



IAMGOLD[®]

CORPORATION

ANNUAL REPORT 2017

EXECUTE AND
COMMUNICATE

“With core assets that have proven to be significant catalysts for growth, we will keep executing and communicating our strategy to increase value for our shareholders.”

It is tremendously energizing to look back at what we accomplished at IAMGOLD in 2017. Gold production of 882,000 ounces was at the top end of guidance and costs continued to improve. Gross profit rose 50% and we generated \$295 million in net operating cash flow. We reduced long-term debt, extended the maturity date by five years to 2025, and ended the year with \$1 billion in liquidity. But most importantly, our strategic achievements were transformational, setting us up for a growing production profile.

We remain steadfastly committed to Zero Harm. Although we met our safety targets with a DART* rate of 0.52, which was below our 2017 target of 0.56, we regrettably had a fatality at our Westwood Mine. In Burkina Faso, our Water and Sustainable Economic Growth in the Sahel Project aims to provide access to safe drinking water to approximately 60,000 local residents by 2020. Additionally, our 15MWp solar power plant at Essakane, comprising 130,000 solar panels, will save six million litres of fuel each year and reduce annual CO₂ emissions by nearly 18,500 tons.

Gold reserves increased by 86% to 14.5 million ounces, driven by a 69% net increase at Rosebel and the conversion of resources to reserves at the Côté Gold and Boto Gold projects, which are now at the feasibility stage. The Saramacca deposit near Rosebel was confirmed to be a significant gold discovery with excellent expansion potential, and we are working towards a production start

in the second half of 2019. Earlier this year, we secured the exploration rights to the Brokolonko property believed to be on the same mineralization trend as Saramacca, further solidifying our strategy to consolidate prospective properties near the Rosebel mill. At Essakane, the pre-feasibility study on heap leaching is expected to be completed in the second quarter of this year and we are targeting satellite prospects near the mill. Westwood resumed operating at a normal level and is expected to ramp up to full production by 2020. Developments at our Côté Gold Project in northern Ontario, including a joint venture with Sumitomo Metal Mining, have allowed us to move towards development, with the completion of a feasibility study expected in the first half of 2019 and a potential production start in 2021. Exploration has had a great run, and we will build on those successes this year as we target initial resource estimates for our Monster Lake, Nelligan and Eastern Borosi projects.

Over the next five years we are targeting growth in production to 1.2 to 1.3 million ounces with all-in sustaining costs below \$850 an ounce. With core assets that have proven to be significant catalysts for growth, we will keep executing and communicating our strategy to increase value for our shareholders. On behalf of our Board and executive leadership team, I thank our employees for all they are doing to make IAMGOLD a company that's even better than it is today.

* The DART rate refers to the number of days away, restricted duty or job transfer incidents that occur per 100 employees.



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S. Letwin'.

Stephen J.J. Letwin
President and Chief Executive Officer
IAMGOLD Corporation

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL POSITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") of IAMGOLD Corporation ("IAMGOLD" or the "Company"), dated February 21, 2018, should be read in conjunction with IAMGOLD's audited annual consolidated financial statements and related notes for December 31, 2017 thereto, which appear elsewhere in this report. All figures in this MD&A are in U.S. dollars and tabular dollar amounts are in millions, unless stated otherwise. Additional information on IAMGOLD can be found at www.sedar.com or www.sec.gov.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT ON FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

All information included in this MD&A, including any information as to the Company's future financial or operating performance, and other statements that express management's expectations or estimates of future performance, other than statements of historical fact, constitute forward-looking information or forward-looking statements and are based on expectations, estimates and projections as of the date of this MD&A. For example, forward-looking statements contained in this MD&A are found under, but are not limited to being included under, the headings "2017 Summary", "Outlook" and "Annual Updates", and include, without limitation, statements with respect to: the Company's guidance for production, cost of sales, total cash costs, all-in sustaining costs, depreciation expense, effective tax rate, capital expenditures, operations outlook, development and expansion projects, exploration, the future price of gold, the estimation of mineral reserves and mineral resources, the realization of mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates, the timing and amount of estimated future production, costs of production, permitting timelines, currency fluctuations, requirements for additional capital, government regulation of mining operations, environmental risks, unanticipated reclamation expenses, title disputes or claims and limitations on insurance coverage. Forward-looking statements are provided for the purpose of providing information about management's current expectations and plans relating to the future. Forward-looking statements are generally identifiable by, but are not limited to, the use of the words "may", "will", "should", "continue", "expect", "budget", "forecast", "anticipate", "estimate", "believe", "intend", "plan", "schedule", "guidance", "outlook", "potential", "seek", "targets", "strategy" or "project" or the negative of these words or other variations on these words or comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon a number of estimates and assumptions that, while considered reasonable by management, are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies. The Company cautions the reader that reliance on such forward-looking statements involve risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the actual financial results, performance or achievements of IAMGOLD to be materially different from the Company's estimated future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by those forward-looking statements, and the forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance. These risks, uncertainties and other factors include, but are not limited to, changes in the global prices for gold, copper, silver or certain other commodities (such as diesel and electricity); changes in U.S. dollar and other currency exchange rates, interest rates or gold lease rates; risks arising from holding derivative instruments; the level of liquidity and capital resources; access to capital markets, and financing; mining tax regimes; ability to successfully integrate acquired assets; legislative, political or economic developments in the jurisdictions in which the Company carries on business; operating or technical difficulties in connection with mining or development activities; laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment; employee relations; availability and increasing costs associated with mining inputs and labour; the speculative nature of exploration and development, including the risks of diminishing quantities or grades of reserves; adverse changes in the Company's credit rating; contests over title to properties, particularly title to undeveloped properties; and the risks involved in the exploration, development and mining business. With respect to development projects, IAMGOLD's ability to sustain or increase its present levels of gold production is dependent in part on the success of its projects. Risks and unknowns inherent in all projects include the inaccuracy of estimated reserves and resources, metallurgical recoveries, capital and operating costs of such projects, and the future prices for the relevant minerals. Development projects have no operating history upon which to base estimates of future cash flows. The capital expenditures and time required to develop new mines or other projects are considerable, and changes in costs or construction schedules can affect project economics. Actual costs and economic returns may differ materially from IAMGOLD's estimates or IAMGOLD could fail to obtain the governmental approvals necessary for the operation of a project; in either case, the project may not proceed, either on its original timing or at all.

For a more comprehensive discussion of the risks faced by the Company, and which may cause the actual financial results, performance or achievements of IAMGOLD to be materially different from the Company's estimated future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by forward-looking information or forward-looking statements, please refer to the Company's latest Annual Information Form ("AIF"), filed with the Canadian securities regulatory authorities at www.sedar.com, and filed under Form 40-F with the United States Securities Exchange Commission at www.sec.gov/edgar.shtml. The risks described in the AIF (filed and viewable on www.sedar.com and www.sec.gov/edgar.shtml, and available upon request from the Company) are hereby incorporated by reference into this MD&A.

The Company disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise except as required by applicable law.

INDEX

| | |
|--|----|
| About IAMGOLD | 2 |
| 2017 Highlights | 2 |
| 2017 Summary | 4 |
| Reserves and Resources | 6 |
| Outlook | 7 |
| Market Trends | 8 |
| Annual Updates | |
| Operations | 10 |
| Exploration | 16 |
| Quarterly Financial Review | 20 |
| Financial Condition | |
| Impairment Reversal | 21 |
| Liquidity and Capital Resources | 21 |
| Market Risk | 23 |
| Shareholders' Equity | 24 |
| Cash Flow | 24 |
| Disclosure Controls and Procedures and Internal Control over Financial Reporting | 25 |
| Critical Judgments, Estimates and Assumptions | 26 |
| Notes to Investors Regarding the Use of Resources | 26 |
| New Accounting Standards Issued But Not Yet Effective | 27 |
| Risks and Uncertainties | 28 |
| Non-GAAP Performance Measures | 41 |

ABOUT IAMGOLD

IAMGOLD is a mid-tier mining company with four operating gold mines on three continents. A solid base of strategic assets in North and South America and West Africa is complemented by development and exploration projects, and continued assessment of accretive acquisition opportunities. IAMGOLD is in a strong financial position with extensive management and operational expertise. IAMGOLD (www.iamgold.com) is listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange (trading symbol "IMG") and the New York Stock Exchange (trading symbol "IAG").

IAMGOLD's commitment is to Zero Harm, in every aspect of its business. IAMGOLD is one of the companies on the JSI index¹.

2017 HIGHLIGHTS

- Cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments in money market instruments, and restricted cash were \$815.8 million at December 31, 2017.
- Gross profit for 2017 was \$152.9 million, up \$50.7 million from the prior year.
- Attributable gold production inclusive of joint venture operations was 882,000 ounces for 2017, up 69,000 ounces from the prior year. Record production was achieved at Essakane.
- Gold margin² for 2017 was \$506 per ounce, up \$1 per ounce from the prior year.
- Cost of sales for 2017 was \$783 per ounce, down 1% from the prior year.
- Total cash costs² for 2017 were \$755 per ounce produced, up 2% from the prior year.
- All-in sustaining costs² for 2017 were \$1,003 per ounce sold, down 5% from the prior year.
- Net cash from operating activities for 2017 was \$295.3 million down \$15.8 million from the prior year.
- Net cash from operating activities before changes in working capital² for 2017 was \$294.0 million, up 2.5 million from the prior year.
- Net earnings attributable to equity holders for 2017 was \$501.6 million (\$1.08 per share), up \$449.0 million (\$0.95 per share) from the prior year.
- Adjusted net earnings attributable to equity holders² for 2017 was \$29.3 million (\$0.06 per share²), up \$25.4 million (\$0.05 per share²) from the prior year.

¹ Jantzi Social Index ("JSI"). The JSI is a socially screened market capitalization-weighted common stock index modeled on the S&P/TSX 60. It consists of companies which pass a set of broadly based environmental, social and governance rating criteria.

² This is a non-GAAP measure. Refer to the non-GAAP performance measures section of this MD&A. Consists of Essakane, Rosebel, Westwood and the Joint Ventures on an attributable basis. Joint Ventures include Sadiola (41%) and Yatela (40%). Yatela is in closure with nominal production.

- Total attributable proven and probable gold reserves increased by 86.0% to 14.5 million ounces from the prior year.
- Subsequent to year end, as at December 31, 2017 the Company reported total estimated attributable proven and probable reserves at Rosebel of 3.3 million ounces grading 1.0 g/t Au, a 69% increase from the end of 2016. Total attributable measured and indicated resources (inclusive of reserves) increased by 51% to 8.6 million ounces grading 0.95 g/t Au, and estimated attributable inferred resources increased by 327% to 2.6 million ounces grading 1.0 g/t Au. These estimates did not include Saramacca.
- Subsequent to year end, the Company finalized an agreement with the Government of Suriname to secure the exploration rights to the Brokolonko property, located just northwest of the Saramacca property. Brokolonko is believed to be located on the same mineralization trend as Saramacca, with the potential to yield another source of higher-grade softer rock that could further extend the life of the Rosebel mine and improve its cost profile. Significant future exploration will be required to confirm mineralization and to advance it to a resource stage.
- Subsequent to year end, the Company announced positive results from a pre-feasibility study for its wholly-owned Boto Gold Project in Senegal. As at December 31, 2017, Boto was estimated to have probable reserves totaling 26.8 million tonnes grading 1.64 g/t Au for 1.4 million ounces. Indicated resources (including reserves) increased by 23% to 37.4 million tonnes grading 1.60g/t Au for 1.9 million ounces from the end of 2016 and inferred resources increased by 375% to 11.0 million tonnes grading 1.66 g/ Au for 594,000 ounces from the end of 2016. Pre-feasibility highlights included a 13.5-year mine life with average annual production of 95,000 ounces, and life-of-mine all-in sustaining costs of \$829 per ounce sold. A feasibility study has been initiated and is expected to be completed in the second half of 2018.
- Subsequent to year end, the Company reported high-grade drilling results from its 2017 drilling program for the Diakha deposit at the Siribaya Project in Mali. Highlights from infill drilling included 18.0 metres grading 11.06 g/t Au, including 6.0 metres grading 32.45 g/t Au, and from expansion drilling 16.0 metres grading 7.65 g/t Au, including 4.0 metres grading 28.94 g/t Au.
- On December 14, 2017, the Company amended its credit facility. The amendments included extending the maturity to March 31, 2022, improved pricing, the addition of an option to increase financing under the credit facility by \$100 million, the ability to enter into a \$100 million bi-lateral letters of credit facility and the elimination of the Minimum Liquidity financial covenant.
- On September 5, 2017, the Company reported an initial resource estimate, on a 100% basis, for the Saramacca deposit near the Rosebel mine, comprising 14.4 million tonnes of indicated resources averaging 2.20 g/t Au for 1.0 million ounces and 13.6 million tonnes of inferred resources averaging 1.18 g/t Au for 518,000 ounces. Approximately 60% of the resources are contained in softer rock with the deposit remaining open along strike in both directions and at depth. A preliminary reserve estimate is expected in the second half of 2018. Subsequent drilling results identified additional high grade intersections from infill and expansion drilling. Highlights included; 3.47 g/t Au over 39.0 metres; 4.50 g/t Au over 34.5 metres and 67.39 g/t Au over 6.0 metres. These and the remaining drilling results will be incorporated into an updated resource model in 2018.
- On June 20, 2017, the Company completed the sale of a 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project to Sumitomo Metal Mining Co., Ltd. ("SMM") for aggregate consideration of \$195 million. The sale resulted in the reversal of a previously recorded impairment charge of \$400 million and a gain of \$19.2 million.
- On June 5, 2017, the Company announced positive results from a pre-feasibility study ("PFS") for the Côté Gold Project. Highlights included attributable proven and probable reserves of 3.8 million ounces, a mine life of 17 years with an average annual attributable production of 207,000 ounces, life-of-mine cash costs and all-in sustaining costs of \$605 and \$689 per ounce, respectively, an after-tax Net Asset Value of \$703 million and an after-tax Internal Rate of Return of 14%. A feasibility study is ongoing and expected to be completed in the first half of 2019.
- During the first half of 2017, the Company completed an offering of \$400 million aggregate principal amount of 7% Senior Notes due in 2025 ("Notes") and used the net proceeds from the offering, together with existing cash, towards the redemption of the 6.75% Senior Notes for \$505.6 million. Concurrently, S&P Global Ratings assigned a rating of "B+" to the Notes while upgrading the Company's Corporate credit rating to "B+" from "B".
- On March 3, 2017, the Company entered into a power purchase agreement for the development of a 15 megawatt-peak solar power plant for the Company's Essakane mine in Burkina Faso. The agreement is for an initial period of up to 15 years. The solar power plant project will allow savings of approximately 6 million liters of fuel and a reduction of 18,500 tonnes of CO₂ per year, as well as the creation of approximately 40 new jobs. Construction of the new solar plant commenced in the second quarter 2017 and is expected be completed by the first quarter 2018.
- On February 28, 2017, the Company increased its ownership in Merrex Gold Inc. ("Merrex") to 100%. Merrex owns a 50% interest in the Siribaya Project in Mali. The Company now has a 100% interest in the Project.
- During the first quarter 2017, the Company issued a total of 3.4 million flow-through common shares for net proceeds of \$15.1 million.

2017 SUMMARY

FINANCIAL

- Cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments and restricted cash were \$815.8 million at December 31, 2017, up \$53.1 million from December 31, 2016. The increase was primarily due to net proceeds from the issuance of the 7% Senior Notes (\$393.6 million), cash generated from operating activities (\$295.3 million), net proceeds from the sale of a 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project (\$96.5 million), and proceeds from the issuance of flow-through shares (\$15.1 million), partially offset by the redemption of the 6.75% Senior Notes (\$505.6 million), and spending on Property, plant and equipment and Exploration and evaluation assets, including capitalized borrowing costs (\$234.5 million).
- Revenues for 2017 were \$1,094.9 million up \$107.8 million or 11% from the prior year primarily due to higher sales ounces at Westwood (\$70.6 million), Essakane (\$11.9 million) and Rosebel (\$9.6 million), combined with a higher realized gold price (\$14.5 million). Revenues for the fourth quarter 2017 were \$291.1 million, up \$38.6 million or 15% from the same prior year period primarily due to higher sales ounces at Westwood (\$21.0 million) and Essakane (\$4.8 million), and a higher realized gold price (\$19.9 million), partially offset by lower sales at Rosebel (\$7.6 million).
- Cost of sales for 2017 was \$942.0 million, up \$57.1 million or 6% from the prior year primarily due a 73,000 attributable ounce increase in sales at the Company's owner-operator sites with an almost doubling of sales at Westwood and higher sales at both Rosebel and Essakane. Operating costs increased by \$52.1 million, depreciation was higher by \$4.1 million, and royalty expense increased \$0.9 million. Cost of sales for the fourth quarter 2017 was \$250.0 million, up \$16.6 million or 7% from the same prior year period primarily due to a 15,000 attributable ounce increase in sales due to the doubling of sales at Westwood from the same prior year period. Operating costs increased by \$16.1 million, royalty expense increased by \$0.5 million, and depreciation remained unchanged.
- Depreciation expense for 2017 was \$265.4 million, up \$4.1 million or 2% from the prior year primarily due to higher amortization of capitalized stripping, and higher production, partially offset by lower depreciation at Rosebel due to an increase in reserves. Depreciation expense for the fourth quarter 2017 was \$68.2 million, and remained unchanged from the same prior year period as the increase in reserves at Rosebel was offset by higher amortization of capitalized stripping.
- Income tax expense for 2017 was \$97.6 million, up \$64.2 million from the prior year. Income tax expense for 2017 was comprised of current income tax expense of \$59.7 million (2016 - \$21.7 million) and deferred tax expense of \$37.9 million (2016 - \$11.7 million). The increase in income tax expense in 2017 was primarily due to differences in the level of taxable income in the Company's operating jurisdictions from one period to the next and changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities as a result of impairment reversals.
- Net earnings attributable to equity holders for 2017 was \$501.6 million (\$1.08 per share), up \$449.0 million (\$0.95 per share) from the prior year. The increase was due to impairment reversals (\$524.1 million) and higher gross profit (\$50.7 million), partially offset by a gain on sale of gold bullion in 2016 (\$72.9 million) and higher income taxes in 2017 (\$64.2 million). Net loss attributable to equity holders for the fourth quarter 2017 was \$17.7 million (\$0.04 per share), up \$12.4 million (\$0.03 per share) from the same prior year period. The increase was due to higher income tax expense (\$31.3 million), partially offset by higher share of net earnings from investments in associates and joint ventures (\$6.2 million), lower foreign exchange loss (\$4.7 million), higher interest and other investment income (\$3.6 million) and lower finance costs (\$2.2 million).
- Adjusted net earnings attributable to equity holders¹ for 2017 were \$29.3 million (\$0.06 per share¹), up \$25.4 million (\$0.05 per share¹) from the prior year. Adjusted net loss attributable to equity holders¹ for the fourth quarter 2017 was \$13.8 million (\$0.03 per share¹), up \$17.1 million (\$0.04 per share¹) from the adjusted net earnings of \$3.3 million (\$0.01 per share¹) in the same prior year period.
- Net cash from operating activities for 2017 was \$295.3 million, down \$15.8 million from the prior year. The decrease was due to an increase in income tax paid (\$33.7 million) and changes in the movement of non-cash working capital items (\$18.3 million), partially offset by higher earnings after non-cash adjustments (\$36.8 million). Net cash from operating activities for the fourth quarter 2017 was \$65.2 million, up \$1.4 million from the same prior year period.
- Net cash from operating activities before changes in working capital¹ for 2017 was \$294.0 million, up \$2.5 million from the prior year. Net cash from operating activities before changes in working capital¹ for the fourth quarter 2017 was \$68.2 million, up \$5.6 million from the same prior year period.

OPERATIONS

- The DART rate², representing the frequency of all types of serious injuries across the Company for 2017 was 0.52, below the Company's target of 0.56. Regrettably, the Company had a fatality of an employee at the Westwood mine during the first quarter 2017.
- Attributable gold production, inclusive of joint venture operations, was 882,000 ounces for 2017, up 69,000 ounces from the prior year. The increase was primarily due to the continued ramp-up at Westwood (60,000 ounces), higher throughput at Essakane (12,000 ounces) and Rosebel (6,000 ounces), partially offset by lower grades at Sadiola (7,000 ounces), and the closure of Yatela (2,000 ounces). Attributable gold production, inclusive of joint venture operations, was 228,000 ounces for the fourth quarter 2017, up 13,000 ounces from the same prior year period. The increase was due to the continued ramp-up at Westwood (11,000 ounces), higher throughput at Essakane (6,000 ounces), partially offset by lower grades at Rosebel (4,000 ounces).

¹ This is a non-GAAP measure. Refer to the non-GAAP performance measures section of this MD&A.

² The DART rate refers to the number of days away, restricted duty or job transfer incidents that occur per 100 employees.

- Attributable gold sales, inclusive of joint venture operations, were 871,000 ounces for 2017, up 63,000 ounces from the prior year, primarily due to higher sales at Westwood (57,000 ounces), Essakane (8,000 ounces), and Rosebel (8,000 ounces), partially offset by lower sales at the Joint Ventures (10,000 ounces). Attributable gold sales, inclusive of joint venture operations, were 230,000 ounces for the fourth quarter 2017, up 12,000 ounces from the same prior year period, primarily due to higher sales at Westwood (18,000 ounces) and Essakane (3,000 ounces), partially offset by lower sales at Rosebel (6,000 ounces) and the Joint Ventures (3,000 ounces).
- Cost of sales per ounce for 2017 was \$783, down 1% from the prior year due to higher sales ounces partially offset by lower capitalized stripping and higher energy costs. Cost of sales per ounce for the fourth quarter 2017 was \$802, up 2% from the same prior year primarily due to higher energy costs and a weaker U.S. dollar relative to the euro and the Canadian dollar, partially offset by higher sales ounces.
- Total cash costs¹ for 2017 were \$755 per ounce produced, up 2% from the prior year primarily due to lower capitalized stripping, and higher energy costs. Total cash costs¹ for the fourth quarter 2017 were \$751 per ounce produced, up 2% from the same prior year period primarily due to higher energy costs and a weaker U.S. dollar relative to the euro and the Canadian dollar.
- The normalization of Westwood's costs was discontinued in the second quarter 2017, and therefore included in total cash costs¹ for the fourth quarter and year ended 2017 was a reduction of \$nil and \$1 per ounce produced, respectively (2016 - \$44 and \$32). Also included in total cash costs¹ for the fourth quarter and year ended 2017 were realized derivative gains from hedging programs of \$7 and \$3 per ounce produced, respectively (2016 - losses of \$nil and \$1).
- All-in sustaining costs¹ per ounce sold for 2017 were \$1,003, down 5% from the prior year primarily as a result of lower sustaining capital expenditures. All-in sustaining costs¹ per ounce sold for the fourth quarter 2017 were \$1,071, up 8% from the same prior year period primarily as a result of higher sustaining capital expenditures and higher cost of sales.
- The normalization of Westwood's costs was discontinued in the second quarter 2017, and therefore included in all-in sustaining costs¹ for the fourth quarter and year ended 2017 was a reduction of \$nil and \$1 per ounce sold, respectively (2016 - \$43 and \$33). Also included in all-in sustaining costs¹ for the fourth quarter and year ended 2017 were realized derivative gains from hedging programs of \$9 and \$4 per ounce sold, respectively (2016 - losses of \$nil and \$1).

SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL AND OPERATING RESULTS

| Financial Position (\$ millions) | December 31, 2017 | | December 31, 2016 | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 664.1 | \$ | 652.0 |
| Short-term investments | \$ | 127.2 | \$ | — |
| Restricted cash | \$ | 24.5 | \$ | 110.7 |
| Total assets | \$ | 3,966.9 | \$ | 3,400.5 |
| Long-term debt | \$ | 391.6 | \$ | 485.1 |
| Available credit facility | \$ | 248.7 | \$ | 167.2 |

| Financial Results (\$ millions, except where noted) | Three months ended December 31, | | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Revenues | \$ 291.1 | \$ 252.5 | \$ 1,094.9 | \$ 987.1 |
| Cost of sales | \$ 250.0 | \$ 233.4 | \$ 942.0 | \$ 884.9 |
| Gross profit | \$ 41.1 | \$ 19.1 | \$ 152.9 | \$ 102.2 |
| Net earnings (loss) attributable to equity holders of IAMGOLD | \$ (17.7) | \$ (5.3) | \$ 501.6 | \$ 52.6 |
| Net earnings (loss) attributable to equity holders (\$/share) | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.01) | \$ 1.08 | \$ 0.13 |
| Adjusted net earnings (loss) attributable to equity holders of IAMGOLD ¹ | \$ (13.8) | \$ 3.3 | \$ 29.3 | \$ 3.9 |
| Adjusted net earnings (loss) attributable to equity holders (\$/share) ¹ | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.01 |
| Net cash from operating activities | \$ 65.2 | \$ 63.8 | \$ 295.3 | \$ 311.1 |
| Net cash from operating activities before changes in working capital ¹ | \$ 68.2 | \$ 62.6 | \$ 294.0 | \$ 291.5 |
| Key Operating Statistics | | | | |
| Gold sales – attributable (000s oz) | 230 | 218 | 871 | 808 |
| Gold production – attributable (000s oz) | 228 | 215 | 882 | 813 |
| Average realized gold price ¹ (\$/oz) | 1,277 | 1,190 | 1,261 | 1,244 |
| Cost of sales ² (\$/oz) | 802 | 784 | 783 | 794 |
| Total cash costs ¹ (\$/oz) | 751 | 740 | 755 | 739 |
| All-in sustaining costs ¹ (\$/oz) | 1,071 | 995 | 1,003 | 1,057 |
| Gold margin ¹ (\$/oz) | 526 | 450 | 506 | 505 |

¹ This is a non-GAAP measure. Refer to the non-GAAP performance measures section of this MD&A.

² Cost of sales, excluding depreciation, as disclosed in note 37 of the Company's annual consolidated financial statements is on an attributable ounce sold basis (excluding the non-controlling interests of 10% at Essakane and 5% at Rosebel) and does not include Joint Ventures which are accounted for on an equity basis.

RESERVES AND RESOURCES

At December 31, 2017, compared with the prior year, there was no change in the \$1,200 per ounce gold price assumption for estimating mineral reserves at the Company's owned and operated mines. There was also no change in the gold price assumption for estimating mineral resources at Essakane and Rosebel (\$1,500 per ounce) or at Westwood (\$1,200 per ounce).

| IAMGOLD's Share | 2017 | 2016 |
|---|--------|--------|
| Gold (000s attributable oz contained) | | |
| Total proven and probable mineral reserves | 14,514 | 7,798 |
| Total measured and indicated mineral resources ^{1,2} | 24,723 | 23,331 |
| Total inferred resources | 8,793 | 6,124 |

¹ Measured and indicated gold resources are inclusive of proven and probable reserves.

² In mining operations, measured and indicated resources that are not mineral reserves are considered uneconomic at the price used for reserves estimations, but are deemed to have a reasonable prospect of economic extraction.

Assumptions used to determine reserves and resources are as follows:

| | 2017 | 2016 |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| Weighted average gold price used for attributable: | | |
| Gold reserves (\$/oz) | 1,200 ¹ | 1,177 ² |
| Gold measured and indicated resources (\$/oz) | 1,471 ³ | 1,472 ⁴ |
| Foreign exchange rate (C\$/US\$) | 1.25 | 1.25 |

¹ Mineral reserves have been estimated at December 31, 2017 using a gold price of \$1,200 per ounce for Essakane, Rosebel, Westwood, Sadiola, Côté Gold Project and Boto Gold Project.

² Mineral reserves have been estimated at December 31, 2016 using a gold price of \$1,200 per ounce for Essakane, Rosebel and Westwood, and \$1,100 per ounce for Sadiola.

³ Mineral resources have been estimated at December 31, 2017, using a gold price of \$1,500 per ounce for the Côté Gold Project, Boto Gold Project, Saramacca Project, Siribaya Project, Pitangui Project, Essakane, and Rosebel, \$1,400 per ounce for Sadiola, and \$1,200 per ounce using a 6.0 g/t Au cut-off over a minimum width of 2 metres for Westwood.

⁴ Mineral resources have been estimated at December 31, 2016, using a gold price of \$1,500 per ounce for the Côté Gold Project, Boto Gold Project, Siribaya Project, Pitangui Project, Essakane and Rosebel, \$1,400 per ounce for Sadiola, and \$1,200 per ounce using a 6.0 g/t Au cut-off of 6.0 g/t Au over a minimum width of 2 metres for Westwood.

Total attributable proven and probable gold reserves increased by 6.7 million ounces or 86% in 2017 to 14.5 million ounces from the end of 2016. The addition of 6.7 million ounces was primarily due to conversion of resources to reserves at the Côté Gold Project and at the Boto Gold Project following positive results from pre-feasibility studies, coupled with the previously announced reserves increase at Rosebel. These increases were partially offset by depletion during the year given the Company's attributable gold production of 882,000 ounces. Significant factors that contributed to the revised reserves estimate include:

- At Rosebel, the net addition of 1.4 million ounces was the result of new resource models, positive impact of changes in the cost model, a revised mine planning approach and improved confidence in the pit slope design angles, partially offset by mine depletion (2017 attributable production of 302,000 ounces),
- Positive results from the pre-feasibility study for the Côté Gold Project (3.8 million attributable ounces),
- Positive results from the pre-feasibility study for the Boto Gold Project (1.4 million attributable ounces), and
- At Westwood, the net addition of 130,000 ounces converted from resources, after depletion.

Total attributable measured and indicated gold resources (including reserves) increased by 1.4 million ounces or 6% to 24.7 million ounces from the end of 2016. Significant factors that contributed to the revised resources estimate include:

- At Rosebel, measured and indicated resources increased by 2.9 million ounces as a result of the factors noted above,
- At the Saramacca Project, a maiden resource of 680,000 indicated ounces was declared,
- At the Boto Gold Project, indicated resources increased by 359,000 ounces and inferred resources increased by 469,000 ounces as the 2017 delineation and valuation drilling continued to expand over all resources,
- At Westwood, measured and indicated resources increased by 227,000 ounces as the 2017 delineation and valuation drilling triggered a significant conversion from inferred to measured and indicated resources, which also drove the conversion from resources to reserves,
- At the Côté Gold Project, indicated resources declined by 2.5 million ounces, primarily as a result of the sale of a 30% interest to SMM,
- At Essakane, measured and indicated resources declined by 313,000 ounces primarily due to depletion,
- At the Siribaya Project, indicated resources increased by 65,000 ounces and inferred resources increased by 546,000 ounces as a result of the acquisition of Merrex, and
- At the Pitangui Project, inferred resources increased by 140,000 ounces as a result of ore body extensions identified through step out drilling.

OUTLOOK

| IAMGOLD Full Year Attributable Guidance¹ | 2018 |
|---|------------------------|
| Essakane (000s oz) | 380 - 395 |
| Rosebel (000s oz) | 295 - 310 |
| Westwood (000s oz) | 125 - 135 |
| Total owner-operated production (000s oz) | 800 - 840 |
| Joint Ventures (000s oz) | 50 - 60 |
| Total attributable production (000s oz) | 850 - 900 |
| Cost of sales ² (\$/oz) | \$765 - \$815 |
| Total cash costs ³ - owner-operator (\$/oz) | \$750 - \$800 |
| Total cash costs ^{3,4} (\$/oz) | \$750 - \$800 |
| All-in sustaining costs ³ - owner-operator (\$/oz) | \$990 - \$1,070 |
| All-in sustaining costs ^{3,4} (\$/oz) | \$990 - \$1,070 |

¹ The outlook is based on fourth quarter 2017 assumptions with an average realized gold price of \$1,250 per ounce, Canadian \$/U.S. \$ exchange rate of 1.26, U.S. \$/€ exchange rate of 1.18 and average crude oil price of \$54 per barrel.

² Cost of sales, excluding depreciation, is on an attributable ounce sold basis (excluding the non-controlling interest of 10% at Essakane and 5% at Rosebel) and does not include Joint Ventures which are accounted for on an equity basis.

³ This is a non-GAAP measure. Refer to the non-GAAP performance measures section of this MD&A.

⁴ Consists of Essakane, Rosebel, Westwood and the Joint Ventures on an attributable basis.

GOLD PRODUCTION, COST OF SALES, TOTAL CASH COSTS AND ALL-IN SUSTAINING COSTS

The Company expects 2018 attributable gold production to be in the range of 850,000 to 900,000 ounces, with production to trend upwards in the second half. Westwood will continue to focus on underground development, with expected production of 125,000 to 135,000 ounces. At Rosebel, higher grades and improving productivity are expected to drive production higher despite the lower throughput anticipated with the proportion of hard rock approaching 60%. At Essakane, grades and recoveries are expected to increase while throughput is expected to be lower than the record throughput in 2017. The Sadiola Joint Venture is expected to produce between 50,000 and 60,000 ounces. The Company expects cost of sales on an attributable ounce sold basis to be within the range of \$765 and \$815. The Company expects total cash costs¹ and all-in sustaining costs¹ to be within the range of \$750 and \$800 per ounce produced and \$990 and \$1,070 per ounce sold, respectively, and both are expected to trend downwards in the second half of 2018. The Company is expecting to sustain performance optimization initiatives across the sites, while developing Saramacca, Essakane's Heap Leach Project, and the Côté Gold Project. The guidance also considers the impact of the Company's assumptions related to oil prices and foreign exchange as outlined in the Market Trends section.

ESSAKANE

The Company expects attributable production at Essakane in 2018 to be in the range of 380,000 to 395,000 ounces. Essakane will continue to work to optimize production, lower unit costs and increase mine and mill efficiencies at higher proportions of hard rock through optimization initiatives and improved recoveries through the addition of an oxygen plant. Furthermore, Falagountou East is expected to generate softer ore and add to the production profile. With the completion of a geometallurgical study expected in the first quarter 2018, Essakane will continue to improve recoveries, manage the graphite content in the ore, and deliver on its 2018 production and cost targets.

The Company expects to build upside potential at Essakane, and expand production and reserves through the development of a heap leach facility.

ROSEBEL

The Company expects attributable production at Rosebel in 2018 to be in the range of 295,000 to 310,000 ounces. Rosebel will continue to work to optimize mining capacity by improving drill yield and increasing the payload of the hauling fleet while reducing costs through improved pit dewatering which in turn will lower dilution and increase tire life. Mill throughput is expected to decrease relative to 2017 with the proportion of hard rock approaching 60%. The decrease in throughput is expected to be offset by grade and recovery improvements.

The Company expects to develop Saramacca which will be the catalyst in expanding the Rosebel gold district.

WESTWOOD

The Company expects production at Westwood in 2018 to be in the range of 125,000 to 135,000 ounces. Production is planned from two of the six designed mining blocks. With strategic focus on development activities in the production and expansion blocks, the Company is expected to deliver its 2018 production and cost targets while continuing to ramp up to full production by 2020. The Company plans to undertake approximately 11 kilometres of lateral development and 1 kilometre of vertical development in 2018.

¹ This is a non-GAAP measure. Refer to the non-GAAP performance measures section of this MD&A.

DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

Depreciation expense in 2018 is expected to be in the range of \$275 million to \$285 million.

INCOME TAXES

The Company expects to pay cash taxes in the range of \$40 million to \$55 million in 2018. In addition, adjustments to deferred tax assets and/or liabilities may be recorded during the year.

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES OUTLOOK

| (\$ millions) | Sustaining ¹ | Non-sustaining (Development/ Expansion) | Total ⁴ |
|---|-------------------------|---|--------------------|
| Owner-operator | | | |
| Essakane | \$ 75 | \$ 75 | \$ 150 |
| Rosebel | 45 | 85 | 130 |
| Westwood | 20 | 45 | 65 |
| | 140 | 205 | 345 |
| Corporate and development projects ² | — | 15 | 15 |
| Total owner-operator ³ | 140 | 220 | 360 |
| Joint Ventures ⁴ | — | 5 | 5 |
| Total ⁵ (±5%) | \$ 140 | \$ 225 | \$ 365 |

¹ Sustaining capital includes capitalized stripping of \$40 million for Essakane and \$5 million for Rosebel.

² Includes attributable capital expenditures for the Côté Gold Project (70%).

³ The outlook includes \$38 million of capitalized exploration and evaluation expenditures. Refer to the Exploration section of this MD&A.

⁴ Attributable capital expenditures of Sadiola (41%). Expansionary capital expenditures exclude the potential expansion of the Sadiola mine.

⁵ Capitalized borrowing costs are not included.

Capital expenditures of \$365 million ± 5% reflect a significant increase over 2017 as the Company expects to advance growth projects as outlined in the non-sustaining capital section below. Sustaining capital expenditures are expected to remain at levels similar to 2017.

Sustaining

Sustaining capital guidance of \$140 million is similar to 2017. While total capitalized stripping of \$45 million is expected to be at a level similar to 2017, an increase is expected at Essakane reflecting higher mining activity at Falagountou, with an offsetting decrease expected at Rosebel as strip ratios decrease as the ore body is reached in active phases. Higher strip ratios are expected at Rosebel in 2019.

Non-sustaining (Development / Expansion)

Non-sustaining capital guidance of \$225 million reflects \$85 million at Rosebel predominantly for initial development work at Saramacca, with a production start expected in the second half of 2019, \$75 million at Essakane which includes an initial \$30 million for the Heap Leach Project with construction expected to commence in the second half of 2018, and \$45 million at Westwood primarily for expansion/ramp-up development. The \$15 million for corporate and development projects is primarily related to the Côté Gold Project feasibility study targeted for completion in the first half of 2019. The \$5 million for Sadiola includes previous commitments related to the Sulphide Project. Capital spending estimates could be updated throughout the year as additional studies are completed.

MARKET TRENDS

GLOBAL FINANCIAL MARKET CONDITIONS

The closing market price of gold increased by approximately 13% in 2017 from the prior year. The global macroeconomic and geopolitical environments were volatile in 2017 which had an impact on commodity prices. The uncertainty in global monetary policies was one of the dominant market themes driving the price of gold from a low of \$1,146 to a high of \$1,358 in 2017. Prices were also impacted by geopolitical instability following several international conflicts and tensions. The price of gold is the main driver of the Company's profitability.

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Average market gold price (\$/oz) | \$ 1,257 | \$ 1,251 |
| Average realized gold price ¹ (\$/oz) | \$ 1,261 | \$ 1,244 |
| Closing market gold price (\$/oz) | \$ 1,291 | \$ 1,146 |

¹ This is a non-GAAP measure. Refer to the non-GAAP performance measures section of this MD&A.

CURRENCY AND OIL PRICE

The U.S. dollar is the Company's functional currency. The Company's revenues are denominated in U.S. dollars as gold is priced in U.S. dollars. The Company's main exposures are to the Canadian dollar, the euro and oil prices, which have a direct impact on the Company's Canadian and international mining activities and operations.

The Canadian economy performed relatively better-than-expected in 2017 which led to the Bank of Canada raising interest rates twice in 2017. The increase in Canadian interest rates had a positive impact on the Canadian dollar despite the U.S. Federal Reserve Bank raising its rates slightly more aggressively than the Bank of Canada. The Federal Reserve raised rates three times in 2017 for a total of 75 basis points versus 50 basis points by the Bank of Canada. The market outlook is for both the Bank of Canada and the Federal Reserve to raise interest rates again in 2018.

Economic activity in the European Union showed growth with strong momentum since the second half of 2017. The euro also gained positive traction in 2017 as a result of the easing of market uncertainty after election results in several countries. These factors contributed to a 14% appreciation of the euro against the U.S. dollar in 2017.

The Company is forecasting foreign exchange cash flows of approximately C\$300 million and €260 million in 2018. These exposures relate to operational and capital expenditures in Canada and West Africa. The Company's hedging strategy is designed to reduce the exchange rate volatility of these currencies. Refer to Financial condition - Market risk section for more information.

The price of crude oil is affected by global supply and demand. Several key factors had an impact on supply and demand in 2017, all contributing to a steady increase in the price of crude oil. OPEC and Russia had some success in managing supply by reducing oil output in 2017. On the demand side, the world economy's growth acceleration was stronger than many market participants had anticipated. According to the International Monetary Fund October 2017 World Economic Outlook report, the global economic growth forecast was 3.6% in 2017, up from growth of 3.2% in 2016. Severe weather conditions also caused crude oil prices to trade higher in 2017 compared to the prior year.

The Company expects its fuel consumption in 2018 to be the equivalent of approximately 1.2 million barrels of oil for its mining operations in West Africa and South America. The Company's hedging strategy is designed to mitigate the price volatility of oil. Refer to Financial condition - Market risk section for more information.

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Average rates | | |
| U.S.\$ / Canadian \$ | 1.2982 | 1.3246 |
| € / U.S.\$ | 1.1303 | 1.1070 |
| Closing rates | | |
| U.S.\$ / Canadian \$ | 1.2520 | 1.3426 |
| € / U.S.\$ | 1.2021 | 1.0554 |
| Average Brent price (\$/barrel) | \$ 55 | \$ 45 |
| Closing Brent price (\$/barrel) | \$ 67 | \$ 57 |
| Average WTI price (\$/barrel) | \$ 51 | \$ 43 |
| Closing WTI price (\$/barrel) | \$ 60 | \$ 54 |

SENSITIVITY IMPACT

The following table provides estimated sensitivities around certain inputs, excluding the impact of the Company's hedging program which can affect the Company's operating results, assuming expected 2018 production levels:

| | Change of | Annualized impact on Cost of Sales ¹ \$/oz | Annualized impact on Total Cash Costs ² \$/oz | Annualized impact on All-in Sustaining Costs ² \$/oz |
|-------------------------|-------------|--|---|---|
| Gold price ³ | \$100/oz | \$5/oz | \$5/oz | \$5/oz |
| Oil price | \$10/barrel | \$12/oz | \$13/oz | \$14/oz |
| U.S.\$ / Canadian \$ | \$0.10 | \$13/oz | \$12/oz | \$18/oz |
| € / U.S.\$ | \$0.10 | \$15/oz | \$15/oz | \$20/oz |

¹ Cost of sales, excluding depreciation, on an attributable ounce sold basis (excluding the non-controlling interest of 10% at Essakane and 5% at Rosebel) does not include Joint Ventures which are accounted for on an equity basis.

² This is a non-GAAP measure. Refer to the non-GAAP performance measures section of this MD&A. Total cash costs and all-in sustaining costs consist of Essakane, Rosebel, Westwood and the Joint Ventures on an attributable basis.

³ Gold price sensitivities relate to royalty cost arrangements, which are included in total cash costs and all-in sustaining costs.

ANNUAL UPDATES

OPERATIONS

The table below presents gold production attributable to the Company, cost of sales per ounce, total cash costs³ per ounce produced and all-in sustaining costs³ per ounce sold.

| Three months ended December 31, | Gold Production (000s oz) | | Cost of Sales ¹ (\$ per ounce) | | Total Cash Costs ³ (\$ per ounce produced) | | All-in Sustaining Costs ³ (\$ per ounce sold) | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|------|--|--------|--|--------|--|--------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Owner-operator | | | | | | | | |
| Essakane (90%) | 102 | 96 | \$ 792 | \$ 725 | \$ 715 | \$ 686 | \$ 990 | \$ 948 |
| Rosebel (95%) | 79 | 83 | 766 | 710 | 700 | 667 | 1,018 | 799 |
| Westwood (100%) ² | 29 | 18 | 909 | 1,452 | 928 | 880 | 1,017 | 1,281 |
| Owner-operator ⁴ | 210 | 197 | \$ 802 | \$ 784 | 739 | 695 | 1,068 | 966 |
| Joint Ventures | 18 | 18 | | | 882 | 1,231 | 1,114 | 1,265 |
| Total operations | 228 | 215 | | | \$ 751 | \$ 740 | \$ 1,071 | \$ 995 |
| Cost of sales ¹ (\$/oz) | | | \$ 802 | \$ 784 | | | | |
| Cash costs, excluding royalties | | | | | \$ 698 | \$ 686 | | |
| Royalties | | | | | 53 | 54 | | |
| Total cash costs ³ | | | | | \$ 751 | \$ 740 | | |
| All-in sustaining costs ³ | | | | | | | \$ 1,071 | \$ 995 |

| Years ended December 31, | Gold Production (000s oz) | | Cost of Sales ¹ (\$ per ounce) | | Total Cash Costs ³ (\$ per ounce produced) | | All-in Sustaining Costs ³ (\$ per ounce sold) | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|------|--|--------|--|--------|--|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Owner-operator | | | | | | | | |
| Essakane (90%) | 389 | 377 | \$ 785 | \$ 716 | \$ 738 | \$ 668 | \$ 957 | \$ 977 |
| Rosebel (95%) | 302 | 296 | 755 | 768 | 716 | 729 | 931 | 988 |
| Westwood (100%) ² | 125 | 65 | 844 | 1,324 | 824 | 894 | 972 | 1,182 |
| Owner-operator ⁴ | 816 | 738 | \$ 783 | \$ 794 | 743 | 712 | 1,001 | 1,056 |
| Joint Ventures | 66 | 75 | | | 909 | 996 | 1,023 | 1,067 |
| Total operations | 882 | 813 | | | \$ 755 | \$ 739 | \$ 1,003 | \$ 1,057 |
| Cost of sales ¹ (\$/oz) | | | \$ 783 | \$ 794 | | | | |
| Cash costs, excluding royalties | | | | | \$ 703 | \$ 683 | | |
| Royalties | | | | | 52 | 56 | | |
| Total cash costs ³ | | | | | \$ 755 | \$ 739 | | |
| All-in sustaining costs ³ | | | | | | | \$ 1,003 | \$ 1,057 |

¹ Cost of sales, excluding depreciation, as disclosed in note 37 of the Company's annual consolidated financial statements is on an attributable ounce sold basis (excluding the non-controlling interests of 10% at Essakane and 5% at Rosebel) and does not include Joint Ventures which are accounted for on an equity basis.

² Cost of sales per ounce for Westwood does not include the impact of normalization of costs for the fourth quarter and year ended 2017 of \$nil and \$6 per ounce (2016 - \$518 and \$385), respectively.

³ This is a non-GAAP measure. Refer to the non-GAAP performance measures section of this MD&A. Consists of Essakane, Rosebel, Westwood and the Joint Ventures on an attributable basis.

⁴ Owner-operator cost of sales and all-in sustaining costs include corporate general and administrative costs. Refer to all-in sustaining costs reconciliation on page 46.

| | Attributable Gold Sales ¹ (000s oz) | | | | Average Realized Gold Price ² (\$/oz) | | | |
|----------------|---|------|-----------------------------|------|---|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | Three months ended December 31, | | Years ended December 31, | | Three months ended December 31, | | Years ended December 31, | |
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Owner-operator | 212 | 197 | 806 | 733 | \$ 1,277 | \$ 1,187 | \$ 1,261 | \$ 1,244 |
| Joint Ventures | 18 | 21 | 65 | 75 | 1,276 | 1,217 | 1,259 | 1,244 |
| | 230 | 218 | 871 | 808 | \$ 1,277 | \$ 1,190 | \$ 1,261 | \$ 1,244 |

¹ Includes Essakane and Rosebel at 90% and 95%, respectively.

² This is a non-GAAP measure. Refer to the non-GAAP performance measures section of this MD&A.

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES¹

| (\$ millions) | Three months ended December 31, | | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Sustaining | | | | |
| Essakane ² | \$ 20.9 | \$ 23.3 | \$ 68.3 | \$ 105.5 |
| Rosebel ² | 19.1 | 6.6 | 51.2 | 64.9 |
| Westwood | 4.0 | 7.0 | 17.5 | 21.4 |
| Total gold segments | 44.0 | 36.9 | 137.0 | 191.8 |
| Corporate and other | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
| Total capital expenditures | 45.0 | 37.0 | 138.4 | 192.0 |
| Joint Ventures ³ | 2.0 | 1.3 | 4.3 | 3.1 |
| | \$ 47.0 | \$ 38.3 | \$ 142.7 | \$ 195.1 |
| Non-sustaining (Development/Expansion) | | | | |
| Essakane | \$ 9.0 | \$ 0.5 | \$ 14.1 | \$ 0.7 |
| Rosebel | 3.6 | 3.9 | 8.2 | 13.4 |
| Westwood | 9.5 | 9.7 | 43.6 | 64.4 |
| Total gold segments | 22.1 | 14.1 | 65.9 | 78.5 |
| Corporate and other | 0.9 | — | 0.9 | 1.5 |
| Côte Gold | 4.0 | 0.8 | 5.3 | 2.0 |
| Total capital expenditures | 27.0 | 14.9 | 72.1 | 82.0 |
| Joint Ventures ³ | 2.2 | 0.9 | 5.7 | 2.5 |
| | \$ 29.2 | \$ 15.8 | \$ 77.8 | \$ 84.5 |
| Total | | | | |
| Essakane | \$ 29.9 | \$ 23.8 | \$ 82.4 | \$ 106.2 |
| Rosebel | 22.7 | 10.5 | 59.4 | 78.3 |
| Westwood | 13.5 | 16.7 | 61.1 | 85.8 |
| Total gold segments | 66.1 | 51.0 | 202.9 | 270.3 |
| Corporate and other | 1.9 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 1.7 |
| Côte Gold | 4.0 | 0.8 | 5.3 | 2.0 |
| Total capital expenditures | 72.0 | 51.9 | 210.5 | 274.0 |
| Joint Ventures ³ | 4.2 | 2.2 | 10.0 | 5.6 |
| | \$ 76.2 | \$ 54.1 | \$ 220.5 | \$ 279.6 |
| Capitalized Stripping (Included in Sustaining) | | | | |
| Essakane | \$ 10.1 | \$ 12.5 | \$ 31.0 | \$ 47.5 |
| Rosebel | 3.0 | 1.2 | 15.8 | 14.7 |
| Total gold segments | \$ 13.1 | \$ 13.7 | \$ 46.8 | \$ 62.2 |

¹ Capital expenditures include cash expenditures for Property, plant and equipment, Exploration and evaluation assets and finance lease payments.

² On an attributable basis, Essakane (90%) and Rosebel (95%) sustaining capital expenditures for the fourth quarter 2017 were \$18.8 million and \$18.1 million, respectively (2016 - \$21.0 million and \$6.3 million), and for the year ended 2017 were \$61.5 million and \$48.6 million, respectively (2016 - \$95.0 million and \$61.7 million).

³ Attributable capital expenditures of Sadiola (41%).

Burkina Faso – Essakane Mine (IAMGOLD interest – 90%)
Summarized Results 100% Basis, unless otherwise stated

| | Three months ended December 31, | | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Mine operating statistics | | | | |
| Ore mined (000s t) | 3,490 | 2,090 | 11,811 | 10,921 |
| Waste mined (000s t) | 8,709 | 9,338 | 35,697 | 35,983 |
| Total material mined (000s t) | 12,199 | 11,428 | 47,508 | 46,904 |
| Strip ratio ¹ | 2.5 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 3.3 |
| Ore milled (000s t) | 3,572 | 3,354 | 13,891 | 12,006 |
| Head grade (g/t) | 1.06 | 1.16 | 1.07 | 1.22 |
| Recovery (%) | 92 | 86 | 90 | 89 |
| Gold production - (000s oz) | 113 | 106 | 432 | 419 |
| Attributable gold production - 90% (000s oz) | 102 | 96 | 389 | 377 |
| Gold sales - (000s oz) | 113 | 110 | 433 | 424 |
| Performance measures | | | | |
| Average realized gold price ² (\$/oz) | \$ 1,277 | \$ 1,181 | \$ 1,261 | \$ 1,246 |
| Cost of sales (\$/oz) ³ | \$ 792 | \$ 725 | \$ 785 | \$ 716 |
| Cash costs ² excluding royalties (\$/oz) | \$ 665 | \$ 637 | \$ 686 | \$ 615 |
| Royalties (\$/oz) | \$ 50 | \$ 49 | \$ 52 | \$ 53 |
| Total cash costs ² (\$/oz) | \$ 715 | \$ 686 | \$ 738 | \$ 668 |
| All-in sustaining costs ² (\$/oz) | \$ 990 | \$ 948 | \$ 957 | \$ 977 |

¹ Strip ratio is calculated as waste mined divided by ore mined.

² This is a non-GAAP measure. Refer to the non-GAAP performance measures section of this MD&A.

³ Cost of sales, excluding depreciation, as disclosed in note 37 of the Company's annual consolidated financial statements is on an attributable ounce sold basis (excludes the 10% non-controlling interest).

Essakane delivered record gold production in 2017 benefitting from an above-nameplate capacity mill throughput of 13.9 million tonnes at 86% hard rock. The site's strong mill performance, potential opportunities in its large and highly prospective land package, together with upcoming opportunities such as the Heap Leach Project provide significant upside to its current life of mine plan. A pre-feasibility study on the Heap Leach Project is underway and expected to be completed by the second quarter 2018. A heap leach facility could provide a low-cost method for processing marginal and low grade mineralization as well as some existing stockpiles, which together with the carbon-in-leach ("CIL") plant would provide significant upside potential to the site's annual production. Additionally, a combined heap leach / CIL operation provides a strong opportunity to justify additional pit pushbacks to extend the life of the operation.

Attributable gold production for the fourth quarter and year ended 2017 was higher by 6% and 3%, respectively, compared to the same prior year periods due to higher throughput and recovery, partially offset by lower grades. Mill throughput for the fourth quarter 2017 was higher than the same prior year period despite 85% hard rock content (2016 - 65%) as a result of the updated SAG mill liner design and improved operating and maintenance practices which increased mill capacity, speed, and circuit availability.

Due to mine sequencing, grades were lower during the fourth quarter 2017 compared to the same prior year period. Mining activities increased at Essakane as a result of ongoing initiatives to increase equipment availability and productivity, including the addition of two production drills and a loader. Mill recoveries continue to improve as mining continues in non-graphitic zones. As part of the geometallurgical study, grinding circuit optimization and ore characterization are underway. This study is aimed to help better identify pockets of graphitic material in the ore zones and is now expected to be completed by the end of the first quarter 2018. In addition, construction of the oxygen plant commenced during the fourth quarter 2017. The oxygen plant is expected to increase recoveries through improved leach kinetics and to improve the efficiency of the circuit by reducing reagent consumption once commissioned at the end of 2018. The construction of the solar power plant, which commenced during the second quarter 2017, continues and is expected to be completed by the end of the first quarter 2018.

Cost of sales per ounce for the fourth quarter and year ended 2017 was higher by 9% and 10%, respectively, compared to the same prior year periods primarily as a result of lower capitalized stripping due to mine sequencing, higher energy costs, higher processing costs due to greater throughput and harder rock content, and a weaker U.S. dollar relative to the euro.

Total cash costs per ounce produced for the fourth quarter and year ended 2017 were higher by 4% and 10%, respectively, compared to the same prior year periods primarily as a result of lower capitalized stripping due to mine sequencing, higher energy costs, higher processing costs due to greater throughput and higher hard rock content, and a weaker U.S. dollar relative to the

euro. Included in total cash costs for the fourth quarter and year ended 2017 was the positive impact of realized derivative gains from hedging programs of \$12 and \$5 per ounce produced, respectively (2016 - losses of \$1 for both periods).

All-in sustaining costs per ounce sold for 2017 were lower by 2% compared to the prior year primarily due to lower sustaining capital expenditures and higher sales ounces, partially offset by higher cost of sales. Included in all-in sustaining costs for the fourth quarter and year ended 2017 was the positive impact of realized derivative gains from hedging programs of \$14 and \$6 per ounce sold, respectively (2016 - losses of \$1 and \$nil). All-in sustaining costs per ounce sold for the fourth quarter 2017 were higher by 4% compared to the same prior year period primarily due to higher cost of sales, partially offset by higher sales ounces and lower sustaining capital expenditures.

Sustaining capital expenditures for 2017 of \$68.3 million included capitalized stripping of \$31.0 million, capital spares of \$18.6 million, tailings management of \$6.5 million, resource development of \$3.1 million, mobile equipment of \$2.6 million, power generator overhaul of \$2.3 million, security equipment of \$2.0 million, and various other sustaining capital expenditures of \$2.2 million. Non-sustaining capital expenditures for 2017 of \$14.1 million included tailings liners of \$8.2 million, heap leach study of \$3.8 million, oxygen plant construction of \$1.2 million, and other non-sustaining capital expenditures of \$0.9 million.

Sustaining capital expenditures for the fourth quarter 2017 of \$20.9 million included capitalized stripping of \$10.1 million, capital spares of \$6.3 million, power generator overhaul of \$1.5 million, mobile equipment of \$1.0 million, and various other sustaining capital expenditures of \$2.0 million. Non-sustaining capital expenditures for the fourth quarter 2017 of \$9.0 million included tailings liners of \$6.2 million, heap leach study of \$2.3 million, and other non-sustaining capital expenditures of \$0.5 million.

Outlook

Essakane's attributable production in 2018 is expected to be between 380,000 and 395,000 ounces. Capital expenditures are expected to be approximately \$150 million, comprised of \$75 million of sustaining and \$75 million of non-sustaining capital. Sustaining capital of \$75 million includes capitalized stripping (\$40 million), capital spares (\$16 million), mobile equipment (\$7 million), resource development (\$4 million), and other sustaining capital (\$8 million). Non-sustaining capital of \$75 million includes tailings liners (\$30 million), completion of the heap leach study and commencement of construction of the heap leach facility (\$30 million), oxygen plant construction (\$4 million) and other non-sustaining capital (\$11 million).

Suriname – Rosebel Mine (IAMGOLD interest – 95%)

Summarized Results 100% Basis, unless otherwise stated

| | Three months ended December 31, | | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Mine operating statistics | | | | |
| Ore mined (000s t) | 3,783 | 4,474 | 15,028 | 14,735 |
| Waste mined (000s t) | 11,633 | 12,887 | 47,802 | 49,394 |
| Total material mined (000s t) | 15,416 | 17,361 | 62,830 | 64,129 |
| Strip ratio ¹ | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| Ore milled (000s t) | 3,249 | 3,281 | 12,832 | 12,604 |
| Head grade (g/t) | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.83 | 0.82 |
| Recovery (%) | 92 | 92 | 93 | 94 |
| Gold production - (000s oz) | 83 | 88 | 318 | 312 |
| Attributable gold production - 95% (000s oz) | 79 | 83 | 302 | 296 |
| Gold sales - (000s oz) | 78 | 84 | 306 | 298 |
| Performance measures | | | | |
| Average realized gold price ² (\$/oz) | \$ 1,277 | \$ 1,191 | \$ 1,260 | \$ 1,239 |
| Cost of sales (\$/oz) ³ | \$ 766 | \$ 710 | \$ 755 | \$ 768 |
| Cash costs ² excluding royalties (\$/oz) | \$ 631 | \$ 602 | \$ 647 | \$ 661 |
| Royalties (\$/oz) | \$ 69 | \$ 65 | \$ 69 | \$ 68 |
| Total cash costs ² (\$/oz) | \$ 700 | \$ 667 | \$ 716 | \$ 729 |
| All-in sustaining costs ² (\$/oz) | \$ 1,018 | \$ 799 | \$ 931 | \$ 988 |

¹ Strip ratio is calculated as waste mined divided by ore mined.

² This is a non-GAAP measure. Refer to the non-GAAP performance measures section of this MD&A.

³ Cost of sales, excluding depreciation, as disclosed in note 37 of the Company's annual consolidated financial statements is on an attributable ounce sold basis (excludes the 5% non-controlling interest).

Rosebel's continued cost containment, significant reserve expansion, and the opportunities presented by the 1.5 million ounce Saramacca resource allow for continued and improved profitability and an increase in the mine life.

Attributable gold production for the fourth quarter 2017 was 5% lower compared to the same prior year period primarily due to lower grades. Attributable gold production for 2017 was 2% higher compared to the prior year due to higher throughput and grades, partially offset by lower recovery. Despite 49% hard rock content in the fourth quarter 2017 (2016 - 26%), the mill continued to realize benefits from major improvements completed in 2016. Further improvements to maximize SAG mill performance and reduce power consumption are on-going.

Cost of sales per ounce for the fourth quarter 2017 was 8% higher compared to the same prior year period primarily due to higher energy costs combined with lower sales ounces. Cost of sales per ounce for 2017 was 2% lower compared to the prior year primarily due to higher sales ounces, partially offset by higher energy costs.

Total cash costs per ounce produced for the fourth quarter 2017 were 5% higher compared to the same prior year period primarily due to higher energy costs combined with lower production ounces. Total cash costs per ounce produced for 2017 were 2% lower compared to the prior year primarily due to higher production ounces, partially offset by higher energy costs.

All-in sustaining costs per ounce sold for the fourth quarter 2017 were 27% higher compared to the same prior year period primarily due to higher sustaining capital expenditures. All-in sustaining costs per ounce sold for 2017 were 6% lower compared to the prior year primarily due to lower sustaining capital expenditures.

Sustaining capital expenditures for 2017 of \$51.2 million included capital spares of \$20.3 million, capitalized stripping of \$15.8 million, pit infrastructure of \$3.8 million, resource development of \$3.7 million, mobile equipment of \$2.3 million, mill equipment of \$2.0 million, and various other sustaining capital expenditures of \$3.3 million. Non-sustaining capital expenditures for 2017 of \$8.2 million primarily related to the exploration of the Saramacca deposit.

Sustaining capital expenditures for the fourth quarter 2017 of \$19.1 million included capital spares of \$11.3 million, capitalized stripping of \$3.0 million, mill equipment of \$1.2 million, resource development of \$1.1 million, pit infrastructure of \$1.0 million, and various other sustaining capital expenditures of \$1.5 million. Non-sustaining capital expenditures for the fourth quarter 2017 of \$3.6 million related to the exploration of the Saramacca deposit.

Outlook

Rosebel's attributable production in 2018 is expected to be between 295,000 and 310,000 ounces. Capital expenditures are expected to be approximately \$130 million, comprised of \$45 million of sustaining and \$85 million of non-sustaining capital. Sustaining capital of \$45 million includes capital spares (\$15 million), mill equipment (\$7 million), mobile equipment (\$6 million), capitalized stripping (\$5 million), tailings management (\$4 million), and other sustaining capital (\$8 million). Non-sustaining capital of \$85 million is primarily for development work at Saramacca in 2018.

Canada – Westwood Mine (IAMGOLD interest – 100%)

Summarized Results

| | Three months ended December 31, | | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Mine operating statistics | | | | |
| Ore mined (000s t) | 110 | 52 | 518 | 285 |
| Ore milled (000s t) | 139 | 89 | 624 | 347 |
| Head grade (g/t) | 6.96 | 6.20 | 6.61 | 6.14 |
| Recovery (%) | 95 | 95 | 94 | 94 |
| Gold production - (000s oz) | 29 | 18 | 125 | 65 |
| Gold sales - (000s oz) | 36 | 18 | 125 | 68 |
| Performance measures | | | | |
| Average realized gold price ¹ (\$/oz) | \$ 1,276 | \$ 1,210 | \$ 1,262 | \$ 1,247 |
| Cost of sales (\$/oz) ^{2,3} | \$ 909 | \$ 1,452 | \$ 844 | \$ 1,324 |
| Total cash costs ¹ (\$/oz) | \$ 928 | \$ 880 | \$ 824 | \$ 894 |
| All-in sustaining costs ¹ (\$/oz) | \$ 1,017 | \$ 1,281 | \$ 972 | \$ 1,182 |

¹ This is a non-GAAP measure. Refer to the non-GAAP performance measures section of this MD&A.

² Does not include the impact of normalization of costs for the fourth quarter and year ended 2017 of \$nil and \$6 per ounce (2016 - \$518 and \$385), respectively.

³ Cost of sales, excluding depreciation, as disclosed in note 37 of the Company's annual consolidated financial statements is on an ounce sold basis.

Gold production for the fourth quarter and year ended 2017 was 61% and 92% higher, respectively, compared to the same prior year periods primarily due to the continued ramp-up resulting in increased tonnes mined and higher throughput. While head grades to the mill for the quarter and the year were higher than the same prior year periods, they were lower than the grades mined due to the processing of marginal ore stockpiles to use available mill capacity as the mine continued to ramp-up. Head grade excluding marginal ore for the fourth quarter and year ended 2017 was 8.01 g/t and 7.8 g/t, respectively (2016 - 8.51 g/t and 7.2 g/t).

Underground development continued in the fourth quarter 2017 to open up access to new mining areas with lateral and vertical development of approximately 2,800 and 400 metres, respectively, averaging 35 metres per day. Westwood completed 18.1 kilometres of development during 2017, including lateral and vertical development of 15.8 and 2.3 kilometres, respectively, with a focus on ramp breakthroughs and infrastructure development in future development blocks at lower levels.

Cost of sales per ounce for the fourth quarter and year ended 2017 were 37% and 36% lower, respectively, compared to the same prior year periods primarily due to higher sales volume resulting from the continued ramp-up.

Total cash costs per ounce produced for the fourth quarter 2017 were 5% higher compared to the same prior year period due to the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar. Total cash costs for 2017 were 8% lower compared to the prior year due to higher production resulting from the continued ramp-up. All-in sustaining costs per ounce sold for the fourth quarter and year ended 2017 were 21% and 18% lower, respectively, compared to the same prior year periods primarily due to lower cost of sales per ounce and lower sustaining capital expenditures.

Westwood had been normalizing costs attributed to inventory in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards since the seismic event in May 2015. Normalization of these costs ended at the onset of the second quarter 2017 when Westwood reached normal production levels. The Company normalized costs for the fourth quarter and year ended 2017 by \$nil and \$0.7 million, respectively (2016 - \$9.4 million and \$26.4 million). The Company reduced total cash costs and all-in sustaining costs for the fourth quarter 2017 by \$nil per ounce produced and sold, respectively (2016 - \$551 and \$518), and for the year ended 2017 by \$6 per ounce produced and sold (2016 - \$409 and \$385).

Sustaining capital expenditures for 2017 of \$17.5 million included underground development of \$11.6 million, underground construction of \$3.1 million, underground equipment of \$1.9 million, and other sustaining capital expenditures of \$0.9 million. Non-sustaining capital expenditures for 2017 of \$43.6 million included expansion/ramp-up development of \$37.6 million, underground mobile equipment of \$2.3 million, exploration drilling of \$3.3 million, and other non-sustaining capital expenditures of \$0.4 million.

Sustaining capital expenditures for the fourth quarter 2017 of \$4.0 million included underground development of \$1.7 million, underground equipment of \$1.1 million, underground construction of \$0.9 million, and other sustaining capital expenditures of \$0.3 million. Non-sustaining capital expenditures for the fourth quarter 2017 of \$9.5 million included expansion/ramp-up development of \$6.4 million, underground mobile equipment of \$1.7 million, exploration drilling of \$1.0 million, and other non-sustaining capital expenditures of \$0.4 million.

Outlook

Westwood's production is expected to be between 125,000 and 135,000 ounces in 2018 as a result of the continued ramp up to full production. Capital expenditures are expected to be approximately \$65 million, consisting of \$20 million in sustaining and \$45 million in non-sustaining capital. Sustaining capital of \$20 million includes capitalized development (\$14 million), underground construction (\$3 million) and electrical and pumping equipment (\$3 million). Non-sustaining capital of \$45 million includes expansion/ramp-up development (\$35 million), mobile equipment (\$5 million), resource drilling (\$4 million), and other non-sustaining capital (\$1 million).

Mali – Sadiola Mine (IAMGOLD interest – 41%)

Summarized Results 41% Basis

| | Three months ended December 31, | | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Mine operating statistics | | | | |
| Total material mined (000s t) | 1,175 | 1,633 | 5,394 | 5,238 |
| Ore milled (000s t) | 529 | 559 | 2,062 | 2,012 |
| Head grade (g/t) | 1.10 | 1.01 | 0.98 | 1.15 |
| Recovery (%) | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| Attributable gold production - (000s oz) | 18 | 16 | 63 | 70 |
| Attributable gold sales - (000s oz) | 17 | 19 | 62 | 70 |
| Performance measures | | | | |
| Average realized gold price ¹ (\$/oz) | \$ 1,276 | \$ 1,216 | \$ 1,260 | \$ 1,244 |
| Total cash costs ¹ (\$/oz) | \$ 880 | \$ 1,166 | \$ 903 | \$ 970 |
| All-in sustaining costs ¹ (\$/oz) | \$ 1,118 | \$ 1,297 | \$ 1,014 | \$ 1,042 |

¹ This is a non-GAAP measure. Refer to the non-GAAP performance measures section of this MD&A.

Attributable gold production for the fourth quarter 2017 was slightly higher compared to the same prior year period as a result of higher grades, partially offset by lower throughput. Attributable gold production for 2017 was 10% lower compared to the prior year as a result of lower grades, partially offset by higher throughput. Total cash costs per ounce produced and all-in sustaining costs

per ounce sold for the fourth quarter and year ended 2017 were lower compared to the same prior year periods as a result of greater drawdowns of marginal ore stockpiles.

Discussions with the Government of Mali continue regarding the Sadiola Sulphide Project. Despite the Company's efforts and the benefits the Project would generate locally and to the Government of Mali, there has been no resolution around the terms critical to moving the Project forward. Although the Company remains committed to the Project, upon failing to reach an agreement the operation will enter a restricted exploitation phase, and then at a later stage, when stockpiles are exhausted, it will enter a phase of suspended exploitation (care and maintenance).

Mali - Yatela Mine (IAMGOLD interest - 40%)

The Yatela mine had negligible production and sales for the fourth quarter 2017, compared to 2,000 ounces produced and sold in the same prior year period. The mine produced and sold 3,000 ounces during 2017 compared to 5,000 ounces in 2016. Stacking activity ceased in 2014 and closure activities continue. A limited quantity of production continues from rinsing of the leach pads.

The retrenchment plan approved by the Government of Mali commenced in the third quarter 2017 and was completed in December 2017.

EXPLORATION

The Company was active at brownfield and greenfield exploration projects in nine countries located in West Africa and the Americas.

In 2017, expenditures for exploration and project studies totaled \$68.0 million compared to \$44.0 million in the prior year, of which \$38.4 million were expensed and \$29.6 million were capitalized. The Company's accounting policy is to expense exploration costs and capitalize costs of evaluating the technical feasibility and commercial viability of extracting a mineral resource, including those on or adjacent to existing mine sites. The increase of \$24.0 million in total exploration expenditures compared to the prior year reflects increased activities related to a larger planned exploration program and project studies compared to the prior year. Drilling activities on active projects and mine sites totaled approximately 380,000 metres for the year, higher than originally forecast due to continued exploration and delineation of the Saramacca deposit in Suriname and expanded programs at the mine sites and development projects.

| (\$ millions) | Three months ended December 31, | | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Exploration projects - greenfield | \$ 7.0 | \$ 5.1 | \$ 25.4 | \$ 19.2 |
| Exploration projects - brownfield ¹ | 9.5 | 7.0 | 31.1 | 19.4 |
| | 16.5 | 12.1 | 56.5 | 38.6 |
| Feasibility and other studies | 5.3 | 1.5 | 11.5 | 5.4 |
| | \$ 21.8 | \$ 13.6 | \$ 68.0 | \$ 44.0 |

¹ Exploration projects - brownfield for 2017 and 2016 exclude expenditures related to Joint Ventures of \$1.4 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, and include near-mine exploration and resource development of \$10.6 million and \$8.7 million, respectively.

OUTLOOK

In 2018, planned program spending will total \$79.0 million, comprised of brownfield and greenfield exploration programs and ongoing project studies. Brownfield programs will continue to focus on the delineation of soft oxide resources at Saramacca, near Rosebel and at the Gossey prospect at Essakane. Drilling programs will be carried out to support the Heap Leach Project at Essakane and continue to target resource conversion at Westwood. Greenfield programs will continue to expand resources and test targets at advanced exploration projects along the Saramacca trend in Suriname, Boto Gold Project in Senegal, Siribaya Project in Mali and Pitangui Project in Brazil. Ongoing project studies totaling \$19 million will continue to advance the Côte Gold Project in Ontario, and the Boto Gold Project in Senegal.

The 2018 resource development and exploration program includes approximately 300,000 to 325,000 metres of reverse circulation and diamond drilling.

| (\$ millions) | Capitalized ² | Expensed | Total |
|--|--------------------------|----------|-------|
| Exploration projects - greenfield | \$ — | \$ 32 | \$ 32 |
| Exploration projects - brownfield ¹ | 19 | 9 | 28 |
| | 19 | 41 | 60 |
| Feasibility and other studies | 19 | — | 19 |
| | \$ 38 | \$ 41 | \$ 79 |

¹ Exploration projects - brownfield include planned near-mine exploration and resource development of \$15 million.

² The capitalized portion of the 2018 planned spending of \$38 million is included in the Company's capital spending guidance of \$365 million +/- 5%.

Côté Gold Project, Canada

The Côté Gold Project is a 70:30 joint venture between the operator IAMGOLD and Sumitomo Metal Mining Co. Ltd. ("SMM"). The Project hosts estimated mineral reserves as at December 31, 2017 on a 100% project basis comprising of probable reserves of 196.1 million tonnes grading 0.94 g/t Au for 5.9 million ounces. Also on a 100% project basis, indicated resources (inclusive of reserves) are estimated at 281.2 million tonnes grading 0.89 g/t Au for 8.0 million ounces of gold and inferred resources of 76.5 million tonnes grading 0.50 g/t Au for 1.2 million ounces (see news release dated February 12, 2018).

During the first quarter 2017, the Company received notice of approval of the Project's provincial environmental assessment from the Ontario Ministry of Environment and Climate Change. This follows a previous notification received in 2016 from the Federal Minister of Environment and Climate Change that the Project may proceed subject to the conditions listed in the assessment report and obtaining any required approvals and permits.

Joint Venture with Sumitomo Metal Mining Co., Ltd.

On June 20, 2017, the Company completed the sale of a 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project in Ontario to SMM for aggregate consideration of \$195 million, of which \$100 million was received upon the closing of the transaction. The remaining \$95 million is due upon the earlier of: (i) 18 months following the closing date (December 20, 2018), (ii) the date the Côté Gold Project feasibility study is made available to the public, and (iii) should it elect to do so and only as permitted under the Joint Venture Agreement, the date SMM sells its participating interest. Upon closing of the transaction, the Company entered into a Joint Venture Agreement with SMM, forming an unincorporated joint venture with respect to the Côté Gold Project, with the Company having 70% and SMM having 30% of the total outstanding participating interests under the Joint Venture Agreement.

Completed Pre-feasibility Study

During the second quarter 2017, the Company announced the results of a pre-feasibility study ("PFS") completed jointly by the Company, Amec Foster Wheeler and Roscoe Postle Associates Inc., with inputs from technical studies completed by other consultants (see news release dated June 5, 2017). The PFS represents a comprehensive study of the technical and economic viability of the Project that has advanced to a stage where a preferred mining method is established and an effective method of mineral processing is determined. The Company has used the PFS to identify the preferred development option, to demonstrate economic viability of the Project, to support a mineral reserve disclosure (as stated above), and to identify additional work recommended to support the completion of a feasibility study.

The PFS outlined a potentially economically viable project that at a \$1,250 per ounce gold price would generate an estimated 14.0% after-tax Internal Rate of Return. The Project would have a 17-year mine life, producing on average 207,000 attributable ounces of gold a year for the Company at average total cash costs of \$605 per ounce produced and all-in sustaining costs of \$689 per ounce sold. A technical report summarizing the PFS was filed on SEDAR.

Based on the recommendations from the PFS, the joint venture partners working with Wood Group (formerly Amec Foster Wheeler) have initiated a feasibility study which is expected to be completed in the first half of 2019. A delineation drilling program commenced with the objective to upgrade near surface inferred resources to an indicated category as well as evaluate grade variation in the starter pit. Approximately 27,000 metres of diamond drilling were completed by year end.

Subject to an acceptable feasibility study, a favourable development environment and a positive construction decision by the Côté Gold Joint Venture, commercial production is expected to begin in 2021.

Regional exploration activities continue within the 516 square kilometre property surrounding the Côté Gold deposit to develop and assess exploration targets that could further maximize the Company's flexibility with respect to any future development decisions. A total of nearly 7,200 metres of diamond drilling were completed by year end 2017 to test various priority exploration targets. The results will be validated and compiled to guide future exploration programs.

BROWNFIELD EXPLORATION PROJECTS

The Company's mine and regional exploration teams continued to conduct systematic brownfield exploration and resource development work during 2017 at the Essakane, Rosebel and Westwood operations.

Essakane, Burkina Faso

During the fourth quarter 2017, approximately 10,500 metres of reverse circulation and diamond drilling were completed on the mine lease and surrounding concessions for an overall total of just over 54,400 metres drilled for the year. On the mine lease, drilling programs focused on resource expansion in areas adjacent to and at depth below the Essakane pit, as well as to support the ongoing technical studies evaluating whether lower grade mineralization may be amenable to heap leach mineral extraction. Infill drilling was also completed to upgrade inferred mineral resources south of the main Essakane pit and at Falagountou East located 8 kilometres to the east of the Essakane operation.

On the surrounding concessions, no drilling was completed during the fourth quarter 2017. A delineation drilling program was completed in the previous quarter at the Gossey prospect, located 15 kilometres northwest of the Essakane mine site. The program was largely focused on exploring targets along the Gossey - Korizena trend for additional mineralized zones. The results of this delineation drilling program will be used to assess the resource potential and guide additional drilling.

Rosebel, Suriname

The near mine and regional exploration programs continue to focus on evaluating potential resource expansions and exploration targets in the vicinity of existing operations. This supports the ongoing strategic objective to discover and outline additional mineral resources within softer and near surface saprolite and transition rock. During 2017, approximately 94,600 metres of reverse circulation and diamond drilling were completed on the Rosebel mine lease and surrounding mineral concessions, including approximately 54,300 metres completed on the Saramacca property.

As at December 31, 2017, the Company reported total estimated attributable proven and probable reserves at Rosebel of 3.3 million ounces grading 1.0 g/t Au, a 69% increase from the end of 2016. Total attributable measured and indicated resources (inclusive of reserves) increased by 51% to 8.6 million ounces grading 0.95 g/t Au and attributable inferred resources increased by 327% to 2.6 million ounces grading 1.0 g/t Au. These estimates did not include Saramacca. (see news release dated February 12, 2018).

On September 5, 2017, the Company announced the first mineral resource estimate in accordance with the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum ("CIM") Definition Standards incorporated by reference in National Instrument 43-101 ("NI 43-101") for the Saramacca deposit located approximately 25 kilometres from its Rosebel operation in Suriname. The resource estimate, on a 100% basis, comprises 14.4 million tonnes of indicated resources averaging 2.20 g/t Au for 1.0 million ounces and 13.6 million tonnes of inferred resources averaging 1.18 g/t Au for 518,000 ounces. Approximately 60% of the resources are contained within shallow, softer laterite and saprolite hosted mineralization. A supporting NI 43-101 Technical Report was filed on SEDAR (see news release dated October 17, 2017).

The Company re-commenced drilling operations on the Saramacca property in the second half of 2017 with a further 29,775 metres of diamond and reverse circulation drilling completed by year end. This total includes approximately 9,000 metres directed towards condemnation, metallurgical sampling, geotechnical, and hydrogeological drill holes to advance specific studies. The objective of the exploration program was to: 1) increase the confidence in the current resources and convert inferred resources to indicated resources; 2) target expansions to the existing resource along known mineralized trends and at depth, and 3) evaluate identified exploration targets for the presence of additional mineralized zones. On November 16, 2017, the Company announced initial results from this drilling campaign including: 3.47 g/t Au over 39.0 metres; 4.50 g/t Au over 34.5 metres and 67.39 g/t Au over 6.0 metres. These and the remaining drilling results will be incorporated into an updated resource model in 2018.

In addition to the drilling program outlined above, the Rosebel mine team is working to advance the Saramacca deposit towards production. An Environmental and Social Impact Study (ESIA) is underway as the fundamental element in the permitting process. Preliminary engineering work has been undertaken on mine design, and infrastructure elements such as ore transport options, access roads, and waste rock disposal. In addition to the exploration work planned for the next phase, field work will commence soon on geotechnical investigation and condemnation work. Additional comprehensive metallurgical testing will also be undertaken to refine the recovery assumptions, to test the crushing and grinding characteristics of the mineralization, and to investigate the metallurgical variability across the deposit. Using the new block model as a basis, new mine designs and integrated scheduling with the main Rosebel resources will commence in 2018, and will continue to be advanced as additional geologic and engineering information becomes available. It is the Company's intention to complete the permitting work and to generate a preliminary reserve estimate for Saramacca during the second half of 2018 and to work toward initial production in the second half of 2019.

Subsequent to the reporting period on January 24, 2018, the Company finalized an agreement with the Government of Suriname to secure the exploration rights to the Brokolonko property, located just northwest of the Saramacca property. Brokolonko is believed to be located on the same mineralization trend as Saramacca, with the potential to yield another source of higher-grade softer rock that could further extend the life of the mine and improve its cost profile. Significant future exploration will be required to confirm mineralization and to advance it to a resource stage. (see news release dated January 24, 2018)

Westwood, Canada

In the fourth quarter 2017, underground excavation totaled 3,269 metres of lateral and vertical development for a total of 18,228 metres for the year. In addition, approximately 26,100 metres of resource development diamond drilling and 363 metres of service holes were drilled during the quarter for a total of approximately 113,200 metres completed for the year. In support of the ongoing ramp up to full production, the diamond drilling program continued to focus on infill drilling of known zones to upgrade existing inferred mineral resources and advance resource definition in areas to be mined. A substantial diamond drilling program of over 100,000 metres of definition drilling is planned for 2018.

GREENFIELD EXPLORATION PROJECTS

In addition to the mine site and brownfield exploration programs described above, the Company conducted active exploration and drilling programs on a number of early to advanced stage greenfield exploration projects during 2017. Highlights include:

Boto, Senegal

Subsequent to the reporting period, the Company announced positive results from a pre-feasibility study ("PFS") completed jointly by the Company and Lycopodium Minerals Canada Ltd., with inputs from technical studies completed by other consultants (see news release dated February 12, 2018). The Company is using the PFS to identify the preferred development option, to demonstrate economic viability of the Project, to support a mineral reserve disclosure, and to identify additional work recommended to support the completion of a feasibility study.

Based on the results of the PFS, the Boto Gold Project hosts estimated mineral reserves as at December 31, 2017 comprised of probable reserves totaling 26.8 million tonnes grading 1.64 g/t Au for 1.4 million ounces. Also, indicated resources (inclusive of reserves) are estimated at 37.4 million tonnes grading 1.60 g/t Au for 1.9 million ounces of gold and inferred resources of 11.0 million tonnes grading 1.66 g/t Au for 594,000 (see news release dated February 12, 2018).

The PFS outlines a potentially economically viable project that at a \$1,275 per ounce gold price would generate an estimated 13.3% after-tax Internal Rate of Return. The Project would have a 13.5 year mine life, producing on average 95,000 ounces of gold a year at average direct cash costs of \$707 per ounce produced and all-in sustaining costs of \$829 per ounce sold. A technical report summarizing the PFS will be filed on SEDAR.

The PFS recommended the completion of a feasibility study to validate and detail the elements of the development concept set out in the PFS, and which would include additional drilling, metallurgical testing, engineering and environmental studies, including hydrological, hydrogeological and geotechnical analyses. The recommended feasibility study has been initiated and is expected to be completed in the second half of 2018.

In addition, exploration activities will continue on the Boto exploration concession to evaluate high priority targets for additional mineral resources.

Pitangui, Brazil

Effective December 31, 2017, reported mineral resources at the São Sebastião deposit were comprised of an inferred resource of 5.4 million tonnes grading 4.7 g/t Au for 819,000 ounces of gold (see news release dated February 12, 2018).

During 2017, approximately 9,600 metres of diamond drilling were completed to evaluate the up-plunge extension area of the São Sebastião deposit for additional resources, the results of which have been incorporated into the resource model, and to test priority exploration targets for additional zones of mineralization.

An exploration drilling program totaling approximately 17,000 metres is planned in 2018 to continue to test remaining exploration targets on the property.

Siribaya, Mali

Effective December 31, 2017, total resources estimated for the Siribaya Project include indicated resources of 2.1 million tonnes grading 1.9 g/t Au for 129,000 ounces of gold, and inferred resources of 19.8 million tonnes grading 1.7 g/t Au for 1.1 million ounces (see news release dated February 12, 2018).

On February 28, 2017, the Company acquired, in an all share transaction, all of the issued and outstanding common shares and all of the outstanding common share purchase warrants and options that it did not already own of Merrex. Merrex is a Canadian junior exploration company that owns a 50% interest in the Siribaya Project in Mali. Including the 50% previous ownership interest, the Company now has a 100% interest in the Siribaya Project.

During 2017, approximately 19,500 metres of diamond and reverse circulation drilling were completed. The drilling program was designed to confirm the geometry of the known mineralized zones at the Diakha deposit, and also to extend the gold mineralization north and south along strike where previous exploration has returned encouraging results.

Subsequent to the reporting period, the Company announced drilling results for the 2017 drilling program which delineated high-grade structures within the known resources and confirmed extensions of the mineralization. Highlights included 6.79 g/t Au over 26.0 metres, including 20.52 g/t Au over 8.0 metres; 11.06 g/t Au over 18.0 metres, including 32.45 g/t Au over 6.0 metres; 7.65 g/t Au over 16.0 metres, including 28.94 g/t Au over 4.0 metres; and, 2.01 g/t Au over 50.0 metres, including 9.7 g/t Au over 4.0 metres (see news release dated January 31, 2018).

A drilling program totaling approximately 15,000 metres is planned in 2018 to continue to test for resource expansions at the Diakha deposit as well as test other identified exploration targets. The drilling results, along with those from the 2017 program, will be incorporated into the deposit model and used to update the mineral resources in 2018.

Monster Lake Joint Venture, Canada

The Monster Lake Project, located 50 kilometres southwest of Chibougamau, Quebec, is held under an earn-in option to joint venture agreement with TomaGold Corporation. The Company holds an undivided 50% interest in the property, and holds an option to earn a further 25% undivided interest, for a total 75% undivided interest in the Project, should it spend a total of C\$10.0 million on the Project within a seven year period, beginning January 1, 2015.

On August 14, 2017, the Company subscribed for 27.7 million common shares of TomaGold from treasury representing 19.98% of the outstanding common shares of TomaGold. The common shares were purchased at a price of C\$0.09 per common share, for an aggregate purchase price of C\$2.5 million. Prior to the acquisition, the Company did not hold any common shares of TomaGold.

During 2017, approximately 12,500 metres of diamond drilling were completed to continue to evaluate the resource potential of the Megane and Lower zones. Assay results reported during the year included the following highlights: 5.21 g/t Au over 4.4 metres, 121.67 g/t Au over 3.1 metres, 85.27 g/t Au over 1.8 metres, 67.42 g/t Au over 3.5 metres, 80.28 g/t Au over 5.0 metres and 39.48 g/t Au over 1.6 metres (see news releases dated May 11 and July 6, 2017). The results will be used to guide future drilling and will be incorporated into a deposit model to support the completion of an initial mineral resource estimate currently in progress.

Nelligan Joint Venture, Canada

The Nelligan Project is held under an earn-in option to joint venture agreement with Vanstar Mining Resources Inc. ("Vanstar"), signed on November 12, 2014, whereby the Company may earn up to an initial 50% interest in the property by completing staged cash payments totaling C\$0.6 million, and the completion of C\$4.0 million in exploration expenditures over a period of four and a half years. The Company can elect to earn an additional 25% to 30% undivided interest by completing pre-feasibility and feasibility studies and making additional cash payments totaling C\$0.5 million.

In the first half of the year, the Company completed approximately 7,700 metres of diamond drilling program largely exploring a newly discovered alteration system hosting gold mineralization located to the north of the Liam zone. Assay results reported through the year included the following highlights: 29.9 metres grading 1.29 g/t Au, 24.0 metres grading 1.16 g/t Au, 8.0 metres grading 3.23 g/t Au, 11.3 metres grading 2.78 g/t Au, 34.3 metres grading 2.01 g/t Au, including 7.66 g/t Au over 4.4 metres, and 11.6 metres grading 2.38 g/t Au (see Vanstar news releases dated June 1 and September 5, 2017).

In 2018, a diamond drilling program totaling approximately 12,000 metres is planned to evaluate the resource potential of this newly discovered mineralized system.

Eastern Borosi Joint Venture, Nicaragua

The 176 square kilometre Eastern Borosi Project is located in the Golden Triangle of Northeast Nicaragua and is held under an earn-in option to joint venture agreement with Calibre Mining Corporation ("Calibre"). During the second quarter 2017, the Company completed the requirements to earn the right to an initial 51% interest in the Project and exercised its right to enter the second option to earn up to a 70% interest in the Project by completing additional exploration expenditures totaling \$4.5 million and making \$0.5 million in payments to Calibre by May 26, 2020.

During 2017, approximately 9,800 metres of diamond drilling were completed to evaluate the resource potential of the Guapinol, Riscos de Oro, East Dome and Cadillac veins. Reported assay results included the following highlights: 8.0 metres grading 1.57 g/t Au and 38.3 g/t Ag, 1.8 metres grading 5.69 g/t Au and 71.1 g/t Ag, 4.1 metres grading 0.38 g/t Au and 328.3 g/t Ag, 6.0 metres grading 2.74 g/t Au and 42.5 g/t Ag from the East Dome vein (see Calibre news releases dated June 2 and August 17, 2017).

An updated NI 43-101 resource estimate is currently in progress which will incorporate an additional 26,000 metres of drilling completed over the last four years.

OTHER

Loma Larga (formerly Quimsacocha), Ecuador

The Company, through its 35.6% equity ownership interest in INV Metals Inc. ("INV Metals"), has an indirect interest in the Loma Larga gold, silver and copper project in southern Ecuador. INV Metals has completed a preliminary feasibility study supporting the proposed development of an underground mine with an anticipated production rate of 3,000 tonnes per day, average annual gold production of 150,000 ounces, and a mine life of approximately 12 years (see INV Metals' news release dated July 14, 2016).

During the second quarter 2017, INV Metals announced that it concluded the terms of an agreement with the Ministry of Mines in Ecuador concerning its Exploitation Contract relating to the future development of the Loma Larga Project (see INV Metals' news release dated May 29, 2017), and commenced a feasibility study that is expected to take 18 months to complete (see INV Metals' news release dated June 22, 2017).

During the third quarter 2017, in addition to technical studies to support an ongoing feasibility study, INV Metals announced that it had completed a thirteen hole, 4,500 metre exploration drilling program targeting a potential west extension of the Loma Larga deposit. Encouraging assay results were received and include: 51.3 metres grading 3.22 g/t Au and 48.7 g/t Ag, and 53.0 metres grading 1.59 g/t Au and 25.5 g/t Ag (see INV Metals news release dated September 18, 2017). The results will be assessed and used to guide future drilling programs.

QUARTERLY FINANCIAL REVIEW

| (\$ millions, except where noted) | 2017 | | | | 2016 | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | Q4 | Q3 | Q2 | Q1 | Q4 | Q3 | Q2 | Q1 |
| Revenues | \$ 291.1 | \$ 268.8 | \$ 274.5 | \$ 260.5 | \$ 252.5 | \$ 282.4 | \$ 232.5 | \$ 219.7 |
| Net earnings (loss) ¹ | \$ (16.9) | \$ 32.6 | \$ 511.6 | \$ (16.8) | \$ (2.8) | \$ 21.1 | \$ (9.2) | \$ 52.7 |
| Net earnings (loss) attributable to equity holders of IAMGOLD | \$ (17.7) | \$ 30.8 | \$ 506.5 | \$ (18.0) | \$ (5.3) | \$ 17.0 | \$ (12.2) | \$ 53.1 |
| Basic earnings (loss) attributable to equity holders of IAMGOLD (\$/share) | \$ (0.04) | \$ 0.07 | \$ 1.09 | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.04 | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.13 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) attributable to equity holders of IAMGOLD (\$/share) | \$ (0.04) | \$ 0.07 | \$ 1.08 | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.04 | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.13 |

¹ In the second quarter 2017, Net earnings included after-tax reversals of impairment charges totaling \$479.9 million relating to the Côté Gold Project and the Rosebel mine of \$400.0 million and \$79.9 million, respectively, and a gain on sale of a 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project of \$19.2 million.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

IMPAIRMENT REVERSAL

The carrying amounts of the Company's non-current assets, including Property, plant and equipment, and Exploration and evaluation assets, are reviewed at each reporting date to determine whether there are any indications of potential impairment or reversal of previously recognized impairment losses.

On July 26, 2017 (effective June 30, 2017), the Company identified a significant increase in reserves and resources and corresponding extension of the life of mine for the Rosebel mine, which were considered to be an indicator for reversal, as these represented a significant change in the key inputs used to determine the cash generating unit's ("CGU") recoverable amount. As a result, an assessment was performed for the Company's Suriname CGU, and it was determined that the recoverable amount, representing the CGU's fair value less costs of disposal, exceeded the carrying amount. This resulted in a reversal of the impairment charge recorded in 2013, which was limited to the carrying amount of the Suriname CGU that would have been determined had no impairment charge been recognized in prior years, net of depreciation charges. The pre-tax and after-tax amounts of impairment reversal recorded in the Company's Consolidated statements of earnings in the second quarter of 2017 were \$124.1 million and \$79.9 million, respectively.

In the second quarter 2017, the sale of a 30% interest in the Company's Côté Gold Project to SMM for total consideration of \$195 million indicated that the recoverable amount of the asset exceeded the carrying amount, which resulted in the reversal of the previously recorded impairment charge of \$400 million. The reversal is limited to the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment charge been recognized in prior years.

| | Three months ended December 31, | | Years ended December 31, | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------|-----------------------------|------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Suriname CGU ¹ | | | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 124.1 | \$ — |
| Côté Gold Project | | | | |
| Exploration and evaluation assets | — | — | 400.0 | — |
| | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 524.1 | \$ — |

¹ The Suriname CGU consists of Rosebel Gold Mines N.V. and Euro Ressources S.A.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

At December 31, 2017, the Company had \$815.8 million in cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments in money market instruments, and restricted cash.

The Company's restricted cash of \$24.5 million was held to guarantee environmental indemnities comprised of \$19.5 million held by the Government of Burkina Faso for the Essakane mine and \$5.0 million held by the Government of Suriname for the Rosebel mine.

As at December 31, 2017, the Company had no short-term restricted cash. As at December 31, 2016, the Company had \$92.0 million held by the Government of Quebec to guarantee the environmental indemnities related to the Doyon mine. The Company replaced the cash collateral, pursuant to arrangements with international insurance companies, with uncollateralized surety bonds, as prescribed by Quebec Government regulations. As at December 31, 2017, C\$127.2 million (December 31, 2017 - \$101.6 million; December 31, 2016 - \$nil) of uncollateralized surety bonds were outstanding to guarantee the environmental indemnities related to the Doyon division.

As at December 31, 2017, the Company had short-term investments in money market instruments of \$127.2 million.

On February 28, 2017, the Company acquired all of the issued and outstanding common shares and all of the outstanding common share purchase warrants and options of Merrex Gold Inc. ("Merrex"), that it did not already own. Merrex owns a 50% interest in the Siribaya Project in Mali. Including the 50% interest already held directly in the Siribaya Project, the Company now has a 100% interest in the Project. IAMGOLD issued an aggregate of approximately 6.9 million common shares. The total purchase price amounted to \$27.5 million, which includes transaction costs of \$0.2 million, and is net of cash and cash equivalents acquired of \$0.1 million.

On March 2, 2017, the Company participated in INV Metals public equity offering and acquired an additional 9.8 million common shares of INV Metals at a price of C\$1.00 per share for an aggregate amount of \$7.4 million (C\$9.8 million). This acquisition allowed the Company to maintain its 35.6% ownership in INV Metals.

During the first quarter 2017, the Company issued a total of 3.4 million flow-through common shares for net proceeds of \$15.1 million. The flow-through common shares were issued to fund prescribed development expenditures at the Westwood mine. As at December 31, 2017, there was no remaining unspent amount.

On June 20, 2017, the Company completed the sale of a 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project in Ontario to SMM for aggregate consideration of \$195 million, of which \$100 million was received upon the closing of the transaction. The remaining \$95 million is due upon the earlier of: (i) 18 months following the closing date (December 20, 2018), (ii) the date the Côté Gold Project feasibility study is made available to the public, and (iii) should it elect to do so and only as permitted under the Joint Venture Agreement, the date SMM sells its participating interest. Upon closing of the transaction, the Company entered into a Joint Venture Agreement with SMM, forming an unincorporated joint venture with respect to the Côté Gold Project, with the Company having 70% and SMM having 30% of the total outstanding participating interests under the Joint Venture Agreement.

Working capital¹ as of December 31, 2017, was \$929.9 million, up \$112.5 million compared to December 31, 2016 due to higher current assets (\$148.1 million), partially offset by higher current liabilities (\$35.6 million).

Current assets as of December 31, 2017 were \$1,161.0 million, up \$148.1 million compared to December 31, 2016, primarily due to an increase in short-term investments (\$127.2 million), consideration receivable related to the Côté Gold Project (\$93.8 million) and cash and cash equivalents (\$12.1 million), partially offset by a decrease in restricted cash (\$92.0 million).

Current liabilities as of December 31, 2017 were \$231.1 million, up \$35.6 million compared to December 31, 2016 due to higher accounts payable and accrued liabilities (\$33.3 million) and higher provisions (\$1.3 million).

| Working Capital | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Working capital ¹ (\$ millions) | \$ 929.9 | \$ 817.4 |
| Current working capital ratio ² | 5.0 | 5.2 |

¹ Working capital is defined as current assets less current liabilities.

² Current working capital ratio is defined as current assets divided by current liabilities.

On March 16, 2017, the Company issued at face value \$400 million of Notes due in 2025 with an interest rate of 7% per annum. The Notes are denominated in U.S. dollars and mature on April 15, 2025. Interest is payable in arrears in equal semi-annual installments on April 15 and October 15 of each year, beginning on October 15, 2017. The Notes are guaranteed by some of the Company's subsidiaries.

The Company incurred transaction costs of \$6.4 million which have been capitalized and offset against the carrying amount of the Notes within Long-term debt in the Consolidated balance sheet and are being amortized using the effective interest rate method.

On April 3, 2017, the Company used the net proceeds of the Notes issuance, along with existing cash, towards the redemption of its 6.75% Senior Notes, for a total of \$505.6 million. As a result of the change in the estimated future cash flows, the amortized cost of \$485.4 million of the 6.75% Senior Notes was adjusted during the first quarter 2017 to reflect the actual future cash flows of \$505.6 million. The resulting loss of \$20.2 million was recognized in the first quarter 2017 in Interest income and derivatives and other investment gains in the Consolidated statements of earnings.

On February 1, 2016, the Company entered into a four-year \$250 million credit facility consisting of a fully committed \$100 million secured revolving credit facility and a \$150 million accordion. During 2016, the Company amended the credit facility to increase the fully committed credit facility from \$100 million to \$170 million, resulting in \$80 million remaining under the accordion. On February 7, 2017, the Company amended the credit facility, utilizing the remaining accordion and adding additional commitments of \$80 million, bringing the total commitments under the facility to \$250 million, with similar terms and conditions. On December 14, 2017, the Company amended the credit facility. The amendments include, amongst other things, extending the maturity to March 31, 2022, improved pricing, the addition of an option to increase financing under the credit facility by \$100 million, the ability to enter into a \$100 million bi-lateral letters of credit facility and the elimination of the Minimum Liquidity financial covenant. The credit facility provides for an interest rate margin above London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"), banker's acceptance ("BA") prime rate and base rate advances which varies according to the total net debt ratio of the Company. Fees related to the credit facility vary according to the total net debt ratio of the Company. This credit facility is secured by some of the Company's real assets, guarantees by some of the Company's subsidiaries and pledges of shares in some of the Company's subsidiaries. The key terms of the facility include limitations on incremental debt, restrictions on distributions and financial covenants including Net Debt to EBITDA, Tangible Net Worth, and Interest Coverage. The Company was in compliance with its credit facility covenants as at December 31, 2017.

As of December 31, 2017, the Company had letters of credit in the amount of \$1.3 million to guarantee certain environmental indemnities.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

Contractual obligations as of December 31, 2017 were \$733.6 million, and were comprised primarily of contractual cash flows on long-term debt, purchase obligations, capital expenditure obligations and operating leases. Management believes these obligations will be met through available cash resources and net cash from operating activities.

| At December 31, 2017 | Payments due by period | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 Year | 1-2 Years | 3-5 years | Thereafter |
| Long-term debt | \$ 610.0 | \$ 28.0 | \$ 56.0 | \$ 56.0 | \$ 470.0 |
| Purchase obligations | 76.4 | 75.2 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Capital expenditure obligations | 29.7 | 23.7 | 3.5 | 2.5 | — |
| Operating leases | 17.5 | 4.5 | 10.5 | 2.5 | — |
| Total contractual obligations | 733.6 | 131.4 | 70.7 | 61.3 | 470.2 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 277.3 | 10.3 | 16.2 | 24.4 | 226.4 |
| | \$ 1,010.9 | \$ 141.7 | \$ 86.9 | \$ 85.7 | \$ 696.6 |

The Company also holds hedge derivative contracts that are included in the summary of outstanding derivative contracts in the Financial condition - Market risk section.

MARKET RISK

Market risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market prices. For hedging activities, it is the risk that the fair value of a derivative might be adversely affected by a change in underlying commodity prices or currency exchange rates and that this in turn affects the Company's financial condition. The Company mitigates market risk by establishing and monitoring parameters which limit the types and degree of market risk which may be undertaken, and establishing trading agreements with counterparties under which there is no requirement to post any collateral or make any margin calls on derivatives. Counterparties cannot require settlement solely because of an adverse change in the fair value of a derivative.

CURRENCY EXCHANGE RATE RISK

The Company's functional currency is the U.S. dollar which creates currency exchange risk exposure primarily associated with its expenditures denominated in Canadian dollars and euros. To manage this risk, the Company uses various hedging strategies, including purchasing put option contracts and selling call option contracts (collar structure), with a range of expiry dates and strike prices. If, on the expiry dates:

- the spot price of the currency is within the strike price range of these executed contracts, the options would not be exercised and the Company would purchase the required amount of the currency at the prevailing market price;
- the spot price of the currency is below the strike price range of these executed contracts, the company would exercise the put option contracts and purchase the required amount of the currency at prices more favorable than the prevailing market price;
- the spot price of the currency is above the strike price range of these executed contracts, the company would be obligated to settle the call option contracts and purchase the required amount of the currency at prices less favorable than the prevailing market price.

OIL CONTRACTS AND FUEL MARKET PRICE RISK

Brent and West Texas Intermediate ("WTI") are components of diesel and fuel oil which are among the key inputs impacting the Company's costs. To manage the risk associated with the fluctuation in the costs of these commodities, the Company uses various hedging strategies, including purchasing call option contracts and selling put option contracts (collar structure), with a range of expiry dates and strike prices. If, on the expiry dates:

- the average oil spot price for the month is within the strike price range of these executed contracts, the options would not be exercised;
- the average oil spot price for the month is above the strike price range of these executed contracts, the Company would settle the call option contracts at prices more favorable than the prevailing market price;
- the average oil spot price for the month is below the strike price range of these executed contracts, the Company would be obligated to settle the put option contracts at prices less favorable than the prevailing market price.

SUMMARY OF OUTSTANDING HEDGE DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

The Company has entered into derivative contracts to limit the impact of fluctuations as a result of significant volatility in global markets by hedging a portion of its expected consumption of Canadian dollars, euros and oil equivalents.

As December 31, 2017, the Company's outstanding hedge derivative contracts were as follows:

| Contracts | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Foreign Currency | | | |
| Canadian dollar option contracts (millions of C\$) | 155 | | |
| Option contracts rate range (\$/C\$) | 1.30 - 1.45 ¹ | | |
| Hedge ratio | 52% | | |
| Euro option contracts (millions of €) | 93 | | |
| Option contracts rate range (€/€) | 1.08 - 1.19 ² | | |
| Hedge ratio | 36% | | |
| Commodities | | | |
| Brent crude oil option contracts (thousands of barrels) | 488 | 366 | 333 |
| Option contracts with strike prices at (\$/barrel) | 42 - 60 ³ | 44 - 60 ³ | 50 - 62 ³ |
| Hedge ratio | 74% | 56% | 47% |
| WTI crude oil option contracts (thousands of barrels) | 390 | 426 | 405 |
| Option contracts with strike prices at (\$/barrel) | 36 - 60 ³ | 42 - 60 ³ | 43 - 60 ³ |
| Hedge ratio | 72% | 75% | 75% |

¹ The Company purchased two types of Canadian dollar collar options, which consist of U.S. dollar put and call options. The strike price for the put options on both of these structures are at \$1.30. For the call options, the strike prices are at \$1.42 and \$1.45. The Company will benefit from the margin between the lower market price and the set U.S. dollar put strike price of \$1.30. If U.S. dollar to C\$ market prices are above the call strike of \$1.42 for one of the derivative structures, or above the call strike of \$1.45 for the other derivative structures in 2018, the Company will incur a loss from the margin between the higher market price and the \$1.42 and \$1.45 call strike prices, respectively.

² The Company purchased Euro collar options with strike prices within the given range in 2018. If the Euro to US\$ market prices are below the low end of the range of the Euro put strike prices in 2018, the Company will incur a loss from the margin between the lower market price and the set put strike price. If the Euro to US\$ market prices are above the high end of the range of the Euro call strike prices in 2018, the Company will benefit from the margin between the higher market price and the set call strike price.

³ The Company purchased Brent and WTI collar options with strike prices within the given range in 2018, 2019, and 2020. If Brent and WTI market prices are below the low end of the range in 2018 and 2019, the Company will incur a loss from the margin between the lower market price and the set put strike price. If Brent and WTI are above the high end of the range of the call strike price in 2018, 2019 and 2020, the Company will benefit from the margin between the higher market price and the set call strike price.

SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

| Number issued and outstanding (millions) | December 31, 2017 | February 20, 2018 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Common shares | 465.9 | 466.4 |
| Share options | 6.7 | 6.6 |

CASH FLOW

| (\$ millions) | Three months ended December 31, | | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Net cash from (used in) per consolidated financial statements: | | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 65.2 | \$ 63.8 | \$ 295.3 | \$ 311.1 |
| Investing activities | 19.7 | (49.7) | (177.4) | (161.4) |
| Financing activities | (4.5) | 12.9 | (117.2) | 21.9 |
| Effects of exchange rate fluctuation on cash and cash equivalents | 0.1 | (2.3) | 11.4 | (0.6) |
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents | 80.5 | 24.7 | 12.1 | 171.0 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of the period | 583.6 | 627.3 | 652.0 | 481.0 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of the period | \$ 664.1 | \$ 652.0 | \$ 664.1 | \$ 652.0 |

OPERATING ACTIVITIES

Net cash from operating activities for 2017 was \$295.3 million, down \$15.8 million from the prior year. The decrease was primarily due to an increase in income tax paid (\$33.7 million) and changes in the movement of non-cash working capital items (\$18.3 million), partially offset by higher earnings after non-cash adjustments (\$36.8 million).

INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Net cash used in investing activities for 2017 was \$177.4 million, up \$16.0 million from the prior year. The increase was primarily due to the purchase of short-term investments (\$127.2 million), partially offset by lower funding of restricted cash (\$121.7 million), proceeds from the sale of a 30% interest in the Côte Gold Project (\$96.5 million), lower spending on Property, plant and equipment and Exploration and evaluation assets (\$56.4 million) and proceeds from the sale of gold bullion in 2016 (\$170.3 million).

FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Net cash used in financing activities for 2017 was \$117.2 million compared to net cash of \$21.9 million generated from financing activities in the prior year. The net cash used in financing activities in 2017 was primarily for the redemption of the 6.75% Senior Notes (\$505.6 million), partially offset by net proceeds from issuance of 7% Senior Notes (\$393.6 million) and other financing activities. The net cash of \$21.9 million generated from financing activities in 2016 was primarily from the proceeds of issuance of shares (\$220.1 million), partially offset by the purchase of 6.75% Senior Notes (\$141.5 million), repayment of credit facility (\$70.0 million) and other financing activities.

DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that all relevant information is communicated to senior management to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. An evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as defined under the rules of the Canadian Securities Administration, was conducted as at December 31, 2017 under the supervision of the Company's Disclosure Committee and with the participation of management. Based on the results of that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as at December 31, 2017 providing reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed in the Company's annual filings, interim filings or other reports filed or submitted by it under securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized and reported in accordance with securities legislation.

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of the Company's financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements in compliance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB"). The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that accurately and fairly reflect the transactions of the Company;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB;
- ensure the Company's receipts and expenditures are made only in accordance with authorization of management and the Company's directors; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized transactions that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

An evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting including an evaluation of material changes that may have materially affected or are reasonably likely to have materially affected the internal controls over financial reporting based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, was conducted as of December 31, 2017 by the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based on this evaluation, management, including the CEO and the CFO, has concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2017.

LIMITATIONS OF CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer believe that any disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls over financial reporting, no matter how well designed, can have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance that the objectives of the control system are met.

CRITICAL JUDGMENTS, ESTIMATES AND ASSUMPTIONS

The Company's management makes judgments in its process of applying the Company's accounting policies in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements. In addition, the preparation of financial data requires that the Company's management make assumptions and estimates of effects of uncertain future events on the carrying amounts of the Company's assets and liabilities at the end of the reporting period and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates as the estimation process is inherently uncertain. Estimates are reviewed on an ongoing basis based on historical experience and other factors that are considered to be relevant under the circumstances. Revisions to estimates and the resulting effects on the carrying amounts of the Company's assets and liabilities are accounted for prospectively.

The critical judgments, estimates and assumptions applied in the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements are reflected in note 3 of the Company's audited annual consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Qualified Person and Technical information

The technical and scientific information relating to exploration activities disclosed in this document was prepared under the supervision of and verified and reviewed by Craig MacDougall, P.Geo., Senior Vice President, Exploration, IAMGOLD. Mr. MacDougall is a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101.

Data verification involves data input and review by senior project geologists at site, scheduled weekly and monthly reporting to senior exploration management and the completion of project site visits by senior exploration management to review the status of ongoing project activities and data underlying reported results. All drilling results for exploration projects or supporting resource and reserve estimates referenced in this MD&A have been previously reported in news releases disclosures either by the Company or the project operator as the case may be (see references news releases), and have been prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects. The sampling and assay data from drilling programs are monitored through the implementation of a quality assurance - quality control (QA-QC) program designed to follow industry best practice. Drill core (HQ and NQ size) samples are selected by the project geologists and sawn in half with a diamond saw at the project site. Half of the core is typically retained at the site for reference purposes. Generally, sample intervals are 1.0 to 1.5 metre in length and reverse circulation holes are sampled at 1.0 metre intervals at the drill rig. Samples are prepared and analyzed at site for the Company's producing mines and at accredited regional laboratories for the Company's exploration projects, using analysis techniques such as standard fire assay with a 50 gram charge; fire assay with gravimetric finish, or LeachWELL rapid cyanide leach with fire assay with a 50 gram charge.

NOTES TO INVESTORS REGARDING THE USE OF RESOURCES

Cautionary Note to Investors Concerning Estimates of Measured and Indicated Resources

This report uses the terms "measured resources" and "indicated resources". The Company advises investors that while those terms are recognized and required by Canadian regulations, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("the SEC") does not recognize them. Investors are cautioned not to assume that any part or all of mineral deposits in these categories will ever be converted into reserves.

Cautionary Note to Investors Concerning Estimates of Inferred Resources

This report also uses the term "inferred resources". The Company advises investors that while this term is recognized and required by Canadian regulations, the SEC does not recognize it. "Inferred resources" have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence, and great uncertainty as to their economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of an inferred mineral resource will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Under Canadian rules, estimates of inferred mineral resources may not form the basis of feasibility or pre-feasibility studies, except in rare cases. Investors are cautioned not to assume that part or all of an inferred resource exists, or is economically or legally mineable.

Scientific and Technical Disclosure

IAMGOLD is reporting mineral resource and reserve estimates in accordance with the CIM guidelines for the estimation, classification and reporting of resources and reserves.

Cautionary Note to U.S. Investors

The United States Securities and Exchange Commission limits disclosure for U.S. reporting purposes to mineral deposits that a company can economically and legally extract or produce. IAMGOLD uses certain terms in this report, such as "measured," "indicated," or "inferred," which may not be consistent with the reserve definitions established by the SEC. U.S. investors are urged to consider closely the disclosure in the IAMGOLD Annual Reports on Forms 40-F. Investors can review and obtain copies of these filings from the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov/edgar.shtml> or by contacting the Investor Relations department.

The Canadian Securities Administrators' NI 43-101 requires mining companies to disclose reserves and resources using the subcategories of "proven" reserves, "probable" reserves, "measured" resources, "indicated" resources and "inferred" resources. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not demonstrate economic viability.

A mineral reserve is the economically mineable part of a measured or indicated mineral resource demonstrated by at least a preliminary feasibility study. This study must include adequate information on mining, processing, metallurgical, economic and other relevant factors that demonstrate, at the time of reporting, that economic extraction can be justified. A mineral reserve includes diluting materials and allows for losses that may occur when the material is mined. A proven mineral reserve is the economically mineable part of a measured mineral resource demonstrated by at least a preliminary feasibility study. A probable mineral reserve is the economically mineable part of an indicated, and in some circumstances, a measured mineral resource demonstrated by at least a preliminary feasibility study.

A mineral resource is a concentration or occurrence of natural, solid, inorganic material, or natural, solid fossilized organic material including base and precious metals in or on the Earth's crust in such form and quantity and of such a grade or quality that it has reasonable prospects for economic extraction. The location, quantity, grade, geological characteristics and continuity of a mineral resource are known, estimated or interpreted from specific geological evidence and knowledge. A measured mineral resource is that part of a mineral resource for which quantity, grade or quality, densities, shape and physical characteristics are so well established that they can be estimated with confidence sufficient to allow the appropriate application of technical and economic parameters, to support production planning and evaluation of the economic viability of the deposit. The estimate is based on detailed and reliable exploration, sampling and testing information gathered through appropriate techniques from locations such as outcrops, trenches, pits, workings and drill holes that are spaced closely enough to confirm both geological and grade continuity. An indicated mineral resource is that part of a mineral resource for which quantity, grade or quality, densities, shape and physical characteristics can be estimated with a level of confidence sufficient to allow the appropriate application of technical and economic parameters, to support mine planning and evaluation of the economic viability of the deposit. The estimate is based on detailed and reliable exploration and testing information gathered through appropriate techniques from locations such as outcrops, trenches, pits, workings and drill holes that are spaced closely enough for geological and grade continuity to be reasonably assumed. An inferred mineral resource is that part of a mineral resource for which quantity and grade or quality can be estimated on the basis of geological evidence and limited sampling and reasonably assumed, but not verified, geological and grade continuity. The estimate is based on limited information and sampling gathered through appropriate techniques from locations such as outcrops, trenches, pits, workings and drill holes. Mineral resources which are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.

Investors are cautioned not to assume part or all of an inferred resource exists, or is economically or legally mineable.

A feasibility study is a comprehensive technical and economic study of the selected development option for a mineral project that includes appropriately detailed assessments of realistically assumed mining, processing, metallurgical, economic, marketing, legal, environmental, social and governmental considerations together with any other relevant operational factors and detailed financial analysis, that are necessary to demonstrate at the time of reporting that extraction is reasonably justified (economically mineable). The results of the study may reasonably serve as the basis for a final decision by a proponent or financial institution to proceed with, or finance, the development of the project. The confidence level of the study will be higher than that of a Pre-Feasibility Study.

A pre-feasibility study is a comprehensive study of a range of options for the technical and economic viability of a mineral project that has advanced to a stage where a preferred mining method, in the case of underground mining, or the pit configuration, in the case of an open pit, is established and an effective method of mineral processing is determined. It includes a financial analysis based on reasonable assumptions on mining, processing, metallurgical, economic, marketing, legal, environmental, social and governmental considerations and the evaluation of any other relevant factors which are sufficient for a qualified person, acting reasonably, to determine if all or part of the Mineral Resource may be classified as a Mineral Reserve.

Gold Technical Information and Qualified Person/Quality Control Notes

The mineral resource estimates contained in this MD&A have been prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects and Joint Ore Reserves Committee. The "Qualified Person" responsible for the supervision of the preparation and review of all resource and reserve estimates for IAMGOLD is Lise Chénard, Eng., Director, Mining Geology.

She is a "Qualified Person" for the purposes of NI 43-101 with respect to the mineralization being reported on. The technical information has been included herein with the consent and prior review of the above noted Qualified Person. The Qualified person has verified the data disclosed, and data underlying the information or opinions contained herein.

NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS ISSUED BUT NOT YET EFFECTIVE

For a discussion of new accounting standards issued but not yet effective that may impact the Company, refer to note 4 of the Company's audited annual consolidated financial statements as at December 31, 2017.

RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

The Company is subject to various business, financial and operational risks which could materially adversely affect the Company's future business, operations and financial condition and could cause such future business, operations and financial condition to differ materially from the forward-looking statements and information contained in this MD&A and as described in the Cautionary Statement on Forward-Looking Information found in this document.

IAMGOLD's vision challenges it to generate superior value for its stakeholders through accountable mining. The Company's business activities expose it to significant risks due to the nature of mining, exploration and development activities. The ability to manage these risks is a key component of the Company's business strategy and is supported by a risk management culture and an effective enterprise risk management ("ERM") approach.

These practices ensure management is forward looking in its assessment of risks. Identification of key risks occurs in the course of business activities, while pursuing business approved strategies and as part of the execution of risk oversight responsibilities at the Management and Board of Directors level.

The Company's view of risks is not static. An important component of its ERM approach is to ensure key risks which are evolving or emerging are appropriately identified, managed, and incorporated into existing ERM assessment, measurement, monitoring and reporting processes.

The following is a summary of the key risks which the Company is facing.

For a more comprehensive discussion of the Company's business, refer to the Company's latest AIF, filed with Canadian securities regulatory authorities at www.sedar.com, and filed under Form 40-F with the United States Securities Exchange Commission at www.sec.gov/edgar.html. The AIF, which is filed and viewable on www.sedar.com and www.sec.gov/edgar.html, is available upon request from the Company, and is incorporated by reference into this MD&A.

Financial Risks

Gold price fluctuations

The Company's revenues depend in part on the market gold prices for mine production from the Company's producing properties. Gold prices fluctuate widely and are affected by numerous factors beyond the Company's control including central bank lending, sales and purchases of gold, producer hedging activities, expectations of inflation, the level of demand for gold as an investment, speculative trading, the relative exchange rate of the U.S. dollar with other major currencies, interest rates, global and regional demand, political and economic conditions and uncertainties, industrial and jewellery demand, production costs in major gold producing regions and worldwide production levels. The aggregate effect of these factors is impossible to predict with accuracy. Fluctuations in gold prices may materially and adversely affect the Company's financial performance or results of operations and may result in adjustments to reserve estimates and life of mine plans. Continuous declining gold prices may result in a declining production profile and adverse financial performance. The Company does not currently hedge its gold sales.

Cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies and other block-chain-based mediums of exchanges (digital currencies) are becoming more integrated with the global economy and have the potential to become a means of storing wealth outside of conventional financial markets. These digital currencies may offer a compelling alternative to financial instruments exchangeable for government-issued currencies because they are held and traded on a decentralized network of computers, often beyond the control of individual governments or companies. Since gold serves a substantially similar wealth-storing function, the growing acceptance and popularity of cryptocurrencies and other block-chain-based mediums of exchanges may have an adverse effect on the market for gold and put significant downward pressure on gold prices.

The value of cryptocurrencies has increased significantly, while the price of gold has increased only 13% in 2017. Investors may perceive that cryptocurrencies are a better investment than gold which could negatively impact gold producers and precious-metals investors. Some investors may decide to leave the gold market in favor of cryptocurrencies.

Insufficient financing

The Company may need to secure necessary capital through loans or other forms of permanent capital, to fund future construction of mining facilities for projects such as the Côté Gold Project in Canada, the Saramacca Project in Suriname and the Essakane Heap Leach Project in Burkina Faso. The Company may also require funds for exploration and development of the Company's properties, such as Boto, Siribaya, Diahka and Pitangui and continuing exploration projects that may require substantial capital expenditures. In addition, a portion of the Company's activities may be directed to the search and exploration for new mineral deposits and their development.

The availability of this capital is subject to general economic conditions and lender and investor interest in the Company and its projects.

The Company may be required to seek a continuation of the current financial arrangements with its lenders and/or seek additional financing to maintain its capital expenditures at planned levels. Financing may not be available when needed or, if available, may not be available on terms acceptable to the Company or the Company may be unable to find a partner for financing. Failure to

obtain any financing necessary for the Company's capital expenditure plans may result in a delay or indefinite postponement of exploration, development or production on any or all of the Company's properties.

In addition, there can be no certainty that the Company may be able to renew or replace its current credit facility or debt financing on similar or favourable terms to the Company prior to, or upon, its maturity.

Shareholder dilution

The adequacy of the Company's capital structure is assessed on an ongoing basis and adjusted as necessary after taking into consideration the Company's strategic plans, market and forecasted gold prices, the mining industry, general economic conditions and associated risks. In order to maintain or adjust its capital structure, the Company may adjust its capital spending, issue new common shares, purchase common shares for cancellation pursuant to normal course issuer bids, issue new debt, repay or refinance existing debt, and/or amend or renew its credit facility.

The constating documents of the Company allow it to issue, among other things, an unlimited number of common shares for such consideration and on such terms and conditions as may be established by the board of directors of the Company, in many cases, without the approval of shareholders. The Company cannot predict the size of future issues of common shares or the issue of securities convertible into common shares or the effect, if any, that future issues and sales of the common shares will have on the market price of its common shares. Any transaction involving the issue of previously authorized but unissued common shares or securities convertible into common shares would result in dilution, possibly substantial, to present and prospective holders of common shares.

Volatility of the Company's securities

The common shares of the Company are listed on the TSX and the NYSE. The price of the common shares has been and may continue to be subject to large fluctuations which may result in losses to investors. The price of the common shares is highly affected by short-term changes in the price of gold, in the Company's financial condition and results of operations and by global economic conditions. The Company has a concentration of earnings and cash flow generated from a single commodity and the outlook for the gold price is uncertain. This may impair the Company's reputation and ability to raise capital. Given the current volatility in the gold price, the Company cannot predict its impact on its market capitalization.

Cost containment

The Company's ongoing cost containment efforts may not achieve the intended objectives because of internal or external factors which, individually or combined, could cause declining margins. Further, the Company's revenues are affected by the volatility in gold price. The combined effect of a sustained decline in the gold price with any failure to contain operating costs such as labour, energy, fuel, other consumables and increasing rock hardness, or any increase in royalties and taxation, would negatively impact the Company's earnings and cash flow. Additionally, certain cost containment/reduction initiatives may not be sustainable over a longer period of time and the Company may face the risk of having to pursue other measures to achieve margin protection and efficiency improvements. In addition, in an increased gold price environment, it may be advantageous to mine and produce higher cost gold because of the expanded margin potential.

Capital allocation

From time to time, the Company may have limited financial resources available for investment because of insufficient internally generated funds and inaccessibility to capital markets. Accordingly, the Company must make choices amongst investment opportunities which it must rank by attractiveness and risk. There can be no assurances that such investment decisions will yield the intended returns and could limit future growth, profitability and liquidity.

Project risks

The ability of the Company to sustain or increase its present levels of gold production is dependent in part on the success of its projects. Significant projects contemplated for the next few years include the Côté Gold Project, Saramacca satellite operations for Rosebel, the Essakane Heap Leach Project and potentially the SSP, if the appropriate government approvals can be secured. However, some or all of these projects may not proceed and other projects may arise. Risks and unknowns inherent in all projects include, but are not limited to, the accuracy of reserve estimates; metallurgical recoveries; geotechnical and other technical assumptions; capital and operating costs of such projects; the future prices of the relevant minerals; and scoping of major projects including delays, aggressive schedules, unplanned events and conditions. The significant capital expenditures and long time period required to develop new mines or other projects are considerable and changes in costs and market conditions or unplanned events or construction schedules can affect project economics. Actual costs and economic returns may differ materially from the Company's estimates or the Company could fail or be delayed in obtaining the governmental approvals necessary for execution of a project, in which case, the project may not proceed either on its original timing or at all. The Company may be unable to develop projects that demonstrate attractive economic feasibility at low gold prices.

The number of projects in the future may outweigh the Company's capital, financial and staffing capacity restricting the ability to concurrently execute multiple large projects and adversely affecting the potential timing of when those projects can be put into production.

Indebtedness and restrictive covenants of the Company's debt instruments

The Company's level of indebtedness could adversely affect the Company, including making it more difficult to satisfy obligations with respect to the 2017 Senior Notes and other debt; limiting the ability of the Company to obtain additional financing to fund future working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other general corporate requirements; requiring the Company to divest assets; requiring a substantial portion of cash flows to be dedicated to debt service payments instead of other purposes, thereby reducing the amount of cash flows available for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions and other general corporate purposes; increasing the vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions; exposing the Company to the risk of increased interest rates as borrowings under the 2017 Credit Facility are at variable rates of interest; limiting the flexibility in planning for and reacting to changes in the industry in which the Company competes; placing the Company at a disadvantage compared to other, less leveraged competitors who may be able to take advantage of opportunities that the Company's indebtedness would prevent it from pursuing; and increasing the cost of borrowing. Additionally, the indenture governing the 2017 Senior Notes and the 2017 Credit Facility agreement contain restrictive covenants that limit the Company's ability to engage in activities that may be in its long-term best interest.

In addition, the amount of the Company's debt/leverage may exceed its ability to service or repay the 2017 Senior Notes. The Company expects to obtain the funds to pay its expenses and to pay the principal and interest on its debt by utilizing cash flow from operations. The Company's ability to make scheduled payments on the 2017 Senior Notes also depends on its financial condition and operating performance, which are subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions beyond its control, including fluctuations in the gold price. Sustained falling gold prices may result in the deterioration of free cash flow generation. The Company cannot be certain that its future cash flow from operations will be sufficient to allow it to pay principal and interest on its debt and meet other obligations, including under the 2017 Senior Notes.

Credit facility defaults

The 2017 Credit Facility places certain limits on the Company, such as, on the Company's ability to incur additional indebtedness, enter into derivative transactions, make investments in a business, carry on business unrelated to mining, dispose of the Company's material assets or, in certain circumstances, pay dividends. Further, the 2017 Credit Facility requires the Company to maintain specified financial ratios and meet financial condition covenants. Events beyond the Company's control, including changes in general economic and business conditions, may affect the Company's ability to satisfy these covenants, which could result in a default under the 2017 Credit Facility. As at February 20, 2018, approximately \$1.3 million in the form of letters of credit, were drawn against the Credit Facility. Depending on its cash position and cash requirements, the Company may draw on the Credit Facility to fund, among other things, part of the capital expenditures required in connection with its current development projects. If an event of default under the Credit Facility occurs, the Company would be unable to draw down further on the Credit Facility and the lenders could elect to declare all principal amounts outstanding thereunder at such time, together with accrued interest, to be immediately due. An event of default under the Credit Facility may also give rise to an event of default under existing and future debt agreements and, in such event, the Company may not have sufficient funds to repay amounts owing under such agreements. Such a default may allow the creditors to accelerate repayment of the related debt and may result in the acceleration of any other debt containing a cross-acceleration or cross-default provision which applies. In addition, an event of default under the 2017 Credit Facility would permit the lenders thereunder to terminate all commitments to extend further credit under that facility. Furthermore, if the Company were unable to repay any amounts due and payable under the Credit Facility, those lenders could proceed against the security securing such indebtedness. In the event the Company's lenders or noteholders accelerate the repayment of the Company's borrowings, the Company may not have sufficient assets to repay that indebtedness. Furthermore, creditors could enforce or foreclose against the collateral securing its obligations and the Company could be forced into bankruptcy, receivership or liquidation.

As a result of these restrictions, the Company may be:

- limited in how it conducts its business;
- unable to raise additional debt or equity financing to operate during general economic or business downturns; or
- unable to compete effectively or to take advantage of new business opportunities.

These restrictions may affect the Company's ability to grow in accordance with its strategy.

Credit rating downgrade

The Company and its 2017 Senior Notes have non-investment grade ratings, and any rating assigned could be lowered or withdrawn entirely by a rating agency if, in that rating agency's judgment, future circumstances relating to the basis of the rating, such as adverse changes, so warrant.

Any future lowering of the Company's ratings likely would make it more difficult or more expensive for the Company to obtain additional debt financing.

Inadequate controls over financial reporting

The Company assessed and tested, for its 2017 fiscal year, its internal control procedures in order to satisfy the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act ("SOX"). SOX requires an annual assessment by management of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and an attestation report by the Company's independent auditors addressing the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. The Company's failure to satisfy the requirements of Section 404 of SOX on an ongoing and timely basis could result in the loss of investor confidence in the reliability of its financial statements, which in turn could harm the Company's business and negatively impact the trading price of its common shares or

market value of its other securities. In addition, any failure to implement required new or improved controls, or difficulties encountered in their implementation could harm the Company's operating results or cause it to fail to meet its reporting obligations.

No evaluation can provide complete assurance that the Company's internal control over financial reporting will detect or uncover all failures of persons within the Company to disclose material information required to be reported. Accordingly, the Company's management does not expect that its internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all errors and all fraud. In addition, the challenges involved in implementing appropriate internal control over financial reporting will increase and will require that the Company continue to improve its internal control over financial reporting.

Public company obligations

As a publicly traded company, listed on senior stock exchanges in Canada and the United States, the Company is subject to numerous laws, including, without limitation, corporate, securities and environmental laws, compliance with which is both very time consuming and costly. The failure to comply with any of these laws, individually or in the aggregate, could have a material adverse effect on the Company, which could cause a significant decline in the Company's stock price. The number of laws that the Company and its local operations must comply within a number of continents and jurisdictions increases the risks of non-compliance.

Furthermore, laws applicable to the Company constantly change and the Company's continued compliance with changing requirements is both very time consuming and costly. Adding to the significant costs of compliance with laws is the Company's desire to meet a high standard of corporate governance. The Company's continued efforts to comply with numerous changing laws and adhere to a high standard of corporate governance have resulted in, and are likely to continue to result in, increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities.

Asset valuations

The Company tests the valuation of its property, plant and equipment and exploration and evaluation assets when indications of potential impairment or reversal of a previously recognized impairment are identified. As at June 30, 2017, the Company recorded after tax net impairment reversals of \$79.9 million for the Rosebel mine and \$400.0 million for the Côté Gold Project. As at December 31, 2017, no indicators of impairment or reversal of impairment were identified and the Company did not test the valuation of these assets.

Management's assumptions and estimates of future cash flows are subject to risks and uncertainties, particularly in market conditions where higher volatility exists, and may be partially or totally outside of the Company's control. Therefore, it is reasonably possible that changes could occur with evolving economic and market conditions, which may affect the fair value of the Company's property, plant and equipment and exploration and evaluation assets resulting in either an impairment charge or reversal of impairment.

If the Company fails to achieve its valuation assumptions or if any of its property, plant and equipment, exploration and evaluation assets or cash generating units have experienced a decline in fair value, an impairment charge may be required to be recorded, causing a reduction in the Company's earnings.

Conversely, if there are observable indicators that any of its property, plant and equipment, exploration and evaluation assets or cash generating units have experienced an increase in fair value, a reversal of a prior impairment may be required to be recorded, causing an increase in the Company's earnings. As at December 31, 2017 there are no prior impairments of exploration and evaluation assets which are subject to potential reversal.

Interest rates

The Company's financial results are affected by movements in interest rates. Interest payments under the 2017 Credit Facility are subject to fluctuation based on changes to specified interest rates. A copy of the credit agreement in connection with the 2017 Credit Facility is available under the Company's profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

Taxes and tax audits

To provide a reasonable measure of protection against unforeseen changes to tax laws that apply to mining projects, stability agreements are in place with the governments of Burkina Faso, Mali and Suriname. The Company's interpretations of the stability agreement and the tax laws may not be the same as those of the regulatory authorities. Consequently, challenges to the Company's interpretations of the stability agreement and the tax laws by regulatory authorities could result in significant additional taxes, penalties and interest.

The Company is subject to routine tax audits by various tax authorities. Tax audits may result in additional tax, interest and penalties, which would negatively affect the Company's financial condition and operating results. Changes in tax rules and regulations or in the interpretation of tax rules and regulations by the courts or the tax authorities may also have a substantial negative impact on the Company's business.

The Company periodically issues flow-through shares in respect of development and exploration expenditures. To be effective, such flow-through share issuances must comply with Canadian legislated tax requirements within specified time frames. In the event that the Company fails to comply with such legislated requirements, the Company may be subject to tax penalties and also may be obligated to compensate the purchasers of such flow-through shares for foregone tax benefits related to those shares.

Currency fluctuations

Currency fluctuations may affect the earnings and cash flows from the Company's operations since gold is sold in the world market in U.S. dollars but the costs of the Company are incurred principally in non-U.S. dollars (Canadian dollars, euros, CFA francs and Surinamese dollars). Appreciation of currencies against the U.S. dollar increases the cost of gold production in U.S. dollar terms. While CFA francs currently have a fixed exchange rate to the euro and the currency is currently convertible into Canadian and U.S. dollars, it may not always have a fixed exchange rate which may be changed to a floating rate and the fixed exchange rate may be reset by the governing bodies.

Derivatives

The Company regularly employs derivative financial instruments as a hedge in respect of input costs such as fuel/oil and currencies. Hedge products are generally used to manage the risks associated with, among other things, changes in fuel/oil prices and foreign currency exchange rates. Where the Company holds such derivative positions, the Company will deliver into such arrangements in the prescribed manner. The use of derivative instruments involves certain inherent risks including:

- a) credit risk - the risk of default on amounts owing to the Company by the counterparties with which the Company has entered into such transactions;
- b) market liquidity risk - the risk that the Company has entered into a derivative position that cannot be closed out quickly, by either liquidating such derivative instrument or by establishing an offsetting position; and
- c) price/valuation risk - the risk that, in respect of certain derivative products, an adverse change in market prices for commodities, currencies or interest rates will result in the Company incurring a realized or unrealized (mark-to-market) loss in respect of such derivative products.

Litigation

The Company is subject to litigation arising in the normal course of business and may be involved in legal disputes or matters with other parties, including governments and their agencies, regulators and members of the Company's own workforce, which may result in litigation. The causes of potential litigation cannot be known and may arise from, among other things, business activities, environmental and health and safety laws and regulations, tax matters, volatility in the Company's stock price, failure to comply with disclosure obligations or the presence of illegal miners or labour disruptions at its mine sites. Regulatory and government agencies may initiate investigations relating to the enforcement of applicable laws or regulations and the Company may incur expenses in defending them and be subject to fines or penalties in case of any violation, and could face damage to its reputation in the case of recurring workplace incidents resulting in an injury or fatality for which the Company is found responsible. The results and costs of litigation and investigations cannot be predicted with certainty. If the Company is unable to resolve these disputes or matters favourably, this may have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial performance, cash flows and results of operations.

In the event of a dispute or matter involving the foreign operations of the Company, the Company may be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of foreign courts or agencies or may not be successful in subjecting foreign persons to the jurisdiction of courts in Canada. The Company's ability to enforce its rights or its potential exposure to the enforcement in Canada or locally of judgments or decisions from foreign courts or agencies could have an adverse effect on its cash flows, earnings, results of operations and financial condition.

For disputes with governments involving the foreign operations of the Company that are not subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of foreign courts, the Company may attempt to resolve these through arbitration in another country and such arbitration proceedings may be costly and protracted, which may have an adverse effect on the Company's financial condition.

Cash management in foreign subsidiaries

The Company conducts its operations through subsidiaries, including foreign subsidiaries. Accordingly, any limitation on the transfer of cash or other assets between the parent corporation, IAMGOLD Corporation, and its subsidiary entities as well as requirements by local governments to sell gold bullion to local central banks could restrict the Company's ability to fund its operations effectively. Any such limitations, or the perception that such limitations may exist now or in the future, could have an adverse impact on the Company's valuation and stock price.

Operational Risks

Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources

Mineral reserves and mineral resources are based on estimates of mineral content and quantity derived from limited information acquired through drilling and other sampling methods and requires judgmental interpretations of geology, structure, grade distributions and trends, and other factors. These estimates may change as more information is obtained. No assurance can be given that the estimates are accurate or that the indicated level of metal will be produced. Actual mineralization or formations may be different from those predicted. Further, it may take many years from the initial phase of drilling before production is possible, and during that time the economic feasibility of exploiting a discovery may change.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") does not permit mining companies to disclose estimates other than mineral reserves in their filings with the SEC. However, because the Company prepares its Annual Information Form, and other continuous disclosure documents, in accordance with Canadian disclosure requirements, it contains resource estimates, which are required by NI 43-101. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of the Company's mineral resources will be converted into reserves.

Market price fluctuations of gold as well as increased production and capital costs, reduced recovery rates or technical, economic, regulatory or other factors may render the Company's proven and probable reserves unprofitable to develop or continue to exploit at a particular site or sites for periods of time or may render mineral reserves containing relatively lower grade mineralization uneconomic. Successful extraction requires safe and efficient mining and processing. Moreover, short-term operating factors relating to the mineral reserves, such as the need for the orderly development of ore bodies or the processing of new or different ore types, may cause mineral reserves to become uneconomic or the Company to be unprofitable in any particular reporting period. Estimated reserves may have to be recalculated based on actual production experience. Any of these factors may require the Company to reduce its mineral reserves and resources, which could have a negative impact on the Company's financial results. Failure to obtain or maintain necessary permits or government approvals, or revocation of or regulatory changes affecting necessary permits or government approvals, or environmental concerns could also cause the Company to reduce its mineral reserves. There is also no assurance that the Company will achieve indicated levels of gold recovery or obtain the prices for gold production assumed in determining the amount of such reserves. Anticipated levels of production may be impacted by numerous factors, including mining conditions, labour availability and relations, weather, seismic events and supply shortages.

Life of mine plans

The life of mine estimates for each of the material properties of the Company are based on a number of factors and assumptions and may prove to be incorrect. In addition, life of mine plans, by design, may have declining grade profiles and increasing rock hardness over time and mine life could be shortened if the Company increases production, experiences increased production costs or if the price of gold declines significantly. Reserves at operating sites can be replaced by upgrading existing resources to mineral reserves generally by the completion of additional drilling and/or development to improve the estimate confidence and by demonstrating their economic viability, by expanding known deposits, by locating new deposits, or by making acquisitions. Substantial expenditures are required to delineate resources and ultimately establish proven and probable reserves and to construct mining and processing facilities. As a result, there is no assurance that current or future exploration programs will be successful. There is a risk that depletion of reserves will not be offset by resource conversions, expansions, discoveries, or acquisitions. The Westwood mine, in particular, has a relatively low quantity of proven and probable reserves compared to a relatively large quantity of inferred resources. Due to the nature and depth of the deposit, it will take many years to effectively access various sections of the ore body in order to carry out sufficient drilling to convert inferred resources to indicated and measured resources and, after economic assessment, into proven and probable reserves. The current life of mine business plan for the Westwood mine assumes that the inferred resources will be converted into proven or probable reserves on an ongoing basis and be mined and processed. For the reasons outlined above, there is a risk that some or all of the inferred resources at the Westwood mine may not be converted to proven or probable reserves to be mined and processed.

Mine closure

In the event of a sustained decline of the gold price and declining revenues, the Company may consider putting operation(s) on temporary care and maintenance whereby the Company would cease production, but keep the site in a condition to possibly reopen it at a later date. Additionally, closure may materialize earlier than planned to reflect market conditions. An unplanned catastrophic event such as underground seismic activity or a major tailings breach or failure to proceed with the SSP development could occur and cause a temporary or permanent mine closure. Ultimately, closure will eventually occur at all mines due to depletion of the resource. The closure costs may not be fully known for a period of time. Closure plans and site rehabilitation plans may be incomplete, inaccurately estimated, and/or not fully documented.

Coarse gold

Mineral reserve and mineral resource calculations for the gold operations may be over/under estimated as a result of the presence of coarse gold.

Some of the ore bodies at the Company's gold mines contain coarse gold with particles up to five millimetres in diameter. Attempts have been made to ensure that the grade samples used to determine mineral reserves and mineral resources are representative by using appropriate sample preparation and analytical techniques as part of comprehensive QA/QC programs. Additionally, the grade estimation methods used are designed to reduce and/or limit the impact of localized high grade assays. The actual grade of the deposits could be lower or higher than predicted by the grade models developed.

Consumables

The profitability of the Company's business is affected by the market prices and availability or shortages of commodities which are consumed or otherwise used in connection with the Company's operations and projects, such as diesel fuel and heavy fuel oil at the Essakane, Rosebel and Sadiola mines; electricity at the Sadiola, Rosebel and Westwood mines; and steel, concrete, grinding media, equipment spare parts, explosives and cyanide at all operations. Prices of such commodities also can be subject to volatile price movements, which can be material and can occur over short periods of time, and are affected by factors that are beyond the Company's control. Operations consume significant amounts of energy and are dependent on suppliers or governments to meet these energy needs and to allow declines in oil prices to filter through to the Company. In some cases, no alternative source of energy is available. An increase in the cost, or decrease in the availability, of construction materials such as steel and concrete may affect the timing and cost of the Company's projects. If the costs of certain commodities consumed or otherwise used in connection with the Company's operations and projects were to increase significantly, and remain at such levels for a sustained period of time, the Company may determine that it is not economically feasible to continue commercial production at some or all of the Company's operations or the development of some or all of the Company's current projects, which could have a material adverse impact on

the Company. Costs at any particular mining location are also subject to variation due to a number of factors, such as changing ore grade, changing metallurgy and revisions to mine plans in response to changes in the estimated physical shape and location of the ore body or due to operational or processing changes. A material increase in costs at any significant location could have a significant effect on the Company's capital expenditures, production schedules, profitability and operating cash flow.

Production costs

The Company's production and cost estimates depend on many factors outside the Company's control and may vary from actual production and costs, which could have an adverse impact on the Company's financial results.

Actual production and costs may vary from estimates for a variety of reasons, including actual ore mined varying from estimates of grade, tonnage, dilution and metallurgical and other characteristics; revisions to mine plans; risks and hazards associated with mining; natural phenomena, such as inclement weather conditions, and unexpected labour shortages or strikes. Costs of production may also be affected by a variety of factors such as productivity rates, changing strip ratios, ore grade metallurgy, labour costs, the cost of supplies and services, general inflationary pressures and currency exchange rates.

Equipment malfunctions

The Company's various operations may encounter delays in or losses of production due to the delay in the delivery of equipment, key equipment or component malfunctions or breakdowns, damage to equipment through accident or misuse, including potential complete write-off of damaged units, or delay in the delivery or the lack of availability of spare parts, which may impede maintenance activities on equipment. In addition, equipment may be subject to aging if not replaced, or through inappropriate use or misuse, or improper storage conditions may become obsolete. Any one of these factors could adversely impact the Company's operations, profitability and financial results.

Legislative changes

The Company's mining, processing, development and mineral exploration activities are subject to various laws regulating prospecting, development, production, labour, health and safety, the environment, land titles and claims of indigenous people, mining practices, taxation, water use and other matters. Any changes to existing laws and regulations or the manner in which they are enforced could have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial condition and/or results of operations. The Company participates in a number of industry associations to monitor changing legislation and quantify the impact of the changes in legislation and maintains a good dialogue with governmental authorities in that respect. However, the Company is unable to predict what legislation or revisions may be proposed that might affect its business or when any such proposals, if enacted, might become effective. Such changes, however, could require increased capital and operating expenditures or result in reduced revenues and could prevent, delay or prohibit certain operations of the Company.

In addition, changes to laws regarding mining royalties or taxes, or other elements of a country's fiscal regime may adversely affect the Company's results of operation and financial condition. The tax regimes in certain countries in which the Company operates may be subject to differing interpretations and the Company's interpretation of taxation law, as applied to its transactions and activities, may not coincide with that of tax authorities in a given country. As a result, certain transactions may be challenged by tax authorities and the Company's operations may be assessed, which could result in significant additional taxes, penalties and interest. In addition, in certain jurisdictions, the Company may be required to pay refundable value added tax ("VAT") on certain purchases and there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to collect all, or any, of the amount of VAT refunds which are owed to the Company.

Strategic plans

The Company maintains a dynamic strategic planning process that involves the development of strategic plans that include defining long term objectives and developing strategies designed to achieve those objectives. These plans are regularly reviewed and updated as current or prospective external and internal conditions change. The strategic plans are based upon certain assumptions around key variables that can directly impact the validity of the strategy and the achievement of planned results. Given that unforeseen changes in conditions can occur at any time resulting in the underlying assumptions becoming invalid, there can be no assurance that the Company's strategic planning process will be completely effective in developing a strategic plan that addresses changing conditions and could result in a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and/or results of operations. Additionally, the Company may not have sufficient resources, organization or systems to be able to execute its strategic plans in a timely or efficient manner.

Attraction and Retention of Key employees

The Company's ability to effectively manage its corporate, exploration and operations teams depends in large part on the Company's ability to attract, develop and retain the best talent in key roles and as senior leaders within the organization. This may be challenging to sustain and align with its strategic planning objectives of operational excellence for current mines and growth, especially in locations experiencing political or civil unrest and increasing levels of security threat. The success of the Company also depends on the technical expertise of its professional employees. The Company faces increased competition for qualified management, professionals, executives and skilled personnel from other companies. There can be no assurance that the Company will continue to be able to compete successfully with its competitors in attracting and retaining senior leaders, qualified management and technical talent with the necessary skills and experience to manage its current needs. The length of time required to recruit key personnel and fill a position may be longer than anticipated.

The increased difficulties to attract, develop and retain capable leaders and key management and technical professionals as well as qualified talent to manage the existing operations and projects effectively could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and/or operational results.

The Company faces an ageing workforce which may impact productivity and operational experience.

The Company is dependent on a relatively small number of key management personnel. Accordingly, the loss of one or more management staff could have an adverse effect on the Company. While the Company has succession plans in place for the board of directors and senior leadership positions including the chief executive officer and other key roles, in the event of a loss of one or more individuals, there will be challenges to replace these personnel in a timely manner internally and/or externally.

Labour disruptions

The Company is dependent on its workforce to extract and process minerals. Relations between the Company and its employees may be impacted by changes in labour relations which may be introduced by, among other things, employee groups, unions and the relevant governmental authorities in whose jurisdictions the Company carries on business. Labour disruptions at any of the Company's material properties could have a material adverse impact on its business, results of operations and financial condition. A number of the Company's employees are represented by labour unions under various collective labour agreements. In addition, existing labour agreements may not prevent a strike or work stoppage at the Company's facilities in the future, and any such work stoppage could have a material adverse effect on the Company's earnings and financial condition.

Political and legal risks

Mining investments are subject to the risks normally associated with any conduct of business in foreign countries including political; civil disturbance risks; changes in laws or policies of particular countries including changes to existing mining codes, including those relating to royalties, duties, imports, exports and currency; the cancellation or renegotiation of contracts; the imposition of royalties, net profits payments, tax increases or other claims by government entities, including retroactive claims; a disregard for due process and the rule of law by local courts; the risk of expropriation and nationalization; delays in obtaining or the inability to obtain necessary governmental permits or the reimbursement of refundable tax from fiscal authorities.

Threats or instability in a country caused by political events including elections, change in government, changes in personnel or legislative bodies, foreign relations or military control present serious political and social risk and instability causing interruptions to the flow of business negotiations and influencing relationships with government officials. Changes in policy or law may negatively impact operations and revenues. The risks include increased "unpaid" state participation, higher energy costs, higher taxation levels and potential expropriation. There is increasing regional and external pressure for higher levels of taxation.

Other risks include the potential for fraud and corruption by suppliers or personnel or government officials which may implicate the Company, compliance with applicable anti-corruption laws, including the U.S. *Foreign Corrupt Practices Act* and the Canadian *Corruption of Foreign Public Officials Act* by virtue of the Company operating in jurisdictions that may be vulnerable to the possibility of bribery, collusion, kickbacks, theft, improper commissions, facilitation payments, conflicts of interest and related party transactions and the Company's possible failure to identify, manage and mitigate instances of fraud, corruption, or violations of its code of conduct and applicable regulatory requirements.

There is also the risk of increased disclosure requirements, including those pursuant to, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, the *Extractive Sector Transparency Measures Act ("ESTMA")*; currency fluctuations; restrictions on the ability of local operating companies to sell gold offshore for U.S. dollars, and on the ability of such companies to hold U.S. dollars or other foreign currencies in offshore bank accounts; import and export regulations, including restrictions on the export of gold or on the import, for further gold processing, of by-products from the gold extraction process having residual gold content; limitations on the repatriation of earnings or on the Company's ability to assist in minimizing its expatriate workforce's exposure to double taxation in both the home and host jurisdictions; and increased financing costs.

These risks may limit or disrupt operating mines or projects, restrict the movement of funds, cause the Company to have to expend more funds than previously expected or required, or result in the deprivation of contract rights or the taking of property by nationalization or expropriation without fair compensation, and may materially adversely affect the Company's financial position and/or results of operations. In addition, the enforcement by the Company of its legal rights in foreign countries, including rights to exploit its properties or utilize its permits and licenses and contractual rights may not be recognized by the court systems in such foreign countries or enforced in accordance with the rule of law.

The Company also currently conducts mining, development and exploration activities in countries with developing economies. It is difficult to predict the future political, social and economic direction of the countries in which the Company operates, and the impact government decisions may have on its business. Any political or economic instability in the countries in which the Company currently operates could have a material and adverse effect on its business and results of operations.

Operations in Burkina Faso, Mali and Suriname are governed by mineral agreements with local governments that establish the terms and conditions under which the Company's affairs are conducted. These agreements are subject to international arbitration and cover a number of items, including the duration and renewal terms of exploration permits and mining licenses/operating permits; supply and repayment of funds for capital investments; the right to export production; distribution of dividends; shareholder rights and obligations for the Company, joint venture partners, and the government in respect of their ownership; labour matters; the right to hold funds in foreign bank accounts and in foreign currencies; taxation rates; and the right to repatriate capital and profits.

While the governments of most of the countries the Company operates in have modernized or are in the process of modernizing their mining regimes and are generally considered by the Company to be mining friendly, no assurances can be provided that this will continue in the future. The economy and political systems of Suriname, Burkina Faso and Mali should be considered to be less predictable than in countries such as Canada and the United States.

It is possible that a current or future government may adopt substantially different policies or take arbitrary action which might halt exploration or production, nationalize assets or cancel contracts and/or mining and exploration rights and/or make changes in taxation treatment any of which could have a material and adverse effect on the Company's future cash flows, earnings, results of operations and/or financial condition.

Security risks

The Company has operations in foreign countries which may present security risks such as civil unrest, war or terrorism. The Company may be exposed to situations or persons that may pose security threats to personnel and facilities. Loss of life, intellectual property, physical assets and reputation can have a devastating impact on the business and the workforce.

There has been an increase of terrorist incidents and activities around the world, including in the Sahel area in Africa, in which the Company's Essakane mine is located. Jihadist activities in Mali and Burkina Faso have increased, presenting a serious security risk to the Company's Burkinabe and Malian operations and its personnel. The proximity to other volatile regions increases this threat, in combination with porous borders.

Acquisitions and divestitures

The Company may pursue the acquisition or disposition of producing, development or advanced or early stage exploration properties and companies. The search for attractive acquisition opportunities and dispositions of existing assets and the completion of suitable transactions are time consuming and expensive, and may be unsuccessful. The Company's success in its acquisition and disposition activities depends on its ability to identify suitable candidates, negotiate acceptable terms for any such transaction, obtain necessary regulatory approvals and integrate the acquired operations successfully with those of the Company. Any acquisition or disposition that the Company may choose to complete may be of a significant size, may change the scale of the Company's business and operations and may expose the Company to new geographic, political, operational, financial and geological risks. For example, there may be a significant change in commodity prices after the Company has committed to complete an acquisition and established the purchase price or share exchange ratio; a material ore body may prove to be below expectations; the Company may have difficulty integrating and assimilating the operations and personnel of any acquired companies, realizing anticipated synergies, maximizing the financial and strategic position of the combined enterprise, and maintaining uniform standards, policies and controls across the organization; the integration of the acquired business or assets may disrupt the Company's ongoing business and its relationships with employees, suppliers and contractors; and the acquired business or assets may have unknown liabilities which may be significant. There can be no assurance that the Company would be successful in overcoming these risks or any other problems encountered in connection with such acquisitions or dispositions. Dispositions of assets may result in reduction of the Company's consolidated mineral reserves and mineral resources.

Health risks

The Company is exposed to pandemics like malaria and other diseases, such as dengue, chikungunya, Zika and other flu like viruses (e.g. avian, swine). Such pandemics and diseases represent a serious threat to maintaining a skilled workforce in the mining industry in Africa and in South America and are a major healthcare challenge for the Company.

In addition, unsafe work conditions or equipment, transportation of personnel or insufficient worker training may expose personnel to potentially serious occupational and workplace accidents causing injuries and/or potential fatalities while working at, or travelling to or from, an operating mine. Defective electrical wires or the short circuit of equipment may cause a major fire at the Westwood mine. In addition, with the development of the Westwood mine, personnel are exposed to heat stress due to the increase in temperature at deeper levels which may result in heatstroke and loss of productivity. The Company's employees are also exposed to chemical, biological and physical agents that may result in occupational illnesses like, but not limited to, Raynaud's disease, exposure to arsenic or respiratory ailments, cancers and hearing loss.

There can be no assurance that the Company will not lose members of its workforce or see its workforce productivity reduced or incur increased medical costs/insurance premiums as a result of these health risks, which could have a material and adverse effect on the Company's future cash flows, earnings, results of operations and financial condition.

Environmental and health and safety issues

The Company's mining and processing operations and exploration activities are subject to extensive laws and regulations, including, but not limited to, those governing the protection and rehabilitation or remediation of the environment, exploration, mine development, production, exports, taxes, labour standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, mine and worker safety, relations with neighbouring communities, protection of endangered and other special status species and other matters.

A major spill or failure of the tailings facilities may cause damage to the environment and the communities. Poor design or poor maintenance of the tailings dam structures or improper management of site water may contribute to dam failure or tailings release and could also result in damage or injury. Failure to comply with applicable environmental, health and safety laws and regulations may result in injunctions, fines, suspension or revocation of permits and other penalties. The costs and delays associated with compliance with these laws, regulations and permits could prevent the Company from proceeding with the development of a project

or the operation or further development of a mine or increase the costs of development or production and may materially adversely affect the Company's business, results of operations, or financial condition. The Company may also be held responsible for the costs of investigating and addressing contamination (including claims for natural resource damages) or for fines or penalties from governmental authorities relating to contamination issues at current or former sites, either owned directly or by third parties. The Company could also be held liable for claims relating to exposure to hazardous and toxic substances and major spills or failure of the tailing facilities, which could include a breach of a tailings dam. The costs associated with such responsibilities and liabilities may be significant, be higher than estimated and involve a lengthy clean-up.

Despite all measures undertaken by the Company on its own accord and/or implementing recommendations from external reviews, which include the application of high operating standards and proactive governance and oversight measures including engagement of third party specialists, the Company may be liable to third parties for exposure through contamination, emissions and hazardous materials. The costs associated from such liabilities can be substantial and the payment of such liabilities could have a material adverse effect on the Company's ongoing operations. Should the Company be unable to fully fund the cost of remedying such environmental concerns, the Company may be required to suspend operations temporarily or permanently.

Cyanide is used in the gold leaching process, which makes emissions, effluents and waste a key issue for the Company. The measures taken to prevent and mitigate the potential environmental harm caused by the Company's use of cyanide, including corrective action taken to address the detection of cyanide and other metals in the groundwater near the Essakane mine, and any additional measures required to address effluent compliance, fines and costs and/or the effluent quality at any location may have a negative impact on the Company's financial condition and/or results of operations.

In certain countries in which the Company has operations, it is required to submit, for government approval, a reclamation plan for each of its mining sites that establishes the Company's obligation to reclaim property after minerals have been mined from the site. In some jurisdictions, bonds, letters of credit or other forms of financial assurances are required as security for these reclamation activities. The Company may incur significant costs in connection with these reclamation activities, which may materially exceed the provisions the Company has made for such reclamation. In addition, the unknown nature of possible future additional regulatory requirements and the potential for additional reclamation activities create further uncertainties related to future reclamation costs, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, liquidity or results of operations.

Failure of the hydrostatic plug at the Westwood mine

With the closure of the Doyon mine, a hydrostatic plug was built and installed to separate the underground workings of the Doyon and Westwood mines permanently and completely and allow disposal of the Westwood mine tailings in the Doyon pit. It is possible that over time, the plug might deteriorate or there might be some fracture of the rock mass which may damage the hydrostatic plug and cause it to fail resulting in flooding of the Westwood mine and unwanted discharge and contamination. If such an event were to occur, it may have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, liquidity or results of operations.

Permitting

The operations and exploration and development projects of the Company require licenses and permits from various governmental authorities to exploit and expand its properties, and the process for obtaining and renewing licenses and permits from governmental authorities often takes an extended period of time and is subject to numerous delays, costs and uncertainties. Any unexpected delays or costs or failure to obtain such licenses or permits associated with the permitting process could delay or prevent the development of the Côte Gold, Saramacca or other development project or impede the operation of a mine, which could adversely impact the Company's operations, profitability and financial results. In the case of the SSP at the Sadiola mine, the current mining convention agreement with the Government of Mali will expire in 2020 and the Company may be unable to obtain an extension of it under favourable terms or appropriate permit and power agreement approvals and fiscal terms from the Government of Mali in which case the SSP may not proceed. Changes in market conditions and long delays may negatively impact the project.

The licenses and permits described above are subject to change in various circumstances. Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations may result in injunctions, fines, suspensions or revocation of permits and licenses, and other penalties. There can be no assurance that the Company has been or will be at all times in compliance with all such laws and regulations and with its licenses and permits or that the Company has all required licenses and permits in connection with its operations. The Company may be unable, on a timely basis, to obtain, renew or maintain in the future all necessary licenses and permits that may be required to explore and develop its properties, maintain the operation of mining facilities and properties under exploration or development or to maintain continued operations that economically justify the cost.

The Company's ability to obtain and maintain required permits and approvals and to successfully operate in particular communities may be adversely impacted by real or perceived detrimental events associated with the Company's activities or those of other resource companies affecting the environment, human health and safety of the surrounding communities. Delays in obtaining or failure to obtain, renew, or retain government permits and approvals may adversely affect the Company's operations, including its ability to explore or develop properties, commence production or continue operations.

Land title

The validity of exploration, development and mining interests and the underlying mineral claims, mining claims, mining leases, tenements and other forms of land and mineral tenure held by the Company, which fundamentally constitute the Company's property holdings, can be uncertain and may be contested and the Company's properties are subject to various encumbrances, including royalties. The loss of any such exploration, development, mining or property interests, individually or in the aggregate, could have a material adverse effect on the Company, which could cause a significant decline in the trading price of the common shares.

The acquisition of an interest in mineral properties is a very detailed and time consuming process, and the Company's interest in its properties may be affected by prior unregistered encumbrances, agreements or transfers, or undetected defects. Several of the Company's claims, leases, licenses, permits or authorizations will need to be renewed and on renewal, if renewed, the claim, lease, license, permit or authorization may cover a smaller area. There is a risk that the Company may not have free and clear or good and marketable title to all of its property interests, or that they may be subject to challenge or impugned in the future. Although the Company has attempted to acquire satisfactory interests in its properties, some risk exists that some interests, particularly interests to exploration and undeveloped properties, may be defective. A successful challenge to the Company's interests in its properties could result in the Company being unable to operate on its properties as anticipated or being unable to enforce its rights with respect to its properties which could have a material adverse effect on the Company. Assuming the Company has good and marketable title to its immediate operating interests, in order to operate efficiently, the Company may further need to acquire additional interests, such as surface rights, easements or rights of way, which may encroach on the title to property of third parties. There is no guarantee that such further interests, easements or rights of way necessary for the Company's operations may be acquired by the Company and the failure to acquire same, or to acquire the same in a timely fashion, may materially impede the Company's operations or development projects, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company and which could cause a significant decline in the Company's stock price.

Failure by the Company to meet its payment and other obligations pursuant to laws governing its mineral claims, mining claims, mining leases, tenements and other forms of land and mineral tenure could result in the loss of its material property interests which could have a material adverse effect on the Company and which could cause a significant decline in the Company's stock price.

Competitors

The Company competes with other mining companies and individuals, including competitors with greater financial, technical or other resources, for mining interests on attractive exploration properties and the acquisition of mining assets. This may increase the risk of higher costs when acquiring suitable claims, properties and assets or of even making such acquisitions on terms acceptable to the Company. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to compete successfully with its competitors in acquiring such properties and assets.

Force majeure

The Company's business is subject to a number of risks and hazards generally, including, without limitation, adverse environmental conditions and hazards, unavailability of materials and equipment, adverse property ownership claims, unusual or unexpected geological conditions, ground or slope failures, pit wall failures, rock bursts, rock falls, landslides, cave-ins, deterioration of the surrounding ground, dam failures, floods, fire, seismic activity, earthquakes, unanticipated site conditions, changes in the regulatory environment, industrial accidents, including those involving personal injuries and/or fatalities, labour force disruptions or disputes, gold bullion losses due to natural disasters or theft and other natural or human-provoked incidents that could affect the mining of ore and the Company's mining operations and development projects, most of which are beyond the Company's control, and many of which are not economically insurable. In addition, the Company has encountered other natural phenomena such as severe weather conditions which include considerable rainfall at the Rosebel and Sadiola mines or drought, water shortages or sand storms at the Essakane mine. These risks and hazards could result in damage to, or destruction of, mineral properties or production facilities, personal injury or death, environmental damage to the Company's properties or the properties of others, delays in mining, monetary losses and possible legal liability.

As a result, production could fall below historic or estimated levels and the Company may incur significant costs or experience significant delays that could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial performance, liquidity and results of operations.

Geotechnical

Mining, by its very nature, involves the excavation of soils and rocks. The stability of the ground during and after excavation involves a complicated interaction of static and dynamic stresses (including induced stresses such as blasting), gravity, rock strength, rock structures (such as faults, joints, and bedding), groundwater pressures and other geomechanical factors. Underground workings, pit slopes, and other excavations may be subject to local or widespread geotechnical failure should the forces acting on the rock mass exceed the strength of that rock mass.

Additionally, excavated ore and waste may be deposited in dumps or stockpiles, or used in the construction of tailings dams and roads or other civil structures, which may be very large. These dumps, stockpiles, dams, etc. may also be subject to geotechnical failure due to over-steepening, seismically induced destabilization, water saturation, material degradation, settling, overtopping, foundation failure or other factors.

The Company employs internal geotechnical experts, external consultants and third party reviewers and auditors who use industry-standard engineering data gathering, analyses, techniques and processes to manage the geotechnical risks associated with the

design and operation of a mine and the related civil structures. However, due to unforeseen situations and to the complexity of these rock masses and large rock and soil civil structures, geotechnical failures may still occur which could result in the temporary or permanent closure of all or part of a mining operation, injuries to mine personnel or others, and/or damage to mine infrastructure, equipment or facilities, which materially impacts mineral production and/or results in additional costs to repair or recover from such geotechnical failures and the resulting damage.

The Westwood mine in Québec experienced seismic events in 2015 which resulted in the temporary closure of some working areas, and subsequent rehabilitation and re-opening of the affected areas following extensive geotechnical evaluation and redesign. In September 2017, a localized strain burst occurred in an isolated development heading, resulting in injuries to three workers. The 2017 event did not affect the production plan, but has resulted in modifications to the development sequence in some sectors of the mine.

The Company cannot guarantee that another severe seismic event or strain burst would not occur which could impact the development and production ramp-up due to deep mining, rock strength, variability of the rock mass and regional seismic activity.

Insurance and uninsured risks

Where economically feasible and based on availability of coverage, a number of operational, financial and political risks are transferred to insurance companies. The availability of such insurance is dependent on the Company's past insurance losses and records and general market conditions. Available insurance does not cover all of the potential risks associated with a mining company's operations. The Company may also be unable to maintain insurance to cover insurable risks at economically feasible premiums, insurance coverage may not be available in the future or may not be adequate to cover any resulting loss, and the ability to claim under existing policies may be contested. Moreover, insurance against risks such as the validity and ownership of unpatented mining claims and mill sites and environmental pollution or other hazards as a result of exploration and production is not generally available to the Company or to other companies in the mining industry on acceptable terms. As a result, the Company might become subject to liability for environmental damage or other hazards for which it is completely or partially uninsured or for which it elects not to insure because of premium costs or other reasons. Losses from these events may cause the Company to incur significant costs that could have a material adverse effect upon its financial condition and results of operations.

Joint ventures

The Company operates certain of its properties through joint ventures and is subject to the risks normally associated with the conduct of joint ventures.

Risks relating to joint ventures include reduced ability to exert control over strategic, tactical and operational decisions made in respect of such properties; disagreement with partners on how to develop and operate mines efficiently; inability of partners to meet their obligations to the joint venture or third parties; and litigation between partners regarding joint venture matters. Any failure of such joint venture partners to meet their obligations to the Company or to third parties, or any disputes with respect to the parties' respective rights and obligations, could have a material adverse effect on the joint ventures or their respective properties, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and/or results of operations.

Non-controlled assets

Some of the Company's assets are controlled and managed by other companies or joint venture partners. Some of the Company's partners may have divergent business objectives and/or practices which may impact business and financial results. Management of the Company's joint venture assets may not comply with the Company's management and operating standards, controls and procedures (including with respect to health, safety and the environment). Failure to adopt equivalent standards, controls and procedures at these assets or improper management or ineffective policies, procedures or controls could not only adversely affect the value of the related non-managed assets and operations but could also lead to higher costs and reduced production and adversely impact the Company's results and reputation and future access to new assets.

The Sadiola mine has a limited number of options to continue operations, as oxide ore is being depleted and any delays or failure to develop the SSP will lead to an early closure of the mine or put the operation on temporary care and maintenance. The delay or lack of approval of the SSP by the Government of Mali and the failure to reach an agreement with the Company's joint venture partner has changed the economics of the SSP's development in light of the current life of mine for the SSP. In addition, there may be insufficient availability and reliability of the grid power to supply the SSP and the electricity costs might be higher than planned. The SSP may become uneconomic and mining may cease in 2018, which will lead to a temporary suspension of activities or even an early closure of the mine. This will probably result in potential write-offs of assets for the Sadiola mine.

Infrastructure and water access

Certain operations of the Company are carried out in geographical areas both inside and outside Canada which lack adequate infrastructure and are subject to various other risk factors, including the availability of sufficient water supplies.

Mining, processing, development and exploration activities depend, to one degree or another, on adequate infrastructure. Reliable roads, bridges, power sources, and water supply are important determinants which affect capital and operating costs. Lack of such infrastructure or unusual or infrequent weather phenomena, sabotage, terrorism, community constraints, government or other interference in the maintenance or provision of such infrastructure could adversely affect the Company's operations, financial condition and/or results of operations.

The Company's failure to obtain needed water permits, the loss of some or all of the Company's water rights for any of its mines or shortages of water due to drought or loss of water permits could require the Company to curtail or close mining production and could prevent the Company from pursuing expansion opportunities.

Community risk

Surrounding communities may affect or threaten the security of the mining operations through the restriction of access of supplies and the workforce to the mine site or the conduct of artisanal and illegal mining at or near the mine sites. Certain of the material properties of the Company may be subject to the rights or asserted rights of various community stakeholders, including aboriginal and indigenous peoples, through legal challenges relating to ownership rights or rights to artisanal mining.

Threats to the security of the mines and its personnel due to artisanal mining, political unrest, civil wars or terrorist attacks may adversely impact the Company's mining operations. Artisanal miners may make use of some or all of the Company's properties. The Company is exposed to artisanal and illegal mining activities in close proximity to its operations that may cause environmental issues and disruptions to its operations and relationships with governments and local communities. Existing legislation in Suriname is outdated with respect to the management of illegal miners and this, when combined with lax enforcement of the current legislation, has a negative impact on the Company's operations. It is difficult for the Company to control access to concessions due to the size of the Rosebel mine's operations and the geographical characteristics and topography of the site. The Saramacca satellite operation to Rosebel may be exposed to similar challenges.

Similarly, the unstable political environment in Burkina Faso may lead to protests and potential destabilization of the country. This risk combined with security risks has resulted in increased costs for securing the Essakane mine site and protecting its workers and facilities. In addition, there are artisanal miners operating in the vicinity of the Essakane mine, which also presents challenges for the Company.

Engagement with indigenous peoples in Canada has recently become more contested in the wake of several decisions by the Supreme Court of Canada that have expanded First Nations' rights and consultation requirements within the context of resource development. These decisions have heightened the risks for mining companies in Canada. Many First Nations communities have increased their advocacy with respect to claimed entitlements regarding resource development projects within their traditional territories. The Company is continuing its engagement activity with both First Nations and the Métis on the Côté Gold Project in Ontario. In Québec, the Company has been approached by the Abitibiwinni First Nations regarding the Westwood mine and will be increasing its engagement with this First Nation community going forward.

Operations, development projects, or exploration activities could be impacted through access blockages, equipment or property damage, permitting delays or blockages, or other impediments as a result of community actions, actions by artisanal miners, or as a result of actions related to aboriginal or indigenous relationships, which may have a material negative impact on the Company.

Information systems security threats

The Company is reliant on the continuous and uninterrupted operation of its Information Technology ("IT") systems. User access and security of all sites and corporate IT systems can be critical elements to the operations of the Company. Protection against cyber security incidents, cloud security and security of all of the Company's IT systems are critical to the operations of the Company. Any IT failure pertaining to availability, access or system security could result in disruption for personnel and could adversely affect the reputation, operations or financial performance of the Company.

The Company's IT systems could be compromised by unauthorized parties attempting to extract business sensitive, confidential or personal information, denial of access extortion, corrupting information or disrupting business processes or by inadvertent or intentional actions by the Company's employees or vendors. A cyber security incident resulting in a security breach or failure to identify a security threat could disrupt business and could result in the loss of business sensitive, confidential or personal information or other assets, as well as litigation, regulatory enforcement, violation of privacy or securities laws and regulations, and remediation costs, which could materially impact the Company's business or reputation.

Climate change

The Company acknowledges climate change and that the increased regulation of greenhouse gas emissions (such as carbon taxes) may adversely affect the Company's operations, and related legislation is becoming more stringent. The effects of climate change or extreme weather events may cause prolonged disruption to operations and/or the delivery of essential commodities which could negatively affect production efficiency.

The Company makes efforts to mitigate climate risks by ensuring that extreme weather conditions are included in its emergency response plans. However, there is no assurance that the response will be effective or that the physical risks of climate change will not have an adverse effect on the Company's operations and profitability. Canada's federal and provincial legislations impose mandatory greenhouse gas emissions reporting requirements. The Company's Westwood mine in the Province of Québec is subject to a cap-and-trade regulation. The Company's Côté Gold Project in the Province of Ontario will be subject to a similar cap-and-trade regulation.

Innovation

With volatility in the price of gold and the Company's focus on cost reductions and higher efficiencies, the Company has limited funds available for investment in innovation and new technology. The Company deals with particularly challenging environments both in the underground and open pit operations. While the Company has made progress in leveraging technology such as solar panels for energy and the use of electrical mobile equipment, the Company may not be able to keep pace with innovations affecting the mining industry and leverage technology that may further drive investment and growth.

Preliminary economic assessments of development projects

The Company internally and/or along with third party specialists conducts preliminary economic assessment on greenfield and brownfield projects to evaluate the economic viability of the project and to identify any additional work necessary to complete more advanced mining studies. The results of the preliminary economic assessment represent forward-looking information that are subject to a number of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated in such information. Such information speaks only as of the date of the assessment report, and is based on a number of assumptions which are believed to be valid as of that date but which may prove to be incorrect in the future. The preliminary economic assessment is exploratory in nature and may include inferred mineral resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as mineral reserves. A preliminary economic assessment may show a positive financial return and can be used to support a decision to proceed to more advanced mining studies, however, there is no certainty that the preliminary economic assessment may be realized.

The analyses in preliminary economic assessment are based on, among other things, royalty rates, mineral resources included in the mine plan, ore treated in the process plant, support from the projected infrastructure requirements, doré marketing assumptions, permitting, social and environmental regime considerations and capital and operating cost estimates.

Advanced project development studies

The Company internally and/or along with third party specialists conducts advanced project development studies, including pre-feasibility and feasibility studies to advance and demonstrate the economic viability of the project and to further refine the engineering designs, mine plans, ore body models, infrastructure and environmental requirements, capital and operating costs and financial models. The results of the advanced project development studies represent forward-looking information that are subject to a number of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated in such information. Such information speaks only as of the date of the assessment report, and is based on a number of assumptions which are believed to be valid as of that date but which may prove to be incorrect in the future. Advanced project development studies are intended to provide an increased level of analysis versus preliminary economic assessments, however they are still only estimated to a relatively wide confidence interval and there is no certainty that the projected economic and production results may be realized.

NON-GAAP¹ PERFORMANCE MEASURES

The Company uses certain non-GAAP financial performance measures in its MD&A, which are described in the following section.

GOLD MARGIN

The Company's MD&A refers to gold margin per ounce, a non-GAAP performance measure, in order to provide investors with information about the measure used by management to monitor the performance of its gold mines. The information allows management to assess how well the gold mines are performing, relative to the plan and to prior periods, as well as assess the overall effectiveness and efficiency of gold operations.

In periods of volatile gold prices, profitability changes with altering cut-off gold grades. Such a decision to alter the cut-off gold grade will typically result in a change to total cash costs per ounce, but it is equally important to recognize gold margins also change at a similar rate. While mining lower-grade ore results in less gold being processed in any given period, over the long-run it allows the Company to optimize the production of profitable gold, thereby maximizing the Company's total financial returns over the life of the mine to maximize the total value of the asset going forward. At the same time, the site operating teams seek to achieve the best performance in terms of cost per tonne mined, cost per tonne processed and overheads.

Gold margin per ounce does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by IFRS, is unlikely to be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with IFRS.

¹ GAAP – Generally accepted accounting principles.

| (\$/oz of gold) | Three months ended December 31, | | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Average realized gold price ¹ | \$ 1,277 | \$ 1,190 | \$ 1,261 | \$ 1,244 |
| Total cash costs ^{2,3} | 751 | 740 | 755 | 739 |
| Gold margin | \$ 526 | \$ 450 | \$ 506 | \$ 505 |

¹ Refer to the section below.

² Refer to page 44 for calculation.

³ Consists of Essakane, Rosebel, Westwood and the Joint Ventures on an attributable basis.

AVERAGE REALIZED GOLD PRICE PER OUNCE SOLD

Average realized gold price per ounce sold is intended to enable management to understand the average realized price of gold sold in each reporting period after removing the impact of non-gold revenues and by-product credits.

Average realized gold price per ounce sold does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by IFRS, is unlikely to be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with IFRS.

The following table provides a reconciliation of average realized gold price per ounce sold to revenues as per the consolidated financial statements.

| (\$ millions, except where noted) | Three months ended December 31, | | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Revenues | \$ 291.1 | \$ 252.5 | \$ 1,094.9 | \$ 987.1 |
| Royalty revenues | (0.1) | (0.1) | (0.4) | (0.4) |
| By-product credits and other revenues | (1.4) | (0.8) | (5.1) | (3.9) |
| Revenues - owner-operator | \$ 289.6 | \$ 251.6 | \$ 1,089.4 | \$ 982.8 |
| Sales - owner-operator (000s oz) | 227 | 212 | 864 | 790 |
| Average realized gold price per ounce ¹ - owner-operator (\$/oz) | \$ 1,277 | \$ 1,187 | \$ 1,261 | \$ 1,244 |
| Revenues - Joint Ventures | \$ 23.4 | \$ 25.9 | \$ 82.0 | \$ 93.4 |
| Sales - Joint Ventures (000s oz) | 18 | 21 | 65 | 75 |
| Average realized gold price per ounce ¹ - Joint Ventures (\$/oz) | \$ 1,276 | \$ 1,217 | \$ 1,259 | \$ 1,244 |
| Average realized gold price per ounce ^{1,2} (\$/oz) | \$ 1,277 | \$ 1,190 | \$ 1,261 | \$ 1,244 |

¹ Average realized gold price per ounce sold may not calculate based on amounts presented in this table due to rounding.

² Average realized gold price per ounce sold, consists of Essakane, Rosebel, Westwood and the Joint Ventures on an attributable basis.

NET CASH FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES BEFORE CHANGES IN WORKING CAPITAL

The Company makes reference to a non-GAAP performance measure for net cash from operating activities before changes in working capital. Working capital can be volatile due to numerous factors including a build-up or reduction of inventories. Management believes by excluding these items, this non-GAAP measure provides investors with the ability to better evaluate the cash flow performance of the Company.

Net cash from operating activities before changes in working capital does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by IFRS, is unlikely to be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with IFRS.

The following table provides a reconciliation of net cash from operating activities before changes in working capital to net cash from operating activities.

| (\$ millions, except where noted) | Three months ended December 31, | | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Net cash from operating activities | \$ 65.2 | \$ 63.8 | \$ 295.3 | \$ 311.1 |
| Adjusting items from non-cash working capital items and non-current ore stockpiles | | | | |
| Receivables and other current assets | 11.9 | 6.2 | 1.8 | 6.7 |
| Inventories and non-current ore stockpiles | 0.7 | (2.8) | 21.3 | (6.2) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (9.6) | (4.6) | (24.4) | (20.1) |
| Net cash from operating activities before changes in working capital | \$ 68.2 | \$ 62.6 | \$ 294.0 | \$ 291.5 |

ADJUSTED NET EARNINGS (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO EQUITY HOLDERS

Adjusted net earnings (loss) attributable to equity holders of IAMGOLD and adjusted net earnings (loss) attributable to equity holders of IAMGOLD per share are non-GAAP performance measures. Management believes these measures better reflect the Company's performance for the current period and are better indications of its expected performance in future periods. These measures are used internally by the Company to evaluate the performance of its underlying operations and to assist with its planning and forecasting of future operating results. As such, the Company believes these measures are useful to investors in assessing the Company's underlying performance. These measures are intended to provide additional information, but are unlikely to be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. These measures do not have any standardized meaning prescribed by IFRS, are unlikely to be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with IFRS. Adjusted net earnings (loss) attributable to equity holders of IAMGOLD represents net earnings (loss) attributable to equity holders excluding certain impacts, net of taxes, such as impairment reversal, gain on sale of a 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project, loss on redemption of 6.75% Senior Notes, gain or loss on sales of assets, unrealized derivative gain or loss, foreign exchange gain or loss, write-down of assets and changes in estimates of asset retirement obligations at closed sites. These measures are not necessarily indicative of net earnings or cash flows as determined under IFRS.

The following table provides a reconciliation of earnings before income taxes and non-controlling interests as per the Consolidated statements of earnings, to adjusted net earnings (loss) attributable to equity holders of IAMGOLD.

| (\$ millions, except where noted) | Three months ended December 31, | | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|---------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Earnings (loss) before income taxes and non-controlling interests | \$ 13.4 | \$ (3.8) | \$ 608.1 | \$ 95.2 |
| Adjusted items: | | | | |
| Reversal of impairment charges | — | — | (524.1) | — |
| Gain on sale of a 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project | — | — | (19.2) | — |
| Loss on redemption of 6.75% Senior Notes | — | — | 20.2 | — |
| Gain on sale of gold bullion | — | — | — | (72.9) |
| Changes in estimates of asset retirement obligations at closed sites | 8.4 | (13.1) | 7.5 | (9.8) |
| Unrealized (gains) losses on embedded derivative and warrants | 2.3 | 3.0 | (3.1) | (3.5) |
| Realized derivative losses | — | 1.2 | — | 3.6 |
| Normalization of costs at Westwood | — | 9.4 | 0.7 | 26.4 |
| Write-down of assets | 0.8 | 1.9 | 6.4 | 5.2 |
| Foreign exchange (gain) loss | 1.5 | 6.2 | (7.3) | 5.2 |
| Gain on purchase of 6.75% Senior Notes | — | — | — | (4.0) |
| Other | — | — | 4.1 | 2.8 |
| | 13.0 | 8.6 | (514.8) | (47.0) |
| Adjusted earnings before income taxes and non-controlling interests | 26.4 | 4.8 | 93.3 | 48.2 |
| Income taxes | (30.3) | 1.0 | (97.6) | (33.4) |
| Tax adjustments | (9.1) | — | 42.5 | (1.7) |
| Non-controlling interests | (0.8) | (2.5) | (8.9) | (9.2) |
| Adjusted net earnings (loss) attributable to equity holders of IAMGOLD | \$ (13.8) | \$ 3.3 | \$ 29.3 | \$ 3.9 |
| Adjusted net earnings (loss) attributable to equity holders (\$/share) | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.01 |
| Basic weighted average number of common shares outstanding (millions) | 465.2 | 451.8 | 463.0 | 420.8 |
| Effective adjusted tax rate (%) | 149% | (21)% | 59% | 73% |

After adjusting reported earnings for those items not considered representative of the Company's core business or indicative of future operations, the Company had an adjusted net loss in the fourth quarter 2017 of \$13.8 million and adjusted net earnings of \$29.3 million in the year ended 2017.

TOTAL CASH COSTS PER OUNCE PRODUCED

The Company's MD&A refers to total cash costs per ounce produced, a non-GAAP performance measure, in order to provide investors with information about a key measure used by management to monitor performance. This information is used to assess how well the producing gold mines are performing compared to plan and prior periods, and also to assess their overall effectiveness and efficiency.

Total cash costs are calculated in accordance with a standard developed by the Gold Institute, which was a worldwide association of gold and gold product suppliers, including leading North American gold producers. The Gold Institute ceased operations in 2002, the standard is still an accepted measure of reporting cash costs of gold production in North America. Adoption of the standard is voluntary, the cost measures presented herein may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies. Costs include mine site operating costs such as mining, processing, administration, royalties, production taxes, and realized derivative gains or losses, exclusive of depreciation, reclamation, capital expenditures and exploration and evaluation costs. These costs are then divided by the Company's attributable ounces of gold produced by mine sites in commercial production to arrive at the total cash costs per ounce produced.

The measure, along with revenues, is considered to be one of the key indicators of a company's ability to generate operating earnings and cash flow from its mining operations. Total cash costs does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by IFRS, is unlikely to be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with IFRS. These measures are not necessarily indicative of net earnings or cash flow from operating activities as determined under IFRS.

The following table provides a reconciliation of total cash costs per ounce produced for gold mines to cost of sales, excluding depreciation expense as per the consolidated financial statements.

| (\$ millions, except where noted) | Three months ended December 31, | | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Cost of sales ¹ , excluding depreciation expense | \$ 181.8 | \$ 165.2 | \$ 676.6 | \$ 623.6 |
| Less: cost of sales for non-gold segments ² , excluding depreciation expense | — | (0.1) | — | 0.9 |
| Cost of sales for gold segments, excluding depreciation expense | 181.8 | 165.3 | 676.6 | 622.7 |
| Adjust for: | | | | |
| By-product credit (excluded from cost of sales) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (2.9) | (2.2) |
| Stock movement | (5.8) | (0.1) | 3.6 | (5.3) |
| Realized derivative losses ³ | — | (0.8) | — | (3.1) |
| Normalization of costs at Westwood | — | (9.4) | (0.7) | (26.4) |
| Other mining costs | (9.0) | (7.6) | (26.8) | (20.8) |
| Cost attributed to non-controlling interests ⁴ | (10.9) | (10.2) | (43.2) | (39.4) |
| | (26.3) | (28.7) | (70.0) | (97.2) |
| Total cash costs - owner-operator | \$ 155.5 | \$ 136.6 | \$ 606.6 | \$ 525.5 |
| Attributable gold production - owner-operator (000s oz) | 210 | 197 | 816 | 738 |
| Total cash costs ^{5,6} - owner-operator (\$/oz) | \$ 739 | \$ 695 | \$ 743 | \$ 712 |
| Total cash costs - Joint Ventures | \$ 16.1 | \$ 22.4 | \$ 59.8 | \$ 74.9 |
| Attributable gold production - Joint Ventures (000s oz) | 18 | 18 | 66 | 75 |
| Total cash costs ^{5,6} - Joint Ventures (\$/oz) | \$ 882 | \$ 1,231 | \$ 909 | \$ 996 |
| Total cash costs ^{5,6} | \$ 171.6 | \$ 159.0 | \$ 666.4 | \$ 600.4 |
| Total attributable gold production (000s oz) | 228 | 215 | 882 | 813 |
| Total cash costs ^{5,6} (\$/oz) | \$ 751 | \$ 740 | \$ 755 | \$ 739 |

¹ As per note 37 of the Company's annual consolidated financial statements.

² Non-gold segments consist of Exploration and evaluation and Corporate.

³ Excluded from the fourth quarter and year ended 2016 was the amortization of the loss on early termination of derivative contracts.

⁴ Adjustments for the consolidation of Essakane (90%) and Rosebel (95%) to their attributable portion of cost of sales.

⁵ Total cash costs per ounce produced may not calculate based on amounts presented in this table due to rounding.

⁶ Consists of Essakane, Rosebel, Westwood and the Joint Ventures on an attributable basis.

ALL-IN SUSTAINING COSTS PER OUNCE SOLD

The Company believes, although relevant, the current total cash costs measure commonly used in the gold industry does not capture the sustaining expenditures incurred in producing gold, therefore, may not present a complete picture of a company's operating performance or its ability to generate free cash flow from its current operations. For these reasons, members of the World Gold Council ("WGC") defined an all-in sustaining costs measure which better represents the costs associated with producing gold. The WGC is a non-profit association of the world's leading gold mining companies, established in 1987 to promote the use of gold.

The all-in sustaining costs ("AISC") per ounce sold measure better meets the needs of analysts, investors and other stakeholders of the Company in assessing its operating performance and its ability to generate free cash flow. The definition of AISC, on an attributable basis, commences with cost of sales, excluding depreciation expense, and includes sustaining capital expenditures, sustaining exploration and evaluation expenses, environmental rehabilitation accretion and depreciation, by-product credits, corporate general and administrative costs. Classified as sustaining capital are expenditures which are required to maintain existing operations, including capitalized stripping, underground mine development costs relating to producing areas, ongoing replacement of mine equipment and capital spares, tailings and other facilities, capitalized brownfield exploration costs and other capital expenditures.

This measure seeks to represent the cost of selling gold from current operations, and therefore does not include capital expenditures attributable to development projects or mine expansions, greenfield exploration expenses, income tax payments, working capital defined as current assets less current liabilities (except for inventory adjustments), items needed to normalize earnings, interest costs or dividend payments.

Consequently, this measure is not representative of all of the Company's cash expenditures and is not indicative of the Company's overall profitability. The calculation of AISC per ounce sold is based on the Company's attributable interest in sales from its gold mines. The usage of an attributable interest presentation is a fairer and more accurate way to measure economic performance than using a consolidated basis. The Company reports the AISC per ounce sold measure on an attributable sales basis, compared with the Company's current total cash costs presentation, which is on an attributable production basis.

The Company reports the measure with and without a deduction for by-product credits and reports the measure for its owner-operator mines (includes Essakane, Rosebel, Westwood), and in total (includes owner-operator mines and Joint Ventures).

AISC does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by IFRS, is unlikely to be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with IFRS. This measure is not necessarily indicative of net earnings or cash flow from operating activities as determined under IFRS.

| (\$ millions, attributable, except where noted) | Three months ended December 31, | | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| AISC - owner-operator | | | | |
| Cost of sales ¹ , excluding depreciation expense | \$ 169.8 | \$ 154.2 | \$ 631.0 | \$ 580.7 |
| Sustaining capital expenditures ¹ | 42.0 | 34.4 | 129.0 | 178.2 |
| By-product credit, excluded from cost of sales | (0.6) | (0.6) | (2.8) | (2.1) |
| Corporate general and administrative costs ² | 12.4 | 9.3 | 39.8 | 35.1 |
| Realized derivative losses ³ | — | (0.8) | — | (3.0) |
| Environmental rehabilitation accretion and depreciation | 2.4 | 2.6 | 10.3 | 11.3 |
| Normalization of costs at Westwood | — | (9.4) | (0.7) | (26.4) |
| | \$ 226.0 | \$ 189.7 | \$ 806.6 | \$ 773.8 |
| AISC - Joint Ventures | | | | |
| Cost of sales for Joint Ventures, excluding depreciation expense | \$ 18.3 | \$ 25.5 | \$ 59.4 | \$ 76.5 |
| Adjustments to cost of sales ⁴ - Joint Ventures | 2.1 | 1.4 | 7.2 | 3.5 |
| | \$ 20.4 | \$ 26.9 | \$ 66.6 | \$ 80.0 |
| AISC⁵ | \$ 246.4 | \$ 216.6 | \$ 873.2 | \$ 853.8 |
| Attributable gold sales - owner-operator (000s oz) | 212 | 197 | 806 | 733 |
| AISC - owner-operator ⁶ (\$/oz) | \$ 1,068 | \$ 966 | \$ 1,001 | \$ 1,056 |
| AISC - owner-operator, excluding by-product credit (\$/oz) ⁶ | \$ 1,070 | \$ 969 | \$ 1,005 | \$ 1,059 |
| Attributable gold sales (000s oz) | 230 | 218 | 871 | 808 |
| AISC ^{5,6} (\$/oz) | \$ 1,071 | \$ 995 | \$ 1,003 | \$ 1,057 |
| AISC excluding by-product credit ^{5,6} (\$/oz) | \$ 1,074 | \$ 998 | \$ 1,006 | \$ 1,059 |

¹ Includes Essakane and Rosebel at their attributable amounts of 90% and 95% respectively. Refer to note 37 of the annual consolidated financial statements for cost of sales of total gold mines excluding Joint Ventures at 100% basis and refer to the capital expenditures table of the MD&A on page 11 for 2017 sustaining capital expenditures at 100% basis.

² Corporate general and administrative costs exclude depreciation expense.

³ Excluded from the fourth quarter and year ended 2016 was the amortization of the loss on early termination of derivative contracts.

⁴ Adjustments to cost of sales consist primarily of sustaining capital expenditures, by-product credit and environmental rehabilitation and depreciation.

⁵ Consists of Essakane, Rosebel, Westwood and the Joint Ventures on an attributable basis.

⁶ AISC per ounce sold may not calculate based on amounts presented in this table due to rounding.

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AS AT DECEMBER 31, 2017

| | |
|--|----------|
| Management's responsibility for financial reporting | 48 |
| Management's report on internal control over financial reporting | 49 |
| Report of independent registered public accounting firm | 50 |
| Report of independent registered public accounting firm | 51 |
| Consolidated financial statements | |
| Consolidated balance sheets | 52 |
| Consolidated statements of earnings | 53 |
| Consolidated statements of comprehensive income | 54 |
| Consolidated statements of changes in equity | 55 |
| Consolidated statements of cash flows | 56 |
| Notes to consolidated financial statements | 57 to 99 |

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY FOR FINANCIAL REPORTING

To the Shareholders and Directors of IAMGOLD Corporation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of IAMGOLD Corporation ("the Company"), their presentation and the information contained in Management's Discussion and Analysis including information determined by specialists, are the responsibility of management. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB").

The financial information of the Company presented in Management's Discussion and Analysis is consistent with that in the consolidated financial statements.

The integrity of the Consolidated financial reporting process is the responsibility of management. Management maintains systems of internal controls designed to provide reasonable assurance that transactions are authorized, assets are safeguarded, and reliable financial information is produced. Management selects accounting principles and methods that are appropriate to the Company's circumstances, and makes certain determinations of amounts reported in which estimates or judgments are required.

The Board of Directors is responsible for ensuring that management fulfills its responsibility for financial reporting. The Board of Directors carries out this responsibility principally through its Audit Committee which consists of independent directors. The Board of Directors has also designated the Chairman of the Audit Committee as the Board's financial expert. The Audit Committee meets periodically with management and the external auditors to discuss internal controls, auditing matters and financial reporting requirements. The Audit Committee satisfies itself that each party is properly discharging its responsibilities; reviews the quarterly and annual consolidated financial statements and any reports by the external auditors; and recommends the appointment of the external auditors for review by the Board of Directors and approval by the shareholders.

The external auditors audit the consolidated financial statements annually on behalf of the shareholders. The external auditors have full and free access to management and the Audit Committee.



Stephen J. J. Letwin

Chief Executive Officer

February 21, 2018



Carol T. Banducci

Chief Financial Officer

February 21, 2018

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The CEO and CFO conducted an evaluation of the design, implementation and operating effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017. This evaluation included review of the documentation of controls, evaluation of the design effectiveness of controls, testing of the operating effectiveness of controls and a conclusion on this evaluation. Based on this evaluation, management has concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2017, based on the criteria set forth in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017 has been audited by KPMG LLP, Chartered Professional Accountants, as stated in their report located on page 51 of the consolidated financial statements.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and Directors of IAMGOLD Corporation

Opinion on the Consolidated Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of IAMGOLD Corporation (“the Company”), which comprise the consolidated balance sheets as at December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive income, changes in equity and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes, comprising a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information (collectively referred to as the “consolidated financial statements”).

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company as at December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, and its consolidated financial performance and its consolidated cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 21, 2018 expressed an unqualified (unmodified) opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

Basis for Opinion

A - Management’s Responsibility for the Consolidated Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

B - Auditors’ Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards and the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (“PCAOB”). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Those standards also require that we comply with ethical requirements, including independence. We are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the consolidated financial statements in Canada, the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB.

An audit includes performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatements of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures to respond to those risks. Such procedures included obtaining and examining, on a test basis, audit evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The

procedures selected depend on our judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, we consider internal control relevant to the Company’s preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances.

An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies and principles used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained in our audits is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our audit opinion.



Chartered Professional Accountants, Licensed Public Accountants

We have served as the Company’s auditor since 1998.

Toronto, Canada

February 21, 2018

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and the Board of Directors of IAMGOLD Corporation

Opinion on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We have audited IAMGOLD Corporation's (the "Company") internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Report on the Consolidated Financial Statements

We also have audited, in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards and the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) ("PCAOB"), the consolidated financial statements of the Company, which comprise the consolidated balance sheets as at December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the consolidated statement of earnings, comprehensive income, changes in equity and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes, comprising a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information (collectively referred to as the "consolidated financial statements"), and our report dated February 21, 2018 expressed an unmodified (unqualified) opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

Basis for Opinion

The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying management's report on internal control over financial reporting in Form 40-F for the year ended December 31, 2017. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB and in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in Canada.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Definition and Limitations of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.



Chartered Professional Accountants, Licensed Public Accountants

Toronto, Canada

February 21, 2018

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (In millions of U.S. dollars) | Notes | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|---|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | | |
| Current assets | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 7 | \$ 664.1 | \$ 652.0 |
| Restricted cash | 8(a) | — | 92.0 |
| Short-term investments | 9 | 127.2 | — |
| Consideration receivable | 6 | 93.8 | — |
| Receivables and other current assets | 10 | 75.9 | 61.0 |
| Inventories | 11 | 200.0 | 207.9 |
| | | 1,161.0 | 1,012.9 |
| Non-current assets | | | |
| Investments in associates and incorporated joint ventures | 12 | 69.0 | 52.6 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 13 | 1,940.2 | 1,868.2 |
| Exploration and evaluation assets | 14 | 474.6 | 169.2 |
| Income taxes receivable | | 17.3 | 29.2 |
| Restricted cash | 8(b) | 24.5 | 18.7 |
| Inventories | 11 | 177.6 | 156.0 |
| Other assets | 15 | 102.7 | 93.7 |
| | | 2,805.9 | 2,387.6 |
| | | \$ 3,966.9 | \$ 3,400.5 |
| Liabilities and Equity | | | |
| Current liabilities | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | | \$ 196.2 | \$ 162.9 |
| Income taxes payable | | 14.9 | 14.7 |
| Current portion of provisions | 16 | 17.1 | 15.8 |
| Other liabilities | 17 | 2.9 | 2.1 |
| | | 231.1 | 195.5 |
| Non-current liabilities | | | |
| Deferred income tax liabilities | 18 | 198.2 | 159.0 |
| Provisions | 16 | 299.0 | 289.8 |
| Long-term debt | 19(a) | 391.6 | 485.1 |
| Other liabilities | 17 | 0.2 | — |
| | | 889.0 | 933.9 |
| | | 1,120.1 | 1,129.4 |
| Equity | | | |
| Equity attributable to IAMGOLD Corporation shareholders | | | |
| Common shares | 23 | 2,677.8 | 2,628.2 |
| Contributed surplus | | 43.0 | 40.1 |
| Retained earnings (deficit) | | 91.3 | (409.7) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | | (20.5) | (36.9) |
| | | 2,791.6 | 2,221.7 |
| Non-controlling interests | | 55.2 | 49.4 |
| | | 2,846.8 | 2,271.1 |
| Contingencies and commitments | 16(b), 35 | | |
| | | \$ 3,966.9 | \$ 3,400.5 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Signed on behalf of the Board of Directors,



Donald K. Charter, Chairman



Stephen J. J. Letwin, Director

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

| (In millions of U.S. dollars, except per share amounts) | Notes | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|-------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | 2017 | 2016 |
| Revenues | | \$ 1,094.9 | \$ 987.1 |
| Cost of sales | 27 | 942.0 | 884.9 |
| Gross profit | | 152.9 | 102.2 |
| General and administrative expenses | 28 | (40.3) | (38.8) |
| Exploration expenses | | (38.4) | (31.7) |
| Reversal of impairment charges | 34 | 524.1 | — |
| Other income (expenses) | 29 | (18.3) | 0.8 |
| Earnings from operations | | 580.0 | 32.5 |
| Share of net earnings from investments in associates and incorporated joint ventures, net of income taxes | 12 | 15.0 | 6.1 |
| Finance costs | 30 | (10.9) | (25.2) |
| Foreign exchange gain (loss) | | 7.3 | (5.2) |
| Interest income and derivatives and other investment gains | 31 | 16.7 | 87.0 |
| Earnings before income taxes | | 608.1 | 95.2 |
| Income taxes | 18 | (97.6) | (33.4) |
| Net earnings | | \$ 510.5 | \$ 61.8 |
| Net earnings attributable to | | | |
| Equity holders of IAMGOLD Corporation | | \$ 501.6 | \$ 52.6 |
| Non-controlling interests | | 8.9 | 9.2 |
| Net earnings | | \$ 510.5 | \$ 61.8 |
| Attributable to equity holders of IAMGOLD Corporation | | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding (in millions) | | | |
| Basic | 25 | 463.0 | 420.8 |
| Diluted | 25 | 467.5 | 423.9 |
| Earnings per share (\$ per share) | | | |
| Basic | 25 | \$ 1.08 | \$ 0.13 |
| Diluted | 25 | \$ 1.07 | \$ 0.12 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| (In millions of U.S. dollars) | Notes | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|-------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | 2017 | 2016 |
| Net earnings | | \$ 510.5 | \$ 61.8 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of income taxes | | | |
| Items that will not be reclassified to the statements of earnings | | | |
| Movement in marketable securities fair value reserve | | | |
| Net unrealized change in fair value of marketable securities | | 17.9 | 7.5 |
| Net realized change in fair value of marketable securities | 20(b) | (10.9) | (2.8) |
| Tax impact | | (0.6) | (1.2) |
| | | 6.4 | 3.5 |
| Items that may be reclassified to the statements of earnings | | | |
| Movement in cash flow hedge fair value reserve | | | |
| Effective portion of changes in fair value of cash flow hedges | 20(c) | 16.5 | 5.2 |
| Time value of options contracts excluded from hedge relationship | 20(c) | (1.9) | (4.2) |
| Net change in fair value of cash flow hedges reclassified to the statements of earnings | 20(c) | (4.0) | 6.4 |
| Tax impact | | (0.3) | (0.2) |
| | | 10.3 | 7.2 |
| Currency translation adjustment | | 0.8 | (0.3) |
| Total other comprehensive income | | 17.5 | 10.4 |
| Comprehensive income | | \$ 528.0 | \$ 72.2 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to: | | | |
| Equity holders of IAMGOLD Corporation | | \$ 519.1 | \$ 63.0 |
| Non-controlling interests | | 8.9 | 9.2 |
| Comprehensive income | | \$ 528.0 | \$ 72.2 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

| (In millions of U.S. dollars) | Notes | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|-------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | 2017 | 2016 |
| Common shares | | | |
| Balance, beginning of the year | | \$ 2,628.2 | \$ 2,366.2 |
| Issuance of common shares | 5, 23 | 33.3 | 220.1 |
| Issuance of flow-through common shares | 23 | 13.4 | 38.9 |
| Issuance of common shares for share-based compensation | | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| Balance, end of the year | | 2,677.8 | 2,628.2 |
| Contributed surplus | | | |
| Balance, beginning of the year | | 40.1 | 38.2 |
| Issuance of common shares for share-based compensation | | (2.9) | (3.2) |
| Share-based compensation | 26 | 5.9 | 5.1 |
| Other | | (0.1) | — |
| Balance, end of the year | | 43.0 | 40.1 |
| Retained earnings (deficit) | | | |
| Balance, beginning of the year | | (409.7) | (461.2) |
| Net earnings attributable to equity holders of IAMGOLD Corporation | | 501.6 | 52.6 |
| Other | | (0.6) | (1.1) |
| Balance, end of the year | | 91.3 | (409.7) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | | | |
| Marketable securities fair value reserve | | | |
| Balance, beginning of the year | | (29.0) | (32.5) |
| Net change in fair value of marketable securities, net of income taxes | | 6.4 | 3.5 |
| Balance, end of the year | | (22.6) | (29.0) |
| Cash flow hedge fair value reserve | | | |
| Balance, beginning of the year | | (3.8) | (11.1) |
| Net change in fair value of cash flow hedges recognized in property, plant and equipment | 20(c) | (1.1) | 0.1 |
| Net change in fair value of cash flow hedges recognized in other comprehensive income, net of income taxes | | 10.3 | 7.2 |
| Balance, end of the year | | 5.4 | (3.8) |
| Currency translation adjustment | | | |
| Balance, beginning of the year | | (4.1) | (3.8) |
| Change for the year | 12 | 0.8 | (0.3) |
| Balance, end of the year | | (3.3) | (4.1) |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive loss | | (20.5) | (36.9) |
| Equity attributable to equity holders of IAMGOLD Corporation | | 2,791.6 | 2,221.7 |
| Non-controlling interests | | | |
| Balance, beginning of the year | | 49.4 | 42.1 |
| Net earnings attributable to non-controlling interests | | 8.9 | 9.2 |
| Dividends to non-controlling interests | 33(d) | (3.1) | (1.5) |
| Other | | — | (0.4) |
| Balance, end of the year | | 55.2 | 49.4 |
| | | \$ 2,846.8 | \$ 2,271.1 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| (In millions of U.S. dollars) | Notes | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|-------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| | | 2017 | 2016 |
| Operating activities | | | |
| Net earnings | | \$ 510.5 | \$ 61.8 |
| Adjustments for: | | | |
| Finance costs | 30 | 10.9 | 25.2 |
| Depreciation expense | | 266.0 | 263.5 |
| Derivative (gain) loss | | (6.9) | 3.0 |
| Income taxes | 18 | 97.6 | 33.4 |
| Interest income | 31 | (9.4) | (3.3) |
| Reversal of impairment charges | 34 | (524.1) | — |
| Gain on sale of a 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project | 6 | (19.2) | — |
| Share of net earnings from investments in associates and incorporated joint ventures, net of income taxes | 12 | (15.0) | (6.1) |
| Write-down of inventories | 11 | 14.2 | 5.7 |
| Loss on redemption of 6.75% Senior Notes | 19(a) | 20.2 | — |
| Gain on sale of gold bullion | 31 | — | (72.9) |
| Effects of exchange rate fluctuation on cash and cash equivalents | | (11.4) | 0.6 |
| Other non-cash items | 33(a) | 12.1 | (2.2) |
| Adjustments for cash items: | | | |
| Dividends from joint venture | 12 | 2.1 | 11.3 |
| Settlement of derivatives | | 1.4 | (9.5) |
| Disbursements related to asset retirement obligations | | (5.0) | (2.7) |
| Movements in non-cash working capital items and non-current ore stockpiles | 33(b) | 1.3 | 19.6 |
| Cash from operating activities, before income tax paid | | 345.3 | 327.4 |
| Income taxes paid | | (50.0) | (16.3) |
| Net cash from operating activities | | 295.3 | 311.1 |
| Investing activities | | | |
| Capital expenditures for property, plant and equipment | | (197.0) | (269.5) |
| Capitalized borrowing costs | | (24.1) | (17.3) |
| Purchase of short-term investments | 9 | (127.2) | — |
| Net proceeds from sale of a 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project | 6 | 96.5 | — |
| Decrease (increase) in restricted cash | | 88.1 | (33.6) |
| Capital expenditures for exploration and evaluation assets | | (13.4) | (4.1) |
| Interest received | | 7.7 | 3.3 |
| Acquisition of Saramacca exploration and evaluation asset | 14 | (5.0) | (10.0) |
| Purchase of additional common shares of associate | 12 | (7.4) | — |
| Proceeds from sale of gold bullion | | — | 170.3 |
| Other investing activities | 33(c) | 4.4 | (0.5) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | (177.4) | (161.4) |
| Financing activities | | | |
| Net proceeds from issuance of 7% Senior Notes | 19(a) | 393.6 | — |
| Redemption of 6.75% Senior Notes | 19(a) | (505.6) | — |
| Proceeds from issuance of flow-through shares | 23 | 15.1 | 43.6 |
| Proceeds from issuance of shares | 23 | — | 220.1 |
| Purchase of 6.75% Senior Notes | 19(a) | — | (141.5) |
| Interest paid | | (8.6) | (24.6) |
| Repayment of credit facility | 19(b) | — | (70.0) |
| Long-term prepayment for finance lease | 15 | (4.9) | — |
| Other financing activities | 33(d) | (6.8) | (5.7) |
| Net cash from (used in) financing activities | | (117.2) | 21.9 |
| Effects of exchange rate fluctuation on cash and cash equivalents | | 11.4 | (0.6) |
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents | | 12.1 | 171.0 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of the year | | 652.0 | 481.0 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of the year | | \$ 664.1 | \$ 652.0 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017 and 2016

(Amounts in notes and in tables are in millions of U.S. dollars, except where otherwise indicated)

1. CORPORATE INFORMATION

IAMGOLD Corporation ("IAMGOLD" or "the Company") is a corporation governed by the *Canada Business Corporations Act* and domiciled in Canada whose shares are publicly traded. The address of the Company's registered office is 401 Bay Street, Suite 3200, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5H 2Y4.

The principal activities of the Company are the exploration, development and operation of gold mining properties.

2. BASIS OF PREPARATION

(a) Statement of compliance

These consolidated financial statements of IAMGOLD and all of its subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates as at and for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB").

These consolidated financial statements were prepared on a going concern basis. The significant accounting policies applied in these Consolidated financial statements are presented in note 3 and have been consistently applied in each of the years presented.

These consolidated financial statements of IAMGOLD were authorized for issue in accordance with a resolution of the Board of Directors on February 21, 2018.

(b) Basis of measurement

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a historical cost basis, except for items measured at fair value as discussed in note 21.

(c) Basis of consolidation

Subsidiaries, divisions and investments in joint ventures related to significant properties of the Company are accounted for as outlined below.

| Name | Property – Location | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 | Type of Arrangement | Accounting Method |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Essakane S.A. | Essakane mine (Burkina Faso) | 90% | 90% | Subsidiary | Consolidation |
| Rosebel Gold Mines N.V. | Rosebel mine (Suriname) | 95% | 95% | Subsidiary | Consolidation |
| Doyon division including the Westwood mine | Doyon division (Canada) | 100% | 100% | Division | Consolidation |
| Côté Gold division ¹ | Côté Gold Project (Canada) | 70% | 100% | Division | Proportionate share |
| Euro Ressources S.A. | France | 90% | 90% | Subsidiary | Consolidation |
| Société d'Exploitation des Mines d'Or de Sadiola S.A. | Sadiola mine (Mali) | 41% | 41% | Incorporated joint venture | Equity accounting |
| Merrex Gold Inc. ² | Siribaya Project (Mali) | 100% | 23% | Subsidiary | Consolidation |

¹ Effective June 20, 2017, the Company holds an undivided interest in the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses of the Côté Gold division through an unincorporated joint venture (note 6).

² On February 28, 2017, the Company increased its ownership in Merrex from 23% to 100% (note 5).

(i) Subsidiaries

Subsidiaries are entities over which the Company has the ability to exercise control. Control of an entity is defined to exist when the Company is exposed to variable returns from involvement with the entity and has the ability to affect those returns through power over the entity. Specifically, the Company controls an entity if the Company has all of the following: power over the entity (i.e. existing rights that give the Company the current ability to direct the relevant activities of the entity); exposure, or rights, to variable returns from involvement with the entity; and the ability to use power over the entity to affect its returns. Subsidiaries are consolidated from the acquisition date, which is the date on which the Company obtains control of the acquired entity. Where the Company's interest in a subsidiary is less than 100%, the Company recognizes a non-controlling interest. All intercompany balances, transactions, income, expenses and profits or losses have been eliminated on consolidation.

(ii) Associates

An associate is an entity over which the Company has significant influence but neither control nor joint control. Significant influence is presumed to exist where the Company has between 20% and 50% of the voting rights, but can also arise where the Company has less than 20% of voting rights but has the power to be actively involved and influence in policy decisions affecting the entity. The Company's share of net assets and net income or loss of associates is accounted for in the consolidated financial statements using the equity method. The Company has concluded that it has significant influence over its investment in INV Metals Inc. ("INV Metals") through the level of ownership of voting rights (refer to note 12). The Company has assessed additional facts and circumstances, including voting rights and board appointments, and concluded that it does not control INV Metals.

Share of net losses from the associate is recognized in the consolidated financial statements until the carrying amount of the interest in the associate is reduced to nil. Thereafter, losses are recognized only to the extent that the Company has an obligation to fund the associate's operations or has made payments on behalf of the associate.

(iii) Joint arrangements

Joint arrangements are those arrangements over which the Company has joint control established by contractual agreement and requiring unanimous consent of the joint venture parties for financial and operating decisions. The Company's significant joint arrangements consist of joint ventures, which are structured through separate legal entities. The financial results of joint ventures are accounted for using the equity method from the date that joint control commences until the date that joint control ceases, and are prepared for the same reporting period as the Company, using consistent accounting policies. There are no significant judgments and assumptions made in determining the existence of joint control of Société d'Exploitation des Mines d'Or de Sadiola S.A.

Share of net losses from joint ventures are recognized in the consolidated financial statements until the carrying amount of the interest in the joint venture is reduced to nil. Thereafter, losses are recognized only to the extent that the Company has an obligation to fund the joint venture's operations or has made payments on behalf of the joint venture.

Dividends received from the Company's joint ventures are presented in the Company's Consolidated statements of cash flows as operating activities.

(iv) Unincorporated arrangements

The Company participates in an unincorporated arrangement and has rights to its share of the undivided assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses of the property, subject to the arrangement, rather than a right to a net return, and does not share joint control (note 6). All such amounts are measured in accordance with the terms of the arrangement, which is usually in proportion to the Company's interest in the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses of the property. These amounts are recorded in the Company's consolidated financial statements on the appropriate lines.

(d) Functional and presentation currency

The functional currency of the Company's subsidiaries and joint ventures is the U.S. dollar. The functional currency of the associate (INV Metals) is the Canadian dollar. The presentation currency of the Company's consolidated financial statements is the U.S. dollar.

For the associate, assets and liabilities are translated at the exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date. Revenues and expenses are translated at average exchange rates throughout the reporting period or at rates that approximate the actual exchange rates. Foreign exchange gains or losses on translation are included in other comprehensive income ("OCI"). The cumulative amount of the exchange differences is presented as a separate component of equity until disposal of the foreign operation.

Transactions denominated in foreign currencies are translated into the entity's functional currency as follows:

- Monetary assets and liabilities are translated at the exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date;
- Non-monetary assets and liabilities are translated at historical exchange rates prevailing at each transaction date;
- Deferred tax assets and liabilities are translated at the exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date with translation gains and losses recorded in income tax expense; and
- Revenues and expenses are translated at the average exchange rates throughout the reporting period, except depreciation, which is translated at the rates of exchange applicable to the related assets, and share-based compensation expense, which is translated at the rates of exchange applicable at the date of grant of the share-based compensation.

Exchange gains or losses on translation of transactions are included in the Consolidated statements of earnings. When a gain or loss on certain non-monetary items, such as financial assets at fair value through other comprehensive income, is recognized in OCI, the translation differences are also recognized in OCI.

3. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies set out below have been applied consistently by the Company, for its subsidiaries, joint arrangements and associate in all periods presented in these consolidated financial statements.

(a) Financial instruments

The Company recognizes financial assets and financial liabilities on the date the Company becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instruments. A financial asset is derecognized either when the Company has transferred substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset or when cash flows expire. A financial liability is derecognized when the obligation specified in the contract is discharged, canceled or expired. Certain financial instruments are recorded at fair value in the Consolidated balance sheet. Refer to note 21 on fair value measurements.

(i) Non-derivative financial instruments

Non-derivative financial instruments are recognized initially at fair value plus attributable transaction costs, where applicable for financial instruments not classified as fair value through profit or loss. Subsequent to initial recognition, non-derivative financial instruments are classified and measured as described below.

Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss

Cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, short-term investments, bond fund investments and warrants are classified as financial assets at fair value through profit or loss and are measured at fair value. Cash equivalents are short-term investments with initial maturities of three months or less. Short-term investments have initial maturities of more than three months and less than 12 months. The unrealized gains or losses related to changes in fair value are reported in Interest income and derivatives and other investment gains in the Consolidated statements of earnings.

Amortized cost

Trade and other receivables and fixed rate investments are classified as and measured at amortized cost using the effective interest rate method, less impairment losses, if any.

Financial assets at fair value through other comprehensive income

The Company's investments in equity marketable securities are designated as financial assets at fair value through other comprehensive income and are recorded at fair value on the trade date with directly attributable transaction costs included in the recorded amount. Subsequent changes in fair value are recognized in other comprehensive income.

Non-derivative financial liabilities

Accounts payable, accrued liabilities, senior notes, and borrowings under the credit facility are accounted for at amortized cost, using the effective interest rate method. The amortization of senior notes issue costs is calculated using the effective interest rate method, and the amortization of credit facility issue costs is calculated on a straight-line basis over the term of the credit facility.

(ii) Non-hedge derivatives

The Company may hold derivative financial instruments to hedge its risk exposure to fluctuations of other currencies compared to the U.S. dollar, and fluctuations in commodity prices such as for oil and fuel. All derivative financial instruments not designated in a hedge relationship that qualifies for hedge accounting are classified as financial instruments at fair value through profit or loss. Derivative financial instruments at fair value through profit or loss, including embedded derivatives, requiring separation from its host contract, are recorded in the Consolidated balance sheet at fair value.

Changes in the estimated fair value of non-hedge derivatives at each reporting date are included in the Consolidated statements of earnings as non-hedge derivative gain or loss.

Embedded derivatives in financial liabilities measured at amortized cost are separated from the host contract and accounted for separately if the economic characteristics and risks of the host contract and the embedded derivative are not closely related.

(iii) Hedge derivatives

The Company uses derivative financial instruments to hedge its exposure to exchange rate fluctuations on foreign currency denominated revenues, operating expenses and purchases of non-financial assets and its exposure to price fluctuations of consumable purchases.

The Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking hedge transactions. This process includes linking all derivative hedging instruments to forecasted transactions. Hedge effectiveness is assessed based on the degree to which the cash flows from the derivative contracts are expected to offset the cash flows of the underlying transaction being hedged.

When a derivative is designated as a cash flow hedging instrument, the effective portion of changes in fair value is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of tax. For hedged items other than the purchase of non-financial

assets, the amounts accumulated in other comprehensive income are reclassified to the Consolidated statements of earnings when the underlying hedged transaction, identified at contract inception, affects profit or loss. When hedging a forecasted transaction that results in the recognition of a non-financial asset, the amounts accumulated in equity are removed and added to the carrying amount of the non-financial asset.

Any ineffective portion of a hedge relationship is recognized immediately in the Consolidated statements of earnings. The Company has elected to exclude the time value component of options and the forward element of forward contracts from the hedging relationships, with changes in these amounts recorded in other comprehensive income and treated as a cost of hedging. For hedged items other than the purchase of non-financial assets, the cost of hedging amounts is reclassified to the Consolidated statements of earnings when the underlying hedged transaction affects profit or loss. When hedging a forecasted transaction that results in the recognition of a non-financial asset, the cost of hedging is added to the carrying amount of the non-financial asset.

When derivative contracts designated as cash flow hedges are terminated, expired, sold or no longer qualify for hedge accounting, hedge accounting is discontinued prospectively. Any amounts recorded in other comprehensive income up until the time the contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting remain in other comprehensive income. Amounts recognized in other comprehensive income are recognized in the Consolidated statements of earnings in the period in which the underlying hedged transaction is completed. Gains or losses arising subsequent to the derivative contracts not qualifying for hedge accounting are recognized in the period incurred in the Consolidated statements of earnings.

If the forecasted transaction is no longer expected to occur, then the amounts accumulated in other comprehensive income are reclassified to the Consolidated statements of earnings immediately.

(b) Inventories

Finished goods and ore stockpiles are measured at the lower of weighted average production cost and net realizable value. Mine supplies are measured at the lower of average purchase cost and net realizable value. Net realizable value is calculated as the difference between the estimated selling price and estimated costs to complete processing into a saleable form plus variable selling expenses.

Production costs include the cost of materials, labour, mine site production overheads and depreciation to the applicable stage of processing. Production overheads are allocated to inventory based on the normal capacity of production facilities.

The cost of ore stockpiles is increased based on the related current cost of production for the period, and decreases in stockpiles are charged to cost of sales using the weighted average cost per tonne. Stockpiles are segregated between current and non-current inventories in the Consolidated balance sheet based on the period of planned usage.

The cost of inventory is reduced to net realizable value to reflect changes in grades, quantity or other economic factors and to reflect current intentions for the use of redundant or slow-moving items. Provisions for redundant and slow-moving items are made by reference to specific items of inventory. The Company reverses write-downs when there is a subsequent increase in net realizable value and where the inventory is still on hand.

Spare parts, stand-by and servicing equipment held are generally classified as inventories. Major capital spare parts and stand-by equipment (insurance spares) are classified as a component of property, plant and equipment.

(c) Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment are measured at cost less accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment charges.

The initial cost of an asset comprises its purchase or construction cost, any costs directly attributable to bringing the asset to a working condition for its intended use, the initial estimate of the asset retirement obligation, and for qualifying assets, borrowing costs.

The purchase price or the construction cost is the aggregate cash paid and the fair value of any other consideration given to acquire the asset.

Gains or losses on disposal of an item of property, plant and equipment are determined by comparing the proceeds from disposal with the carrying amount of property, plant and equipment, and are recognized in the Consolidated statements of earnings in other expenses.

The cost of replacing part of an item of property, plant and equipment is recognized in the carrying amount of the item if it is probable that the future economic benefits embodied within the part will flow to the Company and its cost can be measured reliably. The carrying amount of the replaced part is de-recognized. Costs of the day-to-day servicing of property, plant and equipment are recognized in the Consolidated statements of earnings as incurred.

Property, plant and equipment presented in the Consolidated balance sheets represents the capitalized expenditures related to: construction in progress; mining properties, including stripping costs; and plant and equipment, including corporate assets.

(i) Construction in progress

Upon determination of technical feasibility and commercial viability of extracting a mineral resource, the related exploration and evaluation assets (refer to note 3(e) below) are transferred to construction in progress costs. These amounts plus all subsequent mine development costs are capitalized. Costs are not depreciated until the project is ready for use as intended by management.

Mine construction costs include expenditures to develop new ore bodies, define further mineralization in existing ore bodies, and construct, install and complete infrastructure facilities.

Borrowing costs are capitalized and allocated specifically to qualifying assets when funds have been borrowed, either to specifically finance a project or for general borrowings during the period of construction.

Qualifying assets are defined as assets that require more than six months to be brought to the location and condition intended by management. Capitalization of borrowing costs ceases when such assets are ready for their intended use.

The date of transition from construction to production accounting is based on both qualitative and quantitative criteria such as substantial physical project completion, sustained level of mining, sustained level of processing activity, and passage of a reasonable period of time. Upon completion of mine construction activities (based on the determination of the commencement of production), costs are removed from construction in progress assets and classified into the appropriate categories of property, plant and equipment and supplies inventories.

(ii) Mining properties

Capitalized costs for evaluation on or adjacent to sites where the Company has mineral deposits, are classified as mining properties within property, plant and equipment.

(iii) Stripping costs

Costs associated with stripping activities in an open pit mine are expensed within cost of sales unless the stripping activity can be shown to improve access to further quantities of ore that will be mined in future periods, in which case, the stripping costs are capitalized to mining properties within property, plant and equipment. Furthermore, stripping costs are capitalized to inventory to the extent that the benefits of the stripping activity relate to gold production inventories, concentrate inventory or ore stockpiles.

(iv) Plant and equipment

Plant and equipment located at corporate locations includes the following categories of assets: furniture and equipment, computer equipment, software, scientific instruments and equipment, vehicles and leasehold improvements and at the mine site includes land and buildings, plant equipment, capital spares, and other equipment.

(d) Depreciation

Effective from the point an asset is available for its intended use, property, plant and equipment are depreciated using either the straight line or units-of-production methods over the shorter of the estimated economic life of the asset or the mining operation. Depreciation is determined based on the method which best represents the use of the assets.

The reserve and resource estimates for each mining operation are the prime determinants of the life of a mine. In general, when the useful life of property, plant and equipment is akin to the life of the mining operation and the ore body's mineralization is reasonably well defined, the asset is depreciated on a units-of-production basis over its proven and probable mineral reserves. Non-reserve material may be included in depreciation calculations in limited circumstances where there is a high degree of confidence in its economic extraction. The Company evaluates the estimate of mineral reserves and resources at least on an annual basis and adjusts the units-of-production method calculation prospectively. In 2017 and 2016, the Company has not incorporated any non-reserve material in its depreciation calculations on a units-of-production basis. When property, plant and equipment are depreciated on a straight line basis, the useful life of the mining operation is determined based on the most recent life of mine ("LOM") plan. LOM plans are typically developed annually and are based on management's current best estimates of optimized mine and processing plans, future operating costs and the assessment of capital expenditures of a mine site.

Estimated useful lives normally vary from three to fifteen years for items of plant and equipment to a maximum of twenty years for buildings.

Amounts related to expected economic conversions of resources to reserves recorded in an asset acquisition are not depreciated until resources are converted into reserves. Amounts related to capitalized costs of exploration and evaluation assets and construction in progress are not depreciated as the assets are not available for use.

Capitalized stripping costs are depreciated over the reserves that directly benefit from the specific stripping activity using the units-of-production method.

Capitalized borrowing costs are depreciated over the useful life of the related asset.

Residual values, useful lives and depreciation methods are reviewed at least annually and adjusted if appropriate. The impact of changes to the estimated useful lives, change in depreciation method or residual values is accounted for prospectively.

(e) Mineral exploration and evaluation expenditures

Exploration activities relate to the collection of exploration data which consists of geological, geophysical, geochemical, sampling, drilling, trenching, analytical test work, assaying, mineralogical, metallurgical, and other similar information that is derived from activities undertaken to locate, investigate, define or delineate a mineral prospect or mineral deposit. Mineral exploration costs are expensed as incurred.

Evaluation costs are capitalized and relate to activities to evaluate the potential technical feasibility and commercial viability of extracting a mineral resource on sites where the Company does not have mineral deposits already being mined or constructed. The technical feasibility and commercial viability is based on management's evaluation of the geological properties of an ore body based on information obtained through evaluation activities, including metallurgical testing, resource and reserve estimates and economic assessment whether the ore body can be mined economically. Exploration properties acquired through asset acquisitions are also recognized as exploration and evaluation assets.

(f) Other intangible assets

Other intangible assets pertain to the fair value of favourable supplier contracts related to a prior acquisition. The fair value was determined using a differential cost method based on cost savings expected from favourable terms of supplier contracts. Other intangible assets are amortized under the straight-line method based on the terms of each contract, which range from 2 to 20 years. Other intangible assets are classified in Other non-current assets in the Consolidated balance sheet.

(g) Impairment and reversal of impairment

(i) Financial assets

Financial assets measured at amortized cost are reviewed for impairment at each reporting date to determine whether there is any objective evidence of impairment. A financial asset is considered to be impaired if objective evidence, that can be estimated reliably, indicates that one or more events have had a negative effect on the estimated future cash flows of that asset.

An impairment charge in respect of a financial asset measured at amortized cost is calculated as the difference between its carrying amount and the present value of the estimated future cash flows discounted at the original effective interest rate.

A prior period impairment charge is reviewed for possible reversal of impairment whenever an event or change in circumstance indicates the impairment may have reversed. If it has been determined that the impairment has reversed, the carrying amount of the asset is increased to its recoverable amount to a maximum of the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment charge been recognized in prior periods. Impairment charge reversals are recognized in the Consolidated statements of earnings.

(ii) Non-financial assets

The carrying amounts of the Company's non-current assets, including property, plant and equipment and exploration and evaluation assets, are reviewed at each reporting date to determine whether there is any indication of impairment. If any such indicator exists, the Company performs an impairment test.

An impairment test requires the Company to determine the recoverable amount of an asset or group of assets. For non-current assets, including property, plant and equipment and exploration and evaluation assets, the recoverable amount is determined for an individual asset, unless the asset does not generate cash inflows that are largely independent of those from other assets or groups of assets. If this is the case, the individual assets are grouped together into a CGU for impairment testing purposes. A CGU for impairment testing is typically considered to be an individual mine site or a development project.

The recoverable amount is determined as the higher of the CGU's fair value less costs of disposal ("FVLCD") and value in use ("VIU"). If the carrying amount of the asset or CGU exceeds its recoverable amount, an impairment charge is recorded to the other long-lived assets in the CGU on a pro rata basis.

An assessment is made at each reporting date to determine whether there is an indication that previously recognized impairment losses may no longer exist or may have reversed. If it has been determined that the impairment has reversed, the carrying amount of the asset is increased to its recoverable amount to a maximum of the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment charge been recognized in prior periods. An impairment charge reversal is recognized in the Consolidated statements of earnings. Impairment charges recognized in relation to goodwill are not reversed for subsequent increases in a CGU's recoverable amount.

In the absence of market related comparative information, the FVLCD is determined based on the present value of estimated future cash flows from each long-lived asset or CGU. The assumptions used in determining the FVLCD for the CGU's are typically life-of-mine ("LOM") production profiles, long-term commodity prices, reserves and resources, discount rates, foreign exchange rates, values of un-modeled mineralization, capital expenditures, net asset value ("NAV") multiples and expected commencement of production for exploration and evaluation projects. Management's assumptions and estimate of future cash flows are subject to risks and uncertainties, particularly in market conditions where higher volatility exists, and may be partially or totally outside of the Company's control. Therefore, it is reasonably possible that changes could occur with evolving economic conditions, which may affect the recoverability of the Company's long-lived assets. If the Company fails to achieve its valuation assumptions or if any of its long-lived assets or CGUs experience a decline in their fair value, this may result in an impairment charge in future periods, which would reduce the Company's earnings.

(h) Asset retirement obligations

The Company records the present value of estimated costs of legal and constructive obligations required to restore locations in the period in which the obligation is incurred with a corresponding increase in the carrying amount of the related property, plant and equipment. For locations where mining activities have ceased, changes to obligations are charged directly to the Consolidated statements of earnings. The obligation is generally considered to have been incurred when mine assets are constructed or the ground environment is disturbed at the production location. The discounted liability is adjusted at the end of each period to reflect the passage of time, based on a risk-free discount rate that reflects current market assessments, and changes in the estimated future cash flows underlying the obligation.

The Company also estimates the timing of the outlays, which is subject to change depending on continued operation or newly discovered reserves.

The periodic unwinding of the discount is recognized in earnings as accretion expense included in finance costs in the Consolidated statements of earnings. Additional disturbances or changes in restoration costs or in discount rates are recognized as changes to the corresponding assets and asset retirement obligation when they occur. Environmental costs at operating mines, as well as changes to estimated costs and discount rates for closed sites, are charged to earnings in the period during which they occur.

(i) Other provisions

Provisions are recognized when a legal or constructive present obligation exists as a result of a past event, for which it is probable that an outflow of economic resources will be required to settle the obligation, and a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation.

Provisions are reviewed at the end of each reporting period and adjusted to reflect management's current best estimate of the expenditure required to settle the present obligation at the end of the reporting period. If it is no longer probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation, the provision is reversed. Provisions are reduced by actual expenditures for which the provision was originally recognized.

Certain conditions may exist as of the date of the consolidated financial statements, which may result in a loss to the Company, but which will only be resolved when one or more future events will occur or fail to occur. If the assessment of a contingency determines that a loss is probable, and the amount can be reliably estimated, then a provision is recorded. When a contingent loss is not probable but is reasonably possible, then the contingent liability is disclosed in the consolidated financial statements.

(j) Income taxes

(i) Current income tax

Current income tax assets and liabilities for the current and prior periods are measured at the amount expected to be recovered from or paid to the taxation authorities. The tax rates and tax laws used to compute the amount are those that are enacted or substantively enacted by the balance sheet date.

Current income tax assets and current income tax liabilities are only offset if a legally enforceable right exists to set off the amounts, and the Company intends to settle on a net basis or to realize the asset and settle the liability simultaneously.

Current income taxes related to items recognized directly in equity are recognized directly in equity.

(ii) Deferred income tax

Deferred income tax is recognized in respect of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities in the Consolidated balance sheet and tax bases.

Deferred income tax liabilities are recognized for all taxable temporary differences, except:

- Where the deferred income tax liability arises from the initial recognition of goodwill or of an asset or liability in a transaction that is not a business combination and, at the time of the transaction, affects neither accounting profit nor taxable profit (tax loss); and
- In respect of taxable temporary differences associated with investments in subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures, where the timing of the reversal of the temporary differences can be controlled by the parent or venture and it is probable that the temporary differences will not reverse in the foreseeable future.

Deferred income tax assets are recognized for all deductible temporary differences, carry forward of unused tax credits and unused tax losses, to the extent that it is probable that taxable profit will be available against which the deductible temporary differences, the carry forward of unused tax credits and unused tax losses can be used, except:

- When the temporary difference results from the initial recognition of an asset or liability in a transaction that is not a business combination and, at the time of the transaction, affects neither accounting profit nor taxable profit (tax loss); and
- In respect of deductible temporary differences associated with investments in subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures, deferred income tax assets are recognized only to the extent that it is probable that the temporary differences will reverse in the foreseeable future and taxable profit will be available against which the temporary differences can be used.

The carrying amount of deferred income tax assets is reviewed at each balance sheet date and reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that sufficient taxable profit will be available to allow all or part of the deferred income tax asset to be used. Unrecognized deferred income tax assets are reassessed at each balance sheet date and are recognized to the extent that it has become probable that future taxable profit will be available to allow the deferred tax asset to be recovered.

A translation gain or loss may arise for deferred income tax purposes where the local tax currency is not the same as the functional currency for non-monetary assets. A deferred tax asset or liability is recognized on the difference between the carrying amount for accounting purposes (which reflects the historical cost in the entity's functional currency and the underlying tax basis) and the underlying tax basis (which reflects the current local tax cost, translated into the functional currency using the current foreign exchange rate). The translation gain or loss is recorded in Income taxes on the Consolidated statements of earnings.

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are measured at the tax rates that are expected to apply to the year when the asset is expected to be realized or the liability settled, based on tax rates (and tax laws) that have been enacted or substantively enacted at the balance sheet date.

Deferred income taxes related to items recognized directly in equity are recognized directly in equity.

Deferred income tax assets and deferred income tax liabilities are offset, if a legally enforceable right exists to set off current income tax assets against current income tax liabilities and the deferred income taxes relate to the same taxable entity and the same taxation authority.

There is no certainty that future income tax rates will be consistent with current estimates.

(k) Flow-through common shares

The Company recognizes flow-through common shares in equity based on the quoted market price of the existing shares on the date of issue. The difference between the amount recognized in common shares and the amount the investors pay for the shares is recognized as a deferred gain which is reversed into earnings as eligible expenditures are incurred. The deferred income tax impact is recorded as eligible expenditures are incurred.

(l) Earnings per share

The Company presents basic and diluted earnings per share data for its common shares. Basic earnings per share are calculated by dividing earnings attributable to equity holders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share are determined by adjusting the weighted average number of common shares for the dilutive effect of share-based payments, employee incentive share units, and warrants using the treasury stock method. Under this method, share options whose exercise price is less than the average market price of the Company's common shares, are assumed to be exercised and the proceeds used to repurchase common shares at the average market price for the period. The incremental number of common shares issued under share options and restricted share units and repurchased from proceeds is included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share.

(m) Share-based compensation

The Company has the following share-based compensation plans with related costs included in general and administrative expenses.

(i) Share options, share bonus plan, and deferred share plan

The Company operates a number of equity-settled share-based compensation plans in respect to its employees. Share-based compensation costs are measured based on the grant date fair value of the equity-settled instruments and recognized upon grant date over the related service period in the Consolidated statements of earnings and credited to contributed surplus within shareholders' equity. The Company uses the graded vesting method for attributing share option expense over the vesting period.

The grant date fair value is based on the underlying market price of the shares of the Company taking into account the terms and conditions upon which those equity-settled instruments were granted. The fair value of equity-settled instruments granted is estimated using the Black-Scholes model or other appropriate method and assumptions at grant date. Equity-settled awards are not re-measured subsequent to the initial grant date.

Determination of the grant date fair value requires management estimates such as risk-free interest rate, volatility and weighted average expected life. Share option expense incorporates an expected forfeiture rate which is estimated based on historical forfeiture rates and expectations of future forfeiture rates. The Company makes adjustments if the actual forfeiture rate differs from the expected rate.

The weighted average grant date fair value is the basis for which share-based compensation is recognized in earnings.

Upon exercise of options and/or issuance of shares, consideration paid by employees, as well as the grant date fair value of the equity-settled instruments, are transferred to common shares.

(ii) Share purchase plan

The Company provides a share purchase plan where the Company contributes towards the purchase of shares on the open market. The Company's contribution vests on December 31 of each year and is charged to earnings in the year of contribution.

(n) Revenue recognition

Revenues include sales of gold and by-products.

Revenue is recognized when the significant risks and rewards of ownership have passed to the buyer; it is probable that economic benefits associated with the transaction will flow to the Company; the sale price can be measured reliably; the Company has no significant continuing involvement; and the costs incurred or to be incurred in respect of the transaction can be measured reliably.

(o) Leases

The determination of whether an arrangement is, or contains, a lease is based on the substance of the contractual arrangement at inception date, including whether the arrangement contains the use of a specific asset and the right to use that asset. Where the Company receives substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the asset, these arrangements are classified as finance leases. Finance leases are recorded as an asset with a corresponding liability at an amount equal to the lower of the fair value of the leased asset and the present value of the minimum lease payments. Each lease payment is allocated between the liability and finance costs using the effective interest method, with the interest element of the lease charged to the Consolidated statements of earnings as a finance cost. Property, plant and equipment acquired under finance leases are depreciated over the shorter of the useful life of the asset and the lease term.

All other leases are classified as operating leases. Operating lease payments are recognized in the Consolidated statements of earnings on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

(p) Segmented information

The Company's operating segments are those operations whose operating results are reviewed by the Company's chief operating decision maker ("CODM") to make resource allocation decisions and assess their performance. The Company's CODM is its Executive Committee. Operating segments whose revenues, net earnings or losses or assets exceed 10% of the total consolidated revenues, net earnings or losses or assets, are reportable segments.

In order to determine the reportable operating segments, various factors are considered, including geographical location and managerial structure. It was determined that the Company's gold segment is divided into reportable geographic segments. The Company's other reportable segments have been determined to be the exploration and evaluation and Corporate operating segments, which includes royalty interests located in Canada and investments in associates and joint ventures. The Company discloses segmented information for its joint ventures as it is reviewed regularly by the CODM as part of the performance assessment and resource allocation decision making processes. The operations for the joint ventures in Sadiola and Yatela have been combined for segmented information purposes as they operate in the same geographical location and share production resources and facilities.

(q) Significant accounting judgments, estimates and assumptions

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires management to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates and assumptions are continuously evaluated and are based on management's experience and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances.

Assumptions about the future and other major sources of estimation uncertainty at the end of the reporting period have a significant risk of resulting in a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities, within the next financial year. The most significant judgments and sources of estimation uncertainty that the Company believes could have a significant impact on the amounts recognized in its consolidated financial statements are described below.

(i) Mineral reserves and resources

Key sources of estimation uncertainty

Mineral reserves and resources have been estimated by qualified persons as defined in accordance with Canadian Securities Administrators' National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects requirements. Mineral reserve and resource estimates include numerous uncertainties and depend heavily on geological interpretations and statistical inferences drawn from drilling and other data, and require estimates of the future price for the commodity and the future cost of operations. The mineral reserve and resource estimates are subject to uncertainty and actual results may vary from these estimates. Results from drilling, testing and production, as well as material changes in metal prices and operating costs subsequent to the date of an estimate, may justify revision of such estimates.

A number of accounting estimates, as described in the relevant accounting policy notes, are impacted by the Mineral reserves and resources estimates:

- Capitalization and depreciation of stripping costs (note 3(c)(iii));
- Determination of the useful life of property, plant and equipment and measurement of the depreciation expense (note 3(d));
- Exploration and evaluation of mineral resources and determination of technical feasibility and commercial viability (note 3(e)). The application of the Company's accounting policy for exploration and evaluation expenditures

- requires judgment in determining whether future economic benefits may be realized, which are based on assumptions about future events and circumstances;
- Consideration of whether assets acquired meet the definition of a business or should be accounted for as an asset acquisition (note 5);
 - Impairment and reversal of impairment analysis of non-financial assets including evaluation of estimated future cash flows of CGUs (note 3(g)(ii)); and
 - Estimates of the outlays and their timing for asset retirement obligations (note 3(h)).

(ii) Impairment and reversal of impairment assessment of non-financial assets

Key sources of estimation uncertainty

Management's assumptions and estimate of future cash flows used in the Company's impairment assessment of non-financial assets are subject to risk and uncertainties, particularly in market conditions where higher volatility exists, and may be partially or totally outside of the Company's control.

If an indication of impairment or reversal of a previous impairment charge exists, an estimate of a CGUs recoverable amount is calculated. The recoverable amount is based on the higher of FVLCD and VIU using a discounted cash flow methodology taking into account assumptions that would be made by market participants, unless there is a market price available based on a recent purchase or sale of a mine. Cash flows are for periods up to the date that mining is expected to cease which depends on a number of variables including recoverable mineral reserves and resources, expansion plans and the forecasted selling prices for such production.

In estimating the net realizable value of inventories, significant estimate is made regarding the quantities of saleable metals included in stockpiles based on the quantities of ore, the grade of ore and the estimated recovery percentage. There can be no assurance that actual quantities will not differ significantly from estimates used.

Judgments made in relation to accounting policies

Both internal and external sources of information are required to be considered when determining whether an impairment indicator or indicator of a previous impairment has reversed may be present. Judgment is required around significant adverse changes in the business climate which may be indicators for impairment such as a significant decline in the asset's market value, decline in resources and/or reserves as a result of geological re-assessment or change in timing of extraction of resources and/or reserves which would result in a change in the discounted cash flow obtained from the site, and lower metal prices or higher input cost prices than would have been expected since the most recent valuation of the site. Judgment is also required when considering whether significant positive changes in any of these items indicate a previous impairment may have reversed.

Judgment is required to determine whether there are indications that the carrying amount of an exploration project is unlikely to be recovered in full from successful development of the project or by sale. Judgment is also required when considering whether significant positive changes indicate that a previous impairment of exploration and evaluation assets may have reversed.

(iii) Derivative financial instruments

Judgments made in relation to accounting policies

Judgment is required to determine if an effective hedging relationship exists throughout the financial reporting period for derivative financial instruments classified as either a fair value or cash flow hedge. Management assesses the relationships on an ongoing basis to determine if hedge accounting is appropriate.

Key sources of estimation uncertainty

The Company monitors on a regular basis its hedge position for its risk exposure to fluctuations of the U.S. dollar compared to other currencies, and fluctuations in commodity prices such as for oil, and gold. Forecasts are based on estimates of future transactions. For its derivative contracts, valuations are based on forward rates considering the market price, rate of interest and volatility, and take into account the credit risk of the financial instrument. Refer to note 20 for more detailed information and sensitivity analyses based on changes in currencies and commodity prices.

(iv) Provisions and recognition or not of a liability for loss contingencies

Judgments made in relation to accounting policies

Judgments are required to determine if a present obligation exists at the end of the reporting period and by considering all available evidence, including the opinion of experts. The most significant provisions that require judgment to determine if a present obligation exists are asset retirement obligations (AROs). This includes assessment of how to account for obligations based on the most recent closure plans and environmental regulations.

Key sources of estimation uncertainty

Provisions related to present obligations, including AROs, are management's best estimate of the amount of probable future outflow, expected timing of payments, and discount rates. Refer to note 16.

(v) Unincorporated arrangements

Judgments made in relation to accounting policies

The Company applies its judgment in the interpretation of relevant guidance under IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements to account for its interest in unincorporated arrangements (note 6).

(vi) Determination of deferred income tax assets

Key sources of estimation uncertainty

The carrying amount of deferred income tax assets is reviewed at each balance sheet date and reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that sufficient taxable profit will be available to allow all or part of the deferred income tax asset to be used. Unrecognized deferred income tax assets are reassessed at each balance sheet date and are recognized to the extent that it has become probable that future taxable profit will be available to allow the deferred tax asset to be recovered. There is no certainty that future income tax rates will be consistent with current estimates. Changes in tax rates increase the volatility of the Company's earnings. For more information, refer to notes 3(j)(ii) and 18.

4. NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS ISSUED BUT NOT YET EFFECTIVE

The following new accounting standards were not yet effective for the year ended December 31, 2017, and have not been applied in preparing these Consolidated financial statements.

IFRS 15 - Revenue from Contracts with Customers

In May 2014, the IASB issued IFRS 15 Revenue from Contracts with Customers, which will replace IAS 11 Construction Contracts and IAS 18 Revenue. The mandatory effective date of IFRS 15 is January 1, 2018. The objective of IFRS 15 is to establish a single, principles based model to be applied to all contracts with customers in determining how and when revenue is recognized. IFRS 15 also requires entities to provide users of financial statements with more informative and relevant disclosures.

The Company has completed its analysis to determine the impact that IFRS 15 is expected to have on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company's main revenue stream is the sale of gold bullion, with each sale occurring as a stand-alone transaction. The Company's assessment using the five-step model in IFRS 15 focused on identifying potential multiple performance obligations as well as applying the concept of control transfer as opposed to transfer of risks and rewards of ownership, and revealed that there is no significant difference in the timing and nature of revenue recognition compared to IAS 18 Revenue. Furthermore, the Company's revenue contracts do not contain significant variable consideration, financing components or non-cash consideration.

The Company will adopt IFRS 15 for the annual period beginning on January 1, 2018, and expects that there will be no material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

IFRS 9 - Financial Instruments

In July 2014, the IASB issued the final version of IFRS 9 (2014) - Financial Instruments ("IFRS 9") to replace IAS 39 Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement. IFRS 9 provides a revised model for the recognition and measurement of financial instruments and a single, forward-looking 'expected loss' impairment model (the "ECL model"). The standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018, with early adoption permitted.

Effective April 1, 2014, the Company early adopted all of the requirements of IFRS 9 (2013), which was the previously issued version of IFRS 9. As a result of early adoption of IFRS 9 (2013), which is largely aligned with the requirements of IFRS 9, there will be no further impact on adoption of IFRS 9, with respect to the classification of financial assets and liabilities, impairment of financial assets, and hedge accounting.

The Company will adopt IFRS 9 for the annual period beginning on January 1, 2018, and expects that there will be no material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

IFRIC 22 - Foreign Currency Transactions and Advance Consideration

In December 2016, the IASB issued IFRIC Interpretation 22 Foreign Currency Transactions and Advance Consideration. The interpretation clarifies which date should be used for translation of a foreign currency transaction when an entity recognizes a non-monetary asset or non-monetary liability arising from the payment or receipt of advance consideration before the entity recognizes the related asset, expense or income (or part of it). The interpretation is applicable for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018.

The Company will adopt the Interpretation in its financial statements for the annual period beginning on January 1, 2018, and does not expect it to have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

IFRS 16 - Leases

In January 2016, the IASB issued IFRS 16 Leases. The objective of IFRS 16 is to recognize all leases on balance sheet for lessees. IFRS 16 requires lessees to recognize a "right of use" asset and a lease liability calculated using a prescribed methodology. The mandatory effective date of IFRS 16 is for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2019.

IFRS 16 requires lessees to recognize assets and liabilities for most leases on the balance sheet, as well as corresponding depreciation and interest expense.

The Company will adopt IFRS 16 for the annual period beginning January 1, 2019. The Company expects IFRS 16 will result in the recognition of additional lease assets and liabilities on the balance sheet, a decrease in lease expense and a corresponding increase in depreciation and interest expense. The Company also expects cash flow from operating activities to increase under IFRS 16 as lease payments for most leases will be recorded as financing outflows in the Consolidated statement of cash flows as opposed to operating cash flows. The extent of the impact of adopting the standard has not yet been determined. The Company is developing an implementation plan and expects to report more detailed information, including estimated quantitative financial impacts, if material, in its consolidated financial statements as the effective date approaches.

IFRIC 23 - Uncertainty over Income Tax Treatments

On June 7, 2017, the IASB issued IFRIC Interpretation 23 Uncertainty over Income Tax Treatments. The Interpretation provides guidance on the accounting for current and deferred tax liabilities and assets in circumstances in which there is uncertainty over income tax treatments. The Interpretation is applicable for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2019. The extent of the impact of adoption of the Interpretation has not yet been determined.

5. ACQUISITION

Merrex - Siribaya Project

On February 28, 2017, the Company acquired all of the issued and outstanding common shares and all of the outstanding common share purchase warrants and options of Merrex Gold Inc. ("Merrex"), that it did not already own. Merrex owns a 50% interest in the Siribaya Project in Mali. Including the 50% interest already held directly in the Siribaya Project, the Company now has a 100% interest in the Project. IAMGOLD issued an aggregate of approximately 6.9 million common shares. The total purchase price amounted to \$27.5 million, which includes transaction costs of \$0.2 million, and is net of cash and cash equivalents acquired of \$0.1 million.

Based on management's judgment, the acquisition does not meet the IFRS definition of a business combination as the primary asset (Siribaya Project) is an exploration stage property and has not identified economically recoverable ore reserves. Consequently, the transaction has been recorded as an asset acquisition.

The total purchase price was allocated to the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed based on the fair value of the total consideration transferred at the closing date of the acquisition.

| Assets acquired and liabilities assumed | Notes | |
|--|-------|---------|
| Exploration and evaluation assets | 14 | \$ 36.6 |
| Current liabilities | | (3.9) |
| Other non-current liabilities | | (0.4) |
| | | \$ 32.3 |
| Consideration transferred | | |
| Share consideration | | \$ 27.4 |
| Less: Cash and cash equivalents acquired | | (0.1) |
| Transaction costs | | 0.2 |
| | | 27.5 |
| Initial investment ¹ | 12 | 4.8 |
| | | \$ 32.3 |

¹ Prior to completion of the above mentioned transaction, IAMGOLD owned approximately 45.8 million common shares of Merrex, which represented approximately 23% of Merrex's issued and outstanding common shares, and was accounted for as an investment in an associate, using the equity method (note 12). The carrying amount of the investment of \$4.8 million on the date of the acquisition has been included in the total cost of the Merrex Exploration and evaluation assets (note 14).

6. DIVESTITURE

Sale of a 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project

On May 8, 2017, the Company entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with Sumitomo Metal Mining Co., Ltd. ("SMM") under which SMM would acquire a 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project (the "Project"), including certain assets and liabilities attributable thereto, for an aggregate consideration of \$195 million. The Company undertook a reorganization of its interest in the Côté Gold Project so that the Company's interest would be held directly. Prior to the reorganization, the Company held its interest through wholly-owned subsidiaries.

On June 5, 2017, the Company entered into a definitive Investment Agreement and a definitive Joint Venture Agreement with SMM with respect to the Côté Gold Project and the transaction closed on June 20, 2017. On closing, the Company received \$100 million of the consideration and the remaining consideration of \$95 million is receivable on the earlier of:

- 18 months following the closing date (December 20, 2018);
- the date the Côté Gold Project feasibility study is made available to the public; and
- should it elect to do so and only as permitted under the Joint Venture Agreement, the date SMM sells its participating interest.

The Company paid \$3.5 million in transaction costs upon closing of the transaction and has committed to pay a further \$2.9 million (note 17) on receipt of the remaining receivable of \$95 million. The remaining \$95 million consideration receivable from SMM was discounted to its present value on June 20, 2017, and is carried at an amortized cost of \$93.8 million as at December 31, 2017.

Upon entering into the Investment Agreement with SMM, the Company performed an impairment assessment of the Project, and as a result, reversed its previously recognized impairment charge of \$400 million (note 34). After accounting for the divestiture of the 30% interest in the Project (\$167.6 million), the carrying amount of Exploration and evaluation assets of the Côté Gold Project was \$390.4 million as at June 20, 2017.

On closing, the Company recorded a net gain of \$19.2 million, on the sale of a 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project to SMM, which has been included under Interest income and derivatives and other investment gains in the Consolidated statements of earnings and is net of transaction costs (note 31).

The Company continues to control the Côté Gold Project. Judgment was applied by the Company in determining the appropriate accounting treatment for its undivided interest in the Côté Gold Project's assets and liabilities beginning June 20, 2017, and based on interpretation of relevant guidance under IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements, the Company has accounted for the Côté Gold Project by recording its 70% share of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses in these Consolidated financial statements.

The following table represents the Company's 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project which was sold to SMM on June 20, 2017:

| Carrying amount | Notes | 30% disposal |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------|
| Current assets | | \$ 0.1 |
| Exploration and evaluation assets | 14 | 167.3 |
| Non-current assets | | 0.6 |
| Current liabilities | | (0.1) |
| Other non-current liabilities | 16 | (0.3) |
| | | \$ 167.6 |

The following table represents the Company's gain recorded on the sale of a 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project to SMM:

| | Notes | |
|---|-------|----------|
| Gross sale consideration | | \$ 195.0 |
| Less: | | |
| Sale of a 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project | | (167.6) |
| Transaction costs | | (6.4) |
| Time value discount on long-term receivable | | (1.8) |
| Gain on sale of a 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project | 31 | \$ 19.2 |

7. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

| | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Cash | \$ 489.2 | \$ 652.0 |
| Short-term deposits with initial maturities of three months or less | 174.9 | — |
| | \$ 664.1 | \$ 652.0 |

8. RESTRICTED CASH

(a) Short-term restricted cash

As at December 31, 2017, the Company had no short-term restricted cash. As at December 31, 2016, the Company had \$92.0 million held by the Government of Quebec to guarantee the environmental indemnities related to the Doyon mine. In 2017, the Company replaced the cash collateral, pursuant to arrangements with international insurance companies, with uncollateralized surety bonds, as prescribed by Quebec Government regulations. As at December 31, 2017, C\$127.2 million (December 31, 2017 - \$101.6 million; December 31, 2016 - \$nil) of uncollateralized surety bonds were outstanding to guarantee the environmental indemnities related to the Doyon division (note 19(c)).

(b) Long-term restricted cash

The Company had long-term restricted cash of \$19.5 million and \$5.0 million as at December 31, 2017 (December 31, 2016 - \$13.7 million and \$5.0 million) to guarantee the environmental indemnities related to the Essakane and Rosebel mines, respectively.

9. SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS

| | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Money market funds ¹ | \$ 124.6 | \$ — |
| Other | 2.6 | — |
| | \$ 127.2 | \$ — |

¹ Money market funds are comprised of short-term fund investments with redemption notice periods of 185 days.

10. RECEIVABLES AND OTHER CURRENT ASSETS

| | Notes | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|---|-------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Gold receivables | | \$ — | \$ 2.7 |
| Income tax receivable | | 3.2 | — |
| Receivables from governments ¹ | | 42.2 | 40.4 |
| Receivables from related parties | 36 | 0.1 | 1.2 |
| Other receivables | | 6.7 | 4.9 |
| Total receivables | | 52.2 | 49.2 |
| Marketable securities and warrants | | — | 0.2 |
| Prepaid expenses | | 9.6 | 7.2 |
| Derivatives | | 14.1 | 4.4 |
| | | \$ 75.9 | \$ 61.0 |

¹ Receivables from governments relate primarily to value added tax.

11. INVENTORIES

| | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Finished goods | \$ 52.8 | \$ 49.1 |
| Ore stockpiles | 5.0 | 9.1 |
| Mine supplies | 142.2 | 149.7 |
| | 200.0 | 207.9 |
| Non-current ore stockpiles | 177.6 | 156.0 |
| | \$ 377.6 | \$ 363.9 |

For the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company recognized a net realizable value write-down in non-current ore stockpiles amounting to \$4.2 million (December 31, 2016 - \$1.0 million).

For the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company recognized a write-down in mine supplies inventories amounting to \$10.0 million (December 31, 2016 - \$4.7 million).

For the year ended December 31, 2017, \$0.7 million was recognized in Cost of sales for costs related to operating below normal capacity at Westwood (December 31, 2016 - \$26.4 million).

12. INVESTMENTS IN ASSOCIATES AND INCORPORATED JOINT VENTURES

| | Associates ¹ | Sadiola ² | Yatela ² | Total |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Balance, January 1, 2016 | \$ 7.4 | \$ 49.2 | \$ — | \$ 56.6 |
| Currency translation adjustment | (0.3) | — | — | (0.3) |
| Share of net earnings (loss), net of income taxes | (0.8) | 9.0 | (2.1) | 6.1 |
| Share of net loss recorded as provision | — | — | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Share of dividends received | — | (11.3) | — | (11.3) |
| Disposal ³ | (0.6) | — | — | (0.6) |
| Balance, December 31, 2016 | 5.7 | 46.9 | — | 52.6 |
| Purchase of additional shares of associate ⁴ | 7.4 | — | — | 7.4 |
| Currency translation adjustment | 0.8 | — | — | 0.8 |
| Share of net earnings (loss), net of income taxes | (1.4) | 16.5 | (0.1) | 15.0 |
| Share of net loss recorded as provision 16 | — | — | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Share of dividends received | — | (2.1) | — | (2.1) |
| Acquisition of control over associate ⁵ | (4.8) | — | — | (4.8) |
| Balance, December 31, 2017 | \$ 7.7 | \$ 61.3 | \$ — | \$ 69.0 |

1 IAMGOLD includes results based on the latest publicly available information.

2 The Company's incorporated joint ventures are not publicly listed.

3 On March 16, 2016, the Company disposed of its 41% ownership interest in Galane Gold Ltd. ("Galane") which had a carrying amount of \$0.6 million on the date of disposal for cash proceeds of \$0.2 million. The resulting loss of \$0.4 million, net of transaction costs, was recognized in Interest income and derivatives and other investment gains in the Consolidated statements of earnings (note 31).

4 Associates include INV Metals Inc. ("INV Metals"), a publicly traded company incorporated in Canada, and Merrex prior to February 28, 2017 (note 5). The Company's ownership interest in INV Metals as at December 31, 2017 was 35.6% (December 31, 2016 - 35.6%). On March 2, 2017, the Company participated in INV Metals' common shares public equity offering and acquired an additional 9.8 million common shares of INV Metals at a price of C\$1.00 per share for an aggregate amount of \$7.4 million (C\$9.8 million). This acquisition allowed the Company to maintain a 35.6% ownership in INV Metals.

5 On February 28, 2017, Merrex became a 100% subsidiary of the Company (note 5). As a result, the Company accounted for Merrex under the consolidation method as at February 28, 2017. The Company previously accounted for Merrex as an associate, using the equity method.

The following table reconciles the summarized balance sheet to the carrying amount of the Company's interest in joint ventures:

| | Notes | December 31, 2017 | | December 31, 2016 | |
|--|-------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | | Sadiola | Yatela | Sadiola | Yatela |
| Company's equity percentage of net assets of joint ventures | | 41% | 40% | 41% | 40% |
| Share of net assets (liabilities) of joint ventures | | \$ 61.3 | \$ (31.1) | \$ 46.9 | \$ (30.8) |
| Loss applied to loans receivable | | — | 16.0 | — | 16.0 |
| Loss recognized in provision | 16 | — | 15.1 | — | 15.0 |
| Other | | — | — | — | (0.2) |
| Carrying amount of interest in joint ventures | | \$ 61.3 | \$ — | \$ 46.9 | \$ — |

Financial information for investments in Sadiola and Yatela, not adjusted for the percentage held by the Company, is summarized below:

| Joint Ventures | Years ended December 31, 2017 | | Years ended December 31, 2016 | |
|--|--|------------------|--|------------------|
| | Sadiola | Yatela | Sadiola | Yatela |
| Summarized statements of earnings | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 192.5 | \$ 7.7 | \$ 213.5 | \$ 14.7 |
| Depreciation expense | (4.0) | — | (7.1) | (2.0) |
| Other expenses | (143.1) | (8.0) | (179.0) | (18.0) |
| Income taxes | (5.2) | (0.1) | (5.4) | (0.1) |
| Net earnings (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 40.2 | \$ (0.4) | \$ 22.0 | \$ (5.4) |
| Summarized balance sheet | | | | |
| | December 31, 2017 | | December 31, 2016 | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 62.4 | \$ 0.5 | \$ 50.8 | \$ 6.5 |
| Other current assets | 53.8 | 7.9 | 41.9 | 7.7 |
| Non-current assets | 314.5 | — | 284.2 | — |
| | \$ 430.7 | \$ 8.4 | \$ 376.9 | \$ 14.2 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Current liabilities | \$ 58.6 | \$ 55.8 | \$ 41.2 | \$ 50.5 |
| Non-current liabilities | 222.4 | 30.2 | 221.2 | 40.8 |
| | \$ 281.0 | \$ 86.0 | \$ 262.4 | \$ 91.3 |
| Net assets (liabilities) | \$ 149.7 | \$ (77.6) | \$ 114.5 | \$ (77.1) |

Associates' combined financial information as reported by INV Metals (2016 - INV Metals and Merrex), are summarized below:

| | 12 Months ended¹ | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Net loss | \$ (3.1) | \$ (3.5) |
| Other comprehensive loss | (2.2) | (0.6) |
| Comprehensive loss | \$ (5.3) | \$ (4.1) |

¹ IAMGOLD includes results based on the latest 12 months of publicly available information.

13. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

| | Construction in progress | Mining properties | Plant and equipment | Total |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Cost | | | | |
| Balance, January 1, 2016 | \$ 7.9 | \$ 2,133.6 | \$ 1,821.3 | \$ 3,962.8 |
| Additions | 34.9 | 172.1 | 87.3 | 294.3 |
| Changes in asset retirement obligations | — | 11.7 | — | 11.7 |
| Disposals | — | — | (42.6) | (42.6) |
| Transfers within Property, plant and equipment | (40.0) | 19.1 | 20.9 | — |
| Balance, December 31, 2016 | 2.8 | 2,336.5 | 1,886.9 | 4,226.2 |
| Additions | 20.9 | 128.3 | 83.1 | 232.3 |
| Changes in asset retirement obligations | — | 4.6 | — | 4.6 |
| Disposals | — | (0.2) | (31.2) | (31.4) |
| Transfers within Property, plant and equipment | (16.6) | 16.9 | (0.3) | — |
| Balance, December 31, 2017 | \$ 7.1 | \$ 2,486.1 | \$ 1,938.5 | \$ 4,431.7 |
| Accumulated Depreciation and Impairment | | | | |
| Balance, January 1, 2016 | \$ 3.5 | \$ 1,383.0 | \$ 722.5 | \$ 2,109.0 |
| Depreciation expense ¹ | — | 98.5 | 187.5 | 286.0 |
| Disposals | — | — | (37.0) | (37.0) |
| Transfers within Property, plant and equipment | (3.5) | — | 3.5 | — |
| Balance, December 31, 2016 | — | 1,481.5 | 876.5 | 2,358.0 |
| Depreciation expense ¹ | — | 111.8 | 173.9 | 285.7 |
| Disposals | — | — | (28.1) | (28.1) |
| Reversal of impairment charges ² | — | (124.1) | — | (124.1) |
| Balance, December 31, 2017 | \$ — | \$ 1,469.2 | \$ 1,022.3 | \$ 2,491.5 |
| Carrying amount, December 31, 2016 | \$ 2.8 | \$ 855.0 | \$ 1,010.4 | \$ 1,868.2 |
| Carrying amount, December 31, 2017 | \$ 7.1 | \$ 1,016.9 | \$ 916.2 | \$ 1,940.2 |

¹ Excludes depreciation expense related to Corporate assets, which is included in General and administrative expenses.

² Note 34.

In 2017, borrowing costs attributable to qualifying assets associated with the Essakane, Rosebel and Westwood mines capitalized totaled \$22.4 million (2016 - \$18.6 million) at a weighted average interest rate of 7.16% (2016 - 6.99%).

As at December 31, 2017, mining properties included capitalized stripping costs of \$224.7 million (2016 - \$214.8 million). Stripping costs of \$57.3 million were capitalized during 2017 (2016 - \$75.7 million), and \$47.4 million were depreciated during 2017 (2016 - \$42.5 million).

As at December 31, 2017, the carrying amount of plant and equipment included \$0.2 million (December 31, 2016 - \$0.2 million) of equipment held under finance leases.

14. EXPLORATION AND EVALUATION ASSETS

| | Notes | Côté Gold Project | Saramacca Project | Siribaya Project | Other ¹ | Total ² |
|---|-------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Balance, January 1, 2016 | | \$ 151.0 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 4.1 | \$ 155.1 |
| Exploration and evaluation expenditures | | 3.9 | — | — | 0.2 | 4.1 |
| Acquired Exploration and evaluation assets | | — | 10.0 | — | — | 10.0 |
| Balance, December 31, 2016 | | \$ 154.9 | \$ 10.0 | \$ — | \$ 4.3 | \$ 169.2 |
| Exploration and evaluation expenditures | | 8.1 | 11.2 | — | 0.9 | 20.2 |
| Acquired Exploration and evaluation assets | 5 | — | 15.9 | 36.6 | — | 52.5 |
| Reversal of impairment charge | 6, 34 | 400.0 | — | — | — | 400.0 |
| Sale of a 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project | 6 | (167.3) | — | — | — | (167.3) |
| Balance, December 31, 2017 | | \$ 395.7 | \$ 37.1 | \$ 36.6 | \$ 5.2 | \$ 474.6 |

¹ Includes costs relating to Boto, Senegal, and other capitalized Exploration and evaluation assets.

² In 2017, borrowing costs attributable to Exploration and evaluation assets totaled \$1.9 million (2016 - \$0.7 million), and were capitalized at a weighted average interest rate of 7.16% (2016 - 6.99%).

As at December 31, 2017, Exploration and evaluation assets primarily consisted of the Côté Gold Project (carrying amount as of December 31, 2017 - \$395.7 million; December 31, 2016 - \$154.9 million), on which the Company recorded an impairment charge reversal of \$400.0 million, as a result of the sale of a 30% interest to SMM (note 6).

On December 12, 2016, the Company finalized the agreement to acquire the rights to the Saramacca property. The purchase consideration included 3.125 million contingently issuable IAMGOLD common shares to be issued to the Government of Suriname in three approximately equal tranches in 12 month intervals (note 23). On November 27, 2017, the Company issued the first tranche of the 3.125 million contingently issuable IAMGOLD common shares to the Government of Suriname and retained the right to explore the Saramacca property. This equity issuance of 1.042 million IAMGOLD common shares was accounted for as an addition to Exploration and evaluation assets of \$5.9 million based on the fair value of the IAMGOLD common shares on the date of the issuance.

On December 8, 2017, the Company amended the agreement with the Government of Suriname to include all National Instrument 43-101 ("NI 43-101") resource categories in the potential upward adjustment to the purchase price in addition to the indicated and measured resources. Based on the terms of the amended agreement and the most recent estimate of contained gold ounces of resources identified at the Saramacca property, the Company made a cash pre-payment of \$5.0 million and accrued for an additional \$5.0 million payable to the Government of Suriname for the upward adjustment to the purchase price and has accounted for the total upward adjustment to the purchase price of \$10.0 million as an addition to Exploration and evaluation assets.

15. OTHER NON-CURRENT ASSETS

| | Notes | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|--|-------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Net loan receivable from related party | 36 | \$ 36.3 | \$ 31.3 |
| Marketable securities and warrants | 21(a) | 24.2 | 21.7 |
| Advances for the purchase of capital equipment | | 19.9 | 19.9 |
| Bond fund investments | 21(a) | 1.9 | 5.9 |
| Royalty interests | | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| Long-term prepayment ¹ | | 4.9 | — |
| Derivatives | | 4.4 | 4.1 |
| Other | | 5.5 | 5.2 |
| | | \$ 102.7 | \$ 93.7 |

¹ On March 6, 2017, the Company signed an agreement with a third-party for the construction of a solar power plant to deliver power to the Essakane mine for a period of 15 years upon completion of construction, expected in March 2018. During 2017, the Company prepaid \$4.9 million to the third-party in connection with the agreement. The agreement may be terminated by either party if certain conditions are not met. Upon completion of construction of the solar power plant, the Company will account for this arrangement as a finance lease.

As at December 31, 2017, the allowance for doubtful non-current non-trade receivables from related parties was \$36.0 million, (December 31, 2016 - \$36.0 million).

16. PROVISIONS

| | Notes | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|-------------------------------|-------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Asset retirement obligations | | \$ 292.8 | \$ 285.1 |
| Yatela loss provision | 12 | 15.1 | 15.0 |
| Other | | 8.2 | 5.5 |
| | | \$ 316.1 | \$ 305.6 |
| Current portion of provisions | | \$ 17.1 | \$ 15.8 |
| Non-current provisions | | 299.0 | 289.8 |
| | | \$ 316.1 | \$ 305.6 |

(a) Asset retirement obligations

The Company's activities are subject to various laws and regulations regarding environmental restoration and closure for which the Company estimates future costs and recognizes a provision. These provisions may be revised on the basis of amendments to such laws and regulations and the availability of new information, such as changes in reserves corresponding to a change in the mine life, discount rates, changes in approved closure plans, changes in estimated costs of reclamation activities and acquisition or construction of a new mine. The Company makes a provision based on the best estimate of the future cost of rehabilitating mine sites and related production facilities on a discounted basis.

The following table presents the reconciliation of the provision for asset retirement obligations:

| | Notes | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|-------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| | | 2017 | 2016 |
| Balance, beginning of the year | | \$ 285.1 | \$ 285.3 |
| Revision of estimated cash flows and discount rates: | | | |
| Capitalized in Property, plant and equipment | 13 | 4.6 | 11.7 |
| Changes in asset retirement obligations at closed sites | 29 | 7.5 | (9.8) |
| Sale of 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project | 6 | (0.3) | — |
| Accretion expense | 30 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Disbursements | | (5.0) | (2.7) |
| Balance, end of the year | | 292.8 | 285.1 |
| Less current portion | | (10.8) | (12.5) |
| Non-current portion | | \$ 282.0 | \$ 272.6 |

As at December 31, 2017, the Company had letters of credit in the amount of \$1.3 million to guarantee certain environmental indemnities (December 31, 2016 - \$3.2 million). In addition, the Company had restricted cash of \$24.5 million (December 31, 2016 - \$110.7 million) to guarantee the environmental indemnities related to the Essakane and Rosebel mines (note 8). As at December 31, 2017, C\$127.2 million (December 31, 2017 - \$101.6 million; December 31, 2016 - \$nil) of uncollateralized surety bonds were outstanding to guarantee the environmental indemnities related to the Doyon division (note 19(c)).

As at December 31, 2017, the schedule of estimated undiscounted future disbursements for rehabilitation was as follows:

| | C\$ ¹ | \$ ¹ |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 2018 | \$ 10.7 | \$ 1.7 |
| 2019 | 18.9 | 1.1 |
| 2020 | 18.5 | 0.8 |
| 2021 | 7.9 | 2.5 |
| 2022 | 7.6 | 1.3 |
| 2023 onwards | 109.1 | 131.9 |
| | \$ 172.7 | \$ 139.3 |

¹ Disbursements in US\$ relate to the Essakane and Rosebel mines, and C\$ disbursements relate to the Doyon mine and other Canadian sites.

As at December 31, 2017, estimated undiscounted amounts of cash flows required to settle the obligations, expected timing of payments and the average real discount rates assumed in measuring the environmental indemnities were as follows:

| | Undiscounted Amounts Required (C\$) | Undiscounted Amounts Required (\$) | Expected Timing of Payments | Average Real Discount Rates |
|----------------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Rosebel mine | \$ — | \$ 58.3 | 2018 - 2033 | 0.4% |
| Essakane mine | — | 81.0 | 2018 - 2029 | 0.3% |
| Doyon mine | 147.2 | — | 2018 - 2046 | 0.3% |
| Other Canadian sites | 25.5 | — | 2018 - 2117 | 0.3% |
| | \$ 172.7 | \$ 139.3 | | |

(b) Provisions for litigation claims and regulatory assessments

By their nature, contingencies will only be confirmed by the occurrence or non-occurrence of one or more uncertain future events. The assessment of contingencies inherently involves the exercise of significant judgments and estimates of the outcome of future events.

The Company operates in various countries and may be subject to assessments by the regulatory authorities in each of those countries, which can be complex and subject to interpretation. Assessments may relate to matters such as income and other taxes, duties and environmental matters. The Company exercises informed judgment to interpret the provisions of applicable laws and regulations as well as their application and administration by regulatory authorities to reasonably determine and pay the amounts due. From time to time, the Company may undergo a review by the regulatory authorities and in connection with such reviews, disputes may arise with respect to the Company's interpretations about the amounts due and paid.

The Company is also subject to various litigation actions. Management assesses the potential outcome of litigation and regulatory assessments based on input from in-house counsel, outside legal advisors, and other subject matter experts. Accordingly, the Company establishes provisions for future disbursements considered probable.

As at December 31, 2017, the Company did not have any material provisions for litigation claims or regulatory assessments. Further, the Company does not believe claims or regulatory assessments, for which no provision has been recorded, will have a material impact on the financial position of the Company.

17. OTHER LIABILITIES

| | Notes | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|-------------------------------|-------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Finance lease liabilities | 13 | \$ 0.2 | \$ 0.1 |
| Derivatives | 21(a) | — | 2.0 |
| Other liabilities | 6 | 2.9 | — |
| | | \$ 3.1 | \$ 2.1 |
| Other current liabilities | | \$ 2.9 | \$ 2.1 |
| Other non-current liabilities | | 0.2 | — |
| | | \$ 3.1 | \$ 2.1 |

18. INCOME TAXES

The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 were 16.0% and 35.1%, respectively.

Income tax expenses consisted of the following components:

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------|---------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Current income tax: | | |
| Canadian current income taxes | \$ 3.0 | \$ 0.8 |
| Foreign current income taxes | 56.7 | 20.9 |
| | 59.7 | 21.7 |
| Deferred income tax: | | |
| Canadian deferred income taxes - origination and reversal of temporary differences | 4.6 | (1.5) |
| Foreign deferred income taxes - origination and reversal of temporary differences | 32.5 | 14.3 |
| Changes in tax rates or imposition of new taxes | 0.8 | (1.1) |
| | 37.9 | 11.7 |
| Total income tax expense | \$ 97.6 | \$ 33.4 |

The Company is subject to income tax in several jurisdictions, at various tax rates. A number of factors other than the current year tax rates affect the relationship between the income or losses in a jurisdiction for financial accounting reporting purposes and the income tax provision required to be recognized for those same reporting purposes.

These factors are illustrated below on all of the consolidated earnings before income taxes after applying a tax rate of 26.6%, reflecting the combined Canadian statutory corporate income tax rate which applies to the Company as a legal entity for the year ended December 31, 2017 (December 31, 2016 - 26.7%):

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------|---------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Earnings before income taxes | \$ 608.1 | \$ 95.2 |
| Income tax provision - 26.6% (26.7% in 2016) | \$ 161.8 | \$ 25.4 |
| Increase (reduction) in income taxes resulting from: | | |
| Earnings in foreign jurisdictions subject to a different tax rate than 26.6% (26.7% in 2016) | 1.4 | (20.4) |
| Permanent items that are not included in income / losses for tax purposes: | | |
| Non-deductible expenses | 4.5 | 22.2 |
| Losses not recognized for tax purposes | (1.1) | (5.8) |
| Tax provisions not based on legal entity income or losses for the year: | | |
| Provincial mining duty tax | 6.0 | — |
| Non-resident withholding tax | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| Foreign exchange adjustments of tax receivable / payable balances | — | (0.2) |
| Under/(over) tax provisions | 6.0 | (7.6) |
| Tax benefit of losses recognized | — | (5.8) |
| Changes to accounting costs not reflected for statutory tax purposes | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Changes in tax rates | 0.8 | (1.1) |
| Other | (3.2) | (0.6) |
| Other adjustments: | | |
| Unrecognized recoveries (expenses) in deferred tax provisions | (84.0) | 18.4 |
| Foreign exchange related to deferred income taxes | 2.6 | 3.8 |
| Other | (0.8) | 1.1 |
| Total income tax expense | \$ 97.6 | \$ 33.4 |

The components that give rise to deferred income tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

| | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Deferred income tax assets: | | |
| Exploration and evaluation assets | \$ — | \$ 109.1 |
| Non-capital losses | 71.9 | — |
| Asset retirement obligations | 2.5 | 3.7 |
| Other | 28.5 | 10.3 |
| | 102.9 | 123.1 |
| Deferred income tax liabilities: | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | (253.9) | (213.6) |
| Royalty interests | (8.0) | (7.7) |
| Other intangible assets | (0.2) | (0.5) |
| Mining duties | (26.1) | (19.7) |
| Marketable securities | (1.5) | (0.9) |
| Inventory and Reserves | (6.5) | (10.1) |
| Other | (4.9) | (29.6) |
| | (301.1) | (282.1) |
| Net deferred income tax liabilities | \$ (198.2) | \$ (159.0) |
| Classification: | | |
| Non-current assets | \$ — | \$ — |
| Non-current liabilities | (198.2) | (159.0) |
| | \$ (198.2) | \$ (159.0) |

Income tax expenses related to OCI consisted of the following components:

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Unrealized change in fair value of marketable securities | \$ 0.6 | \$ 1.2 |
| Hedges | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Total income taxes related to OCI | \$ 0.9 | \$ 1.4 |

Unrecognized Deferred Income Tax Assets

As at December 31, 2017, the Company did not recognize the benefit related to the deferred income tax assets for the related items in its consolidated financial statements, as management did not consider it probable that the Company would be able to realize the deferred income tax assets in the future.

Deferred income tax assets have not been recognized in respect of the following deductible temporary differences:

| | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Non-capital losses | \$ 756.2 | \$ 981.9 |
| Net capital losses | 82.9 | 47.7 |
| Exploration and evaluation assets | 31.2 | 163.7 |
| Deduction for future mining duty taxes | 26.1 | 19.7 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 157.8 | 153.4 |
| Other deductible temporary differences | 41.1 | 30.7 |
| | \$ 1,095.3 | \$ 1,397.1 |

The net capital loss carry forwards are restricted in use against capital gains but may be carried forward indefinitely. The exploration and evaluation assets may be carried forward indefinitely. The non-capital loss carry forwards expire as follows:

| Expiry Date | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022+ | No Expiry | Total |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Total unrecognized losses | \$25.9 | \$12.2 | \$8.8 | \$2.0 | \$506.4 | \$200.9 | \$756.2 |

The Company has not recognized a deferred income tax liability on temporary differences of \$794.2 million (December 31, 2016 - \$722.1 million) related to investments in certain subsidiaries and joint ventures because the Company can control the reversal of the temporary differences and the temporary differences are not expected to reverse in the foreseeable future.

The Company designates all dividends paid to its shareholders to be eligible dividends.

The 2017 movement for net deferred income tax liabilities is summarized as follows:

| | December 31, 2016 | Statements of earnings | Other comprehensive income | Other | December 31, 2017 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------|
| Deferred income tax assets: | | | | | |
| Exploration and evaluation assets | \$ 109.1 | \$ (109.1) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Non-capital losses | — | 71.9 | — | — | 71.9 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 3.7 | (1.2) | — | — | 2.5 |
| Other assets | 10.3 | 18.2 | — | — | 28.5 |
| Deferred income tax liabilities: | | | | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | (213.6) | (40.3) | — | — | (253.9) |
| Royalty interests | (7.7) | (0.3) | — | — | (8.0) |
| Other intangible assets | (0.5) | 0.3 | — | — | (0.2) |
| Mining duties | (19.7) | (6.4) | — | — | (26.1) |
| Marketable securities | (0.9) | — | (0.6) | — | (1.5) |
| Inventories and Reserves | (10.1) | 3.6 | — | — | (6.5) |
| Other | (29.6) | 25.4 | (0.3) | (0.4) | (4.9) |
| | \$ (159.0) | \$ (37.9) | \$ (0.9) | \$ (0.4) | \$ (198.2) |

The 2016 movement for net deferred income tax liabilities is summarized as follows:

| | December 31, 2015 | Statements of earnings | Other comprehensive income | Other | December 31, 2016 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------|
| Deferred income tax assets: | | | | | |
| Exploration and evaluation assets | \$ 72.6 | \$ 36.5 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 109.1 |
| Non-capital losses | 14.1 | (14.1) | — | — | — |
| Asset retirement obligations | 4.1 | (0.4) | — | — | 3.7 |
| Other assets | 11.3 | (1.0) | — | — | 10.3 |
| Deferred income tax liabilities: | | | | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | (161.0) | (52.6) | — | — | (213.6) |
| Royalty interests | (9.5) | 1.8 | — | — | (7.7) |
| Other intangible assets | (0.7) | 0.2 | — | — | (0.5) |
| Mining duties | (21.0) | 1.3 | — | — | (19.7) |
| Marketable securities | (0.3) | 0.6 | (1.2) | — | (0.9) |
| Inventories and Reserves | (6.0) | (4.1) | — | — | (10.1) |
| Other | (49.4) | 20.1 | (0.2) | (0.1) | (29.6) |
| | \$ (145.8) | \$ (11.7) | \$ (1.4) | \$ (0.1) | \$ (159.0) |

19. LONG-TERM DEBT AND CREDIT FACILITIES

(a) Senior Notes

i. 7% Senior Notes ("Notes")

On March 16, 2017, the Company issued at face value \$400 million of Notes due in 2025 with an interest rate of 7% per annum. The Notes are denominated in U.S. dollars and mature on April 15, 2025. Interest is payable in arrears in equal semi-annual installments on April 15 and October 15 of each year, beginning on October 15, 2017. The Notes are guaranteed by some of the Company's subsidiaries.

The Company incurred transaction costs of \$6.4 million which have been capitalized and offset against the carrying amount of the Notes within Long-term debt in the Consolidated balance sheets and are being amortized using the effective interest rate method.

Except for the prepayment options as noted below, the Notes are not redeemable, in whole or part, by the Company until April 15, 2020. On and after April 15, 2020, the Company may redeem the Notes, in whole or in part, at the relevant redemption price (expressed as a percentage of the principal amount of the Notes) and accrued and unpaid interest on the Notes up to the redemption date. The redemption price for the Notes during the 12-month period beginning on April 15 of each of the following years is: 2020 - 105.25%; 2021 - 103.50%; 2022 - 101.75%; 2023 and thereafter - 100%.

Prior to April 15, 2020, the Company may redeem some or all of the Notes at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes plus a "make-whole" premium, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

Prior to April 15, 2020, using the cash proceeds from an equity offering, the Company may redeem up to 40% of the original aggregate principal amount of the Notes at a redemption price equal to 107% of the aggregate principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, up to the redemption date.

The prepayment options are options that represent an embedded derivative asset to the Company and are presented as an offset to the Notes on the Consolidated balance sheets. The debt component was initially recognized at \$400 million, which represents the difference between the fair value of the financial instrument as a whole and the fair value of the embedded derivative.

Subsequently, the debt component is recognized at amortized cost using the effective interest rate method. The embedded derivative represents the prepayment option and is classified as a financial asset at fair value through profit or loss ("FVTPL"). The embedded derivative is recognized at fair value with changes in the fair value recognized in the Company's Consolidated statements of earnings. The fair value of the embedded derivative as at December 31, 2017 was \$6.8 million (note 21(a)), (December 31, 2016 - \$nil).

Under the indenture governing the Notes, if the Company makes certain asset sales it may use an amount equal to the net proceeds to repay certain debt obligations and/or reinvest, or commit to reinvest, in the Company's business, within 365 days after the applicable asset sale. At the end of the 365-day period, if there remains \$50 million or more of the net proceeds that the Company has not used in this manner, the Company would be required to use any such excess proceeds to offer to purchase the Notes at par in the manner described in the indenture.

The following are the contractual maturities related to the Notes, including interest payments:

| Notes balance as at | Payments due by period | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| | Carrying amount ¹ | Contractual cash flows | <1 yr | 1-2 yrs | 3-5 yrs | >5 yrs |
| December 31, 2017 | \$ 400.0 | \$ 610.0 | \$ 28.0 | \$ 56.0 | \$ 56.0 | \$ 470.0 |
| December 31, 2016 | \$ 489.1 | \$ 621.1 | \$ 33.0 | \$ 66.0 | \$ 522.1 | — |

¹ The carrying amount of the long-term debt excludes unamortized deferred transaction costs of the Notes of \$5.8 million as at December 31, 2017 (December 31, 2016 - \$4.0 million). The carrying amount of the long-term debt also excludes the embedded derivative classified as a financial asset at fair value through profit or loss (note 20(d)).

ii. 6.75% Senior Notes

On September 21, 2012, the Company issued at face value \$650 million of Senior Notes with an interest rate of 6.75% per annum. The 6.75% Senior Notes were denominated in U.S. dollars and mature on October 1, 2020. Interest is payable in arrears in equal semi-annual installments on April 1 and October 1.

In April 2016, the Company canceled, at face value, \$15.0 million of 6.75% Senior Notes it purchased in 2015.

In the third quarter of 2016, the Company purchased at face value, pursuant to a tender offer, an additional \$145.9 million of the 6.75% Senior Notes for cash consideration of \$141.5 million. The resulting gain, net of transaction costs was \$4.0 million and was recognized in the third quarter of 2016 in Interest income and derivatives and other investment gains in the Consolidated statements of earnings (note 31).

On March 16, 2017, the Company issued a notice to redeem its 6.75% Senior Notes for a total amount of \$505.6 million and completed the redemption on April 3, 2017. As a result of the change in the estimated future cash flows, the amortized cost of \$485.4 million of the 6.75% Senior Notes was adjusted during the first quarter 2017 to reflect the actual future cash flows of \$505.6 million. The resulting loss of \$20.2 million was recognized in the first quarter 2017 in Interest income and derivatives and other investment gains in the Consolidated statements of earnings (note 31).

(b) Credit facilities

On February 1, 2016, the Company entered into a four-year \$250 million credit facility consisting of a fully committed \$100 million secured revolving credit facility and a \$150 million accordion. During 2016, the Company amended the credit facility to increase the fully committed credit facility from \$100 million to \$170 million, resulting in \$80 million remaining under the accordion. On February 7, 2017, the Company amended the credit facility, utilizing the remaining accordion and adding additional commitments of \$80 million, bringing the total commitments under the facility to \$250 million, with similar terms and conditions. On December 14, 2017, the Company amended the credit facility. The amendments include, amongst other things, extending the maturity to March 31, 2022, improved pricing, the addition of an option to increase financing under the credit facility by \$100 million, the ability to enter into a \$100 million bi-lateral letters of credit facility and the elimination of the Minimum Liquidity financial covenant. The credit facility provides for an interest rate margin above London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"), banker's acceptance ("BA") prime rate and base rate advances which varies according to the total net debt ratio of the Company. Fees related to the credit facility vary according to the total net debt ratio of the Company. This credit facility is secured by some of the Company's real assets, guarantees by some of the Company's subsidiaries and pledges of shares in some of the Company's subsidiaries. The key terms of the facility include limitations on incremental debt, restrictions on distributions and financial covenants including Net Debt to EBITDA, Tangible Net Worth, and Interest Coverage. The Company was in compliance with its credit facility covenants as at December 31, 2017.

Upon entering into the \$250 million credit facility on February 1, 2016, the Company terminated its four-year \$500 million unsecured revolving credit facility. Prior to termination, the Company repaid the \$70 million outstanding on the previous credit facility. As of December 31, 2016, letters of credit worth \$2.8 million were issued under the Company's revolving credit facility and \$0.4 million under a separate letter of credit. As of December 31, 2017, letters of credit worth \$1.3 million were drawn against the credit facility for the guarantee of certain environmental indemnities.

(c) Uncollateralized surety bonds

As at December 31, 2017, C\$127.2 million (December 31, 2017 - \$101.6 million; December 31, 2016 - \$nil) of uncollateralized surety bonds were outstanding to guarantee the environmental indemnities related to the Doyon division. The uncollateralized surety bonds were issued pursuant to arrangements with international insurance companies (note 8(a)).

20. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

(a) Risks

The Company is subject to various financial risks that could have a significant impact on profitability, levels of operating cash flow and financial conditions. Ongoing financial market conditions may have an impact on interest rates, gold prices and currency rates.

The Company is exposed to various liquidity, credit and market risks associated with its financial instruments, and manages those risks as follows:

(i) Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that an entity will encounter difficulty in meeting obligations associated with financial liabilities that are settled by delivering cash or another financial asset.

The Company's approach to managing this risk is to ensure that there is sufficient liquidity to meet its liabilities when due, under both normal and stressed conditions, without incurring unacceptable losses or risking damages.

As at December 31, 2017, in addition to the available credit facility (Note 19(b)), the Company's cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments balance was \$791.3 million (December 31, 2016 - \$652.0 million). As at December 31, 2017, the Company had accounts payable of \$196.2 million (December 31, 2016 - \$162.9 million), other current liabilities of \$2.9 million (December 31, 2016 - \$2.1 million), and Senior Notes payable of \$400.0 million (December 31, 2016 - \$489.1 million).

The Company has a treasury policy designed to support management of liquidity risk as follows:

- Invest in financial instruments in order to preserve capital, maintain required liquidity and realize a competitive rate of return while considering an appropriate and tolerable level of credit risk;
- Evaluate, review and monitor on a periodic basis, credit ratings and limits for counterparties with whom funds are invested;
- Monitor cash balances within each operating entity;
- Perform short to medium-term cash flow forecasting, as well as medium and long-term forecasting incorporating relevant budget information; and
- Determine market risks inherent in the business, including currency, fuel and other non-gold commodities and evaluate, implement and monitor hedging strategies through the use of derivative instruments.

Under the terms of the Company's derivative agreements, counterparties cannot require the immediate settlement of outstanding derivatives, except upon the occurrence of customary events of default such as covenant breaches, including financial covenants, insolvency or bankruptcy. The Company generally mitigates liquidity risk associated with these instruments by spreading out the maturity of its derivatives over time.

(ii) Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk that one party to a financial instrument will cause a financial loss for the other party by failing to discharge an obligation. The maximum amount of credit risk is equal to the balance of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, short-term investments, derivative assets and restricted cash. Where applicable, the measurement of the fair value of derivatives accounts for counterparty credit risk.

The Company holds cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and restricted cash in credit worthy financial institutions that comply with the Company's investment policy and its credit risk parameters.

For derivatives, the Company mitigates credit risk by entering into derivatives with high quality counterparties, limiting the exposure per counterparty, and monitoring the financial condition of the counterparties.

Credit risk related to gold receivables is considered minimal as gold is sold to creditworthy counterparties and settled promptly, usually within the following month.

Credit risk is also related to receivables from related parties and governments. The receivables from governments primarily relate to value added tax. The Company has rights to these receivables based on application of tax laws and regularly monitors collection of the amounts. Receivables from related parties relate to the Company's investments in the associate and joint ventures and the Company monitors collection in line with the terms of the underlying agreements.

(iii) Market risk

Market risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market prices. For hedging activities, it is the risk that the fair value of a derivative might be adversely affected by a change in underlying commodity prices or currency exchange rates, and that this in turn affects the Company's financial condition.

The Company mitigates market risk by establishing and monitoring parameters that limit the types and degree of market risk that may be undertaken, establishing trading agreements with counterparties under which there are no requirement to post any collateral or make any margin calls on derivatives. Counterparties cannot require settlement solely because of an adverse change in the fair value of a derivative. Market risk comprises the following types of risks: share and commodity market price risk, currency risk, and interest rate risk.

(b) Financial assets measured at fair value through other comprehensive income

Marketable securities fair value reserve

Share market price exposure risk is related to the fluctuation in the market price of marketable securities. The Company's portfolio of marketable securities is not part of its core operations, and accordingly, gains and losses from these marketable securities are not representative of the Company's performance during the period. Consequently, the Company has designated all of its investments in marketable securities to be measured at fair value through Other comprehensive income ("OCI"). The Company's portfolio of marketable securities is primarily focused on the mining sector and relates entirely to investments in equity securities.

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Proceeds from sale of marketable securities | \$ 14.5 | \$ 0.1 |
| Acquisition date fair value of marketable securities sold | (25.4) | (2.9) |
| Loss on sale of marketable securities recorded in OCI | \$ (10.9) | \$ (2.8) |

(c) Cash flow hedge fair value reserve

(i) Hedge gain/loss

| | Gain (loss) recognized in cash flow hedge reserve | | (Gain) loss reclassified or adjusted from cash flow hedge reserve | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| | Year ended December 31, 2017 | Year ended December 31, 2016 | Year ended December 31, 2017 | Year ended December 31, 2016 |
| Exchange rate risk | | | | |
| Canadian dollar option contracts | \$ 6.8 | \$ 0.7 | \$ (2.5) | \$ 6.0 |
| Euro option contracts | 6.5 | 0.9 | (2.3) | (1.3) |
| Crude oil option contracts | 3.2 | 3.6 | (0.3) | 1.8 |
| | 16.5 | 5.2 | (5.1) | 6.5 |
| Time value of option contracts excluded from hedge relationship | (1.9) | (4.2) | — | — |
| | \$ 14.6 | \$ 1.0 | \$ (5.1) | \$ 6.5 |

| | (Gain) loss reclassified from cash flow hedge reserve to: | |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| | Year ended December 31, 2017 | Year ended December 31, 2016 |
| Consolidated balance sheets | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | \$ (1.1) | \$ 0.1 |
| Consolidated statements of earnings | | |
| Cost of sales | (3.3) | 4.4 |
| General and administrative expenses | (0.7) | 2.0 |
| Total | \$ (5.1) | \$ 6.5 |

There was no hedge ineffectiveness for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

(ii) Currency exchange rate risk

Movements in the Canadian dollar (C\$) and the Euro (€) against the U.S. dollar (\$) have a direct impact on the Company's Consolidated financial statements.

The Company manages its exposure to the Canadian dollar and the Euro by executing option contracts. The Company's objective is to hedge its exposure to these currencies resulting from operating and capital expenditure requirements at some of its mine sites and corporate offices.

The Company has designated option contracts as cash flow hedges for its highly probable forecasted Canadian dollar and Euro expenditure requirements. The Company has elected to only designate the change in the intrinsic value of options in the hedging relationships. The change in fair value of the time value component of options is recorded in OCI as a cost of hedging.

An economic relationship exists between the hedged items and the hedging instruments as the fair values of both the hedged items and hedging instruments move in opposite directions in response to the same risk. The hedge ratio is determined by dividing the quantity of option contracts by the quantity of the forecasted Canadian dollar and Euro expenditure exposures.

As at December 31, 2017, the Company's outstanding derivative contracts which qualified for hedge accounting and the periods in which the cash flows are expected to occur and impact the Consolidated statements of earnings and Property, plant and equipment balance are as follows:

| | 2018 |
|--|------------------------|
| Cash flow hedges | |
| Exchange rate risk | |
| Canadian dollar option contracts (millions of C\$) | 155 |
| Contract rate range (\$/C\$) | 1.30-1.45 ¹ |
| Euro option contracts (millions of €) | 93 |
| Contract rate range (€/€) | 1.08-1.19 ² |

1 The Company purchased two types of Canadian dollar collar options, which consist of U.S. dollar put and call options. The strike price for the put options on both of these structures are at \$1.30. For the call options, the strike prices are at \$1.42 and \$1.45. The Company will benefit from the margin between the lower market price and the set U.S. dollar put strike price of \$1.30. If U.S. dollar to C\$ market prices are above the call strike of \$1.42 for one of the derivative structures, or above the call strike of 1.45 for the other derivative structures in 2018, the Company will incur a loss from the margin between the higher market price and the \$1.42 and 1.45 call strike prices, respectively.

2 The Company purchased Euro collar options with strike prices within the given range in 2018. If the Euro to US\$ market prices are below the low end of the range of the Euro put strike prices in 2018, the Company will incur a loss from the margin between the lower market price and the set put strike price. If the Euro to US\$ market prices are above the high end of the range of the Euro call strike prices in 2018, the Company will benefit from the margin between the higher market price and the set call strike price.

The table below sets out the fair value as at December 31, 2017, and what the fair value would have been based on an increase or decrease of 10% in the U.S. dollar exchange rate. The entire change in fair value would be recorded in the Consolidated statements of comprehensive income as Other comprehensive income.

| | December 31, 2017 | Increase of 10% | Decrease of 10% |
|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Canadian dollar (C\$) | \$ 5.3 | \$ (0.9) | \$ 17.9 |
| Euro (€) | \$ 4.4 | \$ (1.0) | \$ 16.2 |

Additional information on hedging instruments and hedged forecast transactions related to currency exchange rate risk as at December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 is as follows:

| As at December 31, 2017 | Carrying amount | | Fair value changes used for calculating hedge ineffectiveness | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---|---------------------|--------------|
| | Assets | Liabilities | Accumulated cash flow hedge fair value reserve (before tax) | Hedging instruments | Hedged items |
| Canadian option contracts | \$ 5.3 | \$ — | \$ 4.5 | \$ 4.5 | \$ (4.5) |
| Euro option contracts | 4.4 | — | 3.8 | 3.8 | (3.8) |
| | \$ 9.7 | \$ — | \$ 8.3 | \$ 8.3 | \$ (8.3) |

| As at December 31, 2016 | Carrying amount | | Fair value changes used for calculating hedge ineffectiveness | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---|---------------------|--------------|
| | Assets | Liabilities | Accumulated cash flow hedge fair value reserve (before tax) | Hedging instruments | Hedged items |
| Canadian option contracts | \$ 2.1 | \$ — | \$ 0.2 | \$ 0.2 | \$ (0.2) |
| Euro option contracts | 0.2 | (2.0) | (0.4) | (0.4) | 0.4 |
| | \$ 2.3 | \$ (2.0) | \$ (0.2) | \$ (0.2) | \$ 0.2 |

(iii) Oil and fuel market price risk

Low sulfur diesel and fuel oil are key inputs to extract tonnage and, in some cases, to wholly or partially power operations. Brent crude oil and West Texas Intermediate (WTI) crude oil are components of diesel and fuel oil, respectively, such that changes in the price of crude oil directly impacts diesel and fuel oil costs. The Company established a hedging strategy to limit the impact of fluctuations in crude oil prices and to economically hedge future consumption of diesel and fuel oil at the Rosebel and Essakane mines. The Company has designated option contracts as cash flow hedges for the crude oil component of its highly probable forecasted low sulfur diesel and fuel oil purchases.

As at December 31, 2017, the Company's outstanding crude oil derivative contracts, which qualified for hedge accounting, and the periods in which the cash flows are expected to occur and impact the Consolidated statements of earnings, are as follows:

| | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | Total |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|
| Brent crude oil option contracts (barrels) ¹ | 488 | 366 | 333 | 1,187 |
| Option contracts with strike prices at (\$/barrel) | 42-60 ² | 44-60 ² | 50-62 ² | |
| WTI crude oil option contracts (barrels) ¹ | 390 | 426 | 405 | 1,221 |
| Option contracts with strike prices at (\$/barrel) | 36-60 ² | 42-60 ² | 43-60 ² | |

1 Quantities of barrels are in thousands.

2 The Company purchased Brent and WTI collar options with strike prices within the given range in 2018, 2019 and 2020. If Brent and WTI market prices are below the low end of the range in 2018, 2019 and 2020, the Company will incur a loss from the margin between the lower market price and the set put strike price. If Brent and WTI are above the high end of the range of the call strike price in 2018, 2019 and 2020, the Company will benefit from the margin between the higher market price and the set call strike price.

The table below sets out the fair value as at December 31, 2017, and what the fair value would have been based on an increase or a decrease of 10% of the price. The entire change in fair value would be recorded in the Consolidated statements of comprehensive income as Other comprehensive income.

| | December 31, 2017 | Increase of 10% | Decrease of 10% |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Brent crude oil option contracts | \$ 6.1 | \$ 12.3 | \$ 0.9 |
| WTI crude oil option contracts | \$ 2.7 | \$ 7.7 | \$ (0.8) |

Additional information on hedging instruments and hedged forecast transactions related to oil and fuel market price risk as at December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 was as follows:

| As at December 31, 2017 | Carrying amount | | Accumulated cash flow hedge fair value reserve (before tax) | Fair value changes used for calculating hedge ineffectiveness | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---|---|--------------|
| | Assets | Liabilities | | Hedging instruments | Hedged items |
| Brent crude oil option contracts | \$ 6.1 | \$ — | \$ 2.7 | \$ 2.7 | \$ (2.7) |
| WTI crude oil option contracts | 2.7 | — | 0.1 | 0.1 | (0.1) |
| | \$ 8.8 | \$ — | \$ 2.8 | \$ 2.8 | \$ (2.8) |

| As at December 31, 2016 | Carrying amount | | Accumulated cash flow hedge fair value reserve (before tax) | Fair value changes used for calculating hedge ineffectiveness | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---|---|--------------|
| | Assets | Liabilities | | Hedging instruments | Hedged items |
| Brent crude oil option contracts | \$ 4.0 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| WTI crude oil option contracts | 2.2 | — | — | — | — |
| | \$ 6.2 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |

(d) Gain on embedded derivative and warrants

Gain on embedded derivative and warrants is included in Interest income and derivatives and other investment gains (note 31) in the Consolidated statements of earnings. These gains related to the Company's fair value movement of the embedded derivative related to prepayment options for the Notes (note 19(a)) and warrants associated with investments in marketable securities.

| | Notes | Years ended December 31, | |
|---------------------|-------|--------------------------|--------|
| | | 2017 | 2016 |
| Embedded derivative | 19(a) | \$ 2.6 | \$ — |
| Warrants | | 0.5 | 2.3 |
| | 31 | \$ 3.1 | \$ 2.3 |

21. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The fair value hierarchy categorizes into three levels the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 inputs) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 inputs).

- Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities which the entity can access at the measurement date.
- Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 which are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly such as those derived from prices.
- Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

There have been no changes in the classification of the financial instruments in the fair value hierarchy since December 31, 2016.

(a) Financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis

The Company's fair value of financial assets and liabilities were as follows:

| | December 31, 2017 | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|---------|---------|------------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total Fair Value |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 664.1 | \$ 664.1 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 664.1 |
| Restricted cash | 24.5 | 24.5 | — | — | 24.5 |
| Short-term investments | 127.2 | 127.2 | — | — | 127.2 |
| Marketable securities and warrants | 24.2 | 18.8 | 5.4 | — | 24.2 |
| Bond fund investments | 1.9 | 1.9 | — | — | 1.9 |
| Derivatives | | | | | |
| Currency contracts | 9.7 | — | 9.7 | — | 9.7 |
| Crude oil contracts | 8.8 | — | 8.8 | — | 8.8 |
| Embedded derivative | 6.8 | — | 6.8 | — | 6.8 |
| | \$ 867.2 | \$ 836.5 | \$ 30.7 | \$ — | \$ 867.2 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Derivatives | | | | | |
| Currency contracts | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Long-term debt - 7% Senior Notes | (400.0) | (413.9) | — | — | (413.9) |
| | \$ (400.0) | \$ (413.9) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (413.9) |

| | December 31, 2016 | | | | | Total Fair Value |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|----------|---------|------------|------------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | | |
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 652.0 | \$ 652.0 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 652.0 | |
| Restricted cash | 110.7 | 110.7 | — | — | 110.7 | |
| Marketable securities and warrants | 21.9 | 17.0 | 4.9 | — | 21.9 | |
| Bond fund investments | 5.9 | 5.9 | — | — | 5.9 | |
| Derivatives | | | | | | |
| Currency contracts | 2.3 | — | 2.3 | — | 2.3 | |
| Crude oil contracts | 6.2 | — | 6.2 | — | 6.2 | |
| | \$ 799.0 | \$ 785.6 | \$ 13.4 | \$ — | \$ 799.0 | |
| Liabilities | | | | | | |
| Derivatives | | | | | | |
| Currency contracts | \$ (2.0) | \$ — | \$ (2.0) | \$ — | \$ (2.0) | |
| Long-term debt - 6.75% Senior Notes | (485.1) | (474.0) | — | — | (474.0) | |
| | \$ (487.1) | \$ (474.0) | \$ (2.0) | \$ — | \$ (476.0) | |

(b) Valuation techniques

Cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and short-term investments

Cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and short-term investments are included in Level 1 due to the short-term maturity of these financial assets.

Marketable securities and warrants

The fair value of marketable securities included in Level 1 is determined based on a market approach. The closing price is a quoted market price from the exchange market which is the principal active market for the particular security. The fair value of warrants included in Level 2 is obtained through the use of Black-Scholes pricing model, which uses share price inputs and volatility measurements.

Bond fund investments

The fair value of bond fund investments included in Level 1 is measured using quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets.

Derivatives

For derivative contracts, the Company obtains a valuation of the contracts from counterparties of those contracts. The Company assesses the reasonableness of these valuations through internal methods and third-party valuations. The Company then calculates a credit valuation adjustment to reflect the counterparty's or the Company's own default risk. Valuations are based on market valuations considering interest rate and volatility, taking into account the credit risk of the financial instrument. Valuations of derivative contracts are therefore classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy (note 19(a)).

Embedded derivative

The fair value of the embedded derivative as at December 31, 2017 was \$6.8 million and is accounted for at FVTPL (note 19(a)). The valuation is based on the discounted cash flows at the risk-free rate to determine the present value of the prepayment option. Key inputs used in the valuation include the credit spread, volatility parameter and the risk-free rate curve. Valuation of the prepayment option is therefore classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Senior Notes

The fair value of Senior Notes required to be disclosed is determined using quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets, and is therefore classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of the Senior Notes as at December 31, 2017 was \$413.9 million (December 31, 2016 - \$474.0 million).

Other financial assets and liabilities

The fair value of all other financial assets and liabilities of the Company approximate their carrying amounts.

22. CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

IAMGOLD's objectives when managing capital are to:

- Ensure the Company has sufficient financial capacity to support its operations, current mine development plans, and long-term growth strategy;
- Ensure the Company complies with its long-term debt covenants; and
- Protect the Company's value with respect to market and risk fluctuations.

| | Notes | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | 7 | \$ 664.1 | \$ 652.0 |
| Short-term investments | 9 | 127.2 | — |
| | | \$ 791.3 | \$ 652.0 |
| Capital items: | | | |
| Credit facilities available for use | 19(b) | \$ 248.7 | \$ 167.2 |
| Long-term debt ¹ | 19(a) | 400.0 | 489.1 |
| Common shares | | 2,677.8 | 2,628.2 |
| | | \$ 3,326.5 | \$ 3,284.5 |

¹ The carrying amount of the long-term debt excludes unamortized deferred transaction costs of \$5.8 million as at December 31, 2017 (December 31, 2016 – \$4.0 million).

The Company is in a capital intensive industry that experiences lengthy development lead times as well as risks associated with capital costs and timing of project completion. Factors affecting these risks, which are beyond the Company's control, include the availability of resources, the issuance of necessary permits, costs of various inputs and the volatility of the gold price.

The adequacy of the Company's capital structure is assessed on an ongoing basis and adjusted as necessary after taking into consideration the Company's strategy, the forward gold price, the mining industry, economic conditions and associated risks. In order to maintain or adjust its capital structure, the Company may adjust its capital spending, adjust the amount of dividend distributions, issue new shares, purchase shares for cancellation pursuant to normal course issuer bids, extend its credit facility, issue new debt, repay existing debt, or purchase or sell gold bullion.

The Senior Notes indenture contains a restriction on the use of proceeds from the sale of certain assets. Refer to note 19(a).

23. SHARE CAPITAL

The Company is authorized to issue an unlimited number of common shares, first preference shares issuable in series and second preference shares issuable in series.

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|--------------------------|-------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Number of common shares (in millions) | | |
| Outstanding, beginning of the year | 453.8 | 393.4 |
| Equity issuance | 7.9 | 44.7 |
| Issuance of flow-through common shares | 3.4 | 15.1 |
| Issuance of shares for share-based compensation | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| Outstanding, end of year | 465.9 | 453.8 |

Flow-through common shares

In March 2017, the Company issued 3.4 million flow-through common shares at C\$5.91 per share for net proceeds of \$15.1 million (C\$20.0 million), which included a \$1.7 million premium reported as a deferred gain on the balance sheet to be recognized in earnings as eligible expenditures are made. A total of \$13.4 million was recognized in equity based on the quoted price of the shares on the date of the issue less issuance costs. The flow-through common shares were issued to fund prescribed development expenditures on the Westwood mine. Flow-through common shares require the Company to incur an amount equivalent to the proceeds of the issue on prescribed expenditures in accordance with the applicable tax legislation. As at December 31, 2017, there was no remaining unspent amount.

During 2016, the Company issued 0.9 million flow-through common shares at prices ranging between C\$6.56 and C\$6.63 per share for net proceeds of \$4.4 million (C\$5.9 million), which included a \$1.1 million premium reported as a deferred gain on the balance sheet to be recognized in earnings as eligible expenditures are made. A total of \$3.3 million was recognized in equity based on the quoted price of the shares on the date of the issue less issuance costs. The flow-through common shares were issued to fund prescribed exploration expenditures on the Côté Gold Project. As at December 31, 2017, there was no remaining unspent amount.

Additionally, during 2016, the Company issued 2.2 million flow-through common shares at prices ranging between C\$5.34 and C\$5.60 per share for net proceeds of \$8.9 million (C\$11.9 million), which included a \$0.8 million premium reported as a deferred gain on the balance sheet to be recognized in earnings as eligible expenditures are made. A total of \$8.1 million was recognized in equity based on the quoted price of the shares on the date of the issue less issuance costs. The flow-through common shares were issued to fund prescribed development expenditures on the Westwood mine. As at December 31, 2017, there was no remaining unspent amount.

For the year ended December 31, 2017, \$3.6 million was recognized as amortization of the premiums related to the issuances of flow-through common shares described above (December 31, 2016 - \$3.7 million), respectively, and was included in Interest income and derivatives and other investment gains in the Consolidated statements of earnings (note 31).

Contingently issuable shares

On December 12, 2016, the Company finalized the agreement with the Government of Suriname to acquire the rights to the Saramacca property. Under the terms of the agreement, the rights to the Saramacca property were transferred to Rosebel in exchange for an initial cash payment of \$10.0 million which was accounted for as an Exploration and evaluation asset as at December 31, 2016. The purchase consideration also included 3.125 million contingently issuable IAMGOLD common shares to be delivered in three approximately equal tranches in 12 months intervals, from the date the rights to the Saramacca property were transferred to Rosebel. In addition, the agreement provides for a potential upward adjustment to the purchase price based on the contained gold ounces identified at the Saramacca property in NI 43-101 indicated and measured resource categories, within a certain Whittle shell, over the first 24 months, to a maximum of \$10.0 million. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company can at any time during the course of the agreement provide 60 days' notice to the Government of Suriname and terminate the agreement. In such an event, any contingently issuable IAMGOLD common shares not already issued will no longer be required to be delivered to the Government of Suriname.

On November 27, 2017, the Company issued the first tranche of the 3.125 million contingently issuable IAMGOLD common shares to the Government of Suriname and retained the right to explore the Saramacca property (note 14). This equity issuance of 1.042 million IAMGOLD common shares was accounted for as an Exploration and evaluation asset of \$5.9 million based on the fair value of the IAMGOLD common shares on the date of the issuance (note 14).

Equity issuance

On August 8, 2016, the Company entered into a public equity offering of 38.9 million common shares at a price of \$5.15 per common share for gross proceeds of \$200 million. On August 16, 2016, the underwriters elected to exercise an option to purchase up to an additional 15% of the offering, and as a result, an additional 5.8 million common shares were issued at a price of \$5.15 per common share. The issuance was completed on August 16, 2016 and increased the gross proceeds from the offering to \$230.0 million, less transaction costs of \$9.9 million for net proceeds of \$220.1 million for a total of 44.7 million shares.

24. NON-CONTROLLING INTERESTS

Financial information of subsidiaries that have material non-controlling interests are provided below:

| | December 31, 2017 | | December 31, 2016 | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| | Essakane | Rosebel | Essakane | Rosebel |
| Percentage of voting rights held by non-controlling interests | 10% | 5% | 10% | 5% |
| Accumulated non-controlling interest | \$ 25.5 | \$ 25.9 | \$ 25.8 | \$ 21.2 |
| Net earnings attributable to non-controlling interests | \$ 0.6 | \$ 5.7 | \$ 6.5 | \$ 1.1 |
| Dividends paid to non-controlling interests ¹ | \$ 1.0 | \$ 1.0 | \$ — | \$ — |

¹ For the year ended December 31, 2017, dividends paid to other non-controlling interests amounted to \$1.1 million (December 31, 2016 – \$1.5 million).

Selected summarized information relating to these subsidiaries are provided below, before any intercompany eliminations:

| | December 31, 2017 | | December 31, 2016 | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Essakane | Rosebel | Essakane | Rosebel |
| Current assets | \$ 220.5 | \$ 181.0 | \$ 216.3 | \$ 153.5 |
| Non-current assets | 848.4 | 645.4 | 882.9 | 522.0 |
| Current liabilities | (88.1) | (72.4) | (70.7) | (57.5) |
| Non-current liabilities | (552.6) | (183.6) | (598.4) | (140.6) |
| Net assets | \$ 428.2 | \$ 570.4 | \$ 430.1 | \$ 477.4 |
| | Year ended December 31, 2017 | | Year ended December 31, 2016 | |
| | Essakane | Rosebel | Essakane | Rosebel |
| Revenues | \$ 547.4 | \$ 385.6 | \$ 529.1 | \$ 369.6 |
| Net earnings and other comprehensive income | \$ 8.2 | \$ 113.1 | \$ 65.9 | \$ 22.8 |
| Net cash from operating activities | \$ 215.5 | \$ 124.5 | \$ 236.7 | \$ 140.1 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (85.7) | (63.1) | (115.2) | (88.3) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (127.7) | (25.5) | (120.6) | (3.9) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | \$ 2.1 | \$ 35.9 | \$ 0.9 | \$ 47.9 |

The Company's ability to access or use the assets of Essakane and Rosebel to settle its liabilities is not significantly restricted by known current contractual or regulatory requirements, or from the protective rights of non-controlling interests. Dividends payable by Rosebel must be approved by the Rosebel Supervisory Board, which includes representation from the non-controlling interest.

25. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share computation

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|--------------------------|---------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Numerator | | |
| Net earnings attributable to equity holders of IAMGOLD | \$ 501.6 | \$ 52.6 |
| Denominator (in millions) | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares (basic) | 463.0 | 420.8 |
| Basic earnings attributable to equity holders of IAMGOLD (\$/share) | \$ 1.08 | \$ 0.13 |

Diluted earnings per share computation

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|--------------------------|---------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Denominator (in millions) | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares (basic) | 463.0 | 420.8 |
| Dilutive effect of share options | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| Dilutive effect of full value award units | 3.3 | 2.7 |
| Weighted average number of common shares (diluted) | 467.5 | 423.9 |
| Diluted earnings attributable to equity holders of IAMGOLD (\$/share) | \$ 1.07 | \$ 0.12 |

Equity instruments excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share, which could be dilutive in the future, were as follows:

| (in millions) | Notes | Years ended December 31, | |
|------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|------|
| | | 2017 | 2016 |
| Share options | | 2.4 | 3.9 |
| Contingently issuable shares | 23 | 2.1 | 3.1 |
| | | 4.5 | 7.0 |

26. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Share option award plan | \$ 2.0 | \$ 2.0 |
| Full value award plans | 3.9 | 3.1 |
| | \$ 5.9 | \$ 5.1 |

(a) Share option award plan

The Company has a comprehensive share option plan for its full-time employees, directors and officers. The options vest over four to five years and expire no later than seven years from the grant date.

The reserve for share options has a maximum allotment of 25,505,624 common shares. As of December 31, 2017, the total number of shares in reserve was 11,498,233 of which 6,697,704 were outstanding and 4,800,529 were unallocated.

| | Year ended December 31, 2017 | | Year ended December 31, 2016 | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| | Share options (in millions) | Weighted average exercise price (C\$/share) ¹ | Share options (in millions) | Weighted average exercise price (C\$/share) ¹ |
| Outstanding, beginning of the year | 6.0 | \$ 7.79 | 5.3 | \$ 8.92 |
| Granted | 1.6 | 5.24 | 1.2 | 3.26 |
| Exercised | (0.2) | 4.23 | — | — |
| Forfeited | (0.7) | 12.87 | (0.5) | 9.44 |
| Outstanding, end of the year | 6.7 | \$ 6.81 | 6.0 | \$ 7.79 |
| Exercisable, end of the year | 3.3 | \$ 9.10 | 3.0 | \$ 10.47 |

¹ Exercise prices are denominated in Canadian dollars. The exchange rate at December 31, 2017 between the U.S. dollar and Canadian dollar was \$0.7987/C\$.

The following table summarizes information related to share options outstanding at December 31, 2017:

| Range of Prices C\$/share | Number Outstanding (millions) | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years) | Weighted Average Exercise Price (C\$/share) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| 1.01 - 5.00 | 2.8 | 4.2 | \$3.55 |
| 5.01 - 10.00 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 6.28 |
| 10.01 - 15.00 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 13.17 |
| 15.01 - 20.00 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 18.37 |
| 20.01 - 25.00 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 21.18 |
| | 6.7 | 3.7 | \$6.81 |

The following were the weighted average inputs to the Black-Scholes model used in determining the fair value of the options granted. The estimated fair value of the options is expensed over their expected life.

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------|---------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Weighted average risk-free interest rate | 1.1% | 0.6% |
| Weighted average expected volatility ¹ | 66% | 62% |
| Weighted average dividend yield | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Weighted average expected life of options issued (years) | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Weighted average grant-date fair value (C\$ per share) | \$ 2.89 | \$ 1.68 |
| Weighted average share price at grant date (C\$ per share) | \$ 5.24 | \$ 3.26 |
| Weighted average exercise price (C\$ per share) | \$ 5.24 | \$ 3.26 |

¹ Expected volatility is estimated by considering historic average share price volatility based on the average expected life of the options.

(b) Full value award plans

(i) Full value award reserve

The Company has a reserve for deferred share units, restricted share units and performance share units for employees and directors with a maximum allotment of 8,756,762 common shares. As of December 31, 2017, the total number of shares in reserve was 6,280,695 of which 4,573,066 were outstanding and 1,707,629 were unallocated.

A summary of the status of the Company's deferred share units and restricted share units issued to employees and directors under the full value award plan and changes during the year is presented below.

| (in millions) | Years ended December 31, | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Outstanding, beginning of the year | 3.7 | 2.1 |
| Granted | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| Issued | (0.6) | (0.6) |
| Forfeited | (0.7) | (0.4) |
| Outstanding, end of the year | 4.6 | 3.7 |

(ii) Summary of awards granted

Deferred share units

Effective January 1, 2017, directors can elect to receive the equity portion of their annual retainer in the form of deferred share units or restricted share units. Deferred share units vest at the end of each year and are released upon a director leaving the Board. The deferred share units are equity settled and have no cash settlement alternatives. As the deferred share units are equity settled, the cost to the Company is based on the grant date fair value.

The following were the weighted average inputs to the Black-Scholes model used in determining the fair value of the deferred share units granted. The estimated fair value of the awards is expensed over their vesting period.

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|--------------------------|-------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Weighted average risk-free interest rate | 0.7% | —% |
| Weighted average expected volatility ¹ | 76% | —% |
| Weighted average dividend yield | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Weighted average expected life of deferred share units issued (years) | 1.0 | — |
| Weighted average grant-date fair value (C\$ per share) | \$ 5.19 | \$ — |
| Weighted average share price at grant date (C\$ per share) | \$ 5.19 | \$ — |

¹ Expected volatility is estimated by considering historic average share price volatility based on the average expected life of the units.

Restricted share units

Executive officers, directors and certain employees are granted restricted share units from the full value award reserve on an annual basis.

Employee restricted share unit grants vest over twelve to thirty-five months, have no restrictions upon vesting and are equity settled. There are no cash settlement alternatives and no vesting conditions other than service.

Restricted share units are granted to employees based on performance objectives and criteria determined on an annual basis based on guidelines established by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. The amount of shares granted is determined as part of the employees' overall compensation.

The following were the weighted average inputs to the Black-Scholes model used in determining the fair value of the restricted share units granted. The estimated fair value of the awards is expensed over their vesting period.

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|--------------------------|---------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Weighted average risk-free interest rate | 0.8% | 0.5% |
| Weighted average expected volatility ¹ | 72% | 70% |
| Weighted average dividend yield | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Weighted average expected life of restricted share units issued (years) | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| Weighted average grant-date fair value (C\$ per share) | \$ 5.24 | \$ 2.88 |
| Weighted average share price at grant date (C\$ per share) | \$ 5.24 | \$ 2.88 |

¹ Expected volatility is estimated by considering historic average share price volatility based on the average expected life of the restricted share units.

(c) Share purchase plan

The Company has a share purchase plan for employees with more than three months of continuous service. Participants determine their contribution as a whole percentage of their base salary from 1% to 10%. The Company matches 75% of the first 5% of employee contributions, to a maximum of 3.75% of the employee's salary, towards the purchase of shares on the open market. No shares are issued from treasury under the share purchase plan. The Company's contribution is expensed and is considered vested at the end of the day on December 31 of each calendar year.

27. COST OF SALES

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Operating costs ¹ | \$ 632.3 | \$ 580.2 |
| Royalties | 44.3 | 43.4 |
| Depreciation expense ² | 265.4 | 261.3 |
| | \$ 942.0 | \$ 884.9 |

¹ Operating costs include mine production, transport and smelter costs, and site administrative expenses.

² Depreciation expense excludes depreciation related to Corporate assets, which is included in General and administrative expenses.

28. GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

| | Notes | Years ended December 31, | |
|----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|---------|
| | | 2017 | 2016 |
| Salaries | | \$ 24.0 | \$ 20.0 |
| Director fees and expenses | | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| Professional and consulting fees | | 5.8 | 5.5 |
| Other administration costs | | 4.4 | 3.9 |
| Share-based compensation | | 5.2 | 4.3 |
| (Gain) loss on cash flow hedge | 20(c) | (0.7) | 2.0 |
| Depreciation expense | | 0.6 | 2.2 |
| | | \$ 40.3 | \$ 38.8 |

29. OTHER EXPENSES (INCOME)

| | Notes | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|-------|--------------------------|----------|
| | | 2017 | 2016 |
| Changes in asset retirement obligations at closed sites | 16(a) | \$ 7.5 | \$ (9.8) |
| Write-down of assets | | 2.3 | 5.3 |
| Other | | 8.5 | 3.7 |
| | | \$ 18.3 | \$ (0.8) |

30. FINANCE COSTS

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Interest expense | \$ 7.1 | \$ 23.0 |
| Credit facility fees | 2.9 | 1.6 |
| Accretion expense | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| | \$ 10.9 | \$ 25.2 |

Total interest paid in 2017 was \$32.7 million (2016 - \$41.9 million). Interest paid relates to interest charges on Senior Notes, credit facilities and finance leases.

31. INTEREST INCOME AND DERIVATIVES AND OTHER INVESTMENT GAINS

| | Notes | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|-------|--------------------------|---------|
| | | 2017 | 2016 |
| Interest income | | \$ 9.4 | \$ 3.3 |
| Gain on embedded derivative and warrants | 20(d) | 3.1 | 2.3 |
| Gain on sale of a 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project | 6 | 19.2 | — |
| Amortization of gains related to flow-through common shares | 23 | 3.6 | 3.7 |
| Loss on redemption of 6.75% Senior Notes | 19(a) | (20.2) | — |
| Gain on purchase of 6.75% Senior Notes | 19(a) | — | 4.0 |
| Gain on sale of gold bullion | | — | 72.9 |
| Other gains | | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| | | \$ 16.7 | \$ 87.0 |

32. EXPENSES BY NATURE

The following employee benefits expenses are included in cost of sales, general and administrative expenses, and exploration expenses.

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Salaries, short-term incentives, and other benefits | \$ 208.7 | \$ 194.2 |
| Share-based compensation | 5.5 | 4.8 |
| Other | 3.3 | 3.8 |
| | \$ 217.5 | \$ 202.8 |

33. CASH FLOW ITEMS

(a) Adjustments for other non-cash items within operating activities

| | Notes | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|-------|--------------------------|----------|
| | | 2017 | 2016 |
| Share-based compensation | 26 | \$ 5.9 | \$ 5.1 |
| Effects of exchange rate fluctuation on restricted cash | | (1.6) | (1.0) |
| Amortization of gains related to flow-through common shares | 31 | (3.6) | (3.7) |
| Changes in estimates of environmental indemnities at closed sites | 29 | 7.5 | (9.8) |
| Gain on purchase of 6.75% Senior Notes | 31 | — | (4.0) |
| Write-down of assets | 29 | 2.3 | 5.3 |
| Write-down of receivables | | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Other | | 1.4 | 5.6 |
| | | \$ 12.1 | \$ (2.2) |

(b) Movements in non-cash working capital items and non-current ore stockpiles

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Receivables and other current assets | \$ (1.8) | \$ (6.7) |
| Inventories and non-current ore stockpiles | (21.3) | 6.2 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 24.4 | 20.1 |
| | \$ 1.3 | \$ 19.6 |

(c) Other investing activities

| | Notes | Years ended December 31, | |
|---------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|----------|
| | | 2017 | 2016 |
| Disposal of investments | | \$ 9.0 | \$ 0.5 |
| Advances to related parties | 36 | (5.9) | (4.4) |
| Repayments from related parties | 36 | 1.0 | 2.6 |
| Other | | 0.3 | 0.8 |
| | | \$ 4.4 | \$ (0.5) |

(d) Other financing activities

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Repayment of finance lease liabilities | \$ (0.1) | \$ (1.1) |
| Dividends paid to non-controlling interests | (3.1) | (1.5) |
| Other finance costs | (3.6) | (3.1) |
| | \$ (6.8) | \$ (5.7) |

(e) Reconciliation of long-term debt arising from financing activities

| | Notes | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|-------|--------------------------|----------|
| | | 2017 | 2016 |
| Balance, beginning of the year | | \$ 485.1 | \$ 628.1 |
| Net proceeds from issuance of 7% Senior Notes | 19(a) | 393.6 | — |
| Non-cash changes: | | | |
| Amortization of deferred financing charges | | 0.9 | 2.5 |
| Change in fair value of embedded derivative | 20(d) | (2.6) | — |
| Loss on redemption of 6.75% Senior Notes | 19(a) | 20.2 | — |
| Gain on purchase of 6.75% Senior Notes | | — | (4.0) |
| Cash changes: | | | |
| Repayment of 6.75% Senior Notes | 19(a) | (505.6) | (141.5) |
| Balance, end of the year | | \$ 391.6 | \$ 485.1 |

34. REVERSAL OF IMPAIRMENT CHARGES

| | Notes | Years ended December 31, | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|------|
| | | 2017 | 2016 |
| Suriname CGU ¹ | | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | 13 | \$ 124.1 | \$ — |
| Côte Gold Project | | | |
| Exploration and evaluation assets | 6, 14 | 400.0 | — |
| | | \$ 524.1 | \$ — |

1 The Suriname CGU consists of Rosebel Gold Mines N.V. and Euro Ressources S.A.

Property, plant and equipment

On July 26, 2017 (effective June 30, 2017), the Company identified a significant increase in reserves and resources and corresponding extension of the life of mine ("LOM") for the Rosebel mine, which were considered to be an indicator of reversal of previous impairment charge, as these represented a significant change in the key inputs used to determine the cash generating unit's ("CGU") recoverable amount. As a result, an assessment was performed for the Company's Suriname CGU, and it was determined that the recoverable amount, representing the CGU's fair value less costs of disposal ("FVLCD"), exceeded the carrying amount. This resulted in a reversal of the impairment charge recorded in 2013, which was limited to the carrying amount of the Suriname CGU that would have been determined had no impairment charge been recognized in prior years, net of depreciation charges. The pre-tax and after-tax amounts of impairment reversal recorded in the Company's Consolidated statements of earnings in 2017 were \$124.1 million and \$79.9 million, respectively.

The significant estimates and assumptions used in determining the FVLCD for the CGU were LOM production profiles, future commodity prices, reserves and resources, discount rate, values of un-modeled mineralization and capital expenditures. The estimates of future cash flows were derived from the most recent LOM of approximately 11 years, which is based on Management's current best estimates of optimized mine and processing plans, future operating costs and capital expenditures. For the assessment, the Company used an estimated gold price of \$1,225 per ounce for the first 5 years starting 2018, decreasing to \$1,200 per ounce for 2023 and beyond.

The future cash flows used to calculate the recoverable amount of the CGU were discounted using a real weighted average cost of capital of 6%, which reflects specific market risk factors for the mine. Un-modeled mineralization for the CGU was valued at \$45 per ounce. Oil price is a component of cash costs of production and was estimated based on the current price, forward prices, forecasts of future prices from third-party sources and the Company's hedging program.

As at December 31, 2017, the Company's impairment review indicated that the facts and circumstances did not represent an indication of potential impairment or reversal of previously recognized impairment. As a result, there were no impairment charges or additional reversals of previously recognized impairment recorded in the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Exploration and evaluation assets

On June 5, 2017, upon entering into a definitive Investment Agreement with SMM for the sale of a 30% interest in the Côté Gold Project (note 6), the Company performed an assessment of whether the previous impairment charge on the Project had reversed. The Company determined that the consideration agreed to by SMM indicated the recoverable amount exceeded the carrying amount, which resulted in the reversal of the previously recorded impairment charge of \$400 million. The reversal is limited to the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment charge been recognized in prior years.

35. COMMITMENTS

(a) Commitments

| | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Purchase obligations | \$ 76.4 | \$ 53.2 |
| Capital expenditure obligations | 29.7 | 4.6 |
| Operating leases | 17.5 | 4.3 |
| | \$ 123.6 | \$ 62.1 |

Commitments – payments due by period

| As at December 31, 2017 | Payments due by period | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Total | <1 yr | 1-2 yrs | 3-5 yrs | >5 yrs |
| Purchase obligations | \$ 76.4 | \$ 75.2 | \$ 0.7 | \$ 0.3 | \$ 0.2 |
| Capital expenditure obligations | 29.7 | 23.7 | 3.5 | 2.5 | — |
| Operating leases | 17.5 | 4.5 | 10.5 | 2.5 | — |
| | \$ 123.6 | \$ 103.4 | \$ 14.7 | \$ 5.3 | \$ 0.2 |

(b) Royalties included in cost of sales

Production from certain mining operations is subject to third party royalties (included in the Cost of sales) based on various methods of calculation summarized as follows:

| | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Essakane ¹ | \$ 22.3 | \$ 22.3 |
| Rosebel ² | 22.0 | 21.1 |
| | \$ 44.3 | \$ 43.4 |

1 Royalty based on a percentage of gold sold applied to the gold market price the day before shipment; the royalty percentage varies according to the gold market price: 3% if the gold market price is lower or equal to \$1,000 per ounce, 4% if the gold market price is between \$1,000 and \$1,300 per ounce, or 5% if the gold market price is above \$1,300 per ounce.

2 2% in-kind royalty per ounce of gold production and price participation of 6.5% on the amount exceeding a market price of \$425 per ounce when applicable, using for each calendar quarter the average market price determined by the London Gold Fix P.M. In addition, 0.25% of all minerals produced at Rosebel are payable to a charitable foundation for the purpose of promoting local development of natural resources within Suriname.

36. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**(a) Receivables and other current assets from related parties**

The Company had the following related party transactions included in Receivables and other current assets and in Other non-current assets in the Consolidated balance sheets:

| | Notes | Years ended December 31, | |
|---|-------|--------------------------|---------|
| | | 2017 | 2016 |
| Sadiola and Yatela (Non-interest bearing) | | | |
| Balance, beginning of the year | | \$ 0.2 | \$ 0.2 |
| Advances | | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| Repayments | | (1.0) | (0.5) |
| Balance, end of the year | 10 | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.2 |
| Sadiola Sulphide Project (LIBOR plus 2%)¹ | | | |
| Balance, beginning of the year | | \$ 31.3 | \$ 29.3 |
| Advances | | 5.0 | 2.4 |
| Write-down of receivable | | — | (0.4) |
| Balance, end of the year | 15 | \$ 36.3 | \$ 31.3 |
| Merrex (Non-interest bearing) | | | |
| Balance, beginning of the year | | \$ 1.0 | \$ — |
| Advances | | — | 1.5 |
| Repayments | | — | (2.1) |
| Recovery of receivable | | — | 1.6 |
| Intercompany elimination on acquisition ² | | (1.0) | — |
| Balance, end of the year | | \$ — | \$ 1.0 |

1 These advances were part of an extended loan agreement, reached in the fourth quarter of 2016, for the Sadiola Sulphide Project, and are to be repaid on the earlier of December 31, 2020 or, at such time as Sadiola has sufficient free cash flow.

2 As of February 28, 2017, the Company acquired all issued and outstanding common shares of Merrex (note 5).

(b) Compensation of key management personnel

Compensation breakdown for key management personnel, comprising of the Company's directors and executive officers, is as follows:

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Salaries and other benefits ¹ | \$ 5.4 | \$ 4.0 |
| Share-based payments | 3.6 | 3.0 |
| | \$ 9.0 | \$ 7.0 |

1 Salaries and other benefits include amounts paid to directors.

37. SEGMENTED INFORMATION

The Company's gold mine segment is divided into the following geographic segments:

- Burkina Faso - Essakane mine;
- Suriname - Rosebel mine;
- Canada - Doyon division; and
- Incorporated joint ventures (Mali) - Sadiola mine (41%) and Yatela mine, which is in closure (40%).

The Company's non-gold segments are divided into the following:

- Exploration and evaluation; and
- Corporate - includes royalty interests located in Canada and investments in associates and incorporated joint ventures.

| | December 31, 2017 | | | December 31, 2016 | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Total non-current assets | Total assets | Total liabilities | Total non-current assets | Total assets | Total liabilities |
| Gold mines | | | | | | |
| Burkina Faso | \$ 849.3 | \$ 1,070.7 | \$ 204.8 | \$ 883.4 | \$ 1,099.6 | \$ 189.9 |
| Suriname | 643.3 | 825.4 | 256.0 | 512.8 | 667.3 | 198.1 |
| Canada | 697.0 | 717.0 | 205.3 | 675.0 | 783.7 | 195.8 |
| Total gold mines | 2,189.6 | 2,613.1 | 666.1 | 2,071.2 | 2,550.6 | 583.8 |
| Exploration and evaluation | 437.8 | 483.4 | 9.6 | 163.1 | 193.2 | 8.4 |
| Corporate ¹ | 178.5 | 870.4 | 444.4 | 153.3 | 656.7 | 537.2 |
| Total per consolidated financial statements | \$ 2,805.9 | \$ 3,966.9 | \$ 1,120.1 | \$ 2,387.6 | \$ 3,400.5 | \$ 1,129.4 |
| Incorporated joint ventures (Mali) ² | \$ 128.9 | \$ 179.9 | \$ 149.6 | \$ 116.5 | \$ 160.2 | \$ 144.1 |

¹ The carrying amount of the Investment in incorporated joint ventures is included in the corporate segment as non-current assets.

² The breakdown of the financial information for the incorporated joint ventures has been disclosed above as it is reviewed regularly by the Company's CODM to assess the performance of the incorporated joint ventures and to make resource allocation decisions.

Year ended December 31, 2017

| | Consolidated statements of earnings information | | | | | | | | Net capital expenditures ⁴ |
|--|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|-------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Revenues | Cost of sales ¹ | Depreciation expense ² | General and administrative ³ | Exploration | Impairments (reversals) | Other | Earnings (loss) from operations | |
| Gold mines | | | | | | | | | |
| Burkina Faso | \$ 547.4 | \$ 340.1 | \$ 132.6 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 74.7 | \$ 82.4 |
| Suriname | 385.6 | 231.0 | 83.8 | — | 5.0 | (116.0) | 2.7 | 179.1 | 59.4 |
| Canada | 161.5 | 105.5 | 45.3 | — | — | — | 6.2 | 4.5 | 61.1 |
| Total gold mines excluding incorporated joint ventures | 1,094.5 | 676.6 | 261.7 | — | 5.0 | (116.0) | 8.9 | 258.3 | 202.9 |
| Exploration and evaluation ⁵ | — | — | 0.2 | 0.2 | 33.4 | (400.0) | 0.9 | 365.3 | 5.3 |
| Corporate ⁶ | 0.4 | — | 3.5 | 40.1 | — | (8.1) | 8.5 | (43.6) | 2.3 |
| Total per consolidated financial statements | 1,094.9 | 676.6 | 265.4 | 40.3 | 38.4 | (524.1) | 18.3 | 580.0 | 210.5 |
| Incorporated joint ventures (Mali) ⁷ | 82.1 | 59.4 | 1.6 | — | 1.4 | — | — | 19.7 | 10.0 |
| | \$1,177.0 | \$ 736.0 | \$ 267.0 | \$ 40.3 | \$ 39.8 | \$ (524.1) | \$ 18.3 | \$ 599.7 | \$ 220.5 |

¹ Excludes depreciation expense.

² Depreciation expense excludes depreciation related to Corporate assets, which is included in General and administrative expenses.

³ Includes depreciation expense relating to Corporate and Exploration and evaluation assets.

⁴ Includes cash expenditures for Property, plant and equipment, Exploration and evaluation assets, finance lease payments and is net of proceeds from finance leases.

⁵ Closed site costs on Exploration and evaluation properties included in other operating costs.

⁶ Includes earnings from royalty interests.

⁷ Net earnings from incorporated joint ventures are included in a separate line in the Consolidated statements of earnings. The breakdown of the financial information has been disclosed above as it is reviewed regularly by the Company's CODM to assess its performance and to make resource allocation decisions.

Year ended December 31, 2016

| | Consolidated statements of earnings information | | | | | | | | | Net capital expenditures ⁴ |
|--|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|-------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|
| | Revenues | Cost of sales ¹ | Depreciation expense ² | General and administrative ³ | Exploration | Impairments (reversals) | Other | Earnings (loss) from operations | | |
| Gold mines | | | | | | | | | | |
| Burkina Faso | \$ 529.1 | \$ 303.2 | \$ 108.5 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 1.1 | \$ 116.3 | \$ 106.2 | |
| Suriname | 369.6 | 229.1 | 95.8 | — | 6.9 | — | 3.9 | 33.9 | 78.3 | |
| Canada | 88.2 | 90.4 | 52.4 | — | — | — | (8.5) | (46.1) | 85.8 | |
| Total gold mines excluding incorporated joint ventures | 986.9 | 622.7 | 256.7 | — | 6.9 | — | (3.5) | 104.1 | 270.3 | |
| Exploration and evaluation ⁵ | — | — | 0.3 | 0.4 | 24.8 | — | 0.7 | (26.2) | 3.5 | |
| Corporate ⁶ | 0.2 | 0.9 | 4.3 | 38.4 | — | — | 2.0 | (45.4) | 0.9 | |
| Total per consolidated financial statements | 987.1 | 623.6 | 261.3 | 38.8 | 31.7 | — | (0.8) | 32.5 | 274.7 | |
| Incorporated joint ventures (Mali) ⁷ | 93.4 | 76.5 | 3.7 | — | 0.6 | — | 2.6 | 10.0 | 4.9 | |
| | \$1,080.5 | \$ 700.1 | \$ 265.0 | \$ 38.8 | \$ 32.3 | \$ — | \$ 1.8 | \$ 42.5 | \$ 279.6 | |

1 Excludes depreciation expense.

2 Depreciation expense excludes depreciation related to Corporate assets, which is included in General and administrative expenses.

3 Includes depreciation expense relating to Corporate and Exploration and evaluation assets.

4 Includes cash expenditures for Property, plant and equipment, Exploration and evaluation assets, finance lease payments and is net of proceeds from finance leases.

5 Closed site costs on Exploration and evaluation properties included in other operating costs.

6 Includes earnings from royalty interests.

7 Net earnings from incorporated joint ventures are included in a separate line in the Consolidated statements of earnings. The breakdown of the financial information has been disclosed above as it is reviewed regularly by the Company's CODM to assess its performance and to make resource allocation decisions.

2017 MINERAL RESERVES AND RESOURCES

Mineral resources (“resources”) and mineral reserves (“reserves”) have been estimated as at December 31, 2017 pursuant to Canadian securities regulatory requirements, specifically the requirements of National Instrument 43-101 and the definitions of resources and reserves incorporated therein. Consistent with National Instrument 43-101, resources are divided into “inferred,” “indicated” and “measured” based on the level of geological confidence in the mineralization, and reserves, into “probable” and “proven” upon at least a pre-feasibility study having been undertaken on the indicated and measured resources. Measured and indicated resources are inclusive of proven and probable reserves. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of the Company’s mineral resources will be converted into reserves.

Cautionary Notes to U.S. Investors

The United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) limits disclosure for U.S. reporting purposes to mineral deposits that a company can economically and legally extract or produce. IAMGOLD uses certain terms in the Annual Report, such as “measured,” “indicated,” or “inferred,” which may not be consistent with the reserve definitions established by the SEC. U.S. investors are urged to consider closely the disclosure in the IAMGOLD Annual Reports on Forms 40-F filed with the SEC.

GOLD MINERAL RESERVES ^{1, 5}

| 100% Basis Reserves | PROVEN | | | PROBABLE | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| | Tonnes (000s) | Grade (g/t) | Contained Ounces (000s) | Tonnes (000s) | Grade (g/t) | Contained Ounces (000s) |
| As at December 31, 2017 | | | | | | |
| Rosebel | 23,122 | 0.6 | 479 | 84,358 | 1.1 | 3,034 |
| Essakane | — | — | — | 93,126 | 1.1 | 3,390 |
| Westwood | 998 | 7.9 | 252 | 3,770 | 7.6 | 924 |
| Sadiola | 245 | 2.1 | 17 | 69,106 | 1.9 | 4,124 |
| Côté Gold | — | — | — | 196,079 | 0.9 | 5,926 |
| Boto Gold | — | — | — | 26,841 | 1.6 | 1,415 |
| Total proven reserves | | | | 24,365 | 1.0 | 748 |
| Total probable reserves | | | | 473,280 | 1.2 | 18,813 |
| Total proven and probable reserves | | | | 497,646 | 1.2 | 19,560 |

| Attributable Reserves | PROVEN | | | PROBABLE | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| | Tonnes (000s) | Grade (g/t) | Contained Ounces (000s) | Tonnes (000s) | Grade (g/t) | Contained Ounces (000s) |
| As at December 31, 2017 | | | | | | |
| Rosebel (95%) | 21,966 | 0.6 | 455 | 80,140 | 1.1 | 2,882 |
| Essakane (90%) | — | — | — | 83,813 | 1.1 | 3,051 |
| Westwood (100%) | 998 | 7.9 | 252 | 3,770 | 7.6 | 924 |
| Sadiola (41%) | 101 | 2.1 | 7 | 28,334 | 1.9 | 1,691 |
| Côté Gold (64.75%) | — | — | — | 126,961 | 0.9 | 3,837 |
| Boto Gold (100%) | — | — | — | 26,841 | 1.6 | 1,415 |
| Total proven reserves | | | | 23,065 | 1.0 | 714 |
| Total probable reserves | | | | 349,859 | 1.2 | 13,800 |
| Total proven and probable reserves | | | | 372,924 | 1.2 | 14,514 |

2017 MINERAL RESERVES AND RESOURCES (CONTINUED)

GOLD MINERAL RESOURCES ^{2,5}

(inclusive of mineral reserves)

| 100% Basis Resources | MEASURED | | | INDICATED | | | INFERRED | | | |
|---|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|
| | Tonnes (000s) | Contained | | Tonnes (000s) | Grade (g/t) | Contained | | Tonnes (000s) | Contained | |
| | | Grade (g/t) | Ounces (000s) | | | Grade (g/t) | Ounces (000s) | | Grade (g/t) | Ounces (000s) |
| As at December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rosebel | 45,561 | 0.7 | 1,059 | 254,010 | 1.0 | 8,036 | 86,471 | 1.0 | 2,704 | |
| Essakane | — | — | — | 113,809 | 1.2 | 4,269 | 9,720 | 1.2 | 386 | |
| Westwood | 788 | 13.2 | 335 | 2,808 | 11.9 | 1,078 | 5,189 | 11.0 | 1,838 | |
| Sadiola | 245 | 2.1 | 17 | 122,451 | 1.8 | 7,040 | 17,661 | 1.7 | 956 | |
| Côte Gold | — | — | — | 281,171 | 0.9 | 8,037 | 76,471 | 0.5 | 1,231 | |
| Saramacca | — | — | — | 14,444 | 2.2 | 1,022 | 13,632 | 1.2 | 518 | |
| Boto Gold | — | — | — | 37,408 | 1.6 | 1,922 | 10,981 | 1.7 | 594 | |
| Diakha-Siribaya | — | — | — | 2,102 | 1.9 | 129 | 19,816 | 1.7 | 1,092 | |
| Pitangui | — | — | — | — | — | — | 5,365 | 4.7 | 819 | |
| Total measured resources | | | | | | | 46,594 | 0.9 | 1,411 | |
| Total indicated resources | | | | | | | 828,203 | 1.2 | 31,533 | |
| Total measured and indicated resources ^{3,4} | | | | | | | 874,798 | 1.2 | 32,944 | |
| Total inferred resources | | | | | | | 245,306 | 1.3 | 10,138 | |

| Attributable Resources | MEASURED | | | INDICATED | | | INFERRED | | | |
|---|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|
| | Tonnes (000s) | Contained | | Tonnes (000s) | Grade (g/t) | Contained | | Tonnes (000s) | Contained | |
| | | Grade (g/t) | Ounces (000s) | | | Grade (g/t) | Ounces (000s) | | Grade (g/t) | Ounces (000s) |
| As at December 31, 2017 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rosebel (95%) | 43,283 | 0.7 | 1,006 | 241,310 | 1.0 | 7,634 | 82,147 | 1.0 | 2,569 | |
| Essakane (90%) | — | — | — | 102,428 | 1.2 | 3,842 | 8,748 | 1.2 | 348 | |
| Westwood (100%) | 788 | 13.2 | 335 | 2,808 | 11.9 | 1,078 | 5,189 | 11.0 | 1,838 | |
| Sadiola (41%) | 101 | 2.1 | 7 | 50,205 | 1.8 | 2,887 | 7,241 | 1.7 | 392 | |
| Côte Gold (64.75%) | — | — | — | 182,058 | 0.9 | 5,204 | 49,515 | 0.5 | 797 | |
| Saramacca (66.5%) | — | — | — | 9,605 | 2.2 | 680 | 9,065 | 1.2 | 344 | |
| Boto Gold (100%) | — | — | — | 37,408 | 1.6 | 1,922 | 10,981 | 1.7 | 594 | |
| Diakha-Siribaya (100%) | — | — | — | 2,102 | 1.9 | 129 | 19,816 | 1.7 | 1,092 | |
| Pitangui (100%) | — | — | — | — | — | — | 5,365 | 4.7 | 819 | |
| Total measured resources | | | | | | | 44,172 | 0.9 | 1,348 | |
| Total indicated resources | | | | | | | 627,924 | 1.2 | 23,375 | |
| Total measured and indicated resources ^{3,4} | | | | | | | 672,096 | 1.1 | 24,723 | |
| Total inferred resources | | | | | | | 198,067 | 1.4 | 8,793 | |

¹ Mineral reserves have been estimated at December 31, 2017, using a gold price of \$1,200 per ounce for Essakane, Rosebel, Westwood, Sadiola, Côte Gold and Boto Gold.

² Mineral resources have been estimated at December 31, 2017, using a gold price of \$1,500 per ounce for Essakane, Rosebel, Côte Gold, Boto Gold, Saramacca, Siribaya, and Pitangui, \$1,400 per ounce for Sadiola, and \$1,200 per ounce using a 6.0 g/t Au cut-off over a minimum width of 2 metres for Westwood.

³ In mining operations, measured and indicated resources that are not mineral reserves are considered uneconomic at the price used for reserve estimations but are deemed to have a reasonable prospect of economic extraction.

⁴ Measured and indicated gold resources are inclusive of proven and probable reserves.

⁵ Due to rounding, numbers presented may not add up precisely to the totals.

SHAREHOLDER INFORMATION

TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR

Computershare Trust Company of Canada
100 University Avenue
9th Floor, North Tower
Toronto, Ontario M5J 2Y1
T: 416 263 9200
TF: 1 800 564 6253
www.computershare.com
service@computershare.com

AUDITORS

KPMG LLP

SHARES LISTED

Toronto Stock Exchange:
Symbol: IMG

New York Stock Exchange:
Symbol: IAG

COMPANY FILINGS

www.sedar.com
www.sec.gov

SHARES ISSUED

At December 31, 2017
Total outstanding: 465.9 million

INVESTOR INQUIRIES

Ken Chernin
Vice President,
Investor Relations
T: 416 360 4743

Laura Young
Director,
Investor Relations
T: 416 933 4952

Martin Dumont
Senior Analyst,
Investor Relations
T: 416 933 5783
E: info@iamgold.com

WEBSITE

www.iamgold.com

DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

DIRECTORS

Donald K. Charter^{2,3}
Chairman, IAMGOLD Corporation
Toronto, Ontario

Stephen J.J. Letwin
President and
Chief Executive Officer
Toronto, Ontario

John E. Caldwell^{1,3}
Corporate Director
Toronto, Ontario

Richard Hall^{1,4}
Corporate Director
Silverthorne, Colorado, U.S.

Mahendra Naik^{1,2}
President, FINSEC Services Inc.
Markham, Ontario

Timothy R. Snider^{2,4}
Corporate Director
Tucson, Arizona, U.S.

Sybil E. Veenman^{3,4}
Corporate Director
Toronto, Ontario

¹ Member of the Audit and Finance Committee

² Member of the Human Resources and Compensation Committee

³ Member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

⁴ Member of the Safety, Environment and Reserves Committee

OFFICERS

Stephen J.J. Letwin
President and
Chief Executive Officer

P. Gordon Stothart
Executive Vice President
and Chief Operating Officer

Carol T. Banducci
Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial Officer

Benjamin Little
Senior Vice President,
Corporate Affairs,
HSS & People

Craig MacDougall
Senior Vice President,
Exploration

Jeffery A. Snow
General Counsel and
Senior Vice President,
Business Development

Timothy Bradburn
Vice President, Legal
and Corporate Secretary

Oumar Toguyeni
Regional Vice President,
West Africa

James Collie
Vice President and
Corporate Controller

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements. All statements, other than of historical fact, that address activities, events or developments that the Company believes, expects or anticipates will or may occur in the future (including, without limitation, statements regarding expected, estimated or planned gold production, cash costs, margin expansion, capital expenditures and exploration expenditures and statements regarding the estimation of mineral resources, exploration results, potential mineralization, potential mineral resources and mineral reserves) are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are generally identifiable by use of the words "may", "will", "should", "continue", "expect", "anticipate", "estimate", "believe", "intend", "plan" or "project" or the negative of these words or other variations on these words or comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond the Company's ability to control or predict, that may cause the actual results of the Company to differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause actual results or events to differ materially from current expectations include, among other things, without limitation, failure to meet expected, estimated or planned gold production, cash costs, margin expansion, capital expenditures and exploration expenditures and failure to establish estimated mineral resources, the possibility that future exploration results will not be consistent with the Company's expectations, changes in world gold markets and other risks disclosed in IAMGOLD's most recent Form 40-F/Annual Information Form on file with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and Canadian provincial securities regulatory authorities. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made and, except as may be required by applicable securities laws, the Company disclaims any intent or obligation to update any forward-looking statement.



IAMGOLD[®]
CORPORATION

CORPORATE OFFICE

IAMGOLD Corporation

401 Bay Street, Suite 3200
P.O. Box 153
Toronto, Ontario M5H 2Y4
Canada
T: 416 360 4710
TF: 1 888 464 9999
F: 416 360 4750

www.iamgold.com

REGIONAL OFFICE

IAMGOLD Corporation

1111, rue Saint-Charles Ouest
Tour Est, bureau 750
Longueuil, Quebec J4K 5G4
Canada
T: 450 677 0040
TF: 1 866 677 0040
F: 450 677 3382