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(c) 78-- Defined Contribution Restoration Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a)53 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989 in 1-3517).

+(c) 79-- Amendment No. 1 to the Equity Ownership Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a)71 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(c) 80-- Executive Disability Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a)72 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(c) 81-- Executive Medical Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a)73 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(c) 82-- Stock Plan for Outside Directors of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries, as amended (10(a)74 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(c) 83-- Summary Description of Private Ownership Vehicle Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a)75 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(c) 84-- Agreement between Entergy Corporation and Edwin Lupberger (10(a)-42 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1985 in 1-3517).

+(c) 85-- Agreement between Entergy Corporation and Jerry D. Jackson (10(a)-68 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(c) 86-- Agreement between Entergy Services and Gerald D. McInvale (10(a)-69 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(c) 87-- Agreement between System Energy and Donald C. Hintz (10(b)-47 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991 in 1-9067).

+(c) 88-- Summary Description of Retired Outside Director Benefit Plan. (10(c) 90 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-10764).

+(c) 89-- Amendment to Defined Contribution Restoration Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a) 81 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993 in 1-11299).

+(c) 90-- System Executive Retirement Plan (10(a) 82 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993 in 1-11299).

(c) 91-- Loan Agreement dated June 15, 1993, between AP&L and Independence Country, Arkansas (B-1 (a) to Rule 24 Certificate dated July 9, 1993 in 70-8171).

(c) 92-- Installment Sale Agreement dated January 1, 1991, between AP&L and Pope Country, Arkansas (B-1 (b) to Rule 24 Certificate dated January 24, 1991 in 70-7802).

(c) 93-- Installment Sale Agreement dated November 1, 1990, between AP&L and Pope Country, Arkansas (B-1 (a) to Rule 24 Certificate dated November 30, 1990 in 70-7802).

(c) 94-- Loan Agreement dated June 15, 1994, between AP&L and Jefferson County, Arkansas (B-1(a) to Rule 24 Certificate dated June 30, 1994 in 70-8405).

(c) 95-- Loan Agreement dated June 15, 1994, between AP&L and Pope County, Arkansas (B-1(b) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-8405).

*(c) 96-- Loan Agreement dated November 15, 1995, between AP&L and Pope County, Arkansas.

GSU

(d) 1 -- Guaranty Agreement, dated July 1, 1976, between GSU and American Bank and Trust Company (C and D to Form 8-K, dated August 6, 1976 in 1-2703).

(d) 2 -- Lease of Railroad Equipment, dated as of December 1, 1981, between The Connecticut Bank and Trust Company as Lessor and GSU as Lessee and First Supplement, dated as of December 31, 1981, relating to 605 One Hundred-Ton Unit Train Steel Coal Porter Cars (4-12 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1981 in 1-2703).

(d) 3 -- Guaranty Agreement, dated August 1, 1992, between GSU and Hibernia National Bank, relating to Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds of the Industrial Development Board of the Parish of Calcasieu, Inc. (Louisiana) (10-1 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-2703).

(d) 4 -- Guaranty Agreement, dated January 1, 1993, between GSU and Hancock Bank of Louisiana, relating to Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds of the Parish of Pointe Coupee (Louisiana) (10-2 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-2703).

(d) 5 -- Deposit Agreement, dated as of December 1, 1983 between GSU, Morgan Guaranty Trust Co. as Depository and the Holders of Depository Receipts, relating to the Issue of 900,000 Depository Preferred Shares, each representing 1/2 share of Adjustable Rate Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series E-\$100 Par Value (4-17 to Form 10- K for the year ended December 31, 1983 in 1-2703).

(d) 6 -- Letter of Credit and Reimbursement Agreement, dated December 27, 1985, between GSU and Westpack Banking Corporation relating to Variable Rate Demand Pollution Control Revenue Bonds of the Parish of West Feliciana, State of Louisiana, Series 1985-D (4-26 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1985 in 1-2703) and Letter Agreement amending same dated October 20, 1992 (10-3 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1- 2703).

(d) 7 -- Reimbursement and Loan Agreement, dated as of April 23, 1986, by and between GSU and The Long-Term Credit Bank of Japan, Ltd., relating to Multiple Rate Demand Pollution Control Revenue Bonds of the Parish of West Feliciana, State of Louisiana, Series 1985 (4-26 to Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 1986 in 1- 2703) and Letter Agreement amending same, dated February 19, 1993 (10 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-2703).

(d) 8 -- Agreement effective February 1, 1964, between Sabine River Authority, State of Louisiana, and Sabine River Authority of Texas, and GSU, Central Louisiana Electric Company, Inc., and Louisiana Power & Light Company, as supplemented (B to Form 8-K, dated May 6, 1964, A to Form 8-K, dated October 5, 1967, A to Form 8- K, dated May 5, 1969, and A to Form 8-K, dated December 1, 1969, in 1-2708).

(d) 9 -- Joint Ownership Participation and Operating Agreement regarding River Bend Unit 1 Nuclear Plant, dated August 20, 1979, between GSU, Cajun, and SRG&T; Power Interconnection Agreement with Cajun, dated June 26, 1978, and approved by the REA on August 16, 1979, between GSU and Cajun; and Letter Agreement regarding CEPSCO buybacks, dated August 28, 1979, between GSU and Cajun (2, 3, and 4, respectively, to Form 8-K, dated September 7, 1979, in 1-2703).

(d) 10-- Ground Lease, dated August 15, 1980, between Statmont Associates Limited Partnership (Statmont) and GSU, as amended (3 to Form 8-K, dated August 19, 1980, and A-3-b to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1983 in 1-2703).

(d) 11-- Lease and Sublease Agreement, dated August 15, 1980, between Statmont and GSU, as amended (4 to Form 8- K, dated August 19, 1980, and A-3-c to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1983 in 1-2703).

(d) 12-- Lease Agreement, dated September 18, 1980, between BLC Corporation and GSU (1 to Form 8-K, dated October 6, 1980 in 1-2703).

(d) 13-- Joint Ownership Participation and Operating Agreement for Big Cajun, between GSU, Cajun Electric Power Cooperative, Inc., and Sam Rayburn G&T, Inc, dated November 14, 1980 (6 to Form 8-K, dated January 29, 1981 in 1-2703); Amendment No. 1, dated December 12, 1980 (7 to Form 8-K, dated January 29, 1981 in 1-2703); Amendment No. 2, dated December 29, 1980 (8 to Form 8-K, dated January 29, 1981 in 1-2703).

(d) 14-- Agreement of Joint Ownership Participation between SRMPA, SRG&T and GSU, dated June 6, 1980, for Nelson Station, Coal Unit #6, as amended (8 to Form 8-K, dated June 11, 1980, A-2-b to Form 10-Q For the quarter ended June 30, 1982; and 10-1 to Form 8-K, dated February 19, 1988 in 1-2703).

(d) 15-- Agreements between Southern Company and GSU, dated February 25, 1982, which cover the construction of a 140- mile transmission

line to connect the two systems, purchase of power and use of transmission facilities (10- 31 to Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 1981 in 1-2703).

+(d) 16-- Executive Income Security Plan, effective October 1, 1980, as amended, continued and completely restated effective as of March 1, 1991 (10-2 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991 in 1-2703).

(d) 17-- Transmission Facilities Agreement between GSU and Mississippi Power Company, dated February 28, 1982, and Amendment, dated May 12, 1982 (A-2-c to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1982 in 1-2703) and Amendment, dated December 6, 1983 (10-43 to Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 1983 in 1-2703).

(d) 18-- Lease Agreement dated as of June 29, 1983, between GSU and City National Bank of Baton Rouge, as Owner Trustee, in connection with the leasing of a Simulator and Training Center for River Bend Unit 1 (A-2-a to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1983 in 1-2703) and Amendment, dated December 14, 1984 (10-55 to Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 1984 in 1-2703).

(d) 19-- Participation Agreement, dated as of June 29, 1983, among GSU, City National Bank of Baton Rouge, PruFunding, Inc. Bank of the Southwest National Association, Houston and Bankers Life Company, in connection with the leasing of a Simulator and Training Center of River Bend Unit 1 (A-2-b to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1983 in 1-2703).

(d) 20-- Tax Indemnity Agreement, dated as of June 29, 1983, between GSU and Prufunding, Inc., in connection with the leasing of a Simulator and Training Center for River Bend Unit I (A-2-c to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1993 in 1-2703).

(d) 21-- Agreement to Lease, dated as of August 28, 1985, among GSU, City National Bank of Baton Rouge, as Owner Trustee, and Prudential Interfunding Corp., as Trustor, in connection with the leasing of improvement to a Simulator and Training Facility for River Bend Unit I (10-69 to Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 1985 in 1-2703).

(d) 22-- First Amended Power Sales Agreement, dated December 1, 1985 between Sabine River Authority, State of Louisiana, and Sabine River Authority, State of Texas, and GSU, Central Louisiana Electric Co., Inc., and Louisiana Power and Light Company (10-72 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1985 in 1-2703).

+(d) 23-- Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors of GSU and Varibus Corporation, as amended January 8, 1987, and effective January 1, 1987 (10-77 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1986 in 1-2703). Amendment dated December 4, 1991 (10-3 to Amendment No. 8 in Registration No. 2-76551).

+(d) 24-- Trust Agreement for Deferred Payments to be made by GSU pursuant to the Executive Income Security Plan, by and between GSU and Bankers Trust Company, effective November 1, 1986 (10-78 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1986 in 1-2703).

+(d) 25-- Trust Agreement for Deferred Installments under GSU's Management Incentive Compensation Plan and Administrative Guidelines by and between GSU and Bankers Trust Company, effective June 1, 1986 (10-79 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1986 in 1-2703).

+(d) 26-- Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan for Officers, Nonemployee Directors and Designated Key Employees, effective December 1, 1985, as amended, continued and completely restated effective as of March 1, 1991 (10-3 to Amendment No. 8 in Registration No. 2-76551).

+(d) 27-- Trust Agreement for GSU's Nonqualified Directors and Designated Key Employees by and between GSU and First City Bank, Texas-Beaumont, N.A. (now Texas Commerce Bank), effective July 1, 1991 (10-4 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-2703).

(d) 28-- Lease Agreement, dated as of June 29, 1987, among GSG&T, Inc., and GSU related to the leaseback of the Lewis Creek generating station (10-83 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1988 in 1-2703).

(d) 29-- Nuclear Fuel Lease Agreement between GSU and River Bend Fuel Services, Inc. to lease the fuel for River Bend Unit 1, dated February 7, 1989 (10-64 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1988 in 1-2703).

(d) 30-- Trust and Investment Management Agreement between GSU and Morgan Guaranty and Trust Company of New York (the "Decommissioning Trust Agreement) with respect to decommissioning funds authorized to be collected by GSU, dated March 15, 1989 (10-66 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1988 in 1-2703).

*(d) 31-- Amendment No. 2 dated November 1, 1995 between GSU and Mellon Bank to Decommissioning Trust Agreement.

(d) 32-- Credit Agreement, dated as of December 29, 1993, among River Bend Fuel Services, Inc. and Certain Commercial Lending Institutions and CIBC Inc. as Agent for the Lenders ((d) 34 to Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 1994).

- * (d) 33-- Amendment No. 1 dated as of January 31, 1996 to Credit Agreement, dated as of December 31, 1993, among River Bend Fuel Services, Inc. and certain commercial lending institutions and CIBC Inc. as agent for Lenders.
- (d) 34-- Partnership Agreement by and among Conoco Inc., and GSU, CITGO Petroleum Corporation and Vista Chemical Company, dated April 28, 1988 (10-67 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1988 in 1-2703).
- + (d) 35-- Gulf States Utilities Company Executive Continuity Plan, dated January 18, 1991 (10-6 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990 in 1-2703).
- + (d) 36-- Trust Agreement for GSU's Executive Continuity Plan, by and between GSU and First City Bank, Texas- Beaumont, N.A. (now Texas Commerce Bank), effective May 20, 1991 (10-5 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-2703).
- + (d) 37-- Gulf States Utilities Board of Directors' Retirement Plan, dated February 15, 1991 (10-8 to Form 10- K for the year ended December 31, 1990 in 1-2703).
- + (d) 38-- Gulf States Utilities Company Employees' Trustee Retirement Plan effective July 1, 1955 as amended, continued and completely restated effective January 1, 1989; and Amendment No.1 effective January 1, 1993 (10-6 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1- 2703).
- (d) 39-- Agreement and Plan of Reorganization, dated June 5, 1992, between GSU and Entergy Corporation (2 to Form 8- K, dated June 8, 1992 in 1-2703).
- + (d) 40-- Gulf States Utilities Company Employee Stock Ownership Plan, as amended, continued, and completely restated effective January 1, 1984, and January 1, 1985 (A to Form 11-K, dated December 31, 1985 in 1-2703).
- + (d) 41-- Trust Agreement under the Gulf States Utilities Company Employee Stock Ownership Plan, dated December 30, 1976, between GSU and the Louisiana National Bank, as Trustee (2-A to Registration No. 2-62395).
- + (d) 42-- Letter Agreement dated September 7, 1977 between GSU and the Trustee, delegating certain of the Trustee's functions to the ESOP Committee (2-B to Registration Statement No. 2-62395).
- + (d) 43-- Gulf States Utilities Company Employees Thrift Plan as amended, continued and completely restated effective as of January 1, 1992 (28-1 to Amendment No. 8 to Registration No. 2-76551).
- + (d) 44-- Restatement of Trust Agreement under the Gulf States Utilities Company Employees Thrift Plan, reflecting changes made through January 1, 1989, between GSU and First City Bank, Texas-Beaumont, N.A., (now Texas Commerce Bank), as Trustee (2-A to Form 8-K dated October 20, 1989 in 1-2703).
- (d) 45-- Operating Agreement between Entergy Operations and GSU, dated as of December 31, 1993 (B-2(f) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-8059).
- (d) 46-- Guarantee Agreement between Entergy Corporation and GSU, dated as of December 31, 1993 (B-5(a) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-8059).
- (d) 47-- Service Agreement with Entergy Services, dated as of December 31, 1993 (B-6(c) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-8059).
- + (d) 48-- Amendment to Employment Agreement between J. L. Donnelly and GSU, dated December 22, 1993 (10(d) 57 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993 in 1- 2703).
- (d) 49-- Assignment, Assumption and Amendment Agreement to Letter of Credit and Reimbursement Agreement between GSU, Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce and Westpac Banking Corporation (10(d) 58 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993 in 1-2703).
- (d) 50-- Third Amendment, dated January 1, 1994, to Entergy Corporation and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement (D-3(a) to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1993).
- (d) 51-- Refunding Agreement between GSU and West Feliciana Parish (dated December 20, 1994 (B-12(a) to Rule 24 Certificate dated December 30, 1994 in 70-8375).

LP&L

- (e) 1 -- Agreement, dated April 23, 1982, among LP&L and certain other System companies, relating to System Planning and Development and Intra-System Transactions
(10(a) 1 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1982, in 1-3517).
- (e) 2 -- Middle South Utilities System Agency Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a)-2 in 2-41080).
- (e) 3 -- Amendment, dated as of February 10, 1971, to Middle South Utilities System Agency Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a)-4 in 2-41080).
- (e) 4 -- Amendment, dated May 12, 1988, to Middle South Utilities System Agency Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a) 4 in 2-41080).
- (e) 5 -- Middle South Utilities System Agency Coordination Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a)-3 in 2-41080).
- (e) 6 -- Service Agreement with Entergy Services, dated as of April 1, 1963 (5(a)-5 in 2-42523).
- (e) 7 -- Amendment, dated as of January 1, 1972, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (4(a)-6 in 2-45916).
- (e) 8 -- Amendment, dated as of April 27, 1984, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(a) 7 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1984, in 1-3517).
- (e) 9 -- Amendment, dated as of August 1, 1988, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(d)-8 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1988, in 1-8474).
- (e) 10-- Amendment, dated January 1, 1991, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(d)-9 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990, in 1-8474).
- (e) 11-- Amendment, dated January 1, 1992, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(a)-11 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994 in 1-3517).
- (e) 12 through
(e) 23-- See 10(a)-12 through 10(a)-23 above.
- (e) 24-- Fuel Lease, dated as of January 31, 1989, between River Fuel Company #2, Inc., and LP&L (B-1(b) to Rule 24 Certificate in 70-7580).
- (e) 25-- Reallocation Agreement, dated as of July 28, 1981, among System Energy and certain other System companies (B-1(a) in 70-6624).
- (e) 26-- Compromise and Settlement Agreement, dated June 4, 1982, between Texaco, Inc. and LP&L (28(a) to Form 8-K, dated June 4, 1982, in 1-8474).
- + (e) 27-- Post-Retirement Plan (10(c)23 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1983, in 1-8474).
- (e) 28-- Unit Power Sales Agreement, dated as of June 10, 1982, between System Energy and AP&L, LP&L, MP&L and NOPSI (10(a) 39 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1982, in 1-3517).
- (e) 29-- First Amendment to the Unit Power Sales Agreement, dated as of June 28, 1984, between System Energy and AP&L, LP&L, MP&L and NOPSI (19 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1984, in 1-3517).
- (e) 30-- Revised Unit Power Sales Agreement (10(ss) in 33-4033).
- (e) 31-- Middle South Utilities, Inc. and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Tax Allocation Agreement, dated April 28, 1988 (D-1 to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1987).
- (e) 32-- First Amendment, dated January 1, 1990, to the Middle South Utilities, Inc. and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement, dated January 1, 1990 (D-2 to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1989).
- (e) 33-- Second Amendment dated January 1, 1992, to the Entergy Corporation and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement (D-3 to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1992).
- (e) 34-- Third Amendment dated January 1, 1994 to Entergy Corporation and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement (D-3(a) to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1993).

- (e) 35-- Contract for Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel and/or High-Level Radioactive Waste, dated February 2, 1984, among DOE, System Fuels and LP&L (10(d)33 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1984, in 1-8474).
- (e) 36-- Operating Agreement between Entergy Operations and LP&L, dated as of June 6, 1990 (B-2(c) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 15, 1990, in 70-7679).
- (e) 37-- Guarantee Agreement between Entergy Corporation and LP&L, dated as of September 20, 1990 (B-2(a), to Rule 24 Certificate, dated September 27, 1990, in 70-7757).
- + (e) 38-- Executive Financial Counseling Program of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a) 52 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989, in 1-3517).
- + (e) 39-- Entergy Corporation Annual Incentive Plan (10(a) 54 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989, in 1-3517).
- + (e) 40-- Equity Ownership Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (A-4(a) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated May 24, 1991, in 70-7831).
- + (e) 41-- Supplemental Retirement Plan (10(a) 69 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (e) 42-- Defined Contribution Restoration Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a) 53 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989 in 1-3517).
- + (e) 43-- Amendment No. 1 to the Equity Ownership Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a) 71 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (e) 44-- Executive Disability Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a) 72 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (e) 45-- Executive Medical Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a) 73 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (e) 46-- Stock Plan for Outside Directors of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a) 74 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (e) 47-- Summary Description of Private Ownership Vehicle Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a) 75 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (e) 48-- Agreement between Entergy Corporation and Edwin Lupberger (10(a) 42 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1985 in 1-3517).
- + (e) 49-- Agreement between Entergy Corporation and Jerry D. Jackson (10(a) 68 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (e) 50-- Agreement between Entergy Services and Gerald D. McInvale (10(a) 69 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (e) 51-- Agreement between System Energy and Donald C. Hintz (10(b) 47 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991 in 1-9067).
- + (e) 52-- Summary Description of Retired Outside Director Benefit Plan (10(c)90 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-10764).
- + (e) 53-- Amendment to Defined Contribution Restoration Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a) 81 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993 in 1-11299).
- + (e) 54-- System Executive Retirement Plan (10(a) 82 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993 in 1-11299).
- (e) 55-- Installment Sale Agreement, dated July 20, 1994, between LP&L and St. Charles Parish, Louisiana (B-6(e) to Rule 24 Certificate dated August 1, 1994 in 70-7822).
- (e) 56-- Installment Sale Agreement, dated November 1, 1995, between LP&L and St. Charles Parish, Louisiana (B-6(a) to Rule 24 Certificate dated December 19, 1995 in 70- 8487).

MP&L

- (f) 1 -- Agreement dated April 23, 1982, among MP&L and certain other System companies, relating to System Planning and Development and Intra-System Transactions
(10(a) 1 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1982, in 1-3517).
- (f) 2 -- Middle South Utilities System Agency Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a)-2 in 2-41080).
- (f) 3 -- Amendment, dated February 10, 1971, to Middle South Utilities System Agency Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a) 4 in 2-41080).
- (f) 4 -- Amendment, dated May 12, 1988, to Middle South Utilities System Agency Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a) 4 in 2-41080).
- (f) 5 -- Middle South Utilities System Agency Coordination Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a)-3 in 2-41080).
- (f) 6 -- Service Agreement with Entergy Services, dated as of April 1, 1963 (D in 37-63).
- (f) 7 -- Amendment, dated January 1, 1972, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (A to Notice, dated October 14, 1971, in 37-63).
- (f) 8 -- Amendment, dated April 27, 1984, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(a) 7 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1984, in 1-3517).
- (f) 9 -- Amendment, dated as of August 1, 1988, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(e) 8 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1988, in 0-320).
- (f) 10-- Amendment, dated January 1, 1991, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(e) 9 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990, in 0-320).
- (f) 11-- Amendment, dated January 1, 1992, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(a)-11 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994 in 1-3517).
- (f) 12 though
- (f) 23-- See 10(a)-12 - 10(a)-23 above.
- (f) 24-- Installment Sale Agreement, dated as of June 1, 1974, between MP&L and Washington County, Mississippi (B-2(a) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated August 1, 1974, in 70- 5504).
- (f) 25-- Installment Sale Agreement, dated as of July 1, 1982, between MP&L and Independence County, Arkansas, (B-1(c) to Rule 24 Certificate dated July 21, 1982, in 70- 6672).
- (f) 26-- Installment Sale Agreement, dated as of December 1, 1982, between MP&L and Independence County, Arkansas, (B-1(d) to Rule 24 Certificate dated December 7, 1982, in 70-6672).
- (f) 27-- Amended and Restated Installment Sale Agreement, dated as of April 1, 1994, between MP&L and Warren County, Mississippi, (B-6(a) to Rule 24 Certificate dated May 4, 1994, in 70-7914).
- (f) 28-- Amended and Restated Installment Sale Agreement, dated as of April 1, 1994, between MP&L and Washington County, Mississippi, (B-6(b) to Rule 24 Certificate dated May 4, 1994, in 70-7914).
- (f) 29-- Substitute Power Agreement, dated as of May 1, 1980, among MP&L, System Energy and SMEPA (B-3(a) in 70-6337).
- (f) 30-- Amendment, dated December 4, 1984, to the Independence Steam Electric Station Operating Agreement (10(c) 51 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1984, in 0-375).
- (f) 31-- Amendment, dated December 4, 1984, to the Independence Steam Electric Station Ownership Agreement (10(c) 54 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1984, in 0-375).
- (f) 32-- Owners Agreement, dated November 28, 1984, among AP&L, MP&L and other co- owners of the Independence Station (10(c) 55 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1984, in 0-375).

- (f) 33-- Consent, Agreement and Assumption, dated December 4, 1984, among AP&L, MP&L, other co-owners of the Independence Station and United States Trust Company of New York, as Trustee (10(c) 56 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1984, in 0-375).
- (f) 34-- Reallocation Agreement, dated as of July 28, 1981, among System Energy and certain other System companies (B-1(a) in 70-6624).
- + (f) 35-- Post-Retirement Plan (10(d) 24 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1983, in 0-320).
- (f) 36-- Unit Power Sales Agreement, dated as of June 10, 1982, between System Energy and AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI (10(a) 39 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1982, in 1-3517).
- (f) 37-- First Amendment to the Unit Power Sales Agreement, dated as of June 28, 1984, between System Energy and AP&L, LP&L, MP&L, and NOPSI (19 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1984, in 1-3517).
- (f) 38-- Revised Unit Power Sales Agreement (10(ss) in 33-4033).
- (f) 39-- Sales Agreement, dated as of June 21, 1974, between System Energy and MP&L (D to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 26, 1974, in 70-5399).
- (f) 40-- Service Agreement, dated as of June 21, 1974, between System Energy and MP&L (E to Rule 24 Certificate, dated June 26, 1974, in 70-5399).
- (f) 41-- Partial Termination Agreement, dated as of December 1, 1986, between System Energy and MP&L (A-2 to Rule 24 Certificate dated January 8, 1987, in 70-5399).
- (f) 42-- Middle South Utilities, Inc. and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement, dated April 28, 1988 (D-1 to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1987).
- (f) 43-- First Amendment dated January 1, 1990 to the Middle South Utilities Inc. and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Tax Allocation Agreement (D-2 to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1989).
- (f) 44-- Second Amendment dated January 1, 1992, to the Entergy Corporation and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement (D-3 to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1992).
- (f) 45-- Third Amendment dated January 1, 1994 to Entergy Corporation and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement (D-3(a) to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1993).
- + (f) 46-- Executive Financial Counseling Program of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a) 52 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989, in 1-3517).
- + (f) 47-- Entergy Corporation Annual Incentive Plan (10(a) 54 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989, in 1-3517).
- + (f) 48-- Equity Ownership Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (A-4(a) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated May 24, 1991, in 70-7831).
- + (f) 49-- Supplemental Retirement Plan (10(a)69 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (f) 50-- Defined Contribution Restoration Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a)53 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989 in 1-3517).
- + (f) 51-- Amendment No. 1 to the Equity Ownership Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a)71 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (f) 52-- Executive Disability Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a)72 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (f) 53-- Executive Medical Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a)73 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (f) 54-- Stock Plan for Outside Directors of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries, as amended (10(a)74 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).
- + (f) 55-- Summary Description of Private Ownership Vehicle Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a)75 to Form 10-K for the year

ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(f) 56-- Agreement between Entergy Corporation and Edwin Lupberger (10(a)-42 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1985 in 1-3517).

+(f) 57-- Agreement between Entergy Corporation and Jerry D. Jackson (10(a)-68 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(f) 58-- Agreement between Entergy Services and Gerald D. McInvale (10(a)-69 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(f) 59-- Agreement between System Energy and Donald C. Hintz (10(b)-47 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991 in 1-9067).

+(f) 60-- Summary Description of Retired Outside Director Benefit Plan (10(c)-90 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-10764).

+(f) 61-- Amendment to Defined Contribution Restoration Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a) 81 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993 in 1-11299).

+(f) 62-- System Executive Retirement Plan (10(a) 82 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993 in 1-11299).

NOPSI

(g) 1 -- Agreement, dated April 23, 1982, among NOPSI and certain other System companies, relating to System Planning and Development and Intra-System Transactions (10(a)-1 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1982, in 1-3517).

(g) 2 -- Middle South Utilities System Agency Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a)-2 in 2-41080).

(g) 3 -- Amendment dated as of February 10, 1971, to Middle South Utilities System Agency Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a)-4 in 2-41080).

(g) 4 -- Amendment, dated May 12, 1988, to Middle South Utilities System Agency Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a) 4 in 2-41080).

(g) 5 -- Middle South Utilities System Agency Coordination Agreement, dated December 11, 1970 (5(a)-3 in 2-41080).

(g) 6 -- Service Agreement with Entergy Services dated as of April 1, 1963 (5(a)-5 in 2-42523).

(g) 7 -- Amendment, dated as of January 1, 1972, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (4(a)-6 in 2-45916).

(g) 8 -- Amendment, dated as of April 27, 1984, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(a)7 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1984, in 1-3517).

(g) 9 -- Amendment, dated as of August 1, 1988, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(f)-8 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1988, in 0-5807).

(g) 10-- Amendment, dated January 1, 1991, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(f)-9 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990, in 0-5807).

(g) 11-- Amendment, dated January 1, 1992, to Service Agreement with Entergy Services (10(a)-11 to Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 1994 in 1-3517).

(g) 12
(g) 23-- See 10(a)-12 - 10(a)-23 above.

(g) 24-- Reallocation Agreement, dated as of July 28, 1981, among System Energy and certain other System companies (B-1(a) in 70-6624).

+(g) 25-- Post-Retirement Plan (10(e) 22 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1983, in 1-1319).

(g) 26-- Unit Power Sales Agreement, dated as of June 10, 1982, between System Energy and AP&L, LP&L, MP&L and NOPSI (10(a) 39 to

Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1982, in 1-3517).

(g) 27-- First Amendment to the Unit Power Sales Agreement, dated as of June 28, 1984, between System Energy and AP&L, LP&L, MP&L and NOPSI (19 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1984, in 1-3517).

(g) 28-- Revised Unit Power Sales Agreement (10(ss) in 33-4033).

(g) 29-- Transfer Agreement, dated as of June 28, 1983, among the City of New Orleans, NOPSI and Regional Transit Authority (2(a) to Form 8-K, dated June 24, 1983, in 1-1319).

(g) 30-- Middle South Utilities, Inc. and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement, dated April 28, 1988 (D-1 to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1987).

(g) 31-- First Amendment, dated January 1, 1990, to the Middle South Utilities, Inc. and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement (D-2 to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1989).

(g) 32-- Second Amendment dated January 1, 1992, to the Entergy Corporation and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement (D-3 to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1992).

(g) 33-- Third Amendment dated January 1, 1994 to Entergy Corporation and Subsidiary Companies Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement (D-3(a) to Form U5S for the year ended December 31, 1993).

+(g) 34-- Executive Financial Counseling Program of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a)52 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989, in 1-3517).

+(g) 35-- Entergy Corporation Annual Incentive Plan (10(a)54 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989, in 1-3517).

+(g) 36-- Equity Ownership Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (A-4(a) to Rule 24 Certificate, dated May 24, 1991, in 70-7831).

+(g) 37-- Supplemental Retirement Plan (10(a)69 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(g) 38-- Defined Contribution Restoration Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a)53 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1989 in 1-3517).

+(g) 39-- Amendment No. 1 to the Equity Ownership Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a)71 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(g) 40-- Executive Disability Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a)72 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(g) 41-- Executive Medical Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a)73 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(g) 42-- Stock Plan for Outside Directors of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries, as amended (10(a)74 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(g) 43-- Summary Description of Private Ownership Vehicle Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a)75 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(g) 44-- Agreement between Entergy Corporation and Edwin Lupberger (10(a)-42 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1985 in 1-3517).

+(g) 45-- Agreement between Entergy Corporation and Jerry D. Jackson (10(a)-68 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(g) 46-- Agreement between Entergy Services and Gerald D. McInvale (10(a)-69 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-3517).

+(g) 47-- Agreement between System Energy and Donald C. Hintz (10(b)-47 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991 in 1-9067).

+(g) 48-- Summary Description of Retired Outside Director Benefit Plan (10(c)-90 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992 in 1-10764).

+(g) 49-- Amendment to Defined Contribution Restoration Plan of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries (10(a) 81 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993 in 1-11299).

+(g) 50-- System Executive Retirement Plan (10(a) 82 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993 in 1-11299).

(12) Statement Re Computation of Ratios

*(a) AP&L's Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends, as defined.

*(b) GSU's Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends, as defined.

*(c) LP&L's Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends, as defined.

*(d) MP&L's Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends, as defined.

*(e) NOPSI's Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends, as defined.

*(f) System Energy's Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges, as defined.

(18) Letter Re Change in Accounting Principles

*(a) Letter from Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P. regarding change in accounting principles for AP&L.

*(b) Letter from Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P. regarding change in accounting principles for Entergy.

*(21) Subsidiaries of the Registrants

(23) Consents of Experts and Counsel

*(a) The consent of Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P. is contained herein at page 214.

*(b) The consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP is contained herein at page 215.

*(c) The consent of Clark, Thomas & Winters is contained herein at page 216.

*(d) The consent of Sandlin Associates is contained herein at page 217.

*(24) Powers of Attorney

(27) Financial Data Schedule

*(a) Financial Data Schedule for Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 1995.

*(b) Financial Data Schedule for AP&L as of December 31, 1995.

*(c) Financial Data Schedule for GSU as of December 31, 1995.

*(d) Financial Data Schedule for LP&L as of December 31, 1995.

*(e) Financial Data Schedule for MP&L as of December 31, 1995.

*(f) Financial Data Schedule for NOPSI as of December 31, 1995.

*(g) Financial Data Schedule for System Energy as of December 31, 1995.

(99) Additional Exhibits

GSU

(a) 1 Opinion of Clark, Thomas & Winters, a professional corporation, dated September 30, 1992 regarding the effect of the October 1, 1991 judgment in GSU v. PUCT in the District Court of Travis County, Texas (99-1 in Registration No. 33-48889).

(a) 2 Opinion of Clark, Thomas & Winters, a professional corporation, dated August 8, 1994 regarding recovery of costs deferred pursuant to PUCT order in Docket 6525 (99 (j) to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1994 in No. 1-2703).

*(a) 3 Opinion of Clark, Thomas & Winters, a professional corporation, confirming its opinions dated September 30, 1992 and August 8, 1994.

* Filed herewith.

+ Management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements.

RESTATED ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION

OF

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 64 of the Mississippi Business Corporation Law (Section 79-3-127, Mississippi Code of 1972, as amended), the undersigned Corporation adopts the following Restated Articles of Incorporation:

FIRST: The name of the Corporation is **MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY.**

SECOND: The period of its duration is ninety-nine (99) years.

THIRD: The purpose or purposes which the Corporation is authorized to pursue are:

To acquire, buy, hold, own, sell, lease, exchange, dispose of, finance, deal in, construct, build, equip, improve, use, operate, maintain and work upon:

(a) Any and all kinds of plants and systems for the manufacture, production, storage, utilization, purchase, sale, supply, transmission, distribution or disposition of electricity, natural or artificial gas, water or steam, or power produced thereby, or of ice and refrigeration of any and every kind;

(b) Any and all kinds of telephone, telegraph, radio, wireless and other systems, facilities and devices for the receipt and transmission of sounds and signals, any and all kinds of interurban, city and street railways and railroads and bus lines for the transportation of passengers and/or freight, transmission lines, systems, appliances, equipment and devices and tracks, stations, buildings and other structures and facilities;

(c) Any and all kinds of works, power plants, manufactories, structures, substations, systems, tracks, machinery, generators, motors, lamps, poles, pipes, wires, cables, conduits, apparatus, devices, equipment, supplies, articles and merchandise of every kind pertaining to or in anywise connected with the construction, operation or maintenance of telephone, telegraph, radio, wireless and other systems, facilities and devices for the receipt and transmission of sounds and signals, or of interurban, city and street railways and railroads and bus lines, or in anywise connected with or pertaining to the manufacture, production, purchase, use, sale, supply, transmission, distribution, regulation, control or application of electricity, natural or artificial gas, water, steam, ice, refrigeration and power or any other purposes;

To acquire, buy, hold, own, sell, lease, exchange, dispose of, transmit, distribute, deal in, use, manufacture, produce, furnish and supply street and interurban railway and bus service, electricity, natural or artificial gas, light, heat, ice, refrigeration, water and steam in any form and for any purposes whatsoever, and any power or force or energy in any form and for any purposes whatsoever;

To buy, sell, manufacture, produce and generally deal in milk, cream and any articles or substances used or usable in or in connection with the manufacture and production of ice cream, ices, beverages and soda fountain supplies; to buy, sell, manufacture, produce and generally deal in ice cream and ices;

To acquire, organize, assemble, develop, build up and operate constructing and operating and other organizations and systems, and to hire, sell, lease, exchange, turn over, deliver and dispose of such organizations and systems in whole or in part and as going organizations and systems and otherwise, and to enter into and perform contracts, agreements and undertakings of any kind in connection with any or all the foregoing powers;

To do a general contracting business;

To purchase, acquire, develop, mine, explore, drill, hold, own and dispose of lands, interests in and rights with respect to lands and waters and fixed and movable property;

To borrow money and contract debts when necessary for the transaction of the business of the Corporation or for the exercise of its corporate rights, privileges or franchises or for any other lawful purpose of its incorporation; to issue bonds, promissory notes, bills of exchange, debentures and other obligations and evidences of indebtedness payable at a specified time or times or payable upon the happening of a specified event or events, whether secured by mortgage, pledge or otherwise or unsecured, for money borrowed or in payment for property purchased or acquired or any other lawful objects;

To guarantee, purchase, hold, sell, assign, transfer, mortgage, pledge or otherwise dispose of the shares of the capital stock of, or any bonds,

securities or evidences of indebtedness created by, any other corporation or corporations of the State of Mississippi or any other state or government and, while the owner of such stock, to exercise all the rights, powers and privileges of individual ownership with respect thereto including the right to vote thereon, and to consent and otherwise act with respect thereto;

To aid in any manner any corporation or association, domestic or foreign, or any firm or individual, any shares of stock in which or any bonds, debentures, notes, securities, evidences of indebtedness, contracts or obligations of which are held by or for the Corporation or in which or in the welfare of which the Corporation shall have any interest, and to do any acts designed to protect, preserve, improve or enhance the value of any property at any time held or controlled by the Corporation, or in which it may be at any time interested; and to organize or promote or facilitate the organization of subsidiary companies;

To purchase, hold, sell and transfer shares of its own capital stock, provided that the Corporation shall not purchase its own shares of capital stock except from surplus of its assets over its liabilities including capital; and provided, further, that the shares of its own capital stock owned by the Corporation shall not be voted upon directly or indirectly nor counted as outstanding for the purposes of any stockholders' quorum or vote;

In any manner to acquire, enjoy, utilize and to dispose of patents, copyrights and trade-marks and any licenses or other rights or interests therein and thereunder:

To purchase, acquire, hold, own or dispose of franchises, concessions, consents, privileges and licenses necessary for and in its opinion useful or desirable for or in connection with the foregoing powers;

To do all and everything necessary and proper for the accomplishment of the objects enumerated in these Restated Articles of Incorporation or any amendment thereof or necessary or incidental to the protection and benefits of the Corporation, and in general to carry on any lawful business necessary or not incidental to the attainment of the objects of the Corporation whether or not such business is similar in nature to the objects set forth in these Restated Articles of Incorporation or any amendment thereof.

To do any or all things herein set forth, to the same extent and as fully as natural persons might or could do, and in any part of the world, and as principal, agent, contractor or otherwise, and either alone or in conjunction with any other persons, firms, associations or corporations;

To conduct its business in all its branches in the State of Mississippi, other states, the District of Columbia, the territories and colonies of the United States, and any foreign countries, and to have one or more offices out of the State of Mississippi and to hold, purchase, mortgage and convey real and personal property both within and without the State of Mississippi; provided, however, that the Corporation shall not exercise any of the powers set forth herein for the purpose of engaging in business as a street railway, telegraph or telephone company unless prior thereto this Article Third shall have been amended to set forth a description of the line and the points it will traverse.

FOURTH: The aggregate number of shares which the Corporation shall have authority to issue is 17,004,478 shares, divided into 2,004,476 shares of Preferred Stock of the par value of \$100 per share and 15,000,000 shares of Common Stock without par value.

The preferences, limitations and relative rights in respect of the shares of each class and the variations in the relative rights and preferences as between series of any preferred or special class in series are as follows:

The Preferred Stock shall be issuable in one or more series from time to time and the shares of each series shall have the same rank and be identical with each other and shall have the same relative rights except with respect to the following:

- (a) The number of shares to constitute each such series and the distinctive designation thereof;
- (b) The annual rate or rates of dividends payable on shares of such series, the dates on which dividends shall be paid in each year and the date from which such dividends shall commence to accumulate;
- (c) The amount or amounts payable upon redemption thereof; and
- (d) The sinking fund provisions, if any, for the redemption or purchase of shares;

which different characteristics of clauses (a), (b), (c) and (d) above may be stated and expressed with respect to each series in the resolution or resolutions providing for the issue of such series adopted by the Board of Directors or in these Restated Articles of Incorporation of any amendment thereof.

A series of 60,000 shares of Preferred Stock shall:

- (a) be designated "4.36% Preferred Stock Cumulative, \$100 Par Value";

(b) have a dividend rate of \$4.36 per share per annum payable quarterly on February 1, May 1, August 1 and November 1 of each year, the first dividend date to be February 1, 1963, and such dividends to be cumulative from the last date to which dividends upon the 4.36% Preferred Stock Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, of Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Florida corporation, are paid;

(c) be subject to redemption in the manner provided herein with respect to the Preferred Stock at the price of \$105.36 per share if redeemed on or before February 1, 1964, and of \$103.88 per share if redeemed after February 1, 1964, in each case plus an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, if any, to the date fixed for redemption.

A series of 44,476 shares of the Preferred Stock shall:

(a) be designated "4.56% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value";

(b) have a dividend rate of \$4.56 per share per annum payable quarterly on February 1, May 1, August 1 and November 1 of each year, the first dividend date to be February 1, 1963, and such dividends to be cumulative from the last date to which dividends upon the 4.56% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, of Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Florida corporation, are paid; and

(c) be subject to redemption in the manner provided herein with respect to the Preferred Stock at the price of \$108.50 per share if redeemed on or before November 1, 1964, and of \$107.00 per share if redeemed after November 1, 1964, in each case plus an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, if any, to the date fixed for redemption.

A series of 100,000 shares of the Preferred Stock shall:

(a) be designated "4.92% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value";

(b) have a dividend rate of \$4.92 per share per annum payable quarterly on February 1, May 1, August 1 and November 1 of each year, the first dividend date to be February 1, 1966, and such dividends to be cumulative from the date of issue of said series; and

(c) be subject to redemption at the price of \$106.30 per share if redeemed on or before January 1, 1971, of \$104.38 per share if redeemed after January 1, 1971 and on or before January 1, 1976, and of \$102.88 per share if redeemed after January 1, 1976, in each case plus an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, if any, to the date fixed for redemption.

A series of 75,000 shares of the Preferred Stock shall:

(a) be designated "9.16% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value";

(b) have a dividend rate of \$9.16 per share per annum payable quarterly on February 1, May 1, August 1 and November 1 of each year, the first dividend date to be November 1, 1970, and such dividends to be cumulative from the date of issue of said series; and

(c) be subject to redemption at the price of \$110.93 per share if redeemed on or before August 1, 1975, of \$108.64 per share if redeemed after August 1, 1975 and on or before August 1, 1980, of \$106.35 per share if redeemed after August 1, 1980 and on or before August 1, 1985, and of \$104.06 per share if redeemed after August 1, 1985, in each case plus an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, if any, to the date fixed for redemption; provided, however, that no share of the 9.16% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, shall be redeemed prior to August 1, 1975 if such redemption is for the purpose or in anticipation of refunding such share through the use, directly or indirectly, of funds borrowed by the Corporation, or through the use, directly or indirectly, of funds derived through the issuance by the Corporation of stock ranking prior to or on a parity with the 9.16% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, as to dividends or assets, if such borrowed funds have an effective interest cost to the Corporation (computed in accordance with generally accepted financial practice) or such stock has an effective dividend cost to the Corporation (so computed) of less than the effective dividend cost to the Corporation of the 9.16% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Per Value.

A series of 100,000 shares of the Preferred Stock shall:

(a) be designated "7.44% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value";

(b) have a dividend rate of \$7.44 per share per annum payable quarterly on February 1, May 1, August 1 and November 1 of each year, the first dividend date to be May 1, 1973, and such dividends to be cumulative from February 14, 1973; and

(c) be subject to redemption at the price of \$108.39 per share if redeemed on or before February 1, 1978, of \$106.53 per share if redeemed after February 1, 1978 and on or before February 1, 1983, of \$104.67 per share if redeemed after February 1, 1983 and on or before February 1, 1988, and of \$102.81 per share if redeemed after February 1, 1988, in each case plus an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, if any, to the date fixed for redemption; provided, however, that no share of the 7.44% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, shall be redeemed prior to February 1, 1978 if such redemption is for the purpose or in anticipation of refunding such share through

the use, directly or indirectly, of funds borrowed by the Corporation, or through the use, directly or indirectly, of funds derived through the issuance by the Corporation of stock ranking prior to or on a parity with the 7.44% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, as to dividends or assets, if such borrowed funds have an effective interest cost to the Corporation (computed in accordance with generally accepted financial practice) or such stock has an effective dividend cost to the Corporation (so computed) of less than the effective dividend cost to the Corporation of the 7.44% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.

A series of 200,000 shares of the Preferred Stock shall:

(a) be designated "17% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value"

(b) have a dividend rate of \$17.00 per share per annum payable quarterly on February 1, May 1, August 1 and November 1 of each year, the first dividend date to be November 1, 1981, and such dividends to be cumulative from the date of issuance;

(c) be subject to redemption at the price of \$117.00 per share if redeemed on or before September 1, 1986, of \$112.75 per share if redeemed after September 1, 1986 and on or before September 1, 1991, of \$108.50 per share if redeemed after September 1, 1991 and on or before September 1, 1996, and of \$104.25 per share if redeemed after September 1, 1996, in each case plus an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, if any, to the date fixed for redemption; provided, however, that no share of the 17% Preferred Stock Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, shall be redeemed prior to September 1, 1986 if such redemption is for the purpose or in anticipation of refunding such share through the use, directly or indirectly, of funds borrowed by the Corporation or through the use, directly or indirectly, of funds derived through the issuance by the Corporation of stock ranking prior to or on a parity with the 17% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, as to dividends or assets if such borrowed funds have an effective interest cost to the Corporation (computed in accordance with generally accepted financial practice) or such stock; has an effective dividend cost to the Corporation (so computed) of less than the effective dividend cost to the Corporation of the 17% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value; and

(d) be subject to redemption as and for a sinking fund as follows: On September 1, 1986 and on each September 1 thereafter (each such date being hereinafter referred to as a "17% Sinking Fund Redemption Date"), for so long as any shares of the 17% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, shall remain outstanding, the Corporation shall redeem, out of funds legally available therefor, 10,000 shares of the 17% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par value (or the number of shares then outstanding if less than 10,000) at the sinking fund redemption price of \$100 per share plus, as to each share so redeemed, an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, if any, to the date of redemption (the obligation of the Corporation so to redeem the shares of the 17% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, being hereinafter referred to as the "17% Sinking Fund Obligation"); the 17% Sinking Fund Obligation shall be cumulative; if on any 17% Sinking Fund Redemption Date, the Corporation shall not have funds legally available therefor sufficient to redeem the full number of shares required to be redeemed on that date, the 17% Sinking Fund Obligation with respect to the shares not redeemed shall carry forward to each successive 17% Sinking Fund Redemption Date until such shares shall have been redeemed; whenever on any 17% Sinking Fund Redemption Date, the funds of the Corporation legally available for the satisfaction of the 17% Sinking Fund Obligation and all other sinking fund and similar obligations then existing with respect to any other class or series of its stock ranking on a parity as to dividends or assets with the 17% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value (such Obligation and obligations collectively being hereinafter referred to as the "Total Sinking Fund Obligation") are insufficient to permit the Corporation to satisfy fully its Total Sinking Fund Obligation on that date, the Corporation shall apply to the satisfaction of its 17% Sinking Fund Obligation on that date that proportion of such legally available funds which is equal to the ratio of such 17% Sinking Fund Obligation to such Total Sinking Fund Obligation; in addition to the 17% Sinking Fund Obligation, the Corporation shall have the option, which shall be noncumulative, to redeem, upon authorization of the Board of Directors, on each 17% Sinking Fund Redemption Date, at the aforesaid sinking fund redemption price, up to 10,000 additional shares of the 17% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value; the Corporation shall be entitled, at its election, to credit against its 17% Sinking Fund Obligation on any 17% Sinking Fund Redemption Date any shares of the 17% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, Stock Par Value (including shares of the 17% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value optionally redeemed at the aforesaid sinking fund price) theretofore redeemed (other than shares of the 17% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value redeemed pursuant to the 17% Sinking Fund Obligation) purchased or otherwise acquired and not previously credited against the 17% Sinking Fund Obligation.

A series of 100,000 shares of the Preferred Stock shall:

(a) be designated "14-3/4% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value";

(b) have a dividend rate of \$14.75 per share per annum payable quarterly on February 1, May 1, August 1 and November 1 of each year, the first dividend date to be May 1 1982, and such dividends to be cumulative from the date of issuance;

(c) be subject to redemption at the price of \$114.75 per share if redeemed after the issuance and sale and on or before March 1, 1983, \$113.11 per share if redeemed after March 1, 1983 and on or before March 1, 1984, \$111.47 per share if redeemed after March 1, 1984 and on or before March 1, 1985, \$109.83 per share if redeemed after March 1, 1985 and on or before March 1, 1986, \$108.19 per share if redeemed after March 1, 1986 and on or before March 1, 1987, \$106.56 per share if redeemed after March 1, 1987 and on or before March 1, 1988, \$104.92 per share if redeemed after March 1, 1988 and on or before March 1, 1989, \$103.28 per share if redeemed after March 1, 1989 and on or before March 1, 1990, \$101.64 per share if redeemed after March 1, 1990 and on or before March 1, 1991, and \$100.00 per share if redeemed

after March 1, 1991, in each case plus an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, if any, to the date fixed for redemption; provided, however, that no share of the 14-3/4% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, shall be redeemed prior to March 1, 1987 if such redemption is for the purpose or in anticipation of refunding such share through the use, directly or indirectly, of funds borrowed by the Corporation, or through the use, directly or indirectly, of funds derived through the issuance by the Corporation of stock ranking prior to or on a parity with the 14-3/4% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, as to dividends or assets, if such borrowed funds have an effective interest cost to the Corporation (computed in accordance with generally accepted financial practice) or such stock has an effective dividend cost to the Corporation (so computed) of less than the effective dividend cost to the Corporation of the 14-3/4% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value; and

(d) be subject to redemption as and for a sinking fund as follows. On March 1, 1990, 1991 and 1992 (each such date being hereinafter referred to as a "14-3/4% Sinking Fund Redemption Date"), the Corporation shall redeem, out of funds legally available therefor, 33,333, 33,333 and 33,334 shares, respectively, of the 14-3/4% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, at the sinking fund redemption price of \$100 per share plus, as to each share so redeemed, an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, if any, to the date of redemption (the obligation of the Corporation so to redeem the shares of the 14-3/4% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, being hereinafter referred to as the "14-3/4% Sinking Fund Obligation"); the 14-3/4% Sinking Fund Obligation shall be cumulative; if on any 14-3/4% Sinking Fund Redemption Date, the Corporation shall not have funds legally available therefor sufficient to redeem the full number of shares required to be redeemed on that date, the 14-3/4% Sinking Fund Obligation with respect to the shares not redeemed shall carry forward to each successive 14-3/4% Sinking Fund Redemption Date (or, in the event the 14-3/4% Sinking Fund Obligation is not satisfied on March 1, 1992, to such date as soon thereafter as funds are legally available to satisfy the 14-3/4% Sinking Fund Obligation) until such shares shall have been redeemed; whenever on any 14-3/4% Sinking Fund Redemption Date, the funds of the Corporation legally available for the satisfaction of the 14-3/4% Sinking Fund Obligation and all other sinking fund and similar obligations then existing with respect to any other class or series of its stock ranking on a parity as to dividends or assets with the 14-3/4% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value (such Obligation and obligations collectively being hereinafter referred to as the "Total Sinking Fund Obligation") are insufficient to permit the Corporation to satisfy fully its Total Sinking Fund Obligation on that date, the Corporation shall apply to the satisfaction of its 14-3/4% Sinking Fund Obligation on that date that proportion of such legally available funds which is equal to the ratio of such 14-3/4% Sinking Fund Obligation to such Total Sinking Fund Obligation.

A series of 100,000 shares of the Preferred Stock shall:

(a) be designated "12.00% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value";

(b) have a dividend rate of \$12.00 per share per annum payable quarterly on February 1, May 1, August 1 and November 1 of each year, the first dividend date to be May 1, 1983, and such dividends to be cumulative from the date of issuance;

(c) be subject to redemption at the price of \$112.00 per share if redeemed on or before March 1, 1988, of \$109.00 per share if redeemed after March 1, 1988 and on or before March 1, 1993, of \$106.00 per share if redeemed after March 1, 1993 and on or before March 1, 1998, and of \$103.00 per share if redeemed after March 1, 1998, in each case plus an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, if any, to the date fixed for redemption; provided, however, that no share of the 12.00% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, shall be redeemed prior to March 1, 1988 if such redemption is for the purpose or in anticipation of refunding such share through the use, directly or indirectly, of funds borrowed by the Corporation, or through the use, directly or indirectly, of funds derived through the issuance by the Corporation of stock ranking prior to or on a parity with the 12.00% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, as to dividends or assets, if such borrowed funds have an effective interest cost to the Corporation (computed in accordance with generally accepted financial practice) or such stock has an effective dividend cost to the Corporation (so computed) of less than 12.7497% to per annum; and

(d) be subject to redemption as and for a sinking fund as follows: on March 1, 1888 and on each March 1 thereafter (each such date being hereinafter referred to as a "12.00% Sinking Fund Redemption Date"), for so long as any shares of the 12.00% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, shall remain outstanding, the Corporation shall redeem, out of funds legally available therefor, 5,000 shares of the 12.00% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value (or the number of shares then outstanding if less than 5,000) at the sinking fund redemption price of \$100 per share plus, as to each share so redeemed, an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, if any, to the date of redemption (the obligation of the Corporation so to redeem the shares of the 12.00% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, being hereinafter referred to as the "12.00% Sinking Fund Obligation"); the 12.00% Sinking Fund Obligation shall be cumulative; if on any 12.00% Sinking Fund Redemption Date, the Corporation shall not have funds legally available therefor sufficient to redeem the full number of shares required to be redeemed on that date, the 12.00% Sinking Fund Obligation with respect to the shares not redeemed shall carry forward to each successive 12.00% Sinking Fund Redemption Date until such shares shall have been redeemed; whenever on any 12.00% Sinking Fund Redemption Date, the funds of the Corporation legally available for the satisfaction of the 12.00% Sinking Fund Obligation and all other sinking fund and similar obligations then existing with respect to any other class or series of its stock ranking on a parity as to dividends or assets with the 12.00% Preferred Stock Cumulative, \$100 Par Value (such Obligation and obligations collectively being hereinafter referred to as the "Total Sinking Fund Obligation") are insufficient to permit the Corporation to satisfy fully its Total Sinking Fund Obligation on that date, the Corporation shall apply to the satisfaction of its 12.00% Sinking Fund Obligation on that date that proportion of such legally available funds which is equal to the ratio of such 12.00% Sinking Fund Obligation to such Total Sinking Fund Obligation; in addition to the 12.00% Sinking Fund Obligation, the Corporation shall have the option, which shall be noncumulative, to redeem, upon authorization of the Board of Directors,

on each 12.00% Sinking Fund Redemption Date, at the aforesaid sinking fund redemption price, up to 5,000 additional shares of the 12.00% Preferred Stock Cumulative, \$100 Par Value; the Corporation shall be entitled, at its election, to credit against its 12.00% Sinking Fund Obligation on any 12.00% Sinking Fund Redemption Date any shares of the 12.00% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value (including shares of the 12.00% Preferred Stock Cumulative, \$100 Par Value optionally redeemed at the aforesaid sinking fund price) theretofore redeemed (other than shares of the 12.00% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value redeemed pursuant to the 12.00% Sinking Fund Obligation) purchased or otherwise acquired and not previously credited against the 12.00% Sinking Fund Obligation.

Subject to the foregoing, the distinguishing characteristics of the Preferred Stock shall be:

(A) Each series of the Preferred Stock, *pari passu* with all shares of preferred stock of any class or series then outstanding, shall be entitled but only when and as declared by the Board of Directors, out of funds legally available for the payment of dividends in preference to the Common Stock, to dividends at the rate stated and expressed with respect to such series herein or by the resolution or resolutions providing for the issue of such series adopted by the Board of Directors; such dividends to be cumulative from such date and payable on such dates in each year as may be stated and expressed in said resolution, to stockholders of record as of a date not to exceed 40 days and not less than 10 days preceding the dividend payment dates so fixed.

(B) If and when dividends payable on any of the Preferred Stock of the Corporation at any time outstanding shall be in default in an amount equal to four full quarterly payments or more per share, and thereafter until all dividends on any such preferred stock in default shall have been paid, the holders of the Preferred Stock *pari passu* with the holders of other preferred stock then outstanding, voting separately as a class, shall be entitled to elect the smallest number of directors necessary to constitute a majority of the full Board of Directors, and, except as provided in the following paragraph, the holders of the Common Stock, voting separately as a class, shall be entitled to elect the remaining directors of the Corporation. The terms of office, as directors, of all persons who may be directors of the Corporation at the time shall terminate upon the election of a majority of the Board of Directors by the holders of the Preferred Stock except that if the holders of the Common Stock shall not have elected the remaining directors of the Corporation, then, and only in that event, the directors of the Corporation in office just prior to the election of a majority of the Board of Directors by the holders of the Preferred Stock shall elect the remaining directors of the Corporation. Thereafter, while such default continues and the majority of the Board of Directors is being elected by the holders of the Preferred Stock, the remaining directors, whether elected by directors, as aforesaid, or whether originally or later elected by holders of the Common Stock shall continue in office until their successors are elected by holders of the Common Stock and shall qualify.

If and when all dividends then in default on the Preferred Stock; then outstanding shall be paid (such dividends to be declared and paid out of any funds legally available therefor as soon as reasonably practicable), the holders of the Preferred Stock shall be divested of any special right with respect to the election of directors, and the voting power of the holders of the Preferred Stock and the holders of the Common Stock shall revert to the status existing before the first dividend payment date on which dividends on the Preferred Stock were not paid in full, but always subject to the same provisions for vesting such special rights in the holders of the Preferred Stock in case of further like defaults in the payment of dividends thereon as described in the immediately foregoing paragraph. Upon termination of any such special voting right upon payment of all accumulated and unpaid dividends on the Preferred Stock, the terms of office of all persons who may have been elected directors of the Corporation by vote of the holders of the Preferred Stock as a class, pursuant to such special voting right shall forthwith terminate, and the resulting vacancies shall be filled by the vote of a majority of the remaining directors.

In case of any vacancy in the office of a director occurring among the directors elected by the holders of the Preferred Stock, voting separately as a class, the remaining directors elected by the holders of the Preferred Stock, by affirmative vote of a majority thereof, or the remaining director so elected if there be but one, may elect a successor or successors to hold office for the unexpired term or terms of the director or directors whose place or places shall be vacant. Likewise, in case of any vacancy in the office of a director occurring among the directors not elected by the holders of the Preferred Stock, the remaining directors not elected by the holders of the Preferred Stock, by affirmative vote of a majority thereof, or the remaining director so elected if there be but one, may elect a successor or successors to hold office for the unexpired term or terms of the director or directors whose place or places shall be vacant.

Whenever the right shall have accrued to the holders of the Preferred Stock to elect directors, voting separately as a class, it shall be the duty of the President, a Vice-President or the Secretary of the Corporation forthwith to call and cause notice to be given to the shareholders entitled to vote of a meeting to be held at such time as the Corporation's officers may fix, not less than forty-five nor more than sixty days after the accrual of such right, for the purpose of electing directors. The notice so given shall be mailed to each holder of record of preferred stock at his last known address appearing on the books of the Corporation and shall set forth, among other things, (i) that by reason of the fact that dividends payable on preferred stock are in default in an amount equal to four full quarterly payments or more per share, the holders of the Preferred Stock, voting separately as a class, have the right to elect the smallest number of directors necessary to constitute a majority of the full Board of Directors of the Corporation, (ii) that any holder of the Preferred Stock has the right, at any reasonable time, to inspect, and make copies of, the list or lists of holders of the Preferred Stock maintained at the principal office of the Corporation or at the office of any Transfer Agent of the Preferred Stock, and (iii) either the entirety of this paragraph or the substance thereof with respect to the number of shares of the Preferred Stock required to be represented at any meeting, or adjournment thereof, called for the election of directors of the Corporation. At the first meeting of stockholders held for the purpose of electing directors during such time as the holders of the Preferred Stock shall have the special right, voting separately as a class, to elect directors, the presence in person or by proxy of the holders of a majority of the outstanding Common Stock shall be required to constitute a quorum of such class for the election of directors, and the presence in person or by proxy of the holders

of a majority of the outstanding Preferred Stock shall be required to constitute a quorum of such class for the election of directors; provided, however, that in the absence of a quorum of the holders of the Preferred Stock, no election of directors shall be held, but a majority of the holders of the Preferred Stock who are present in person or by proxy shall have power to adjourn the election of the directors to a date not less than fifteen nor more than fifty days from the giving of the notice of such adjourned meeting hereinafter provided for; and provided, further, that at such adjourned meeting, the presence in person or by proxy of the holders of 35% of the outstanding Preferred Stock shall be required to constitute a quorum of such class for the election of directors. In the event such first meeting of stockholders shall be so adjourned, it shall be the duty of the President, a Vice- President or the Secretary of the Corporation, within ten days from the date on which such first meeting shall have been adjourned, to cause notice of such adjourned meeting to be given to the shareholders entitled to vote thereat, such adjourned meeting to be held not less than fifteen days nor more than fifty days from the giving of such second notice. Such second notice. shall be given in the form and manner hereinabove provided for with respect to the notice required to be given of such first meeting of stockholders, and shall further set forth that a quorum was not present at such first meeting and that the holders of 35% of the outstanding Preferred Stock shall be required to constitute a quorum of such class for the election of directors at such adjourned meeting. If the requisite quorum of holders of the Preferred Stock shall not be present at said adjourned meeting, then the directors of the Corporation then in office shall remain in office until the next Annual Meeting of the Corporation, or special meeting in lieu thereof and until their successors shall have been elected and shall qualify. Neither such first meeting nor such adjourned meeting shall be held on a date within sixty days of the date of the next Annual Meeting of the Corporation, or special meeting in lieu thereof. At each Annual Meeting of the Corporation, or special meeting in lieu thereof, held during such time as the holders of the Preferred Stock, voting separately as a class. shall have the right to elect a majority of the Board of Directors, the foregoing provisions of this paragraph shall govern each Annual Meeting, or special meeting in lieu thereof, as if said Annual Meeting or special meeting were the first meeting of stockholders held for the purpose of electing directors after the right of the holders of the Preferred Stock, voting separately as a class, to elect a majority of the Board of Directors, should have accrued the exception, that if, at any adjourned annual meeting, or special meeting in lieu thereof, the holders of 35% of the outstanding Preferred Stock are not present in person or by proxy, all the directors shall be elected by a vote of the holders of a majority of the Common Stock of the Corporation present or represented at the meeting.

(C) So long as any shares of the Preferred Stock are outstanding, the Corporation shall not, without the consent (given by vote at a meeting called for that purpose) of at least two-thirds of the total number of shares of the Preferred Stock then outstanding:

(1) create, authorize or issue any new stock which, after issuance would rank prior to the Preferred Stock as to dividends, in liquidation, dissolution, winding up or distribution, or create, authorize or issue any security convertible into shares of any such stock except for the purpose of providing funds for the redemption of all of the Preferred Stock then outstanding, such new stock or security not to be issued until such redemption shall have been authorized and notice of such redemption given and the aggregate redemption price deposited as provided in paragraph (G) below; provided, however, that any such new stock or security shall be issued within twelve months after the vote of the Preferred Stock herein provided for authorizing the issuance of such new stock or security; or

(2) amend, alter, or repeal any of the rights, preferences or powers of the holders of the Preferred Stock so as to affect adversely any such rights, preferences or powers; provided, however, that if such amendment, alteration or repeal affects adversely the rights, preferences or powers of one or more, but not all, series of Preferred Stock at the time outstanding, only the consent of the holders of at least two-thirds of the total number of outstanding shares of all series so affected shall be required; and provided, further, that an amendment to increase or decrease the authorized amount of Preferred Stock or to create or authorize, or increase or decrease the amount of, any class of stock; ranking on a parity with the outstanding shares of the Preferred Stock as to dividends or assets shall not be deemed to affect adversely the rights, preferences or powers of the holders of the Preferred Stock or any series thereof.

(D) So long as any shares of the Preferred Stock are outstanding, the Corporation shall not, without the consent (given by vote at a meeting called for that purpose) of the holders of a majority of the total number of shares of the Preferred Stock then outstanding:

(1) merge or consolidate with or into any other corporation or corporations or sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of the assets of the Corporation, unless such merger or consolidation or sale or other disposition, or the exchange, issuance or assumption of all securities to be issued or assumed in connection with any such merger or consolidation or sale or other disposition, shall have been ordered, approved or permitted under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935; or

(2) issue or assume any unsecured notes, debentures or other securities representing unsecured indebtedness for purposes other than (i) the refunding of outstanding unsecured indebtedness theretofore issued or assumed by the Corporation resulting in equal or longer maturities, or (ii) the reacquisition, redemption or other retirement of all outstanding shares of the Preferred Stock, if immediately after such issue or assumption, the total principal amount of all unsecured notes, debentures or other securities representing unsecured indebtedness issued or assumed by the Corporation, including unsecured indebtedness then to be issued or assumed (but excluding the principal amount then outstanding of any unsecured notes, debentures, or other securities representing unsecured indebtedness having a maturity in excess of ten (10) years and in amount not exceeding 10% of the aggregate of (a) and (b) of this section below) would exceed ten per centum (10%) of the aggregate of (a) the total principal amount of all bonds or other securities representing secured indebtedness issued or assumed by the Corporation and then to be outstanding, and

(b) the capital and surplus of the Corporation as then to be stated on the books of account of the Corporation. When unsecured notes, debentures or other securities representing unsecured debt of a maturity in excess of ten (10) years shall become of a maturity of ten (10) years

or less, it shall then be regarded as unsecured debt of a maturity of less than ten (10) years and shall be computed with such debt for the purpose of determining the percentage ratio to the sum of (a) and (b) above of unsecured debt of a maturity of less than ten (10) years, and when provision shall have been made, whether through a sinking fund or otherwise, for the retirement, prior to their maturity, of unsecured notes, debentures, or other securities representing unsecured debt of a maturity in excess of ten (10) years, the amount of any such security so required to be retired in less than ten

(10) years shall be regarded as unsecured debt of a maturity of less than ten (10) years (and not as unsecured debt of a maturity in excess of ten (10) years) and shall be computed with such debt for the purpose of determining the percentage ratio to the sum of (a) and (b) above of unsecured debt of a maturity of less than ten (10) years, provided, however, that the payment due upon the maturity of unsecured debt having an original single maturity in excess of ten (10) years or the payment due upon the latest maturity of any serial debt which had original maturities in excess of ten

(10) years shall not, for purposes of this provision, be regarded as unsecured debt of a maturity of less than ten

(10) years until such payment or payments shall be required to be made within three (3) years; furthermore, when unsecured notes, debentures or other securities representing unsecured debt of a maturity of less than ten (10) years shall exceed 10% of the sum of (a) and (b) above, no additional unsecured notes, debentures or other securities representing unsecured debt shall be issued or assumed

(except for the purpose set forth in (i) or (ii) above) until such ratio is reduced to 10% of the sum of (a) and (b) above; or

(3) issue, sell or otherwise dispose of any shares of the Preferred Stock in addition to the 104,476 shares of the Preferred Stock originally authorized, or of any other class of stock ranking on a parity with the Preferred Stock as to dividends or in liquidation, dissolution, winding up or distribution, unless the gross income of the Corporation and Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Florida corporation, for a period of twelve (12) consecutive calendar months within the fifteen (15) calendar months immediately preceding the issuance, sale or disposition of such stock, determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting practices (but in any event after deducting all taxes and the greater of (a) the amount for said period charged by the Corporation and Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Florida corporation, on their books to depreciation expense or (b) the largest amount required to be provided therefor by any mortgage indenture of the Corporation) to be available for the payment of interest, shall have been at least one and one-half times the sum of (i) the annual interest charges on all interest bearing indebtedness of the Corporation and

(ii) the annual dividend requirements on all outstanding shares of the Preferred Stock and of all other classes of stock ranking prior to, or on a parity with, the Preferred Stock as to dividends or distributions, including the shares proposed to be issued; provided, that there shall be excluded from the foregoing computation interest charges on all indebtedness and dividends on all shares of stock which are to be retired in connection with the issue of such additional shares of the Preferred Stock or other class of stocks ranking prior to, or on a parity with, the Preferred Stock as to dividends or distributions; and provided, further, that in any case where such additional shares of the Preferred Stock, or other class of stock ranking on a parity with the Preferred Stock as to dividends or distributions, are to be issued in connection with the acquisition of additional property, the gross income of the property to be so acquired, computed on the same basis as the gross income of the Corporation, may be included on a pro forma basis in making the foregoing computation; or

(4) issue, sell, or otherwise dispose of any shares of the Preferred Stock, in addition to the 104,476 shares of the Preferred Stock originally authorized, or of any other class of stock ranking on a parity with the Preferred Stock as to dividends or distributions, unless the aggregate of the capital of the Corporation applicable to the Common Stock and the surplus of the Corporation shall be not less than the aggregate amount payable on the involuntary liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of the Corporation, in respect of all shares of the Preferred Stock and all shares of stock, if any, ranking prior thereto, or on a parity therewith, as to dividends or distributions, which will be outstanding after the issue of the shares proposed to be issued; provided, that if, for the purposes of meeting the requirements of this subparagraph (4), it becomes necessary to take into consideration any earned surplus of the Corporation, the Corporation shall not thereafter pay any dividends on shares of the Common Stock which would result in reducing the Corporation's Common Stock equity (as in paragraph (H) hereinafter defined) to an amount less than the aggregate amount payable, on involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up the Corporation, on all shares of the Preferred Stock and of any stock ranking prior to, or on a parity with, the Preferred Stock, as to dividends or other distributions, at the time outstanding.

(E) Each holder of Common Stock of the Corporation shall be entitled to one vote, in person or by proxy, for each share of such stock standing in his name on the books of the Corporation. Except as hereinbefore expressly provided in this Section Fourth, the holders of the Preferred Stock shall have no power to vote and shall be entitled to no notice of any meeting of the stockholders of the Corporation. As to matters upon which holders of the Preferred Stock are entitled to vote as hereinbefore expressly provided, each holder of such Preferred Stock shall be entitled to one vote, in person or by proxy, for each share of such Preferred Stock standing in his name on the books of the Corporation.

(F) In the event of any voluntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Corporation, the Preferred Stock, pari passu with all shares of preferred stock of any class or series then outstanding, shall have a preference over the Common Stock until an amount equal to the then current redemption price shall have been paid. In the event of any involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Corporation, which shall include any such liquidation, dissolution or winding up which may arise out of or result from the condemnation or purchase of all or a major portion of the properties of the Corporation, by (i) the United States Government or any authority, agency or instrumentality thereof, (ii) a state of the United States or any political subdivision, authority, agency, or instrumentality thereof, or (iii) a district, cooperative or other association or entity not organized for profit, the Preferred Stock, pari passu with all shares of preferred stock of any class or series then outstanding, shall also have a preference over the Common Stock until the full par value thereof and an amount equal to all accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon shall have been paid by dividends or distribution.

(G) Upon the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of the issued and outstanding Common Stock at any annual meeting, or any special meeting called for that purpose, the Corporation may at any time redeem all of any series of said Preferred Stock or may from time to time redeem any part thereof, by paying in cash the redemption price then applicable thereto as stated and expressed with respect to such series in the resolution providing for the issue of such shares adopted by the Board of Directors of the Corporation, or in these Restated Articles of Incorporation or any amendment thereof, plus, in each case, an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends, if any, to the date of redemption. Notice of the intention of the Corporation to redeem all or any part of the Preferred Stock shall be mailed not less than thirty (30) days nor more than sixty (60) days before the date of redemption to each holder of record of Preferred Stock to be redeemed, at his post office address as shown by the Corporation's records, and not less than thirty (30) days' nor more than sixty (60) days' notice of such redemption may be published in such manner as may be prescribed by resolution of the Board of Directors of the Corporation; and, in the event of such publication, no defect in the mailing of such notice shall affect the validity of the proceedings for the redemption of any shares of Preferred Stock so to be redeemed. Contemporaneously with the mailing or the publication of such notice as aforesaid or at any time thereafter prior to the date of redemption, the Corporation may deposit the aggregate redemption price (or the portion thereof not already paid in the redemption of such Preferred Stock so to be redeemed) with any bank or trust company in the City of New York, New York, or in the City of Jackson, Mississippi, named in such notice, payable to the order of the record holders of the Preferred Stock so to be redeemed, as the case may be, on the endorsement and surrender of their certificates, and thereupon said holders shall cease to be stockholders with respect to such shares; and from and after the making of such deposit such holders shall have no interest in or claim against the Corporation with respect to said shares, but shall be entitled only to receive such moneys from said bank or trust company, with interest, if any, allowed by such bank or trust company on such moneys deposited as in this paragraph provided, on endorsement and surrender of their certificates, as aforesaid. Any moneys so deposited, plus interest thereon, if any, remaining unclaimed at the end of six years from the date fixed for redemption, if thereafter requested by resolution of the Board of Directors, shall be repaid to the Corporation, and in the event of such repayment to the Corporation, such holders of record of the shares so redeemed as shall not have made claim against such moneys prior to such repayment to the Corporation, shall be deemed to be unsecured creditors of the Corporation for an amount, without interest, equivalent to the amount deposited, plus interest thereon, if any, allowed by such bank or trust company, as above stated, for the redemption of such shares and so paid to the Corporation. Shares of the Preferred Stock which have been redeemed shall not be reissued. If less than all of the shares of the Preferred Stock are to be redeemed, the shares thereof to be redeemed shall be selected by lot, in such manner as the Board of Directors of the Corporation shall determine, by an independent bank or trust company selected for that purpose by the Board of Directors of the Corporation. Nothing herein contained shall limit any legal right of the Corporation to purchase or otherwise acquire any shares of the Preferred Stock; provided, however, that, so long as any shares of the Preferred Stock are outstanding, the Corporation shall not redeem, purchase or otherwise acquire less than all of the shares of the Preferred Stock, if, at the time of such redemption, purchase or other acquisition, dividends payable on the Preferred Stock shall be in default in whole or in part, unless, prior to or concurrently with such redemption, purchase or other acquisition, all such defaults shall be cured or unless such redemption, purchase or other acquisition shall have been ordered, approved or permitted under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935; and provided further that, so long as any shares of the Preferred Stock are outstanding, the Corporation shall not make any payment or set aside any funds for payment into any sinking fund for the purchase or redemption of any shares of the Preferred Stock, if, at the time of such payment, or the setting apart of funds for such payment, dividends payable on the Preferred Stock shall be in default in whole or in part, unless, prior to or concurrently with such payment or the setting apart of funds for such payment, all such defaults shall be cured or unless such payment, or the setting apart of funds for such payment, shall have been ordered, approved or permitted under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935. Any shares of the Preferred Stock so redeemed, purchased or acquired shall retired and cancelled.

(H) For the purposes of this paragraph (H) and subparagraph

(4) of paragraph (D) the term "Common Stock Equity" shall mean the aggregate of the par value of, or stated capital represented by, the outstanding shares (other than shares owned by the Corporation) of stock ranking junior to the Preferred Stock as to dividends and assets, of the premium on such junior stock and of the surplus (including earned surplus, capital surplus and surplus invested in plant) of the Corporation less (1) any amounts recorded on the books of the Corporation for utility plant and other plant in excess of the original cost thereof, (2) unamortized debt discount and expense, capital stock discount and expense and any other intangible items set forth on the asset side of the balance sheet as a result of accounting convention,

(3) the excess, if any, of the aggregate amount payable on involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the affairs of the Corporation upon all outstanding preferred stock of the Corporation over the aggregate par or stated value thereof and any premiums thereon and (4) the excess, if any, for the period beginning with January 1, 1954, to the end of the month within ninety (90) days preceding the date as of which Common Stock Equity is determined, of the cumulative amount computed under requirements contained in the Corporation's mortgage indentures relating to minimum depreciation provisions (this cumulative amount being the aggregate of the largest amounts separately computed for entire periods of differing coexisting mortgage indenture requirements), over the amount charged by the Corporation and Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Florida corporation, on their books for depreciation during such period, including the final fraction of a year; provided, however, that no deductions shall be required to be made in respect of items referred to in subdivisions (1) and (2) of this paragraph (H) in cases in which such items are being amortized or are provided for, or are being provided for, by reserves. For the purpose of this paragraph (H): (i) the term "total capitalization" shall mean the sum of the Common Stock Equity plus item three (3) in this paragraph (H) and the stated capital applicable to, and any premium on, outstanding stock of the Corporation not included in Common Stock Equity, and the principal amount of all outstanding debt of the Corporation maturing more than twelve months after the date of issue thereof; and (ii) the term "dividends on Common Stock" shall embrace dividends on Common Stock (other than dividends payable only in shares of Common Stock), distributions on, and purchases or other acquisitions for value of, any Common Stock of the Corporation or other stock if any, subordinate to its Preferred Stock. So long as any shares of the Preferred Stock are outstanding, the Corporation shall not declare or pay any dividends on the

Common Stock, except as follows:

(a) If and so long as the Common Stock Equity at the end of the calendar month immediately preceding the date on which a dividend on Common Stock is declared is, or as a result of such dividend would become, less than 20% of total capitalization, the Corporation shall not declare such dividends in an amount which, together with all other dividends on Common Stock paid within the year ending with and including the date on which such dividend is payable, exceeds 50% of the net income of the Corporation available for dividends on the Common Stock for the twelve full calendar months immediately preceding the month in which such dividends are declared, except in an amount not exceeding the aggregate of dividends on Common Stock which under the restrictions set forth above in this subparagraph (a) could have been, and have not been, declared; and

(b) If and so long as the Common Stock Equity at the end of the calendar month immediately preceding the date on which a dividend on Common Stock is declared is, or as a result of such dividend would become, less than 25% but not less than 20% of total capitalization, the Corporation shall not declare dividends on the Common Stock in an amount which, together with all other dividends on Common Stock paid within the year ending with and including the date on which such dividend is payable, exceeds 75% of the net income of the Corporation and Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Florida corporation, available for dividends on the Common Stock for the twelve full calendar months immediately preceding the month in which such dividends are declared, except in an amount not exceeding the aggregate of dividends on Common Stock which under the restrictions set forth above in subparagraph (a) and in this subparagraph (b) could have been and have not been declared; and

(c) If any time when the Common Stock Equity is 25% or more of total capitalization, the Corporation may not declare dividends on shares of the Common Stock which would reduce the Common Stock Equity below 25% of total capitalization, except to the extent provided in subparagraphs (a) and (b) above.

At anytime when the aggregate of all amounts credited subsequent to January 1, 1954, to the depreciation reserve account of the Corporation and Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Florida corporation, through charges to operating revenue deductions or otherwise on the books of the Corporation and Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Florida corporation, shall be less than the amount computed as provided in clause (aa) below, under requirements contained in the Corporation's mortgage indentures, then for the purposes of subparagraphs (a) and (b) above, in determining the earnings available for common stock dividends during any twelve-month period, the amount to be provided for depreciation in that period shall be (aa) the greater of the cumulative amount charged to depreciation expense on the books of the Corporation and Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Florida corporation, or the cumulative amount computed under requirements contained in the Corporation's mortgage indentures relating to minimum depreciation provisions (the latter cumulative amount being the aggregate of the largest amounts separately computed for entire periods of differing co-existing mortgage indenture requirements) for the period from January 1, 1954, to and including said twelve-month period, less

(bb) the greater of the cumulative amount charged to depreciation expense on the books of the Corporation and Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Florida corporation, or the cumulative amount computed under requirements contained in the Corporation's mortgage indentures relating to minimum depreciation provisions (the latter cumulative amount being the aggregate of the largest amounts separately computed for entire periods of differing coexisting mortgage indenture requirements) from January 1, 1954, up to but excluding said twelve-month period; provided that in the event any company other than Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Florida corporation, is merged into the Corporation the "cumulative amount computed under requirements contained in the Corporation's mortgage indentures relating to minimum depreciation provisions" referred to above shall be computed without regard, for the period prior to the merger, of property acquired in the merger, and the "cumulative amount charged to depreciation expense on the books of the Corporation" shall be exclusive of amounts provided for such property prior to the merger.

(I) The Board of Directors are hereby expressly authorized by resolution or resolutions to state and express the series and distinctive serial designation of any authorized and unissued shares of Preferred Stock proposed to be issued, the number of shares to constitute each such series, the annual rate or rates of dividends payable on shares of each series together with the dates on which such dividends shall be paid in each year, the date from which such dividends shall commence to accumulate, the amount or amounts payable upon redemption and the sinking fund provisions, if any, for the redemption or purchase of shares.

(J) Dividends may be paid upon the Common Stock only when (i) dividends have been paid or declared and funds set apart for the payment of dividends as aforesaid on the Preferred Stock from the date(s) after which dividends thereon became cumulative, to the beginning of the period then current, with respect to which such dividends on the Preferred Stock are usually declared, and (ii) all payments have been made or funds have been set aside for payments then or theretofore due under sinking fund provisions, if any, for the redemption or purchase of shares of any series of the Preferred Stock, but whenever (x) there shall have been paid or declared and funds shall have been set apart for the payment of all such dividends upon the Preferred Stock as aforesaid, and

(y) all payments shall have been made or funds shall have been set aside for payments then or theretofore due under sinking fund provisions, if any, for the redemption or purchase of shares of any series of the Preferred Stock, then, subject to the limitations above set forth, dividends upon the Common Stock may be declared payable then or thereafter, out of any net earnings or surplus of assets over liabilities, including capital, then remaining. After the payment of the limited dividends and/or shares in distribution of assets to which the Preferred Stock is expressly entitled in preference to the Common Stock, in accordance with the provisions hereinabove set forth, the Common Stock alone (subject to the rights of any class of stock hereafter authorized) shall receive all further dividends and shares in distribution.

(K) Subject to the limitations hereinabove set forth the Corporation from time to time may resell any of its own stock, purchased or otherwise acquired by it as hereinafter provided for, at such price as may be fixed by its Board of Directors or Executive Committee.

(L) Subject to the limitations hereinabove set forth the Corporation in order to acquire funds with which to redeem any outstanding Preferred Stock of any class, may issue and sell stock of any class then authorized but unissued, bonds, notes, evidences of indebtedness, or other securities.

(M) Subject to the limitations hereinabove set forth the Board of Directors of the Corporation may at any time authorize the conversion or exchange of the whole or any particular share of the outstanding preferred stock of any class with the consent of the holder thereof, into or for stock of any other class at the time of such consent authorized but unissued and may fix the terms and conditions upon which such conversion or exchange may be made; provided that without the consent of the holders of record of two-thirds of the shares of Common Stock outstanding given at a meeting of the holders of the Common Stock called and held as provided by the By-Laws or given in writing without a meeting, the Board of Directors shall not authorize the conversion or exchange of any preferred stock of any class into or for Common Stock or authorize the conversion or exchange of any preferred stock; of any class into or for preferred stock of any other class, if by such conversion or exchange the amount which the holders of the shares of stock so converted or exchanged would be entitled to receive either as dividends or shares in distribution of assets in preference to the Common Stock would be increased.

(N) A consolidation, merger or amalgamation of the Corporation with or into any other corporation or corporations shall not be deemed a distribution of assets of the Corporation within the meaning of any provisions of these Restated Articles of Incorporation.

(O) The consideration received by the Corporation from the sale of any additional stock without nominal or par value shall be entered in the Corporation's capital stock account.

(P) Subject to the limitations hereinabove set forth upon the vote of a majority of all the Directors of the Corporation and of a majority of the total number of shares of stock then issued and outstanding and entitled to vote, irrespective of class (or if the vote of a larger number or different proportion of shares is required by the laws of the State of Mississippi notwithstanding the above agreement of the stockholders of the Corporation to the contrary, then upon the vote of the larger number or different proportion of shares so required), the Corporation may from time to time create or authorize one or more other classes of stock with such preferences, designations, rights, privileges, powers, restrictions, limitations and qualifications as may be determined by said vote, which may be the same as or different from the preferences, designations, rights, privileges, powers, restrictions, limitations and qualifications of the classes of stock of the Corporation then authorized. Any such vote authorizing the creation of a new class of stock may provide that all moneys payable by the Corporation with respect to any class of stock thereby authorized shall be paid in the money of any foreign country named therein or designated by the Board of Directors, pursuant to authority therein granted, at a fixed rate of exchange with the money of the United States of America therein stated or provided for and all such payments shall be made accordingly. Any such vote may authorize any shares of any class then authorized but unissued to be issued as shares of such new class or classes

(Q) Subject to the limitations hereinabove set forth, either the Preferred Stock or the Common Stock or both of said classes of stock, may be increased at any time upon vote of the holders of a majority of the total number of shares of the Corporation then issued and outstanding and entitled to vote thereon, irrespective of class.

(R) If any provisions in this Section Fourth shall be in conflict or inconsistent with any other provisions of these Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Corporation the provisions of this Section Fourth shall prevail and govern.

FIFTH: The Corporation will not commence business until at least \$1,000 has been received by it as consideration for the issuance of shares.

SIXTH: Existing provisions limiting or denying to shareholders the preemptive right to acquire additional or treasury shares of the Corporation are:

No holder of any stock of the Corporation shall be entitled as of right to purchase or subscribe for any part of any unissued stock of the Corporation, or any additional stock of any class to be issued by reason of any increase of the authorized capital stock of the Corporation or of bonds, certificates of indebtedness, debentures, or other securities convertible into stock of the Corporation, but any such unissued stock or any such additional authorized issue of new stock, or of securities convertible into stock, may be issued and disposed of by the Board of Directors without offering to the stockholders then of record, or to any class of stockholders, any thereof on any terms.

SEVENTH: Existing provisions of the Restated Articles of Incorporation for the regulation of the internal affairs of the Corporation are:

(a) General authority is hereby conferred upon the Board of Directors to fix the consideration for which shares of stock of the Corporation without nominal or par value may be issued and disposed of, and the shares of stock of the Corporation without nominal or par value, whether authorized by these Restated Articles of Incorporation or by subsequent increase of the authorized number of shares of stock or by amendment of these Restated Articles of Incorporation by consolidation or merger or otherwise, and/or any securities convertible into stock of the

Corporation without nominal or par value may be issued and disposed of for such consideration and on such terms and in such manner as may be fixed from time to time by the Board of Directors.

(b) The issue of the whole, or any part determined by the Board of Directors, of the shares of stock of the Corporation as partly paid, and subject to calls thereon until the whole thereof shall have been paid, is hereby authorized.

(c) The Board of Directors shall have power to authorize the payment of compensation to the directors for services to the Corporation, including fees for attendance at meetings of the Board of Directors or the Executive Committee and all other committees and to determine the amount of such compensation and fees.

(d) The Corporation may issue a new certificate of stock in the place of any certificate theretofore issued by it, alleged to have been lost or destroyed and the Board of Directors may, in their discretion, require the owner of the lost or destroyed certificate, or his legal representative, to give bond in such sum as they may direct as indemnity against any claim that may be made against the Corporation, its officers, employees or agents by reason thereof; a new certificate may be issued without requiring any bond when, in the judgment of the directors, it is proper so to do.

If the Corporation shall neglect or refuse to issue such a new certificate and it shall appear that the owner thereof has applied to the Corporation for a new certificate in place thereof and has made due proof of the loss or destruction thereof and has given such notice of his application for such new certificate on such newspaper of general circulation, published in the State of Mississippi as reasonably should be approved by the Board of Directors, and in such other newspaper as may be required by the Board of Directors, and has tendered to the Corporation adequate security to indemnify the Corporation, its officers employees, or agents, and any person other than such applicant who shall thereafter appear to be the lawful owner of such alleged lost or destroyed certificate against damage, loss or expense because of the issuance of such new certificate, and the effect thereof as herein provided, then, unless there is adequate cause why such new certificate shall not be issued, the Corporation, upon the receipt of said indemnity, shall issue a new certificate of stock in place of such lost or destroyed certificate. In the event that the Corporation shall nevertheless refuse to issue a new certificate as aforesaid, the applicant may then petition any court of competent jurisdiction for relief against the failure of the Corporation to perform its obligations hereunder. In the event that the Corporation shall issue such new certificate, any person who shall thereafter claim any rights under the certificate in place of which such new certificate is issued, whether such new certificate is issued pursuant to the judgment or decree of such court or voluntarily by the Corporation after the publication of notice and the receipt of proof and indemnity as aforesaid, shall have recourse to such indemnity and the Corporation shall be discharged from all liability to such person by reason of such certificate and the shares represented thereby.

(e) No stockholder shall have any right to inspect any account, book or document of the Corporation, except as conferred by statute or authorized by the directors.

(f) A director of the Corporation shall not be disqualified by his office from dealing or contracting with the Corporation either as a vendor, purchaser or otherwise, nor shall any transaction or contract of the Corporation be void or voidable by reason of the fact that any director or any firm of which any director is a member or any corporation of which any director is a shareholder, officer or director, is in any way interested in such transaction or contract, provided that such transaction or contract is or shall be authorized, ratified or approved either (1) by a vote of a majority of a quorum of the Board of Directors or the Executive Committee, without counting in such majority or quorum any directors so interested or members of a firm so interested or a shareholder, officer or director of a corporation so interested, or (2) by the written consent, or by vote at a stockholders' meeting of the holders of record of a majority in number of all the outstanding shares of stock of the Corporation entitled to vote; nor shall any director be liable to account to the Corporation for any profits realized by or from or through any such transaction or contract of the Corporation, authorized, ratified or approved as aforesaid by reason of the fact that he or any firm of which he is a member or any corporation of which he is a shareholder, officer or director was interested in such transaction or contract. Nothing herein contained shall create any liability in the events above described or prevent the authorization, ratification or approval of such contract in any other manner provided by law.

(g) Any director may be removed, whether cause shall be assigned for his removal or not, and his place filled at any meeting of the stockholders by the vote of a majority of the outstanding stock of the Corporation entitled to vote. Vacancies in the Board of Directors, except vacancies arising from the removal of directors, shall be filled by the directors remaining in office.

(h) Any property of the Corporation not essential to the conduct of its corporate business and purposes may be sold, leased, exchanged or otherwise disposed of by authority of its Board of Directors and the Corporation may sell, lease or exchange all of its property and franchises or any of its property, franchises, corporate rights or privileges essential to the conduct of its corporate business and purposes upon the consent of and for such considerations and upon such terms as may be authorized by a majority of the Board of Directors and the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of stock entitled to vote, expressed in writing or by vote at a meeting called for that purpose in the manner provided by the By-Laws of the Corporation for special meetings of stockholders; and at no time shall any of the plants, properties, easements, franchises (other than corporate franchises) or securities then owned by the Corporation be deemed to be property, franchises, corporate rights or privileges essential to the conduct of the corporate business and purposes of the Corporation.

Upon the vote or consent of the stockholders required to dissolve the Corporation, the Corporation shall have power, as the attorney and agent

of the holders of all of its outstanding stock, to sell, assign and transfer all such stock to a new corporation organized under the laws of the United States, the State of Mississippi or any other state, and to receive as the consideration therefor shares of stock of such new corporation of the several classes into which the stock of the Corporation is then divided, equal in number to the number of shares of stock of the Corporation of said several classes then outstanding, such shares of said new corporation to have the same preferences, voting powers, restrictions and qualifications thereof as may then attach to the classes of stock of the Corporation then outstanding so far as the same shall be consistent with such laws of the United States or of the State of Mississippi or of such other state, except that the whole or any part of such stock or any class thereof may be stock with or without nominal or par value. In order to make effective such a sale, assignment and transfer, the Corporation shall have the right to transfer all its outstanding stock on its books and to issue and deliver new certificates therefor in such names and amounts as such new corporation may direct without receiving for cancellation the certificates for such stock previously issued and then outstanding. Upon completion of such sale, assignment and transfer, the holders of the stock of the Corporation shall have no rights or interests in or against the Corporation except the right, upon surrender of certificates for stock of the Corporation properly endorsed, if required, to receive from the Corporation certificates for shares of stock of such new corporation of the class corresponding to the class of the shares surrendered, equal in number to the number of shares of the stock of the Corporation so surrendered.

(i) Upon the written assent or pursuant to the affirmative vote in person or by proxy of the holders of a majority in number of the shares then outstanding and entitled to vote, irrespective of class, (1) any or every statute of the State of Mississippi hereafter enacted, whereby the rights, powers or privileges of the Corporation are or may be increased, diminished or in any way affected or whereby the rights, powers or privileges of the stockholders of corporations organized under the law under which the Corporation is organized, are increased, diminished or in any way affected or whereby effect is given to the action taken by any part, less than all, of the stockholders of any such corporation, shall, notwithstanding any provisions which may at the time be contained in these Restated Articles of Incorporation or any law, apply to the Corporation, and shall be binding not only upon the Corporation, but upon every stockholder thereof, to the same extent as if such statute had been in force at the date of the making and filing of these Restated Articles of Incorporation and/or (2) amendments of these Restated Articles of Incorporation authorized at the time of the making of such amendments by the laws of the State of Mississippi may be made.

EIGHTH: The Restated Articles of Incorporation correctly set forth without change the corresponding provisions of the Articles of Incorporation as heretofore amended and restated, and supersede the original Articles of Incorporation, and all amendments thereto, and prior Restated Articles of Incorporation and all amendments thereto.

DATED: December 21, 1983.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By: D. C. LUTKEN

Its President

[CORPORATE SEAL]

By: F. S. YORK, JR.

Its Secretary

**STATE OF MISSISSIPPI
COUNTY OF HINDS**

I, Bethel Ferguson, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that on this 21st day of December, 1983, personally appeared before me D. C. Lutken, who, being by me first duly sworn, declared that he is the President of Mississippi Power & Light Company, that he signed the foregoing document as President of the Corporation, and that the statements therein contained are true.

BETHEL FERGUSON
Notary Public

My commission expires July 23, 1987.

[NOTARY'S SEAL]

**RESTATED ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION
of
MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY**

Filing and Recording Data

Restated Articles of Incorporation filed with Secretary of State- - - -December 21, 1983

Certificate of Restated Articles of Incorporation issued by Secretary of State--December 21, 1983

Certificate of Restated Articles of Incorporation and Restated Articles of Incorporation filed for record in the office of the Chancery Clerk of the First Judicial District of Hinds County, Mississippi, Book 189, Page 624--December 22, 1983.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Statement of Resolution Establishing Series of Shares

October 25, 1984

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 79-3-29 of the Mississippi Business Corporation Law, the undersigned Corporation submits the following statement for the purpose of establishing and designating a series of shares and fixing and determining the relative rights and preferences thereof:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The attached resolution establishing and designating a series of shares and fixing and determining the relative rights and preferences thereof was duly adopted by the Board of Directors of the Corporation on October 24, 1984.

Dated this the 25th day of October, 1984.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

*By/s/ William Cavanaugh,
III
William Cavanaugh, III
President*

*By /s/ Frank S. York, Jr.
Frank S. York, Jr.
Senior Vice President,
Chief Financial
Officer
and Secretary*

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY OF MINDS

I, Joy L. Spears, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that on this October 25, 1984, personally appeared before me William Cavanaugh, III, who, being by me first duly sworn, declared that he is President of Mississippi Power & Light Company, that he executed the foregoing document as President of the Corporation, and that the statements therein contained are true.

*/s/ Joy L. Spears
Joy L. Spears, Notary
Public*

My Commission Expires:

March 30, 1986

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY OF MINDS

I, Joy L. Spears, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that on this October 25, 1984, personally appeared before me Frank S. York, Jr., who, being by me first duly sworn, declared that he is Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of Mississippi Power & Light Company, that he executed the foregoing document as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of the Corporation, and that the statements therein contained are true.

*/s/ Joy L. Spears
Joy L. Spears, Notary
Public*

My Commission Expires:

March 30, 1986

RESOLVED That there is hereby established a series of the Preferred Stock of Mississippi Power & Light Company as follows:

A series of 150,000 shares of the Preferred Stock shall:

(a) be designated "16.16% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value;"

(b) have a dividend rate of \$16.16 per share per annum payable quarterly on February 1, May 1, August 1, and November 1 of each year, the first dividend date to be February 1, 1986, and such dividends to be cumulative from the date of issuance;

(c) be subject to redemption at the price of \$116.16 per share if redeemed on or before November 1, 1989, of \$112.12 per share if redeemed after November 1, 1989, and on or before November 1, 1994, of \$108.08 per share if redeemed after November 1, 1994, and on or before November 1, 1999, and of \$104.04 per share if redeemed after November 1, 1999, in each case plus an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, if any, to the date fixed for redemption; provided, however, that no share of the 16.16% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, shall be redeemed prior to November 1, 1989, if such redemption is for the purpose or in anticipation of refunding such share through the use, directly or indirectly, of funds borrowed by the Corporation, or through the use, directly or indirectly, of funds derived through the issuance by the Corporation of stock ranking prior to or on a parity with the 16.16% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, as to dividends or assets, if such borrowed funds have an effective interest cost to the Corporation (computed in accordance with generally accepted financial practice) or such stock has an effective dividend cost to the Corporation (so computed) of less than 16.2772% per annum; and

(d) be subject to redemption as and for a sinking fund as follows: on November 1, 1989 and on each November 1 thereafter (each such date being hereinafter referred to as a "16.16% Sinking Fund Redemption Date"), for so long as any shares of the 16.16% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, shall remain outstanding, the Corporation shall redeem, out of funds legally available therefor, 7,500 shares of the 16.16% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, (or the number of shares then outstanding if less than 7,500) at the sinking fund redemption price of \$100 per share plus, as to each share so redeemed, an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, if any, to the date of redemption (the obligation of the Corporation so to redeem the shares of the 16.16% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, being hereinafter referred to as the "16.16% Sinking Fund Obligation"); the 16.16% Sinking Fund Obligation shall be cumulative; if on any 16.16% Sinking Fund Redemption Date, the Corporation shall not have funds legally available therefor sufficient to redeem the full number of shares required to be redeemed on that date, the 16.16% Sinking Fund Obligation with respect to the shares not redeemed shall carry forward to each successive 16.16% Sinking Fund Redemption Date until such shares shall have been redeemed; whenever on any 16.16% Sinking Fund Redemption Date, the funds of the Corporation legally available for the satisfaction of the 16.16% Sinking Fund Obligation and all other sinking fund and similar obligations then existing with respect to any other class or series of its stock ranking on a parity as to dividends or assets with the 16.16% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value (such obligation and obligations collectively being hereinafter referred to as the "Total Sinking Fund Obligations"), are insufficient to permit the Corporation to satisfy fully its Total Sinking Fund Obligation on that date, the Corporation shall apply to the satisfaction on its 16.16% Sinking Fund Obligation on that date that proportion of such legally available funds which is equal to the ratio of such 16.16% Sinking Fund Obligation to such Total Sinking Fund Obligation; in addition to the 16.16% Sinking Fund Obligation, the Corporation shall have the option, which shall be noncumulative, to redeem, upon authorization of the Board of Directors, on each 16.16% Sinking Fund Redemption Date, at the aforesaid sinking fund redemption price, up to 7,500 additional shares of the 16.16% Preferred Stock, Cumulative \$100 Par Value; the Corporation shall be entitled, at its election, to credit against its 16.16% Sinking Fund Obligation on any 16.16% Sinking Fund Redemption Date any shares of the Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value (including shares of the 16.16% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, optionally redeemed at the aforesaid sinking

fund price) theretofore redeemed (other than shares of the 16.16% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, redeemed pursuant to the 16.16% Sinking Fund Obligation) purchased or otherwise acquired and not previously credited against the 16.16% Sinking Fund Obligation.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Statement of Resolution Establishing Series of Shares

July 24, 1986

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 79-3-29 of the Mississippi Code of 1972, the undersigned Corporation submits the following statement for the purpose of establishing and designating a series of shares and fixing and determining the relative rights and preferences thereof:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The attached resolution establishing and designating a series of shares and fixing and determining the relative rights and preferences thereof was duly adopted by the Board of Directors of the Corporation on July 24, 1986.

Dated this the 24th day of July, 1986.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

*By/s/ William Cavanaugh,
III
William Cavanaugh, III
President*

*By /s/ Frank S. York, Jr.
Frank S. York, Jr.
Senior Vice President,
Chief Financial
Officer
and Secretary*

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY OF MINDS

I, Joseph L. Blount, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that on this July 24, 1986, personally appeared before me William Cavanaugh, III, who, being by me first duly sworn, declared that he is President of Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Mississippi corporation, that he executed the foregoing document as President of the Corporation, and that the statements therein contained are true.

*/s/ Joseph L. Blount
Joseph L. Blount, Notary
Public*

My Commission Expires:

January 20, 1990

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY OF MINDS

I, Joseph L. Blount, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that on this July 24, 1986, personally appeared before me Frank S. York, Jr., who, being by me first duly sworn, declared that he is Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Mississippi corporation, that he executed the foregoing document as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of the Corporation, and that the statements therein contained are true.

/s/ Joseph L. Blount
Joseph L. Blount, Notary
Public

My Commission Expires:

January 20, 1990

RESOLVED That there is hereby established a series of the Preferred Stock of Mississippi Power & Light Company as follows:

A series of 350,000 shares of the Preferred Stock shall:

(a) be designated "9% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value;"

(b) have a dividend rate of \$9.00 per share per annum payable quarterly on February 1, May 1, August 1, and November 1 of each year, the first dividend date to be November 1, 1986, and such dividends to be cumulative from the date of issuance;

(c) be subject to redemption at the price of \$109.00 per share if redeemed on or before July 1, 1991, of \$106.75 per share if redeemed after July 1, 1991, in each case plus an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, if any, to the date fixed for redemption; provided, however, that no share of the 9% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, shall be redeemed prior to July 1, 1991, if such redemption is for the purpose or in anticipation of refunding such share through the use, directly or indirectly, of funds borrowed by the Corporation, or through the use, directly or indirectly, of funds derived through the issuance by the Corporation of stock ranking prior to or on a parity with the 9% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, as to dividends or assets, if such borrowed funds have an effective interest cost to the Corporation (computed in accordance with generally accepted financial practice) or such stock has an effective dividend cost to the Corporation (so computed) of less than 9.9901% per annum; and

(d) be subject to redemption as and for a sinking fund as follows: on July 1, 1991, and on each July 1 thereafter (each such date being hereinafter referred to as a "9% Sinking Fund Redemption Date"), for so long as any shares of the 9% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, shall remain outstanding, the Corporation shall redeem, out of funds legally available therefor, 70,000 shares of the 9% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, (or the number of shares then outstanding if less than 70,000) at the sinking fund redemption price of \$100 per share plus, as to each share so redeemed, an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, if any, to the date of redemption (the obligation of the Corporation so to redeem the shares of the 9% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, being hereinafter referred to as the "9% Sinking Fund Obligation"); the 9% Sinking Fund Obligation shall be cumulative; if on any 9% Sinking Fund Redemption Date, the Corporation shall not have funds legally available therefor sufficient to redeem the full number of shares required to be redeemed on that date, the 9% Sinking Fund Obligation with respect to the shares not redeemed shall carry forward to each successive 9% Sinking Fund Redemption Date until such shares shall have been redeemed; whenever on any 9% Sinking Fund Redemption Date, the funds of the Corporation legally available for the satisfaction of the 9% Sinking Fund Obligation and all other sinking fund and similar obligations then existing with respect to any other class or series of its stock ranking on a parity as to dividends or assets with the 9% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value (such obligation and obligations collectively being hereinafter referred to as the "Total Sinking Fund Obligations"), are insufficient to permit the Corporation to satisfy fully its Total Sinking Fund Obligation on that date, the Corporation shall apply to the satisfaction on its 9% Sinking Fund Obligation on that date that proportion of such legally available funds which is equal to the ratio of such 9% Sinking Fund Obligation to such Total Sinking Fund Obligation; the Corporation shall be entitled, at its election, to credit against its 9% Sinking Fund Obligation on any 9% Sinking Fund Redemption Date any shares of the Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, theretofore redeemed (other than shares of the 9% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, redeemed pursuant to the 9% Sinking Fund Obligation) purchased or otherwise acquired and not previously credited against the 9% Sinking Fund Obligation.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Statement of Cancellation of Shares

September 1, 1986

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 79-3-133 of the Mississippi Code of 1972, the undersigned Corporation submits the following statement of cancellation of redeemable shares by redemption:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The number of redeemable shares cancelled through redemption is 20,000 shares of 17% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value.
3. The aggregate number of issued shares, itemized by class and series, after giving effect to such cancellation is as follows:
 - (a) 6,275,000 shares of common stock, without par value;
 - (b) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (c) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (d) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (e) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (f) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (g) 180,000 shares of 17% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (h) 100,000 shares of 14.75% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (i) 100,000 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (j) 150,000 shares of 16.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (k) 350,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
4. The amount, expressed in dollars, of the stated capital of the Corporation, after giving effect to such cancellation is \$270,205,800.00.
5. The Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Corporation provide that the cancelled shares shall not be reissued, and the number of shares which the Corporation has authority to issue, itemized by class, after giving effect to such cancellation, is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 6,275,000 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 1,984,476 shares of preferred stock, 1,258,808 shares of which are issued and outstanding as outlined above.

Dated this the 10th day of December, 1986.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By */s/ Frank S. York, Jr.*
Frank S. York, Jr.
Senior Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer
and Secretary

By */s/ A. H. Mapp*
A. H. Mapp
Assistant Secretary
and
Assistant Treasurer

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY OF MINDS

I, Joy L. Spears, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that on this 10th day of December, 1986, personally appeared before me Frank S. York, Jr.,

who, being by me first duly sworn, declared that he is Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Mississippi corporation, that he executed the foregoing document as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of the Corporation, and that the statements therein contained are true.

*/s/ Joy L. Spears
Joy L. Spears, Notary*

*Public
My Commission Expires:*

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY OF MINDS

I, Joy L. Spears, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that on this 10th day of December, 1986, personally appeared before me A. H. Mapp, who, being by me first duly sworn, declared that he is Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer of Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Mississippi corporation, that he executed the foregoing document as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of the Corporation, and that the statements therein contained are true.

*/s/ Joy L. Spears
Joy L. Spears, Notary*

*Public
My Commission Expires:*

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Statement of Cancellation of Shares

November 1, 1986

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 79-3-133 of the Mississippi Code of 1972, the undersigned Corporation submits the following statement of cancellation of redeemable shares by redemption:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The number of redeemable shares cancelled through redemption is 180,000 shares of 17% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value.
3. The aggregate number of issued shares, itemized by class and series, after giving effect to such cancellation is as follows:
 - (a) 6,275,000 shares of common stock, without par value;
 - (b) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (c) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (d) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (e) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (f) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (g) 100,000 shares of 14.75% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (h) 100,000 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (i) 150,000 shares of 16.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (j) 350,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
4. The amount, expressed in dollars, of the stated capital of the Corporation, after giving effect to such cancellation is \$252,205,800.00.
5. The Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Corporation provide that the cancelled shares shall not be reissued, and the number of shares which the Corporation has authority to issue, itemized by class, after giving effect to such cancellation, is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 6,275,000 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 1,804,476 shares of preferred stock, 1,078,808 shares of which are issued and outstanding as outlined above.

Dated this the 10th day of December, 1986.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By /s/ Frank S. York, Jr.
Frank S. York, Jr.
Senior Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer
and Secretary

By /s/ A. H. Mapp
A. H. Mapp
Assistant Secretary
and
Assistant Treasurer

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY OF MINDS

I, Joy L. Spears, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that on this 10th day of December, 1986, personally appeared before me Frank S. York, Jr., who, being by me first duly sworn, declared that he is Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of Mississippi Power &

Light Company, a Mississippi corporation, that he executed the foregoing document as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of the Corporation, and that the statements therein contained are true.

/s/ Joy L. Spears
Joy L. Spears, Notary

Public

My Commission Expires:

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY OF MINDS

I, Joy L. Spears, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that on this 10th day of December, 1986, personally appeared before me A. H. Mapp, who, being by me first duly sworn, declared that he is Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer of Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Mississippi corporation, that he executed the foregoing document as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of the Corporation, and that the statements therein contained are true.

/s/ Joy L. Spears
Joy L. Spears, Notary

Public

My Commission Expires:

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Statement of Cancellation of Shares

November 1, 1986

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 79-3-133 of the Mississippi Code of 1972, the undersigned Corporation submits the following statement of cancellation of redeemable shares by redemption:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The number of redeemable shares cancelled through redemption is 100,000 shares of 14.75% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value.
3. The aggregate number of issued shares, itemized by class and series, after giving effect to such cancellation is as follows:
 - (a) 6,275,000 shares of common stock, without par value;
 - (b) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (c) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (d) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (e) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (f) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (g) 100,000 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (h) 150,000 shares of 16.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (i) 350,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
4. The amount, expressed in dollars, of the stated capital of the Corporation, after giving effect to such cancellation is \$242,205,800.00.
5. The Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Corporation provide that the cancelled shares shall not be reissued, and the number of shares which the Corporation has authority to issue, itemized by class, after giving effect to such cancellation, is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 6,275,000 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 1,704,476 shares of preferred stock, 978,808 shares of which are issued and outstanding as outlined above.

Dated this the 10th day of December, 1986.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By /s/ Frank S. York, Jr.
Frank S. York, Jr.
Senior Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer
and Secretary

By /s/ A. H. Mapp
A. H. Mapp
Assistant Secretary
and
Assistant Treasurer

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY OF MINDS

I, Joy L. Spears, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that on this 10th day of December, 1986, personally appeared before me Frank S. York, Jr., who, being by me first duly sworn, declared that he is Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Mississippi corporation, that he executed the foregoing document as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and

Secretary of the Corporation, and that the statements therein contained are true.

/s/ Joy L. Spears
Joy L. Spears, Notary

Public

My Commission Expires:

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY OF MINDS

I, Joy L. Spears, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that on this 10th day of December, 1986, personally appeared before me A. H. Mapp, who, being by me first duly sworn, declared that he is Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer of Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Mississippi corporation, that he executed the foregoing document as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of the Corporation, and that the statements therein contained are true.

/s/ Joy L. Spears
Joy L. Spears, Notary

Public

My Commission Expires:

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Statement of Resolution Establishing Series of Shares

January 13, 1987

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 79-3-29 of the Mississippi Code of 1972, the undersigned Corporation submits the following statement for the purpose of establishing and designating a series of shares and fixing and determining the relative rights and preferences thereof:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The attached resolution establishing and designating a series of shares and fixing and determining the relative rights and preferences thereof was duly adopted by the Board of Directors of the Corporation on January 13, 1987.

Dated this the 13th day of January, 1987.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By */s/ D. C. Lutken*
 D. C. Lutken
 President, Chairman of
 the Board and Chief
 Executive Officer

By */s/ G. A. Goff*
 G. A. Goff
 Senior Vice
President,
 Chief Financial
Officer
 and Secretary

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY OF MINDS

I, Joy L. Spears, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that on this January 13, 1987, personally appeared before me D. C. Lutken, who, being by me first duly sworn, declared that he is President, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Mississippi corporation, that he executed the foregoing document as President, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation, and that the statements therein contained are true.

/s/ Joy L. Spears
Joy L. Spears, Notary
Public

My Commission Expires:

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY OF MINDS

I, Joy L. Spears, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that on this January 13, 1987, personally appeared before me G. A. Goff, who, being by me first duly sworn, declared that he is Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of Mississippi Power & Light Company, a Mississippi corporation, that he executed the foregoing document as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of the Corporation, and that the statements therein contained are true.

*/s/ Joy L. Spears
Joy L. Spears, Notary
Public*

My Commission Expires:

RESOLVED That there is hereby established a series of the Preferred Stock of Mississippi Power & Light Company as follows:

A series of 350,000 shares of the Preferred Stock shall:

(a) be designated "9.76% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value;"

(b) have a dividend rate of \$9.76 per share per annum payable quarterly on February 1, May 1, August 1, and November 1 of each year, the first dividend date to be May 1, 1987, and such dividends to be cumulative from the date of issuance;

(c) be subject to redemption at the price of \$109.76 per share if redeemed on or before January 1, 1988, of \$108.68 per share if redeemed after January 1, 1988, and on or before January 1, 1989, of \$107.60 per share if redeemed after January 1, 1989, and on or before January 1, 1990, of \$106.51 per share if redeemed after January 1, 1990, and on or before January 1, 1991, of \$105.43 per share if redeemed after January 1, 1991, and on or before January 1, 1992, of \$104.34 per share if redeemed after January 1, 1992, and on or before January 1, 1993, of \$103.26 per share if redeemed after January 1, 1993, and on or before January 1, 1994, of \$102.17 per share if redeemed after January 1, 1994, and on or before January 1, 1995, of \$101.09 per share if redeemed after January 1, 1995, and on or before January 1, 1996, and of \$100.00 per share if redeemed after January 1, 1996, in each case plus an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, if any, to the date fixed for redemption; provided, however, that no share of the 9.76% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, shall be redeemed prior to January 1, 1992, if such redemption is for the purpose or in anticipation of refunding such share through the use, directly or indirectly, of funds borrowed by the Corporation, or through the use, directly or indirectly, of funds derived through the issuance by the Corporation of stock ranking prior to or on a parity with the 9.76% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, as to dividends or assets, if such borrowed funds have an effective interest cost to the Corporation (computed in accordance with generally accepted financial practice) or such stock has an effective dividend cost to the Corporation (so computed) of less than 9.9165% per annum; and

(d) be subject to redemption as and for a sinking fund as follows: on January 1, 1993, and on each January 1 thereafter (each such date being hereinafter referred to as a "9.76% Sinking Fund Redemption Date"), for so long as any shares of the 9.76% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, shall remain outstanding, the Corporation shall redeem, out of funds legally available therefor, 70,000 shares of the 9.76% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, (or the number of shares then outstanding if less than 70,000) at the sinking fund redemption price of \$100 per share plus, as to each share so redeemed, an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, if any, to the date of redemption (the obligation of the Corporation so to redeem the shares of the 9.76% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, being hereinafter referred to as the "9.76% Sinking Fund Obligation"); the 9.76% Sinking Fund Obligation shall be cumulative; if on any 9.76% Sinking Fund Redemption Date, the Corporation shall not have funds legally available therefor sufficient to redeem the full number of shares required to be redeemed on that date, the 9.76% Sinking Fund Obligation with respect to the shares not redeemed shall carry forward to each successive 9.76% Sinking Fund Redemption Date until such shares shall have been redeemed; whenever on any 9.76% Sinking Fund Redemption Date, the funds of the Corporation legally available for the satisfaction of the 9.76% Sinking Fund Obligation and all other sinking fund and similar obligations then existing with respect to any other class or series of its stock ranking on a parity as to dividends or assets with the 9.76% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value (such obligation and obligations collectively being hereinafter referred to as the "Total Sinking Fund Obligations"), are insufficient to permit the Corporation to satisfy fully its Total Sinking Fund Obligation on that date, the Corporation shall apply to the satisfaction on its 9.76% Sinking Fund Obligation on that date that proportion of such legally available funds which is equal to the ratio of such 9.76% Sinking Fund Obligation to such Total Sinking Fund Obligation; the Corporation shall be entitled, at its election, to credit against its 9.76% Sinking Fund Obligation on any 9.76% Sinking Fund Redemption Date any shares of the Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value, theretofore redeemed (other than shares of the 9.76% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value,

redeemed pursuant to the 9.76% Sinking Fund Obligation) purchased or otherwise acquired and not previously credited against the 9.76% Sinking Fund Obligation.

FURTHER RESOLVED That the officers of the Company are hereby authorized and directed to execute, file, publish and record all such statements and other documents, and to do and perform all such other and further acts and things, as in the judgment of the officer or officers taking such action may be necessary or desirable for the purpose of causing the immediately preceding resolution to become fully effective and of causing said resolution to become and constitute an amendment of the Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Company, all in the manner and to the extent required by the Mississippi Business Corporation Law.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (Supp. 1987)

March 8, 1988

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Section 79-4-6.31 of the Mississippi Code of 1972, as amended, submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 5,000 shares of 12% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 6,275,000 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 1,699,476 shares of preferred stock, 1,323,808 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 95,000 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 150,000 shares of 16.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 350,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ix) 350,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and

Dated this the 8th day of March, 1988.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By /s/ G. A. Goff
 G. A. Goff
 Senior Vice
President,
 Chief Financial
Officer
 and Secretary

By /s/ J. R. Martin
 J. R. Martin
 Treasurer and
Assistant
 Secretary

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (Supp. 1988)

January 19, 1989

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Section 79-4-6.31 of the Mississippi Code of 1972, as amended, submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 1,500 shares of 12% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 7,579,400 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 1,699,476 shares of preferred stock, 1,323,808 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 93,500 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 150,000 shares of 16.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 350,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ix) 350,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and

Dated this the 19th day of January, 1989.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By /s/ G. A. Goff
 G. A. Goff
 Senior Vice
President,
 Chief Financial
Officer
 and Secretary

REGISTERED AGENT/OFFICE STATEMENT OF CHANGE

(Mark appropriate box)

X DOMESTIC X PROFIT

FOREIGN NONPROFIT

1. Name of Corporation:

Mississippi Power & Light Company

Federal Tax ID: 64-0205830

2. Current street address of registered office:

308 East Pearl Street
Jackson, Mississippi 39201

3. New street address of registered office: (No change)

4. Name of current registered agent:

Donald C. Lutken or Robert C. Grenfell

5. Name of new registered agent:

Michael B. Bemis or Robert C. Grenfell

6. (Mark appropriate box) (X) The undersigned hereby accepts designation as registered agent for service of process.

/s/ Michael B. Bemis

/s/ Robert C. Grenfell

*() Statement of written consent if
attached.*

7. () Nonprofit. The street address of the registered office and the street address of the principal office of its registered agent will be identical.

(X) Profit. The street address of the registered office and the street address of the business office of its registered agent will be identical.

8. The corporation has been notified of the change of registered office.

Mississippi Power & Light Company Corporate Name

By: *Michael B. Bemis, President and COO* /s/ *Michael B.
Bemis*

PRINTED NAME/CORPORATE TITLE

SIGNATURE

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (Supp. 1988)

March 30, 1989

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Section 79-4-6.31 of the Mississippi Code of 1972, as amended, submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 8,500 shares of 12% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 7,579,400 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 1,699,476 shares of preferred stock, 1,323,808 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 85,000 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 150,000 shares of 16.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 350,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ix) 350,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and

Dated this the 30th day of March, 1989.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By /s/ G. A. Goff
 G. A. Goff
 Senior Vice
President,
 Chief Financial
Officer
 and Secretary

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (Supp. 1988)

March 30, 1989

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Section 79-4-6.31 of the Mississippi Code of 1972, as amended, submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 5,800 shares of 12% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 7,579,400 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 1,692,176 shares of preferred stock, 1,316,508 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 87,700 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 150,000 shares of 16.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 350,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ix) 350,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and

Dated this the 30th day of March, 1989.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By /s/ G. A. Goff
 G. A. Goff
 Senior Vice
President,
 Chief Financial
Officer
 and Secretary

ARTICLES OF CORRECTION

(Mark appropriate box)

X PROFIT NONPROFIT

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Section 79-4-1.24 (if a profit corporation) or Section 79-11-113 (if a nonprofit corporation) of the Mississippi Code of 1972, as amended, hereby executes the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is:

Mississippi Power & Light Company

2. (Mark appropriate box.) (X) The document to be corrected is Articles of Amendment which became effective on March 31, 1989 (date).

() A copy of the document to be corrected is attached.

3. The aforesaid articles contain the following incorrect statement:

See Attachment "A"

4. a. The reason such statement is incorrect is: The reduction in the number of shares of the class and series referred to in attachment A was incorrectly states as 8,500, and should have been 5,800, which incorrect statement is a component of certain other statements made in the Articles of Amendment, all as reflected in attachment "A".

or

b. The manner in which the execution of such document was defective was:

5. The correction is as follows: Attachment "B", a new executed form of Articles of Amendment, is substituted in its entirety for the Articles of Amendment referred to above.

6. The certificate of correction shall become effective on March 31, 1989.

By: Mississippi Power & Light Company
printed name/corporation title

Officer

/s/ G. A. Goff
G. A. Goff
Senior Vice President,
Chief Financial

and Secretary

ATTACHMENT "A"

The following incorrect statements were included in the Articles of Amendment under Miss. Code Ann. Section 74-4-6.31 (Supp. 1988) dated March 30, 1989:

1. Paragraph 2 thereof provided as follows: "The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 8,500 shares of 12% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 par value."
2. Paragraph 3(b) provided in part as follows: "1,699,476 shares of preferred stock, 1,323,808 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (vi) 85,000 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (Supp. 1988)

November 2, 1989

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann.
Section 79-4-6.31 (Supp. 1988), submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 90,000 shares of 16.16% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 7,579,400 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 1,602,176 shares of preferred stock, 1,226,508 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$200 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 87,700 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 60,000 shares of 16.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 350,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ix) 350,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and

Dated this the 2nd day of November, 1989.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By /s/ G. A. Goff
 G. A. Goff
 Senior Vice
President,
 Chief Financial
Officer
 and Secretary

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (1972)

March 28, 1990

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann. Section 79-4-6.31 (1972), submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 10,000 shares of 12.009% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 7,579,400 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 1,592,176 shares of preferred stock, 1,216,508 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$200 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 77,700 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 60,000 shares of 16.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 350,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ix) 350,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and

Dated this the 30th day of March, 1990.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By /s/ G. A. Goff
 G. A. Goff
 Senior Vice
President,
 Chief Financial
Officer
 and Secretary

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (1972)

November 2, 1990

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann.
Section 79-4-6.31 (1972), submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 15,000 shares of 16.16% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 7,579,400 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 1,577,176 shares of preferred stock, 1,201,508 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 77,700 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 45,000 shares of 16.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 350,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ix) 350,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and

Dated this the 2nd day of November, 1990.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By /s/ G. A. Goff
 G. A. Goff
 Senior Vice
President,
 Chief Financial
Officer
 and Secretary

March 26, 1991

Ms. Sylvia Jacobs
Branch Supervisor-Corporations Business Services Secretary of State of State of Mississippi 202 North Congress Street, Suite 601
Jackson, MS 39205

Re: Mississippi Power & Light Company
Articles of Amendment

Dear Ms. Jacobs:

I received your Notice of Return regarding the Articles of Amendment we recently filed for Mississippi Power & Light Company under Section 79-4-6.31 of the Mississippi Code. Your Notice of Return states that we must use Form C-3 provided in the Guide for Domestic Corporations published by the Mississippi Secretary of State.

I draw your attention to the fact that the Articles of Amendment we are filing are being filed under Section 79-4-6.31 (1989) of the Mississippi Code, and not Section 79-4-10.06. I agree that if we were filing Articles of Amendment under Section 79-4-10.06, the proper form to use would be Form C-3 provided by the Mississippi Secretary of State. However, the Articles of Amendment we are filing are being filed only because stock was redeemed by the corporation and is now being cancelled.

We have used the form enclosed with this letter numerous times in the past to file Articles of Amendment pursuant to Section 79-4-6.31, after consultation with Ray Bailey. It is my opinion that the form for the standard Articles of Amendment would not be appropriate for the type of amendment we are filing, and there is no place on the form to provide the information required under Section 79-4-6.31. Accordingly, I am returning our duplicate originals of the Articles of Amendment and request that you file one among the records in your office, and return the conformed copy, marked "Filed," to my attention at the above address.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call at the above direct dial number.

Very truly yours,

Cockrell

/s/ J. Michael

J. Michael Cockrell

DMC/st
Enclosure

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (1989)

March 18, 1991

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann. Section 79-4-6.31 (1989), submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is (a) 80 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; (b) 588 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and (c) 10,000 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 7,579,400 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 1,566,508 shares of preferred stock, 1,191,508 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 67,700 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 45,000 shares of 16.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 350,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ix) 350,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and

Dated this the 18th day of March, 1991.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By /s/ G. A. Goff
 G. A. Goff
 Senior Vice
President,
 Chief Financial
Officer
 and Secretary

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (1989)

March 13, 1992

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann.
Section 79-4-6.31 (1989), submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 10,000 shares of 12% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 7,579,400 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 1,471,508 shares of preferred stock, 1,096,508 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 57,700 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 30,000 shares of 16.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 280,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ix) 350,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and

Dated this the 13th day of March, 1992.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By */s/ A. H. Mapp*
Title: *Assistant*
Secretary

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (1989)

July 15, 1992

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann.
Section 79-4-6.31 (1989), submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 70,000 shares of 9.00% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 8,666,357 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 1,401,508 shares of preferred stock, 1,026,508 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 57,700 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 30,000 shares of 16.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 210,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ix) 350,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and

Dated this the 15th day of July, 1992.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By */s/ A. H. Mapp*
Title: *Assistant*
Secretary

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment - Statement of Resolution Establishing Series of Shares

October 22, 1992

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 79-4-6.02(d) of the Mississippi Code of 1972 (Supp. 1989), Mississippi Power & Light Company submits the following statement for the purpose of establishing and designating a series of shares and fixing and determining the relative rights and preferences thereof:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The attached resolution establishing and designating a series of shares and fixing and determining the relative rights and preferences thereof was duly adopted by the Board of Directors of the Corporation on October 22, 1992.

Dated this the 22nd day of October, 1992.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By /s/ A. H. Mapp
 Allan H. Mapp
 Assistant Secretary
and
 Assistant Treasurer

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Excerpts from the minutes of the Meeting

of the Board of Directors held on October 22, 1992

RESOLVED That there is hereby established a series of the Preferred Stock of Mississippi Power & Light Company as follows:

A series of 200,000 shares of the Preferred Stock shall:

- (a) be designated as the "8.36% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value";
- (b) have a dividend rate of \$8.36 per share per annum payable quarterly on February 1, May 1, August 1, and November 1 of each year, the first dividend date to be February 1, 1993, and such dividends to be cumulative from the date of issuance; and
- (c) be subject to redemption at the price of \$100 par share plus an amount equivalent to the accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, if any, to the date fixed for redemption (except that no share of the 8.36% Preferred Stock shall be redeemed on or before October 1, 1997).

FURTHER RESOLVED That the officers of the Company are hereby authorized and directed to execute, file and publish and record all such statements and other documents, and to do and perform all such other and further acts and things, as in the judgment of the officer and officers taking such action may be necessary or desirable for the purpose of causing the immediately preceding resolution to become fully effective and of causing said resolution to become and constitute an amendment of the Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Company, all in the manner and to the extent required by the Mississippi Business Corporation Law.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (1989)

November 6, 1992

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann. Section 79-4-6.31 (1989), submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 15,000 shares of 16.16% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 8,666,357 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 1,386,508 shares of preferred stock, 1,211,508 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 57,700 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 15,000 shares of 16.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 210,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ix) 350,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and
 - (x) 200,000 shares of 8.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value.

Dated this the 6th day of November, 1993.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By */s/ A. H. Mapp*
Title: *Assistant*
Secretary

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (1989)

January 12, 1993

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann.
Section 79-4-6.31 (1989), submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 70,000 shares of 9.76% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 8,666,357 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 1,316,508 shares of preferred stock, 1,141,508 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 57,700 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 15,000 shares of 16.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 210,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ix) 280,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and
 - (x) 200,000 shares of 8.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value.

Dated this the 12th day of January, 1993.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By */s/ A. H. Mapp*
Title: *Assistant*
Secretary

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (1989)

March 10, 1993

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann. Section 79-4-6.31 (1989), submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 10,000 shares of 12.00% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 8,666,357 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 1,306,508 shares of preferred stock, 1,131,508 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 47,700 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 15,000 shares of 16.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 210,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ix) 280,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and
 - (x) 200,000 shares of 8.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value.

Dated this the 10th day of March, 1993.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By */s/ A. H. Mapp*
Title: *Assistant*
Secretary

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (1989)

July 12, 1993

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann.
Section 79-4-6.31 (1989), submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 70,000 shares of 9.00% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 8,666,357 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 1,236,508 shares of preferred stock, 1,061,508 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 47,700 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 15,000 shares of 16.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 140,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ix) 280,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and
 - (x) 200,000 shares of 8.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value.

Dated this the 12th day of July, 1993.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

*By /s/ James W. Snider
Title: Assistant
Secretary*

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (1989)

November 15, 1993

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann.
Section 79-4-6.31 (1989), submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 15,000 shares of 16.16% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 8,666,357 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 1,221,508 shares of preferred stock, 1,046,508 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 47,700 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 140,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 280,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and
 - (ix) 200,000 shares of 8.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value.

Dated this the 15th day of November, 1993.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

*By /s/ James W. Snider
Title: Assistant
Secretary*

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-10.06 (1989)

February 4, 1994

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Section 79-4-10.06 of the Mississippi Code of 1972, as amended, submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. As evidenced by the attached Stockholder's Written Approval of Amendment authorizing 1,500,000 additional shares of Preferred Stock of the par value of \$100 per share, the following amendment of the Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended (the "Charter"), was proposed by the Board of Directors of Mississippi Power & Light Company on October 29, 1993, was adopted by the stockholders of the Corporation entitled to vote on the amendment on February 4, 1994, in accordance with and in the manner prescribed by the laws of the State of Mississippi and the Charter of Mississippi Power & Light Company:

The first paragraph in Article FOURTH of the Charter is amended to read as follows:

FOURTH: The aggregate number of shares which the Corporation shall have authority to issue is 17,721,508 shares, divided into 2,721,508 shares of Preferred Stock of the par value of \$100 per share and 15,000,000 shares of Common Stock without par value.

3. Pursuant to the Laws of the State of Mississippi and the Charter of Mississippi Power & Light Company, the holders of Preferred Stock of the par value of \$100 per share were not entitled to vote on the amendment as a separate voting group. The holders of the outstanding shares of common stock were the only stockholders entitled to vote on the amendment.
4. The number of shares of common stock of the corporation outstanding at the time of such adoption was 8,666,357; and the number of shares entitled to vote thereon was 8,666,357.

Dated this the 4th day of February, 1994.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By: /s/ Edwin Lupberger
Edwin Lupberger
Chairman of the Board
and
Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Donald E. Meiners
Donald E. Meiners
President

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (1989)

March 17, 1994

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann.
Section 79-4-6.31 (1989), submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 10,000 shares of 12.00% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 8,666,357 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 2,641,508 shares of preferred stock, 966,508 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 37,700 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 140,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 210,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and
 - (ix) 200,000 shares of 8.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value.

Dated this the 17th day of March, 1994.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By: /s/ J. W. Snider, Jr.
Assistant
Secretary

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (1989)

August 1, 1994

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann.
Section 79-4-6.31 (1989), submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 70,000 shares of 9.00% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 8,666,357 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 2,571,508 shares of preferred stock, 896,508 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 37,700 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 70,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 210,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and
 - (ix) 200,000 shares of 8.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value.

Dated this the 1st day of August, 1994.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By: /s/ J. W. Snider, Jr.
Assistant
Secretary

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (1989)

January 18, 1995

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann. Section 79-4-6.31 (1989), submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 70,000 shares of 9.76% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 8,666,357 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 2,501,508 shares of preferred stock, 826,508 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 37,700 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 70,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 140,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and
 - (ix) 200,000 shares of 8.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value.

Dated this the 18th day of January, 1995.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By: /s/ J. W. Snider, Jr.
Assistant
Secretary

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (1989)

March 7, 1995

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann.
Section 79-4-6.31 (1989), submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 10,000 shares of 12.00% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 8,666,357 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 2,491,508 shares of preferred stock, 816,508 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 27,700 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 70,000 shares of 9% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (viii) 140,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and
 - (ix) 200,000 shares of 8.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value.

Dated this the 7th day of March, 1995.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By: /s/ J. W. Snider, Jr.
Assistant
Secretary

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (1989)

July 20, 1995

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann.
Section 79-4-6.31 (1989), submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 70,000 shares of 9.00% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 8,666,357 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 2,421,508 shares of preferred stock, 746,508 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 27,700 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 140,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and
 - (ix) 200,000 shares of 8.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value.

Dated this the 20th day of July, 1995.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By: /s/ J. W. Snider, Jr.
Assistant
Secretary

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Articles of Amendment Under Miss. Code Ann.

Section 79-4-6.31 (1989)

January 19, 1996

The undersigned corporation, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann.
Section 79-4-6.31 (1989), submits the following document and sets forth:

1. The name of the corporation is Mississippi Power & Light Company.
2. The reduction in the number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, is 70,000 shares of 9.76% Preferred Stock, Cumulative, \$100 Par Value.
3. The total number of authorized shares, itemized by class and series, remaining after reduction of the shares is as follows:
 - (a) 15,000,000 shares of common stock, without par value, 8,666,357 of such shares being issued and outstanding at the date hereof; and
 - (b) 2,351,508 shares of preferred stock, 676,508 shares of which are issued and outstanding in the following series:
 - (i) 59,920 shares of 4.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (ii) 43,888 shares of 4.56% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iii) 100,000 shares of 4.92% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (iv) 75,000 shares of 9.16% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (v) 100,000 shares of 7.44% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vi) 27,700 shares of 12% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value;
 - (vii) 70,000 shares of 9.76% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value; and
 - (ix) 200,000 shares of 8.36% preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value.

Dated this the 19th day of January, 1996.

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By: /s/ J. W. Snider, Jr.
Assistant
Secretary

Exhibit 3(ii)f

**BY-LAWS
OF
MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
AS OF DECEMBER 10, 1993**

SECTION 1 - The Annual Meeting of the Stockholders of the Corporation for the election of Directors and such other business as shall properly come before such meeting shall be held at the office of the Corporation in the City of Jackson, Mississippi, on the fourth Thursday in May in each year, at ten o'clock in the morning, unless such day is a legal holiday in the State of Mississippi, in which case such meeting shall be held on the first day thereafter which is not a legal holiday, or at such other place within or without the State of Mississippi and at such other time as the Board of Directors may by resolution designate.

SECTION 2 - Special Meetings of the Stockholders may be held at the principal office of the Corporation in the City of Jackson, Mississippi, or at such other place or places as the Board of Directors may from time to time determine.

SECTION 3 - Special Meetings of the Stockholders of the Corporation may be held upon the order of the Chairman of the Board, the Board of Directors, the Executive Committee, or of Stockholders of record holding one-tenth of the outstanding stock entitled to vote at such meetings.

SECTION 4 - Notice of every meeting of Stockholders shall be given in the manner provided by law to each Stockholder entitled thereto unless waived by such Stockholder.

SECTION 5 - The holders of a majority of the outstanding stock of the Corporation entitled to vote upon any matter to be acted upon present in person or by proxy shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at any meeting of Stockholders but less than a quorum shall have power to adjourn.

SECTION 6 - Certificates of stock shall be signed by the President or a Vice President and the Secretary or an Assistant Secretary, but where any such certificate is signed by a Transfer Agent and by a Registrar, the signature of any such officer or officers and the seal of the Company upon such certificates may be facsimile, engraved or printed.

SECTION 7 - The stock of the Corporation shall be transferable or assignable only on the books of the Corporation by the holders in person or by attorney on the surrender of the certificates therefor duly endorsed for transfer.

SECTION 8 - The Board of Directors of the Corporation shall consist of fifteen members. Each director shall hold office until the next annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Corporation and until his successor shall have been elected and qualified. Directors need not be residents of the State of Mississippi.

Meetings of the Board of Directors may be held within or without the State of Mississippi, at the time fixed by Resolution of the Board or upon the order of the Chairman of the Board, the President, a Vice President, or any two Directors. The Secretary or any other Officer performing his duties shall give at least two days' notice of all meetings of the Board of Directors in the manner provided by law, provided however, a director may waive such notice in the manner provided by law.

SECTION 9 - All Officers of the Corporation shall hold their offices until their respective successors are chosen and qualify, but any Officer may be removed from office at any time by the Board of Directors.

SECTION 10 - The Officers of the Corporation shall have such duties as usually pertain to their offices, except as modified by the Board of Directors or the Executive Committee, and shall also have such powers and duties as may from time to time be conferred upon them by the Board of Directors or the Executive Committee.

The Chairman of the Board shall be the Chief Executive Officer of the Company, unless such title shall be otherwise conferred by the Board, and the Chief Executive Officer shall have supervision of the general management and control of its business and affairs, subject, however, to the orders and directions of the Board of Directors and of the Executive Committee.

The Chairman of the Board shall preside at all meetings of the Stockholders, Directors, and Executive Committees.

SECTION 11 - EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE - The Board of Directors may elect, each year after their election, an Executive Committee to be comprised of not less than three directors, the Chairman of which shall be the Chairman and CEO of the Company. The Vice Chairman and Chief Operating Officer of the Company shall also be a member and the balance of the membership shall be comprised of non-employee (outside) directors. The Committee, when the Board is not in session, shall have and exercise all of the power of the Board in the management of the business and affairs of the Company within limits set forth in the Executive Committee Charter.

SECTION 12 - OTHER COMMITTEES - From time to time the Board of Directors, by the affirmative vote of a majority of the whole Board may appoint other committees for any purpose or purposes, and such committees shall have such powers as shall be conferred by the Resolution of appointment.

SECTION 13 - INDEMNIFICATION

13.1 Definitions - In this by-law:

(1) "Director" means an individual who is or was a director of the Corporation or, unless the context requires otherwise, an individual who, while a director of the Corporation, is or was serving at the Corporation's request as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee or agent of another foreign or domestic corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust, employee benefit plan or other enterprise, including charitable, non-profit or civic organizations. A director is considered to be serving an employee benefit plan at the Corporation's request if his duties to the Corporation also impose duties on, or otherwise involve services by, him to the plan or to participants in or beneficiaries of the plan. "Director" includes unless the context requires otherwise, the estate or personal representative of a director.

(2) "Employee" means an individual who is or was an employee of the Corporation, or, unless the context requires otherwise, an individual who, while an employee of the Corporation, is or was serving at the Corporation's request as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee or agent of another foreign or domestic corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust, employee benefit plan or other enterprise, including charitable, non-profit or civic organizations. An employee is considered to be serving an employee benefit plan at the Corporation's request if his duties to the Corporation also impose duties on, or otherwise involve services by, him to the plan or to participants in or beneficiaries of the plan. "Employee" includes, unless the context requires otherwise, the estate or personal representative of an employee.

(3) "Expenses" include counsel fees.

(4) "Liability" means the obligation to pay a judgment, settlement, penalty, fine, or reasonable expenses incurred with respect to a proceeding. Without any limitation whatsoever upon the generality thereof, the term "fine" as used in this Section shall include (1) any penalty imposed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the "NRC"), including penalties pursuant to NRC regulations, 10 CFR Part 21, (2) penalties or assessments (including any excise tax assessment) with respect to any employee benefit plan pursuant to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, or otherwise, and (3) penalties pursuant to any Federal, state or local environmental laws or regulations.

(5) "Officer" means an individual who is or was an officer of the Corporation, or, unless the context requires otherwise, an individual who, while an officer of the Corporation, is or was serving at the Corporation's request as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee or agent of another foreign or domestic corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust, employee benefit plan or other enterprise, including charitable, non-profit or civic organizations. An officer is considered to be serving an employee benefit plan at the Corporation's request if his duties to the Corporation also impose duties on, or otherwise involve services by, him to the plan or to participants in or beneficiaries of the plan. "Officer" includes, unless the context requires otherwise, the estate or personal representative of an officer.

(6) "Official capacity" means: (i) when used with respect to a director, the office of director in the Corporation; and (ii) when used with respect to an individual other than a director as contemplated in Section 13.7, the office in the Corporation held by the officer or the employment undertaken by the employee on behalf of the Corporation. "Official capacity" does not include service for any other foreign or domestic corporation or any partnership, joint venture, trust, employee benefit plan or other enterprise, including charitable, non-profit or civic organizations.

(7) "Party" includes an individual who was, is, or is threatened to be made a named defendant or respondent in a proceeding.

(8) "Proceeding" means any threatened, pending, or completed action suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative and whether formal or informal.

13.2 Authority to Indemnify

(a) Except as provided in subsection (d), the Corporation shall indemnify an individual made a party to a proceeding because he is or was a director against liability incurred in the proceeding if:

(1) He conducted himself in good faith; and

(2) He reasonably believed:

(i) In the case of conduct in his official capacity with the Corporation, that his conduct was in its best interests; and

(ii) In all other cases, that his conduct was at least not opposed to its best interests, and

(3) In the case of any criminal proceeding, he had no reasonable cause to believe his conduct was unlawful

(b) A director's conduct with respect to an employee benefit plan for a purpose he reasonably believed to be in the interest of the participants in and beneficiaries of the plan is conduct that satisfies the requirement of subsection (a)(2)(ii).

(c) The termination of a proceeding by judgment, order, settlement, conviction or upon a plea of nolo contendere or its equivalent is not, of itself, determinative that the director did not meet the standard of conduct described in this section.

(d) The corporation shall not indemnify a director under this section:

(1) In connection with a proceeding by or in the right of the Corporation in which the director was adjudged liable to the Corporation; or

(2) In connection with any other proceeding charging improper personal benefit to him, whether or not involving action in his official capacity, in which he was adjudged liable on the basis that personal benefit was improperly received by him.

(e) Indemnification permitted under this section in connection with a proceeding by or in the right of the Corporation is limited to reasonable expenses incurred in connection with the proceeding.

(f) The Corporation shall have power to make any further indemnity, including advance of expenses, to and to enter contracts of indemnity with any director that may be authorized by the articles of incorporation or any bylaw made by the shareholders or any resolution adopted, before or after the event, by the shareholders, except an indemnity against his gross negligence or willful misconduct. Unless the articles of incorporation, or any such bylaw or resolution provide otherwise, any determination as to any further indemnity shall be made in accordance with subsection (b) of

Section 13.6. Each such indemnity may continue as to a person who has ceased to have the capacity referred to above and may inure to the benefit of the heirs, executors and administrators of such person.

13.3 Mandatory Indemnification

The Corporation shall indemnify a director who was wholly successful, on the merits or otherwise, in the defense of any proceeding to which he was a party because he is or was a director of the Corporation against reasonable expenses incurred by him in connection with the proceeding.

13.4 Advance for Expenses

(a) The Corporation shall pay for or reimburse reasonable expenses incurred by a director who is a party to a proceeding in advance of final disposition of the proceeding if:

(1) The director furnishes the Corporation a written affirmation of his good faith belief that he has met the standard of conduct described in Section 13.2;

(2) The director furnishes the Corporation a written undertaking, executed personally or on his behalf, to repay the advance if it is ultimately determined that he did not meet the standard of conduct; and

(3) A determination is made that the facts then known to those making the determination would not preclude indemnification under these By-Laws.

(b) The undertaking required by subsection (a)(2) must be an unlimited general obligation of the director but need not be secured and may be accepted without reference to financial ability to make repayment.

(c) Determinations and authorizations of payments under this section shall be made in the manner specified in Section 13.6.

13.5 Court-Ordered Indemnification

A director of the Corporation who is a party to a proceeding may apply for indemnification to the court conducting the proceeding or to another court of competent jurisdiction as provided by law

13.6 Determination and Authorization of Indemnification

(a) The Corporation may not indemnify a director under Section 13.2 unless authorized in the specific case after a determination has been made that indemnification of the director is permissible in the circumstances because he has met the standard of conduct set forth in Section 13.2

(b) The determination shall be made:

(1) By the Board of Directors by majority vote of a quorum consisting of directors not at the time parties to the proceeding;

(2) If a quorum cannot be obtained under subsection (b)

(1), by majority vote of a committee duly designated by the Board of Directors (in which designation directors who are parties may participate), consisting solely of two (2) or more directors not at the time parties to the proceeding;

(3) By special legal counsel:

(i) Selected by the Board of Directors or its committee in the manner prescribed in subsection (b) (1) or (b) (2); or

(ii) If a quorum of the Board of Directors cannot be obtained under subsection (b) (1) and a committee cannot be designated under subsection (b) (2), selected by a majority vote of the full Board of Directors (in which selection directors who are parties may participate); or

(4) By the shareholders, but shares owned by or voted under the control of directors who are at the time parties to the proceeding may not be voted on the determination.

(c) Authorization of indemnification and evaluation as to reasonableness of expenses shall be made in the same manner as the determination that indemnification is permissible, except that if the determination is made by special legal counsel, authorization of indemnification and evaluation as to reasonableness of expenses shall be made by those entitled under subsection (b) (3) to select counsel.

13.7 Indemnification of Officers, Employees and Agents

(1) An officer of the Corporation who is not a director is entitled to mandatory indemnification under Section 13.3, and is entitled to apply for court-ordered indemnification under Section 13.5, in each case to the same extent as a director; and

(2) The Corporation shall indemnify and advance expenses under these By-Laws to an officer or employee of the Corporation who is not a director to the same extent as to a director as provided under Sections 13.2, 13.4 and 13.6.

13.8 Insurance

If authorized by the Board of Directors, the Board of Directors of Middle South Utilities, Inc. and/or otherwise properly authorized, the Corporation shall purchase and maintain insurance on behalf of an individual who is or was a director, officer, or employee of the Corporation against liability asserted against or incurred by him in that capacity or arising from his status as a director, officer or employee, whether or not the Corporation would have power to indemnify him against the same liability under Sections 13.2 or 13.3. If further authorized as provided in this subsection, the Corporation shall purchase and maintain such insurance on behalf of an individual who is or was a director, officer or employee who, while a director, officer or employee of the Corporation, is or was serving at the request of the Corporation as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee or agent of another foreign or domestic corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust, employee benefit plan or other enterprise, including charitable, non-profit or civic organizations, whether or not the Corporation would have power to indemnify him against the same liability under Sections 13.2 or 13.3.

13.9 Application of By-Law

(a) This By-Law does not limit the Corporation's power to pay or reimburse expenses incurred by a director, officer or employee in connection with his appearance as a witness in a proceeding at a time when he has not been made a named defendant or respondent to the proceeding.

(b) The foregoing rights shall not be exclusive of other rights to which any director, officer or employee may otherwise be entitled.

(c) The foregoing shall not limit any right or power of the Corporation to provide indemnification as allowed by statute or otherwise.

13.10 Rights Deemed Contract Rights

All rights to indemnification and to advancement of expenses under these By-Laws shall be deemed to be provided by a contract between the Corporation and the director, officer or employee who serves in such capacity at any time while these By-Laws are in effect. Any repeal or modification of this By-Law shall not affect any rights or obligations then existing.

SECTION 14 - The Board of Directors may alter or amend these by-laws at any meeting duly held as herein provided.

Mississippi Power & Light Company

Action of Stockholders

Pursuant to Section 79-4-7.04 and Section 79-4-10.20 of the

Mississippi Code of 1972, the undersigned Entergy Corporation,

being the owner of all issued and outstanding shares of the

common stock of Mississippi Power & Light Company, hereby adopts

the following resolutions as the action of stockholders:

RESOLVED, That the first sentence of Section 8 of the bylaws of Mississippi Power & Light Company is amended to read as follows:

"SECTION 8 - Notwithstanding any other provision in these bylaws of the Corporation to the contrary, the stockholders or the Board of Directors shall have the power from time to time to fix the number of directors of the Company, provided that the number so fixed shall not be less than three (3) or more than fifteen (15)."

RESOLVED, That the first sentence of Section 11 of the bylaws of Mississippi Power & Light Company is amended to read as follows:

"SECTION 11 - EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE - The Board of Directors may elect an Executive Committee to consist of at least two members of the Board of Directors."

RESOLVED, That the number of members of the Board of Directors of the Corporation is fixed at six (6) and the following persons are elected as Directors of Mississippi Power & Light Company to hold office for the ensuing year and until their successors shall have been elected and qualified:

Michael B. Bemis
Donald C. Hintz
Jerry D. Jackson
Edwin A. Lupberger
Jerry L. Maulden
Donald E. Meiners

All requirements of notice of this meeting are hereby waived and,

where permissible, the actions taken herein shall be effective as

of May 5, 1994.

Date: May 25, 1994

ENTERGY CORPORATION

*/s/ Edwin A. Lupberger
Edwin A. Lupberger
Chairman of the Board and
Chief
Executive Officer*

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Action of Stockholders

Pursuant to 79-4-7.04 and 79-4-10.20 of the Mississippi Code

Ann. (Supp. 1989), the undersigned Entergy Corporation, being the owner of all issued and outstanding shares of the common stock of Mississippi Power & Light Company, hereby adopts the following resolution as the action of stockholders:

RESOLVED, That the second sentence of Section 11 of the bylaws of Mississippi Power & Light Company is amended to read as follows:

"The Vice Chairman and Chief Operating Officer of the Company shall also be a member of the Executive Committee."

and further

RESOLVED, that Edwin Lupberger, Jerry L. Maulden and Jerry D. Jackson shall continue as the members of the Executive Committee of Mississippi Power & Light Company until the next Annual Meeting (or Unanimous Written Consent in Lieu Thereof) of Shareholders of Mississippi Power & Light Company.

All requirements of notice of this meeting are hereby waived and

the actions taken herein shall be effective as of the date of

execution hereof.

Date: April 5, 1995

ENTERGY CORPORATION

*/s/ Edwin A. Lupberger
Edwin A. Lupberger
Chairman of the Board and
Chief
Executive Officer*

POPE COUNTY, ARKANSAS

and

ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

LOAN AGREEMENT

Dated as of November 15, 1995

\$120,000,000 Pope County, Arkansas Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds (Arkansas Power & Light Company Project) Series 1995

LOAN AGREEMENT

This LOAN AGREEMENT, dated as of November 15, 1995, by and between POPE COUNTY, ARKANSAS, a political subdivision under the Constitution and laws of the State of Arkansas (hereinafter referred to as the "County"), and ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY, a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Arkansas (hereinafter referred to as the "Company").

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the County is authorized and empowered under the laws of the State of Arkansas, including particularly Title 14, Chapter 267 of the Arkansas Code of 1987 Annotated (the "Act"), to issue revenue bonds and to expend the proceeds thereof to finance and refinance the acquisition, construction, reconstruction, extension, equipment or improvement of pollution control facilities for the disposal or control of sewage, solid waste, water pollution, air pollution, or any combination thereof; and

WHEREAS, certain pollution control facilities (hereinafter referred to as the "Facilities") have been acquired, constructed and equipped at the Company's electric generating plant located within the boundaries of the County near Russellville, Arkansas and known as Arkansas Nuclear One (hereinafter referred to as the "Plant"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of the Act, the County has heretofore issued and delivered its Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, Series 1985 (Arkansas Power & Light Company Project), in the aggregate principal amount of \$120,000,000 (the "Prior Bonds"), for the purpose of financing a portion of the cost of acquiring, constructing and equipping the Facilities and paying the expenses of authorizing and issuing the Prior Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the County proposes to issue \$120,000,000 aggregate principal amount of its revenue bonds under the Act (the "Series 1995 Bonds") for the purpose of refunding the Prior Bonds; and

WHEREAS, in connection with the issuance of the Series 1995 Bonds the proceeds of the Series 1995 Bonds will be loaned by the County to the Company upon the terms and conditions set forth herein; and

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the premises and the mutual covenants herein made, and subject to the conditions herein set forth, the parties hereto agree as follows:

ARTICLE I DEFINITIONS

Section 1.01. Definitions. In addition to the words and terms elsewhere defined in this Agreement or in the Indenture, the following words and terms as used in this Agreement shall have the following meanings unless the context or use indicates another or different meaning:

"Act" -- Title 14, Chapter 267 of the Arkansas Code of 1987 Annotated, as amended and enacted from time to time.

"Additional Bonds" -- Bonds in addition to the Series 1995 Bonds, which are issued under the provisions of Section 211 of the Indenture.

"Administration Expenses" -- The reasonable and necessary expenses incurred by the County with respect to this Agreement, the Indenture and any transaction or event contemplated by this Agreement or the Indenture including the compensation and reimbursement of expenses and advances payable to the Trustee, any paying agent, any co-paying agent, and the registrar under the Indenture.

"Agreement" -- This Loan Agreement and any amendments and supplements hereto.

"Authorized Company Representative" -- The person or persons at the time designated to act on behalf of the Company, such designation in each case to be evidenced by a certificate furnished to the County and the Trustee containing the specimen signature of such person or persons and signed on behalf of the Company by its President, any Senior Vice President, any Vice President, or the Treasurer.

"Bonds" -- The Series 1995 Bonds and all Additional Bonds issued by the County pursuant to the Indenture.

"Bond Counsel" -- Any firm of nationally recognized municipal bond counsel selected by the Company and acceptable to the County and the Trustee.

"Bond Fund" -- The fund by that name created and established in Section 501 of the Indenture.

"Clearing Fund" -- The fund by that name created and established in Section 601 of the Indenture.

"Code" -- The Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as heretofore amended (the "1954 Code"), and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as heretofore

or hereafter amended (the "1986 Code"), as applicable.

"Company" -- Arkansas Power & Light Company, a corporation organized and operating under the laws of the State of Arkansas, and its permitted successors and assigns.

"Company Mortgage" -- The Mortgage and Deed of Trust, dated as of October 1, 1944, between the Company and Guaranty Trust Company of New York (Bankers Trust Company, successor) and Henry A. Theis (Stanley Burg, successor), and, as to property, real or personal, situated or being in Missouri, Marvin A. Mueller (The Boatmen's National Bank of St. Louis, successor), as trustees, as heretofore and hereafter amended and supplemented.

"County" -- Pope County, Arkansas, a political subdivision under the Constitution and laws of the State of Arkansas.

"Event of Default" -- Any event of default specified in Section 8.01 hereof.

"Facilities" -- The pollution control facilities at the Plant which were financed and refinanced, in whole or in part, with the proceeds of the Prior Bonds, which facilities are generally described in Exhibit A hereto.

"Indenture" -- The Trust Indenture dated as of November 15, 1995, between the County and the Trustee, securing the Bonds, and any amendments and supplements thereto.

"outstanding" -- When used with reference to the Bonds, as of any particular date, all Bonds authenticated and delivered under the Indenture except:

- (a) Bonds canceled at or prior to such date or delivered to or acquired by the Trustee prior to such date for cancellation;
- (b) Bonds deemed to be paid in accordance with Article IX of the Indenture; and
- (c) Bonds in lieu of or in exchange or substitution for which other Bonds shall have been authenticated and delivered pursuant to the Indenture.

"Plant" -- The Company's electric generating plant located within the boundaries of the County near Russellville, Arkansas and known as Arkansas Nuclear One.

"Prior Bonds" -- The County's Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, Series 1985, in the aggregate principal amount of \$120,000,000.

"Series 1995 Bonds" -- The initial issue of Bonds under and secured by the Indenture in the aggregate principal amount of \$120,000,000.

"Subordinate Lien Obligations" -- Debt obligations of the Company secured by a lien on a substantial portion of the property of the Company which is subordinate to the lien of the Company Mortgage.

"Trustee" -- The banking corporation or association designated as Trustee in the Indenture, and its successor or successors as such Trustee. The original Trustee is Simmons First National Bank, Pine Bluff, Arkansas.

Section 1.02. Use of Words and Phrases. "Herein", "hereby", "hereunder", "hereof", "hereinabove", "hereinafter", and other equivalent words and phrases refer to this Agreement and not solely to the particular portion thereof in which any such word is used. The definitions set forth in Section 1.01 hereof include both singular and plural. Whenever used herein, any pronoun shall be deemed to include both singular and plural and to cover all genders.

ARTICLE II

REPRESENTATIONS

Section 2.01. Representations and Warranties of the County. The County makes the following representations and warranties as the basis for the undertakings on the part of the Company herein contained:

- (a) The County is a political subdivision duly existing under the Constitution and laws of the State of Arkansas.
- (b) The County has the power to enter into the transactions contemplated by this Agreement and to carry out its obligations hereunder. By proper action of the governing body of the County, the County has been duly authorized to execute and deliver this Agreement.
- (c) The County has not, and will not, except as otherwise required by mandatory provisions of law, assign its interest in this Agreement other

than to secure the Bonds.

(d) The Facilities and their operation promote the securing and developing of industry and the health, safety and physical and economic welfare of the County and its inhabitants, and thereby further the public purposes of the Act.

Section 2.02. Representations and Warranties of the Company. The Company makes the following representations and warranties as the basis for the undertakings on the part of the County herein contained:

(a) The Company is a corporation duly incorporated and in good standing under the laws of the State of Arkansas, is not in violation of any provision of its Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, or its Bylaws, each as amended, has power to enter into this Agreement and to perform and observe the agreements and covenants on its part contained herein, and has duly authorized the execution and delivery of this Agreement by proper corporate action.

(b) The Facilities constitute a pollution control project of the type authorized and permitted by the Act.

(c) Neither the execution and delivery of this Agreement, the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby, nor the fulfillment of or compliance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement, conflicts with or results in a breach of the terms, conditions or provisions of any restriction or any agreement or instrument to which the Company is now a party or by which the Company is bound, or constitutes a default under any of the foregoing, or results in the creation or imposition of any lien, charge or encumbrance whatsoever upon any of the property or assets of the Company except any interests created herein.

(d) The Securities and Exchange Commission, the Arkansas Public Service Commission, and the Tennessee Public Service Commission have each approved all matters relating to the Company's participation in the transactions contemplated by this Agreement which require said approval, and no other consent, approval, authorization or other order of any regulatory body or administrative agency or other governmental body is legally required for the Company's participation therein, except such as may have been obtained or may be required under the securities laws of any state or in connection with the issuance of series of Additional Bonds.

ARTICLE III

THE FACILITIES

Section 3.01. Construction of the Facilities. The Company has caused the Facilities to be constructed in order to effectuate the purposes of the Act.

Section 3.02. Maintenance of Facilities; Remodeling. The Company shall, at its expense, cause the Facilities, and every element and unit thereof, to be maintained, preserved and kept in good repair, working order and condition, and from time to time to cause all needful and proper repairs, replacements, additions, betterments and improvements to be made thereto; provided, however, that the Company may discontinue the operation of, or reduce the capacity of, the Facilities, or any element or unit thereof, if, in the judgment of the Company, any such action is necessary or desirable in the conduct of the business of the Company, or if the Company is ordered so to do by any regulatory authority having jurisdiction in the premises, or if the Company intends to sell or dispose of the same and within a reasonable time shall endeavor to effectuate such sale. The Company shall notify the County as to the nature and extent of any material damage or loss to the Facilities and of the discontinuance of the operation of the Facilities, or any material element or unit thereof.

The Company may at its own expense cause the Facilities to be remodeled or cause substitutions, modifications and improvements to be made to the Facilities from time to time as it, in its discretion, may deem to be desirable for its uses and purposes, which remodeling, substitutions, modifications and improvements shall be included under the terms of this Agreement as part of the Facilities.

Section 3.03. Insurance. The Company shall, at its expense, cause the Facilities to be kept insured against fire to the extent that property of similar character is usually so insured by companies similarly situated and operating like properties, to a reasonable amount, by reputable insurance companies or, in lieu of or supplementing such insurance in whole or in part, adopt some other method or plan of protection against loss by fire at least equal in protection to the method or plan of protection against such loss of companies similarly situated and operating like properties. All proceeds of such insurance, or such other method or plan, shall be for the account of the Company.

ARTICLE IV

ISSUANCE OF BONDS; DISPOSITION OF PROCEEDS OF BONDS

Section 4.01. Issuance of the Series 1995 Bonds. The County shall issue the Series 1995 Bonds under and in accordance with the Indenture, subject to the provisions of any bond purchase agreement between the County and the original purchaser or purchasers of the Series 1995 Bonds. The Company hereby approves the issuance of the Series 1995 Bonds and all terms and conditions thereof.

Section 4.02. Additional Bonds. So long as the Company shall not be in default hereunder, and at the request of the Company, the County may authorize and issue Additional Bonds in aggregate principal amounts specified from time to time by the Company in order to provide funds for the purpose of refunding the Series 1995 Bonds or any series of Additional Bonds, in whole or in part, or any combination thereof.

The right to issue Additional Bonds set forth in this Agreement and the Indenture shall not imply that the County and the Company may not enter into, and the County and the Company expressly reserve the right to enter into, to the extent permitted by law, another agreement or agreements with respect to the issuance by the County, under an indenture or indentures other than the Indenture, of refunding bonds to refund all or any principal amount of any series of Bonds, and the provisions of this Agreement and the Indenture governing the issuance of Additional Bonds shall not apply thereto.

Section 4.03. Disposition of Bond Proceeds. The proceeds of the issuance and sale of the Series 1995 Bonds and any Additional Bonds, other than accrued interest, if any, paid by the initial purchaser or purchasers thereof, shall be deposited into the Clearing Fund, and any such accrued interest shall be deposited into the Bond Fund, all in accordance with the provisions of the Indenture.

ARTICLE V

LOAN PROVISIONS; OTHER OBLIGATIONS

Section 5.01. Loan of Bond Proceeds. Concurrently with the sale and delivery of each series of the Bonds, the County covenants and agrees that it will, upon the terms and conditions in this Agreement, lend to the Company an amount equal to the proceeds (other than accrued interest) of such series. Pursuant to said covenant and agreement, the County will issue the Bonds upon the terms and conditions contained in this Agreement and the Indenture and will cause the Bond proceeds to be applied as provided in Article IV hereof. The Bonds may be sold by the County, with the consent of the Company, at a discount from their principal amount. If the County does sell Bonds at a discount, the amount of such discount shall be deemed to have been loaned to the Company pursuant to the terms and conditions hereof.

Section 5.02. Repayment of Loan. On or before any date that principal of or interest on the Bonds is due as set forth in the Indenture, or any date fixed for the redemption of any or all of the Bonds pursuant to the Indenture, the Company covenants and agrees to pay or to cause to be paid in lawful money of the United States of America to the Trustee for deposit in the Bond Fund, as a repayment of the loan made to the Company pursuant to Section 5.01 hereof, a sum equal to the amount payable on such payment date as principal (whether at maturity, upon redemption or otherwise) of and premium, if any, and interest on the Bonds as provided in the Indenture. Each payment made pursuant to this Section shall be made in immediately available funds at the principal corporate trust office of the Trustee during normal banking hours.

In the event that the payment of the principal of and accrued interest on the Bonds is accelerated under Section 1002 of the Indenture, the Company covenants and agrees to pay, or cause to be paid, to the Trustee as provided above a sum equal to all the principal of and interest on the Bonds then outstanding.

Each payment pursuant to this Section shall at all times be sufficient to pay the amount of principal (whether at maturity, upon redemption or otherwise) of and premium, if any, and interest payable on the Bonds on the date that such payment is due; provided that the obligation of the Company to make any payment of the principal of or premium, if any, or interest on the Bonds, whether at maturity, upon redemption or otherwise, shall be reduced by the amount of any reduction under the Indenture of the amount of the corresponding payment required to be made by the County thereunder in respect of the principal of or premium, if any, or interest on the Bonds.

Section 5.03. Payments Assigned; Obligation Absolute. It is understood and agreed that all payments to be made by the Company of the loan by the County are, by the Indenture, to be pledged by the County to the Trustee, and that all rights and interest of the County hereunder (except for the County's rights under Sections 5.04, 5.05, 5.06, 6.03 and 8.05 hereof and any rights of the County to receive notices, certificates, requests, requisitions, directions and other communications hereunder) are to be pledged and assigned to the Trustee. The Company assents to such pledge and assignment and agrees that the obligation of the Company to make the payments of the loan shall be absolute, irrevocable and unconditional and shall not be subject to cancellation, termination or abatement, or to any defense other than payment, or to any right of set-off, counterclaim or recoupment arising out of any breach under this Agreement, the Indenture or otherwise by the County or the Trustee or any other party, or out of any obligation or liability at any time owing to the Company by the County, the Trustee or any other party, and, further, that the payments of the loan from the County to the Company and the other payments due hereunder shall continue to be payable at the times and in the amounts specified herein, whether or not the Facilities or the Plant, or any portion thereof, shall have been completed or shall have been destroyed by fire or other casualty, or title thereto, or the use thereof, shall have been taken by the exercise of the power of eminent domain, and that there shall be no abatement of or diminution in any such payments by reason thereof, whether or not the Facilities or the Plant shall be used or useful, and whether or not any applicable laws, regulations or standards shall prevent or prohibit the use of the Facilities or the Plant, or for any other reason.

Section 5.04. Payment of Expenses. The Company shall pay, or cause to be paid, all of the Administration Expenses of the County, the payment of the compensation and the reimbursement of expenses and advances of the Trustee, any paying agent, any co-paying agent, and the registrar under the Indenture to be made directly to such entity.

Section 5.05. Indemnification. The Company releases the County and the Trustee from, agrees that the County and the Trustee shall not be liable for, and agrees to indemnify and hold the County and the Trustee free and harmless from, any liability for any loss or damage to property or any injury to or death of any person that may be occasioned by any cause whatsoever pertaining to the Facilities, except in any case as a result of the negligence or bad faith of the County or the Trustee.

The Company will indemnify and hold the County and the Trustee free and harmless from any loss, claim, damage, tax, penalty, liability (including but not limited to liability for any patent infringement), disbursement, litigation expenses, attorneys' fees and expenses or court costs arising out of, or in any way relating to, the execution or performance of this Agreement, the issuance or sale of the Bonds, actions taken under the Indenture, or any other cause whatsoever pertaining to the Facilities, including without limitation, recovery costs arising from the presence of hazardous substances, except in any case as a result of the negligence or bad faith of the Trustee, or as a result of the gross negligence or bad faith of the County.

Under this Section 5.05, the Company shall also be deemed to release, indemnify and agree to hold harmless each employee, official or officer of the County and the Trustee to the same extent as the County and the Trustee.

Section 5.06. Payment of Taxes; Discharge of Liens. The Company shall: (a) pay, or make provision for payment of, all lawful taxes and assessments, including income, profits, property or excise taxes, if any, or other municipal or governmental charges, levied or assessed by any federal, state or municipal government or political body upon the County with respect to the Facilities or any part thereof or upon any amounts payable hereunder; and (b) pay or cause to be satisfied and discharged or make adequate provision to satisfy and discharge, within sixty (60) days after the same shall accrue, any lien or charge upon any amounts payable hereunder, and all lawful claims or demands for labor, materials, supplies or other charges which, if unpaid, might be or become a lien upon such amounts; provided that if the Company shall first notify the County and the Trustee of its intention so to do, the Company may in good faith contest any such lien or charge or claims or demands in appropriate legal proceedings, and in such event may permit the items so contested to remain undischarged and unsatisfied during the period of such contest and any appeal therefrom, unless the County or the Trustee shall notify the Company in writing that, in the opinion of counsel to the County or the Trustee, by nonpayment of any such items the lien of the Indenture as to the amounts payable hereunder will be materially endangered, in which event the Company shall promptly pay and cause to be satisfied and discharged all such unpaid items. The County shall cooperate fully with the Company in any such contest.

ARTICLE VI

SPECIAL COVENANTS AND AGREEMENTS

Section 6.01. Maintenance of Corporate Existence. The Company shall maintain its corporate existence, will not dissolve or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all its assets and will not consolidate with or merge with or into another corporation; provided, however, that the Company may consolidate with or merge with or into, or sell or otherwise transfer all or substantially all of its assets (and may thereafter dissolve) to, another corporation, incorporated under the laws of the United States, one of the states thereof or the District of Columbia, if the surviving, resulting or transferee corporation, as the case may be (if other than the Company), prior to or simultaneously with such consolidation, merger, sale or transfer, assumes, by delivery to the Trustee of an instrument in writing satisfactory in form and substance to the Trustee, all the obligations of the Company hereunder.

If consolidation, merger or sale or other transfer is made as permitted by this Section 6.01, the provisions of this Section 6.01 shall continue in full force and effect and no further consolidation, merger or sale or other transfer shall be made except in compliance with the provisions of this Section 6.01.

Section 6.02. Permits or Licenses. In the event that it may be necessary for the proper performance of this Agreement on the part of the Company or the County that any application or applications for any permit or license to do or to perform certain things be made to any governmental or other agency by the Company or the County, the Company and the County each shall, upon the request of either, execute such application or applications.

Section 6.03. County's and Trustee's Access to Facilities. The County and the Trustee shall have the right, upon appropriate prior notice to the Company, to have reasonable access to the Facilities during normal business hours for the purpose of making examinations and inspections of the same.

Section 6.04. Arbitrage Covenant. The County and the Company covenant that the proceeds of the sale of the Bonds, the earnings thereon, and any other moneys on deposit in any fund or account maintained in respect of the Bonds (whether such moneys were derived from the proceeds of the sale of the Bonds or from other sources) will not be used in a manner which would cause the Bonds to be treated as "arbitrage bonds" within the meaning of

Section 148 of the Code. The Company further covenants that: (a) all actions with respect to the Bonds required by Section 148(f) of the Code shall be taken; (b) it shall make the determinations required by paragraph (b) of Section 702 of the Indenture and promptly notify the Trustee of the same, together with supporting calculations; and (c) it shall within twenty-five (25) days after (i) the calendar date which corresponds to the final maturity of the respective series of Bonds and each anniversary thereof falling on or after

the date of initial authentication and delivery thereof up to and including the final maturity of such series of the Bonds, unless the final payment, whether upon redemption in whole or at maturity, of such Bonds shall have occurred prior to such anniversary, and (ii) such final payment, file with the Trustee a statement signed by an Authorized Company Representative to the effect that the Company is then in compliance with its covenants contained in clauses (a) and (b) of this sentence, together with supporting calculations; provided, however, that if the Company shall furnish an opinion of Bond Counsel to the Trustee to the effect that no further action by the Company is required for such compliance with respect to the Bonds, the Company shall not thereafter be required to deliver any such statements or calculations.

Section 6.05. Use of Facilities. The Company shall cause the Facilities to be used for the abatement or control of pollution or for the disposal of sewage or solid waste.

Section 6.06. Tax Exempt Status of Bonds. The County and the Company mutually covenant and agree that neither of them shall take or authorize or permit any action to be taken, and have not taken or authorized or permitted any action to be taken, which results in interest paid on the Bonds being included in gross income for purposes of federal income taxes. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Company further covenants and agrees as follows:

(a) Not less than 90% of the proceeds (within the meaning of Section 103(b)(4) of the 1954 Code and regulations thereunder) from the sale of the Prior Bonds was expended (or was used to retire bonds not less than 90% of the proceeds from the sale of which was expended) (i) for proper costs of land or property of a character subject to the allowance for depreciation under Section 167 of the Code, or which will be, for federal income tax purposes, chargeable to capital account or would have been so chargeable either with a proper election by the Company (for example under Section 266 of the Code) or but for a proper election by the Company to deduct such amounts, and (ii) to provide sewage or solid waste disposal facilities within the meaning of Section 103(b)(4)(E) or (F) of the Code and regulations thereunder.

(b) Within fifteen (15) days of the date of issuance of the Series 1995 Bonds, there neither have been nor will be any private activity bonds (within the meaning of Section 141(a) of the 1986 Code) sold to finance facilities of the Company or any related person within the meaning of Section 147(a)(2) of the Code, under a common plan of marketing, at substantially the same rate of interest, and for which a common or pooled security will be used or available to pay debt service.

(c) The average maturity of the Series 1995 Bonds (within the meaning of Section 147(b) of the 1986 Code and regulations thereunder) does not exceed 120% of the average reasonably expected economic life of the Facilities (within the meaning of Section 147(b) of the 1986 Code and regulations thereunder).

(d) No changes will be made in the Facilities which in any way impair the exclusion of interest on any of the Bonds from gross income for purposes of federal income taxation.

(e) No action shall be taken that will cause the Series 1995 Bonds to be "federally guaranteed" as defined in Section 149(b) of the Code.

(f) No portion of the proceeds of the Series 1995 Bonds (within the meaning of Section 147(g) of the Code and regulations thereunder) will be used to finance costs of issuance of the Series 1995 Bonds.

(g) (i) The Facilities being refinanced out of the proceeds of the Series 1995 Bonds are part of the facilities described in either the Memorandum of Agreement dated September 23, 1974, between the County and the Company (authorized by Order of the County Court entered as of August 1, 1974), or the Memorandum of Agreement dated April 5, 1985 (authorized by Order of the County Court entered on April 5, 1985, and by Ordinance No. 85-0-15 adopted by the Quorum Court on April 4, 1985); (ii) acquisition and construction of each of such Facilities commenced prior to September 2, 1974, and that none of such Facilities had reached a degree of completion which would permit operation, nor was any of such Facilities in fact in operation, at substantially the level for which it was designed prior to September 23, 1974; and (iii) acquisition and construction of each of the Facilities described in the Memorandum of Agreement dated April 5, 1985, commenced on or after April 5, 1985, and none of such Facilities had been placed in service or acquired (whichever occurred last) as of December 19, 1985.

The covenants and agreements contained in this Section 6.06 shall survive any termination of this Agreement.

Section 6.07 Pledge of Collateral Obligations. (a) In the event the Company issues any Subordinate Lien Obligations, the Company shall issue and deliver to the Trustee evidences of indebtedness (the "Collateral Obligations") secured in parity with Subordinate Lien Obligations as provided in subsection (b) of this Section 6.07.

(b) Concurrently with the issuance and delivery by the Company of any Subordinate Lien Obligations, in order to secure the obligation of the Company under Section 5.02 hereof to repay installments of the loan from the County, the Company, subject to the receipt of all necessary corporate and regulatory approvals and in compliance with the Company's Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, shall issue and deliver to the County a series of Collateral Obligations (i) maturing on the stated maturity date of the Series 1995 Bonds, (ii) in an aggregate principal amount not less than the then outstanding principal of the Series 1995 Bonds, (iii) containing redemption provisions corresponding

with any provisions of the Indenture relating to the Series 1995 Bonds requiring mandatory redemption thereof, (iv) requiring payment thereon to be made to the Trustee for the account of the County, and (v) which may, but shall not be required to, bear interest at the same rate as the Series 1995 Bonds.

(c) The Collateral Obligations shall be issued and delivered to, registered in the name of and held by the Trustee for the benefit of the owners and holders from time to time of the Series 1995 Bonds.

ARTICLE VII

ASSIGNMENT, LEASING AND SELLING

Section 7.01. By the County. Except as provided in Article V of this Agreement, the County will not sell, lease, assign, transfer, convey or otherwise dispose of its interest in this Agreement or any portion thereof or interest therein or in the revenues therefrom without the written consent of the Company.

Section 7.02. By the Company. The Company's interest in this Agreement may be assigned in whole or in part, and the Facilities may be leased or sold as a whole or in part (whether a specific element or unit or an undivided interest), by the Company, subject, however, to the condition that no assignment, lease or sale (other than as described in Section 6.01 hereof) shall relieve the Company from primary liability for its obligations under Section 5.02 hereof to repay the loan from the County to the Company, or for any other of its obligations hereunder, other than those obligations relating to the operation, maintenance and insurance of the Facilities which obligations (to the extent of the interest assigned, leased or sold and to the extent assumed by the assignee, lessee or purchaser) shall be deemed to be satisfied and discharged.

After any lease or sale of any element or unit of the Facilities, or any interest therein, such element or unit, or interest therein, shall no longer be deemed to be part of the Facilities for the purposes of this Agreement.

The Company shall, within fifteen (15) days after the delivery thereof, furnish to the County and the Trustee a true and complete copy of the agreements or other documents effectuating any such assignment, lease or sale.

Section 7.03. Limitation. This Agreement shall not be assigned nor shall the Facilities be leased or sold, in whole or in part, except as provided in this Article VII or in Section 6.01 or in the Indenture.

ARTICLE VIII

EVENTS OF DEFAULT AND REMEDIES

Section 8.01. Events of Default. Each of the following events shall constitute and is referred to in this Agreement as an "Event of Default":

- (a) a failure by the Company to make when due any payment required to be made pursuant to Section 5.02 hereof, which failure shall have resulted in an "Event of Default" under clause (a) or (b) of Section 1001 of the Indenture;
- (b) a failure by the Company to pay when due any other amount required to be paid under this Agreement or to observe and perform any covenant, condition or agreement on its part to be observed or performed under this Agreement which failure shall continue for a period of ninety (90) days after written notice, specifying such failure and requesting that it be remedied, shall have been given to the Company by the County or the Trustee, unless the County and the Trustee shall agree in writing to an extension of such period prior to its expiration; provided, however, that the County and the Trustee shall be deemed to have agreed to an extension of such period if corrective action is initiated by the Company within such period and is being diligently pursued;
- (c) the expiration of a period of ninety (90) days following:
 - (1) the adjudication of the Company as a bankrupt by any court of competent jurisdiction;
 - (2) the entry of an order approving a petition seeking reorganization or arrangement of the Company under the federal bankruptcy laws or any other applicable law or statute of the United States, or of any state thereof; or
 - (3) the appointment of a trustee or a receiver of all or substantially all of the property of the Company;

unless during such period such adjudication, order or appointment of a trustee or receiver shall be vacated or shall be stayed on appeal or otherwise or shall have otherwise ceased to continue in effect; or

- (d) the filing by the Company of a voluntary petition in bankruptcy or the making of an assignment for the benefit of creditors; the consenting

by the Company to the appointment of a receiver or trustee of all or any part of its property; the filing by the Company of a petition or answer seeking reorganization or arrangement under the federal bankruptcy laws, or any other applicable law or statute of the United States, or of any state thereof; or the filing by the Company of a petition to take advantage of any insolvency act.

Section 8.02. Force Majeure. The provisions of

Section 8.01 hereof are subject to the following limitations: If by reason of acts of God; strikes, lockouts or other industrial disturbances; acts of public enemies; orders or other acts of any kind of the Government of the United States or of the State of Arkansas, or any other sovereign entity or body politic, or any department, agency, political subdivision, court or official of any of them, or any civil or military authority; insurrections; riots; epidemics; landslides; lightning; earthquakes; volcanoes; fires; hurricanes; tornados; storms; floods; washouts; droughts; arrests; restraint of government and people; civil disturbances; explosions; breakage or accident to machinery; partial or entire failure of utilities; or any cause or event not reasonably within the control of the Company, the Company is unable in whole or in part to carry out any one or more of its agreements or obligations contained herein, other than its obligations under

Section 5.02 hereof to repay the loan made to the Company and its obligations under Sections 5.05, 6.01, 6.04, 6.06 and 9.01 hereof, the Company shall not be deemed in default by reason of not carrying out said agreement or agreements or performing said obligation or obligations during the continuance of such inability. The Company agrees, however, to use its best efforts to remedy with all reasonable dispatch the cause or causes preventing it from carrying out its agreements; provided, that the settlement of strikes, lockouts and other industrial disturbances shall be entirely within the discretion of the Company, and the Company shall not be required to make settlement of strikes, lockouts and other industrial disturbances by acceding to the demands of the opposing party or parties when such course is in the judgment of the Company unfavorable to the Company.

Section 8.03. Remedies on Default. (a) Upon the occurrence and continuance of any Event of Default, and further upon the condition that, in accordance with the terms of the Indenture, the Bonds shall have become immediately due and payable pursuant to any provision of the Indenture, the payments required to be paid pursuant to Section 5.02 hereof shall, without further action, become and be immediately due and payable.

(b) Upon the occurrence and continuance of any Event of Default, the County with the prior consent of the Trustee, or the Trustee, may take any action at law or in equity to collect the payments then due and thereafter to come due hereunder, or to enforce performance and observance of any obligation, agreement or covenant of the Company under this Agreement.

(c) Any amounts collected pursuant to action taken under this Section shall be applied in accordance with the Indenture.

(d) In case any proceeding taken by the County or the Trustee on account of any Event of Default shall have been discontinued or abandoned for any reason, or shall have been determined adversely to the County or the Trustee, then and in every case the County and the Trustee shall be restored to their former positions and rights hereunder, respectively, and all rights, remedies and powers of the County and the Trustee shall continue as though no such proceeding had been taken.

Section 8.04. No Remedy Exclusive. No remedy conferred upon or reserved to the County or the Trustee by this Agreement is intended to be exclusive of any other available remedy or remedies, but each and every such remedy shall be cumulative and shall be in addition to every other remedy given under this Agreement or now or hereafter existing at law or in equity or by statute. No delay or omission to exercise any right or power accruing upon any Event of Default shall impair any such right or power or shall be construed to be a waiver thereof, but any such right or power may be exercised from time to time and as often as may be deemed expedient. In order to entitle the County or the Trustee to exercise any remedy reserved to it in this Article, it shall not be necessary to give any notice other than such notice as may be required in this Article.

Section 8.05. Agreement to Pay Attorneys' Fees and Expenses. In the event the Company should default under any of the provisions of this Agreement and the County or the Trustee should employ attorneys or incur other expenses for the collection of payments due hereunder or for the enforcement of performance or observance of any obligation or agreement on the part of the Company contained herein, the Company agrees that it will on demand therefor pay to the County or the Trustee, as the case may be, the reasonable fees of such attorneys and such other expenses so incurred.

Section 8.06. Waiver of Breach. In the event that any agreement contained herein shall be breached by either the Company or the County and such breach shall thereafter be waived by the other party, such waiver shall be limited to the particular breach so waived and shall not be deemed to waive any other breach hereunder. In view of the assignment of the County's rights in and under this Agreement to the Trustee under the Indenture, the County shall have no power to waive any default hereunder by the Company without the consent of the Trustee. Any waiver of any "Event of Default" under the Indenture and a rescission and annulment of its consequences shall constitute a waiver of the corresponding Event of Default hereunder and a rescission and annulment of the consequence thereof.

ARTICLE IX

REDEMPTION OR PURCHASE OF BONDS

Section 9.01. Redemption of Bonds. The County shall take the actions required by the Indenture to discharge the lien thereof through the

redemption, or provision for payment or redemption, of all Bonds then outstanding, or to effect the redemption, or provision for payment or redemption, of less than all the Bonds then outstanding, upon receipt by the County and the Trustee from the Company of a notice designating the principal amounts, series and maturities of the Bonds to be redeemed, or for the payment or redemption of which provision is to be made, and, in the case of redemption of Bonds, or provision therefor, specifying the date of redemption, which shall not be less than forty-five (45) days from the date such notice is given, and the applicable redemption provision of the Indenture. Unless otherwise stated therein or otherwise required by the Indenture, such notice shall be revocable by the Company at any time prior to the time at which the Bonds to be redeemed, or for the payment or redemption of which provision is to be made, are first deemed to be paid in accordance with Article IX of the Indenture. The Company shall furnish, as a prepayment of the amounts due under Section 5.02 hereof, any moneys or Government Securities (as defined in the Indenture) required by the Indenture to be deposited with the Trustee or otherwise paid by the County in connection with any of the foregoing purposes.

Section 9.02. Purchase of Bonds. The Company may at any time, and from time to time, furnish moneys to the Trustee accompanied by a notice directing the Trustee to apply such moneys to the purchase in the open market of Bonds in the principal amounts and of the series and maturities specified in such notice, and any Bonds so purchased shall thereupon be canceled by the Trustee.

ARTICLE X

RECORDATION AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS

Section 10.01. Recording and Filing. The Company shall record and file, or cause to be recorded and filed, all documents and statements referred to in Section 404 of the Indenture.

Section 10.02. Photocopies and Reproductions. A photocopy or other reproduction of this Agreement may be filed as a financing statement pursuant to the Uniform Commercial Code, although the signatures of the Company and the County on such reproduction are not original manual signatures.

ARTICLE XI

MISCELLANEOUS

Section 11.01. Notices. Except as otherwise provided in this Agreement, all notices, certificates or other communications shall be sufficiently given and shall be deemed given when mailed by registered or certified mail, postage prepaid, to the County, the Company or the Trustee. Copies of each notice, certificate or other communication given hereunder by or to the Company shall be mailed by registered or certified mail, postage prepaid, to the Trustee; provided, however, that the effectiveness of any such notice shall not be affected by the failure to send any such copies. Notices, certificates or other communications shall be sent to the following addresses:

Company: Arkansas Power & Light Company P.O. Box 61000
New Orleans, Louisiana 70161 Attention: Treasurer

County: Pope County, Arkansas
Pope County Courthouse 100 West Main Street Russellville, Arkansas 72801 Attention: County Judge

Trustee: Simmons First National Bank P.O. Box 7009
Pine Bluff, Arkansas 71611 Attention: Corporate Trust Department

Any of the foregoing may, by notice given hereunder, designate any further or different addresses to which subsequent notices, certificates or other communications shall be sent.

Section 11.02. Severability. If any provision of this Agreement shall be held or deemed to be or shall, in fact, be illegal, inoperative or unenforceable, the same shall not affect any other provision or provisions herein contained or render the same invalid, inoperative, or unenforceable to any extent whatever.

Section 11.03. Execution of Counterparts. This Agreement may be simultaneously executed in several counterparts, each of which shall be an original and all of which shall constitute but one and the same instrument.

Section 11.04. Amounts Remaining in Bond Fund. It is agreed by the parties hereto that after payment in full of (i) the Bonds (or the provision for payment thereof having been made in accordance with the provisions of the Indenture), (ii) the Administration Expenses, and (iii) all other amounts required to be paid under this Agreement and the Indenture, any amounts remaining in the Bond Fund shall belong to and be paid by the Trustee to the Company.

Section 11.05. Amendments, Changes and Modifications. Except as otherwise provided in this Agreement or the Indenture, subsequent to the

initial issuance of Bonds and prior to payment in full of the Bonds (or the provision for payment thereof having been made in accordance with the provisions of the Indenture), this Agreement may not be effectively amended, changed, modified, altered or terminated nor any provision waived, without the written consent of the Trustee which shall not be unreasonably withheld.

Section 11.06. Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed exclusively by and construed in accordance with the applicable laws of the State of Arkansas.

Section 11.07. Authorized Company Representatives. An Authorized Company Representative shall act on behalf of the Company whenever the approval of the Company is required or the Company requests the County to take some action, and the County and the Trustee shall be authorized to act on any such approval or request and neither party hereto shall have any complaint against the other or against the Trustee as a result of any such action taken.

Section 11.08. Term of the Agreement. This Agreement shall be in full force and effect from the date hereof until the right, title and interest of the Trustee in and to the Trust Estate (as defined in the Indenture) shall have ceased, determined and become void in accordance with Article IX of the Indenture and until all payments required under this Agreement shall have been made.

Section 11.09. No Personal Liability. No covenant or agreement contained in this Agreement shall be deemed to be the covenant or agreement of any official, officer, agent, or employee of the County in his individual capacity, and no such person shall be subject to any personal liability or accountability by reason of the issuance thereof.

Section 11.10. Parties in Interest. This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and shall be binding upon the County, the Company and their respective successors and assigns, and no other person, firm or corporation shall have any right, remedy or claim under or by reason of this Agreement; provided, however, that any obligation of the County created by or arising out of this Agreement shall be payable solely out of the revenues derived from this Agreement or the sale of the Bonds or income earned on invested funds as provided in the Indenture and shall not constitute, and no breach of this Agreement by the County shall impose, a pecuniary liability upon the County or a charge upon the County's general credit or against its taxing powers.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the County and the Company have caused this Agreement to be executed in their respective corporate names and their respective corporate seals to be hereunto affixed and attested by their duly authorized officers, all as of the date first above written.

POPE COUNTY, ARKANSAS

ATTEST:

_____ By _____
County Judge County Clerk

(SEAL)

ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

ATTEST:

_____ (title) By _____
(title)

(SEAL)

EXHIBIT A

DESCRIPTION OF FACILITIES

I. An Undivided Interest in Circulating Water System (Unit

2). Major components of the Circulating Water System include the following equipment on Unit 2, which together are designed to supply the cooling water required to remove the heat loads developed in the main condenser and the main circulating water pump motor coolers:

- (a) Two 50% capacity, vertical mixed flow type, circulating water pumps;
- (b) One hyperbolic, natural draft cooling tower;
- (c) Two 100% capacity, positive displacement type, cooling tower acid pumps;
- (d) One chlorine booster pump;
- (e) One condenser tube cleaning system including strainer with motor operated screens, recirculation and reinjection pumps, collectors and distributors; and
- (f) Piping, valves, expansion joints and instrumentation.

The system is a closed loop system installed in excess of a simple open loop river-to-river cooling system (used on Unit 1) so as to avoid excessive thermal discharges to the Dardanelle Reservoir. Excluded herein are facilities shared with the Unit 1 open loop system, such as intake and discharge canals, and emergency cooling pond and raw water storage. The undivided interest in the Circulating Water System included herein (expressed as a percentage) is 56.29%.

II. Portions of the Gaseous Radwaste Systems (Units 1 and

2). Included herein are those portions of the gaseous Radwaste Systems which function to abate or control atmospheric pollution by removing, altering, disposing or storing radioactive pollutants or contaminants in the gaseous effluent prior to being released to the environment. Major components of the Unit 1 system include four gas decay tanks, compressors and heat exchangers, and discharge filters. Major components of the Unit 2 system include three gas decay tanks, compressors, heat exchanges and discharge filters.

III. Fuel Handling Area Exhaust Systems (Units 1 and 2). Major components in each system include exhaust fans, prefilters, HEPA filters, and charcoal absorbers, which function to treat or filter radioactively charged vapors prior to their release to the atmosphere.

IV. Sewage System. Major components include septic tanks, distribution box, sand filter, chlorination equipment, chlorination contact chamber and associated piping, to process the sewage waste from the Plant.

V. Portion of the Makeup Water Systems (Units 1 and 2). Included herein are those portions of the Makeup Water Systems which function to collect and neutralize chemical waste from each generating unit before any discharge to the Dardanelle Reservoir. Major components of each system include a neutralization tank, pumps, interconnecting piping, valves and controls.

**SECOND AMENDMENT TO
DECOMMISSIONING TRUST AGREEMENT**

This Second Amendment to Decommissioning Trust Agreement ("Second Amendment") made effective as of the 1st day of November, 1995 by and between Gulf States Utilities Company (the "Company"), and Mellon Bank, N.A. (the "Successor Trustee").

WHEREAS, on March 15, 1989, the Company and Morgan Guaranty Trust Company of New York (the "Trustee") entered into a Decommissioning Trust Agreement (the "Trust Agreement"), which provided for the establishment and maintenance of a nuclear decommissioning reserve fund (the "Trust Fund") to hold and invest revenues collected by the Company for the decommissioning of Unit No. 1 of the River Bend Steam Electric Generating Station; and

WHEREAS, as of April 8, 1992, in connection with the promulgation of certain rules by the Public Utility Commission of Texas applicable to the investment or reinvestment of funds held under the Trust Agreement, the Company and the Trustee entered into Amendment No. 1 to Decommissioning Trust Agreement (the "First Amendment"); and

WHEREAS, the Company wishes to remove the Trustee, continue to maintain the Trust Fund, and appoint Mellon Bank, N.A. as Successor Trustee; and

WHEREAS, Mellon Bank, N.A. is a national banking association with trust powers and has full power and authority to enter into this Second Amendment; and

WHEREAS, Mellon Bank, N.A. is willing to serve as Successor Trustee on the terms and conditions herein set forth;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Company and Mellon Bank, N.A. agree

as follows:

1. In accordance with section 6.01 of the Trust

Agreement, as amended by the First Amendment, the Company

hereby appoints Mellon Bank, N.A. as Successor Trustee of the

Trust Fund, and Mellon Bank, N.A. hereby accepts such

appointment.

2. "Successor Trustee" shall mean Mellon Bank, N.A. and

any successor thereto.

3. "First Amendment" shall mean the Trust Agreement, as

amended by Amendment No. 1 to Decommissioning Trust Agreement

made effective on April 8, 1992.

4. The Company and the Successor Trustee agree to be

bound by the terms of the First Amendment, with the following

modifications:

a. The definitions of "Contribution," "Investment Account," and "Order" in Article I of the First Amendment are hereby amended by replacing "Trustee" with "Successor Trustee."

b. All pertinent sections of the First Amendment are hereby amended by replacing "Trustee" with "Successor Trustee" unless the context clearly requires otherwise.

c. Section 2.01 of the First Amendment is hereby amended by adding the following additional sentence at its conclusion:

"The assets of the Qualified Fund may be used only in a manner authorized by Section 468A of the Code and the regulations thereunder."

d. Section 2.03 of the First Amendment is hereby amended to provide as follows:

"Acceptance of Appointment. Upon the terms and conditions herein set forth, Mellon Bank, N.A. accepts the appointment as Successor Trustee of this Trust and each of the Funds. Notwithstanding its acceptance of this appointment, the Successor Trustee shall not be responsible for the adequacy of the assets of the Trust to pay amounts reflected in any Certificate and shall make such payments only to the extent of the assets of the Trust. The Successor Trustee shall receive any Contributions transferred to it by the Company and shall hold, manage, invest and administer such Contributions, together with earnings and appreciation thereon. Notwithstanding the foregoing sentence, the Successor Trustee is under no duty to compel the Company to make any Contribution to the Trust or to inquire into or otherwise verify the correctness, accuracy or amount of any such Contribution."

e. Section 2.08 of the First Amendment is hereby amended by adding the following additional sentence at its conclusion:

"The Agreement cannot be amended to violate Section 468A of the Code or the regulations thereunder."

f. The sixth sentence of Section 7.01 of the First Amendment is hereby amended to provide as follows:

"An Investment Manager shall certify in writing to the Trustee that it is registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, or is a bank as defined in that Act, shall accept its appointment as Investment Manager, shall certify the identity of the person or persons authorized to give instructions or directions to the Trustee on its behalf, including specimen signatures, and shall undertake to perform the duties imposed on it under an Investment Manager Agreement."

g. Add a new Section 8.09 to provide as follows:

"Legal Proceedings. To commence or defend suits or legal proceedings and represent the Fund in all suits or legal proceedings in any court or before any other body or tribunal as the Trustee shall deem necessary to protect the Fund.

Notwithstanding the provisions of this Article VIII, to the extent any fiduciary powers granted to the Trustee involve investment discretion over assets managed by an Investment Manager, and the Company does not otherwise direct the Trustee in the exercise of such power, the Trustee shall exercise such power at the direction of the Investment Manager."

h. Paragraph (1) of Section 9.02 of the First Amendment is hereby amended to provide as follows:

"Unless such investment is permitted to be made by Section 468A(e)(4)(c) of the Code, the regulations thereunder, and any applicable successor provisions; or"

i. Section 9.05 of the First Amendment is hereby amended by adding the following wording to the end of the last sentence:

"; and to hold uninvested cash in its commercial bank or that of an affiliate, as it shall deem reasonable or necessary; and to settle investments in any collective investment fund, including a collective investment fund maintained by the Trustee or an affiliate and appoint agents and sub-trustees; provided that to the extent that any investment is made in any such collective investment fund, the terms of the collective trust indenture shall solely govern the investment duties, responsibilities and powers of the trustee of such collective investment fund and , to the extent required by law, such terms, responsibilities and powers shall be incorporated herein by reference and shall be a part of this Agreement and provided further that the Company expressly understands and agrees that any such collective investment fund may provide for the lending of its securities by the collective investment fund trustee and that such collective investment fund trustee will receive compensation for the lending of securities that is separate from any compensation of the Trustee hereunder, or any compensation of the collective investment fund trustee for the management of such fund; to purchase or sell stock index future contracts from time to time only to provide liquidity for cash flows, and reduce tracking error due to dividend accruals.

Notwithstanding anything else in this Agreement to the contrary, including, without limitation, any specific or general power granted to the Trustee and to the Investment Managers, including the power to invest in real property, no portion of the Fund shall be invested in real estate. For this purpose "real estate" includes direct interests in real property, leaseholds or mineral interests."

j. Section 10.04 of the First Amendment is hereby amended to provide as follows:

"Any notice required by this Agreement to be given to the Company or the Successor Trustee shall be deemed to have been properly given when mailed, postage prepaid, by registered or certified mail, to the person to be notified as set forth below:

If to the Company:

Gulf States Utilities Company
P.O. Box 61000
New Orleans, Louisiana 70161

Attention: Steven C. McNeal

If to the Successor Trustee:

Mellon Bank, N.A.
One Mellon Bank Center

Room 3346

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15258-0001 Attention: Earl G. Kleckner

The Company or the Successor Trustee may change the above addresses by delivering notice thereof in writing to the other party."

k. Section 10.06 of the First Amendment is hereby amended by replacing "New York" with "Texas".

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this
Second Amendment to be duly executed by their respective
authorized officers as of the effective date indicated on the
first page hereof.

GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY
Trustee

MELLON BANK, N.A. Successor

By: _____

William J. Regan, Jr.

By:

Title: Vice President
and Treasurer

Title:

Date:

Date:

STATE OF LOUISIANA

PARISH OF ORLEANS

Personally came and appeared before me, the undersigned authority, in and for the jurisdiction aforesaid, _____, who acknowledged to me that he is _____ of Gulf States Utilities Company and that he signed and delivered the foregoing instrument on the day and year therein mentioned as the act and deed of said corporation, having first been duly authorized so to do.

Given under my hand and official seal on this the ____ day of _____, 19____.

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission is issued for life.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY

Personally came and appeared before me, the undersigned authority, in and for the jurisdiction aforesaid, _____, who acknowledged to me that he is _____ of Mellon Bank, N.A. and that he signed and delivered the foregoing instrument on the day and year therein mentioned as the act and deed of said corporation, having first been duly authorized so to do.

Given under my hand and official seal on this the ____ day of _____, 19____.

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: _____

AMENDMENT NO. 1

This AMENDMENT NO. 1 (this "Amendment") is dated as of January 31, 1996 and entered into by and among RIVER BEND FUEL SERVICES, INC., a Delaware corporation (the "Borrower"), the financial institutions listed on the signature pages hereof (collectively, the "Lenders") and CIBC INC., as Agent for the Lenders (the "Agent") and is made with reference to that certain Credit Agreement dated as of December 29, 1993 (the "Credit Agreement"), by and between the Borrower and CIBC Inc. as the sole initial Lender and the Agent. Capitalized terms used herein without definition shall have the same meanings herein as set forth in the Credit Agreement.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Borrower, the Lender and the Agent wish to amend the Credit Agreement to increase the Commitment Amount from \$25,000,000 to \$30,000,000; and

WHEREAS, subject to the terms and conditions of this Amendment, the Agent and the Lender are willing to agree to such amendment;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and the agreements, provisions and covenants herein contained, the parties hereto agree as follows:

SECTION 1. AMENDMENTS

(a) Section 1.1 of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended so that the amount "\$30,000,000" is substituted for the amount "\$25,000,000" in the definition of "Commitment Amount" contained therein.

(b) Section 1.1 of the Credit Agreement is hereby further amended so that the definition of "Disclosure Documents" contained therein reads in its entirety as follows:

"Disclosure Documents" means the following documents:

(i) the annual report of GSU on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1994;

(ii) GSU's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, June 30, and September 30, 1995; and

(iii) the periodic reports of GSU on Form 8-K dated July 26, 1995 and October 25, 1995, and any other periodic reports of GSU filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission which have been delivered to the Lenders before January 31, 1996."

SECTION 2. CONDITIONS TO EFFECTIVENESS

Section 1 of this Amendment shall become effective as of the date hereof only upon the satisfaction of all of the following conditions precedent (upon such satisfaction, the "Amendment Effective Date") on or before January 31, 1996:

(a) Resolutions, etc. The Agent shall have received from the Borrower and GSU a certificate, dated the Amendment Effective Date, of its Authorized Officer as to

(i) resolutions of its Board of Directors or a committee thereof then in full force and effect authorizing the execution, delivery and performance of this Amendment, the Notes and each other Loan Document to be executed by it; and

(ii) the incumbency and signatures of those of its officers authorized to act with respect to this Amendment, the Notes and each other Loan Document executed by it,

upon which certificate each Lender may conclusively rely until it shall have received a further certificate of an Authorized Officer of such Obligor canceling or amending such prior certificate.

(b) Delivery of Replacement Note. The Agent shall have received, for the account of the Lender, its Note (substantially in the form of Exhibit A hereto) duly executed and delivered by the Borrower and duly authenticated by the Indenture Trustee, in replacement of the Note No. BR-1 dated December 29, 1993 of the Borrower payable to the order of CIBC Inc. in the maximum principal amount of \$25,000,000 which shall be marked "exchanged" and delivered to the Indenture Trustee for cancellation.

(c) Reaffirmations of Loan Documents. The Agent shall have received reaffirmations, dated the Amendment Effective Date, duly executed by the appropriate Obligor, of the Loan Documents delivered on the Effective Date substantially in the form of Exhibit J and Exhibit K to the

Credit Agreement.

- (d) Opinions of Counsel. The Agent shall have received opinions, dated the Amendment Effective Date and addressed to the Agent and the Lender, from
- (i) Laurence M. Hamric, acting as Louisiana and Texas counsel to GSU, substantially in the form of Exhibit B hereto;
 - (ii) Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, New York counsel for the Borrower, substantially in the form of Exhibit C hereto; and
 - (iii) Mayer, Brown & Platt, counsel to the Agent, substantially in the form of Exhibit D hereto.
- (e) Closing Fees, Expenses, etc. The Agent shall have received for its own account, or for the account of each Lender, as the case may be, all fees, costs and expenses due and payable pursuant to Sections 3.3 and 10.3 of the Credit Agreement, if then invoiced.
- (f) Trust Indenture. The Agent shall have received an executed original of each order, certificate and opinion delivered to the Indenture Trustee by the Borrower under Section 12.2 of the Trust Indenture in connection with the replacement Note being provided in connection with this Amendment.
- (g) Trust Agreement. The Agent shall have received an executed original of each instruction, certificate and other document delivered to the Owner Trustee under the Trust Agreement in connection with the replacement Note being provided in connection with this Amendment.
- (h) Agent and Lenders Execution. On or before the Amendment Effective Date, the Agent and the Lenders shall have delivered to the Agent originally executed copies of this Amendment.
- (i) Compliance with Warranties, No Default, etc. The following statements shall be true and correct on the Amendment Effective Date, before and after giving effect to this Amendment, and the Borrower shall have delivered to the Agent a certificate of an Authorized Officer of the Borrower to the effect that the following statements are true and correct on the Amendment Effective Date, before and after giving effect to this Amendment,
- (i) the representations and warranties set forth in Article VI of the Credit Agreement (excluding, however, those contained in Section 6.7 of the Credit Agreement) shall be true and correct with the same effect as if then made (unless stated to relate solely to an earlier date, in which case such representations and warranties shall be true and correct as of such earlier date);
 - (ii) except as disclosed by the Borrower to the Agent and the Lenders pursuant to Section 6.7 of the Credit Agreement
- (1) no labor controversy, litigation, arbitration or governmental investigation or proceeding shall be pending or, to the knowledge of the Borrower, threatened against the Borrower or any Obligor which would reasonably be expected to materially adversely affect the Borrower's or such Obligor's business, operations, assets, revenues, properties or prospects or which purports to affect the legality, validity or enforceability of this Amendment, the Credit Agreement as amended hereby (the "Amended Credit Agreement", the Notes or any other Loan Document or any other Basic Document; and
- (2) no development shall have occurred in any labor controversy, litigation, arbitration or governmental investigation or proceeding disclosed pursuant to Section 6.7 of the Credit Agreement which would reasonably be expected to materially adversely affect the businesses, operations, assets, revenues, properties or prospects of the Borrower or any Obligor;
- (iii) no Default shall have then occurred and be continuing, and neither the Borrower nor any other Obligor is in material violation of any law or governmental regulation or court order or decree which would reasonably be expected to materially adversely affect the businesses, operations, assets, revenues, properties or prospects of the Borrower or any Obligor; and
- (iv) the Authorization of the Chief Accountant of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission dated December 9, 1988 (OCA-DAS-DA-681, Docket No. ES88-59-000) (the "FERC Order") previously delivered to the Agent shall be in full force and effect, without any modification.
- (j) Satisfactory Legal Form. All documents executed or submitted pursuant hereto by or on behalf of the Borrower or any other Obligor shall be satisfactory in form and substance to the Agent and its counsel; the Agent and its counsel shall have received all information, approvals, opinions, documents or instruments as the Agent or its counsel may reasonably request.

SECTION 3. BORROWER'S REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES

In order to induce the Agent and the Lenders to enter into this Amendment and to amend the Credit Agreement in the manner provided herein, the Borrower represents and warrants to the Agent and each Lender that the following statements are true, correct and complete:

- (a) Corporate Power and Authority. The Borrower has all requisite corporate power and authority to enter into this Amendment and to carry out the transactions contemplated by, and perform its obligations under, the Amended Credit Agreement and the Notes.
- (b) Authorization of Agreements. The execution and delivery of this Amendment and the Notes and the performance of the Amended Credit Agreement and the Notes have been duly authorized by all necessary corporate action on the part of the Borrower.
- (c) No Conflict. The execution and delivery by the Borrower of this Amendment and the Notes and the performance by the Borrower of the Amended Credit Agreement and the Notes do not and will not (i) violate any provision of any law or any governmental rule or regulation applicable to the Borrower or any Obligor, the Certificate or Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws of the Borrower or any Obligor or any order, judgment or decree of any court or other agency of government binding on the Borrower or any Obligor, (ii) conflict with, result in a breach of or constitute (with due notice or lapse of time or both) a default under any contractual obligation of the Borrower or any Obligor, (iii) result in or require the creation or imposition of any Lien upon any of the properties or assets of the Borrower or any Obligor (except as provided in the Basic Documents), or (iv) require any approval of stockholders or any approval or consent of any Person under any contractual obligation of the Borrower or any Obligor.
- (d) Governmental Consents. The execution and delivery by the Borrower of this Amendment and the Notes and the performance by the Borrower of the Amended Credit Agreement and the Notes do not and will not require any registration with, consent or approval of, or notice to, or other action to, with or by, any federal, state or other governmental authority or regulatory body, except for the FERC Order.
- (e) Binding Obligation. This Amendment, the Notes and the Amended Credit Agreement have been duly executed and delivered by the Borrower and are the legally valid and binding obligations of the Borrower, enforceable against the Borrower in accordance with their respective terms, except as may be limited by bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium or similar laws relating to or limiting creditors' rights generally or by equitable principles of general application.
- (f) Incorporation of Representations and Warranties From Credit Agreement. The representations and warranties contained in Article VI of the Credit Agreement are and will be true, correct and complete in all material respects on and as of the Amendment Effective Date to the same extent as through made on and as of that date, except to the extent such representations and warranties specifically relate to an earlier date, in which case they were true, correct and complete in all material respects on and as of such earlier date.
- (g) Absence of Default. No event has occurred and is continuing or will result from the consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Amendment that would constitute a Default.
- (h) Basic Documents. No modifications or amendments have been made to the Basic Documents in effect on the Effective Date and previously delivered to the Agent except as contemplated thereby or hereby in connection with the issuance of Additional Notes.
- (i) Series D Notes. On the date hereof the Borrower is issuing and selling its Intermediate Term Secured Notes, 6.48% Series D due January 31, 1999 in the aggregate principal amount of \$20,000,000, which constitute Additional Notes, and the issuance thereof has been completed prior to the execution and delivery of this Amendment and the making of any additional borrowing under the Amended Credit Agreement on the Amendment Effective Date.

SECTION 4. MISCELLANEOUS

- (a) Reference to and Effect on the Credit Agreement and the other Loan Documents.
- (1) On and after the Amendment Effective Date, each reference in the Credit Agreement to "this Agreement", "hereunder", "hereof", "herein" or words of like import referring to the Credit Agreement, and each reference in the other Loan Documents to the "Credit Agreement", "thereunder", "thereof" or words of like import referring to the Credit Agreement shall mean and be a reference to the Amended Credit Agreement.
- (2) Except as specifically amended by this Amendment, the Credit Agreement and the other Loan Documents shall remain in full force and effect and are hereby ratified and confirmed.
- (3) The execution, delivery and performance of this Amendment shall not constitute a waiver of any provision of, or operate as a waiver of any right, power or remedy of the Agent or any Lender under, the Credit Agreement or any of the other Credit Documents.
- (b) Fees and Expenses. The Borrower acknowledges that all costs, fees and expenses as described in Section 10.3 of the Credit Agreement incurred by the Agent and its counsel with respect to this Amendment and the documents and transactions contemplated hereby shall be for the account of the Borrower.
- (c) Headings. Section and subsection headings in this Amendment are included herein for convenience of reference only and shall not constitute a part of this Amendment for any other purpose or be given any substantive effect.

(d) Counterparts. This Amendment may be executed in any number of counterparts and by different parties hereto in separate counterparts, each of which when so executed and delivered shall be deemed an original, but all such counterparts together shall constitute but one and the same instrument; signature pages may be detached from multiple separate counterparts and attached to a single counterpart so that all signature pages are physically attached to the same document.

(e) Governing Law. THIS AMENDMENT SHALL BE GOVERNED BY, AND SHALL BE CONSTRUED AND ENFORCED IN ACCORDANCE WITH, THE INTERNAL LAWS OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHOUT REGARD TO CONFLICT OF LAWS PRINCIPLES.

[Signature pages follow]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Amendment to be duly executed and delivered by their respective officers thereunto duly authorized as of the date first written above.

RIVER BEND FUEL SERVICES, INC.

By: _____
Title: _____

CIBC INC., as Agent

By: _____
Title: _____

PERCENTAGE	COMMITMENT	LENDER
100%	\$30,000,000	CIBC INC.

By: _____
Title: _____

Exhibit 12(a)

Arkansas Power and Light Company
 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and
 Ratios of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends

	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
Fixed charges, as defined:					
Interest on long-term debt	\$133,854	\$120,317	\$107,771	\$101,439	\$102,339
Interest on notes payable	--	117	349	1,311	678
Amortization of expense and premium on debt-net(cr)	1,112	1,359	2,702	4,563	4,514
Other interest	1,303	2,308	8,769	3,501	7,806
Interest applicable to rentals	21,969	17,657	16,860	19,140	18,158
Total fixed charges, as defined	158,238	141,758	136,451	129,954	133,495
Preferred dividends, as defined (a)	31,458	32,195	30,334	23,234	27,636
Combined fixed charges and preferred dividends, as defined	\$189,696	\$173,953	\$166,785	\$153,188	\$161,131
Earnings as defined:					
Net Income	\$143,451	\$130,529	\$205,297	\$142,263	\$136,666
Add:					
Provision for income taxes:					
Federal & State	44,418	57,089	58,162	83,300	105,964
Deferred - net	11,048	3,490	34,748	(17,939)	(28,225)
Investment tax credit adjustment - net	(1,600)	(9,989)	(10,573)	(36,141)	(5,658)
Fixed charges as above	158,238	141,758	136,451	129,954	133,495
Total earnings, as defined	\$355,555	\$322,877	\$424,085	\$301,437	\$342,242
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges, as defined	2.25	2.28	3.11	2.32	2.56
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred dividends, as defined	1.87	1.86	2.54	1.97	2.12

(a) "Preferred dividends," as defined by SEC regulation S-K, are computed by dividing the preferred dividend requirement by one hundred percent (100%) minus the income tax rate.

Exhibit 12(b)

Gulf States Utilities Company
 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and
 Ratios of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends

	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
Fixed charges, as defined:					
Interest on long-term debt	\$201,335	\$197,218	\$172,494	\$167,082	\$181,994
Interest on notes payable	27,953	21,155	19,440	20,203	810
Other interest	29,169	26,564	10,561	7,957	8,074
Amortization of expense and premium on debt-net(cr)	1,999	3,479	8,104	8,892	9,346
Interest applicable to rentals	24,049	23,759	23,455	21,539	16,648
Total fixed charges, as defined	284,505	272,175	234,054	225,673	216,872
Preferred dividends, as defined (a)	90,146	69,617	65,299	52,210	44,651
Combined fixed charges and preferred dividends, as defined	\$374,651	\$341,792	\$299,353	\$277,883	\$261,523
Earnings as defined:					
Income (loss) from continuing operations before extraordinary items and the cumulative effect of accounting changes	\$112,391	\$139,413	\$69,462	(\$82,755)	\$122,919
Add:					
Income Taxes	48,250	55,860	58,016	(62,086)	63,244
Fixed charges as above	284,505	272,175	234,054	225,673	216,872
Total earnings, as defined	\$445,146	\$467,448	\$361,532	\$80,832	\$403,035
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges, as defined	1.56	1.72	1.54	0.36	1.86
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred dividends, as defined	1.19	1.37	1.21	0.29	1.54

(a) "Preferred dividends," as defined by SEC regulation S-K, are computed by dividing the preferred dividend requirement by one hundred percent (100%) minus the income tax rate.

(b) Earnings for the year ended December 31, 1994 and 1990, for GSU were not adequate to cover fixed charges by \$144.8 million and \$60.6 million, respectively. Earnings for the years ended December 31, 1994 and 1990, for GSU were not adequate to cover fixed charges and preferred dividends by \$197.1 million and \$165.1 million, respectively.

Louisiana Power and Light Company
 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and
 Ratios of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends

	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
Fixed charges, as defined:					
Interest on long-term debt	\$158,816	\$128,672	\$124,633	\$124,820	\$124,507
Interest on notes payable	--	150	898	1,948	1,932
Other interest charges	5,924	5,591	5,706	4,546	5,278
Amortization of expense and premium on debt - net(cr)	3,282	7,100	5,720	5,130	5,184
Interest applicable to rentals	11,381	9,363	8,519	8,332	9,332
Total fixed charges, as defined	179,403	150,876	145,476	144,776	146,233
Preferred dividends, as defined (a)	41,212	42,026	40,779	29,171	32,847
Combined fixed charges and preferred dividends, as defined	\$220,615	\$192,902	\$186,255	\$173,947	\$179,080
Earnings as defined:					
Net Income	\$166,572	\$182,989	\$188,808	\$213,839	\$201,537
Add:					
Provision for income taxes:					
Federal and State	8,684	36,465	70,552	79,260	114,665
Deferred Federal and State - net	67,792	51,889	43,017	21,580	8,148
Investment tax credit adjustment - net	8,244	(1,317)	(2,756)	(37,552)	(5,699)
Fixed charges as above	179,403	150,876	145,476	144,776	146,233
Total earnings, as defined	\$430,695	\$420,902	\$445,097	\$421,903	\$464,884
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges, as defined	2.40	2.79	3.06	2.91	3.18
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred dividends, as defined	1.95	2.18	2.39	2.43	2.60

(a) "Preferred dividends," as defined by SEC regulation S-K, are computed by dividing the preferred dividend requirement by one hundred percent (100%) minus the income tax rate.

Mississippi Power and Light Company
 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and
 Ratios of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends

	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
Fixed charges, as defined:					
Interest on long-term debt	\$63,628	\$60,709	\$52,099	\$46,081	\$46,241
Interest on notes payable	953	36	7	1,348	474
Other interest charges	1,444	1,636	1,795	3,581	4,164
Amortization of expense and premium on debt-net(cr)	1,617	1,685	1,458	1,754	756
Interest applicable to rentals	574	521	1,264	1,716	2,173

Total fixed charges, as defined	68,216	64,587	56,623	54,480	53,808
Preferred dividends, as defined (a)	14,962	12,823	12,990	9,447	9,004

Combined fixed charges and preferred dividends, as defined	\$83,178	\$77,410	\$69,613	\$63,927	\$62,812
	=====				
Earnings as defined:					
Net Income	\$63,088	\$65,036	\$101,743	\$48,779	\$68,667
Add:					
Provision for income taxes:					
Federal and State	(1,001)	4,463	54,418	46,884	71,651
Deferred Federal and State - net	32,491	20,430	539	(26,763)	(35,224)
Investment tax credit adjustment - net	(1,634)	(1,746)	1,036	(7,645)	(1,550)
Fixed charges as above	68,216	64,587	56,623	54,480	53,808

Total earnings, as defined	\$161,160	\$152,770	\$214,359	\$115,735	\$157,352
	=====				
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges, as defined	2.36	2.37	3.79	2.12	2.92
	=====				
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred dividends, as defined	1.94	1.97	3.08	1.81	2.51
	=====				

(a) "Preferred dividends," as defined by SEC regulation S-K, are computed by dividing the preferred dividend requirement by one hundred percent (100%) minus the income tax rate.

New Orleans Public Service Inc.
 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and
 Ratios of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends

	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
Fixed charges, as defined:					
Interest on long-term debt	\$23,865	\$22,934	\$19,478	\$16,382	\$15,330
Interest on notes payable	--	--	--	153	130
Other interest charges	793	1,714	1,016	1,027	1,723
Amortization of expense and premium on debt-net(cr)	565	576	598	710	619
Interest applicable to rentals	517	444	544	1,245	916

Total fixed charges, as defined	25,740	25,668	21,636	19,517	18,718
Preferred dividends, as defined (a)	3,582	3,214	2,952	2,071	1,964

Combined fixed charges and preferred dividends, as defined	\$29,322	\$28,882	\$24,588	\$21,588	\$20,682
	=====				
Earnings as defined:					
Net Income	\$74,699	\$26,424	\$47,709	\$13,211	\$34,386
Add:					
Provision for income taxes:					
Federal and State	8,885	16,575	27,479	22,606	22,465
Deferred Federal and State - net	36,947	(340)	5,203	(15,674)	(1,364)
Investment tax credit adjustment - net	(591)	(170)	(744)	(2,332)	(634)
Fixed charges as above	25,740	25,668	21,636	19,517	18,718

Total earnings, as defined	\$145,680	\$68,157	\$101,283	\$37,328	\$73,571
	=====				
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges, as defined	5.66	2.66	4.68	1.91	3.93
	=====				
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred dividends, as defined	4.97	2.36	4.12	1.73	3.56
	=====				

(a) "Preferred dividends," as defined by SEC regulation S-K, are computed by dividing the preferred dividend requirement by one hundred percent (100%) minus the income tax rate.

(b) Earnings for the twelve months ended December 31, 1991 include the \$90 million effect of the 1991 NOPSI Settlement.

System Energy Resources, Inc.
 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and
 Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges

	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
Fixed charges, as defined:					
Interest on long-term debt	\$218,538	\$196,618	\$184,818	\$162,517	\$136,916
Interest on notes payable	0	0	0	88	473
Amortization of expense and premium on debt-net	7,495	6,417	4,520	6,731	6,104
Interest applicable to rentals	10,007	6,265	6,790	7,546	6,475
Other interest charges	3,617	1,506	1,600	7,168	8,019

Total fixed charges, as defined	\$239,657	\$210,806	\$197,728	\$184,050	\$157,987
	=====				
Earnings as defined:					
Net Income	\$104,622	\$130,141	\$93,927	\$5,407	\$93,039
Add:					
Provision for income taxes:					
Federal and State	(26,848)	35,082	48,314	67,477	120,830
Deferred Federal and State - net	37,168	23,648	60,690	(27,374)	(41,871)
Investment tax credit adjustment - net	63,256	30,123	(30,452)	(3,265)	(3,466)
Fixed charges as above	239,657	210,806	197,728	184,050	157,987

Total earnings, as defined	\$417,855	\$429,800	\$370,207	\$226,295	\$326,519
	=====				
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges, as defined	1.74	2.04	1.87	1.23	2.07
	=====				

Exhibit 18(a)

February 27, 1996

Arkansas Power & Light Company
425 West Capital Avenue, 40th Floor
Little Rock, Arkansas 72201

Gentlemen:

We are providing this letter to you for inclusion as an exhibit to your Form 10-K filing pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-K.

We have read management's justification contained in the Company's Financial Statements which are included in its Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995, for the change in accounting principle from accruing for anticipated incremental nuclear plant outage maintenance costs during the operating period between outages to capitalizing incremental nuclear plant outage maintenance costs as incurred and amortizing them to expense during the operating period between outages. Based on our reading of the data, including an audit report on the Company by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, and discussions with Company officials of the business judgment and business planning factors relating to the change, we believe management's justification to be reasonable. Accordingly, we concur that the newly adopted accounting principle described above is preferable in the Company's circumstances to the method previously applied.

Very truly yours,

COOPERS & LYBRAND L.L.P.

Exhibit 18(b)

February 27, 1996

Entergy Corporation
639 Loyola Avenue
New Orleans, Louisiana 70113

Gentlemen:

We are providing this letter to you for inclusion as an exhibit to your Form 10-K filing pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-K.

We have read management's justification contained in the Company's Financial Statements which are included in its Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995, for the change in accounting principle of the Arkansas Power & Light Company from accruing for anticipated incremental nuclear plant outage maintenance costs during the operating period between outages to capitalizing incremental nuclear plant outage maintenance costs as incurred and amortizing them to expense during the operating period between outages. Based on our reading of the data, including an audit report on the Company by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, and discussions with Company officials of the business judgment and business planning factors relating to the change, we believe management's justification to be reasonable. Accordingly, we concur that the newly adopted accounting principle described above is preferable in the Company's circumstances to the method previously applied.

Very truly yours,

COOPERS & LYBRAND L.L.P.

Exhibit 21

The seven registrants, Entergy Corporation, System Energy Resources, Inc., Arkansas Power & Light Company, Gulf States Utilities Company, Louisiana Power & Light Company, Mississippi Power & Light Company and New Orleans Public Service Inc., and their active subsidiaries, are listed below:

	State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation
Entergy Corporation	Delaware
System Energy Resources, Inc. (a)	Arkansas
Arkansas Power & Light Company (a)	Arkansas
The Arkklahoma Corporation (b)	Arkansas
Gulf States Utilities Company (a)	Texas
Varibus Corporation (c)	Texas
GSG&T, Inc. (c)	Texas
Southern Gulf Railway Company (c)	Texas
Prudential Oil & Gas, Inc.(c)	Texas
Louisiana Power & Light Company (a)	Louisiana
Mississippi Power & Light Company (a)	Mississippi
New Orleans Public Service Inc. (a)	Louisiana
System Fuels, Inc.(d)	Louisiana
Entergy Services, Inc. (a)	Delaware
Entergy Power, Inc. (a)	Delaware
Entergy Operations, Inc. (a)	Delaware
Entergy Enterprises, Inc. (a)	Louisiana
Entergy S.A. (a)	Argentina
Entergy Argentina S.A. (a)	Argentina
Entergy Argentina S.A. Ltd. (a)	Cayman
Islands	
Entergy Transener S.A. (a)	Argentina
Entergy Power Development Corporation (a)	Delaware
Entergy Richmond Power Corporation (e)	Delaware
Entergy Systems and Service, Inc. (f)	Delaware
Entergy Pakistan LTD (e)	Delaware
Entergy Power Asia LTD (e)	Cayman
Islands	
Entergy Power Development International Corporation (a)	Delaware
Entergy Power Holding I, LTD (e)	Cayman
Islands	
EP Edgel, Inc. (e)	Delaware
Entergy Power CBA Holding II LTD (g)	Bermuda
Generandes, Co (h)	Cayman
Islands	
Edgel S.A. (i)	Delaware
EPG Cayman, Holding I (j)	Cayman
Islands	
EPG Cayman, Holding II (j)	Cayman
Islands	
Entergy Victoria LDC (k)	Cayman
Islands	
Entergy Power Holding LDC (l)	Cayman
Islands	
CitiPower Trust (m)	Australia
CitiPower Ltd. (n)	Australia
Entergy Power Edesur Holding LTD (o)	Bermuda
Entergy Power Marketing Corporation (a)	Delaware

(a)Entergy Corporation owns all of the Common Stock of System Energy Resources, Inc., Arkansas Power & Light Company, Gulf States Utilities Company, Louisiana Power & Light Company, Mississippi Power & Light Company, New Orleans Public Service Inc., Entergy

Services, Inc., Entergy Power, Inc., Entergy Operations, Inc., Entergy Enterprises, Inc., Entergy, S.A., Entergy Argentina, S.A., Entergy Transener, S.A., Entergy Argentina S.A., Ltd., Entergy Power Development Corporation, Entergy Power Development Corporation International, Entergy Power Marketing Corporation, and Entergy System and Services, Inc.

(b)Arkansas Power & Light Company owns 34% of the Common Stock of The Arkklahoma Corporation.

(c)Gulf States Utilities Company owns all of the Common Stock of Varibus Corporation, GSG&T, Inc., Southern Gulf Railway Company, and Prudential Oil & Gas, Inc.

(d)The capital stock of System Fuels, Inc. is owned in proportions of 35%, 33%, 19% and 13% by Arkansas Power & Light Company, Louisiana Power & Light Company, Mississippi Power & Light Company and New Orleans Public Service Inc., respectively.

(e)Entergy Power Development Corporation owns all of the Common Stock of Entergy Richmond Power Corporation, Entergy Pakistan LTD, Entergy Power Asia LTD, Entergy Power Holding I, LTD, and EPG Edegel, Inc.

(f)Entergy Enterprises, Inc. owns all of the Common Stock of Entergy Systems and Service, Inc.

(g)Entergy Power Holding I, LTD owns all of the Common Stock of Entergy Power CBA Holding II LTD.

(h)E P Edegel, Inc. owns all of the Common Stock of Generandes, Co.

(i)Generandes, Co. owns all of the Common Stock of Edegel S.A.

(j)Entergy Power Development International Corporation owns all of the Common Stock of EPG Cayman Holding I and EPG Cayman Holding II.

(k)EPG Cayman Holding II and EPG Cayman Holding I own 99% and 1%, respectively, of the Common Stock of Entergy Victoria LDC.

(l)EPG Cayman Holding II and Entergy Victoria LDC own 99% and 1%., respectively, of the Common Stock of Entergy Victoria Holding LDC.

(m)Entergy Victoria LDC and Entergy Victoria Holding LDC own 99% and 1%, respectively, of the Common Stock of CitiPower Trust.

(n)Entergy Victoria LDC and Entergy Victoria Holding LDC own 99% and 1%, respectively, of the Common Stock of CitiPower Ltd.

(o)Entergy Argentina SA and Entergy Argentina S.A. Ltd. own all of the Common Stock of Entergy Power Edesur Holding LTD.

Exhibit 24

DATE: January 26, 1996

TO: Louis E. Buck, Jr.
Laurence M. Hamric

FROM: Edwin Lupberger, et. al.

SUBJECT: Power of Attorney

Entergy Corporation, referred to herein as the Company, will file with the Securities and Exchange Commission its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995 pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

The Company and the undersigned, in their respective capacities as directors and/or officers of said Company as specified in Attachment I, do each hereby make, constitute and appoint Louis E. Buck, Jr. and Laurence M. Hamric, and each of them, their true and lawful Attorneys (with full power of substitution) for each of the undersigned and in his or her name, place and stead to sign and cause to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the aforementioned Annual Report on Form 10-K and any amendments thereto.

Yours very truly,

Entergy Corporation

By: /s/ Edwin Lupberger
Edwin Lupberger
Chairman of the Board and
and Chief Executive Officer
Officer

Gerald D. McInvale
Senior Vice President
Chief Financial

___/s/ W. Frank Blount
W. Frank Blount

_____/s/ John A. Cooper, Jr.
John A. Cooper, Jr.

___/s/ Lucie J. Fjeldstad
Lucie J. Fjeldstad

___/s/ Norman C. Francis
Norman C. Francis

___/s/ Kaneaster Hodges, Jr.
Kaneaster Hodges, Jr.

_____/s/ Robert v.d. Luft
Robert v.d. Luft

___/s/ Edwin Lupberger
Edwin Lupberger

___/s/ Kinnaird R. McKee
Kinnaird R. McKee

___/s/ Paul W. Murrill
Paul W. Murrill

_____/s/ James R. Nichols
James R. Nichols

_____/s/ Eugene H. Owen
Eugene H. Owen

___/s/ John N. Palmer, Sr.
John N. Palmer, Sr.

_____/s/ Robert D. Pugh
Robert D. Pugh

___/s/ H. Duke Shackelford
H. Duke Shackelford

___/s/ Wm. Clifford Smith
Steinhagen
Wm. Clifford Smith

___/s/ Bismark A.
Bismark A. Steinhagen

Entergy Corporation

Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (principal executive officer) - Edwin Lupberger

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer) - Gerald D. McInvale

Directors - W. Frank Blount, John A. Cooper, Jr., Lucie J. Fjeldstad, Norman C. Francis, Kaneaster Hodges, Jr., Robert v.d. Luft, Kinnaird R. McKee, Paul W. Murrill, James R. Nichols, Eugene H. Owen, John N. Palmer, Sr., Robert D. Pugh, H. Duke Shackelford, Wm. Clifford Smith, Bismark A. Steinhagen.

ARTICLE UT

This legend contains summary financial information extracted from Entergy's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 1995, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to such financial statements.

CIK: 0000065984

NAME: ENTERGY CORPORATION

SUBSIDIARY:

NUMBER: 017

NAME: ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES (CONSOLIDATED)

MULTIPLIER: 1,000

PERIOD TYPE	YEAR
FISCAL YEAR END	DEC 31 1995
PERIOD END	DEC 31 1995
BOOK VALUE	PER BOOK
TOTAL NET UTILITY PLANT	15,820,791
OTHER PROPERTY AND INVEST	712,335
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	2,315,240
TOTAL DEFERRED CHARGES	3,417,564
OTHER ASSETS	0
TOTAL ASSETS	22,265,930
COMMON	2,300
CAPITAL SURPLUS PAID IN	4,201,483
RETAINED EARNINGS	2,335,579
TOTAL COMMON STOCKHOLDERS EQ	6,471,720
PREFERRED MANDATORY	253,460
PREFERRED	550,955
LONG TERM DEBT NET	6,777,124
SHORT TERM NOTES	45,667
LONG TERM NOTES PAYABLE	0
COMMERCIAL PAPER OBLIGATIONS	0
LONG TERM DEBT CURRENT PORT	558,650
PREFERRED STOCK CURRENT	0
CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS	303,664
LEASES CURRENT	151,140
OTHER ITEMS CAPITAL AND LIAB	7,085,898
TOT CAPITALIZATION AND LIAB	22,265,930
GROSS OPERATING REVENUE	6,274,428
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	349,528
OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES	4,705,690
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	5,054,690
OPERATING INCOME LOSS	1,219,738
OTHER INCOME NET	37,443
INCOME BEFORE INTEREST EXPEN	1,257,181
TOTAL INTEREST EXPENSE	737,201
NET INCOME	519,980
PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS	0
EARNINGS AVAILABLE FOR COMM	519,980
COMMON STOCK DIVIDENDS	408,553
TOTAL INTEREST ON BONDS	0
CASH FLOW OPERATIONS	1,396,731
EPS PRIMARY	2.28
EPS DILUTED	0

ARTICLE UT

This schedule contains summary financial information extracted from Entergy's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 1995, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to such financial statements.

CIK: 000007323

NAME: ARKANSAS POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

SUBSIDIARY:

NUMBER: 001

NAME: ARKANSAS POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

MULTIPLIER: 1,000

PERIOD TYPE	YEAR
FISCAL YEAR END	DEC 31 1995
PERIOD END	DEC 31 1995
BOOK VALUE	PER BOOK
TOTAL NET UTILITY PLANT	2,859,940
OTHER PROPERTY AND INVEST	183,039
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	551,585
TOTAL DEFERRED CHARGES	609,851
OTHER ASSETS	0
TOTAL ASSETS	4,204,415
COMMON	470
CAPITAL SURPLUS PAID IN	590,844
RETAINED EARNINGS	492,386
TOTAL COMMON STOCKHOLDERS EQ	1,083,700
PREFERRED MANDATORY	49,027
PREFERRED	176,350
LONG TERM DEBT NET	1,281,203
SHORT TERM NOTES	667
LONG TERM NOTES PAYABLE	0
COMMERCIAL PAPER OBLIGATIONS	0
LONG TERM DEBT CURRENT PORT	28,700
PREFERRED STOCK CURRENT	0
CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS	93,574
LEASES CURRENT	54,697
OTHER ITEMS CAPITAL AND LIAB	1,436,497
TOT CAPITALIZATION AND LIAB	4,204,415
GROSS OPERATING REVENUE	1,648,233
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	53,936
OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES	1,376,366
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	1,430,302
OPERATING INCOME LOSS	217,931
OTHER INCOME NET	67,063
INCOME BEFORE INTEREST EXPEN	284,994
TOTAL INTEREST EXPENSE	112,914
NET INCOME	172,080
PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS	18,093
EARNINGS AVAILABLE FOR COMM	153,987
COMMON STOCK DIVIDENDS	153,400
TOTAL INTEREST ON BONDS	0
CASH FLOW OPERATIONS	338,358
EPS PRIMARY	0
EPS DILUTED	0

ARTICLE UT

This legend contains summary financial information extracted from Entergy's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 1995, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to such financial statements.

CIK: 0000044570

NAME: GULF STATES UTILITY COMPANY

SUBSIDIARY:

NUMBER: 003

NAME: GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY

MULTIPLIER: 1,000

PERIOD TYPE	YEAR
FISCAL YEAR END	DEC 31 1995
PERIOD END	DEC 31 1995
BOOK VALUE	PER BOOK
TOTAL NET UTILITY PLANT	4,697,194
OTHER PROPERTY AND INVEST	62,569
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	745,842
TOTAL DEFERRED CHARGES	1,356,453
OTHER ASSETS	0
TOTAL ASSETS	6,861,058
COMMON	114,055
CAPITAL SURPLUS PAID IN	1,152,505
RETAINED EARNINGS	357,704
TOTAL COMMON STOCKHOLDERS EQ	1,624,264
PREFERRED MANDATORY	87,654
PREFERRED	136,444
LONG TERM DEBT NET	2,145,471
SHORT TERM NOTES	0
LONG TERM NOTES PAYABLE	0
COMMERCIAL PAPER OBLIGATIONS	0
LONG TERM DEBT CURRENT PORT	145,425
PREFERRED STOCK CURRENT	0
CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS	108,087
LEASES CURRENT	37,773
OTHER ITEMS CAPITAL AND LIAB	2,395,949
TOT CAPITALIZATION AND LIAB	6,861,058
GROSS OPERATING REVENUE	1,861,974
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	57,235
OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES	0
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	1,500,310
OPERATING INCOME LOSS	304,429
OTHER INCOME NET	17,689
INCOME BEFORE INTEREST EXPEN	322,118
TOTAL INTEREST EXPENSE	199,199
NET INCOME	122,919
PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS	29,643
EARNINGS AVAILABLE FOR COMM	93,276
COMMON STOCK DIVIDENDS	0
TOTAL INTEREST ON BONDS	0
CASH FLOW OPERATIONS	400,754
EPS PRIMARY	0
EPS DILUTED	0

ARTICLE UT

This legend contains summary financial information extracted from Entergy's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 1995, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to such financial statements.

CIK: 0000060527

NAME: LOUISIANA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

SUBSIDIARY:

NUMBER: 009

NAME: LOUISIANA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

MULTIPLIER: 1,000

PERIOD TYPE	YEAR
FISCAL YEAR END	DEC 31 1995
PERIOD END	DEC 31 1995
BOOK VALUE	PER BOOK
TOTAL NET UTILITY PLANT	3,537,650
OTHER PROPERTY AND INVEST	73,963
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	331,912
TOTAL DEFERRED CHARGES	387,998
OTHER ASSETS	0
TOTAL ASSETS	4,331,523
COMMON	1,088,900
CAPITAL SURPLUS PAID IN	(4,836)
RETAINED EARNINGS	72,150
TOTAL COMMON STOCKHOLDERS EQ	1,156,214
PREFERRED MANDATORY	100,009
PREFERRED	160,500
LONG TERM DEBT NET	1,385,171
SHORT TERM NOTES	76,459
LONG TERM NOTES PAYABLE	0
COMMERCIAL PAPER OBLIGATIONS	0
LONG TERM DEBT CURRENT PORT	35,260
PREFERRED STOCK CURRENT	0
CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS	43,362
LEASES CURRENT	28,000
OTHER ITEMS CAPITAL AND LIAB	953,763
TOT CAPITALIZATION AND LIAB	4,331,523
GROSS OPERATING REVENUE	1,674,875
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	116,486
OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES	1,226,606
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	1,342,606
OPERATING INCOME LOSS	332,269
OTHER INCOME NET	4,153
INCOME BEFORE INTEREST EXPEN	328,116
TOTAL INTEREST EXPENSE	134,885
NET INCOME	201,537
PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS	21,307
EARNINGS AVAILABLE FOR COMM	180,230
COMMON STOCK DIVIDENDS	221,500
TOTAL INTEREST ON BONDS	0
CASH FLOW OPERATIONS	384,657
EPS PRIMARY	0
EPS DILUTED	0

ARTICLE UT

This legend contains summary financial information extracted from Entergy's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 1995, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to such financial statements.

CIK: 000066901

NAME: MISSISSIPPI POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

SUBSIDIARY:

NUMBER: 010

NAME: MISSISSIPPI POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

MULTIPLIER: 1,000

PERIOD TYPE	YEAR
FISCAL YEAR END	DEC 31 1995
PERIOD END	DEC 31 1995
BOOK VALUE	PER BOOK
TOTAL NET UTILITY PLANT	1,001,686
OTHER PROPERTY AND INVEST	11,146
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	281,482
TOTAL DEFERRED CHARGES	287,669
OTHER ASSETS	0
TOTAL ASSETS	1,581,983
COMMON	199,326
CAPITAL SURPLUS PAID IN	(218)
RETAINED EARNINGS	231,463
TOTAL COMMON STOCKHOLDERS EQ	430,571
PREFERRED MANDATORY	16,770
PREFERRED	57,881
LONG TERM DEBT NET	494,404
SHORT TERM NOTES	0
LONG TERM NOTES PAYABLE	0
COMMERCIAL PAPER OBLIGATIONS	0
LONG TERM DEBT CURRENT PORT	61,015
PREFERRED STOCK CURRENT	0
CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS	0
LEASES CURRENT	0
OTHER ITEMS CAPITAL AND LIAB	521,342
TOT CAPITALIZATION AND LIAB	1,581,983
GROSS OPERATING REVENUE	889,843
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	33,716
OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES	0
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	739,445
OPERATING INCOME LOSS	116,672
OTHER INCOME NET	2,825
INCOME BEFORE INTEREST EXPEN	119,497
TOTAL INTEREST EXPENSE	50,830
NET INCOME	68,667
PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS	7,515
EARNINGS AVAILABLE FOR COMM	61,152
COMMON STOCK DIVIDENDS	61,700
TOTAL INTEREST ON BONDS	0
CASH FLOW OPERATIONS	184,943
EPS PRIMARY	0
EPS DILUTED	0

ARTICLE UT

This legend contains summary financial information extracted from Entergy's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 1995, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to such financial statements.

CIK: 000071508

NAME: NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC SERVICE, INC.

SUBSIDIARY:

NUMBER: 011

NAME: NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC SERVICE, INC.

MULTIPLIER: 1,000

PERIOD TYPE	YEAR
FISCAL YEAR END	DEC 31 1995
PERIOD END	DEC 31 1995
BOOK VALUE	PER BOOK
TOTAL NET UTILITY PLANT	287,168
OTHER PROPERTY AND INVEST	3,259
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	148,867
TOTAL DEFERRED CHARGES	156,912
OTHER ASSETS	0
TOTAL ASSETS	596,206
COMMON	33,744
CAPITAL SURPLUS PAID IN	36,306
RETAINED EARNINGS	81,261
TOTAL COMMON STOCKHOLDERS EQ	151,311
PREFERRED MANDATORY	0
PREFERRED	19,780
LONG TERM DEBT NET	155,958
SHORT TERM NOTES	0
LONG TERM NOTES PAYABLE	0
COMMERCIAL PAPER OBLIGATIONS	0
LONG TERM DEBT CURRENT PORT	38,250
PREFERRED STOCK CURRENT	0
CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS	0
LEASES CURRENT	0
OTHER ITEMS CAPITAL AND LIAB	230,907
TOT CAPITALIZATION AND LIAB	596,206
GROSS OPERATING REVENUE	474,670
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	19,836
OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES	403,940
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	423,776
OPERATING INCOME LOSS	50,894
OTHER INCOME NET	1,166
INCOME BEFORE INTEREST EXPEN	52,060
TOTAL INTEREST EXPENSE	17,674
NET INCOME	34,386
PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS	1,411
EARNINGS AVAILABLE FOR COMM	32,975
COMMON STOCK DIVIDENDS	30,600
TOTAL INTEREST ON BONDS	0
CASH FLOW OPERATIONS	99,275
EPS PRIMARY	0
EPS DILUTED	0

ARTICLE UT

This legend contains summary financial information extracted from Entergy's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 1995, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to such financial statements.

CIK: 0000202584

NAME: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.

SUBSIDIARY:

NUMBER: 012

NAME: SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.

MULTIPLIER: 1,000

PERIOD TYPE	YEAR
FISCAL YEAR END	DEC 31 1995
PERIOD END	DEC 31 1995
BOOK VALUE	PER BOOK
TOTAL NET UTILITY PLANT	2,667,176
OTHER PROPERTY AND INVEST	40,927
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	161,246
TOTAL DEFERRED CHARGES	561,663
OTHER ASSETS	0
TOTAL ASSETS	3,431,012
COMMON	789,350
CAPITAL SURPLUS PAID IN	7
RETAINED EARNINGS	85,920
TOTAL COMMON STOCKHOLDERS EQ	875,277
PREFERRED MANDATORY	0
PREFERRED	0
LONG TERM DEBT NET	1,219,917
SHORT TERM NOTES	2,990
LONG TERM NOTES PAYABLE	0
COMMERCIAL PAPER OBLIGATIONS	0
LONG TERM DEBT CURRENT PORT	250,000
PREFERRED STOCK CURRENT	0
CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS	44,107
LEASES CURRENT	28,000
OTHER ITEMS CAPITAL AND LIAB	1,038,721
TOT CAPITALIZATION AND LIAB	3,431,012
GROSS OPERATING REVENUE	605,639
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	77,410
OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES	291,934
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	369,344
OPERATING INCOME LOSS	236,295
OTHER INCOME NET	6,287
INCOME BEFORE INTEREST EXPEN	242,582
TOTAL INTEREST EXPENSE	149,543
NET INCOME	93,039
PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS	0
EARNINGS AVAILABLE FOR COMM	93,039
COMMON STOCK DIVIDENDS	92,800
TOTAL INTEREST ON BONDS	0
CASH FLOW OPERATIONS	96,460
EPS PRIMARY	0
EPS DILUTED	0

Exhibit 99(a)3

[Letterhead of Clark, Thomas & Winters]

March 11, 1996

Gulf States Utilities Company
639 Loyola Avenue
New Orleans, Louisiana 70112
Attn: Scott Forbes

Re: SEC Form 10-K Gulf States Utilities Company (the "Company") for the year ending December 31, 1995

Dear Mr. Forbes:

Our firm has rendered to the Company two opinion letters dated September 30, 1992 and August 8, 1994, concerning certain issues presented in the appeal of PUCT Docket No. 7195 now pending in the Texas Supreme Court. In connection with the above- referenced Form 10-K, we confirm to you as of the date hereof that we continue to hold the opinions set forth in the letter dated August 8, 1994 and in the September 30, 1992 letter which addressed the recovery of \$1.45 billion of abeyed construction costs.¹

CLARK, THOMAS & WINTERS,
A Professional Corporation

*By: /s/ CLARK, THOMAS & WINTERS,
A Professional
Corporation*

¹ The opinion letter dated September 30, 1992 indicates that the amount of River Bend plant costs held in abeyance was \$1.45 billion. The more correct amount, as indicated by the Company in its securities filings to which those opinions related, is \$1.4 billion.

End of Filing