

Morningstar[®] Document ResearchSM

FORM 10-K

Sunworks, Inc. - SUNW

Filed: March 29, 2019 (period: December 31, 2018)

Annual report with a comprehensive overview of the company

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended **December 31, 2018**

OR

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the Transition Period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 001-36868



SUNWORKS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

01-0592299

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

1030 Winding Creek Road, Suite 100

Roseville, CA

(Address of principal executive office)

95678

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code **(916) 409-6900**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock, Par Value \$0.001

(Title of class)

The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

(Name of exchange on which registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: NONE

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and, (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein and, will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes [] No [X]

The aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates as of June 30, 2018 was \$27.4 million.

The outstanding number of shares of common stock as of March 29, 2019 was 26,152,435.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	
Item 1. Business	3
Item 1A. Risk Factors	8
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	20
Item 2. Properties	20
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	20
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	20
PART II	
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	21
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	21
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	22
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	27
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	28
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	28
Item 9B. Other Information	28
PART III	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	29
Item 11. Executive Compensation	33
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	38
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, Director Independence	39
Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services	40
PART IV	
Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	41

PART I

Item 1. Business.

Forward-looking Statements

Statements in this annual report on Form 10-K that are not historical facts constitute forward-looking statements. Examples of forward-looking statements include statements relating to industry prospects, our future economic performance including anticipated revenues and expenditures, results of operations or financial position, and other financial items, our business plans and objectives, and may include certain assumptions that underlie forward-looking statements. Risks and uncertainties that may affect our future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements include, among other things, those listed under “Risk Factors” and elsewhere in this annual report.

These risks and uncertainties include but are not limited to:

- our limited operating history;
- our ability to raise additional capital to meet our objectives;
- our ability to compete in the solar power industry;
- our ability to sell solar power systems;
- our ability to arrange financing for our customers;
- government incentive programs related to solar energy;
- our ability to increase the size of our company and manage growth;
- our ability to acquire and integrate other businesses;
- disruptions to our supply chain from protective tariffs on imported components, supply shortages and/or fluctuations in pricing;
- our ability or inability to attract and/or retain competent employees;
- relationships with employees, consultants, customers, and suppliers; and
- the concentration of our business in one industry in limited geographic areas.

In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “expects,” “intends,” “plans,” “anticipates,” “believes,” “estimates,” “predicts,” “potential” or “continue” or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology.

These statements are subject to business and economic risk and reflect management’s current expectations and involve subjects that are inherently uncertain and difficult to predict. Actual events or results may differ materially. Moreover, neither we nor any other person assumes responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of these statements. We are under no duty to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this annual report to conform these statements to actual results.

Business Introduction/Summary

References herein to “we,” “us,” “Sunworks,” and “the Company” are to Sunworks, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries Sunworks United, Inc. (“Sunworks United”), MD Energy, Inc. (“MD Energy”), and Elite Solar Acquisition Sub, Inc. (“Elite Solar”).

We provide photovoltaic (“PV”) based power systems for the agricultural, commercial, industrial (ACI), public works, and residential markets in California, Massachusetts, Nevada, Oregon, New Jersey and Washington. We have direct sales and/or operations personnel in California, Massachusetts, Nevada, and Oregon. Through our operating subsidiaries, we design, arrange financing, integrate, install, and manage systems ranging in size from 2kW (kilowatt) for residential loads to multi MW (megawatt) systems for larger ACI and public works projects. ACI installations have included installations at office buildings, manufacturing plants, warehouses, churches, and agricultural facilities such as farms, wineries, and dairies. Public works installations have included school districts, local municipalities, federal facilities and higher education institutions. The Company provides a full range of installation services to our solar energy customers including design, system engineering, procurement, permitting, construction, grid connection, warranty, system monitoring and maintenance.

We currently operate in one segment based upon our organizational structure and the way in which our operations are managed and evaluated. Approximately 72% of our 2018 revenue was from sales to the ACI and public works markets, and approximately 28% of our revenue was from sales to the residential market. Approximately 68% of our 2017 revenue was from sales to the ACI and public works markets, and approximately 32% of our revenue was from sales to the residential market.

Strategy

Our strategy for near-term growth is focused on organic growth through continued expansion in California augmented by growth in other U.S. geographies. In addition, in 2018 we experienced success by winning new projects with public works customers and national commercial accounts. In the longer-term, we believe that the competitors in the solar industry will consolidate and that we will be able to enhance our growth and scale through accretive acquisitions. With scalable administrative and operational infrastructure in place, we believe our current approach for organic growth will lead to increased profitability and positive cash generation. We anticipate taking advantage of the long-term growth in the solar market as well as gaining market share relative to competitors.

In our residential business, we have expanded our methods of acquiring customers to include collaborating with third party residential sales companies. We believe that the scarce resource in the residential solar industry is quality, economic installations in which customers can trust. We provide outstanding operations and customer support, as well as a 25-year warranty, which drives demand for our branded installations. The result is that our sales partners can sell with confidence knowing that they are backed by installation and operations on which they can rely. Our relationships with strong residential sales organizations is enhanced by our small team of in-house direct sales personnel and our referral business through our "Power Pay" program.

Company Operations

Employees

We employ a total of approximately 208 full-time employees. We may also utilize outside subcontractors to assist with installing solar systems for our commercial and residential customers. Our direct installation labor is a combination of employees and contract labor.

Sales and Marketing

We have approximately 27 employees primarily focused on sales, sales support and marketing in California, Massachusetts, Nevada, and Oregon.

In 2017 we began to partner with independent residential solar sales companies and reduced our in-house residential sales headcount. We also benefitted from the implementation of our Power Pay application. With Power Pay, anyone who registers and is responsible for generating a new sale receives a referral fee.

We continue to work more with third-party sales originators to generate most of our residential customer installations and mitigate the fixed costs and financial risk of maintaining our own direct residential sales force.

We have an advantage in the ACI solar market given our extensive contact list, resulting from our experience in the ACI construction market, which provides access to customers. Through our network of vendors, participation in variety of industry trade associations and independent sales consultants, we now have a growing list of repeat clients, as well as an active and loyal referral network.

Financing

To promote sales, we assist customers in obtaining financing. Our objective is to arrange the most flexible terms that meet the needs and wants of the customer. Although we do not provide financing ourselves, we have relationships to arrange financing with numerous private and public sources, including PACE (Property Assessed Clean Energy) Programs, which are programs that involve both municipal governments and private financing companies that allow property owners to receive upfront funding for renewable energy projects, and agricultural financing offered by a network of lending institutions.

We believe it is best for customers to own their own systems, but some customers prefer not to own their systems. We also have the ability to arrange financing with third parties through Power Purchase Agreements ("PPAs") and leases for our customers.

Suppliers

We purchase solar panels, inverters and materials directly from multiple manufacturers and through distributors. We intend to further coordinate purchases and optimize supply relationships to realize the advantages of greater scale.

If one or more of our suppliers fail to meet our anticipated demand, ceases or reduces production due to its financial condition, acquisition by a competitor or otherwise, it may be difficult to quickly identify alternate suppliers or to qualify alternative products on commercially reasonable terms, and our ability to satisfy this demand may be adversely affected. We do not, however, rely on any single supplier and, we believe, we can obtain needed solar panels and materials from a variety of different suppliers. Accordingly, we believe that the loss of any single supplier would not materially affect our business.

We also utilize strategic partnerships with subcontractors for electrical installations, for racking and solar panel installations, as well as numerous subcontractors for grading, landscaping, and construction for our large ACI and public works customers.

Installation

We are a licensed contractor in the markets we serve, and we are responsible for every customer installation. We manage the entire process from permitting through inspection to interconnection to the power grid, thereby making the system installation process simple and seamless for our customers. Controlling every aspect of the installation process allows us to minimize costs, ensure quality and deliver high levels of customer satisfaction.

Even with controlling every aspect of the installation process, the ability to perform on a contract is subject to limitations. There remain jurisdictional approval processes outside our immediate control including, but not limited to, city, county, state or Federal government or one of its agencies. Other aspects outside of our direct control include approvals from various utility companies and weather conditions.

After-Sales Support

It is our intent to provide continuing operation and maintenance services for our installed residential and commercial PV systems. We provide extended factory equipment technical support and act as a service liaison using our proprietary knowledge, technology, and solar electric energy engineering staff. We do this through a 25-year Limited Workmanship Warranty and Operations and Maintenance Program, which among other things provides a service and technical support line to our customers. We generally respond to our job site related issues within 24 hours and offer assistance as long as required to maintain customer satisfaction. Our price to customers includes this warranty, which includes the pass through of various manufacturers' warranties.

Facilities

We maintain sales and installation offices in Roseville, Rocklin, Durham, Campbell (San Jose), Tulare, and Rancho Cucamonga, California, in Reno, Nevada, and in White City, Oregon. We lease all of our offices and facilities.

Customers

The majority of our revenue comes from installations in California and Nevada with a small amount in Oregon, Massachusetts, and Washington. Approximately 72% of our revenue in 2018 was in the ACI and public works markets, up from 68% in 2017. Approximately 28% of revenues was generated by residential installations, down from 32% in 2017. We expect that these percentages will vary from year to year.

We install systems for the ACI market and for public works projects. We define small commercial and public works projects as the installation of systems under 100kW, whereas large projects involve the installation of systems greater than 100kW. Solar projects have received limited financing from traditional lending sources, but we are encouraged by municipal PACE programs in California which have drawn funding sources such as Ygrene into the financing of energy projects. Public works projects are frequently financed through various PPA arrangements, often in conjunction with SPURR (School Project for Utility Rate Reduction) programs, a Joint Powers Authority in California. Cycle times vary from twenty weeks to more than a year, which is a common cycle for commercial and public works projects. Larger projects typically have a longer cycle time than smaller projects. Agricultural system sizes vary significantly within this sector and can range from 10kW to multiple megawatts. Agricultural loans to farmers and tax-oriented leases are the primary funding sources within the industry. Similar to commercial installations, cycle times for agricultural projects may commonly range from twenty weeks to more than a year depending upon the authority having jurisdiction, the existing utility infrastructure and the various approvals required.

Our residential operations address the needs of property owners installing systems typically smaller than 20kW. The typical residential system installed is about 6kW with an average cycle time of 45 days or less. We facilitate purchase or lease financing and offer multiple product options to fit the specific needs of each customer.

Competitors

In the solar installation market, we compete with companies that offer products similar to ours. Some of these companies have greater financial resources, operational experience, and technical capabilities than us. When bidding for solar installation projects, however, our current experience suggests that there is no clear dominant or preferred competitor in the markets in which we compete. We do not believe that any competitor has more than 10% of the market across all the areas in which we operate. We compete with other solar installers on pricing, service, warranty, and the ability to arrange financing. On a global scale, we also compete, on a cost basis, with traditional utilities that supply electricity to our potential customers and with companies that are not regulated like traditional utilities but that have access to the traditional utility electricity transmission and distribution infrastructure pursuant to state and local pro-competitive and consumer choice policies. Our advantage over traditional utilities is that we offer customers the opportunity to create their own electricity and reduce dependency from the traditional electrical grid.

Seasonality

Our revenue is largely impacted by seasonal weather patterns. In addition, some customers push to complete projects by the end of a calendar year to realize the benefits of available subsidy programs prior to year-end. The first quarter in California often has rain, which also reduces our ability to install and recognize revenues in that quarter relative to the remainder of the year.

Technology and Intellectual Property

Generally, the solar installation business is not dependent on intellectual property.

Government Regulation and Incentives

Government Regulation

We are not regulated as a public utility in the United States under applicable national, state or other local regulatory regimes where we conduct business.

To operate our systems, we obtain interconnection permission from the applicable local primary electric utility. Depending on the size of the solar energy system and local law requirements, interconnection permission is provided by the local utility and us and/or our customer. In almost all cases, interconnection permissions are issued on the basis of a standard process that has been pre-approved by the local public utility commission or other regulatory body with jurisdiction over net energy metering procedures. As such, no additional regulatory approvals are required once interconnection permission is given.

Our operations are subject to stringent and complex federal, state and local laws, including regulations governing the occupational health and safety of our employees and wage regulations. For example, we are subject to the requirements of the federal Occupational Safety and Health Act, as amended, or OSHA, the U.S. Department of Transportation, or DOT, and comparable state laws that protect and regulate employee health and safety.

Government Incentives

Federal, state and local government bodies provide incentives to owners, end users, distributors, system integrators and manufacturers of solar energy systems to promote solar energy in the form of rebates, tax credits and other financial incentives such as system performance payments, payments for renewable energy credits associated with renewable energy generation and exclusion of solar energy systems from property tax assessments. These incentives enable us to lower the price we charge customers to own or lease our solar energy systems, helping to catalyze customer acceptance of solar energy as an alternative to utility-provided power.

The Federal government currently offers a 30% Investment Tax Credit (“ITC”) under Section 48(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, or the ITC, for the installation of certain solar power facilities until December 31, 2019, after which it will fall to 26% in 2020, 22% in 2021 and 10% in 2022 and 10% for commercial credit thereafter.

The economics of purchasing a solar energy system are also improved by eligibility for accelerated depreciation, also known as the modified accelerated cost recovery system, or MACRS depreciation, which allows for the depreciation of equipment according to an accelerated schedule set forth by the Internal Revenue Service. The acceleration of depreciation creates a valuable tax benefit that reduces the overall cost of the solar energy system and increases the return on investment.

Approximately 50% of states in the U.S. offer a personal and/or corporate investment or production tax credit for solar energy that is additive to the ITC. Further, these states, and many local jurisdictions, have established property tax incentives for renewable energy systems that include exemptions, exclusions, abatements, and credits. Many state governments, traditional utilities, municipal utilities and co-operative utilities offer a rebate or other cash incentive for the installation and operation of a solar energy system or energy efficiency measures. Capital costs or “up-front” rebates provide funds to solar customers based on the cost, size or expected production of a customer’s solar energy system. Performance-based incentives provide cash payments to a system owner based on the energy generated by their solar energy system during a pre-determined period, and they are paid over that time period. Depending on the cost of the system and other site-specific variables, tax incentives can typically cover 30-40% of the cost of a commercial or residential solar system.

Many states also have adopted procurement requirements for renewable energy production that requires regulated utilities to procure a specified percentage of total electricity delivered to customers in the State from eligible renewable energy sources, such as solar energy systems, by a specified date.

Corporate History

We were originally incorporated in Delaware on January 30, 2002 as MachineTalker, Inc. In July 2010, we changed our company name to Solar3D, Inc. On January 31, 2014, we acquired 100% of the stock of Solar United Network, Inc., a California corporation. On March 2, 2015, we acquired MD Energy. On December 1, 2015, we acquired Plan B through a merger of Plan B Enterprises, Inc. into our wholly owned subsidiary, Elite Solar Acquisition Sub., Inc. On March 1, 2016 we changed our name to Sunworks, Inc. with simultaneous NASDAQ stock symbol change from SLTD to SUNW.

Our principal executive offices are located at 1030 Winding Creek Road, Suite 100, Roseville, CA 95678 and our telephone number is (916) 409-6900. Our web site address is www.sunworksusa.com. Information contained in or accessible through our website does not constitute part of this annual report on Form 10-K.

Available Information

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission, referred to herein as the SEC. Our SEC filings, including our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act are available to the public free of charge over the Internet at our website at <http://www.sunworksusa.com> or at the SEC's web site at <http://www.sec.gov>. Our SEC filings will be available on our website as soon as reasonably practical after we have electronically filed or furnished them to the SEC. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this 10-K. You can view our Code of Conduct and Ethics and the charters for each of our committees of the Board of Directors free of charge on the investor relations section of our website under corporate governance.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Our business and operations are subject to a number of significant risks and uncertainties as described below. However, the risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties that we are unaware of, or that we may currently deem immaterial, may become important factors that could harm our business, financial condition or results of operations. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could suffer materially.

Risks Related to Our Financial Position and Capital Requirements

We have a limited operating history, which could make it difficult to accurately evaluate our business and prospects.

Although we were formed in January 2002, we did not begin selling solar systems until we acquired Solar United Network, Inc. in January 2014. We acquired MD Energy in March 2015 and Plan B Enterprises in December 2015. Management believes that our success will depend in large part on our ability to continue to successfully sell solar systems in California and other states against determined competition, and to consummate synergistic acquisitions. We cannot assure that we will operate profitably or that we will have adequate working capital to meet our obligations as they become due.

We discovered a material weakness in our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting.

As part of our 2017 evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, our management identified control deficiencies and the need for a stronger internal control environment relating to revenue activities. The ineffectiveness of the design, implementation and operation of the controls surrounding these matters created a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement to the consolidated financial statements would not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Accordingly, our management concluded that this deficiency represents a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017. Although management has assessed that we have remediated this weakness as of December 31, 2018, we can give no assurance that any other material weaknesses or restatements of financial results will not arise in the future.

Internal controls over financial reporting are processes designed to provide reasonable assurances regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP. Failure to maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting in the future could cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations, cause our consolidated financial statements to contain material misstatements, and harm our business and operating results. Our internal controls may not prevent all potential errors, because any control system, regardless of its design, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system will be achieved.

So long as we are either an emerging growth company or a smaller reporting company, we are exempt from Section 404(b) of Sarbanes-Oxley, which requires an independent registered public accounting firm to attest to our management's assessment of internal control over the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting. If we are neither an emerging growth company nor a smaller reporting company, our independent registered public accounting firm will be required to attest on our management's assessment of internal control over the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting. This may cause us to incur additional costs related to auditing and also the examination, investigation, and report on internal controls.

We have incurred significant losses since inception.

We had an accumulated deficit of \$63,510,000 and \$56,365,000 as of December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. We incurred annual operating losses from our inception until 2018. We may anticipate becoming profitable as we reduce our costs and increase our installation revenues. However, there can be no assurances that these actions will result in sustained profitability. We are subject to all the risks incidental to the sales, development and costs of construction of new solar energy revenues, and we may encounter unforeseen expenses, difficulties, complications, delays and other unknown factors that may adversely affect our business.

We may require substantial additional funding which may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all. If we fail to raise the necessary additional capital, we may be unable to achieve growth of our operations.

Our operations have consumed substantial amounts of cash since inception. In order to grow our operations, we may increase our spending to fund our operating expenses and capital expenditures.

We cannot be certain that additional funding will be available on acceptable terms, or at all. If we are unable to raise additional capital in sufficient amounts or on terms acceptable to us, we may have to significantly delay, scale back or discontinue our organic growth or corporate acquisitions. Any of these events could significantly harm our business, financial condition, and strategy.

In order to carry out our business plan and implement our strategy, we anticipate that we will need to obtain additional financing from time to time and may choose to raise additional funds through strategic collaborations, public or private equity or debt financing, bank lines of credit, asset sales, government grants, or other arrangements. We cannot be sure that any additional funding, if needed, will be available on terms favorable to us or at all. Furthermore, any additional equity or equity-related financing may be dilutive to our shareholders, and debt or equity financing, if available, may subject us to restrictive covenants and significant interest costs.

Our inability to raise capital when needed could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations, and could cause our stock price to decline or require that we cease operations.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

A material reduction in the retail price of traditional utility generated electricity or electricity from other sources could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We believe that a significant number of our customers decide to buy solar energy because they want to pay less for electricity than what is offered by the traditional utilities. However, distributed residential solar energy has yet to achieve broad market adoption as evidenced by the fact that distributed solar has penetrated less than 5% of its total addressable market in the U.S. residential sector.

The customer's decision to choose solar energy may also be affected by the cost of other renewable energy sources. Decreases in the retail prices of electricity from the traditional utilities or from other renewable energy sources would harm our ability to offer competitive pricing and could harm our business. The price of electricity from traditional utilities could decrease as a result of:

- construction of a significant number of new power generation plants, including plants utilizing natural gas, nuclear, coal, renewable energy or other generation technologies;
- relief of transmission constraints that enable local centers to generate energy less expensively;
- reductions in the price of natural gas;
- utility rate adjustment and customer class cost reallocation;
- energy conservation technologies and public initiatives to reduce electricity consumption;
- development of new or lower-cost energy storage technologies that have the ability to reduce a customer's average cost of electricity by shifting load to off-peak times; or
- development of new energy generation technologies that provide less expensive energy.

A reduction in utility electricity prices would make the purchase or the lease of our solar energy systems less economically attractive. If the retail price of energy available from traditional utilities were to decrease due to any of these reasons, or other reasons, we would be at a competitive disadvantage, we may be unable to attract new customers and our growth would be limited.

Existing electric utility industry regulations, and changes to regulations, may present technical, regulatory and economic barriers to the purchase and use of solar energy systems that may significantly reduce demand for our solar energy systems.

Federal, state and local government regulations and policies concerning the electric utility industry, and internal policies and regulations promulgated by electric utilities, heavily influence the market for electricity generation products and services. These regulations and policies often relate to electricity pricing and the interconnection of customer-owned electricity generation. In the United States, governments and utilities continuously modify these regulations and policies. These regulations and policies could deter customers from purchasing renewable energy, including solar energy systems. This could result in a significant reduction in the potential demand for our solar energy systems. For example, utilities commonly charge fees to larger, industrial customers for disconnecting from the electric grid or for having the capacity to use power from the electric grid for back-up purposes. These fees could increase our customers' cost to use our systems and make them less desirable, thereby harming our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, depending on the region, electricity generated by solar energy systems competes most effectively with expensive peak-hour electricity from the electric grid, rather than the less expensive average price of electricity. Modifications to the utilities' peak hour pricing policies or rate design, such as to a flat rate, would require us to lower the price of our solar energy systems to compete with the price of electricity from the electric grid.

In addition, any changes to government or internal utility regulations and policies that favor electric utilities could reduce our competitiveness and cause a significant reduction in demand for our products and services. For example, certain jurisdictions have proposed assessing fees on customers purchasing energy from solar energy systems or imposing a new charge that would disproportionately impact solar energy system customers who utilize net energy metering, either of which would increase the cost of energy to those customers and could reduce demand for our solar energy systems. It is possible charges could be imposed on not just future customers but our existing customers, causing a potentially significant consumer relations problem and harming our reputation and business. Due to the concentration of our business in California, any such changes in these markets would be particularly harmful to our business, results of operations, and future growth.

Our growth strategy depends on the widespread adoption of solar power technology.

The market for solar power products is emerging and rapidly evolving, and its future success is uncertain. If solar power technology proves unsuitable for widespread commercial deployment or if demand for solar power products fails to develop sufficiently, we would be unable to generate enough revenues to achieve and sustain profitability and positive cash flow. The factors influencing the widespread adoption of solar power technology include but are not limited to:

- cost-effectiveness of solar power technologies as compared with conventional and non-solar alternative energy technologies;
- performance and reliability of solar power products as compared with conventional and non-solar alternative energy products;
- fluctuations in economic and market conditions which impact the viability of conventional and non-solar alternative energy sources, such as increases or decreases in the prices of oil and other fossil fuels;
- continued deregulation of the electric power industry and broader energy industry; and
- availability of governmental subsidies and incentives.

Our business currently depends on the availability of rebates, tax credits and other financial incentives. The expiration, elimination or reduction of these rebates, credits and incentives would adversely impact our business.

U.S. federal, state and local government bodies provide incentives to end users, distributors, system integrators and manufacturers of solar energy systems to promote solar electricity in the form of rebates, tax credits and other financial incentives such as system performance payments and payments for renewable energy credits associated with renewable energy generation. These governmental rebates, tax credits and other financial incentives enhance the return on investment for our customers and incent them to purchase solar systems. These incentives enable us to lower the price we charge customers for energy and for our solar energy systems. However, these incentives may expire on a particular date, end when the allocated funding is exhausted, or be reduced or terminated as solar energy adoption rates increase. These reductions or terminations often occur without warning.

Reductions in, or eliminations or expirations of, governmental incentives could adversely impact our results of operations and our ability to compete in our industry, causing us to increase the prices of our solar energy systems, and reducing the size of our addressable market. In addition, this would adversely impact our ability to attract investment partners and to form new financing funds and our ability to offer attractive financing to prospective customers.

Net energy metering and related policies to offer competitive pricing to our customers in our current markets, and changes to net energy metering policies may significantly reduce demand for electricity from our solar energy systems.

Each of the states where we currently serve customers has adopted a net energy metering policy. Net energy metering typically allows our customers to interconnect their on-site solar energy systems to the utility grid and offset their utility electricity purchases by receiving a bill credit at the utility's retail rate for energy generated by their solar energy system that is exported to the grid in excess of the electric load used by the customers. At the end of the billing period, the customer simply pays for the net energy used or receives a credit at the retail rate if more energy is produced than consumed. Utilities operating in states without a net energy metering policy may receive solar electricity that is exported to the grid when there is no simultaneous energy demand by the customer without providing retail compensation to the customer for this generation.

Our ability to sell solar energy systems and the electricity they generate may be adversely impacted by the failure to expand existing limits on the amount of net energy metering in states that have implemented it, the failure to adopt a net energy metering policy where it currently is not in place, the imposition of new charges that only or disproportionately impact customers that utilize net energy metering, or reductions in the amount or value of credit that customers receive through net energy metering. Our ability to sell solar energy systems and the electricity they generate also may be adversely impacted by the unavailability of expedited or simplified interconnection for grid-tied solar energy systems or any limitation on the number of customer interconnections or amount of solar energy that utilities are required to allow in their service territory or some part of the grid. If such charges are imposed, the cost savings associated with switching to solar energy may be significantly reduced and our ability to attract future customers and compete with traditional utility providers could be impacted.

Limits on net energy metering, interconnection of solar energy systems and other operational policies in key markets could limit the number of solar energy systems installed in those markets. For example, California utilities limit net energy metering credit to 5% of the utilities' aggregate customer peak demand. California has adopted legislation to establish a process and timeline for developing a new net energy metering program with no cap on participation. If the caps on net energy metering in California and other jurisdictions are reached or if the amount or value of credit that customers receive for net energy metering is significantly reduced, future customers will be unable to recognize the current cost savings associated with net energy metering. We rely substantially on net energy metering when we establish competitive pricing for our prospective customers and the absence of net energy metering for new customers would greatly limit demand for our solar energy systems.

Our business depends in part on the regulatory treatment of third-party owned solar energy systems.

Our leases and any power purchase agreements are third-party ownership arrangements. Sales of electricity by third parties face regulatory challenges in some states and jurisdictions. Other challenges pertain to whether third-party owned systems qualify for the same levels of rebates or other non-tax incentives available for customer-owned solar energy systems, whether third-party owned systems are eligible at all for these incentives, and whether third-party owned systems are eligible for net energy metering and the associated significant cost savings. Reductions in, or eliminations of, this treatment of these third-party arrangements could reduce demand for our systems, adversely impact our access to capital and could cause us to increase the price we charge our customers for energy.

Our ability to provide solar energy systems to customers on an economically viable basis depends on our ability to help customers arrange financing for such systems.

Our solar energy systems have been eligible for Federal ITCs or U.S. Treasury grants, as well as depreciation benefits. We have relied on, and will continue to rely on, financing structures that monetize a substantial portion of those benefits and provide financing for our solar energy systems. With the lapse of the U.S. Treasury grant program, we anticipate that our customers' reliance on these tax-advantaged financing structures will increase substantially. If, for any reason, our customers were unable to continue to monetize those benefits through these arrangements, we may be unable to provide and maintain solar energy systems for new customers on an economically viable basis.

The availability of this tax-advantaged financing depends upon many factors, including, but not limited to:

- the state of financial and credit markets;
- changes in the legal or tax risks associated with these financings; and
- non-renewal of these incentives or decreases in the associated benefits.

U.S. Treasury grants are no longer available for new solar energy systems. Changes in existing law and interpretations by the Internal Revenue Service and the courts could reduce the willingness of funding sources to provide funds to customers of these solar energy systems. We cannot assure you that this type of financing will be available to our customers. If, for any reason, we are unable to find financing for solar energy systems, we may no longer be able to provide solar energy systems to new customers on an economically viable basis. This would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Rising interest rates could adversely impact our business.

Increases in interest rates could have an adverse impact on our business by increasing our cost of capital, which would increase our interest expense and make acquisitions more expensive to undertake.

Further, rising interest rates may negatively impact our ability to arrange financing for our customers on favorable terms to facilitate our customers' purchases of our solar energy systems. The majority of our cash flows to date have been from the sales of solar energy systems. Rising interest rates may have the effect of depressing the sales of solar energy systems because many consumers finance their purchases.

As a result, an increase in interest rates may negatively affect our costs and reduce our revenues, which would have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Our inability to arrange financing could hurt our future business.

On a global scale, we also compete, on a cost basis, with traditional utilities that supply electricity to our potential customers and with companies that are not regulated like traditional utilities but that have access to the traditional utility electricity transmission and distribution infrastructure pursuant to state and local pro-competitive and consumer choice policies. Our advantage over traditional utilities is that we offer customers the opportunity to create their own electricity and detach from the traditional electrical grid. To offer customers this opportunity, we often have to arrange financing for our customers as solar projects have received limited financing from traditional lending sources. Our objective is to arrange the most flexible terms that meet the needs and wants of the customer. Although we do not provide financing ourselves, we have relationships to arrange financing with numerous private and public sources, including PACE (Property Assessed Clean Energy) Programs, which are programs that involve both municipal governments and private financing companies that allows property owners to receive upfront funding for renewable energy projects, and agricultural financing offered by a network of lending institutions. Our inability to arrange financing through these or other sources could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

If we cannot compete successfully against other solar and energy companies, we may not be successful in developing our operations and our business may suffer.

The solar and energy industries are characterized by intense competition and technological advances, both in the United States and internationally. We compete with solar companies with business models that are similar to ours. In addition, we compete with solar companies in the downstream value chain of solar energy. For example, we face competition from purely finance driven organizations that acquire customers and then subcontract out the installation of solar energy systems, from installation businesses that seek financing from external parties, from large construction companies and utilities, and increasingly from sophisticated electrical and roofing companies. Some of these competitors specialize in the residential solar energy market, and some may provide energy at lower costs than we do. Further, some of our competitors are integrating vertically in order to ensure supply and to control costs. Many of our competitors also have significant brand name recognition and have extensive knowledge of our target markets.

If we are unable to compete in the market, it will have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Adverse economic conditions may have material adverse consequences on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Unpredictable and unstable changes in economic conditions, including recession, inflation, increased government intervention, or other changes, may adversely affect our general business strategy. We rely upon our ability to generate additional sources of liquidity and we may need to raise additional funds through public or private debt or equity financings in order to fund existing operations or to take advantage of opportunities, including acquisitions of complementary businesses or technologies. Any adverse event would have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our business is concentrated in certain markets, putting us at risk of region-specific disruptions.

As of December 31, 2018, a vast majority of our total installations were in California, Nevada, Oregon and Washington. We maintain offices in California, Oregon, and Nevada. We expect our near-term future growth to occur in California, Oregon, Massachusetts, Nevada, New Jersey and Washington, and to further expand our customer base and operational infrastructure. Accordingly, our business and results of operations are particularly susceptible to adverse economic, regulatory, political, weather and other conditions in such markets and in other markets that may become similarly concentrated.

Substantially all of our business is conducted primarily using direct-selling, channel partners and authorized dealers.

While we are in the process of evaluating different distribution channels, currently substantially all of our business is conducted using direct selling, channel partners and authorized dealers. We compete against companies that sell solar energy systems to customers through a number of distribution channels, including homebuilders, home improvement stores, large construction, electrical and roofing companies and other third parties and companies that access customers through relationships with third parties in addition to other direct-selling companies. Our limited distribution channel may place us at a disadvantage with consumers who prefer to purchase products through these other distribution channels. Additionally, we are vulnerable to changes in laws related to direct marketing as regulations have limited unsolicited residential sales calls and may impose additional restrictions. If additional laws affecting direct marketing are passed in the markets in which we operate, it could take time to train our sales force to comply with such laws, and we may be exposed to fines or other penalties for violations of such laws. If we fail to compete effectively through our selling efforts or are not successful in executing our strategy to sell our solar energy systems through other channels, our financial condition, results of operations, and growth prospects will be adversely affected.

If we are unable to retain and recruit qualified technicians and advisors, or if our key executives, key employees or consultants discontinue their employment or consulting relationship with us, it may delay our development efforts or otherwise harm our business.

We may not be able to attract or retain qualified management or technical personnel in the future due to the intense competition for qualified personnel among solar, energy, and other businesses. Our industry has experienced a high rate of turnover of management personnel in recent years. If we are not able to attract, retain, and motivate necessary personnel to accomplish our business objectives, we may experience constraints that will significantly impede the successful development of any product candidates, our ability to raise additional capital, and our ability to implement our overall business strategy.

We are highly dependent on members of our management and technical staff. Our success also depends on our ability to continue to attract, retain and motivate highly skilled junior, mid-level, and senior managers as well as junior, mid-level, and senior technical personnel. The loss of any of our executive officers, key employees, or consultants and our inability to find suitable replacements could potentially harm our business, financial condition, and prospects. We may be unable to attract and retain personnel on acceptable terms given the competition among solar and energy companies. Certain of our current officers, directors, and/or consultants hereafter appointed may from time to time serve as officers, directors, scientific advisors, and/or consultants of other solar and energy companies. We do not maintain "key man" insurance policies on any of our officers or employees. Other than certain members of our senior management team, all of our employees are employed "at will" and, therefore, each employee may leave our employment and join a competitor at any time.

We plan to grant stock options, restricted stock grants, or other forms of equity awards in the future as a method of attracting and retaining employees, motivating performance, and aligning the interests of employees with those of our shareholders. If we are unable to implement and maintain equity compensation arrangements that provide sufficient incentives, we may be unable to retain our existing employees and attract additional qualified candidates. If we are unable to retain our existing employees and attract additional qualified candidates, our business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

The execution of our business plan and development strategy may be seriously harmed if retention of our senior management team is not successful.

As our business evolves and if we acquire new businesses, we may experience significant changes in our senior management team. Failure to retain the Board of Directors and senior management teams may negatively affect the operations of our business.

We may not successfully implement our business model.

Our business model is predicated on our ability to provide solar systems at a profit, and through organic growth, geographic expansion, and strategic acquisitions. We intend to continue to operate as we have previously with sourcing and marketing methods that we have used successfully in the past. However, we cannot assure that our methods will continue to attract new customers nor that we can maintain the same profitability in the very competitive solar systems marketplace.

In the event our customers resist paying the prices projected in our business plan to purchase solar installations, our business, financial condition, and results of operations will be materially and adversely affected.

We may not be able to effectively manage our growth.

Our future growth, if any, may cause a significant strain on our management and our operational, financial, and other resources. Our ability to manage our growth effectively will require us to implement and improve our operational, financial, and management systems and to expand, train, manage, and motivate our employees. These demands may require the hiring of additional management personnel and the development of additional expertise by management. Any increase in resources used without a corresponding increase in our operational, financial, and management systems could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

We may not realize the anticipated benefits of acquisitions, and integration of these acquisitions which may disrupt our business and management.

We acquired Solar United Network, Inc. in January 2014 and completed our acquisition of MD Energy in March 2015 and merger with Elite Solar in December 2015. Our growth strategy is dependent on the success of these three acquisitions, and in the future, we may acquire additional companies, project pipelines, products or technologies or enter into joint ventures or other strategic initiatives. We may not realize the anticipated benefits of these acquisitions or any other future acquisition, and any acquisition has numerous risks. These risks include the following:

- difficulty in assimilating the operations and personnel of the acquired company;
- difficulty in effectively integrating the acquired technologies or products with our current technologies;
- difficulty in maintaining controls, procedures and policies during the transition and integration;
- disruption of our ongoing business and distraction of our management and employees from other opportunities and challenges due to integration issues;
- difficulty integrating the acquired company's accounting, management information, and other administrative systems;
- inability to retain key technical and managerial personnel of the acquired business;
- inability to retain key customers, vendors, and other business partners of the acquired business;
- inability to achieve the financial and strategic goals for the acquired and combined businesses;
- incurring acquisition-related costs or amortization costs for acquired intangible assets that could impact our operating results;
- potential failure of the due diligence processes to identify significant issues with product quality, intellectual property infringement, and other legal and financial liabilities, among other things;
- potential inability to assert that internal controls over financial reporting are effective; and
- potential inability to obtain, or obtain in a timely manner, approvals from governmental authorities, which could delay or prevent such acquisitions.

Mergers and acquisitions of companies are inherently risky and, if we do not complete the integration of acquired businesses successfully and in a timely manner, we may not realize the anticipated benefits of the acquisitions to the extent anticipated, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

A portion of our total assets consists of goodwill, which is subject to a periodic impairment analysis, and a significant impairment determination in any future period could have an adverse effect on our results of operations even without a significant loss of revenue or increase in cash expenses attributable to such period.

At December 31, 2018 we have goodwill totaling approximately \$9.5 million associated with our acquisitions. In the year ending December 31, 2018 we had a goodwill impairment charge of \$1.9 million. We will be required to continue to evaluate this goodwill for impairment based on the fair value of the operating business units to which this goodwill relates, at least once a year. This estimated fair value could change if we are unable to achieve operating results at the levels that have been forecasted, the market valuation of those business units decreases based on transactions involving similar companies, or there is a permanent, negative change in the market demand for the services offered by the business units. These changes could result in further impairment of the existing goodwill balance that could require a material non-cash charge to our results of operations.

We may be subject to claims arising from the operations of our various businesses for periods prior to the dates we acquired them.

We may be subject to claims or liabilities arising from the ownership or operation of acquired businesses for the periods prior to our acquisition of them, including environmental, employee-related, and other liabilities and claims not covered by insurance. These claims or liabilities could be significant. Our ability to seek indemnification from the former owners of our acquired businesses for these claims or liabilities may be limited by various factors, including the specific time, monetary or other limitations contained in the respective acquisition agreements and the financial ability of the former owners to satisfy our indemnification claims. In addition, insurance companies may be unwilling to cover claims that have arisen from acquired businesses or locations, or claims may exceed the coverage limits that our acquired businesses had in effect prior to the date of acquisition. If we are unable to successfully obtain insurance coverage of third-party claims or enforce our indemnification rights against the former owners, or if the former owners are unable to satisfy their obligations for any reason, including because of their current financial position, we could be held liable for the costs or obligations associated with such claims or liabilities, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

With respect to providing electricity on a price-competitive basis, solar systems face competition from traditional regulated electric utilities, from less-regulated third party energy service providers and from new renewable energy companies.

The solar energy and renewable energy industries are both highly competitive and continually evolving as participants strive to distinguish themselves within their markets and compete with large traditional utilities. We believe that our primary competitors are the traditional utilities that supply electricity to our potential customers. Traditional utilities generally have substantially greater financial, technical, operational and other resources than we do. As a result, these competitors may be able to devote more resources to the research, development, promotion, and sale of their products or respond more quickly to evolving industry standards and changes in market conditions than we can. Traditional utilities could also offer other value-added products or services that could help them to compete with us even if the cost of electricity they offer is higher than ours. In addition, a majority of utilities' sources of electricity is non-solar, which may allow utilities to sell electricity more cheaply than electricity generated by our solar energy systems.

We also compete with companies that are not regulated like traditional utilities but that have access to the traditional utility electricity transmission and distribution infrastructure pursuant to state and local pro-competitive and consumer choice policies. These energy service companies are able to offer customers electricity supply-only solutions that are competitive with our solar energy system options on both price and usage of renewable energy technology while avoiding the long-term agreements and physical installations that our current fund-financed business model requires. This may limit our ability to attract new customers; particularly those who wish to avoid long-term contracts or have an aesthetic or other objection to putting solar panels on their roofs.

As the solar industry grows and evolves, we will also face new competitors who are not currently in the market. Low technological barriers to entry characterize our industry and well-capitalized companies could choose to enter the market and compete with us. Our failure to adapt to changing market conditions and to compete successfully with existing or new competitors will limit our growth and will have a material adverse effect on our business and prospects.

Developments in alternative technologies or improvements in distributed solar energy generation may materially adversely affect demand for our offerings.

Significant developments in alternative technologies, such as advances in other forms of distributed solar power generation, storage solutions such as batteries, the widespread use or adoption of fuel cells for residential or commercial properties or improvements in other forms of centralized power production may materially and adversely affect our business and prospects in ways we do not currently anticipate. Any failure by us to adopt new or enhanced technologies or processes, or to react to changes in existing technologies, could materially delay deployment of our solar energy systems, which could result in product obsolescence, the loss of competitiveness of our systems, decreased revenue and a loss of market share to competitors.

Due to the limited number of suppliers in our industry, the acquisition of any of these suppliers by a competitor or any shortage, delay, price change, imposition of tariffs or duties or other limitation in our ability to obtain components or technologies we use could result in sales and installation delays, cancellations, and loss of market share.

While we purchase our products from several different suppliers, if one or more of the suppliers that we rely upon to meet anticipated demand ceases or reduces production due to its financial condition, acquisition by a competitor or otherwise, is unable to increase production as industry demand increases or is otherwise unable to allocate sufficient production to us, it may be difficult to quickly identify alternate suppliers or to qualify alternative products on commercially reasonable terms, and our ability to satisfy this demand may be adversely affected. There are a limited number of suppliers of solar energy system components and technologies. While we believe there are other sources of supply for these products available, transitioning to a new supplier may result in additional costs and delays in acquiring our solar products and deploying our systems. These issues could harm our business or financial performance.

In addition, the acquisition of a component supplier or technology provider by one of our competitors could limit our access to such components or technologies and require significant redesigns of our solar energy systems or installation procedures and have a material adverse effect on our business.

There have also been periods of industry-wide shortages of key components, including solar panels, in times of industry disruption. The manufacturing infrastructure for some of these components has a long lead-time, requires significant capital investment and relies on the continued availability of key commodity materials, potentially resulting in an inability to meet demand for these components. The solar industry is frequently experiencing significant disruption and, as a result, shortages of key components, including solar panels, may be more likely to occur, which in turn may result in price increases for such components. Even if industry-wide shortages do not occur, suppliers may decide to allocate key components with high demand or insufficient production capacity to more profitable customers, customers with long-term supply agreements or customers other than us and our supply of such components may be reduced as a result.

Typically, we purchase the components for our solar energy systems on an as-needed basis and do not operate under long-term supply agreements. The vast majority of our purchases are denominated in U.S. dollars. Since our revenue is also generated in U.S. dollars we are mostly insulated from currency fluctuations. However, since our suppliers often incur a significant amount of their costs by purchasing raw materials and generating operating expenses in foreign currencies, if the value of the U.S. dollar depreciates significantly or for a prolonged period of time against these other currencies this may cause our suppliers to raise the prices they charge us, which could harm our financial results. Since we purchase most of the solar photovoltaic panels we use from China, we are particularly exposed to exchange rate risk from increases in the value of the Chinese Renminbi. Any supply shortages, delays, price changes or other limitation in our ability to obtain components or technologies we use could limit our growth, cause cancellations or adversely affect our profitability, and result in loss of market share and damage to our brand.

Our business has benefited from the declining cost of solar panels, and our financial results may be harmed now that the cost of solar panels has stabilized and could increase in the future, due to increases in the cost of solar panels and tariffs on imported solar panels imposed by the U.S. government.

The declining cost of solar panels and the raw materials necessary to manufacture them has been a key driver in the pricing of our solar energy systems and customer adoption of this form of renewable energy. With the stabilization or increase of solar panel and raw materials prices, our growth could slow, and our financial results could suffer. Further, the cost of solar panels and raw materials could increase in the future due to tariff penalties or other factors.

On January 23, 2018, The U.S. government imposed a protective tariff on solar panel components. The U.S. Trade Representative (“USTR”) released the following terms of the tariff:

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
Safeguard Tariff on Panels and Cells	30%	25%	20%	15%
Cells Exempted from Tariff	2.5 gigawatts	2.5 gigawatts	2.5 gigawatts	2.5 gigawatts

As indicated in the terms, the tariff will not apply to the first 2.5 gigawatts of solar cells imported in each of the four years. Panels imported from China and Taiwan previously were subject to tariffs from a 2012 solar trade case. The current tariff applies to all countries.

As a result of the protective tariffs, and if additional tariffs are imposed or other disruptions to the supply chain occur, our ability to purchase these products on competitive terms or to access specialized technologies from those countries could be limited. Any of those events could harm our financial results by requiring us to account for the cost of trade penalties or to purchase solar panels or other system components from alternative, higher-priced sources.

We act as the licensed general contractor for our customers and are subject to risks associated with construction, cost overruns, delays, regulatory compliance and other contingencies, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We are a licensed contractor. We are normally the general contractor, electrician, construction manager, and installer for our solar energy systems. We may be liable to customers for any damage we cause to their home, belongings or property during the installation of our systems. For example, we penetrate our customers' roofs during the installation process and may incur liability for the failure to adequately weatherproof such penetrations following the completion of installation of solar energy systems. In addition, because the solar energy systems we deploy are high-voltage energy systems, we may incur liability for the failure to comply with electrical standards and manufacturer recommendations. Because our profit on a particular installation is based in part on assumptions as to the cost of such project, cost overruns, delays, or other execution issues may cause us to not achieve our expected results or cover our costs for that project.

In addition, the installation of solar energy systems is subject to oversight and regulation in accordance with national, state, and local laws and ordinances relating to building, fire and electrical codes, safety, environmental protection, utility interconnection and metering, and related matters. We also rely on certain of our employees to maintain professional licenses in many of the jurisdictions in which we operate, and our failure to employ properly licensed personnel could adversely affect our licensing status in those jurisdictions. It is difficult and costly to track the requirements of every authority having jurisdiction over our operations and our solar energy systems. Any new government regulations or utility policies pertaining to our systems, or changes to existing government regulations or utility policies pertaining to our systems, may result in significant additional expenses to us and our customers and, as a result, could cause a significant reduction in demand for our systems.

Compliance with occupational safety and health requirements and best practices can be costly, and noncompliance with such requirements may result in potentially significant monetary penalties, operational delays, and adverse publicity.

The installation of solar energy systems requires our employees to work at heights with complicated and potentially dangerous electrical systems. The evaluation and modification of buildings as part of the installation process requires our employees to work in locations that may contain potentially dangerous levels of asbestos, lead, mold or other materials known or believed to be hazardous to human health. We also maintain a fleet of trucks and other vehicles to support our installers and operations. There is substantial risk of serious injury or death if proper safety procedures are not followed. Our operations are subject to regulation under the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Act, or OSHA, the U.S. Department of Transportation, or DOT, and equivalent state laws. Changes to OSHA or DOT requirements, or stricter interpretation or enforcement of existing laws or regulations, could result in increased costs. If we fail to comply with applicable OSHA regulations, even if no work-related serious injury or death occurs, we may be subject to civil or criminal enforcement and be required to pay substantial penalties, incur significant capital expenditures or suspend or limit operations. High injury rates could expose us to increased liability. In the past, we have had workplace accidents and received citations from OSHA regulators for alleged safety violations, resulting in fines. Any such accidents, citations, violations, injuries or failure to comply with industry best practices may subject us to adverse publicity, damage our reputation and competitive position and adversely affect our business.

Problems with product quality or performance may cause us to incur warranty expenses, damage our market reputation, and prevent us from maintaining or increasing our market share.

If our products fail to perform as expected while under warranty, or if we are unable to support the warranties, sales of our products may be adversely affected, or our costs may increase, and our business, results of operations, and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

We may also be subject to warranty or product liability claims against us that are not covered by insurance or are in excess of our available insurance limits or warranty reserves. In addition, quality issues can have various other ramifications, including delays in the recognition of revenue, loss of revenue, loss of future sales opportunities, increased costs associated with repairing or replacing products, and a negative impact on our goodwill and reputation. The possibility of future product failures could cause us to incur substantial expenses to repair or replace defective products. Furthermore, widespread product failures may damage our market reputation and reduce our market share causing sales to decline.

A failure to comply with laws and regulations relating to our interactions with current or prospective residential customers could result in negative publicity, claims, investigations, and litigation, and adversely affect our financial performance.

Approximately 30% of our business focuses on contracts and transactions with residential customers. We must comply with numerous federal, state, and local laws and regulations that govern matters relating to our interactions with residential consumers, including those pertaining to privacy and data security, consumer financial and credit transactions, home improvement contracts, warranties, and door-to-door solicitation. These laws and regulations are dynamic and subject to potentially differing interpretations, and various federal, state and local legislative and regulatory bodies may expand current laws or regulations, or enact new laws and regulations, regarding these matters. Changes in these laws or regulations or their interpretation could dramatically affect how we do business, acquire customers, and manage and use information we collect from and about current and prospective customers and the costs associated therewith. We strive to comply with all applicable laws and regulations relating to our interactions with residential customers. It is possible, however, that these requirements may be interpreted and applied in a manner that is inconsistent from one jurisdiction to another and may conflict with other rules or our practices. Our non-compliance with any such law or regulations could also expose the company to claims, proceedings, litigation and investigations by private parties and regulatory authorities, as well as substantial fines and negative publicity, each of which may materially and adversely affect our business. We have incurred, and will continue to incur, significant expenses to comply with such laws and regulations, and increased regulation of matters relating to our interactions with residential consumers could require us to modify our operations and incur significant additional expenses, which could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we experience a significant disruption in our information technology systems or if we fail to implement new systems and software successfully, our business could be adversely affected.

We depend on information systems throughout our company to process orders, manage inventory, process and bill shipments and collect cash from our customers, respond to customer inquiries, contribute to our overall internal control processes, maintain records of our property, plant and equipment, and record and pay amounts due vendors and other creditors. If we were to experience a prolonged disruption in our information systems that involve interactions with customers and suppliers, it could result in the loss of sales and customers and/or increased costs, which could adversely affect our overall business operation.

Seasonality may cause fluctuations in our financial results.

We often find that some customers tend to book projects by the end of a calendar year to realize the benefits of available subsidy programs prior to year-end. This results in third and fourth quarter revenues being more robust usually at the expense of the first quarter. The first quarter in California often has rain and Nevada snow, which also reduces our ability to install in that quarter relative to the remainder of the year. In the future, this seasonality may cause fluctuations in our financial results. In addition, other seasonality trends may develop and the existing seasonality that we experience may change.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal control over financial reporting and other business practices, and of board-level oversight, we may not be able to report our financial results accurately or prevent and detect fraud and other improprieties. Consequently, investors could lose confidence in our financial reporting, and this may decrease the trading price of our stock.

We must maintain effective internal controls to provide reliable financial reports and to prevent and detect fraud and other improprieties. We are responsible for reviewing and assessing our internal controls and implementing additional controls when improvement is needed. Failure to implement any required changes to our internal controls or other changes we identify as necessary to maintain an effective system of internal controls could harm our operating results and cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information. Any such loss of confidence would have a negative effect on the market price of our stock.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act requirements regarding internal control over financial reporting, and other internal controls over business practices, are costly to implement and maintain, and such costs are relatively more burdensome for smaller companies such as us than for larger companies. We have limited internal personnel to implement procedures and must scale our procedures to be compatible with our resources. We also rely on outside professionals including accountants and attorneys to support our control procedures. We are working to improve all of our controls but, if our controls are not effective, we may not be able to report our financial results accurately or prevent and detect fraud and other improprieties which could lead to a decrease in the market price of our stock.

Risks Relating to our Common Stock

If we fail to comply with the continued minimum closing bid requirements of the Nasdaq Capital Market LLC (“Nasdaq”) or other requirements for continued listing, our common stock may be delisted and the price of our common stock and our ability to access the capital markets could be negatively impacted.

On September 20, 2018, we received a written notice (the “Notice”) from the Nasdaq Stock Market LLC (“Nasdaq”) that we were not in compliance with Nasdaq Listing Rule 5550(a)(2), as the minimum bid price of our common stock has been below \$1.00 per share for 30 consecutive business days. The Notice had no immediate effect on the listing of our common stock, and our common stock continues to trade on the Nasdaq Capital Market. In accordance with Nasdaq Listing Rule 5810(c)(3)(A), we had a period of 180 calendar days, or until March 19, 2019, to regain compliance with the minimum bid price requirement. To regain compliance, the closing bid price of our common stock must meet or exceed \$1.00 per share for at least 10 consecutive business days during the 180 calendar day period. On March 19, 2019 we received a listing extension from the Nasdaq Hearings Panel that grants the Company until September 16, 2019 to regain compliance with the Minimum Bid Price requirement for continued listing on the Nasdaq Capital Market. In the event we do not regain compliance by September 16, 2019, we provided written notice to NASDAQ of our intention to cure the deficiency by effecting a reverse stock split, if necessary. If we do not regain compliance within the allotted compliance period(s), including any extensions that may be granted by NASDAQ or fail to comply with or other requirements for continued listing, our common stock may be delisted and the price of our common stock and our ability to access the capital markets could be negatively impacted. A delisting of our common stock from The NASDAQ Capital Market could materially reduce the liquidity of our common stock and result in a corresponding material reduction in the price of our common stock. In addition, delisting could harm our ability to raise capital through alternative financing sources on terms acceptable to us, or at all, and may result in the potential loss of confidence by investors, employees and fewer business development opportunities.

Trading in our stock has been modest. Therefore, investors may not be able to sell as much stock as they want at prevailing prices. Moreover, modest volume can increase stock price volatility.

Because there is a limited trading in our common stock, it may be difficult for investors to sell or buy substantial quantities of shares in the public market at any given time at prevailing prices. Moreover, the market price for shares of our common stock may be made more volatile because of the relatively low volume of trading in our common stock. When trading volume is low, significant price movement can be caused trading a relatively small number of shares.

The market price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly, and investors in our common stock may lose all or a part of their investment.

The market prices for securities of solar and energy companies have historically been highly volatile, and the market has from time to time experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that are unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. The market price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly in response to numerous factors, some of which are beyond our control, such as:

- adverse regulatory decisions;
- changes in laws or regulations applicable to our products or services;
- legal disputes or other developments relating to proprietary rights, including patents, litigation matters and our ability to obtain patent protection for our product candidates, and the results of any proceedings or lawsuits, including patent or shareholder litigation;
- our dependence on third parties;
- announcements of the introduction of new products by our competitors;
- market conditions in the solar and energy sectors;
- announcements concerning product development results or intellectual property rights of others;
- future issuances of common stock or other securities;
- the addition or departure of key personnel;
- failure to meet or exceed any financial guidance or expectations that we may provide to the public;
- actual or anticipated variations in quarterly operating results;
- our failure to meet or exceed the estimates and projections of the investment community;
- overall performance of the equity markets and other factors that may be unrelated to our operating performance or the operating performance of our competitors, including changes in market valuations of similar companies;
- announcements of significant acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments by us or our competitors;
- issuances of debt or equity securities;
- sales of our common stock by us or our shareholders in the future;
- trading volume of our common stock;
- ineffectiveness of our internal controls;
- publication of research reports about us or our industry or positive or negative recommendations or withdrawal of research coverage by securities analysts;
- general political and economic conditions;
- effects of natural or man-made catastrophic events; and,
- other events or factors, many of which are beyond our control.

Further, the equity markets in general have recently experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations. Continued market fluctuations could result in extreme volatility in the price of our common stock, which could cause a decline in the value of our common stock. Price volatility of our common stock might worsen if the trading volume of our common stock is low. The realization of any of the above risks or any of a broad range of other risks, including those described in these “Risk Factors,” could have a dramatic and material adverse impact on the market price of our common stock.

A substantial number of shares of common stock may be sold in the market, which may depress the market price for our common stock or the warrants.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market could cause the market price of our common stock to decline. A substantial majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock and exercisable options and warrants are freely tradable without restriction or further registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Because the warrants are exercisable into our common stock, volatility or a reduction in the market price of our common stock could have an adverse effect on the market price of the warrants.

If securities analysts do not publish research or publish inaccurate or unfavorable research about our business, our stock price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our common stock depends in part on the research and reports that securities or industry analysts publish about us or our business. If one or more of the analysts who cover us downgrade our stock or publish inaccurate or unfavorable research about our business, our stock price would likely decline. Securities analysts have only recently commenced research coverage on us. If one or more of these analysts cease coverage of our company or fail to publish reports on us regularly, demand for our stock could decrease, which might cause our stock price and trading volume to decline.

We do not expect any cash dividends to be paid on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

We have never declared or paid a cash dividend on our common stock, and we do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. We expect to use future earnings, if any, as well as any capital that may be raised in the future, to fund business growth. Consequently, a stockholder's only opportunity to achieve a return on investment would be for the price of our common stock to appreciate. We cannot assure stockholders of a positive return on their investment when they sell their shares, nor can we assure that stockholders will not lose the entire amount of their investment.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

Sunworks United leases 27,530 square feet of mixed used space consisting of office and warehouse facilities in Roseville, California, at a monthly lease rate of \$20,866. The lease expires in December 2021.

Sunworks United leases 2,846 square feet of retail space in Rocklin, California, at a monthly lease rate of \$9,058. The lease expires in December 2020.

Sunworks United leases 5,304 square feet of office space in Rocklin, California, at a monthly lease rate of \$5,834. The lease expires in May 2021. Sunworks is the sublessor through May 2021. Sublessee's monthly payments began in August 2017 at a rate of \$5,304 per month.

Sunworks United leases 2,021 square feet of mixed used space consisting of office and warehouse facilities in Reno, Nevada at monthly lease rate of \$2,133. The lease expires in October 2020.

Sunworks United leases approximately 6,358 square feet of mixed used space consisting of office and warehouse facilities in Rancho Cucamonga, California, at a monthly lease rate of \$5,515. The lease expires in July 2019.

Sunworks Inc. leases 15,600 square feet of mixed used space consisting of office and warehouse facilities from an entity controlled by the former sole shareholder of Plan B Enterprises, Inc. in Durham, California, at a monthly lease rate of \$9,000. The lease is month-to-month.

Sunworks United leases 5,000 square feet of mixed used space consisting of office and warehouse facilities in Tulare, California at monthly lease rate of \$4,509. The lease expires in July 2019.

Sunworks United leases 3,560 square feet of mixed used space consisting of office and warehouse facilities in Campbell (San Jose), California at monthly lease rate of \$4,579. The lease expires in January 2022.

Sunworks United leases 800 square feet of mixed used space consisting of office and warehouse facilities in White City, Oregon at monthly lease rate of \$800. The lease is month-to-month.

All of these properties are adequate for our current needs and we expect that we can extend our leases on these properties, or replace them with similar space, at approximately the same cost.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

We are not currently a party to any legal proceedings that, individually or in the aggregate, are deemed to be material to our financial condition or results of operations.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

On March 4, 2015 our common stock began to be traded on The NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol “SLTD” that was changed on March 1, 2016 to “SUNW” simultaneously with our name change to Sunworks, Inc. Our common stock previously traded on the OTCQB under the symbol “SLTD.” The market for our common stock was often sporadic, volatile, and limited.

The following table shows the range of high and low sale prices for our common stock as reported on NASDAQ for each quarterly period within the two most recent fiscal years.

	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>
Fiscal Year 2018		
First Quarter	\$ 1.24	\$ 0.90
Second Quarter	\$ 1.25	\$ 0.92
Third Quarter	\$ 1.11	\$ 0.49
Fourth Quarter	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.25
Fiscal Year 2017		
First Quarter	\$ 2.23	\$ 1.32
Second Quarter	\$ 1.94	\$ 1.31
Third Quarter	\$ 2.06	\$ 1.40
Fourth Quarter	\$ 1.58	\$ 0.92

Holders of Common Stock.

On March 29, 2019, we had 99 registered holders of record of our common stock.

Dividends and dividend policy.

We have never declared or paid any dividends on our common stock. We do not anticipate paying dividends on our common stock at the present time or in the foreseeable future. We currently intend to retain earnings, if any, for use in our business.

Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans.

The following table reflects information for equity compensation plans and arrangements for any and all directors, officers, employees and/or consultants through December 31, 2018.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans excluding securities included in column (a)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	1,718,500	\$ 1.88	81,500
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	0	0	0
Total	1,718,500	\$ 1.88	81,500

In March 2016, the Company’s Board of Directors adopted the 2016 Equity Incentive Plan (the “2016 Plan”) and in June 2016, the shareholders adopted the same. The maximum number of shares of common stock that may be issued under the 2016 Plan is 1,800,000. The 2016 Plan is currently administered by the Company’s Compensation Committee. The 2016 Plan authorizes grants of stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock awards to officers, employees, directors of the Company as well as consultants who are selected by the Compensation Committee to receive an award. No option shall be exercisable more than 10 years after the date of grant. No option granted under the 2016 Plan is transferable by the individual or entity to whom it was granted otherwise than by will or laws of descent and distribution, and, during the lifetime of such individual, is not exercisable by any other person, but only by the recipient.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities.

None.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information under this item, pursuant to Regulation S-K Item 301(c).

Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

You should read the following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations together with our consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K. This discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. The actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including, but not limited to, those set forth under “Risk Factors” and elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K.

Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data

Overview

Sunworks provides photovoltaic (“PV”) based power systems for the agricultural, commercial, industrial, public works, and residential markets in California, Massachusetts, Nevada, Oregon, New Jersey and Washington. We have direct sales and/or operations personnel in California, Massachusetts, Nevada, and Oregon. Through our operating subsidiaries, we design, arrange financing, integrate, install, and manage systems ranging in size from 2kW (kilowatt) for residential loads to multi MW (megawatt) systems for larger agricultural, commercial and industrial (“ACI”) and public works projects. ACI installations have included installations at office buildings, manufacturing plants, warehouses, churches, and agricultural facilities such as farms, wineries, and dairies. Public works installations have included school districts, local municipalities, federal facilities and higher education institutions. The Company provides a full range of installation services to our solar energy customers including design, system engineering, procurement, permitting, construction, grid connection, warranty, system monitoring and maintenance.

We currently operate in one segment based upon our organizational structure and the way in which our operations are managed and evaluated. Approximately 72% of our 2018 revenue was from sales to the ACI and public works markets and approximately 28% of our revenue was from sales to the residential market. Approximately 68% of our 2017 revenue was from sales to the ACI and public works markets and approximately 32% of our revenue was from sales to the residential market.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to impairment of property, plant and equipment, intangible assets, deferred tax assets, costs to complete projects, and fair value computation using the Black Scholes option pricing model. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions, such as the trading value of our common stock and estimated future undiscounted cash flows, that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions; however, we believe that our estimates, including those for the above-described items, are reasonable.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates include estimates used to review the Company’s goodwill, impairments and estimations of long-lived assets, revenue recognition on percentage of completion type contracts, allowances for uncollectible accounts, warranty reserves, inventory valuation, valuations of non-cash capital stock issuances and the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable in the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues and related costs on construction contracts are recognized as the performance obligations are satisfied over time in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. Under ASC 606, revenue and associated profit, will be recognized as the customer obtains control of the goods and services promised in the contract (i.e., performance obligations). The cost of uninstalled materials or equipment will generally be excluded from our recognition of profit, unless specifically produced or manufactured for a project, because such costs are not considered to be a measure of progress. All un-allocable indirect costs and corporate general and administrative costs are charged to the periods as incurred. However, in the event a loss on a contract is foreseen, the Company will recognize the loss as it is determined.

Revisions in cost and profit estimates, during the course of the contract, are reflected in the accounting period in which the facts, which require the revision, become known. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are made in the period in which such losses are determined. Changes in job performance, job conditions, and estimated profitability, including those arising from contract penalty provisions, and final contract settlements may result in revisions to costs and income and are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined.

Contract assets represent revenues recognized in excess of amounts invoiced to customers on contracts in progress. Contract liabilities represent amounts invoiced to customers in excess of revenues recognized on contracts in progress.

Indefinite Lived Intangibles and Goodwill Assets

The Company accounts for business combinations under the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with ASC 805, "Business Combinations," where the total purchase price is allocated to the tangible and identified intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values. The purchase price is allocated using the information currently available, and may be adjusted, up to one year from acquisition date, after obtaining more information regarding, among other things, asset valuations, liabilities assumed and revisions to preliminary estimates. The purchase price in excess of the fair value of the tangible and identified intangible assets acquired less liabilities assumed is recognized as goodwill.

The Company tests for indefinite lived intangibles and goodwill impairment in the fourth quarter of each year and whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair value and may not be recoverable. In accordance with its policies, the Company performed a quantitative assessment of indefinite lived intangibles and goodwill at December 31, 2018 and 2017. At December 31, 2018, the Company determined that the carrying amount of goodwill exceeded its fair value and, as a result, recorded an impairment of \$1,900. At December 31, 2017 the Company determined no impairment was necessary.

Business Combinations

We allocate the fair value of purchase consideration to the tangible assets acquired, liabilities assumed and intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair values. The excess of the fair value of purchase consideration over the fair values of these identifiable assets and liabilities is recorded as goodwill. Such valuations require management to make significant estimates and assumptions, especially with respect to intangible assets. Significant estimates in valuing certain intangible assets include, but are not limited to, future expected cash flows from acquired customer lists, acquired technology, and trade names from a market participant perspective, useful lives and discount rates. Management's estimates of fair value are based upon assumptions believed to be reasonable, but which are inherently uncertain and unpredictable and, as a result, actual results may differ from estimates. During the measurement period, which is one year from the acquisition date, we may record adjustments to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, with the corresponding offset to goodwill. Upon the conclusion of the measurement period, any subsequent adjustments are recorded to earnings.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company periodically issues stock options to employees and directors. The Company accounts for stock option grants issued and vesting to employees based on the authoritative guidance provided by the Financial Accounting Standards Board whereas the value of the award is measured on the date of grant and recognized over the vesting period.

The Company accounts for stock grants issued to non-employees in accordance with the authoritative guidance of the Financial Accounting Standards Board whereas the value of the stock compensation is based upon the measurement date as determined at either a) the date at which a performance commitment is reached, or b) at the date at which the necessary performance to earn the equity instruments is complete. Non-employee stock-based compensation charges generally are amortized over the vesting period on a straight-line basis. In certain circumstances where there are no future performance requirements by the non-employee, option grants are immediately vested and the total stock-based compensation charge is recorded in the period of the measurement date.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivables are recorded on contracts for amounts currently due based upon progress billings, as well as retention, which are collectible upon completion of the contracts. Accounts payable to material suppliers and subcontractors are recorded for amounts currently due based upon work completed or materials received, as are retention due subcontractors, which are payable upon completion of the contract. General and administrative expenses are charged to operations as incurred and are not allocated to contract costs. Retention receivable is the amount withheld by a customer until a contract is completed. Retention receivables of \$1,234 and \$958 were included in the balance of trade accounts receivable as of December 31, 2018, and 2017, respectively.

The Company performs ongoing credit evaluation of its customers. Management monitors outstanding receivables based on factors surrounding the credit risk of specific customers, historical trends, age of receivables and other information, and records bad debts using the allowance method. Accounts receivable are presented net of an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$325 at December 31, 2018, and \$320 at December 31, 2017. During calendar year 2018, \$91 was recorded as bad debt expense compared to \$281 in 2017.

Inventory

Inventory is valued at a weighted average cost method. Inventory primarily consists of panels, inverters, and mounting racks and other materials. The company also carries a reserve for inventory obsolescence that may arise from technological advancement or changes in government regulation. Inventory is presented net of an allowance of \$50 at December 31, 2018, and \$50 at December 31, 2017.

Warranty Liability

The Company establishes warranty liability reserves to provide for estimated future expenses as a result of installation and product defects, product recalls and litigation incidental to the Company's business. Liability estimates are determined based on management's judgment, considering such factors as historical experience, the likely current cost of corrective action, manufacturers' and subcontractors' participation in sharing the cost of corrective action, and consultations with third party experts such as engineers. Solar panel manufacturers currently provide substantial warranties between ten to twenty-five years with full reimbursement to replace and install replacement panels while inverter manufacturers currently provide warranties covering ten to fifteen year replacement and installation. Warranty liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 were \$321 and \$246, respectively.

Income Taxes

The Company uses the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to financial statements carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carry-forwards. The measurement of deferred tax assets and liabilities is based on provisions of applicable tax law. The measurement of deferred tax assets is reduced, if necessary, by a valuation allowance based on the amount of tax benefits that, based on available evidence, is not expected to be realized.

Results of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

REVENUE AND COST OF REVENUES

For the year ended December 31, 2018, revenue declined 8.4% to \$70,965 compared to \$77,448 for the year ended December 31, 2017. Cost of goods sold for year ended December 31, 2018 was \$58,701 or 82.7% of revenues, compared to \$63,785 or 82.4% of revenues for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Approximately 72% of our 2018 revenue was from installations for the ACI and public works markets and approximately 28% was from residential system installations. Larger ACI projects take longer to sell, design, engineer, permit and construct than residential projects and it varies by city, state and county. Some current projects may take more than a year to complete from the time that the sales agreement is signed and revenue is fully recognized with the installation and receipt of final inspection documents.

Gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2018 was \$12,264 or 17.3% of revenues compared to \$13,663 or 17.6% of revenues for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Gross margin in 2018 was consistent with the prior year and includes lower margins from the construction of projects sold in 2016 and the first half of 2017. We began 2018 with an ACI backlog that included projects with lower margins than projects sold in the current year. Additionally, gross margin in 2018 was impacted by a one-time \$2.3 million sale of materials to a customer that was done at zero margin.

Competitive pricing pressures and operational inefficiencies caused by lower job volume, drove lower than historical margins on projects in the residential market. Additionally, we were still transitioning to a dealer model for residential markets in 2017. Dealer commissions are recorded as a cost of goods sold and apply downward pressure to gross margin. Residential dealer commissions were 18% of revenue for 2018 and 14% of revenue for 2017.

SELLING AND MARKETING EXPENSES

Selling and marketing ("S&M") expenses for the year ended December 31, 2018 were \$3,824 compared to \$6,462 for the year ended December 31, 2017. The 40.8% decline in S&M expenses was primarily due to decreases in employee headcount and related costs, commissions, media advertising expenses, and facility costs compared to the prior year. As a percentage of revenues S&M expenses decreased to 5.4% of revenues in 2018 compared to 8.3% in 2017. The disciplined and effective use of our salesforce and commission structures has been an emphasis for 2018 resulting in a decrease of approximately \$1,591 compared to the prior year. Additionally, we continue to focus on the efficient use of media advertising resulting in a decrease of approximately \$594 in 2018 compared to the prior year. We continue to refine our specially-designed marketing efforts, third-party revenue generators, and sales approach to attract new customers more cost effectively than in prior year periods.

GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

General and administrative (“G&A”) expenses for the year ended December 31, 2018 were \$10,001 compared to \$11,946 for the year ended December 31, 2017. As a percentage of revenue, G&A expenses decreased to 14.1% of revenues during the 2018 compared to 15.4% in 2017. In total dollars, G&A expense declined primarily due to a bonus expense of approximately \$1,159 in 2017 compared to a reversal of a prior-year bonus accrual of \$497 in 2018.

Operating expenses, excluding stock-based compensation, are expected to be stable or slightly increased going forward as we invest in resources necessary to support future growth. We will also continue to look for opportunities to streamline our operations and decrease our cost structure. To date, we have made cuts in our discretionary spending, reduced the size of our board of directors and streamlined our management team. Reducing our overhead burden, without compromising the ability to operate effectively has been, and continues to be, an emphasis.

GOODWILL IMPAIRMENT

Goodwill impairment recorded for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 was \$1,900 and \$0, respectively. The Company retained a valuation consultant who performed a quantitative assessment of indefinite lived intangibles and goodwill at December 31, 2018 and 2017. At December 31, 2018, the Company determined that the carrying amount of goodwill exceeded its fair value and, as a result, recorded an impairment of \$1,900. At December 31, 2017 the Company determined no impairment was necessary.

STOCK BASED COMPENSATION EXPENSES

During year ended December 31, 2018, we incurred approximately \$1,313 in non-cash stock compensation costs associated with Restricted Stock Grant Agreements and stock options compared to \$1,159 during the year ended December 31, 2017.

Approximately \$503 of stock-based compensation is for the August 31, 2016 grant of 250,000 restricted shares to our former Chairman at the per share value at the date of grant of \$2.90. This grant was being expensed on a straight-line basis over 52 months but was accelerated and vested in full upon his retirement in April 2018. Stock-based compensation expense for this grant for 2017 was \$167.

Approximately \$179 of stock-based compensation is for the September 23, 2013 grant of 384,615 restricted shares to our former Chairman at the per share value at the date of grant of \$0.47. This grant was fully vested and issued in conjunction with his retirement in April 2018. There was no expense from this grant in 2017.

For the year ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, stock-based compensation of \$250 and \$188, respectively, is for the March 2017 grant of 500,000 restricted shares to our CEO at the per share value at the date of grant of \$1.50. This grant is being expensed on a straight-line basis over 36 months.

Stock-based compensation, excluding restricted stock grant agreements, related to employee and director options totaled \$381 and \$804 for the year ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION

Depreciation and Amortization expenses for the year ended December 31, 2018 were \$384 compared to \$410 for the year ended December 31, 2017. Depreciation and Amortization expenses decreased primarily due to the sale of underutilized equipment in late 2017.

OTHER INCOME/(EXPENSES)

Other income/(expenses) decreased for the year ended December 31, 2018 to (\$582) compared to (\$908) for the year ended December 31, 2017. Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2018 decreased to \$544 from \$924 for year ended December 31, 2017. Approximately \$473 of the interest expense for 2018 was from the Loan Agreement entered into in April 2018. Prior year interest was primarily the result of the non-cash debt discount amortization treated as interest for the convertible promissory note. The debt discount was fully amortized in the fourth quarter of 2017.

NET LOSS

The Company had a consolidated net loss of \$5,740 for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to a net loss of \$7,222 for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We had \$3,628 in cash at December 31, 2018, as compared to \$6,356 at December 31, 2017. We believe that the aggregate of our existing cash and cash equivalents, in addition to funds generated in operations, will be sufficient to meet our operating cash requirements for at least the next 12 months. Estimates about the adequacy of funding for our activities are based upon certain assumptions. There can be no assurance that changes in our business will not result in accelerated or unexpected expenditures. To satisfy our capital requirements, including ongoing future operations, we may seek to raise additional financing through debt and equity financings.

As of December 31, 2018, our working capital surplus was \$3,791 compared to a working capital surplus of \$4,364 at December 31, 2017.

The Loan Agreement for the Promissory Notes Payable contains a subjective acceleration clause based on the lender determining, in the exercise of its reasonable discretion, that a "material adverse effect" in our business has occurred. If this clause is applied, and the lender declares that an Event of Default has occurred, the outstanding indebtedness would likely become immediately due. We believe that the likelihood of the lender exercising this right is remote.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, we had \$5,752 of cash used in operating activities compared to \$3,653 used in operating activities for the prior year ended December 31, 2017. The cash used in operating activities was primarily the result of the current year net loss combined with changes in working capital accounts

Net cash used in investing activities was \$3 in the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to \$50 provided by investing activities in the year ended December 31, 2017. The cash used in investing activities was for the purchase of capital equipment, partially offset by proceeds from the sale of assets.

Net cash provided by financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2018 was \$2,999. This is due to funds received as part of the Loan Agreement entered into in April 2018, partially offset by principal payments for equipment notes and capital leases. Cash used by financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2017 was \$672. The cash was primarily used to pay principal payments on the acquisition convertible promissory notes and existing vehicle and equipment debt.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, revenues, results of operations, liquidity, or capital expenditures.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

SUNWORKS, INC.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018 AND 2017

CONTENTS

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2018 and 2017	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017	F-5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-6

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of
Sunworks, Inc.

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Sunworks, Inc., (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders’ equity, and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the “financial statements”). In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (“PCAOB”) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. As part of our audits we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB.

Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ LIGGETT & WEBB, P.A.

We have served as the Company’s auditor since 2014.

New York, NY
March 29, 2019

SUNWORKS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2018 AND 2017
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

Assets	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,628	\$ 6,356
Restricted cash	447	475
Accounts receivable, net	8,201	11,330
Inventory, net	3,233	4,450
Contract assets	6,153	3,790
Other current assets	150	2,081
Total Current Assets	21,812	28,482
Property and Equipment, net	852	1,233
Other Assets		
Other deposits	68	68
Goodwill	9,464	11,364
Total Other Assets	9,532	11,432
Total Assets	\$ 32,196	\$ 41,147
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 11,858	\$ 13,090
Contract liabilities	5,069	7,288
Customer deposits	58	2,905
Loan payable, current portion	179	229
Convertible promissory notes, current portion	100	-
Acquisition promissory note, current portion	757	606
Total Current Liabilities	18,021	24,118
Long Term Liabilities		
Loan payable	88	267
Promissory note payable, net	3,669	-
Convertible promissory notes	-	149
Acquisition promissory note	101	707
Warranty liability	321	246
Total Long Term Liabilities	4,179	1,369
Total Liabilities	22,200	25,487
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 13)		
Shareholders' Equity		
Preferred stock Series B, \$.001 par value; 5,000,000 authorized shares; 0 and 1,506,024 issued and outstanding, respectively	-	2
Common stock, \$.001 par value; 200,000,000 authorized shares; 26,110,768 and 23,150,930 issued and outstanding, respectively	26	23
Additional paid in capital	73,480	72,000
Accumulated deficit	(63,510)	(56,365)
Total Shareholders' Equity	9,996	15,660
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$ 32,196	\$ 41,147

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

SUNWORKS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018 AND 2017
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>
Revenue	\$ 70,965	\$ 77,448
Cost of Goods Sold	<u>58,701</u>	<u>63,785</u>
Gross Profit	<u>12,264</u>	<u>13,663</u>
Operating Expenses		
Selling and marketing expenses	3,824	6,462
General and administrative expenses	10,001	11,946
Goodwill impairment	1,900	-
Stock-based compensation	1,313	1,159
Depreciation and amortization	<u>384</u>	<u>410</u>
Total Operating Expenses	<u>17,422</u>	<u>19,977</u>
Loss before Other Income/(Expenses)	<u>(5,158)</u>	<u>(6,314)</u>
Other Income/(Expenses)		
Other income (expense)	(38)	16
Interest expense	<u>(544)</u>	<u>(924)</u>
Total Other Income/(Expenses)	<u>(582)</u>	<u>(908)</u>
Loss before Income Taxes	(5,740)	(7,222)
Income Tax Expense	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Net Loss	<u>\$ (5,740)</u>	<u>\$ (7,222)</u>
LOSS PER SHARE:		
Basic	<u>\$ (0.23)</u>	<u>\$ (0.32)</u>
Diluted	<u>\$ (0.23)</u>	<u>\$ (0.32)</u>
WEIGHTED-AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING		
Basic	<u>24,946,421</u>	<u>22,224,632</u>
Diluted	<u>24,946,421</u>	<u>22,224,632</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

SUNWORKS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018 AND 2017
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Series B Preferred stock		Common stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount			
Balance at December 31, 2016	1,506,024	\$ 2	20,853,921	\$ 21	\$ 70,317	\$ (49,143)	\$ 21,197
Issuance of common stock for conversion of promissory notes, plus accrued interest	-	-	1,494,083	2	503	-	505
Issuance of common stock for cashless exercise of options	-	-	41,773	-	-	-	-
Issuance of common stock under terms of restricted stock grants	-	-	746,153	-	-	-	-
Issuance of common stock for services	-	-	15,000	-	21	-	21
Stock-based compensation	-	-	-	-	1,159	-	1,159
Net loss for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017	-	-	-	-	-	(7,222)	(7,222)
Balance at December 31, 2017	1,506,024	\$ 2	23,150,930	\$ 23	\$ 72,000	\$ (56,365)	\$ 15,660
Adoption of ASC 606 (Note 3)	-	-	-	-	-	(1,405)	(1,405)
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock	(1,506,024)	(2)	1,506,024	2	-	-	-
Issuance of common stock for conversion of promissory notes, plus accrued interest	-	-	349,112	-	118	-	118
Issuance of common stock for exercise of options	-	-	192,308	-	50	-	50
Issuance of common stock under terms of restricted stock grants	-	-	912,394	1	(1)	-	-
Stock-based compensation	-	-	-	-	1,313	-	1,313
Net loss for the twelve months ended December 31, 2018	-	-	-	-	-	(5,740)	(5,740)
Balance at December 31, 2018	-	\$ -	26,110,768	\$ 26	\$ 73,480	\$ (63,510)	\$ 9,996

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

SUNWORKS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018 AND 2017
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net loss	\$ (5,740)	\$ (7,222)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities		
Depreciation and amortization	384	410
Stock-based compensation	1,313	1,159
Goodwill impairment	1,900	-
(Gain) on sale of equipment	-	(18)
Stock issued for services	-	21
Amortization of beneficial conversion feature	-	807
Amortization of debt issuance costs	36	-
Bad debt expense	91	281
Inventory allowance	-	50
Changes in Assets and Liabilities:		
(Increase) Decrease in:		
Accounts receivable	3,038	(1,946)
Inventory	1,217	(1,106)
Deposits and other current assets	1,931	(1,979)
Contract assets	(2,947)	517
Increase (Decrease) in:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(1,163)	112
Contract liabilities	(3,040)	2,290
Customer deposits	(2,847)	2,841
Warranty and other liabilities	75	130
NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES	<u>(5,752)</u>	<u>(3,653)</u>
NET CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchase of property and equipment	(9)	(68)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	6	118
NET CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES	<u>(3)</u>	<u>50</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Loans and notes payable repayments	(683)	(672)
Proceeds from issuance of note payable, net	3,632	-
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	50	-
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES	<u>2,999</u>	<u>(672)</u>
NET (DECREASE) IN CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND RESTRICTED CASH	<u>(2,756)</u>	<u>(4,275)</u>
CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND RESTRICTED CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR	<u>6,831</u>	<u>11,106</u>
CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND RESTRICTED CASH, END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 4,075</u>	<u>\$ 6,831</u>
CASH PAID FOR:		
Interest	\$ 374	\$ 97
Taxes	\$ -	\$ -
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NON-CASH TRANSACTIONS		
Issuance of common stock upon conversion of debt	<u>\$ 118</u>	<u>\$ 505</u>
Issuance of common stock upon conversion of preferred stock	<u>\$ 2</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

SUNWORKS, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2018 and 2017
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

1. ORGANIZATION AND LINE OF BUSINESS

Organization and Line of Business

Sunworks, Inc. (the “Company”) was originally incorporated in Delaware on January 30, 2002 as MachineTalker, Inc. In July 2010, we changed our company name to Solar3D, Inc. On January 31, 2014, we acquired 100% of the stock of Solar United Network, Inc., a California corporation. On March 2, 2015, we acquired MD Energy. On December 1, 2015, we acquired Plan B through a merger of Plan B Enterprises, Inc. into our wholly owned subsidiary, Elite Solar Acquisition Sub., Inc. On March 1, 2016 we changed our name to Sunworks, Inc. with simultaneous NASDAQ stock symbol change from SLTD to SUNW.

We provide photovoltaic (“PV”) based power systems for the agricultural, commercial, industrial (“ACI”), public works, and residential markets in California, Massachusetts, Nevada, Oregon, New Jersey and Washington. We have direct sales and/or operations personnel in California, Massachusetts, Nevada, and Oregon. Through our operating subsidiaries, we design, arrange financing, integrate, install, and manage systems ranging in size from 2kW (kilowatt) for residential loads to multi MW (megawatt) systems for larger ACI and public works projects. Commercial installations have included installations at office buildings, manufacturing plants, warehouses, churches, and agricultural facilities such as farms, wineries, and dairies. Public works installations have included school districts, local municipalities, federal facilities and higher education institutions. The Company provides a full range of installation services to our solar energy customers including design, system engineering, procurement, permitting, construction, grid connection, warranty, system monitoring and maintenance.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

This summary of significant accounting policies of Sunworks, Inc. is presented to assist in understanding the Company’s financial statements. The financial statements and notes are representations of the Company’s management, which is responsible for their integrity and objectivity. These accounting policies conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and have been consistently applied in the preparation of the financial statements.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Sunworks, Inc., and its wholly owned operating subsidiaries, Sunworks United, Inc. (“Sunworks United”), MD Energy, Inc. (“MD Energy”), and Elite Solar Acquisition Sub, Inc. (“Elite Solar”). All material intercompany transactions have been eliminated upon consolidation of these entities.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates include estimates used to review the Company’s goodwill, impairments and estimations of long-lived assets, revenue recognition on percentage of completion type contracts, allowances for uncollectible accounts, warranty reserves, inventory valuation, valuations of non-cash capital stock issuances and the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable in the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues and related costs on construction contracts are recognized as the performance obligations are satisfied over time in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. Under ASC 606, revenue and associated profit, will be recognized as the customer obtains control of the goods and services promised in the contract (i.e., performance obligations). The cost of uninstalled materials or equipment will generally be excluded from our recognition of profit, unless specifically produced or manufactured for a project, because such costs are not considered to be a measure of progress. All un-allocable indirect costs and corporate general and administrative costs are charged to the periods as incurred. However, in the event a loss on a contract is foreseen, the Company will recognize the loss as it is determined.

Revisions in cost and profit estimates, during the course of the contract, are reflected in the accounting period in which the facts, which require the revision, become known. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are made in the period in which such losses are determined. Changes in job performance, job conditions, and estimated profitability, including those arising from contract penalty provisions, and final contract settlements may result in revisions to costs and income and are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined.

Contract assets represent revenues recognized in excess of amounts invoiced to customers on contracts in progress. Contract liabilities represent amounts invoiced to customers in excess of revenues recognized on contracts in progress.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivables are recorded on contracts for amounts currently due based upon progress billings, as well as retention, which are collectible upon completion of the contracts. Accounts payable to material suppliers and subcontractors are recorded for amounts currently due based upon work completed or materials received, as are retention due subcontractors, which are payable upon completion of the contract. General and administrative expenses are charged to operations as incurred and are not allocated to contract costs. Retention receivable is the amount withheld by a customer until a contract is completed. Retention receivables of \$1,234 and \$958 were included in the balance of trade accounts receivable as of December 31, 2018, and 2017, respectively.

The Company performs ongoing credit evaluation of its customers. Management monitors outstanding receivables based on factors surrounding the credit risk of specific customers, historical trends, age of receivables and other information, and records bad debts using the allowance method. Accounts receivable are presented net of an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$325 at December 31, 2018, and \$320 at December 31, 2017. During calendar year 2018, \$91 was recorded as bad debt expense compared to \$281 in 2017.

Customer Deposits

Customer deposits are recorded for funds remitted by our customers in advance of progress billings being completed.

Cash and Cash Equivalent

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Restricted Cash

The Company considers restricted cash to be cash balances that have legal and/or contractual restrictions imposed by a third party and are restricted as to withdrawal or use except for the specified purpose.

Concentration Risk

Cash includes amounts deposited in financial institutions in excess of insurable Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) limits. At times throughout the year, the Company may maintain cash balances in certain bank accounts in excess of FDIC limits. As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the cash balance in excess of the FDIC limits was \$3,413 and \$6,133, respectively. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts and believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risk in these accounts.

Inventory

Inventory is valued at a weighted average cost method. Inventory primarily consists of panels, inverters, and mounting racks and other materials. The company also carries a reserve for inventory obsolescence that may arise from technological advancement or changes in government regulation. Inventory is presented net of an allowance of \$50 at December 31, 2018, and \$50 at December 31, 2017.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation for property and equipment commences when it is put into service and are depreciated using the straight-line method over its estimated useful lives:

Machinery & equipment	3-7 Years
Furniture & fixtures	5-7 Years
Computer equipment	3-5 Years
Vehicles	5-7 Years
Leasehold improvements	3-5 Years

Depreciation expense as of December 31, 2018 and 2017 was \$384 and \$410, respectively.

Warranty Liability

The Company establishes warranty liability reserves to provide for estimated future expenses as a result of installation and product defects, product recalls and litigation incidental to the Company's business. Liability estimates are determined based on management's judgment, considering such factors as historical experience, the likely current cost of corrective action, manufacturers' and subcontractors' participation in sharing the cost of corrective action, and consultations with third party experts such as engineers. Solar panel manufacturers currently provide substantial warranties between ten to twenty-five years with full reimbursement to replace and install replacement panels while inverter manufacturers currently provide warranties covering ten to fifteen-year replacement and installation. The warranty liability for estimated future warranty costs at December 31, 2018 and 2017 is \$321 and \$246, respectively.

Advertising and Marketing

The Company expenses advertising and marketing costs as incurred. Advertising and marketing costs include printed material, billboards, sponsorships, direct mail, radio, telemarketing, tradeshow costs, magazine, and catalog advertisement. Advertising and marketing costs for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 were \$237 and \$832, respectively.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company periodically issues stock options to employees and directors. The Company accounts for stock option grants issued and vesting to employees based on the authoritative guidance provided by the Financial Accounting Standards Board whereas the value of the award is measured on the date of grant and recognized over the vesting period.

The Company accounts for stock grants issued to non-employees in accordance with the authoritative guidance of the Financial Accounting Standards Board whereas the value of the stock compensation is based upon the measurement date as determined at either a) the date at which a performance commitment is reached, or b) at the date at which the necessary performance to earn the equity instruments is complete. Non-employee stock-based compensation charges generally are amortized over the vesting period on a straight-line basis. In certain circumstances where there are no future performance requirements by the non-employee, option grants are immediately vested and the total stock-based compensation charge is recorded in the period of the measurement date.

Basic and Diluted Net Income (Loss) per Share Calculations

Income (Loss) per Share dictates the calculation of basic earnings per share and diluted earnings per share. Basic earnings per share are computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares available. Diluted earnings per share is computed similar to basic earnings per share except that the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if the potential common shares had been issued and if the additional common shares were dilutive. The shares for employee options, restricted stock, warrants and convertible notes were not used in the calculation of the net loss per share.

A net loss causes all outstanding common stock options, warrants, convertible preferred stock and convertible notes to be anti-dilutive. As a result, the basic and diluted losses per common share are the same for the year ended December 31, 2018 and 2017.

As of December 31, 2018, the potentially dilutive securities were excluded from the computations of weighted average shares outstanding including 1,568,885 stock options, 222,221 restricted stock grants, 2,997,000 warrants, and shares underlying convertible notes.

As of December 31, 2017, the potentially dilutive securities were excluded from the computations of weighted average shares outstanding including 1,875,155 stock options, 1,134,615 restricted stock grants, 2,997,000 warrants, shares underlying convertible notes, and preferred stock.

Dilutive per share amounts are computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding and potentially dilutive securities, using the treasury stock method if their effect would be dilutive.

Long-Lived Assets

The Company reviews its property and equipment and any identifiable intangibles for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. The test for impairment is required to be performed by management at least annually. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to the future undiscounted operating cash flow expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. Long-lived assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell.

Indefinite Lived Intangibles and Goodwill Assets

The Company accounts for business combinations under the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with ASC 805, "Business Combinations," where the total purchase price is allocated to the tangible and identified intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values. The purchase price is allocated using the information currently available, and may be adjusted, up to one year from acquisition date, after obtaining more information regarding, among other things, asset valuations, liabilities assumed and revisions to preliminary estimates. The purchase price in excess of the fair value of the tangible and identified intangible assets acquired less liabilities assumed is recognized as goodwill.

The Company tests for indefinite lived intangibles and goodwill impairment in the fourth quarter of each year and whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair value and may not be recoverable. In accordance with its policies, the Company performed a quantitative assessment of indefinite lived intangibles and goodwill at December 31, 2018 and 2017. At December 31, 2018, the Company determined that the carrying amount of goodwill exceeded its fair value and, as a result, recorded an impairment of \$1,900. At December 31, 2017 the Company determined no impairment was necessary.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Disclosures about fair value of financial instruments, requires disclosure of the fair value information, whether or not recognized in the balance sheet, where it is practicable to estimate that value. As of December 31, 2018, the amounts reported for cash, accrued interest and other expenses, and notes payable approximate the fair value because of their short maturities.

We account for financial instruments measured as fair value on a recurring basis under ASC Topic 820. ASC Topic 820 defines fair value, established a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and expands disclosures about fair value measurements.

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. ASC Topic 820 established a three-tier fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (level 3 measurements). These tiers include:

- Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets;
- Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable such as quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets or quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and
- Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions, such as valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are unobservable.

Business Combinations

We allocate the fair value of purchase consideration to the tangible assets acquired, liabilities assumed and intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair values. The excess of the fair value of purchase consideration over the fair values of these identifiable assets and liabilities is recorded as goodwill. Such valuations require management to make significant estimates and assumptions, especially with respect to intangible assets. Significant estimates in valuing certain intangible assets include, but are not limited to, future expected cash flows from acquired customer lists, acquired technology, and trade names from a market participant perspective, useful lives and discount rates. Management's estimates of fair value are based upon assumptions believed to be reasonable, but which are inherently uncertain and unpredictable and, as a result, actual results may differ from estimates. During the measurement period, which is one year from the acquisition date, we may record adjustments to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, with the corresponding offset to goodwill. Upon the conclusion of the measurement period, any subsequent adjustments are recorded to earnings.

Income Taxes

The Company uses the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to financial statements carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carry-forwards. The measurement of deferred tax assets and liabilities is based on provisions of applicable tax law. The measurement of deferred tax assets is reduced, if necessary, by a valuation allowance based on the amount of tax benefits that, based on available evidence, is not expected to be realized.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year's financial statement to conform to classifications used in the current year.

Segment Reporting

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available and evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision-making group, in deciding the method to allocate resources and assess performance. The Company currently has one reportable segment for financial reporting purposes, which represents the Company's core business.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842): Accounting for Leases. This update requires that lessees recognize right-of-use assets and lease liabilities that are measured at the present value of the future lease payments at lease commencement date. The recognition, measurement, and presentation of expenses and cash flows arising from a lease by a lessee will largely remain unchanged and shall continue to depend on its classification as a finance or operating lease. We have performed a comprehensive review in order to determine what changes were required to support the adoption of this new standard. We will adopt the ASU and related amendments on January 1, 2019 and expect to elect certain practical expedients permitted under the transition guidance. We will elect the optional transition method that allows for a cumulative-effect adjustment in the period of adoption and will not restate prior periods. Under the new guidance, the majority of our leases will continue to be classified as operating. During the first quarter of 2019, we will complete our implementation of our processes and policies to support the new lease accounting and reporting requirements. Based on our lease portfolio as of January 1, 2019, we preliminarily estimate the impact of adoption ASU 2016-02 to increase both our total assets and total liabilities in the range of \$2.0 million to \$2.3 million. The adoption of this ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on our Consolidated Statements of Operations or Cash Flows. We continue to finalize the implementation of the new processes and the assessment of the impact of this adoption on our consolidated financial statements; therefore, the preliminary estimated impacts disclosed can change, and the final impact will be known once the adoption is completed during the first quarter of 2019.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-04, Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment, which simplifies the subsequent measurement of goodwill by eliminating Step 2 from the goodwill impairment test. In computing the implied fair value of goodwill under Step 2, current U.S. GAAP requires the performance of procedures to determine the fair value at the impairment testing date of assets and liabilities (including unrecognized assets and liabilities) following the procedure that would be required in determining the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination. Instead, the amendments under this ASU require the goodwill impairment test to be performed by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount. An impairment charge should be recognized for the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the reporting unit's fair value; however, the loss recognized should not exceed the total amount of goodwill allocated to that reporting unit. The ASU becomes effective for the Company on January 1, 2020. The amendments in this ASU should be applied on a prospective basis. Early adoption is permitted for interim or annual goodwill impairment tests performed. We are currently evaluating the impact ASU No. 2017-04 will have on our consolidated financial statements and associated disclosures.

Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-18, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230) - Restricted Cash. ASU 2016-18 requires that the statement of cash flows explain the change during the period in the total of cash, cash equivalents, and amounts generally described as restricted cash or restricted cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the statement of cash flows. The ASU was effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017. We elected to adopt ASU 2016-18 retrospectively as of January 1, 2017 and have applied to all periods presented herein. The adoption of ASU 2016-18 did not have a material impact to our consolidated financial statements. The effect of the adoption of ASU 2016-18 on our consolidated statements of cash flows was to include restricted cash balances in the beginning and end of period balances of cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash. The change in restricted cash was previously disclosed in operating activities in the consolidated statements of cash flows.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (ASC 606), to clarify the principles of recognizing revenue and create common revenue recognition guidance between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. Under ASC 606, revenue is recognized when a customer obtains control of promised goods or services and is recognized at an amount that reflects the consideration expected to be received in exchange for such goods or services. In addition, ASC 606 requires disclosure of the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. The ASC was effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017. The Company has adopted ASC 606 beginning on January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective approach for contracts not substantially complete at that date by recognizing a cumulative adjustment to the opening balance of accumulated deficit. See Note 3 for additional disclosures in accordance with the new revenue recognition standard.

Management reviewed currently issued pronouncements during the year ended December 31, 2018, and believes that any other recently issued, but not yet effective, accounting standards, if currently adopted, would not have a material effect on the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

3. REVENUE FROM CONTRACTS WITH CUSTOMERS

Revenues and related costs on construction contracts are recognized as the performance obligations are satisfied over time in accordance with ASC 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. Under ASC 606, revenue and associated profit, will be recognized as the customer obtains control of the goods and services promised in the contract (i.e., performance obligations). The cost of uninstalled materials or equipment will generally be excluded from our recognition of profit, unless specifically produced or manufactured for a project, because such costs are not considered to be a measure of progress.

The following table represents a disaggregation of revenue by customer type from contracts with customers for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017:

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2018	2017 (1)
Agricultural, Commercial, and Industrial (ACI)	\$ 33,193	\$ 44,845
Public Works (2)	17,986	7,975
Residential	19,786	24,628
Total	70,965	77,448

- (1) Prior period has not been modified for ASC 606.
- (2) Public Works customers were not tracked separately until the second quarter of 2017.

In adopting ASC 606, we had the following significant changes in accounting principles:

(i) *Timing of revenue recognition for uninstalled materials* - We previously recognized the majority of our revenue from the installation or construction of commercial & public works projects using the percentage-of-completion method of accounting, whereby revenue is recognized as we progress on the contract. The percentage-of-completion for each project was determined on an actual cost-to-estimated final cost basis. Under ASC 606, revenue and associated profit, is recognized as the customer obtains control of the goods and services promised in the contract (i.e., performance obligations). The cost of uninstalled materials or equipment is generally excluded from our recognition of profit, unless specifically produced or manufactured for a project, because such costs are not considered to be a measure of progress.

(ii) *Completed contracts* - We previously recognized the majority of our revenue from the installation of residential projects using the completed contract method of accounting whereby revenue was recognized when the project is completed. Under ASC 606, revenue is recognized as the customer obtains control of the goods and services promised in the contract (i.e., performance obligations).

Revenue recognition for other sales arrangements such as the sales of materials will remain materially consistent with prior treatment.

The adoption of the new revenue recognition standard resulted in a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings of approximately \$1,405 as of January 1, 2018. The details of this adjustment are summarized below.

	Balance at December 31, 2017	Adjustments Due to ASC 606	Balance at January 1, 2018
Contract assets	\$ 3,790	\$ (584)	\$ 3,206
Contract liabilities	7,288	821	8,109
Accumulated deficit	(56,365)	(1,405)	(57,770)

The following tables summarize the impact of the adoption of ASC 606 on our condensed consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2018 and the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2018:

For the Year Ended December 31, 2018			
	As Reported	Without Adoption of ASC 606	Impact of Adoption of ASC 606
Revenue	\$ 70,965	\$ 68,845	\$ (2,120)
Cost of goods sold	58,701	57,471	(1,230)
Gross profit	<u>12,264</u>	<u>11,374</u>	<u>(890)</u>

December 31, 2018			
	As Reported	Without Adoption of ASC 606	Impact of Adoption of ASC 606
Contract assets	\$ 6,153	\$ 6,990	\$ 837
Contract liabilities	5,069	5,402	333

Contract assets represent revenues recognized in excess of amounts invoiced to customers on contracts in progress. Contract liabilities represent amounts invoiced to customers in excess of revenues recognized on contracts in progress. At December 31, 2018 and 2017, the contract asset balances were \$6,153 and \$3,790, and the contract liability balances were \$5,069 and \$7,288, respectively.

4. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET

Property and equipment is summarized as follows at December 31, 2018 and 2017:

	2018	2017
Leasehold improvements	\$ 446	\$ 446
Vehicles & trailers	236	243
Machinery & equipment	740	743
Office equipment & furniture	380	380
Computers and software	144	144
	<u>1,946</u>	<u>1,956</u>
Less accumulated depreciation	(1,094)	(723)
	<u>\$ 852</u>	<u>\$ 1,233</u>

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 was \$384 and \$410, respectively.

5. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities at December 31, 2018 and 2017 are as follows:

	2018	2017
Trade payables	\$ 9,488	\$ 10,637
Accrued payroll, vacation and payroll taxes	506	526
Accrued expenses, bonus and commissions	1,864	1,927
Total	<u>\$ 11,858</u>	<u>\$ 13,090</u>

6. LOANS PAYABLE

Elite Solar, a subsidiary of the Company, entered into a business loan agreement, prior to being acquired by the Company, with Tri Counties Bank dated March 14, 2014, in the original amount of \$131 bearing interest at 4.95%. The loan agreement called for monthly payments of \$2 and is scheduled to mature on March 14, 2019. Proceeds from the loan were used to purchase a pile driver and related equipment and is secured by the equipment. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2018, is \$7.

Elite Solar entered into a business loan agreement prior to being acquired by the Company, with Tri Counties Bank dated April 9, 2014, in the original amount of \$250 bearing interest at 4.95%. The loan agreement calls for monthly payments of \$5 and is scheduled to mature on April 9, 2019. Proceeds from the loan were used to purchase racking inventory and related equipment. The loan is secured by the inventory and equipment. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2018, is \$19.

On January 5, 2016, the Company entered into a loan agreement for the acquisition of a pile driver in the principal amount of \$182 bearing interest at 5.5%. The loan agreement calls for monthly payments of \$4 and is scheduled to mature on January 15, 2020. The loan is secured by the equipment. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2018, is \$53.

On September 8, 2016, the Company entered into a loan agreement for the acquisition of a pile driver in the principal amount of \$174 bearing interest at 5.5%. The loan agreement calls for monthly payments of \$4 and is scheduled to mature on September 15, 2020. The loan is secured by the equipment. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2018, is \$81.

On November 14, 2016, the Company entered into a 0% interest loan agreement for the acquisition of an excavator in the principal amount of \$59. The loan agreement calls for monthly payments of \$1 and is scheduled to mature on November 13, 2020. The loan is secured by the equipment. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2018, is \$27.

On December 23, 2016, the Company entered into a loan agreement for the acquisition of modular office systems and related furniture in the principal amount of \$172 bearing interest at 4.99%. The loan agreement calls for 16 quarterly payments of \$12 and is scheduled to mature in September 2020. The loan is secured by the equipment. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2018, is \$80.

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, loans payable are summarized as follows:

	2018	2017
Business loan agreement dated March 14, 2014	7	36
Business loan agreement dated April 9, 2014	19	73
Equipment notes payable	241	387
Subtotal	267	496
Less: Current position	(179)	(229)
Long-term position	<u>\$ 88</u>	<u>\$ 267</u>

7. ACQUISITION PROMISSORY NOTE

On February 28, 2015, the Company issued a 4% convertible promissory note in the aggregate principal amount of \$2,650 as part of the consideration paid to acquire 100% of the total outstanding stock of MD Energy. The note is convertible into shares of common stock on or after each of the following dates: November 30, 2015, November 30, 2016 and November 30, 2017. The conversion price is \$2.60 per share. A beneficial conversion feature of \$3,262 was calculated but capped at the \$2,650 value of the note. The beneficial conversion feature was calculated by multiplying the difference between the fair value of stock at the date of the note \$5.80 less the conversion price of \$2.60 multiplied by the maximum number of share subject to conversion, 1,019,231. In November 2015, the Company issued 339,743 shares of common stock upon conversion of the principal amount of \$883. Commencing on March 31, 2015, and each quarter thereafter during the first two (2) years of the note, the Company made quarterly interest only payments to the shareholder for accrued interest on the Note during the quarter. Commencing with the quarter ending on June 30, 2017, the Company began to make quarterly payments of interest accrued on the convertible note during the prior quarter plus \$151 with the final payment of all outstanding principal and accrued but unpaid interest on the convertible note due and payable on February 28, 2020 (the maturity date). The Company recorded amortization of the beneficial conversion feature as interest expense in the amount of \$0 and \$807 during the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The debt discount is fully amortized and has zero balance at December 31, 2018 and 2017. The Company recorded interest expense of \$43 and \$66 during the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The outstanding balances at December 31, 2018 and 2017 were \$858 and \$1,313, respectively.

We evaluated the foregoing financing transactions in accordance with ASC Topic 470, *Debt with Conversion and Other Options*, and determined that the conversion feature of the convertible promissory note was afforded the exemption for conventional convertible instruments due to its fixed conversion rate. The convertible promissory notes had explicit limits on the number of shares issuable, so they did meet the conditions set forth in current accounting standards for equity classification. The convertible promissory notes were issued with non-detachable conversion options that were beneficial to the investors at inception because the conversion option has an effective strike price that is less than the market price of the underlying stock at the commitment date. The accounting for the beneficial conversion feature required that the beneficial conversion feature be recognized by allocating the intrinsic value of the conversion option to additional paid-in-capital, resulting in a discount on the convertible notes, which was amortized and recognized as interest expense.

8. CONVERTIBLE PROMISSORY NOTES

Convertible promissory note at December 31, 2018 and 2017 are as follows:

	2018	2017
Convertible promissory notes	\$ 100	\$ 149
Less: debt discount	-	-
Convertible promissory notes, net	<u>\$ 100</u>	<u>\$ 149</u>

On January 31, 2014, the Company entered into a securities purchase agreement providing for the sale of a 10% convertible promissory note in the principal amount of up to \$750 for consideration of \$750. The proceeds were restricted and were used for the purchase of Solar United Network, Inc. The note was convertible into shares of common stock of the Company at a price equal to a variable conversion price equal to the lesser of \$1.30 per share, or fifty percent (50%) of the lowest trading price after the effective date. As of September 30, 2014, the note was exchanged for a new convertible note with a fixed conversion price of \$0.338. Per ASC 815, the derivative liability on the note was extinguished and the new note was re-valued per ASC 470 as a beneficial conversion feature, which was expensed in the statement of operations during 2014. The note originally matured on October 28, 2014, was extended three months to January 31, 2015, was extended to September 30, 2016, and in March 2016 was subsequently extended to June 30, 2019 with zero interest. During the year ended December 31, 2016, the noteholder made a partial conversion of principal and accrued interest in the amount of \$196 and \$45 respectively in exchange for 711,586 shares of common stock, with a remaining principal balance of \$554. During the year ended December 31, 2017, the noteholder made a partial conversion of principal in the amount of \$505 in exchange for 1,494,083 shares of common stock, with a remaining principal balance of \$49. During the year ended December 31, 2018, the noteholder made a partial conversion of principal in the amount of \$49 and accrued interest of \$69 in exchange for 349,112 shares of common stock, with a remaining principal balance of \$0.

On February 11, 2014, the Company entered into a securities purchase agreement providing for the sale of a 10% convertible promissory note in the principal amount of \$100. The note was convertible into shares of common stock of the Company at a price equal to a variable conversion price equal to the lesser of \$1.30 per share, or fifty percent (50%) of the lowest trading price after the effective date. As of September 30, 2014, the note was exchanged for a new convertible note with a fixed conversion price of \$0.338. Per ASC 815, the derivative liability on the note was extinguished and the new note was re-valued per ASC 470 as a beneficial conversion feature. The note matured on various dates from the effective date of each advance with respect to each advance. At the sole discretion of the lender, the lender was able to modify the maturity date to be twelve (12) months from the effective date of each advance. The note matured on various dates in 2014, and was extended to September 30, 2016, and in March 2016 was subsequently extended to June 30, 2019 with zero interest. The Company recorded no interest expense during the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017.

9. PROMISSORY NOTES PAYABLE

On April 27, 2018, the Company entered into a Loan Agreement (the "Loan Agreement") with CrowdOut Capital, Inc. pursuant to which the Company issued an aggregate of \$3,750 in promissory notes (the "Notes"), of which \$3,000 are Senior Notes and \$750 are Subordinated Notes. The Subordinated Notes were funded by the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Charles Cargile and the Company's Vice President of Business Development, Kirk Short.

The Notes bear interest at the rate of the one-month LIBOR plus 950 basis points and mature on June 30, 2020. The Notes may not be prepaid before the first anniversary of issuance and thereafter may be prepaid in whole without the consent of the lender or in part with the consent of the lender. In the event the Notes are prepaid in full prior to the maturity date, the Company shall pay the holder of the Senior Notes an exit fee of \$375 if prepaid prior to March 31, 2020 or \$435 if prepaid after March 31, 2020 but prior to the maturity date. The Company is accruing the exit fee of \$435 over life of the Loan Agreement and recognizing the fee as interest expense.

In connection with the issuance of the Senior Notes, the Company entered into a security agreement (the "Security Agreement") pursuant to which the Company granted to the holder of the Senior Notes a security interest in certain of the Company's assets to secure the prompt payment, performance and discharge in full of all of the Company's obligations under the Senior Notes. The Company also entered into a subordination agreement with the holders of the Subordinated Notes and the Senior Notes pursuant to which the Subordinated Notes are subordinated to the Senior Notes.

The Loan Agreement contains certain customary Events of Default including, but not limited to, default in payment of any sum payable thereunder, breaches of representations or warranties thereunder, the occurrence of an event of default under the transaction documents, change in control of the Company, filing of bankruptcy and the entering or filing of certain monetary judgments against the Company. Upon the occurrence of an Event of Default the outstanding principal amount of the Notes, plus accrued but unpaid interest and other amounts owing in respect thereof, shall become, at the giving of notice by Lender, immediately due and payable. Interest on overdue payments upon the occurrence of an Event of Default shall accrue interest at a rate equal to the lesser of 18% per annum or the maximum rate permitted under applicable law. The Company has obtained a waiver through September 16, 2019 for an event of default which is deemed to have occurred because of the Company's failure to maintain compliance with the Nasdaq Stock Market's minimum bid price requirement. Additionally, the Loan Agreement includes a subjective acceleration clause if a "material adverse effect" occurs in our business that could result in an Event of Default. We believe that the likelihood of the lender exercising this right is remote and have classified the debt as long term.

In conjunction with the Loan Agreement, the Company recorded \$118 of capitalized debt issuance costs. The debt issuance costs are being amortized over the life of the Loan Agreement and recognized as interest expense. The Note payable balance is reported net of the unamortized portion of the debt issuance costs. The Company recorded amortization of the debt issuance cost of \$36 as interest expense during the year ended December 31, 2018.

Promissory notes payable at December 31, 2018 and 2017 are as follows:

	2018	2017
Promissory notes payable	\$ 3,750	\$ -
Less, debt issuance costs	(81)	-
Promissory notes payable, net	\$ 3,669	\$ -

10. CAPITAL STOCK

Common Stock

Twelve months ended December 31, 2018

On May 2, 2018, 1,506,024 shares of the Company's outstanding Series B Preferred Stock were converted into the same number of shares of the Company's common stock.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, 634,615 and 277,779 shares of common stock were issued to James Nelson and Charles Cargile, respectively, from RSGAs executed in 2013 and 2017, respectively.

On May 3, 2018, James Nelson exercised 192,308 options at an exercise price of \$0.26 per share and was issued the equivalent number of shares of common stock.

On September 14, 2018, the Company issued 349,112 shares of common stock at a conversion price of \$0.338 per share for partial conversion of principal and accrued interest for a convertible promissory note in the aggregate amount of \$118.

Twelve months ended December 31, 2017

On February 17, 2017, the Company issued 41,773 shares of common stock for the cashless exercise of 53,419 options at an exercise price of \$0.468 per share.

On March 1, 2017, the Company issued 798,817 shares of common stock at a conversion price of \$0.338 per share for partial conversion of principal for a convertible promissory note in the aggregate amount of \$270.

On March 16, 2017, the Company issued 746,153 shares of restricted common stock per terms of the performance-based RSGA awards. The Company had previously recorded stock based compensation costs at fair value as of the date of grant of \$3,752 related to the vesting of these awards in the year ended December 31, 2016.

On May 18, 2017, the Company issued 15,000 shares of common stock at \$1.43 per share in the aggregate amount of \$21 as payment for executive recruiting services.

On November 27, 2017, the Company issued 695,266 shares of common stock at a conversion price of \$0.338 per share for partial conversion of principal for a convertible promissory note in the aggregate amount of \$235.

Preferred Stock

On November 25, 2015, the Company designated 1,700,000 shares, of its authorized preferred stock, as Series B Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value per share. Pursuant to the Certificate of Designation filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware, and subject to the rights of any other series of preferred stock that may be established by the Board of Directors, holders of Series B Preferred Stock (the "Holders") will have liquidation preference over the holders of the Company's Common Stock in any distribution upon winding up, dissolution, or liquidation. Holders will also be entitled to receive dividends, if, when and as declared by the Board of Directors, which dividends shall be payable in preference and priority to any payment of any dividend to holders of Common Stock. Holders will be entitled to convert each share of Series B Preferred Stock into one (1) share of Common Stock and will also be entitled to vote together with the holders of Common Stock on all matters submitted to shareholders at a rate of one (1) vote for each share of Series B Preferred Stock. In addition, so long as at least 100,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock are outstanding, the Company may not, without the consent of the Holders of at least a majority of the shares of Series B Preferred Stock then outstanding: (i) amend, alter or repeal any provision of the Certificate of Incorporation or bylaws of the Company or the Certificate of Designation so as to adversely affect any of the rights, preferences, privileges, limitations or restrictions provided for the benefit of the Holders or (ii) issue or sell, or obligate itself to issue or sell, any additional shares of Series B Preferred Stock, or any securities that are convertible into or exchangeable for shares of Series B Preferred Stock. 1,506,024 shares of Series B Preferred Stock, at a fair value of \$4,500 were issued in December 2015 in connection with the acquisition of Elite Solar. On May 2, 2018, the Holder converted 1,506,024 shares of Series B Preferred Stock into the same number of shares of the Company's Common Stock. As of December 31, 2018 there were no outstanding shares of Preferred Stock.

11. STOCK OPTIONS, RESTRICTED STOCK AND WARRANTS

Options

As of December 31, 2018, the Company has 1,568,885 non-qualified stock options outstanding to purchase 1,568,885 shares of common stock, per the terms set forth in the option agreements. The stock options vest at various times and are exercisable for a period of five to seven years from the date of grant at exercise prices ranging from \$0.33 to \$4.42 per share, the market value of the Company's common stock on the date of each grant. The Company determined the fair market value of these options by using the Black Scholes option valuation model.

A summary of the Company's stock option activity and related information follows:

	December 31, 2018		December 31, 2017	
	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding, beginning January 1, 2018	1,875,155	\$ 1.80	1,634,574	\$ 1.93
Granted	316,500	1.02	324,000	1.50
Exercised	(192,308)	0.26	(53,419)	0.47
Forfeited	(430,462)	2.56	(30,000)	2.68
Expired	-	-	-	-
Outstanding, end of December 31, 2018	1,568,885	1.73	1,875,155	1.80
Exercisable at the end of December 31, 2018	1,161,948	1.83	1,403,652	1.45
Weighted average fair value of options granted during period		0.55		1.01

The following summarizes the options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock which were outstanding at December 31, 2018:

Exercisable Prices	Stock Options Outstanding	Stock Options Exercisable	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years)
\$ 1.30	576,923	576,923	0.01
\$ 4.42	38,462	38,462	0.01
\$ 2.68	305,000	232,737	2.28
\$ 2.88	50,000	50,000	2.67
\$ 3.10	50,000	50,000	2.84
\$ 1.50	222,000	133,168	3.38
\$ 1.53	10,000	4,509	3.67
\$ 0.99	50,000	12,639	4.25
\$ 1.24	50,000	10,694	4.37
\$ 0.93	50,000	11,258	4.32
\$ 1.09	145,000	40,880	4.41
\$ 1.53	21,500	418	4.95
	1,568,885	1,161,948	

Aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2018 and 2017 was \$0. Aggregate intrinsic value represents the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the fiscal period, which was \$0.26 and \$1.05 as of December 31, 2018, and 2017, respectively, and the exercise price multiplied by the number of options outstanding.

The Company recorded stock-based compensation for issued options of \$381 and \$804 for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Restricted Stock Grant to CEO

With an effective date of March 29, 2017, subject to the Sunworks, Inc. 2016 Equity Incentive Plan, (the “2016 Plan”) the Company entered into a restricted stock grant agreement or RSGA with its Chief Executive Officer, Charles Cargile. All shares issuable under the RSGA are valued as of the grant date at \$1.50 per share. The RSGA provides for the issuance of up to 500,000 shares of the Company’s common stock. The restricted shares shall vest as follows: 166,667 of the restricted shares shall vest on the one (1) year anniversary of the effective date, and the balance, or 333,333 restricted shares, shall vest in twenty-four (24) equal monthly installments commencing on the one (1) year anniversary of the effective date.

In the year ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 stock-based compensation expense of \$250 and \$188, respectively was recognized for the March 29, 2017 RSGA.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company entered into a restricted stock grant agreement or RSGA with its then Chief Executive Officer, James B. Nelson, intended to provide and incentivize Mr. Nelson to improve the economic performance of the Company and to increase its value and stock price. All shares issuable under the RSGA were performance-based shares, = valued as of the grant date at \$0.47 per share. The RSGA provided for the issuance of up to 769,230 shares of the Company’s common stock to Mr. Nelson provided certain milestones are met in certain stages. As of September 30, 2014, two of the milestones were met, when the Company’s market capitalization exceeded \$10 million and the consolidated gross revenue, calculated in accordance with GAAP, equaled or exceeded \$10 million for the trailing twelve-month period. The Company issued 384,615 shares of common stock to Mr. Nelson at fair value of \$786,000 during the year ended December 31, 2014. In conjunction with Mr. Nelson’s retirement in April 2018, the remaining 384,615 shares of the Company’s common stock vested and were issued to Mr. Nelson.

In the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, stock-based compensation expense of \$180 and \$0, respectively, was recognized for the 2013 RSGA.

In recognition of the efforts of James B. Nelson, the Company’s Chairman, in leading the Company through the uplisting and financing transaction consummated by the Company in 2015, on August 31, 2016, the Company granted Mr. Nelson a restricted stock grant of 250,000 shares of the Company’s common stock pursuant to the terms of the 2016 Plan. All shares issuable under the RSGA are valued as of the grant date at \$2.90 per share. The restricted stock grant to Mr. Nelson was to vest upon the earlier of (i) January 1, 2021, (ii) a Change of Control as defined in the 2016 Plan (iii) upon Mr. Nelson’s retirement or (iv) upon Mr. Nelson’s death. “Change of Control” as defined in the 2016 Plan means (i) a sale of all or substantially all of the Company’s assets or (ii) a merger with another entity or an acquisition of the Company that results in the existing shareholders of the Company owning less than fifty percent (50%) of the outstanding shares of capital stock of the surviving entity following such transaction. Mr. Nelson’s retirement in April 2018 resulted in the RSGA being vested in full.

In the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, stock-based compensation expense of \$502 and \$167, respectively, was recognized for the August 31, 2016 RSGA.

The total combined option and restricted stock compensation expense recognized, in the statement of operations, during the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 was \$1,313 and \$1,159, respectively.

Warrants

As of December 31, 2018, the Company had 2,997,000 common stock purchase warrants outstanding. The warrants have an issuance date of March 9, 2015 and will expire on March 9, 2020.

A summary of the Company’s warrant activity and related information follows:

	December 31, 2018		December 31, 2017	
	Number of Warrants	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Warrants	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding, beginning of period	2,997,000	4.15	2,997,000	\$ 4.15
Granted	-	-	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Expired	-	-	-	-
Outstanding, end of period	2,997,000	\$ 4.15	2,997,000	\$ 4.15
Exercisable at the end of period	2,997,000	\$ 4.15	2,997,000	\$ 4.15
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the period		\$ 4.15		\$ 4.15

12. INCOME TAXES

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and the state of California. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2014.

Deferred income taxes have been provided by temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for tax purposes. To the extent allowed by GAAP, we provide valuation allowances against the deferred tax assets for amounts when the realization is uncertain. Included in the balances at December 31, 2018 and 2017, are no tax positions for which the ultimate deductibility is highly certain, but for which there is uncertainty about the timing of such deductibility. Because of the impact of deferred tax accounting, other than interest and penalties, the disallowance of the shorter deductibility period would not affect the annual effective tax rate but would accelerate the payment of cash to the taxing authority to an earlier period.

The Company's policy is to recognize interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense and penalties in operating expenses. During the periods ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, the Company did not recognize interest and penalties.

The income tax provision differs from the amount of income tax determined by applying the U.S. federal income tax rate to pretax income from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 due to the following:

	2018	2017
Net taxable (loss) at effective tax rates	\$ (1,567)	\$ (2,838)
Stock compensation expense	358	455
Amortization of debt discount	10	317
Impairment of goodwill	519	-
Other	(153)	243
Valuation allowance	833	1,823
Income tax expense	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

Deferred taxes are provided on a liability method whereby deferred tax assets are recognized for deductible differences and operating loss and tax credit carry-forwards and deferred tax liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences. Temporary differences are the difference between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax bases. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment.

At December 31, 2018, the Company had net operating loss carry-forwards of approximately \$12.3 million that may be offset against future taxable income through 2038. No tax benefit has been reported in the 2018 financial statements, since the potential tax benefit is offset by a valuation allowance of the same amount.

Net deferred tax assets consist of the following components as of December 31, 2018 and 2017:

	2018	2017
Deferred tax assets (liabilities):		
NOL carryover	\$ 3,370	\$ 2,771
R&D carryover	173	172
Other	239	219
Depreciation	61	(152)
	3,843	3,010
Less valuation allowance	(3,843)	(3,010)
Net deferred tax asset	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

Due to the change in ownership provisions of the Tax Reform Act of 1986, net operating loss carry-forwards for federal income tax reporting purposes are subject to annual limitations. Should a change in ownership occur, net operating loss carry-forwards may be limited as to use in future years.

On December 22, 2017, the U.S. government enacted comprehensive tax legislation commonly referred to as the Tax Cut and Jobs Act (the "Tax Act"). The Tax Act establishes new tax laws that affects 2018 and future years, including a reduction in the U.S. federal corporate income tax rate to 21%, effective January 1, 2018. For certain deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities, we have recorded a provisional decrease of \$1,074, with a corresponding net adjustment to valuation allowance of \$1,074 as of December 31, 2017.

13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Sunworks United leases 27,530 square feet of mixed used space consisting of office and warehouse facilities in Roseville, California, at a monthly lease rate of \$21. The lease expires in December 2021.

Sunworks United leases 2,846 square feet of retail space in Rocklin, California, at a monthly lease rate of \$9. The lease expires in December 2020.

Sunworks United leases 5,304 square feet of office space in Rocklin, California, at a monthly lease rate of \$6. The lease expires in May 2021. Sunworks is the sublessor through May 2021. Sublessee's monthly payments began in August 2017 at a rate of \$5 per month.

Sunworks United leases 2,021 square feet of mixed used space consisting of office and warehouse facilities in Reno, Nevada at monthly lease rate of \$2. The lease expires in October 2020.

Sunworks United leases approximately 6,358 square feet of mixed used space consisting of office and warehouse facilities in Rancho Cucamonga, California, at a monthly lease rate of \$6. The lease expires in July 2019.

Sunworks Inc. leases 15,600 square feet of mixed used space consisting of office and warehouse facilities from an entity controlled by the former sole shareholder of Plan B Enterprises, Inc. in Durham, California, at a monthly lease rate of \$9. The lease is month-to-month.

Sunworks United leases 5,000 square feet of mixed used space consisting of office and warehouse facilities in Tulare, California at monthly lease rate of \$5. The lease expires in July 2019.

Sunworks United leases 3,560 square feet of mixed used space consisting of office and warehouse facilities in Campbell (San Jose), California at monthly lease rate of \$5. The lease expires in January 2022.

Sunworks United leases 800 square feet of mixed used space consisting of office and warehouse facilities in White City, Oregon at monthly lease rate of \$1. The lease is month-to-month.

At December 31, 2018, commitments for minimum property rental were as follows:

For the twelve months ended:	
2019	\$ 673
2020	618
2021	434
2022	5
2023 and thereafter	-
Total	<u>\$ 1,730</u>

Sunworks United leases 59 vehicles for use in operations, selling and marketing, general and administrative activities Vehicle leases have average monthly lease rate of \$1.

At December 31, 2018, commitments for minimum vehicle payments were as follows:

For the twelve months ended:	
2019	\$ 339
2020	144
2021	88
2022	12
2023 and thereafter	5
Total	<u>\$ 588</u>

14. MAJOR CUSTOMER/SUPPLIERS

For the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 we had no projects that represented more than 10% of revenue.

For the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 the following suppliers represented more than 10% of Costs of Goods Sold:

	2018	2017
Wesco	13.2%	11.2%
MBL & Sons	10.1%	2.2%

15. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

None.

16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On March 20, 2019, the Company received a listing extension from the Nasdaq Hearings Panel. The extension grants the Company until September 16, 2019 to regain compliance with the Minimum Bid Price requirement for continued listing on The Nasdaq Capital Market.

As previously disclosed, the Company received notice from Nasdaq on September 20, 2018, that it was not in compliance with the Bid Price Requirements for its common stock. Per Nasdaq rules, the Company was provided an initial 180 calendar days to regain compliance with the Bid Price Requirement. The

Company provided a plan to cure the deficiency during a second 180 calendar day compliance period by having a closing bid price of at least \$1 per share for a minimum of ten consecutive business days during the extension period or by effecting, if necessary, a reverse stock split.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)). Based upon that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered in this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the required time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, do not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal controls will prevent all errors or fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Due to the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018. In making this assessment, our management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (2013).

Management previously identified control deficiencies regarding the need for a stronger internal control environment relating to revenue activities. Management initially identified and disclosed this deficiency in its annual report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016. In 2017, management took steps to remediate these control deficiencies including implementing revised work in process review procedures, enhanced financial reporting reviews processes, and the retention of additional qualified personnel. Management believes that the controls currently in place are adequate and operated effectively during the year ended December 31, 2018.

Because of the above remediation and based upon the criteria established in "Internal Control-Integrated Framework" issued by the COSO, management concluded that as of December 31, 2018, our internal controls over financial reporting are effective,

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Other than the control improvements discussed in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting above, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal fourth quarter ended December 31, 2018 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

No Attestation Report by Independent Registered Accountant

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018 has not been audited by our independent registered public accounting firm by virtue of our exemption from such requirement as a smaller reporting company.

Item 9B. Other Information.

Not applicable.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The following persons are our executive officers and directors, and hold the offices set forth opposite their names.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position</u>
Charles Cargile	54	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Paul McDonnel	62	Interim Chief Financial Officer
Joshua Schechter	45	Chairman and Director
Rhone Resch	52	Director
Daniel Gross	48	Director
Stanley Speer	58	Director

The following is a brief account of the business experience during the past five years of each of our directors and executive officers:

Charles Cargile has served as Chief Executive Officer since April 2017, and a Director of the Company since September 2016. From July 2016 until September 2016, Mr. Cargile served as an Executive Advisor to MKS Instruments which acquired Newport Corporation (“Newport”) in April 2016. Prior to that, since 2000, Mr. Cargile served as the Chief Financial Officer for Newport. Prior to joining Newport, Mr. Cargile served in various capacities at York International Corporation (now a division of Johnson Controls, Inc.) since 1998 including Vice President, Finance and Corporate Development and Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer. From 1992 to 1998 Mr. Cargile served at Flowserve Corporation, most recently as Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer from 1995 to 1998. Mr. Cargile currently serves on the board of directors of Photon Control, a company engaged in the design and manufacture of optical sensors. Photon Control is publicly traded on the Toronto Exchange (TEX: Pho.to).

Mr. Cargile qualifies to serve on the Company’s Board because of his experience serving on public company board of directors and his extensive financial background including strategic development, capital structures, operational management and financial processes and controls.

Paul McDonnel joined the Company in September 2016 as its Chief Financial Officer and transitioned to Treasurer in May 2018. In February 2019, Mr. McDonnel was named the Interim Chief Financial Officer. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. McDonnel has served as the President of Vulcan Precision Linings since 2010. From 2009 until 2010 Mr. McDonnel served as the Chief Operating Officer of Franklin Covey Products, LLC. From 2006 until 2009 he served as the Corporate Controller & Chief Financial Officer of Arrowhead Research Corp., (NASDAQ: ARWR). From 2003 until 2005 Mr. McDonnel served as the Chief Executive Officer of Quality Imaging Products, and from 1999 until 2003 he served as the Chief Financial Officer and Senior Manager-Operations of Recall Secure Destruction Services. From 1994 to 1998 Mr. McDonnel served as the VP of Operations and Chief Operating Officer of Reid Plastics, Inc. (“Reid”). From 1990 until 1994 he served as Reid’s Chief Financial Officer. From 1987 to 1990 Mr. McDonnel served as the Vice President of Finance of Trojan Enterprises. From 1982 until 1987 he served in the audit practice of the Small Business Division of the Los Angeles office of Arthur Andersen & Co. Mr. McDonnel received both a Master of Arts - Management Accounting and Bachelor of Science – Accounting from Brigham Young University. Mr. McDonnel is a Certified Public Accountant in the State of California.

Joshua Schechter has served as a director of the Company since April 2018 and as Chairman of Company since May 2018. Mr. Schechter is a director of Genesco, Inc. (NYSE: GCO) since April 2018. He has been a director and chairman of the board of Support.com (NASDAQ: SPRT), a provider of cloud-based software and services for technology support, since 2016, as well as a member of its Nominating and Governance, and Audit Committees. Since 2015, Mr. Schechter has served as a director of Viad Corp (NYSE: VVI), an international experiential services company. From 2008 to 2015, he served as a director of Aderans Co., Ltd. (or “Aderans”), a multinational company engaged in hair-related business, and was the Executive Chairman of Aderans America Holdings, Inc., Aderans’ U.S. holding company. From 2001 to 2013, Mr. Schechter served as Managing Director of Steel Partners Ltd., a privately-owned hedge fund sponsor, and from 2008 to 2013, Mr. Schechter served as co-President of Steel Partners Japan Asset Management, LP, a private company offering investment services. Mr. Schechter previously served on the board of directors of The Pantry, Inc. (NASDAQ: PTRY), a leading independently operated convenience store chain in the southeastern United States and one of the largest independently operated convenience store chains in the country, from 2014 until the completion of its public sale in March 2015.

Mr. Schechter is qualified to serve as a Director because of his many years of experience serving as a director for numerous public companies.

Rhone Resch has served as a director of the Company since November 2016. In 2016, Mr. Resch founded and serves as CEO of the Advanced Energy Advisors, a strategic solar energy advisory firm. He is also founder of Solarlytics, a high efficiency stealth technology company. Previously he served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Solar Energy Industries Association from 2004 until 2016. From 1998 until 2004, he served as the Senior Vice President of Natural Gas Supply Association, and from 1994 until 1998 he served as the Program Manager of the United States Environmental Protection Agency – Office of Air and Radiation. From 1992 until 1994 Mr. Resch served as a Senior Analyst at Project Performance Corporation. Mr. Resch received a Bachelor of Arts, English/Natural Resources from the University of Michigan, a Master of Environmental Science from State University of New York and a Master of Public Administration, Management from Syracuse University.

Mr. Resch is qualified to serve as a Director because of his industry expertise and corporate leadership experience.

Daniel Gross has served as a director of the Company since March 2018. Since 2015, Mr. Gross has served as an Adjunct Professor at Columbia University and since 2016 as a Lecturer at Yale University. Mr. Gross previously served as a Managing Director of Pegasus Capital Advisors from 2015 through 2016 and a Managing Director of Oaktree Capital Management from 2013 through 2015. Mr. Gross was one of the founding Partners of Hudson Clean Energy, a private equity firm with over \$1 billion in assets under management. Prior to Hudson, Mr. Gross worked in the U.S. alternative energy investment group at Goldman Sachs as well as GE Capital's Energy Financial Services unit, where he founded the renewable energy investment business. Mr. Gross is a Fulbright Scholar and holds a Master's degree in Environmental Management, Master's in Business Administration and Bachelor of Arts Degree (Phi Beta Kappa) from Yale University.

Mr. Gross is qualified to serve as a Director due to his substantial background in both the financial and renewable energy industries.

Stanley Speer has served as a director of the Company since May 2018. Mr. Speer is the principal of Speer and Associates, LLC since 2012, a consulting firm he founded to provide practical operational, financial and strategic financial solutions to public and private businesses. Previously, Mr. Speer was a Managing Director with Alvarez & Marsal ("A&M"), in Los Angeles specializing in advising and assisting boards of directors, investment groups, management groups and lenders in a wide range of turnaround, restructuring and reorganization situations. Prior to joining A&M, Mr. Speer spent ten years as Chief Financial Officer for Cadiz, Inc., a publicly-held real estate and water resource management company, and its subsidiary Sun World International, a fully-integrated agriculture company. Prior to Cadiz, Mr. Speer was a partner with Coopers & Lybrand (now PricewaterhouseCoopers), where he spent 14 years in the Los Angeles office specializing in business reorganizations and mergers and acquisitions.

Mr. Speer is qualified to serve as a Director due to his many years of experience advising public and private companies.

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships among our executive officers and directors.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

During the past ten years, none of our directors, executive officers, promoters, control persons, or nominees has been:

- the subject of any bankruptcy petition filed by or against any business of which such person was a general partner or executive officer either at the time of the bankruptcy or within two years prior to that time;
- convicted in a criminal proceeding or is subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses);
- subject to any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction or any Federal or State authority, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting his involvement in any type of business, securities or banking activities;
- found by a court of competent jurisdiction (in a civil action), the Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law.

- the subject of, or a party to, any Federal or State judicial or administrative order, judgment, decree, or finding, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, relating to an alleged violation of (a) any Federal or State securities or commodities law or regulation; (b) any law or regulation respecting financial institutions or insurance companies including, but not limited to, a temporary or permanent injunction, order of disgorgement or restitution, civil money penalty or temporary or permanent cease-and-desist order, or removal or prohibition order; or (c) any law or regulation prohibiting mail or wire fraud or fraud in connection with any business entity; or
- the subject of, or a party to, any sanction or order, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any self-regulatory organization (as defined in Section 3(a)(26) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(26))), any registered entity (as defined in Section 1(a)(29) of the Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. 1(a)(29))), or any equivalent exchange, association, entity or organization that has disciplinary authority over its members or persons associated with a member.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of Exchange Act

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our officers and directors, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. These persons are required by regulation to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) reports that they file. Based on our review of the copies of these reports received by us, or written representations from the reporting persons that no other reports were required, we believe that, during fiscal 2018, all filing requirements applicable to our current officers, directors and greater than 10% beneficial owners were complied with.

Code of Conduct and Ethics

We have adopted a code of conduct that applies to all of our directors, officers, and employees. The text of the code of conduct has been posted on our internet website and can be viewed at www.sunworksusa.com. Any waiver of the provisions of the code of conduct for executive officers and directors may be made only by the Audit Committee and, in the case of a waiver for members of the audit committee, by the Board of Directors. Any such waivers will be promptly disclosed to our shareholders.

Committees of the Board of Directors

Audit Committee. The Board has a standing Audit Committee, consisting of Messrs. Stanley Speer (Chairman), Joshua Schechter, and Rhone Resch. The Audit Committee acts under a written charter, which more specifically sets forth its responsibilities and duties, as well as requirements for the Audit Committee's composition and meetings. The audit committee charter is available on the Company's website (www.sunworksusa.com). The Audit Committee held 5 meetings during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.

The Audit Committee's responsibilities include (1) the integrity of the Company's financial statements and disclosures; (2) the independent auditor's qualifications and independence; (3) the performance of the Company's internal audit function and independent registered public accounting firm; (4) the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company's internal controls; (5) the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; and (6) the processes utilized by management for identifying, evaluating, and mitigating strategic, financial, operational, regulatory, and external risks inherent in the Company's business. The Audit Committee also prepares the Audit Committee report that is required pursuant to the rules of the SEC.

The Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is "independent," as that term is defined by applicable SEC rules. In addition, the Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is "independent," as that term is defined by the rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market.

The Board has determined that Mr. Speer is an "audit committee financial expert" serving on its Audit Committee, and is independent, as the SEC has defined that term in Item 407 of Regulation S-K.

Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee. The Board has a standing Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee. The Nominating and Governance Committee consists of Messrs. Daniel Gross (Chairman), Joshua Schechter, and Stanley Speer. The Nominating and Governance Committee acts under a written charter, which more specifically sets forth its responsibilities and duties, as well as requirements for its composition and meetings. The corporate governance/nominating committee charter is available on the Company's website (www.sunworksusa.com). The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee held 4 meetings during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.

The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee has been established by the Board in order, among other things to: (1) develop and recommend to the Board the Corporate Governance Guidelines of the Company and oversee compliance therewith; (2) assist the Board in effecting Board organization, membership and function including identifying qualified Board nominees; (3) assist the Board in effecting the organization, membership and function of Board committees including the composition of Board committees and recommending qualified candidates therefor; (4) evaluate and provide successor planning for the Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers; and (5) to develop criteria for Board membership, such as independence, term limits, age limits and ability of former employees to serve on the Board and the evaluation of candidates' qualifications for nominations to the Board its committees as well as removal therefrom, respectively.

The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee does not have a formal policy that requires it to consider any director candidates that might be recommended by shareholders but adheres to the Company's By-Laws provisions and Securities and Exchange Commission rules relating to proposals by shareholders. The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee of the Board of Directors is responsible for identifying and selecting qualified candidates for election to the Board of Directors prior to each annual meeting of the Company's shareholders. In identifying and evaluating nominees for director, the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee considers each candidate's qualities, experience, background and skills, as well as other factors, such as the individual's ethics, integrity and values which the candidate may bring to the Board of Directors.

The Board has determined that all the members of the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee are "independent" under the current listing standards of NASDAQ.

Compensation Committee. The Board has a standing Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee of the Board is composed entirely of directors who are not our current or former employees, each of whom meets the applicable definition of "independent" as defined by the rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market. None of the members of the Compensation Committee during fiscal 2018 (i) had any relationships requiring disclosure by the Company under the SEC's rules requiring disclosure of related party transactions or (ii) was an executive officer of a company of which an executive officer of the Company is a director. The current members of the Compensation Committee are Messrs. Rhone Resch (Chairman), Joshua Schechter, and Daniel Gross. The Compensation Committee has no interlocks with other companies. The compensation committee charter is available on the Company's website (www.sunworksusa.com). The Compensation Committee held 2 meetings during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.

The purpose of the Compensation Committee is to discharge the Board's responsibilities relating to compensation of the Company's directors and executive officers. The Committee has overall responsibility for evaluating the Company's compensation and benefit plans, policies and programs and insuring overall alignment to the corporate compensation philosophy. The Compensation Committee also is responsible for preparing any report on executive compensation required by the rules and regulations of the SEC.

The Board has determined that all the members of the Compensation Committee are "independent" under the current listing standards of NASDAQ.

Board of Directors Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight.

Our Board is responsible for the selection of the Chairman of the Board and the Chief Executive Officer. Joshua Schechter serves as our Chairman.

While management is responsible for managing the day-to-day issues faced by the Company, our Board has an active role, directly and through its committees, in the oversight of the Company's risk management efforts. The Board carries out this oversight role through several levels of review. The Board regularly reviews and discusses with members of management information regarding the management of risks inherent in the operation of the Company's business and the implementation of the Company's strategic plan, including the Company's risk mitigation efforts.

Each of the Board's committees also oversees the management of the Company's risks that are under each committee's areas of responsibility. For example, the Audit Committee oversees management of accounting, auditing, external reporting, internal controls, and cash investment risks. The Nominating and Governance Committee oversees the Company's compliance policies, Code of Conduct and Ethics, conflicts of interests, director independence and corporate governance policies. The Compensation Committee oversees risks arising from compensation practices and policies. While each committee has specific responsibilities for oversight of risk, the Board is regularly informed by each committee about such risks. In this manner, the Board can coordinate its risk oversight.

Changes in Nominating Procedures

None.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The following Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes the material elements of compensation for our executive officers identified in the Summary Compensation Table (“Named Executive Officers”), and executive officers that we may hire in the future. As more fully described above, the Compensation Committee is responsible for recommendations relating to compensation of the Company’s directors and executive officers.

Compensation Program Objectives and Rewards

Our compensation philosophy is based on the premise of attracting, retaining, and motivating exceptional leaders, setting high goals, working toward the common objectives of meeting the expectations of customers and stockholders, and rewarding outstanding performance. Following this philosophy, in determining executive compensation, we consider all relevant factors, such as the competition for talent, our desire to link pay with performance in the future, the use of equity to align executive interests with those of our Stockholders, individual contributions, teamwork and performance, and each executive’s total compensation package. We strive to accomplish these objectives by compensating all executives with total compensation packages consisting of a combination of competitive base salary and incentive compensation.

The primary purpose of the compensation and benefits described below is to attract, retain, and motivate highly talented individuals who will engage in the behaviors necessary to enable us to succeed in our mission while upholding our values in a highly competitive marketplace. Different elements are designed to engender different behaviors, and the actual incentive amounts, which may be awarded to each Named Executive Officer are subject to the annual review of the board of directors. The following is a brief description of the key elements of our planned executive compensation structure.

- Base salary and benefits are designed to attract and retain employees over time.
- Incentive compensation awards are designed to focus employees on the business objectives for a particular year.
- Equity incentive awards, such as stock options and non-vested stock, focus executives’ efforts on the behaviors within the recipients’ control that they believe are designed to ensure our long-term success as reflected in increases to our stock prices over a period of several years, growth in our profitability and other elements.
- Severance and change in control plans are designed to facilitate a company’s ability to attract and retain executives as we compete for talented employees in a marketplace where such protections are commonly offered. We currently have not given separation benefits to any of our Name Executive Officers.

Benchmarking

We have not yet adopted benchmarking but may do so in the future. When making compensation decisions, our Board of Directors may compare each element of compensation paid to our Named Executive Officers against a report showing comparable compensation metrics from a group that includes both publicly-traded and privately-held companies. Our board believes that while such peer group benchmarks are a point of reference for measurement, they are not necessarily a determining factor in setting executive compensation as each executive officer’s compensation relative to the benchmark varies based on scope of responsibility and time in the position. We have not yet formally established our peer group for this purpose.

The Elements of Sunworks’ Compensation Program

Base Salary

Executive officer base salaries are based on job responsibilities and individual contribution. The board reviews the base salaries of our executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers, considering factors such as corporate progress toward achieving objectives (without reference to any specific performance-related targets) and individual performance experience and expertise. Additional factors reviewed by the board of directors in determining appropriate base salary levels and raises include subjective factors related to corporate and individual performance. For the year ended December 31, 2018, the board of directors approved all executive officer base salary decisions.

Our board of directors determines base salaries for the Named Executive Officers annually, and the board, upon recommendation of the compensation committee proposes new base salary amounts, if appropriate, based on its evaluation of individual performance and expected future contributions. We adopted a 401(k) Plan in 2016 and base salary is the only element of compensation that is used in determining the amount of contributions permitted under the 401(k) Plan.

Incentive Compensation Awards

We have in prior years paid discretionary bonuses to our named executive officers as approved by our Compensation Committee. On May 30, 2018, our Board approved a bonus plan for our management team for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018. Pursuant to the bonus plan, Chuck Cargile, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, will receive a target bonus of 50% of base salary, which will be paid based on the achievement of certain performance metrics established by the Compensation Committee relating to gross profit, consolidated adjusted EBITDA, free cash flow and personal objectives.

Equity Incentive Awards

In March 2016, the Company's Board of Directors adopted the 2016 Plan and in June 2016, the stockholders adopted the same. The maximum number of shares of common stock that may be issued under the 2016 Plan is 1,800,000. The 2016 Plan is currently administered by the Company's Compensation Committee. The 2016 Plan authorizes grants of stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock awards to officers, employees, directors of the Company as well as consultants who are selected by the Compensation Committee to receive an award. No option shall be exercisable more than 10 years after the date of grant. No option granted under the 2016 Plan is transferable by the individual or entity to whom it was granted otherwise than by will or laws of descent and distribution, and, during the lifetime of such individual, is not exercisable by any other person, but only by the recipient.

Benefits and Prerequisites

We have limited benefits and prerequisites for our employees other than health insurance, 401(k) and vacation benefits that are generally comparable to those offered by other small private and public companies or as may be required by applicable state employment laws. We may confer other fringe benefits for our executive officers in the future if our business grows sufficiently to enable us to afford them.

Separation and Change in Control Arrangements

On September 26, 2017, we entered into a Change of Control Agreement with our Chief Executive Officer, Charles Cargile and, on September 22, 2017, with Paul McDonnell, our Interim Chief Financial Officer, to provide each of the employees with certain severance benefits in the event the employee's employment with the Company terminates under certain circumstances.

Pursuant to the Change of Control Agreements, if within three months prior to a change of control or twenty-four months after a change of Control (the "Change of Control Period"), the employee's employment terminates as a result of an involuntary termination or a resignation for good reason, then the Company has agreed, upon the terms and subject to the conditions of the Change of Control Agreements, to pay to the employees: (i) any accrued and unpaid base salary as of the date of the employment termination; (ii) any accrued and unpaid value of unused paid time off; (iii) any accrued reimbursement for expenses incurred by the employees prior to the termination of the employee; (iv) any accrued and unpaid cash incentive bonus with respect to the most recent fiscal year; (v) severance payments to our CEO and to the employees as set forth in each respective Agreement; and (vi) health benefits to the employees for a period of eighteen months, in the case of CEO and twelve months, in the case of the other employees. In addition, the employee's outstanding options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards and other equity-based awards as of the date of termination of the employee shall immediately vest and become exercisable.

The tables below estimate the current value of amounts payable in the event that a change in control occurred on December 31, 2018. The following tables exclude certain benefits, such as accrued vacation, that are available to all employees generally. The actual amount of payments and benefits that would be provided can only be determined at the time of a change in control and/or the qualifying separation from our Company.

Name	Base Salary (1)	Annual Incentive Bonus (2)	Value of Stock Awards Accelerated (3) (4)	Value of Stock Options Accelerated (3) (5)
Charles Cargile	\$ 600,000	-	\$ 54,000	-
Paul McDonnel	202,000	-	-	-

- (1) Base salary is equal to 24 months of salary for Mr. Cargile and 12 months of salary for Mr. McDonnel.
- (2) As no annual bonus amount is guaranteed, this carries zero value at December 31, 2018.
- (3) Based on the last sale price of the Company's common stock as quoted on the NASDAQ Capital Market on December 31, 2018, which was \$0.26 per share.
- (4) Based on 208,333 stock awards that are unvested at December 31, 2018.
- (5) As the stock value at December 31, 2018 is lower than the exercise price for all options that would be accelerated, there is no value associated with these.

Upon the terms and subject to the conditions of the Change of Control Agreements, if the employee's employment with the Company terminates during the Change of Control Period other than as a result of an Involuntary Termination (as defined in the Change of Control Agreement) or a Resignation for Good Reason (as defined in the Change of Control Agreement), including termination due to employee's disability or death, then the employee shall receive his accrued and unpaid base salary, any accrued and unpaid value of unused paid time off, any accrued reimbursement for expenses incurred and any accrued and unpaid cash incentive bonus with respect to the most recent fiscal year.

Executive Officer Compensation

The following table sets forth the total compensation paid in all forms to the executive officers of the Company and includes our principal executive officer, our principal operating officer and our principal financial officer during the periods indicated:

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus	Stock Awards (1)	Option Awards (2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	All Other Compensation (3)	Total
Charles Cargile, Chief Executive Officer	2018	\$ 300,000	\$ 0	\$ 250,000	\$ 54,400	0	0	0	\$ 604,400
	2017	222,700	0	187,500	61,500	0	0	31,200	502,900
Philip Radmilovic, Chief Financial Officer (4)	2018	\$ 170,800	\$ 16,000	\$ 0	\$ 5,800	0	0	0	\$ 192,600
	2017	80,000	0	0	2,200	0	0	0	82,200
Paul McDonnel, Interim Chief Financial Officer (5)	2018	\$ 125,600	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 18,300	0	0	0	\$ 143,900
	2017	198,300	50,000	0	38,300	0	0	0	286,600

- (1) The amount reflected in this column is the stock-based compensation cost recognized by the Company during fiscal years 2018 and 2017. The fair value of each restricted stock grant is estimated on the date of grant using the closing price of our common stock on the date of the grant as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market.
- (2) The amount reflected in this column is the stock-based compensation cost recognized by the Company during fiscal years 2018 and 2017. The fair value of each grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.
- (3) On September 7, 2016, Charles Cargile was appointed as a director of the Company. On March 29, 2017, Mr. Cargile was appointed as our Chief Executive Officer. Prior to his appointment as Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Cargile received compensation as a Board member and consultant.
- (4) Philip Radmilovic resigned from his position with the Company, effective on February 22, 2019.
- (5) Paul McDonnel served as Chief financial Officer until May 28, 2018 and then served as Treasurer until February 22, 2019, when he was appointed Interim Chief Financial Officer.

Employment Agreements

We have entered into employment agreements with our named executive officers as follows:

On March 29, 2017, we entered into an at-will employment agreement with our Chief Executive Officer. Pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement, Mr. Cargile receives a base salary of \$300,000 per year and a discretionary bonus, provided, however, for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2017, Mr. Cargile shall be entitled to a minimum bonus equal to 3% of the operating earnings of the Company but shall receive a bonus that is not less than the bonus paid to next highest executive of the Company. The employment agreement also provides for a restricted stock grant of 500,000 shares, one third of which shall vest on the one-year anniversary of the grant, and the balance of which shall vest in twenty-four equal monthly installments commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant.

Outstanding Equity Awards

The following table sets forth information with respect to unexercised stock options, stock that has not vested, and equity incentive plan awards held by our executive officers outstanding as of December 31, 2018.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

Name and Principal Position	Option Awards				Stock Awards	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares of Stock that Have not Vested	Market Value of Shares of Stock that Have not Vested
Charles Cargile Chief Executive Officer	50,000(3) 27,454(2) 7,963(2)	0 22,546 32,037	\$ 2.88 1.50 1.09	9/1/21 5/17/22 5/30/23	208,333(1)	\$ 54,200(4)
Philip Radmilovic (5) Chief Financial Officer	5,491(2) 3,981(2)	4,509 16,019	1.50 1.09	5/17/22 5/30/23		
Paul McDonnel Interim Chief Financial Officer	16,472(2)	13,528	1.50	5/17/22		

- (1) On March 29, 2017, Mr. Cargile was granted 500,000 restricted shares of our common stock subject to the Sunworks, Inc. 2016 Equity Incentive Plan, (the "2016 Plan"). All shares issuable under the RSGA are valued as of the grant date at \$1.50 per share. The restricted shares shall vest as follows: 166,667 of the restricted shares shall vest on the one (1) year anniversary of the effective date, and the balance, or 333,333 restricted shares, shall vest in twenty-four (24) equal monthly installments commencing on the one (1) year anniversary of the effective date.
- (2) Options granted pursuant to the 2016 Plan and vest at the rate of 1/36th per month.
- (3) Options granted pursuant to the 2016 Plan and vest at the rate of 1/24th per month.
- (4) Based on the closing price of the Company's common stock on the NASDAQ Capital Market on December 31, 2018, which was \$0.26 per share.
- (5) Philip Radmilovic resigned from his position with the Company, effective on February 22, 2019.

Restricted Stock

With an effective date of March 29, 2017, subject to the 2016 Plan, the Company entered into a restricted stock grant agreement, or RSGA, with its Chief Executive Officer, Charles Cargile. All shares issuable under the RSGA are valued as of the grant date at \$1.50 per share. The RSGA provides for the issuance of up to 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The restricted shares shall vest as follows: 166,667 of the restricted shares shall vest on the one (1) year anniversary of the effective date, and the balance, or 333,333 restricted shares, shall vest in twenty-four (24) equal monthly installments commencing on the one (1) year anniversary of the effective date.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018, James Nelson, our former Executive Chairman, exercised an option and acquired 192,308 shares of our common stock.

In conjunction with Mr. Nelson's retirement in April 2018, the remaining 384,615 shares of the Company's common stock subject to a 2013 RSGA and 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock subject to a 2016 RSGA vested and were issued to Mr. Nelson.

Director Compensation

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the compensation paid to our directors during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018:

Director Compensation					
Name	Fees earned or cash paid	Stock Awards	Option Awards	All other compensation	Total
James Nelson (1)	\$ 58,800			\$	\$ 36,000
Frank Hunt (2)	\$ 12,000			\$	\$ 12,000
Shane Mace (3)	\$ -			\$	\$ -
Rhone Resch	\$ 36,000		15,000	\$	\$ 36,000
Daniel Gross (4)	\$ 27,000		50,000	\$	\$ 27,000
Joshua Schechter (5)	\$ 21,000		50,000	\$	\$ 21,000
Stanley Speer (6)	\$ 22,700		50,000	\$	\$ 22,742

(1) Retired from role of Executive Chairman effective April 27, 2018.

(2) Retired as a member of the Board of Directors effective April 27, 2018.

(3) Resigned as a member of the Board of Directors effective April 2, 2018.

(4) Appointed as a member of the Board of Directors effective April 2, 2018.

(5) Appointed as a member of the Board of Directors effective April 27, 2018.

(6) Appointed as a member of the Board of Directors effective May 14, 2018.

The compensation paid to non-employee Board members is \$3,000 per month. Option awards granted to directors of the Company pursuant to the Company's 2016 Equity Incentive Plan vest in one month increments over a three-year period, with the exception of the options granted to Mr. Resch, which vested immediately. Directors may also be reimbursed their expenses for traveling, hotel and other expenses reasonably incurred in connection with attending board or committee meetings or otherwise in connection with the Company's business.

As of December 31, 2018, there are no other cash compensation arrangements in place for members of the Board of Directors acting as such.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding beneficial ownership of shares of our common stock as of March 12, 2019, by (i) each person known to beneficially own more than 5% of our outstanding common stock, (ii) each of our directors, (iii) each of our named executive officers, and (iv) all of our directors and executive officers as a group. Except as otherwise indicated, the persons named in the table below have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares beneficially owned, subject to community property laws, where applicable.

Name of Beneficial Owner (1)	Common Stock	
	Number of Shares Owned (2)	Percentage Owned (2)(3)
Philip Radmilovic (4)	15,194	0.1%
Paul McDonnel (5)	35,331	0.1%
Charles Cargile (6)	536,518	2.0%
Rhone Resch (7)	75,000	0.3%
Daniel Gross (8)	18,194	0.1%
Joshua Schechter (9)	17,083	0.1%
Stanley Speer (10)	16,250	0.1%
Kirk Short (11)	1,578,714	6.0%
All officers and directors as a group (7 persons)	713,571	2.8%

(1) The address for our officers and directors is c/o of the Company, 1030 Winding Creek Road, Suite 100, Roseville, California 95678.

(2) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Shares of common stock subject to options or warrants currently exercisable or convertible, or exercisable or convertible within 60 days of March 12, 2019 are deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of the person holding such option or warrant but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of any other person.

(3) Percentage based on 26,152,435 shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding at March 12, 2019.

(4) Includes (a) 0 shares of common stock, (b) 15,194 shares underlying options that are vested and currently exercisable and options which may be exercisable in the next 60 days.

(5) Includes (a) 15,525 shares of common stock, (b) 19,806 shares underlying options that are vested and currently exercisable and options which may be exercisable in the next 60 days.

(6) Includes (a) 436,324 shares of common stock, (b) 100,194 shares underlying options that are vested and currently exercisable and options which may be exercisable in the next 60 days.

(7) Includes (a) 0 shares of common stock, (b) 75,000 shares underlying options that are vested and currently exercisable and options which may be exercisable in the next 60 days.

(8) Includes (a) 0 shares of common stock, (b) 18,194 shares underlying options that are vested and currently exercisable and options which may be exercisable in the next 60 days.

(9) Includes (a) 0 shares of common stock, (b) 17,083 shares underlying options that are vested and currently exercisable and options which may be exercisable in the next 60 days.

(10) Includes (a) 0 shares of common stock, (b) 16,250 shares underlying options that are vested and currently exercisable and options which may be exercisable in the next 60 days.

(11) Includes (a) 1,506,024 shares of common stock, (b) 72,690 shares underlying options that are vested and currently exercisable and options which may be exercisable in the next 60 days.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence.

The following is a description of transactions since January 1, 2018, to which we have been a party in which the amount involved exceeded or will exceed \$120,000 and in which any of our directors, executive officers, beneficial holders of 5% or more of our capital stock, or entities affiliated with them, had or will have a direct or indirect material interest:

In April 2018, the Company entered into a Loan Agreement with CrowdOut Capital, Inc. pursuant to which the Company issued an aggregate of \$3,750,000 in promissory notes, of which \$3,000,000 are Senior Notes and \$750,000 are Subordinated Notes. The Subordinated Notes were funded by the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Charles Cargile and the Company's Vice President of Business Development, Kirk Short. The Loan Agreement provided for the appointment of Joshua Schechter to the Company's Board of Directors and the right of CrowdOut Capital, Inc. to at any time designate a replacement for Mr. Schechter. CrowdOut Capital, Inc.'s right to designate a director to the Company's Board of Directors terminates upon the satisfaction of all of the Company's obligations under the Loan Agreement.

Director Independence

Our Board of Directors presently consists of five members. Our Board of Directors has determined that each of Messrs Speer, Gross, Schechter, and Resch are "independent," as defined by SEC rules adopted pursuant to the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and as determined in accordance with Rule 4200(a)(15) of the Marketplace Rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed for each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements and review of financial statements included in the Company's Form 10-K or services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for the fiscal years ending December 31, 2018 and 2017 were: \$143,000 and \$162,700, respectively. The fees were billed by Liggett & Webb, P.A., our independent auditors for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 audits were \$128,000 and \$145,200, respectively.

Audit-Related Fees

The aggregate fees billed in either of the last two fiscal years for assurance and related services by the principal accountant that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the registrant's financial statements and are not reported under item (1) for the fiscal years ending December 31, 2018 and 2017 were \$0, and \$2,500, respectively. Audit related fees primarily include fees due consent letters.

Tax Fees

The aggregate fees were billed for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning for the fiscal years ending December 31, 2018 and 2017 was \$15,000 and \$15,000, respectively.

All Other Fees

Other fees billed for professional services provided by the principal accountant, other than the services reported above, for the fiscal years ending December 31, 2018 and 2017 were \$0 and \$0.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures of Audit and Non-Audit Services of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Audit Committee's policy is to pre-approve, typically at the beginning of our fiscal year, all audit and non-audit services, other than de minimis non-audit services, to be provided by an independent registered public accounting firm. These services may include, among others, audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services and such services are generally subject to a specific budget. The independent registered public accounting firm and management are required to periodically report to the full Board of Directors regarding the extent of services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm in accordance with this pre-approval, and the fees for the services performed to date. As part of the Board's review, the Board will evaluate other known potential engagements of the independent auditor, including the scope of work proposed to be performed and the proposed fees, and approve or reject each service, taking into account whether the services are permissible under applicable law and the possible impact of each non-audit service on the independent auditor's independence from management. At Audit Committee meetings throughout the year, the auditor and management may present subsequent services for approval. Typically, these would be services such as due diligence for an acquisition, that would not have been known at the beginning of the year.

The Audit Committee has considered the provision of non-audit services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm to be compatible with maintaining their independence. The audit committee will continue to approve all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.

(1) Financial Statements.

The financial statements required by item 15 are submitted in a separate section of this report, beginning on Page F-1, incorporated herein and made a part hereof.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules.

Schedules have been omitted because of the absence of conditions under which they are required or because the required information is included in the financial statements or notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits.

The following exhibits are filed with this report, or incorporated by reference as noted:

(a)

- 2.1 [Agreement and Plan of Merger dated August 6, 2015 with Plan B Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Universal Racking Solutions, Kirk R. Short and Elite Solar Acquisition Sub., Inc. \(Incorporated by reference to the current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 12, 2015\).](#)
- 2.2 [Amendment No.1 dated October 30, 2015 to Agreement and Plan of Merger dated August 6, 2015 \(Incorporated by reference to the current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 2, 2015\).](#)
- 2.3 [Amendment No.2 dated November 30, 2105 to Agreement and Plan of Merger dated August 6, 2015\(Incorporated by reference to the current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 2, 2015\).](#)
- 3.1 [Certificate of Incorporation \(Incorporated by reference to the Form SB-2 Registration Statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission dated August 1, 2005\).](#)
- 3.2 [Amendments to Certificate of Incorporation \(Incorporated by reference to the Form SB-2 Registration Statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission dated August 1, 2005\).](#)
- 3.3 [Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation \(Incorporated by reference to the Form 10K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, dated July 15, 2009\).](#)
- 3.4 [Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation \(Incorporated by reference from the Definitive Information Statement on Schedule 14C filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission, dated August 30, 2010\).](#)
- 3.5 [Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation filed with the Secretary of State on February 24, 2015 \(Incorporated by reference to the current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 26, 2015\)](#)
- 3.6 [Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation filed with the Secretary of State on April 16, 2015 \(Incorporated by reference to the current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2015\)](#)
- 3.7 [Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation filed with the Secretary of State on February 12, 2016 \(Incorporated by reference to the current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 1, 2016\)](#)
- 3.8 [Certificate of Designation of Series A Preferred Stock filed with the Secretary of State on January 9, 2015 \(Incorporated by reference to the current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 13, 2015\)](#)
- 3.9 [Certificate of Designation of Series B Preferred Stock filed with the Secretary of State on January 9, 2015 \(Incorporated by reference to the current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 2, 2015\)](#)
- 3.10 [Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation filed with the Secretary of State on July 13, 2016 \(Incorporated by reference to the current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 15, 2016\)](#)
- 3.11 [Bylaws \(Incorporated by reference to the Form SB-2 Registration Statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission dated August 1, 2005\).](#)
- 4.1 [Form of Warrant Agreement between Sunworks, Inc., Computershare Inc., and Computershare Trust Company, N.A. \(Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 5, 2015\).](#)
- 4.2 [Sunworks, Inc. 2016 Equity Compensation Plan \(incorporated by reference to Schedule 14A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 18, 2016\)](#)

- 10.1 [Non-statutory Stock Option Agreement with James B. Nelson, dated July 22, 2010 \(Incorporated by reference from the Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 5, 2010\)](#)
- 10.2 [Restricted Stock Grant Agreement, dated September 23, 2013, by and between Solar 3D, Inc., a Delaware corporation, as Grantor, and James B. Nelson, as Grantee \(Incorporated by reference to the Form 8K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 26, 2013\)](#)
- 10.3 [Stock Purchase Agreement by and among Solar United Network, Inc., Emil Beitpolous, Abe Emard, Richard Emard, Mikhail Podnebesnyy, and Solar 3D, Inc., dated October 31, 2013 \(Incorporated by reference to the Form 8K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 6, 2013\)](#)
- 10.4 [Addendum to Stock Purchase Agreement by and among Solar United Network, Inc., Emil Beitpolous, Abe Emard, Richard Emard, Mikhail Podnebesnyy, and Solar 3D, Inc., dated January 31, 2014 \(Incorporated by reference to the Form 8K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 31, 2014\)](#)
- 10.5 [Amendment to Restricted Stock Grant Agreement, dated May 1, 2014 by and between Solar 3D, Inc. and James B. Nelson \(Incorporated by reference to the current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 2, 2014\)](#)
- 10.6 [Second Amendment to Restricted Stock Grant Agreement, dated August 26, 2014 by and between Solar 3D, Inc. and James B. Nelson \(Incorporated by reference to the current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 29, 2014\)](#)
- 10.7 [Form of Restricted Stock Grant Agreement in connection with grants to Abe Emard, Emil Beitpolous and Mikhail Podnebesnyy \(Incorporated by reference to the current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, dated October 3, 2014\)](#)
- 10.8 [Asset Purchase Agreement dated November 3, 2014 between MD Energy, LLC, Daniel Mitchell, Andrea Mitchell and Solar 3D, Inc. \(Incorporated by reference to the quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed on November 10, 2014\)](#)
- 10.9 [Amended and Restated Asset Purchase Agreement dated February 28, 2015 between MD Energy, LLC, Daniel Mitchell, Andrea Mitchell and Solar 3D, Inc. \(Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 3, 2015\)](#)
- 10.10 [Convertible Promissory Note issued February 28, 2015 \(Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 3, 2015\)](#)
- 10.11 [Employment Agreement effective as of March 29, 2017 between Sunworks, Inc. and Charles Cargile \(Incorporated by reference to the Company's annual report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 28, 2018\)](#)
- 10.12 [Form of Change of Control Agreement dated as of September 26, 2017 between Sunworks, Inc. and Charles Cargile. \(Incorporated by reference to the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 28, 2017\)](#)
- 10.13 [Form of Change of Control Agreement dated as of September 26, 2017 between Sunworks, Inc. and the officers party thereto. \(Incorporated by reference to the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 28, 2017\)](#)
- 10.14 [Loan Agreement dated April 27, 2018 between CrowdOut Capital, Inc. and Sunworks, Inc. \(Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 27, 2018\)](#)
- 10.15 [Senior Promissory Note issued April 27, 2018 \(Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 27, 2018\)](#)
- 10.16 [Subordinated Promissory Note issued April 27, 2018 \(Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 27, 2018\)](#)
- 10.17 [Security Agreement dated April 27, 2018 between CrowdOut Capital, Inc. and Sunworks, Inc. \(Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 27, 2018\)](#)
- 10.18 [Subordinated Agreement dated April 27, 2018 between CrowdOut Capital, Inc. and Sunworks, Inc. \(Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 27, 2018\)](#)
- 14.1 [Sunworks, Inc. Code of Conduct, adopted May 2018 \(Incorporated by reference to the current report filed on June 5, 2018\)](#)
- 21.1 [Subsidiaries \(Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 14, 2016.\)](#)
- 31.1 [Certification of Principal Executive Officer*](#)
- 31.2 [Certification of Principal Financial Officer*](#)
- 32.1 [Section 1350 Certificate of President and Chief Financial Officer*](#)

- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
- 101.LABXBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Filed herewith.

(b) Exhibits.

See (a)(3) above.

(c) Financial Statement Schedules.

See (a)(2) above.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SUNWORKS, INC.

By: /s/ Charles F. Cargile
Chief Executive Officer & President
Principal Executive Officer

By: /s/ Paul McDonnel
Interim Chief Financial Officer
Principal Financial and Accounting Officer

Date: March 29, 2019

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ Charles F. Cargile</u> Charles F. Cargile	Chief Executive Officer and President (Principal Executive Officer)	March 29, 2019
<u>/s/ Paul McDonnel</u> Paul McDonnel	Interim Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 29, 2019
<u>/s/ Joshua Schechter</u> Joshua Schechter	Chairman of the Board	March 29, 2019
<u>/s/ Daniel Gross</u> Daniel Gross	Director	March 29, 2019
<u>/s/ Stanley Speer</u> Stanley Speer	Director	March 29, 2019
<u>/s/ Rhone Resch</u> Rhone Resch	Director	March 29, 2019

CERTIFICATION

I, Charles F. Cargile, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Sunworks, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15 (f) and 15 (d)-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect the registrant's internal control over the financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting that are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

/s/ Charles F. Cargile

**Chief Executive Officer & President
(Principal Executive Officer)**

Date: March 29, 2019

CERTIFICATION

I, Paul McDonnel, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Sunworks, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15 (f) and 15 (d)-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect the registrant's internal control over the financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting that are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

/s/ Paul McDonnel

**Interim Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)**

Date: March 29, 2019

Certification
Pursuant To Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002
(Subsections (A) And (B) Of Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code)

Pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (subsections (a) and (b) of section 1350, chapter 63 of title 18, United States Code), each of the undersigned officers of Sunworks, Inc., (the "Company"), does hereby certify, to such officer's knowledge, that:

The Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 (the "Form 10-K") of the Company fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and the information contained in the Form 10-K fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Charles F. Cargile

Chief Executive Officer

Dated: March 29, 2019

/s/ Paul McDonnel

Interim Chief Financial Officer

Dated: March 29, 2019
