



**USA TRUCK<sup>®</sup>**

ANNUAL REPORT 2009

## Selected Financial Data

(Dollars in thousands except per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Base revenue	<b>\$331,520</b>	\$397,557	\$391,188	\$385,301	\$376,629
Operating (loss) income	<b>(6,607)</b>	12,147	8,312	26,404	33,497
Net (loss) income	<b>(7,177)</b>	3,140	140	12,441	15,568
Diluted (loss) earnings per share	<b>(0.70)</b>	0.31	0.01	1.08	1.51
Total assets	<b>330,700</b>	332,268	332,938	339,494	308,079
Long-term debt	<b>39,116</b>	79,364	70,212	67,817	67,589
Stockholders' equity	<b>\$140,546</b>	\$146,773	\$143,191	\$ 159,558	\$ 149,833
Operating ratio*	<b>102.0%</b>	96.9%	97.9%	93.1%	91.1%
Total tractors (end of period)	<b>2,328</b>	2,392	2,557	2,571	2,414
Total trailers (end of period)	<b>7,214</b>	7,351	7,024	6,770	5,542
Average miles per tractor per week	<b>1,972</b>	2,216	2,236	2,271	2,415

\* Operating ratio as reported above is based upon total operating expenses, net of fuel surcharge, as a percentage of base revenue.

## To Our Stockholders:

We are glad to see 2009 draw to a close. It was by far the most difficult environment in which we have ever operated. Demand for truckload freight services fell precipitously, and excess capacity stubbornly remained in the marketplace, bolstered by falling fuel prices early in the year and by lenient lenders later in the year. The result was too many trucks chasing too little freight, which predictably led to deteriorating pricing.

In retrospect, USA Truck sealed its fate for 2009 during the first quarter, when we failed to secure adequate load volumes during the most active freight bid season in the industry's history. That occurred just as our shortening length-of-haul increased our need for additional loads. As the current recession reached its trough during the middle of the year, we simply could not ramp up our volumes to a level sufficient for profitability. The result was a net loss of \$0.70 per share for the year.

However, we still made steady progress under the surface. Practically every area of our business model showed improvement during 2009, but we simply did not produce enough revenue to show those improvements on the bottom line. We produced free cash flow (net cash flow from operations, less net cash used in investing activities) during 2009, thus protecting our strong balance sheet and enabling us to continue aggressively implementing our long-term strategic plan, VEVA (Vision for Economic Value Added).

In late 2007, we undertook a complete review of our corporate strategy. We studied dozens of transportation and logistics stocks to find what truly drives stock performance in our industry, and we studied our industry to find what truly drives operational performance. We found that earning a sufficient return on capital is the most important factor in creating stockholder value, followed closely by consistent earnings growth. We also found in order to create desirable stockholder value, our focus would need to shift as today's truckload industry requires its participants to operate in a shorter length-of-haul environment and to offer a more diversified menu of services to an increasingly more sophisticated customer base. The result of that research was our VEVA strategic plan.

Historically, USA Truck participated in the long-haul segment of the truckload industry. Shifting to a shorter length-of-haul required a completely different mindset and approach to trucking. It was necessary for us to fundamentally reposition our business from the ground up. To build a solid foundation to support VEVA, we launched several initiatives during 2008 designed to improve the number of times we load our tractors each week, the discipline and intensity levels of our personnel, our safety performance and our technological capabilities. We launched initiatives to build intermodal and brokerage services that could complement our trucking operations and bolster our return on capital. We also set out to improve our cost structure that has long been among the industry's best.

We have made substantial progress in each initiative:

- We removed approximately \$9.0 million from our fixed cost structure during 2009 when compared to 2008, saving approximately \$0.54 per share in earnings.
- We reduced the frequency of Department of Transportation reportable accidents by 25.2% since 2007, resulting in a 90 basis point reduction in insurance and claims expense in 2009 compared to 2008 for a savings of approximately \$0.18 per share.
- We reduced our non-driver headcount by over 20% since the end of 2007, the cost savings of which are reflected in the fixed cost savings discussed above, when we employed just 3.1 drivers for every staff employee. Today, we have improved that ratio to 4.0:1.0.
- We grew our base Intermodal revenue to \$7.8 million in 2009, a 68.6% increase over 2008.
- Our base Brokerage revenue decreased to \$13.7 million in 2009, a reduction of 13.4% from 2008. However, we completely overhauled our operating model in Brokerage during 2009 and began to see year-over-year growth in the fourth quarter (which has carried over into the early months of 2010).
- We have transitioned our Brokerage and Intermodal services to a new technology platform along with several of our administrative applications. We also internally developed and deployed a host of decision support software to our operating personnel.
- We improved our fleet Velocity (number of times we load our fleet each week) by 6.4% in 2009 compared to 2008. However, our length-of-haul also declined by 16.6%, which increased the number of loads we needed.
- Despite the severe pressure on truckload pricing, we increased our Trucking base revenue per loaded mile to \$1.48, a 2.2% improvement when compared to the same period of 2008. The improvement is not the result of price increases to our customers, but rather is attributable to better management of our freight network as the aforementioned reduction in length of haul.

Those last two bullet points are the keys to our prospects in 2010. The progress on all the other bullet points has served to provide a solid foundation on which to build VEVA, but Velocity and pricing are the critical components required to actually bringing VEVA to fruition.

We marked the beginning of transition to the execution phase of VEVA late in third quarter 2009 by the introduction of our Spider Web freight network, which has been meticulously designed to target specific traffic lanes based on the pricing and volumes associated with those lanes. The main reason we did not secure an adequate amount of freight during the first quarter 2009 bid season was that we did not yet have a cohesive strategy for our freight network, which meant that we did not have an adequate blueprint to help us discern good freight from bad freight during the bidding process. The Spider Web network is specifically designed to remedy that shortcoming.

We spent over a year researching freight flows throughout the United States. Using that data, we developed a freight network optimizing the flow of trucks between specific markets to maximize operating margin. We tested our assumptions and revised the model over 130 times before we declared it complete. That declaration came in August 2009, and since then we have worked diligently to implement the network design.

Our goal is to transition our freight volume to Spider Web lanes. During the first half of 2009, only 34% of our total load count moved in Spider Web lanes, but that had improved to approximately 39% by the second half of December. We expect to end 2010 with that percentage being between 45% and 50% assuming no further deteriorations in the freight market. It is not realistic to expect that we can achieve 100% compliance with the Spider Web, and we think it will take a full business cycle for us to maximize our compliance rate.

Operationally, we believe USA Truck is stronger today than we were a year ago. We need freight volume in Spider Web lanes to show the effect of that strength on the bottom line. We are much better positioned to add that freight volume today than a year ago because the Spider Web network design tells us exactly what freight we need to win through customer bids, and our entire organization is focused on winning it.

While freight conditions appear to have stabilized across most of the markets and industries that we serve, and we believe that the worst of the economic recession is behind us, industry conditions still remain very challenging. We also believe that industry capacity will gradually tighten throughout 2010 as struggling trucking companies finally exhaust their working capital. However, regardless of macroeconomic trends, we will continue pursuing our VEVA objectives because that is the best path for USA Truck to follow in order to maximize stockholder value.

Given the challenges we have had to face this year, we appreciate your continued support.

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
**Form 10-K**

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

**OR**

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

**0-19858**

(Commission file number)



**USA TRUCK®**  
**USA Truck, Inc.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

**71-0556971**

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**3200 Industrial Park Road**  
**Van Buren, Arkansas**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**72956**

(Zip Code)

**(479) 471-2500**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

**Common Stock, \$0.01 Par Value**

**The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC**  
**(NASDAQ Global Select Market)**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act

**None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer  Accelerated Filer  Non-Accelerated Filer  Smaller Reporting Company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by nonaffiliates of the registrant computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter was \$106,241,930 (in making this calculation the registrant has assumed, without admitting for any purpose, that all executive officers, directors and affiliated holders of more than 10% of the registrant's outstanding common stock, and no other persons, are affiliates).

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's Common Stock, par value \$0.01, as of March 11, 2010 is 10,505,162.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Document

Part of Form 10-K into which the Document is Incorporated

Portions of the Proxy Statement to be sent to stockholders  
in connection with the 2010 Annual Meeting

Part III



**USA TRUCK, INC.**  
**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Caption</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>PART I</b>		
1.	Business .....	2
1A.	Risk Factors .....	10
1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments .....	16
2.	Properties .....	16
3.	Legal Proceedings .....	16
4.	Reserved .....	17
<b>PART II</b>		
5.	Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities .....	18
6.	Selected Financial Data .....	20
7.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.....	21
7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk .....	35
8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.....	37
9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure .....	58
9A.	Controls and Procedures.....	58
9B.	Other Information .....	60
<b>PART III</b>		
10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance .....	60
11.	Executive Compensation .....	60
12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.....	60
13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence .....	60
14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services.....	60
<b>PART IV</b>		
15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules .....	61
	Signatures .....	62

## PART I

### Item 1. **BUSINESS**

We are a truckload carrier providing transportation of general commodities throughout the continental United States, into and out of Mexico and into and out of portions of Canada. Generally, we transport full dry van trailer loads of freight from origin to destination without intermediate stops or handling. To complement our General Freight operations, we provide dedicated, brokerage and rail intermodal services. For shipments into Mexico, we transfer our trailers to tractors operated by Mexican trucking companies at a facility in Laredo, Texas, which is operated by the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary. Through our asset based and non-asset based capabilities, we transport many types of freight for a diverse customer base in industries such as industrial machinery and equipment, rubber and plastics, retail stores, paper products, durable consumer goods, metals, electronics and chemicals.

Our truckload freight services utilize equipment we own or equipment owned by owner operators for the pick-up and delivery of freight. The Trucking segment of our operations provides these services through three service offerings. Our General Freight service offering transports freight over irregular routes as a short- to medium-haul common carrier. Our Dedicated Freight service offering provides similar transportation services, but does so pursuant to agreements whereby we make our equipment available to a specific customer for shipments over particular routes at specified times. Our Trailer-on-Flat-Car rail intermodal service offering, which we began offering in December 2007, provides a rail transit option to transport freight to the extent Company equipment is used in providing the service. At December 31, 2009, our Trucking fleet consisted of 2,328 in service tractors and 7,214 trailers and our average length-of-haul was 599 miles.

Through our Freight Brokerage and our Container-on-Flat-Car rail intermodal service offerings, which comprise our Strategic Capacity Solutions operating segment, we provide services such as transportation scheduling, routing and mode selection, which typically do not involve the use of our equipment or owner-operator equipment. In the past, we provided these services primarily as supplemental services to customers who had also engaged us to provide truckload freight services.

For reporting purposes, we aggregate the financial data for our Trucking operating segment and our Strategic Capacity Solutions operating segment. The discussion of our business in this Item 1 focuses primarily on Trucking, which is our dominant segment, producing 95.7% of our total base revenue in 2009.

We were incorporated in Delaware in September 1986 as a wholly owned subsidiary of ABF Freight System, Inc. and the Company was purchased by management in December 1988. The initial public offering of our common stock was completed in March 1992.

Our principal offices are located at 3200 Industrial Park Road, Van Buren, Arkansas 72956, and our telephone number is (479) 471-2500.

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, our current reports on Form 8-K, and all other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") can be obtained free of charge by visiting our website at <http://www.usa-truck.com>. Information contained on our website is not incorporated into this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and you should not consider information contained on our website to be part of this report.

Additionally, you may read all of the materials that we file with the SEC by visiting the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. If you would like information about the operation of the Public Reference Room, you may call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. You may also visit the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). This site contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding our Company and other companies that file electronically with the SEC.

#### **Strategic and Operating Objectives**

We have studied our business carefully to determine the best path to narrowing the current and historic disparity between our stock's valuation and the stocks of our peers. Going forward, we are continuing to pursue three primary strategic objectives:

- *Continue to manage our financial returns.* Our goal is to produce a return on capital that meets or exceeds 10% while simultaneously managing our cost of capital below that 10% threshold, thus adding economic value for our stockholders. Over the years, we have consistently injected capital into our business but have not generally been satisfied with the return on that capital. We are now utilizing our own internal cost of capital as the basis for establishing internal rates of return objectives on various business activities.
- *Improve earnings consistency relative to the Standard & Poor's 500.* Since our initial public stock offering, our earnings per share results have been inconsistent, which we believe has contributed to a disparity in valuations between our common stock and that of our peers. There are many factors that have contributed to this inconsistency, including unpredictable insurance and claims costs and our relatively low outstanding share count. However, the most fundamental factor is the volatility inherent in our traditional business model.

Our traditional model, which was primarily medium length-of-haul, produced industry-leading operating margins when freight demand was plentiful, but we struggled under that model when freight demand was scarce. A significant majority of our revenue is now derived from a shorter length-of-haul, as we adjusted our business model in order to meet these strategic objectives. These business model changes are described in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Results of Operations - Executive Overview."

While our revenue production has been volatile throughout the economic cycles, our cost discipline has not. We are consistently one of the lowest cost operators in the truckload industry. Maintaining our cost discipline will be crucial to successfully achieving our objective of improved earnings consistency.

- *Position USA Truck for long-term revenue growth.* Our objective is to create enough operating margin to consistently produce a 10% return on capital. Once we consistently produce that rate of return, profitable top-line revenue growth will again be our primary vehicle to grow stockholder value. By adjusting our operating model and maintaining our cost discipline, we are laying the foundation to position ourselves for future growth opportunities.

The attainment of our strategic direction and objectives is dependent upon the execution of our operating plan and its supporting initiatives that we call VEVA (Vision for Economic Value Added). VEVA is a detailed, quarter-by-quarter operating plan designed to expand our Common Stock valuation multiples by earning a 10% return on capital and simultaneously driving our weighted average cost of capital below 10%. VEVA calls for the expansion of our Common Stock valuation multiples beyond our truckload peer group's mean by sustaining or improving our capital management targets and leveraging a more diversified business model to produce a 10% compounded annual earnings growth rate.

**VISION.** Our primary long-term strategic objectives – to expand the value of our Common Stock through improved returns on capital and to improve the consistency of our earnings growth – precipitated the need to make two fundamental changes within our Company. First, deep organizational change was necessary to retool USA Truck to maximize returns on capital and de-emphasize our historical strategy of persistently growing our tractor fleet. Second, a fresh operational approach was necessary to break our long-term reliance upon long-haul freight and instead focus on freight network yield. Thus, a clear vision for USA Truck's future emerged.

**PLANNING.** Transforming that vision into results required a well-conceived plan. Our team went to work assessing marketplace realities and internal capabilities. We identified eight major initiatives that we believed were essential to effecting the fundamental change needed within the Company to achieve our long-term strategic objectives.

Our internal assessment indicated needs for a stronger technology platform and more effective personnel capabilities. Two initiatives were designed to turn those opportunities into competitive advantages.

*Project Tech.* For a variety of reasons we have lost the competitive advantage once afforded by our legacy mainframe computer platform. To strengthen our ability to make more timely decisions our evolving business model demanded, we began a process to migrate our legacy mainframe platform and internally-developed software applications to server-based platforms. To supplement and enhance our efforts, we are purchasing off-the-shelf products for our core software needs, and developing value-added decision-support software applications internally.

*Project People.* We recognize that aligning the interests and efforts of every employee at USA Truck is essential to achieving our long-term strategic objectives. In that regard, we have instituted several

programs designed to facilitate that alignment. From job descriptions to performance evaluations to talent cultivation, we have challenged, empowered and rewarded our employees for performance. We endeavored to improve the productivity of our non-driver personnel by using a combination of performance-driven management and a more focused, process-driven approach to managing our business. We believe that is the best path to service our customers, produce results for our stockholders and reward our employees.

As the vast majority of our revenue comes from our truckload operations, we believe most of our initiatives should be focused on improving the returns on capital and earnings consistency within those operations. Historically, we focused on a 900-mile length-of-haul as our primary trucking strategy. Late in 2008, for the first time in our Company's history, we designed a freight network to maximize yield, which we define as the optimal combination of tractor utilization, pricing, empty miles and variable operating costs. We now know the specific traffic lanes in which we want to move freight, and the required volumes and prices necessary to maximize yield in those lanes. As a result of those efforts, we believe that by bringing this defined freight network to life through the following four initiatives, launched during 2008, we will be well-positioned to achieve our long-term strategic objectives.

*Project Velocity.* The marketplace for truckload freight has changed. The proliferation of retail distribution centers and the growing rail intermodal market share in long-haul lanes have led to a significant reduction in truckload freight volume in those long-haul lanes. The marketplace is forcing truckload carriers into shorter-haul markets, but operational execution in those markets is very challenging and requires tremendous intensity and discipline. Though we are targeting network yield (not length-of-haul), we recognize that our model will be shorter-haul biased simply because that market is where the freight volumes are. Thus, it was imperative for us to position the Company to execute in the shorter-haul environment. Our Project Velocity has been designed to do just that.

*Yield Management.* The concept of freight network yield was foreign to us prior to 2008, so it was necessary to launch an initiative to educate our people about yield and to start incorporating it into our business processes and performance measurements. Our Yield Management initiative laid the foundation for the development of our defined freight network. We recognize that yield is not driven exclusively by pricing, so we are also monitoring our tractor fleet size to help us attain acceptable pricing levels. We are committed to managing our freight operations to maximize yield.

*Cost Discipline.* USA Truck has long been an industry leader in operating cost per mile. However, cost is such a critical component for network yield that we revisited our entire cost structure during 2008. We now manage costs weekly, and we look at it in two buckets: variable costs per mile and total fixed costs. Our primary goal is obviously to keep costs as low as possible, but we also want to improve the flexibility within the cost structure so it can be quickly adjusted as economic conditions change. We have also devoted considerable attention to fuel costs, gross margins in our Brokerage and Intermodal service offerings (asset-light service offerings) and an assortment of fixed costs including non-driver wages.

*War on Accidents.* Another area where we see potential for meaningful cost reduction is insurance and claims. In late 2007, we implemented our War on Accidents safety initiative which led to a complete overhaul of our safety program. In connection with this initiative, we have increased the safety focus of our drivers and staff personnel and instituted an organizational emphasis on hiring safe drivers, training them effectively, holding them accountable for performance and rewarding them for successes. There are many moving parts to this initiative, but recent results reflect that the basic formula is working.

We have determined that bringing our freight network design to life and successfully implementing all of the above six initiatives will not be enough for us to consistently grow our earnings or to produce returns on capital exceeding our cost of capital through the ups and downs of our cyclical industry. In order for us to grow our business, we will need to meet the increasing demands of our customers to provide an integrated bundle of services. To meet these demands, our plan calls for two asset-light service offerings, which we provide through our Strategic Capacity Solutions ("SCS") operating segment. SCS will allow us to boost our returns on capital, to provide another source of sustainable earnings growth and offer our customers flexible capacity for their transportation needs in a variety of service and cost levels.

*Rail Intermodal Service.* In late December 2007, we moved our first load of rail intermodal freight. We are committed to continue incorporating intermodal into our trucking operations to make the integration as seamless as possible for our customers. We continue to penetrate new markets and broaden our customer base with our Trailer-on-Flat-Car and Container-on-Flat-Car Intermodal service offerings.

*Brokerage Service.* Our Freight Brokerage service offering matches customer shipment needs with available equipment of other carriers, including our own. As we continue to expand our knowledge of the brokerage business, we are incorporating that knowledge into our developing brokerage model. This has allowed us to expand existing branches, establish new branches around the United States and we are continuing to expand our customer base and relationships with third-party broker carriers.

**EXECUTION.** We have painstakingly identified the key performance indicators (KPI) for VEVA, set targets for each of them and assigned ownership to individual employees who have accepted responsibility for them and are held accountable for results daily. Executive management is providing resources, removing barriers and working closely with middle management and front-line personnel to ensure that those targets are met. While our return on capital and earnings growth goals are ambitious, the individual KPI targets are attainable. By focusing on those individual KPI targets, we believe that we can reach our long-term strategic objectives.

### **Industry and Competition**

The trucking industry includes both private fleets and for-hire carriers. Private fleets consist of trucks owned and operated by shippers that move their own goods. For-hire carriers include both truckload and less-than-truckload operations. Truckload carriers dedicate an entire trailer to one customer from origin to destination. Less-than-truckload carriers pick up multiple shipments from multiple shippers on a single truck and then route the goods through terminals or service centers, where freight may be transferred to other trucks with similar destinations for delivery. Truckload carriers typically transport shipments weighing more than 10,000 pounds, while less-than-truckload carriers typically transport shipments weighing less than 10,000 pounds.

We operate primarily in the highly fragmented for-hire truckload segment of the market. The for-hire segment is highly competitive and includes thousands of carriers, none of which dominates the market. This segment is characterized by many small carriers having revenues of less than \$1 million per year and relatively few carriers with revenues exceeding \$100 million per year. According to Transport Topics, measured by annual revenue, the 40 largest truckload carriers accounted for approximately \$26.2 billion of the for-hire truckload market in 2008. We were ranked number 16 of the largest truckload carriers based on total revenue for 2008. The industry continues to undergo consolidation. In addition, the recent challenging economic times have contributed to the failure of many trucking companies and made entry into the industry more difficult.

We compete primarily with other truckload carriers, private fleets and, to a lesser extent, railroads and less-than-truckload carriers. A number of truckload carriers have greater financial resources, own more revenue equipment and carry a larger volume of freight than we do. We also compete with truckload and less-than-truckload carriers for qualified drivers.

The principal means of competition in the truckload segment of the industry are service and price, with rate discounting being particularly intense during economic downturns. Although we compete more on the basis of service rather than rates, rate discounting continues to be a factor in obtaining and retaining business. Furthermore, a depressed economy tends to increase both price and service competition from alternative modes such as less-than-truckload carriers, as well as intermodal carriers. We believe that successful truckload carriers are likely to grow primarily by offering additional services to their customers and acquiring greater market share and, to a lesser extent, through an increase in the size of the market.

### **Marketing and Sales**

We focus the majority of our marketing efforts on customers with premium service requirements and who have heavy shipping needs within our primary operating areas. This permits us to position available equipment strategically so that we can be more responsive to customer needs. It also helps us achieve premium rates and develop long-term, service-oriented relationships. Our employees have a thorough understanding of the needs of shippers in many industries. These factors allow us to provide reliable, timely service to our customers. For 2009, approximately 95.2% of our total revenue was derived from customers that were customers prior to 2009, and we have provided services to our top 10 customers for an average of approximately 14 years. We provided service to 791 customers in 2009, and approximately 36.4 % of our total revenue for 2009 was derived from Standard & Poor's 500 companies.

The table below shows the percentage of our total revenue attributable to our top ten and top five customers and largest customer for the periods indicated.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Top 10 customers .....	32%	32%	34%
Top 5 customers .....	20%	21%	22%
Largest customer .....	4%	6%	6%

Our Sales Department solicits and responds to customer orders and maintains close customer contact regarding service requirements and rates. We typically establish rates through individual negotiations with customers. For our Dedicated Freight services, rates are fixed under contracts tailored to the specific needs of shippers.

While we prefer direct relationships with our customers, we recognize that obtaining shipments through other providers of transportation or logistics services is a significant marketing opportunity. Securing freight through a third party enables us to provide services for high-volume shippers to which we might not otherwise have access because many of them require their carriers to conduct business with their designated third party logistics provider.

We require customers to have credit approval before dispatch. We bill customers at or shortly after delivery and, during 2009, receivables collection averaged approximately 31 days from the billing date.

### Operations

While we provide our services throughout the continental United States, we conduct most of our freight transport operations east of the Rocky Mountains. The following table shows our total Company average length-of-haul and the average length-of-haul for two of the service offerings in our Trucking segment, in miles, for the periods indicated.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Total Company .....	599	718	784
Trucking service offerings:			
General Freight .....	618	769	827
Dedicated Freight .....	471	406	493

Our Operations Department consists primarily of our fleet managers and freight coordinators. Each fleet manager supervises between approximately 45 and 60 drivers in our various service offerings and our fleet managers are the primary contacts with our drivers. They monitor the location of equipment and direct its movement in the safest, most efficient and most practicable manner. Freight coordinators assign all available units and loads in a manner that maximizes profit and minimizes costs. The Operations Department focuses on making trucks available for dispatch, selecting profitable freight and efficiently matching that freight to available trucks, all of which must be achieved without sacrificing customer service, equipment utilization, driver retention or safety.

### Safety

We are committed to continually improving our safety performance. The Safety Department's mission is to focus our efforts on creating the safest possible environment for our drivers and the motoring public, provide the safest possible service to our customers, reduce insurance and claims costs and foster a top-to-bottom culture of safety throughout the Company.

We emphasize safe work habits as a core value throughout our organization, and we engage in proactive training and education relating to safety concepts, processes and procedures for all employees. The evaluation of an applicant's safety record is one of several essential criteria we use when hiring drivers. We conduct pre-employment, random, reasonable suspicion and post-accident alcohol and substance abuse testing in accordance with the U.S. Department of Transportation ("DOT") regulations.

Safety training for new drivers begins in orientation, when newly hired employees are taught safe driving and work techniques that emphasize the importance of our commitment to safety. Upon completion of orientation, new student drivers are required to undergo on-the-road training for four to six weeks with experienced commercial motor vehicle drivers who have been selected for their professionalism and commitment to safety and who are trained to communicate safe driving techniques to our new drivers. New drivers who successfully complete the

training period must pass a road test before being assigned to their own tractor. Additionally, all Company drivers participate in the Smith System<sup>®</sup> training program, a nationally recognized training program for professional drivers that focuses on collision prevention through hands-on training.

To continually reinforce and promote safety concepts Company-wide, we conduct two “live” safety training classes each year and provide other monthly training courses designed to keep our drivers up-to-date on safety topics and to reinforce and advance professional driving skills. Additionally, the Safety Department conducts safety meetings with dispatch personnel to address specific safety-related issues and concerns.

In addition to the regular safety meetings, the Safety Department also conducts “safety blitzes” at our high-traffic terminals. These periodic blitzes are designed to keep safety at the forefront for our drivers and other employees, and supplement our regular meetings by targeting specific safety issues such as proper backing techniques, DOT inspections or mirror check stations. Active participation is required from the drivers.

We also have in place a corrective action program designed to evaluate each driver’s safety record to help determine whether a driver needs additional training and whether the driver is eligible for continued employment. We have a Company-wide communication network designed to facilitate rapid response to safety issues and a driver counseling and retraining system to assist drivers who need additional assistance or training.

In 2008, we established an economic awards program to reward those drivers who have achieved specified safety milestones. Drivers are recognized at the President’s Million Mile Banquet and outstanding drivers are also recognized in Company-wide publications and media releases announcing the driver’s achievements. Driver safety achievements are also noted with special uniform patches, caps, letters of recognition and other awards that identify the driver as having reached a safety milestone.

We maintain a modern fleet of tractors and trailers. This factor, in conjunction with the regular safety inspections that our drivers and our Maintenance Department conduct on our equipment, assists us in our goal of having equipment that is well-maintained and safe. Our tractors are equipped with anti-lock braking systems and electronic governing equipment that limits the maximum speed of our tractors to no more than 63 miles per hour. In addition, substantially all tractors added in 2009, 2008 and 2007, with the exception of those tractors used to train student drivers, are equipped with automatic transmissions and stability control systems, which assists in further reducing the potential for accidents.

### **Insurance and Claims**

The primary risks for which we obtain insurance are cargo loss and damage, personal injury, property damage, workers’ compensation and employee medical claims. We self-insure for a portion of claims exposure in each of these areas.

We maintain insurance above the amounts for which we self-insure with licensed insurance carriers. Although we believe the aggregate insurance limits should be sufficient to cover reasonably expected claims, it is possible that one or more claims could exceed our aggregate coverage limits. Insurance carriers have raised premiums for many businesses, including trucking companies, although we have received premium reductions over the past four years. As a result, our insurance and claims expense could increase, or we could raise our self-insured retention when our policies are renewed. If these expenses increase, if we experience a claim in excess of our coverage limits, or if we experience a claim for which coverage is not provided, our results of operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

### **Drivers and Other Personnel**

Driver recruitment and retention are vital to success in our industry. Recruiting drivers is challenging given our high standards and because enrollment levels in driving schools are volatile. Retention is difficult because of wage and job fulfillment considerations. Driver turnover, especially in the early months of employment, is a significant problem in our industry, and the competition for qualified drivers is intense. Although we have had significant driver turnover during certain periods in the past, we have been able to attract and retain a sufficient number of qualified drivers to support our operations. In order to attract and retain drivers we must continue to provide safe, attractive and comfortable equipment, direct access to management and competitive wages and benefits designed to encourage longer-term employment.

Driver pay is calculated primarily on the basis of miles driven, and increases based on tenure and driver performance. We believe our current pay scale is competitive with industry peers.

On March 11, 2010, we had approximately 3,000 employees, including approximately 2,350 drivers. We do not have any employees represented by a collective bargaining unit. In the opinion of management, our relationship with our employees is good.

### Revenue Equipment and Maintenance

Our policy is to replace most tractors within 36 to 45 months and most trailers within 84 to 120 months from the date of purchase. Because maintenance costs increase as equipment ages, we believe these trade intervals allow us to more closely control our maintenance costs and to economically balance those costs with the equipment's expected sale or trade values. Such trade intervals also permit us to maintain substantial warranty coverage throughout our period of ownership.

We make equipment purchasing and replacement decisions based on a number of factors, including new equipment prices, the used equipment market, demand for our freight services, prevailing interest rates, technological improvements, regulatory changes, fuel efficiency, equipment durability, equipment specifications and the availability of drivers. Therefore, depending on the circumstances, we may accelerate or delay the acquisition and disposition of our tractors or trailers from time to time. In that regard, in an effort to protect our pricing yield and equipment utilization, during the fourth quarter of 2008, we reduced the number of Company-owned tractors we had in service by approximately 250 tractors or 10.3%, and designated them for disposition. The reduction targeted those tractors with the highest miles and resulted in a fourth quarter 2008 impairment charge of approximately \$0.03 per share as their book value had to be adjusted down to their market value. This write down of approximately \$0.5 million is included in Other operating expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. At December 31, 2009, we have replaced all 250 tractors with new tractors and have disposed of all but one of those that we took out of service. In conjunction with our strategic objective of positioning the Company for long-term revenue growth, we will add equipment as the freight market and driver availability dictate. Generally, our primary business strategy of earning greater returns on capital requires that we improve the profitability of our existing tractors before we consider materially adding to the fleet size.

The following table shows the number of units and average age of revenue equipment that we owned or operated under capital leases as of the indicated dates.

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
Tractors:			
Acquired .....	460	786	442
Disposed .....	451	786	495
End of period total.....	2,508	2,499	2,499
Average age at end of period (in months).....	27	24	25
Trailers:			
Acquired .....	--	450	583
Disposed .....	137	123	329
End of period total.....	7,214	7,351	7,024
Average age at end of period (in months).....	63	51	42

To simplify driver and mechanic training, control the cost of spare parts and tire inventory and provide for a more efficient vehicle maintenance program, we purchase tractors and trailers manufactured to our specifications. In deciding which equipment to purchase, a number of factors are considered, including safety, fuel economy, expected resale value, trade terms and driver comfort. We have a strict preventive maintenance program designed to minimize equipment downtime and enhance sale or trade-in values.

We finance revenue equipment purchases through our Senior Credit Facility, capital lease-purchase arrangements, proceeds from sales or trades of used equipment and cash flows from operations. Substantially all of our tractors and trailers are pledged to secure our obligations under financing arrangements.

In addition to tractors that we own, we contract with owner-operators for the use of their tractors and drivers in our operations. During the third quarter of 2007, we introduced a lease-purchase program to drivers interested in owning their own equipment and becoming owner operators. The program offers qualified drivers the opportunity to purchase their own tractors through a third party financing program. The drivers may purchase tractors directly from us or from outside sources. At December 31, 2009, 165 owner-operator tractors were under contract with us, which included 33 lease-purchase operators.

Beginning January 1, 2007, all newly manufactured heavy-duty truck engines were required to comply with new, more stringent emission standards mandated by the Environmental Protection Agency. To address the risk of buying new engines without adequate internal testing and to delay the cost impact of these new emission standards, we accelerated our revenue equipment acquisition program and trade intervals before January 1, 2007. In addition, approximately 87% of the tractors we purchased in 2007 were equipped with engines produced prior to January 2007. Beginning January 1, 2010, new federal emissions requirements became effective for all heavy-duty engines. These new requirements further limit the levels of specified emissions from new heavy-duty engines manufactured in or after 2010, and will result in cost increases when acquiring these engines. In order to comply with the standards, new emissions control technologies, such as selective catalytic reduction strategies and advanced exhaust gas recirculation systems, are being utilized. In anticipation of an increase in the purchase price of new equipment related to the new 2010 emissions requirements, we accelerated the purchase of 100 replacement tractors in 2009 and contractually committed to purchase another 300 pre-2010 emission regulated replacement tractors during the first and second quarters of 2010.

## **Technology**

We maintain a data center using several different computing platforms ranging from personal computers to an IBM mainframe system. Historically, we have developed the majority of our software applications internally, including payroll, billing, dispatch, accounting and maintenance programs. In order to enhance the service we provide our customers, we determined that our mainframe software applications needed to be replaced. Accordingly, we are currently replacing those applications with off-the-shelf, server-based products. During 2009, we converted both our Intermodal and Brokerage service offerings to a server-based operating system and during the first quarter of 2010 we converted our payroll and accounting systems to a server-based product. We continue to use our internal development capabilities to create customized decision-support tools for our operating personnel. Our computer systems are monitored 24 hours a day by experienced information systems professionals. While we employ many preventive measures, including daily back-up of our information systems processes, we do not currently have a wholly redundant backup for our information systems as a part of our catastrophic business continuity plan.

The technology we use in our business enhances the efficiency of all aspects of our operations and enables us to consistently deliver superior service to our customers. We are able to closely monitor the location of all our tractors and to communicate with our drivers in real time through the use of a Qualcomm satellite-based equipment tracking and driver communication system. This enables us to efficiently dispatch drivers in response to customers' requests, to provide real-time information to our customers about the status of their shipments and to provide documentation supporting our assessorial charges, which are charges to customers for additional services such as loading, unloading or equipment delays. We have also implemented load optimization software, which is designed to match available equipment with shipments in a way that best satisfies criteria such as empty miles, the driver's available hours of service and home-time needs. This licensed software assists us in planning for transfers of loaded trailers between our tractors, allowing us to further enhance efficient allocation of our equipment, improve customer service and take full advantage of our drivers' available hours of service.

## **Regulation**

Our operations are regulated and licensed by various government agencies, including the DOT. Our Canadian business activities are subject to similar requirements imposed by the laws and regulations of Canada, as well as its provincial laws and regulations. The DOT, through the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration ("FMCSA"), imposes safety and fitness regulations on us and our drivers. The Company currently has a satisfactory DOT safety rating, which is the highest available rating. New rules that limit driver hours-of-service were adopted effective January 4, 2004, and then modified effective October 1, 2005 ("2005 Rules"). In July 2007, a federal appeals court vacated portions of the 2005 Rules. Two of the key portions that were vacated include the expansion of the driving day from 10 hours to 11 hours, and the "34-hour restart", which allowed drivers to restart calculations of the weekly on-duty time limits after the driver had at least 34 consecutive hours off duty. The court indicated that, in addition to other reasons, it vacated these two portions of the 2005 Rules because FMCSA failed to provide adequate data supporting its decision to increase the driving day and provide for the 34-hour restart. In November 2008, following the submission of additional data by FMCSA and a series of appeals and related court rulings, FMCSA published its Final Rule, which retains the 11 hour driving day and the 34-hour restart. However, advocacy groups have continued to challenge the Final Rule, and the hours of service rules are once again under review by the FMCSA. The FMCSA currently expects to issue a new Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in 2010 and a new final rule is required by law to be issued by 2012. We are unable to predict what form the new rule may take, how a court may address challenges to such rule and to what extent the FMCSA might attempt to materially revise the

rules under the current presidential administration. On the whole, however, we believe any modifications to the current rule will decrease productivity and cause some loss of efficiency, as drivers and shippers may need to be retrained, computer programming may require modifications, additional drivers may need to be employed or engaged, additional equipment may need to be acquired, and some shipping lanes may need to be reconfigured.

The FMCSA's new Comprehensive Safety Analysis 2010 initiative introduces a new enforcement and compliance model, which implements driver standards in addition to the Company standards currently in place. Under the new regulations, the methodology for determining a carrier's DOT safety rating will be expanded to include the on-road safety performance of the carrier's drivers. Implementation of the new regulation is scheduled for July 1, 2010, and enforcement will begin in late 2010. As a result of new regulations, including the expanded methodology for determining a carrier's DOT safety rating, there may be an adverse effect on our DOT safety rating. A conditional or unsatisfactory DOT safety rating could adversely affect our business, because some of our customer contracts may require a satisfactory DOT safety rating. The new regulations may also result in a reduced number of eligible drivers. If current or potential drivers are eliminated due to the Comprehensive Safety Analysis 2010 initiative, we may have difficulty attracting and retaining qualified drivers.

The Environmental Protection Agency adopted emissions control regulations that require progressive reductions in exhaust emissions from diesel engines manufactured on or after October 1, 2002. More stringent reductions became effective on January 1, 2007 for engines manufactured on or after that date, and further reductions became effective on January 1, 2010. Compliance with the regulations has increased the cost of our new tractors and operating expenses while reducing fuel economy, and it is anticipated that the 2010 changes may further adversely impact those areas.

We believe that we are in substantial compliance with applicable federal, state, provincial and local environmental laws and regulations and costs of such compliance will not have a material adverse effect on our competitive position, operations or financial condition or require a material increase in currently anticipated capital expenditures.

#### **Seasonality**

See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Seasonality."

#### **Forward-Looking Statements**

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains certain statements that may be considered forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All statements, other than statements of historical fact, are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements, including without limitation: any projections of earnings, revenues, or other financial items; any statement of plans, strategies, and objectives of management for future operations; any statements concerning proposed new services or developments; any statements regarding future economic conditions or performance; and any statements of belief and any statement of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. Such statements may be identified by their use of terms or phrases such as "expects," "estimates," "projects," "believes," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "goals," "may," "will," "should," "could," "potential," "continue," "future" and similar terms and phrases. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, some of which cannot be predicted or quantified, which could cause future events and actual results to differ materially from those set forth in, contemplated by, or underlying the forward-looking statements. Readers should review and consider the factors discussed under the heading "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, along with various disclosures in our press releases, stockholder reports, and other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

All forward-looking statements attributable to us, or persons acting on our behalf, are expressly qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement.

References to the "Company," "we," "us," "our" and words of similar import refer to USA Truck, Inc. and its subsidiary.

#### **Item 1A. RISK FACTORS**

*In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the following risks and uncertainties which could cause our actual results to differ materially from the results contemplated by the*

*forward-looking statements contained in this report and in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.*

***Our business is subject to economic, credit and business factors affecting the trucking industry that are largely out of our control, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.***

The factors that have negatively affected us, and may do so in the future, include volatile fuel prices, excess capacity in the trucking industry, surpluses in the market for used equipment, higher interest rates, higher license and registration fees, increases in insurance premiums, higher self-insurance levels, increases in accidents and adverse claims and difficulty in attracting and retaining qualified drivers and independent contractors.

We are also affected by recessionary economic cycles and downturns in customers' business cycles. Economic conditions may adversely affect our customers and their ability to pay for our services. It is not possible to predict the effects of armed conflicts or terrorist attacks and subsequent events on the economy or on consumer confidence in the United States, or the impact, if any, on our future results of operations.

There has been on-going concern over the credit markets and their effect on the economy. If the economy and credit markets weaken or more restrictive regulatory changes implemented, our business, financial results, and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected, especially if consumer confidence declines and domestic spending decreases. Additionally, the stresses in the credit market have caused uncertainty in the equity markets. Although some stability has returned to the equity markets, there still exists economic uncertainty, and that could cause the market price of our securities to be volatile.

If the credit markets continue to erode, we also may not be able to access our current sources of credit and our lenders may not have the capital to fund those sources. We may need to incur additional indebtedness or issue debt or equity securities in the future to refinance existing debt, fund working capital requirements, make investments, or for general corporate purposes. As a result of contractions in the credit market, as well as other economic trends in the credit market industry, we may not be able to secure financing for future activities on satisfactory terms, or at all.

Our Amended and Restated Senior Credit Facility matures on September 1, 2010. Accordingly, during the quarter ended September 30, 2009, we reclassified that debt from long-term to short-term. The proposed new facility has materially higher spreads than our current spreads due to widely reported dislocations in the credit markets. We have a term sheet in place and are now working on definitive documents.

If we are not successful in finalizing the definitive documents or obtaining financing because we are unable to access the capital markets on financially economical or feasible terms, it could impact our ability to provide services to our customers and may materially and adversely affect our business, financial results, current operations, results of operations, and potential investments.

***We operate in a highly competitive and fragmented industry, and our business may suffer if we are unable to adequately address downward pricing pressures and other factors that may adversely affect our ability to compete with other carriers.***

Numerous competitive factors could impair our ability to maintain our current profitability. These factors include:

- We compete with many other truckload carriers of varying sizes and, to a lesser extent, with less-than-truckload carriers and railroads, some of which have more equipment or greater capital resources, or other competitive advantages.
- Some of our competitors periodically reduce their freight rates to gain business, especially during times of reduced growth rates in the economy, which may limit our ability to maintain or increase freight rates, maintain our margins or maintain growth in our business.
- Many customers reduce the number of carriers they use by selecting so-called "core carriers" as approved service providers, and in some instances we may not be selected.
- Many customers periodically accept bids from multiple carriers for their shipping needs, and this process may depress freight rates or result in the loss of some of our business to competitors.
- The trend toward consolidation in the trucking industry may create large carriers with greater financial resources and other competitive advantages relating to their size, and we may have difficulty competing with these larger carriers.

- Advances in technology require increased investments to remain competitive, and our customers may not be willing to accept higher freight rates to cover the cost of these investments.
- Competition from internet-based and other logistics and freight brokerage companies may adversely affect our customer relationships and freight rates.
- Economies of scale that may be passed on to smaller carriers by procurement aggregation providers may improve their ability to compete with us.

***Ongoing insurance and claims expenses could significantly reduce our earnings.***

If the number or severity of claims increases or if the costs associated with claims otherwise increase, our operating results will be adversely affected. The time that such costs are incurred may significantly impact our operating results for a particular quarter, as compared to the comparable quarter in the prior year. In addition, if we were to lose our ability to self-insure for any significant period of time, our insurance costs would materially increase and we could experience difficulty in obtaining adequate levels of coverage.

We could experience increases in our insurance premiums in the future if we have an increase in coverage, a reduction in our self-retention level or if our claims experience deteriorates. If our insurance or claims expense increases, and we are unable to offset the increase with higher freight rates, our earnings could be materially and adversely affected.

***We have significant ongoing capital requirements that could affect our profitability if we are unable to generate sufficient cash from operations.***

The trucking industry is very capital intensive. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash from operations in the future, we may have to limit our growth, enter into additional financing arrangements or operate our revenue equipment for longer periods, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our profitability.

***We depend on the proper functioning and availability of our information systems.***

We depend on the proper functioning and availability of our communications and data processing systems in operating our business. Our information systems are protected through physical and software safeguards. However, they are still vulnerable to fire, storm, flood, power loss, telecommunications failures, physical or software break-ins and similar events. We do not have a formal catastrophic disaster recovery plan or a fully redundant alternate processing capability. If any of our critical information systems fail or become otherwise unavailable, we would have to perform the functions manually, which could temporarily impact our ability to manage our fleet efficiently, to respond to customers' requests effectively, to maintain billing and other records reliably and to bill for services accurately or in a timely manner. Our business interruption insurance may be inadequate to protect us in the event of a catastrophe. Any system failure, security breach or other damage could interrupt or delay our operations, damage our reputation and cause us to lose customers, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We have begun a multi-year process to migrate our legacy mainframe platform and internally developed software applications to server-based platforms. We will purchase off-the-shelf products for our core software needs, and develop value-added decision-support software applications internally. Any delays, complications or additional costs associated with, or the failure of, this project could have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results.

***We depend on our major customers, the loss of one or more of which could have a material adverse effect on our business.***

A significant portion of our revenue is generated from our major customers. For fiscal year 2009, our top 10 customers accounted for approximately 32% of our revenue, our top five customers accounted for approximately 20% of our revenue and our largest customer accounted for approximately 4% of our revenue. Generally, we do not have long-term contracts with our major customers and we cannot assure you that our customer relationships will continue as presently in effect. A reduction in or termination of our services by one or more of our major customers could have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results.

***If we are unable to retain our key executives, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be harmed.***

We are dependent upon the services of our executive management team. We do not maintain key-person life insurance on any members of our management team. The loss of their services could have a material adverse effect

on our operations and future profitability. We must continue to develop and retain a core group of managers if we are to realize our goal of expanding our operations, improve our earnings consistency and position the Company for long-term revenue growth.

***We operate in a highly regulated industry and increased costs of compliance with, or liability for violation of, existing or future regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business.***

The DOT and various state agencies exercise broad powers over our business, generally governing such activities as authorization to engage in motor carrier operations, safety, insurance requirements and financial reporting. We may also become subject to new or more restrictive regulations relating to fuel emissions and ergonomics. Our Canadian business activities are subject to similar requirements imposed by the laws and regulations of Canada and its provincial laws and regulations. Compliance with such regulations could substantially reduce equipment productivity, and the costs of compliance could increase our operating expenses. Our employee drivers and independent contractors also must comply with the safety and fitness regulations promulgated by the DOT, including those relating to drug and alcohol testing and hours of service. The Transportation Security Administration of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security now require all drivers who haul hazardous materials to undergo background checks by the Federal Bureau of Investigation upon renewal of their licenses. While we have historically required all of our drivers to obtain this qualification, these regulations could reduce the availability of qualified drivers, which could require us to adjust our driver compensation package, limit the growth of our fleet or let equipment sit idle. These regulations could also complicate the process of matching available equipment with shipments that include hazardous materials, thereby increasing the time it takes us to respond to customer orders and increasing our empty miles.

In July 2007, a federal appeals court vacated portions of the 2005 Hours-of-Service Rules. Two of the key portions that were vacated include the expansion of the driving day from 10 hours to 11 hours, and the “34-hour restart,” which allowed drivers to restart calculations of the weekly on-duty time limits after the driver had at least 34 consecutive hours off duty. The court indicated that, in addition to other reasons, it vacated these two portions of the 2005 Rules because FMCSA failed to provide adequate data supporting its decision to increase the driving day and provide for the 34-hour restart. In November 2008, following the submission of additional data by FMCSA and a series of appeals and related court rulings, FMCSA published its Final Rule, which retains the 11 hour driving day and the 34-hour restart. However, advocacy groups have continued to challenge the Final Rule and on October 26, 2009, the FMCSA agreed pursuant to a settlement agreement with certain advocacy groups that the Final Rule on drivers’ hours of service would not take effect pending the publication of a new Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

Under the settlement agreement, the FMCSA will submit the draft Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to the Office of Management and Budget by July 2010 and the FMCSA will issue a Final Rule by 2012. The current hours of service rules, adopted in 2005, will remain in effect during the rulemaking proceedings. In December of 2009, the FMCSA issued a notice soliciting data and research information for the FMCSA’s consideration in drafting the forthcoming Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

We are unable to predict what form the new hours of service rules may take, how a court may rule on such challenges to such rules and to what extent the FMCSA might attempt to materially revise the rules under the current presidential administration. On the whole, however, we believe that any modifications to the current rules will decrease productivity and cause some loss of efficiency, as drivers and shippers may need to be retrained, computer programming may require modifications, additional drivers may need to be employed or engaged, additional equipment may need to be acquired, and some shipping lanes may need to be reconfigured. We are also unable to predict the effect of any new rules that might be proposed if the Final Rule is stricken by a court, but any such proposed rules could increase costs in our industry or decrease productivity. Failures to comply with DOT safety regulations or downgrades in our safety rating could have a material adverse impact on our operations or financial condition. A downgrade in our safety rating could cause us to lose the ability to self-insure. The loss of our ability to self-insure for any significant period of time would materially increase our insurance costs. In addition, we may experience difficulty in obtaining adequate levels of coverage in that event.

On December 26, 2007, FMCSA published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the Federal Register regarding minimum requirements for entry level driver training. Under the 2007 proposed rule, a commercial driver’s license applicant would be required to present a valid driver training certificate obtained from an accredited institution or program. Entry-level drivers applying for a Class A commercial driver’s license would be required to complete a minimum of 120 hours of training, consisting of 76 classroom hours and 44 driving hours. The current regulations do not require a minimum number of training hours and require only classroom education. Drivers who obtain their first commercial driver’s license during the three-year period after FMCSA issues a Final Rule would be exempt.

FMCSA has not established a deadline for issuing the Final Rule, but the comment period expired on May 23, 2008. If the rule is approved as written in the 2007 Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, this rule could materially impact the number of potential new drivers entering the industry and, accordingly, negatively impact our results of operations.

The FMCSA's new Comprehensive Safety Analysis 2010 initiative introduces a new enforcement and compliance model, which implements driver standards in addition to the Company standards currently in place. Under the new regulations, the methodology for determining a carrier's DOT safety rating will be expanded to include the on-road safety performance of the carrier's drivers. Implementation of the new regulation is scheduled for July 1, 2010, and enforcement will begin in late 2010. As a result of these new regulations, including the expanded methodology for determining a carrier's DOT safety rating, there may be an adverse effect on our DOT safety rating. A conditional or unsatisfactory DOT safety rating could adversely affect our business, because some of our customer contracts may require a satisfactory DOT safety rating. The new regulations may also result in a reduced number of eligible drivers. If current or potential drivers are eliminated due to the Comprehensive Safety Analysis 2010 initiative, we may have difficulty attracting and retaining qualified drivers.

***Decreases in the availability of new tractors and trailers could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.***

From time to time, some tractor and trailer vendors have reduced their manufacturing output due, for example, to lower demand for their products in economic downturns or a shortage of component parts. As conditions changed, some of those vendors have had difficulty fulfilling the increased demand for new equipment. There have been periods when we were unable to purchase as much new revenue equipment as we needed to sustain our desired growth rate and to maintain a late-model fleet. We may experience similar difficulties in future periods. Also, to meet the more restrictive Environmental Protection Agency emissions standards in 2007 and in January 2010, vendors have had to introduce new engine technology. An inability to continue to obtain an adequate supply of new tractors or trailers could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

***Fluctuations in the price or availability of fuel, hedging activities, the volume and terms of diesel fuel purchase commitments, surcharge collection and surcharge policies approved by customers may increase our costs of operation, which could materially and adversely affect our profitability.***

Fuel is one of our largest operating expenses. Diesel fuel prices fluctuate greatly due to economic, political, and other factors beyond our control. Fuel also is subject to regional pricing differences. From time-to-time we may use hedging contracts and volume purchase arrangements to attempt to limit the effect of price fluctuations. If we do hedge, we may be forced to make cash payments under the hedging arrangements. We use a fuel surcharge program to recapture a portion of the increases in fuel prices over a base rate negotiated with our customers. Our fuel surcharge program does not protect us from full effect of increases in fuel prices. The terms of each customer's fuel surcharge program vary and certain customers have sought to modify the terms of their fuel surcharge programs to minimize recoverability for fuel price increases. Over the past year, the failure to recover fuel price increases resulted in a materially negative impact to our results of operations. A failure to improve our fuel price protection through these measures, further increases in fuel prices, or a shortage of diesel fuel, could materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

***Increases in driver compensation or difficulty in attracting and retaining qualified drivers could adversely affect our profitability.***

Like many truckload carriers, we experience substantial difficulty in attracting and retaining sufficient numbers of qualified drivers, including independent contractors. In addition, due in part to current economic conditions, including the higher cost of fuel, insurance, and tractors, the available pool of independent contractor drivers has been declining. Regulatory requirements, including the new Comprehensive Safety Analysis 2010 initiative, could also reduce the number of eligible drivers. Because of the shortage of qualified drivers and intense competition for drivers from other trucking companies, we expect to continue to face difficulty increasing the number of our drivers, including independent contractor drivers. The compensation we offer our drivers and independent contractors is subject to market conditions, and we may find it necessary to continue to increase driver and independent contractor compensation in future periods. In addition, we and our industry suffer from a high driver turnover rate. Our high turnover rate requires us to continually recruit a substantial number of drivers in order to operate existing revenue equipment. If we are unable to continue to attract and retain a sufficient number of drivers, we could be required to adjust our compensation packages, let tractors sit idle, or operate with fewer tractors and face difficulty meeting shipper demands, all of which would adversely affect our growth and profitability.

***Our operations are subject to various environmental laws and regulations, the violation of which could result in substantial fines or penalties.***

We are subject to various environmental laws and regulations dealing with the hauling and handling of hazardous materials, fuel storage tanks, air emissions from our vehicles and facilities, engine idling, and discharge and retention of storm water. We operate in industrial areas, where truck terminals and other industrial activities are located, and where groundwater or other forms of environmental contamination may have occurred. Our operations involve the risks of fuel spillage or seepage, environmental damage, and hazardous waste disposal, among others. We also maintain above-ground bulk fuel storage tanks and fueling islands at five of our facilities. A small percentage of our freight consists of low-grade hazardous substances, which subjects us to a wide array of regulations. Although we have instituted programs to monitor and control environmental risks and promote compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations, if we are involved in a spill or other accident involving hazardous substances, if there are releases of hazardous substances we transport, or if we are found to be in violation of applicable laws or regulations, we could be subject to liabilities, including substantial fines or penalties or civil and criminal liability, any of which could have a materially adverse effect on our business and operating results.

Regulations limiting exhaust emissions became effective in 2002 and became progressively more restrictive in 2007 and January 2010. Engines manufactured after October 2002 generally cost more, produce lower fuel mileage, and require additional maintenance compared with earlier models. All of our tractors are equipped with these engines. We expect additional cost increases and possibly degradation in fuel mileage from the 2010 engines. These adverse effects, combined with the uncertainty as to the reliability of the newly designed diesel engines and the residual values of these vehicles, could increase our costs or otherwise adversely affect our business or operations.

***If we cannot effectively manage the challenges associated with doing business internationally, our revenues and profitability may suffer.***

An integral component of our operations is the business we conduct in Mexico and, to a lesser extent Canada, and we are subject to risks of doing business internationally, including fluctuations in foreign currencies, changes in the economic strength of the countries in which we do business, difficulties in enforcing contractual obligations and intellectual property rights, burdens of complying with a wide variety of international and United States export and import laws, and social, political, and economic instability. Additional risks associated with our foreign operations, including restrictive trade policies and imposition of duties, taxes, or government royalties by foreign governments, are present but largely mitigated by the terms of NAFTA.

***Seasonality and the impact of weather affect our operations and profitability.***

Our tractor productivity decreases during the winter season because inclement weather impedes operations, and some shippers reduce their shipments after the winter holiday season. Revenue can also be affected by bad weather and holidays, since revenue is directly related to available working days of shippers. At the same time, operating expenses increase, with fuel efficiency declining because of engine idling and harsh weather creating higher accident frequency, increased claims, and more equipment repairs. We could also suffer short-term impacts from weather-related events such as hurricanes, blizzards, ice storms, and floods that could harm our results or make our results more volatile.

***Increased prices, reduced productivity, and restricted availability of new revenue equipment may adversely affect our earnings and cash flows.***

We are subject to risk with respect to prices for new tractors. Prices may increase, for among other reasons, due to government regulations applicable to newly manufactured tractors and diesel engines and due to commodity prices and pricing power among equipment manufacturers. More restrictive Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, emissions standards that began in 2002 with additional new requirements implemented in 2007 and January 2010 have required vendors to introduce new engines. Our business could be harmed if we are unable to continue to obtain an adequate supply of new tractors and trailers. As of December 31, 2009, approximately 60% of our tractor fleet was comprised of tractors with engines that met the EPA mandated clean air standards that became effective January 1, 2007. Tractors that meet the 2007 and 2010 standards are more expensive than non-compliant tractors, and we expect to continue to pay increased prices for equipment as we continue to increase the percentage of our fleet that meets the EPA mandated clean air standards.

In addition, a decreased demand for used revenue equipment could adversely affect our business and operating results. We rely on the sale and trade-in of used revenue equipment to partially offset the cost of new revenue

equipment. When the supply of used revenue equipment exceeds the demand for used revenue equipment, as it did during 2009, the general market value of used revenue equipment decreases. Should this current condition continue, it would increase our capital expenditures for new revenue equipment, decrease our gains on sale of revenue equipment, or increase our maintenance costs if management decides to extend the use of revenue equipment in a depressed market, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

**Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

There are no unresolved written SEC staff comments regarding our periodic or current reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 received 180 days or more before the end of the fiscal year to which this annual report on Form 10-K relates.

**Item 2. PROPERTIES**

Our executive offices and headquarters are located on approximately 104 acres in Van Buren, Arkansas. This facility consists of approximately 117,000 square feet of office, training and driver facilities and approximately 30,000 square feet of maintenance space within two structures. The facility also has approximately 11,000 square feet of warehouse space and two other structures with approximately 22,000 square feet of office and warehouse space which is leased to another party.

We operate a network of ten additional facilities, which includes brokerage offices and one terminal facility in Laredo, Texas, operated by a wholly-owned subsidiary, International Freight Services, Inc., which is one of the largest inland freight gateway cities between the U.S. and Mexico. As of December 31, 2009, our facilities were located in or near the following cities:

	<u>Shop</u>	<u>Driver Facilities</u>	<u>Fuel</u>	<u>Office (1)</u>	<u>Own or Lease</u>
Van Buren, Arkansas	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Own
West Memphis, Arkansas	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Own/Lease
Springdale, Arkansas	No	No	No	Yes	Lease
Burns Harbor, Indiana	No	No	No	Yes	Lease
Shreveport, Louisiana	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Own
Vandalia, Ohio	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Own
Spartanburg, South Carolina	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Own
Laredo, Texas	Yes	No	No	Yes	Own/Lease
Roanoke, Virginia	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Lease
Atlanta, Georgia	No	No	No	Yes	Lease
Post Falls, Idaho	No	No	No	Yes	Lease

(1) Includes administrative and shop personnel office facilities.

Effective February 1, 2010, we leased a facility in Chicago, Illinois. This leased facility includes a shop, driver facility and office.

During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company sold a facility, which was not being used, in the Dayton, Ohio market.

**Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

We are a party to routine litigation incidental to our business, primarily involving claims for personal injury and property damage incurred in the transportation of freight. Though we believe these claims to be routine and immaterial to our long-term financial position, adverse results of one or more of these claims could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flow.

On May 22, 2006, a former independent sales agent filed a lawsuit against us entitled *All-Ways Logistics, Inc. v. USA Truck, Inc.*, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas, Jonesboro Division, alleging, among other things, breach of contract, breach of implied duty of good faith and fair dealing, and tortious interference with business relations. The plaintiff alleged that we breached and wrongfully terminated our commission sales agent agreement with it and improperly interfered with its business relationship with certain of its customers. In early August 2007, the jury returned an unfavorable verdict in this contract dispute. The jury held that we breached the contract and awarded the plaintiff damages of approximately \$3.0 million, which was accrued during the quarter ended September 30, 2007. In its December 4, 2007 order, the court denied substantially all of USA Truck's motions for post-trial relief and granted the plaintiff's motions for pre-judgment interest, attorney's

fees and costs in an amount totaling approximately \$1.7 million, which was accrued during the fourth quarter of 2007. The court's order also awarded the plaintiff post-judgment interest, of which we accrued approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. On January 2, 2008, we filed an appeal of the verdict and the court's order, and on September 25, 2008, we presented an oral argument before the 8<sup>th</sup> Circuit United States Court of Appeals seeking to overturn the verdict. On October 1, 2009, the Court of Appeals entered an order affirming the decision of the District Court. The total award in the amount of \$5.1 million was paid on October 19, 2009. On October 20, 2009, the Court issued its final mandate, effectively concluding the litigation.

**Item 4. RESERVED**

## PART II

### Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our Common Stock is quoted on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "USAK." The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sale prices of our Common Stock as reported by the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

	Price Range	
	High	Low
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2009</b>		
<b>Fourth Quarter</b> .....	<b>\$ 13.45</b>	<b>\$ 10.78</b>
<b>Third Quarter</b> .....	<b>15.31</b>	<b>12.10</b>
<b>Second Quarter</b> .....	<b>16.09</b>	<b>12.13</b>
<b>First Quarter</b> .....	<b>14.97</b>	<b>11.73</b>
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2008</b>		
Fourth Quarter .....	\$ 17.05	\$ 11.53
Third Quarter .....	19.53	9.50
Second Quarter .....	13.42	11.60
First Quarter .....	15.89	11.26

As of March 11, 2010, there were 222 holders of record (including brokerage firms and other nominees) of our Common Stock. We estimate that there were approximately 1,650 beneficial owners of the Common Stock as of that date. On March 11, 2010, the closing price of our Common Stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market was \$15.49 per share.

#### **Dividend Policy**

We have not paid any dividends on our Common Stock to date, and we do not anticipate paying any dividends at the present time. We currently intend to retain all of our earnings, if any, for use in the expansion and development of our business. The covenants of our Senior Credit Facility would prohibit us from paying dividends if such payment would cause us to be in violation of any of the covenants in that Facility.

#### **Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table provides information about our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2009. The equity compensation plans that have been approved by our stockholders are our 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and our 2003 Restricted Stock Award Plan. We do not have any equity compensation plans under which equity awards are outstanding or may be granted that have not been approved by our stockholders.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a))
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Security Holders .....	201,446(1)	\$16.25(2)	450,419(3)
Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Security Holders .....	--	--	--
<b>Total</b> .....	<b>201,446</b>	<b>\$16.25</b>	<b>450,419</b>

- (1) Includes only 201,446 of Common Stock subject to outstanding stock options and does not include: (a) 204,000 unvested shares of restricted stock, which will vest in annual increments, subject to the attainment of specified performance goals, and which do not require the payment of exercise prices and (b) 21,810

unvested shares of restricted stock, which will vest in annual increments, and which do not require the payment of exercise prices.

The above number excludes 4,000 shares of performance based restricted stock, which was deemed to be forfeited at September 30, 2009. The forfeiture will become effective March 1, 2010.

- (2) Excludes shares of restricted stock, which do not require the payment of exercise prices.
- (3) Pursuant to the terms of our 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, on the day of each annual meeting of our stockholders for a period of nine years, beginning with the 2005 Annual Meeting and ending with the 2013 Annual Meeting, the maximum number of shares of Common Stock available for issuance under this plan (including shares issued prior to each such adjustment) is automatically increased by a number of shares equal to the lesser of (i) 25,000 shares or (ii) such lesser number of shares (which may be zero or any number less than 25,000) as determined by our Board of Directors. Pursuant to this adjustment provision, the maximum number of shares available for issuance under this plan will increase from 1,025,000 to 1,050,000 on May 5, 2010, the date of our 2010 Annual Meeting. The share numbers included in the table do not reflect this adjustment or any future adjustments. The 450,419 shares that remain available for future grants may be granted as stock options under our 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, or alternatively, be issued as restricted stock, stock units, performance shares, performance units or other incentives payable in cash or stock.

### Repurchase of Equity Securities

On January 24, 2007, we publicly announced that our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 2,000,000 shares of our outstanding Common Stock over a three-year period ending January 24, 2010. We may make Common Stock purchases under this program on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions at prices determined by our Chairman of the Board or President. During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, no shares of our Common Stock were repurchased.

On October 21, 2009, the Board of Directors of the Company approved an authorization for the repurchase of up to 2,000,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock expiring on October 21, 2012. Subject to applicable timing and other legal requirements, repurchases under this authorization may be made on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions on terms approved by the Company's Chairman of the Board or President. Repurchased shares may be retired or held in treasury for future use for appropriate corporate purposes including issuance in connection with awards under the Company's employee benefit plans. The new authorization is in addition to the existing repurchase authorization.

Common Stock repurchases during the quarter ended December 31, 2009 are as follows:

Period	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
October 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009 .....	--	--	--	3,165,901
November 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009 ...	--	--	--	3,165,901
December 1, 2009 - December 31, 2009 ....	--	--	--	3,165,901
Total .....	--	--	--	3,165,901

## Item 6. **SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

You should read the following selected consolidated financial data and other operating information along with “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and “Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.” We derived the selected Consolidated Statements of Operations and Consolidated Balance Sheets data as of and for each of the five years ended December 31, 2009 from our audited financial statements.

### **SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING INFORMATION**

(in thousands, except per share data and key operating statistics)

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
<b>Statements of Operations Data:</b>					
Revenue:					
Trucking revenue .....	\$ 317,224	\$ 381,055	\$ 382,064	\$ 370,780	\$ 358,522
Strategic Capacity Solutions revenue .....	14,296	16,502	9,124	14,521	18,107
Total base revenue .....	331,520	397,557	391,188	385,301	376,629
Fuel surcharge revenue .....	50,848	138,063	90,921	80,317	63,074
Total revenue .....	382,368	535,620	482,109	465,618	439,703
Operating expenses and costs:					
Salaries, wages and employee benefits .....	128,319	157,729	162,236	152,998	143,164
Fuel and fuel taxes .....	93,803	189,042	153,023	138,629	121,026
Depreciation and amortization .....	50,152	50,919	49,093	46,739	41,890
Purchased transportation .....	44,058	40,323	18,609	19,815	24,710
Operations and maintenance .....	26,594	27,729	25,815	21,919	21,178
Insurance and claims .....	21,086	28,999	31,144	27,006	26,172
Operating taxes and licenses .....	5,642	6,456	6,368	6,610	6,224
Litigation verdict .....	--	--	4,690	--	--
Communications and utilities .....	3,951	4,075	3,787	3,362	3,220
Gain on disposal of assets .....	(7)	(19)	(395)	(541)	(1,144)
Other .....	15,377	18,220	19,429	22,677	19,766
Total operating expenses and costs .....	388,975	523,473	473,799	439,214	406,206
Operating (loss) income .....	(6,607)	12,147	8,310	26,404	33,497
Other expenses (income):					
Interest expense .....	3,030	4,643	5,130	4,192	4,829
Other, net .....	(207)	139	22	(134)	(19)
Total other expenses, net .....	2,823	4,782	5,152	4,058	4,810
(Loss) income before income taxes .....	(9,430)	7,365	3,158	22,346	28,687
Income tax (benefit) expense .....	(2,253)	4,225	3,018	9,905	13,119
Net (loss) income .....	\$ (7,177)	\$ 3,140	\$ 140	\$ 12,441	\$ 15,568
Per share information:					
Average shares outstanding (Basic) .....	10,240	10,220	10,596	11,353	10,034
Basic (loss) earnings per share .....	\$ (0.70)	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.01	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.55
Average shares outstanding (Diluted) .....	10,240	10,238	10,651	11,561	10,328
Diluted (loss) earnings per share .....	\$ (0.70)	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.01	\$ 1.08	\$ 1.51

**SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING INFORMATION (continued)**

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
<b>Other Financial Data:</b>					
Operating ratio (1) .....	<b>102.0%</b>	96.9%	97.9%	93.1%	91.1%
Cash flows from operations .....	<b>\$ 32,851</b>	\$ 65,869	\$ 58,585	\$ 76,249	\$ 56,552
Capital expenditures, net (2) .....	<b>39,694</b>	64,997	39,967	74,583	56,525
<b>Key Operating Statistics:</b>					
Base Trucking revenue per tractor per week .....	<b>\$ 2,602</b>	\$ 2,869	\$ 2,842	\$ 2,831	\$ 2,936
Average miles per tractor per week .....	<b>1,972</b>	2,216	2,236	2,186	2,325
Empty mile factor (3) .....	<b>10.9%</b>	10.7%	11.1%	10.3%	8.7%
Weighted average number of tractors (4) .....	<b>2,338</b>	2,540	2,578	2,512	2,342
Total miles (loaded and empty) (in thousands) .....	<b>240,379</b>	294,248	300,577	286,317	283,921
Average miles per tractor .....	<b>102,814</b>	115,846	116,593	113,980	121,230
Average miles per trip (5) .....	<b>599</b>	718	784	837	837
Average age of tractors, at end of period (in months) .....	<b>27</b>	24	25	21	19
Average age of trailers, at end of period (in months) .....	<b>63</b>	51	42	36	38
<b>Balance Sheets Data:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents .....	<b>\$ 797</b>	\$ 1,541	\$ 8,014	\$ 7,132	\$ 994
Total assets .....	<b>330,700</b>	332,268	332,938	339,494	308,079
Long-term debt, capital leases and note payable, including current portion .....	<b>103,592</b>	97,605	96,162	95,406	89,232
Stockholders' equity .....	<b>140,546</b>	146,773	143,191	159,558	149,833
Total debt, less cash, to total capitalization ratio .....	<b>42.1%</b>	39.3%	36.8%	34.6%	37.4%

- (1) Operating ratio is based upon total operating expenses, net of fuel surcharge revenue, as a percentage of base revenue.
- (2) Capital expenditures, net equals cash purchases of property and equipment plus the liability incurred for leases on revenue equipment less proceeds from the sale of property and equipment.
- (3) The empty mile factor is the number of miles traveled for which we are not typically compensated by any customer as a percentage of total miles traveled.
- (4) Weighted average number of tractors includes Company-operated tractors in-service plus owner-operator tractors.
- (5) Average miles per trip is based upon loaded miles divided by the number of Trucking shipments.

**Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**Overview**

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (or MD&A) is intended to help the reader understand USA Truck, Inc., our operations and our present business environment. MD&A is provided as a supplement to and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and other financial information that appears elsewhere in this report. This overview summarizes the MD&A, which includes the following sections:

*Our Business* – a general description of our business, the organization of our operations and the service offerings that comprise our operations.

*Results of Operations* – an analysis of our consolidated results of operations for the three years presented in our consolidated financial statements and a discussion of seasonality, the potential impact of inflation and fuel availability and cost.

*Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements* – a discussion of significant financial arrangements, if any, that are not reflected on our balance sheet.

*Liquidity and Capital Resources* – an analysis of cash flows, sources and uses of cash, debt, equity and contractual obligations.

*Critical Accounting Estimates* – a discussion of accounting policies that require critical judgment and estimates.

## **Our Business**

We operate in the for-hire truckload segment of the trucking industry. Customers in a variety of industries engage us to haul truckload quantities of freight, with the trailer we use to haul that freight being assigned exclusively to that customer's freight until delivery. We have various service offerings, which we combine into two operating segments, through which we provide transportation services. We aggregate the financial data for these operating segments into one reportable segment for purposes of our public reporting.

Our business is classified into the Trucking operating segment and the Strategic Capacity Solutions operating segment, which we previously designated as operating divisions. Our Trucking operating segment provides transportation services in which we use Company-owned tractors and owner-operator tractors, as well as Trailer-on-Flat-Car rail intermodal service. Our Strategic Capacity Solutions operating segment, which we previously referred to as USA Logistics, consists of services such as freight brokerage, transportation scheduling, routing and mode selection, as well as Container-on-Flat-Car rail intermodal service, which typically do not involve the use of Company-owned or owner-operator equipment. Both Trucking and Strategic Capacity Solutions have similar economic characteristics and are impacted by virtually the same economic factors as discussed elsewhere in this report. Accordingly, they have been aggregated into one segment for financial reporting purposes.

Substantially all of our base revenue from both segments is generated by transporting, or arranging for the transportation of, freight for customers, and is predominantly affected by the rates per mile received from our customers and similar operating costs. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, Trucking base revenue represented 95.7%, 95.8% and 97.7% of total base revenue, respectively, with remaining base revenue being generated through Strategic Capacity Solutions.

We generally charge customers for our services on a per-mile basis. The main factors that impact our profitability on the expense side are the variable costs of transporting freight for our customers. The variable costs include fuel expense, insurance and claims and driver-related expenses, such as wages and benefits.

*Trucking.* Trucking includes the following primary service offerings provided to our customers:

- *General Freight.* Our General Freight service offering provides truckload freight services as a short- to medium-haul common carrier. We have provided General Freight services since our inception and we derive the largest portion of our revenues from these services. Beginning with the first quarter of 2008, we began including our regional freight operations as part of our General Freight service offering for reporting purposes.
- *Dedicated Freight.* Our Dedicated Freight service offering is a variation of our General Freight service, whereby we agree to make our equipment and drivers available to a specific customer for shipments over particular routes at specified times. In addition to serving specific customer needs, our Dedicated Freight service offering also aids in driver recruitment and retention.
- *Trailer-on-Flat-Car.* During December 2007, we began including rail intermodal service revenue to the extent Company equipment is used in providing the service. Our Trailer-on-Flat-Car service offering provides our customers cost savings over General Freight with a transit speed slightly slower. It also allows us to reposition our equipment to maximize our freight network yield.

*Strategic Capacity Solutions.* Strategic Capacity Solutions includes the following primary service offerings provided to our customers:

- *Freight Brokerage.* Our Freight Brokerage service offering matches customer shipment needs with available equipment of other carriers, including our own.

- *Container-on-Flat-Car*. During December 2007, we began including rail intermodal service revenue to the extent Company equipment is not used in providing the service. Our Container-on-Flat-Car service offering matches customer shipments with available containers of other carriers when it is not feasible to use our own equipment.

Our Strategic Capacity Solutions service offerings provide services that complement our Trucking operations. We provide these services primarily to our existing Trucking customers, many of whom prefer to rely on a single carrier, or a small group of carriers, to provide all of their transportation needs. To date, a majority of the customers of Strategic Capacity Solutions have also engaged us to provide services through one or more of our Trucking service offerings.

During December 2007, we began offering rail intermodal services. Intermodal shipping is a method of transporting freight using multiple modes of transportation between origin and destination, with the freight remaining in a trailer or special container throughout the trip. Our rail intermodal service offerings involve transporting, or arranging the transportation of, freight on trucks to a third party who uses a different mode of transportation, specifically rail, to complete the other portion of the shipment. For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, rail intermodal service offerings generated approximately 2.4% and 1.2%, respectively, of total base revenue.

## **Results of Operations**

### ***Executive Overview***

We are glad to see 2009 draw to a close. It was by far the most difficult environment in which we have ever operated. Demand for truckload freight services fell precipitously, and excess capacity stubbornly remained in the marketplace, bolstered by falling fuel prices early in the year and by lenient lenders later in the year. The result was too many trucks chasing too little freight, which predictably led to deteriorating pricing.

In retrospect, USA Truck sealed its fate for 2009 during the first quarter, when we failed to secure adequate load volumes during the most active freight bid season in the industry's history. That occurred just as our shortening length-of-haul increased our need for additional loads. As the current recession reached its trough during the middle of the year, we simply could not ramp up our volumes to a level sufficient for profitability. The result was a net loss of \$0.70 per share for the year.

However, we still made steady progress under the surface. Practically every area of our business model showed improvement during 2009, but we simply did not produce enough revenue to show those improvements on the bottom line. We produced free cash flow (net cash flow from operations, less net cash used in investing activities) during 2009, thus protecting our strong balance sheet and enabling us to continue aggressively implementing our long-term strategic plan, VEVA (Vision for Economic Value Added).

In late 2007, we undertook a complete review of our corporate strategy. We studied dozens of transportation and logistics stocks to find what truly drives stock performance in our industry, and we studied our industry to find what truly drives operational performance. We found that earning a sufficient return on capital is the most important factor in creating stockholder value, followed closely by consistent earnings growth. We also found in order to create desirable stockholder value, our focus would need to shift as today's truckload industry requires its participants to operate in a shorter length-of-haul environment and to offer a more diversified menu of services to an increasingly more sophisticated customer base. The result of that research was our VEVA strategic plan.

Historically, USA Truck participated in the long-haul segment of the truckload industry. Shifting to a shorter length-of-haul required a completely different mindset and approach to trucking. It was necessary for us to fundamentally reposition our business from the ground up. To build a solid foundation to support VEVA, we launched several initiatives during 2008 designed to improve the number of times we load our tractors each week, the discipline and intensity levels of our personnel, our safety performance and our technological capabilities. We launched initiatives to build intermodal and brokerage services that could complement our trucking operations and bolster our return on capital. We also set out to improve our cost structure that has long been among the industry's best.

We have made substantial progress in each initiative:

- We removed approximately \$9.0 million from our fixed cost structure during 2009 when compared to 2008, saving approximately \$0.54 per share in earnings.

- We reduced the frequency of Department of Transportation reportable accidents by 25.2% since 2007, resulting in a 90 basis point reduction in insurance and claims expense in 2009 compared to 2008 for a savings of approximately \$0.18 per share.
- We reduced our non-driver headcount by over 20% since the end of 2007, the cost savings of which are reflected in the fixed cost savings discussed above, when we employed just 3.1 drivers for every staff employee. Today, we have improved that ratio to 4.0:1.0.
- We grew our base Intermodal revenue to \$7.8 million in 2009, a 68.6% increase over 2008.
- Our base Brokerage revenue decreased to \$13.7 million in 2009, a reduction of 13.4% from 2008. However, we completely overhauled our operating model in Brokerage during 2009 and began to see year-over-year growth in the fourth quarter (which has carried over into the early months of 2010).
- We have transitioned our Brokerage and Intermodal services to a new technology platform along with several of our administrative applications. We also internally developed and deployed a host of decision support software to our operating personnel.
- We improved our fleet Velocity (number of times we load our fleet each week) by 6.4% in 2009 compared to 2008. However, our length-of-haul also declined by 16.6%, which increased the number of loads we needed.
- Despite the severe pressure on truckload pricing, we increased our Trucking base revenue per loaded mile to \$1.48, a 2.2% improvement when compared to the same period of 2008. The improvement is not the result of price increases to our customers, but rather is attributable to better management of our freight network as the aforementioned reduction in length of haul.

Those last two bullet points are the keys to our prospects in 2010. The progress on all the other bullet points has served to provide a solid foundation on which to build VEVA, but Velocity and pricing are the critical components required to actually bringing VEVA to fruition.

We marked the beginning of transition to the execution phase of VEVA late in third quarter 2009 by the introduction of our Spider Web freight network, which has been meticulously designed to target specific traffic lanes based on the pricing and volumes associated with those lanes. The main reason we did not secure an adequate amount of freight during the first quarter 2009 bid season was that we did not yet have a cohesive strategy for our freight network, which meant that we did not have an adequate blueprint to help us discern good freight from bad freight during the bidding process. The Spider Web network is specifically designed to remedy that shortcoming.

We spent over a year researching freight flows throughout the United States. Using that data, we developed a freight network optimizing the flow of trucks between specific markets to maximize operating margin. We tested our assumptions and revised the model over 130 times before we declared it complete. That declaration came in August 2009, and since then we have worked diligently to implement the network design.

Our goal is to transition our freight volume to Spider Web lanes. During the first half of 2009, only 34% of our total load count moved in Spider Web lanes, but that had improved to approximately 39% by the second half of December. We expect to end 2010 with that percentage being between 45% and 50% assuming no further deteriorations in the freight market. It is not realistic to expect that we can achieve 100% compliance with the Spider Web, and we think it will take a full business cycle for us to maximize our compliance rate.

Operationally, we believe USA Truck is stronger today than we were a year ago. We need freight volume in Spider Web lanes to show the effect of that strength on the bottom line. We are much better positioned to add that freight volume today than a year ago because the Spider Web network design tells us exactly what freight we need to win through customer bids, and our entire organization is focused on winning it.

While freight conditions appear to have stabilized across most of the markets and industries that we serve, and we believe that the worst of the economic recession is behind us, industry conditions still remain very challenging. We also believe that industry capacity will gradually tighten throughout 2010 as struggling trucking companies finally exhaust their working capital. However, regardless of macroeconomic trends, we will continue pursuing our VEVA objectives because that is the best path for USA Truck to follow in order to maximize stockholder value.

#### ***Note Regarding Presentation***

By agreement with our customers, and consistent with industry practice, we add a graduated surcharge to the rates we charge our customers as diesel fuel prices increase above an agreed upon baseline price per gallon. The

surcharge is designed to approximately offset increases in fuel costs above the baseline. Fuel prices are volatile, and the fuel surcharge increases our revenue at different rates for each period. We believe that comparing operating costs and expenses to total revenue, including the fuel surcharge, could provide a distorted comparison of our operating performance, particularly when comparing results for current and prior periods. Therefore, we have used base revenue, which excludes the fuel surcharge revenue, and instead taken the fuel surcharge as a credit against the fuel and fuel taxes and purchased transportation line items in the table setting forth the percentage relationship of certain items to base revenue below.

We do not believe that a reconciliation of the information presented on this basis and corresponding information comparing operating costs and expenses to total revenue would be meaningful. Data regarding both total revenue, which includes the fuel surcharge, and base revenue, which excludes the fuel surcharge, is included in the consolidated statements of operations included in this report.

Base revenues from our Strategic Capacity Solutions operating segment, consisting primarily of base revenues from our Freight Brokerage service offering, have fluctuated in recent periods. This service offering does not involve the use of our tractors and trailers. Therefore, an increase in these revenues tends to cause expenses related to our operations that do involve our equipment—including fuel expense, depreciation and amortization expense, operations and maintenance expense, salaries, wages and employee benefits and insurance and claims expense—to decrease as a percentage of base revenue, and a decrease in these revenues tends to cause those expenses to increase as a percentage of base revenue with a related change in purchased transportation expense. Since changes in Strategic Capacity Solutions revenues generally affect all such expenses, as a percentage of base revenue, we do not specifically mention it as a factor in our discussion of increases or decreases in those expenses in the period-to-period comparisons below. Base revenues from our Strategic Capacity Solutions operating segment decreased approximately 13.4% from December 31, 2008 to December 31, 2009 and increased approximately 80.9% from December 31, 2007 to December 31, 2008. However, base revenues from our Strategic Capacity Solutions operating segment represented only 4.3%, 4.2% and 2.3%, of total base revenue for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

### Relationship of Certain Items to Base Revenue

The following table sets forth the percentage relationship of certain items to base revenue for the years indicated. The period-to-period comparisons below should be read in conjunction with this table and our consolidated statements of operations and accompanying notes.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
<b>Base revenue</b> .....	<b>100.0 %</b>	100.0 %	100.0 %
<b>Operating expenses and costs:</b>			
Salaries, wages and employee benefits .....	38.7	39.7	41.5
Fuel and fuel taxes (1) (2) .....	13.9	13.8	16.3
Depreciation and amortization .....	15.1	12.8	12.4
Purchased transportation (2) .....	12.4	9.2	4.4
Operations and maintenance .....	8.0	7.0	6.6
Insurance and claims .....	6.4	7.3	8.0
Operating taxes and licenses .....	1.7	1.5	1.6
Litigation verdict.....	--	--	1.2
Communications and utilities.....	1.2	1.0	1.0
Gain on disposal of revenue equipment, net .....	--	--	(0.1)
Other.....	4.6	4.6	5.0
Total operating expenses and costs.....	102.0	96.9	97.9
<b>Operating (loss) income</b> .....	<b>(2.0)</b>	3.1	2.1
<b>Other expenses:</b>			
Interest expense.....	0.9	1.2	1.3
Other, net.....	(0.1)	--	--
Total other expenses, net .....	0.8	1.2	1.3
<b>(Loss) income before income taxes</b> .....	<b>(2.8)</b>	1.9	0.8
<b>Income tax (benefit) expense</b> .....	<b>(0.7)</b>	1.1	0.8
<b>Net (loss) income</b> .....	<b>(2.1) %</b>	0.8 %	-- %

(1) Net of fuel surcharges.

(2) For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company allocated fuel surcharge revenue to the Trucking and the Strategic Capacity Solutions operating segments. For purposes of this table, fuel surcharge revenue is netted against fuel and fuel taxes and purchased transportation expense. Percentages for 2007 have been recalculated to reflect this reclassification.

### Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2008

#### Results of Operations – Combined Services

Our base revenue decreased 16.6% from \$397.6 million to \$331.5 million, for the reasons addressed in the Trucking and the Strategic Capacity Solutions sections, below.

Net loss for all service offerings was \$7.2 million as compared to a net income of \$3.1 million for 2008.

Overall, our operating ratio increased by 5.1 percentage points of base revenue to 102.0% as a result of the following factors:

- Salaries, wages and employee benefits decreased by 1.0 percentage point of base revenue due to a 54.5% increase in the average number of owner-operator tractors to 153, a 2.1% increase in base revenue per mile and to a lesser extent a 2.1% decrease in driver pay per mile. If we are able to continue to increase owner-operator tractors as a percentage of our total fleet, we would expect salaries, wages and employee benefits would continue to decrease as a percentage of base revenue absent offsetting increases in those expenses.
- Although fuel and fuel tax expense net of fuel surcharge revenue as a percent of base revenue remained relatively flat from 2008 to 2009, quarterly fluctuations throughout 2009 significantly impacted our interim results. For example, fuel prices were falling dramatically during the fourth quarter of 2008, but were climbing throughout the fourth quarter of 2009. As diesel fuel prices

increase above an agreed-upon baseline price per gallon, we add a graduated surcharge to the rates we charge our customers. The surcharge is designed to approximately offset increases in fuel costs above the baseline. However, because our fuel surcharge recovery lags behind changes in actual diesel prices, we generally do not recover the increased cost we are paying for fuel when prices are rising (as in the most recent quarter). Conversely, we generally collect excess fuel surcharge revenue when prices are declining. While the diesel price volatility tends to equalize over time, it can have a profound impact on an individual quarter.

- Depreciation and amortization increased by 2.3 percentage points of base revenue primarily due to a 11.0% decrease in miles per tractor per week and an 8.2% increase in depreciation per tractor. This was partially offset by the above-mentioned increase in the average number of owner-operator tractors, which bear their own depreciation and amortization expense. Prices for new tractors have risen in recent years due to Environmental Protection Agency mandates on engine emissions, and they are expected to rise again with the introduction of the 2010 emissions standards.
- Insurance and claims decreased by 0.9 percentage point of base revenue primarily due to a decrease in adverse claims experience and a reduction in the frequency of accidents. Department of Transportation reportable accidents fell approximately 24.2% in 2009. If we are able to continue to successfully execute our “War on Accidents” safety initiative we would expect insurance and claims expense to gradually decrease over the long term, though remaining volatile from period-to-period.
- Operations and maintenance increased by 1.0 percentage point of base revenue due to an increase in the percentage of our total freight volume residing in the Northeast, which has a higher number of toll roads. The average age of our tractor fleet has increased from 23.7 months in 2008 to 27.1 in 2009 and our trailer fleet increased from 51.5 months in 2008 to 62.6 months in 2009. As the age of tractors and trailers increase, the cost to maintain the equipment generally rises. However, as the number of miles per tractor decreases due to a shorter length-of-haul, this may allow us to keep the tractors for longer periods of time.
- Purchased transportation increased by 3.2 percentage points of base revenue due primarily to the above-mentioned increase in owner-operator tractors and an increase in carrier expense associated with our Strategic Capacity Solutions operating segment. We expect this expense will continue to increase when compared to prior periods if we can achieve our goals to grow our owner-operator tractor fleet and increase the revenue of our Strategic Capacity Solutions operating segment.
- Our effective tax rate decreased from 57.4% in 2008 to 23.9% in 2009. Income tax expense varies from the amount computed by applying the federal tax rate to income before income taxes primarily due to state income taxes, net of federal income tax effect and due to permanent differences, the most significant of which is the effect of the per diem pay structure for drivers. Due to the partially nondeductible effect of per diem payments, our tax rate will vary in future periods based on fluctuations in earnings and in the number of drivers who elect to receive this pay structure.

### **Results of Operations – Trucking**

#### *Key Operating Statistics:*

	<u>Fiscal Year Ended December 31,</u>	
	<b>2009</b>	2008
Total miles ( <i>in thousands</i> ) (1).....	<b>240,379</b>	294,248
Empty mile factor (2).....	<b>10.9 %</b>	10.7 %
Weighted average number of tractors (3) .....	<b>2,338</b>	2,540
Average miles per tractor per period.....	<b>102,814</b>	115,846
Average miles per tractor per week .....	<b>1,972</b>	2,216
Average miles per trip (4).....	<b>599</b>	718
Base Trucking revenue per tractor per week .....	<b>\$ 2,602</b>	\$ 2,869
Number of tractors at end of period (3) .....	<b>2,328</b>	2,392
Operating ratio (5) .....	<b>102.0 %</b>	96.9 %

- (1) Total miles include both loaded and empty miles.

- (2) The empty mile factor is the number of miles traveled for which we are not typically compensated by any customer as a percentage of total miles traveled.
- (3) Tractors include Company-operated tractors currently in service plus owner-operator tractors.
- (4) Average miles per trip is based upon loaded miles divided by the number of Trucking shipments.
- (5) Operating ratio is based upon total operating expenses, net of fuel surcharge revenue, as a percentage of base revenue.

#### *Base Revenue*

Base revenue from Trucking decreased by 16.8% to \$317.2 million. The decrease was the result of several factors:

- Our miles per tractor per week decreased 11.0% and the weighted average number of tractors decreased 8.0%.
- General Freight revenue decreased 15.5% and Dedicated Freight decreased 43.5%. The Trucking base revenue decrease was partially offset by the 81.8% increase in our Trailer-on-Flat-Car Intermodal service offering (from \$4.0 million to \$7.2 million).
- Depressed freight volumes and excess competition for available loads drove down our revenue per tractor per week by approximately 9.3%. However, we did improve our Trucking base revenue per loaded mile 2.2%, and our improved operational efficiency was evident in the 6.4% increase in Velocity (defined as the number of times we load our fleet each week).

#### ***Results of Operations – Strategic Capacity Solutions***

We finished the year with base revenue from Strategic Capacity Solutions of \$14.3 million, a decrease of 13.4%, which was almost entirely due to a decrease in our Freight Brokerage base revenue. Base revenue from our Container-on-Flat-Car service offering fell slightly from \$0.64 million to \$0.56 million. As indicated above, the remaining portion of our rail intermodal service offerings is classified into our Trucking operating segment.

#### **Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2008 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2007**

#### ***Results of Operations – Combined Services***

Our base revenue grew 1.6% from \$391.2 million to \$397.6 million, for the reasons addressed in the Trucking and the Strategic Capacity Solutions sections, below.

Net income for all service offerings was \$3.1 million as compared to \$0.1 million for 2007.

Overall, our operating ratio improved by 1.0 percentage points of base revenue to 96.9% as a result of the following factors:

- Salaries, wages and employee benefits decreased by 1.8 percentage points of base revenue due to a 160.5% increase in the average number of owner-operator tractors and a 3.8% increase in base revenue per mile. If we are able to continue to increase owner-operator tractors as a percentage of our total fleet, we would expect salaries, wages and employee benefits would continue to decrease as a percentage of base revenue absent offsetting increases in those expenses.
- Fuel and fuel taxes decreased by 2.5 percentage points of base revenue primarily due to a 7.8% decrease in the net price paid for diesel fuel, a 1.1 percentage point decrease in out-of-route miles and, as mentioned above, an increase in the average number of owner-operator tractors, which bear their own fuel expenses.
- Insurance and claims decreased by 0.7 percentage point of base revenue primarily due to a decrease in adverse claims experience and a reduction in the frequency of accidents. DOT reportable accidents fell approximately 23.1% in 2008. If we are able to continue to successfully execute our “War on Accidents” safety initiative we would expect insurance and claims expense to gradually decrease in the long term, though remaining volatile from period-to-period.
- Operations and maintenance increased by 0.4 percentage points of base revenue as direct repair costs on our tractors and trailers increased 5.0% due to a 7.1% increase in the average age of the tractor fleet

for the year from 22.1 months in 2007 to 23.7 months in 2008 and a 30.5% increase in the average age of our trailer fleet for the year.

- Purchased transportation increased by 4.8 percentage points of base revenue due primarily to the increase in carrier expense associated with our Strategic Capacity Solutions operating segment and the above-mentioned increase in owner-operator tractors. We expect this expense will continue to increase when compared to prior periods if we can achieve our goals to grow our owner-operator fleet and increase the revenue of our Strategic Capacity Solutions operating segment.
- Other operating expenses decreased by 0.4 percentage points of base revenue primarily due to a decrease in driver recruiting costs of 13.8%. The reduction in driver recruiting costs resulted from lower driver turnover (-8.3%) and an accommodating market for hiring drivers.
- Our effective tax rate decreased from 95.6% in 2007 to 57.4% in 2008. Income tax expense varies from the amount computed by applying the federal tax rate to income before income taxes primarily due to state income taxes, net of federal income tax effect and due to permanent differences, the most significant of which is the effect of the per diem pay structure for drivers. Due to the partially nondeductible effect of per diem payments, our tax rate will vary in future periods based on fluctuations in earnings and in the number of drivers who elect to receive this pay structure.

### **Results of Operations – Trucking**

#### *Key Operating Statistics:*

	Fiscal Year Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007
Total miles ( <i>in thousands</i> ) (1).....	294,248	300,577
Empty mile factor (2).....	10.7 %	11.1 %
Weighted average number of tractors (3) .....	2,540	2,578
Average miles per tractor per period.....	115,846	116,593
Average miles per tractor per week .....	2,216	2,236
Average miles per trip (4).....	718	784
Base Trucking revenue per tractor per week .....	\$ 2,869	\$ 2,842
Number of tractors at end of period (3) .....	2,392	2,557
Operating ratio (5) .....	96.9 %	97.9 %

- (1) Total miles include both loaded and empty miles.
- (3) The empty mile factor is the number of miles traveled for which we are not typically compensated by any customer as a percentage of total miles traveled.
- (3) Tractors include Company-operated tractors currently in service plus owner-operator tractors.
- (4) Average miles per trip is based upon loaded miles divided by the number of Trucking shipments.
- (5) Operating ratio is based upon total operating expenses, net of fuel surcharge revenue, as a percentage of base revenue.

#### *Base Revenue*

Base revenue from Trucking decreased by 0.3% to \$381.1 million. The decrease was the result of several factors:

- A decrease in the miles per tractor per week (-0.9%) and a decrease in the weighted average number of tractors (-1.5%).
- General Freight revenue decreased 1.6%. This decrease was partially offset by the addition of our Trailer-on-Flat-Car Intermodal service offering (from zero to \$4.0 million) and a 1.7% increase in Dedicated Freight base revenue.
- Although diesel fuel prices declined during the second half of 2008, the decline was not enough to offset deteriorating freight demand. We believe these lower diesel prices provided a working capital boost to marginal carriers, thus allowing them to continue their operations thereby exacerbating the imbalance between industry truck supply and freight demand.

- The deterioration in the freight environment took its toll on our performance this year. The most significant impact of the deterioration was a reduction in Trucking base revenue, which resulted in a 0.9% decline in our tractor utilization. Operating margin was squeezed as Trucking base revenue declined at a faster rate than fixed costs could be removed from our system. The reduced utilization muted the effects of the falling fuel prices during the second half of the year (since lower fuel prices are only relevant if we are running miles).
- Depressed freight volumes and increased competition for available loads drove down our revenue per tractor per week. However, we did improve our Trucking base revenue per loaded mile 1.4%, and our improved operational efficiency was evident in the 8.6% increase in Velocity (defined as the number of times we load our fleet each week).

### ***Results of Operations – Strategic Capacity Solutions***

We have strategically targeted Freight Brokerage and Rail Intermodal for growth. We established goals for 2008 to double the size of our Freight Brokerage base revenue to approximately \$18 million and to establish a presence in the rail intermodal market with \$2 million of related base revenue in 2008. We finished the year with base revenue from Strategic Capacity Solutions that increased 80.9% to \$16.5 million primarily due to an 86.8% increase in our Freight Brokerage base revenue, short of our 2008 objective. Base revenue from our Container-on-Flat-Car service offering grew from zero to \$0.6 million. As indicated above, the remaining portion of our rail intermodal service offerings is classified into our Trucking operating segment.

### ***Seasonality***

In the trucking industry, revenues generally decrease as customers reduce shipments during the winter holiday season and as inclement weather impedes operations. At the same time, operating expenses increase, due primarily to decreased fuel efficiency and increased maintenance costs. Future revenues could be impacted if our customers, particularly those with manufacturing operations, reduce shipments due to temporary plant closings. Historically, many of our customers have closed their plants for maintenance or other reasons during January and July.

### ***Inflation***

Although most of our operating expenses are inflation sensitive, the effect of inflation on revenue and operating costs has been minimal over the past three years. The effect of inflation-driven cost increases on our overall operating costs would not be expected to be greater for us than for our competitors.

### ***Fuel Availability and Cost***

The motor carrier industry is dependent upon the availability of fuel. Fuel shortages or increases in fuel taxes or fuel costs have adversely affected our profitability and will continue to do so. Fuel prices have fluctuated widely and fuel taxes have generally increased in recent years. We have not experienced difficulty in maintaining necessary fuel supplies, and in the past we generally have been able to partially offset increases in fuel costs and fuel taxes through increased freight rates and through a fuel surcharge that increases incrementally as the price of fuel increases above a certain baseline price. Typically, we are not able to fully recover increases in fuel prices through rate increases and fuel surcharges, primarily because those items do not provide any benefit with respect to empty and out-of-route miles, for which we do not typically receive compensation from customers. We do not have any long-term fuel purchase contracts and we have not entered into any hedging arrangements that protect us against fuel price increases. Overall, the market fuel prices per gallon were lower in 2009 than they were in 2008 and 2007.

### ***Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements***

We do not currently have off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a material current or future effect on our consolidated financial condition, revenue or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources. From time to time, we enter into operating leases relating to facilities and office equipment that are not reflected in our balance sheet.

### ***Liquidity and Capital Resources***

The continued growth of our business has required significant investments in new revenue equipment. We have financed new tractor and trailer purchases predominantly with cash flows from operations, the proceeds from sales or trades of used equipment, borrowings under our Senior Credit Facility and capital lease-purchase arrangements. We have historically met our working capital needs with cash flows from operations and with borrowings under our Facility. During 2009, the maximum amount borrowed under the Facility, including letters of

credit was approximately 57.8% of the total amount available and we ended the year with outstanding borrowings, including letters of credit equal to approximately 48.5% of the total amount available. We use the Facility to minimize fluctuations in cash flow needs and to provide flexibility in financing revenue equipment purchases. At December 31, 2009, we had approximately \$51.5 million available under our Facility and \$40.0 million of availability for new capital leases under existing lease facilities. The Facility matures on September 1, 2010. Accordingly, during the quarter ended September 30, 2009, we reclassified that debt from long-term to short-term. The proposed new facility has materially higher spreads than our current spreads due to widely reported dislocations in the credit markets. We have a term sheet in place and are now working on definitive documents. Management is not aware of any known trends or uncertainties that would cause a significant change in our sources of liquidity. We expect our principal sources of capital to be sufficient to finance our operations, annual debt maturities, lease commitments, letter of credit commitments, stock repurchases and capital expenditures over the next twelve months. There can be no assurance, however, that such sources will be sufficient to fund our operations and all expansion plans for the next several years, or that any necessary additional financing will be available, if at all, in amounts required or on terms satisfactory to us.

Our balance sheet debt, less cash, represents just 42.1% of our total capitalization, and we have no material off-balance sheet debt. We have financed approximately \$15.7 million of our 2009 tractor purchases with 42-month, fixed-rate capital leases. Our capital leases currently represent 53.9 % of our total debt and carry an average fixed rate of 3.8%. Not only does that provide us with a natural hedge against recent London Interbank Offered Rate volatility, but it has also freed up availability on our revolving credit line on which we could currently borrow up to an additional \$51.5 million without violating any of our current financial covenants. Despite a heavy tractor trading program, we produced \$8.8 million in free cash flow (cash flow from operations less cash used in investing activities) during 2009, which was \$30.8 million less than that of 2008. We expect our 2010 capital expenditures to be greater than the 2009 levels. In summary, based on our operating results, anticipated future cash flows, and current availability under our Facility and capital lease-purchase arrangements that we expect will be available to us, we do not expect to experience significant liquidity constraints in the foreseeable future.

If the credit markets continue to erode, we also may not be able to access our current sources of credit and our lenders may not have the capital to fund those sources. We may need to incur additional indebtedness or issue debt or equity securities in the future to refinance existing debt, fund working capital requirements, make investments or for general corporate purposes. As a result of contractions in the credit market, as well as other economic trends in the credit market industry, we may not be able to secure financing for future activities on satisfactory terms, or at all. If we are not successful in obtaining sufficient financing because we are unable to access the capital markets on financially economical or feasible terms, it could impact our ability to provide services to our customers and may materially and adversely affect our business, financial results, results of operations and potential investments.

### **Cash Flows**

	(in thousands)		
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Net cash provided by operating activities.....	\$ 32,851	\$ 65,869	\$ 58,585
Net cash used in investing activities.....	(24,095)	(26,359)	(16,394)
Net cash used in financing activities.....	(9,500)	(45,983)	(41,309)

Cash generated from operations decreased \$33.0 million during 2009 as compared to 2008, due to a decrease in net income of \$10.3 million, a decrease in cash provided from accounts receivable of \$17.8 million resulting from extended customer payment terms and improved freight volumes during the fourth quarter, an increase of \$3.3 million in cash used for prepaid expenses due to our change in accounting for tires, an increase in cash used in trade accounts payable, accrued expenses and insurance and claims accruals of \$8.4 million, the most significant component of which was the settlement for the All-Ways Logistics verdict. The increase in the use of cash was partially offset by a \$7.0 million reduction in deferred taxes. During 2008, cash generated from operations increased \$7.3 million, as a result of an increase in net income of \$3.0 million, a decrease in accounts receivable of \$8.8 million resulting from improved collection procedures and decreased freight volumes, an increase in payables and accrued expenses of \$6.6 million the most significant component of which is a \$2.1 million increase in income tax accrual, and a decrease in insurance and claims accruals of \$8.2 million.

Cash used in investing activities decreased \$2.3 million during 2009 as compared to 2008 due to a decrease in net capital expenditures of \$2.4 million. The decline was due to a reduction in revenue equipment purchases

resulting from our equipment trade cycle. During 2008, cash used in investing activities increased \$10.0 million as compared to 2007, due to an increase in expenditures for revenue equipment in our normal trade cycles.

Cash used in financing activities decreased \$36.5 million during 2009 as compared to 2008. Of the \$36.5 million decrease, \$23.4 million was due to a change in net borrowing on our Facility; we borrowed \$13.5 million in 2009 compared to a \$9.9 million pay down in 2008. We used \$4.1 million less cash for principle payments on our capital leases due to less equipment financed under capital leases in 2009. Bank drafts payable decreased \$8.5 million due to the timing of equipment purchases and reduced payrolls. Cash used in financing activities increased \$4.7 million during 2008 as compared to 2007. The change was primarily due to a reduction in net borrowings on our Facility, which was made possible by a \$14.9 million increase in capital leases and a decrease in bank drafts payable.

### ***Debt***

On September 1, 2005, we entered into an Amended and Restated Senior Credit Facility, which restated in its entirety and made certain amendments to our previously amended facility dated as of April 28, 2000. The Facility was amended to, among other things, increase the maximum borrowing amount to \$100.0 million, subject to a borrowing base calculation. The Facility includes a sublimit of up to \$25.0 million for letters of credit.

The Facility is collateralized by revenue equipment having a net book value of approximately \$178.5 million at December 31, 2009 and all trade and other accounts receivable. The Facility provides an accordion feature allowing us to increase the maximum borrowing amount by up to an additional \$75.0 million in the aggregate in one or more increases no less than six months prior to the maturity date, subject to certain conditions. At this time, we do not anticipate the need to exercise the accordion feature or, if needed, we do not expect to encounter any difficulties in doing so. The maximum borrowing including the accordion feature may not exceed \$175.0 million without the consent of the lenders. At December 31, 2009, \$46.7 million was outstanding under the Facility.

The Facility bears variable interest based on the type of borrowing and the agent bank's prime rate, the federal funds rate plus a certain percentage or the London Interbank Offered Rate plus a certain percentage, which is determined based on our attainment of certain financial ratios. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the effective interest rate was 1.6%. A quarterly commitment fee is payable on the unused credit line at a rate which is determined based on our attainment of certain financial ratios. At December 31, 2009, the rate was 0.2% per annum.

The Facility contains various covenants, which require us to meet certain quarterly financial ratios and to maintain a minimum tangible net worth of approximately \$133.9 million at December 31, 2009. In the event we fail to cure an event of default, the loan can become immediately due and payable. As of December 31, 2009, we were in compliance with the covenants. We have entered into leases with lenders who participate in our Facility. Those leases and the Facility contain cross-default provisions. We have also entered into leases with other lenders who do not participate in the Facility. Multiple leases with lenders who do not participate in our Facility generally contain cross-default provisions.

The Facility matures on September 1, 2010. Accordingly, during the quarter ended September 30, 2009, we reclassified that debt from long-term to short-term. The proposed new facility has materially higher spreads than our current spreads due to widely reported dislocations in the credit markets. We have a term sheet in place and are now working on definitive documents.

We record derivative financial instruments in the balance sheet as either an asset or liability at fair value, with classification as current or long-term depending on the duration of the instrument. Changes in the derivative instrument's fair value must be recognized currently in earnings unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met. For cash flow hedges that meet the criteria, the derivative instrument's gains and losses, to the extent effective, are recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings.

On October 21, 2008, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$9.0 million with an effective date of October 21, 2008. We designated the \$9.0 million interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of our exposure to variability in future cash flow resulting from the interest payments indexed to the three-month London Interbank Offered Rate. The rate on the swap was fixed at 4.25% until January 20, 2009.

On February 6, 2009, we entered into a \$10.0 million interest rate swap agreement with an effective date of February 19, 2009. The rate on the swap is fixed at 1.57% until February 19, 2011. The interest rate swap agreement is being accounted for as a cash flow hedge.

## Equity

At December 31, 2009, we had stockholders' equity of \$140.5 million and total debt including current maturities of \$103.6 million, resulting in a total debt, less cash, to total capitalization ratio of 42.1% compared to 39.3% at December 31, 2008.

## Purchases and Commitments

As of December 31, 2009, our forecasted capital expenditures, net of proceeds from the sale of revenue equipment, for 2010 were \$70.1 million, approximately \$68.3 million of which relates to revenue equipment. We may change the amount of the capital expenditures based on our operating performance. To the extent further capital expenditures are feasible based on our debt covenants and operating cash requirements, we would use the balance of \$1.8 million primarily for improvements and maintenance and office equipment. We routinely evaluate our equipment acquisition needs and adjust our purchase and disposition schedules from time to time based on our analysis of factors such as freight demand, the availability of drivers and the condition of the used equipment market. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we made \$39.7 million of net capital expenditures, including \$39.3 million for revenue equipment purchases (\$15.7 million of which were capital lease obligations) and a net of \$0.4 million was for non-revenue equipment.

The following table represents our outstanding contractual obligations at December 31, 2009:

	(in thousands)				
	Payments Due By Period				
	Total	2010	2011-2012	2013-2014	Thereafter
Contractual Obligations:					
Long-term debt obligations (1) .....	\$ 46,718	\$ 46,718	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
Capital lease obligations (2).....	59,437	18,644	40,056	737	--
Purchase obligations (3).....	31,745	31,745	--	--	--
Rental obligations .....	1,485	580	540	43	322
Total .....	<u>\$ 139,385</u>	<u>\$ 97,687</u>	<u>\$ 40,596</u>	<u>\$ 780</u>	<u>\$ 322</u>

- (1) Long-term debt obligations, excluding letters of credit in the amount of \$1.8 million, consist of our Senior Credit Facility, which matures on September 1, 2010. The primary purpose of this Facility is to provide working capital for the Company; however, the Facility is also used, as appropriate, to minimize interest expense on other Company purchases that could be obtained through other more expensive capital purchase financing sources. Because the borrowing amounts fluctuate and the interest rates vary, they are subject to various factors that will cause actual interest payments to fluctuate over time. Based on these factors, we have not included in this line item an estimate of future interest payments.
- (2) Includes interest payments not included in the balance sheet.
- (3) Purchase obligations include commitments to purchase approximately \$31.7 million of revenue equipment none of which is cancelable by us upon advance written notice.

## Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. We base our assumptions, estimates and judgments on historical experience, current trends and other factors that management believes to be relevant at the time our consolidated financial statements are prepared. Actual results could differ from those estimates, and such differences could be material.

The most significant accounting policies and estimates that affect our financial statements include the following:

- *Revenue recognition and related direct expenses based on relative transit time in each period.* Revenue generated by Trucking is recognized in full upon completion of delivery of freight to the receiver's location. For freight in transit at the end of a reporting period, we recognize revenue pro rata based on relative transit time completed as a portion of the estimated total transit time. Expenses are recognized as incurred.

Revenue generated by Strategic Capacity Solutions is recognized upon completion of the services provided. Revenue is recorded on a gross basis, without deducting third party purchased transportation costs because we have responsibility for billing and collecting such revenue.

Management believes these policies most accurately reflect revenue as earned and direct expenses, including third party purchased transportation costs, as incurred.

- *Selections of estimated useful lives and salvage values for purposes of depreciating tractors and trailers.* We operate a significant number of tractors and trailers in connection with our business. We may purchase this equipment or acquire it under leases. We depreciate purchased equipment on the straight-line method over the estimated useful life down to an estimated salvage or trade-in value. We initially record equipment acquired under capital leases at the net present value of the minimum lease payments and amortize it on the straight-line method over the lease term. Depreciable lives of tractors and trailers range from three years to ten years. We estimate the salvage value at the expected date of trade-in or sale based on the expected market values of equipment at the time of disposal.

We make equipment purchasing and replacement decisions on the basis of various factors, including, but not limited to, new equipment prices, used equipment market conditions, demand for our freight services, prevailing interest rates, technological improvements, fuel efficiency, equipment durability, equipment specifications and driver availability. Therefore, depending on the circumstances, we may accelerate or delay the acquisition and disposition of our tractors and trailers from time to time, based on an operating principle whereby we pursue trade intervals that economically balance our maintenance costs and expected trade-in values in response to the circumstances existing at that time. Such adjustments in trade intervals may cause us to adjust the useful lives or salvage values of our tractors or trailers. By changing the relative amounts of older equipment and newer equipment in our fleet, adjustments in trade intervals also increase and decrease the average age of our tractors and trailers, whether or not we change the useful lives or salvage values of any tractors or trailers. We also adjust depreciable lives and salvage values based on factors such as changes in prevailing market prices for used equipment. We periodically monitor these factors in order to keep salvage values in line with expected market values at the time of disposal. Adjustments in useful lives and salvage values are made as conditions warrant and when we believe that the changes in conditions are other than temporary. These adjustments result in changes in the depreciation expense we record in the period in which the adjustments occur and in future periods. These adjustments also impact any resulting gain or loss on the ultimate disposition of the revenue equipment. Management believes our estimates of useful lives and salvage values have been materially accurate as demonstrated by the insignificant amounts of gains and losses on revenue equipment dispositions in recent periods. However, given the current economic environment, previously established salvage values need to be more closely monitored to assure that book values do not exceed market values. We continually review salvage values to address this issue.

To the extent depreciable lives and salvage values are changed, such changes are recorded in accordance with the applicable generally accepted accounting principles existing at the time of change.

- *Estimates of accrued liabilities for claims involving bodily injury, physical damage losses, employee health benefits and workers' compensation.* We record both current and long-term claims accruals at the estimated ultimate payment amounts based on information such as individual case estimates, historical claims experience and an estimate of claims incurred but not reported. The current portion of the accrual reflects the amounts of claims expected to be paid in the next twelve months. In making the estimates, we rely on past experience with similar claims, negative or positive developments in the case and similar factors. We do not discount our claims liabilities.
- *Stock option valuation.* The assumptions used to value stock options are dividend yield, expected volatility, risk-free interest rate, expected life and anticipated forfeitures. As we have not paid any dividends on our Common Stock, the dividend yield is zero. Expected volatility represents the measure used to project the expected fluctuation in our share price. We use the historical method to calculate volatility with the historical period being equal to the expected life of each option. This calculation is then used to determine the potential for our share price to increase over the expected life of the option. The risk-free interest rate is based on an implied yield on United States zero-coupon treasury bonds with a remaining term equal to the expected life of the outstanding options. Expected life represents the length of time we anticipate the options to be outstanding before being exercised. Based on historical experience,

that time period is best represented by the option's contractual life. Anticipated forfeitures represent the number of shares under options we expect to be forfeited over the expected life of the options.

- *Accounting for income taxes.* Our deferred tax assets and liabilities represent items that will result in taxable income or a tax deduction in future years for which we have already recorded the related tax expense or benefit in our consolidated statements of operations. Deferred tax accounts arise as a result of timing differences between when items are recognized in our consolidated financial statements compared to when they are recognized in our tax returns. Significant management judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes and in determining whether deferred tax assets will be realized in full or in part. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. We periodically assess the likelihood that all or some portion of deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income. To the extent we believe recovery is not probable, a valuation allowance is established for the amount determined not to be realizable. We have not recorded a valuation allowance at December 31, 2009, as all deferred tax assets are more likely than not to be realized.

We believe that we have adequately provided for our future tax consequences based upon current facts and circumstances and current tax law. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we made no material changes in our assumptions regarding the determination of income tax liabilities. However, should our tax positions be challenged, different outcomes could result and have a significant impact on the amounts reported through our consolidated statements of operations.

- *Prepaid tires.* Effective April 1, 2009, we changed our method of accounting for tires. Commencing when the tires, including recaps, are placed into service, we account for them as prepaid expenses and amortize their cost over varying time periods, ranging from 18 to 30 months depending on the type of tire. Prior to April 1, 2009, the cost of tires was fully expensed when they were placed into service. We believe the new accounting method more appropriately matches the tire costs to the period during which the tire is being used to generate revenue. For the year ended December 31, 2009, this change in estimate effected by a change in principle resulted in a reduction of operations and maintenance expense on a pre-tax basis of approximately \$3.7 million and on a net of tax basis of approximately \$2.3 million (\$0.22 per share).

We periodically reevaluate these policies as circumstances dictate. Together these factors may significantly impact our consolidated results of operations, financial position and cash flow from period to period.

#### **New Accounting Pronouncements**

See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data – Note 1. to the Financial Statements: New Accounting Pronouncements."

#### **Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

We experience various market risks, including changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and commodity prices.

*Interest Rate Risk.* We are exposed to interest rate risk primarily from our Senior Credit Facility. Our Senior Credit Facility, as amended, provides for borrowings that bear variable interest based on the agent bank's prime rate, the federal funds rate plus a certain percentage or the London Interbank Offered Rate plus a certain percentage. At December 31, 2009, we had \$46.7 million outstanding pursuant to our Senior Credit Facility. Assuming the outstanding balance at year end remained constant throughout the upcoming year, a hypothetical one-percentage point increase in interest rates applicable to the Senior Credit Facility would increase our annual interest expense by approximately \$0.47 million.

On October 21, 2008, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$9.0 million with an effective date of October 21, 2008. We designated the \$9.0 million interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of our exposure to variability in future cash flow resulting from the interest payments indexed to the three-month London Interbank Offered Rate. The rate on the swap was fixed at 4.25% until January 20, 2009.

On February 6, 2009, we entered into a \$10.0 million dollar interest rate swap agreement with an effective date of February 19, 2009. The rate on the swap is fixed at 1.57% until February 19, 2011. The interest rate swap agreement is being accounted for as a cash flow hedge.

*Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk.* We require all customers to pay for our services in U.S. dollars. Although the Canadian government makes certain payments, such as tax refunds, to us in Canadian dollars, any foreign currency exchange risk associated with such payments is not material.

*Commodity Price Risk.* Fuel prices have fluctuated greatly and have generally increased in recent years. In some periods, our operating performance was adversely affected because we were not able to fully offset the impact of higher diesel fuel prices through increased freight rates and fuel surcharges. We cannot predict the extent to which high fuel price levels will continue in the future or the extent to which fuel surcharges could be collected to offset such increases. We do not have any long-term fuel purchase contracts, and we have not entered into any hedging arrangements, that protect us against fuel price increases. Volatile fuel prices will continue to impact us significantly. A significant increase in fuel costs, or a shortage of diesel fuel, could materially and adversely affect our results of operations. These costs could also exacerbate the driver shortages our industry experiences by forcing independent contractors to cease operations.

**Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

**USA TRUCK, INC.  
ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K  
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009  
INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm .....	38
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008.....	39
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.....	40
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007..	41
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.....	42
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements .....	43

## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and  
Stockholders of USA Truck, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of USA Truck, Inc. (a Delaware Corporation) and subsidiary (collectively referred to as the “Company”) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders’ equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of USA Truck, Inc. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), USA Truck, Inc.’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 16, 2010, expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP  
Tulsa, Oklahoma  
March 16, 2010

# USA Truck, Inc.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share amounts)

	December 31,	
	2009	2008
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents .....	\$ 797	\$ 1,541
Accounts receivable:		
Trade, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$443 in 2009 and \$204 in 2008 .....	37,018	36,597
Income tax receivable .....	10,498	--
Other .....	1,070	2,261
Inventories .....	1,541	1,541
Deferred income taxes .....	962	4,717
Prepaid expenses and other current assets .....	7,931	4,381
Total current assets .....	<u>59,817</u>	<u>51,038</u>
Property and equipment:		
Land and structures .....	33,819	34,650
Revenue equipment .....	364,087	354,712
Service, office and other equipment .....	28,846	25,374
	<u>426,752</u>	<u>414,736</u>
Accumulated depreciation and amortization .....	(156,331)	(133,863)
	<u>270,421</u>	<u>280,873</u>
Other assets .....	462	357
Total assets .....	<u>\$ 330,700</u>	<u>\$ 332,268</u>
<b>Liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Bank drafts payable .....	\$ 5,678	\$ 4,500
Trade accounts payable .....	9,847	7,533
Current portion of insurance and claims accruals .....	4,356	10,106
Accrued expenses .....	9,008	12,158
Note payable .....	1,015	1,285
Current maturities of long-term debt and capital leases .....	63,461	16,956
Total current liabilities .....	<u>93,365</u>	<u>52,538</u>
Long-term debt and capital leases, less current maturities .....	39,116	79,364
Deferred income taxes .....	53,073	48,563
Insurance and claims accruals, less current portion .....	4,600	5,030
Commitments and contingencies .....	--	--
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized; none issued .....	--	--
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value; authorized 30,000,000 shares; issued 11,834,285 shares in 2009 and 11,777,439 shares in 2008 .....	118	118
Additional paid-in capital .....	64,627	64,171
Retained earnings .....	97,523	104,700
Less treasury stock, at cost (1,332,500 shares in 2009 and 1,366,500 shares in 2008) .....	(21,661)	(22,163)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) .....	(61)	(53)
Total stockholders' equity .....	<u>140,546</u>	<u>146,773</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity .....	<u>\$ 330,700</u>	<u>\$ 332,268</u>

*See accompanying notes.*

# USA Truck, Inc.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
<b>Revenue:</b>			
Trucking revenue .....	\$ 317,224	\$ 381,055	\$ 382,064
Strategic Capacity Solutions revenue .....	14,296	16,502	9,124
Base revenue .....	331,520	397,557	391,188
Fuel surcharge revenue .....	50,848	138,063	90,921
Total revenue .....	382,368	535,620	482,109
<b>Operating expenses and costs:</b>			
Salaries, wages and employee benefits .....	128,319	157,729	162,236
Fuel and fuel taxes .....	93,803	189,042	153,023
Depreciation and amortization .....	50,152	50,919	49,093
Purchased transportation .....	44,058	40,323	18,609
Operations and maintenance .....	26,594	27,729	25,815
Insurance and claims .....	21,086	28,999	31,144
Operating taxes and licenses .....	5,642	6,456	6,368
Litigation verdict .....	--	--	4,690
Communications and utilities .....	3,951	4,075	3,787
Gain on disposal of assets .....	(7)	(19)	(395)
Other .....	15,377	18,220	19,429
Total operating expenses and costs .....	388,975	523,473	473,799
<b>Operating (loss) income</b> .....	<b>(6,607)</b>	12,147	8,310
<b>Other expenses (income):</b>			
Interest expense .....	3,030	4,643	5,130
Other, net .....	(207)	139	22
Total other expenses, net .....	2,823	4,782	5,152
<b>(Loss) income before income taxes</b> .....	<b>(9,430)</b>	7,365	3,158
<b>Income tax (benefit) expense:</b>			
Current .....	(10,523)	2,950	188
Deferred .....	8,270	1,275	2,830
Total income tax (benefit) expense .....	(2,253)	4,225	3,018
<b>Net (loss) income</b> .....	<b>\$ (7,177)</b>	<b>\$ 3,140</b>	<b>\$ 140</b>
<b>Net (loss) income per share:</b>			
Basic (loss) earnings per share .....	\$ (0.70)	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.01
Diluted (loss) earnings per share .....	\$ (0.70)	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.01

See accompanying notes.

# USA Truck, Inc.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (in thousands)

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss)	Total
	Shares	Par Value					
Balance at December 31, 2006 .....	11,473	\$ 115	\$ 62,230	\$ 101,420	\$ (4,207)	\$ --	\$ 159,558
Exercise of stock options .....	88	1	894	--	--	--	895
Tax charge on exercise of stock options .....	--	--	(12)	--	--	--	(12)
Purchase of 1,098 shares of Common Stock into treasury .....	--	--	--	--	(17,403)	--	(17,403)
Retirement of forfeited restricted stock .....	--	--	362	--	(362)	--	--
Stock based compensation .....	--	--	13	--	--	--	13
Net income for 2007 .....	--	--	--	140	--	--	140
Balance at December 31, 2007 .....	11,561	\$ 116	\$ 63,487	\$ 101,560	\$ (21,972)	\$ --	\$ 143,191
Exercise of stock options .....	17	--	186	--	--	--	186
Tax benefit on exercise of stock options .....	--	--	23	--	--	--	23
Stock based compensation .....	--	--	286	--	--	--	286
Retirement of forfeited restricted stock .....	--	--	191	--	(191)	--	--
Change in fair value of interest rate swap, net of income tax benefit of \$(40) .....	--	--	--	--	--	(65)	(65)
Reclassification of derivative net losses to statement of operations, net of income tax benefit of \$(7) .....	--	--	--	--	--	12	12
Restricted stock award grant .....	200	2	(2)	--	--	--	--
Net income for 2008 .....	--	--	--	3,140	--	--	3,140
Balance at December 31, 2008 .....	11,778	\$ 118	\$ 64,171	\$ 104,700	\$ (22,163)	\$ (53)	\$ 146,773
Exercise of stock options .....	35	--	391	--	--	--	391
Stock-based compensation .....	--	--	567	--	--	--	567
Restricted stock award grant .....	21	--	--	--	--	--	--
Retirement of forfeited restricted stock .....	--	--	51	--	(51)	--	--
Change in fair value of interest rate swap, net of income tax benefit of \$(79) .....	--	--	--	--	--	(126)	(126)
Reclassification of derivative net losses to statement of operations, net of income tax of \$73 .....	--	--	--	--	--	118	118
Return of forfeited restricted shares upon termination of the 2003 Restricted Stock Award Plan .....	--	--	(553)	--	553	--	--
Net loss for 2009 .....	--	--	--	(7,177)	--	--	(7,177)
Balance at December 31, 2009 .....	<b>11,834</b>	<b>\$ 118</b>	<b>\$ 64,627</b>	<b>\$ 97,523</b>	<b>\$ (21,661)</b>	<b>\$ (61)</b>	<b>\$ 140,546</b>

See accompanying notes.

# USA Truck, Inc.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
<b>Operating activities</b>			
Net (loss) income .....	\$ (7,177)	\$ 3,140	\$ 140
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization.....	50,152	50,919	49,093
Provision for doubtful accounts.....	313	134	(15)
Deferred income taxes .....	8,265	1,242	2,831
Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options.....	--	(23)	(39)
Write off of tax asset on exercise of stock options.....	--	--	51
Stock based compensation.....	567	286	13
Gain on disposal of property and equipment.....	(7)	(19)	(395)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable .....	(10,041)	7,758	(1,051)
Inventories, prepaid expenses and other current assets.....	(3,549)	(299)	3,573
Trade accounts payable, accrued expenses and note payable .....	508	4,370	(2,192)
Insurance and claims accruals.....	(6,180)	(1,639)	6,576
Net cash provided by operating activities.....	<u>32,851</u>	<u>65,869</u>	<u>58,585</u>
<b>Investing activities</b>			
Purchases of property and equipment.....	(37,325)	(57,186)	(32,338)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment.....	13,335	30,829	16,116
Change in other assets .....	(105)	(2)	(172)
Net cash used in investing activities.....	<u>(24,095)</u>	<u>(26,359)</u>	<u>(16,394)</u>
<b>Financing activities</b>			
Borrowings under long-term debt.....	66,502	120,689	155,278
Principal payments on long-term debt .....	(52,984)	(130,582)	(150,178)
Principal payments on capitalized lease obligations.....	(22,965)	(27,051)	(27,836)
Principal payments on note payable .....	(1,622)	(1,963)	(2,299)
Net increase (decrease) in bank drafts payable.....	1,178	(7,285)	246
Payments to repurchase Common Stock.....	--	--	(17,403)
Excess tax benefit (charge) from exercise of stock options.....	--	23	(12)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options.....	391	186	895
Net cash used in financing activities .....	<u>(9,500)</u>	<u>(45,983)</u>	<u>(41,309)</u>
Decrease (increase) in cash and cash equivalents.....	(744)	(6,473)	882
Cash and cash equivalents:			
Beginning of period.....	1,541	8,014	7,132
End of period.....	<u>\$ 797</u>	<u>\$ 1,541</u>	<u>\$ 8,014</u>
<b>Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:</b>			
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest.....	\$ 3,013	\$ 4,789	\$ 5,154
Income taxes.....	2,082	499	560
<b>Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:</b>			
Liability incurred for leases on revenue equipment.....	15,704	38,640	23,745
Liability incurred for note payable .....	1,352	1,710	2,046
<i>See accompanying notes.</i>			

# USA Truck, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2009

### 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### Description of Business

USA Truck (the “Company”) is a truckload carrier providing transportation of general commodities throughout the continental United States, into and out of Mexico and into and out of portions of Canada. Generally, the Company transports full dry van trailer loads of freight from origin to destination without intermediate stops or handling. To complement the Company’s General Freight operations, it provides dedicated, brokerage and rail intermodal services. For shipments into Mexico, the Company transfers its trailers to tractors operated by Mexican trucking companies at a facility in Laredo, Texas, which is operated by the Company’s wholly-owned subsidiary. Through the Company’s asset based and non-asset based capabilities, it transports many types of freight for a diverse customer base in a variety of industries.

#### Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary. All intercompany accounts and significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The Company has no investments in or contractual obligations with variable interest entities.

#### Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. The carrying amount reported in the balance sheet for cash and cash equivalents approximates its fair value. On occasion, the Company will accumulate balances in a money market account in an amount that exceeds the depository bank’s federally insured limit. Because these balances are accumulated on a short-term basis, the Company does not believe its exposure to loss to be a significant risk.

#### Accounts Receivable and Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company extends credit to its customers in the normal course of business. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations and generally does not require collateral. Trade accounts receivable are recorded at their invoiced amounts, net of allowance for doubtful accounts. The Company evaluates the adequacy of its allowance for doubtful accounts quarterly. Accounts outstanding longer than contractual payment terms are considered past due and are reviewed individually for collectibility. The Company maintains reserves for potential credit losses based upon its loss history and specific receivables aging analysis. Receivable balances are written off when collection is deemed unlikely. Such losses have been within management’s expectations.

Accounts receivable are comprised of a diversified customer base that results in a lack of concentration of credit risk. During 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company’s top ten customers generated 32%, 32% and 34% of total revenue, respectively. During the three year period ended December 31, 2009, no single customer represented more than 10% of total revenue. Other accounts receivable consists primarily of proceeds from the sale of revenue equipment. The carrying amount reported in the balance sheet for accounts receivable approximates fair value based on the fact that the receivables collection averaged approximately 31 days from the billing date.

The following table provides a summary of the activity in the allowance for doubtful accounts for 2009, 2008 and 2007:

	(in thousands)		
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Balance at beginning of year .....	\$ 204	\$ 81	\$ 96
Amounts (credited) charged to expense .....	313	135	(15)
Uncollectible accounts written off, net of recovery.....	(74)	(12)	--
Balance at end of year .....	<u>\$ 443</u>	<u>\$ 204</u>	<u>\$ 81</u>

## **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## **Inventories**

Inventories consist of tires, fuel, supplies and Company store merchandise and are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out basis) or market.

## **Income Taxes**

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax liabilities and assets include temporary differences relating to depreciation, capitalized leases and certain revenues and expenses. The Company has analyzed filing positions in its federal and applicable state tax returns as well as in all open tax years. The only periods subject to examination for its federal returns are the 2006, 2007 and 2008 tax years. The Company's policy is to recognize interest related to unrecognized tax benefits as interest expense and penalties as operating expenses. The Company believes that its income tax filing positions and deductions will be sustained on audit and do not anticipate any adjustments that will result in a material change to its consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Therefore, no reserves for uncertain income tax positions have been recorded. At January 1, 2008, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits and it has not recorded any through December 31, 2009.

## **Prepaid Tires**

Effective April 1, 2009, the Company changed its method of accounting for tires. Commencing when the tires, including recaps, are placed into service, the Company accounts for them as prepaid expenses and amortizes their cost over varying time periods, ranging from 18 to 30 months, depending on the type of tire. Prior to April 1, 2009, the cost of tires was fully expensed when they were placed into service. The new accounting method more appropriately matches the tire costs to the period during which the tire is being used to generate revenue. For the year ended December 31, 2009, this change in estimate effected by a change in principle resulted in a reduction of operations and maintenance expense on a pre-tax basis of approximately \$3.7 million and on a net of tax basis of approximately \$2.3 million (\$0.22 per share).

## **Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment is recorded at cost. For financial reporting purposes, the cost of such property is depreciated principally by the straight-line method using the following estimated useful lives: structures – 5 to 39.5 years; revenue equipment – 3 to 10 years; and service, office and other equipment – 3 to 20 years. Gains and losses on asset sales are reflected in the year of disposal. Revenue equipment acquired under capital lease is depreciated over the lease term. Trade-in allowances in excess of book value of revenue equipment are accounted for by adjusting the cost of assets acquired. Tires purchased with revenue equipment are capitalized as a part of the cost of such equipment, with replacement tires being inventoried and amortized under its prepaid tire policy.

The Company previously owned two facilities in the Dayton, Ohio market, one of which was not being used. During the third quarter of 2008, the Company recorded an asset impairment charge in the amount of approximately \$0.3 million to write down the unused asset's value to its estimated market value, net of costs of disposal. This write down is included in Other expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. On October 23, 2008, the Company entered into a contract to sell this facility, which closed during the fourth quarter of 2009.

During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company removed from service approximately 250 tractors. The reduction in the Company-owned fleet targeted those tractors with the highest miles and resulted in an impairment charge in the amount of approximately \$0.5 million relating to certain of those tractors. This write down, which adjusted the book value of the tractors down to their market value, is included in Other operating expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The Company disposed of all but one of those high mileage tractors during 2009.

## **Claims Liabilities**

The Company is self-insured up to certain limits for bodily injury, property damage, workers' compensation, cargo loss and damage claims and medical benefits. Provisions are made for both the estimated liabilities for known claims as incurred and estimates for those incurred but not reported.

The Company's self-insurance retention levels are \$0.5 million for workers' compensation claims per occurrence, \$0.05 million for cargo loss and damage claims per occurrence and \$1.0 million for bodily injury and property damage claims per occurrence. For medical benefits, the Company self-insures up to \$0.25 million per plan participant per year with an aggregate claim exposure limit determined by the Company's year-to-date claims experience and its number of covered lives. The Company is completely self-insured for physical damage to its own tractors and trailers, except that the Company carries catastrophic physical damage coverage to protect against natural disasters. The Company maintains insurance above the amounts for which it self-insures, to certain limits, with licensed insurance carriers. The Company has excess general, auto and employer's liability coverage in amounts substantially exceeding minimum legal requirements, and the Company believes this coverage is sufficient to protect against material loss.

The Company records claims accruals at the estimated ultimate payment amounts based on information such as individual case estimates or historical claims experience. The current portion reflects the amounts of claims expected to be paid in the next twelve months. In making the estimates of ultimate payment amounts and the determinations of the current portion of each claim the Company relies on past experience with similar claims, negative or positive developments in the case and similar factors. The Company re-evaluates these estimates and determinations each reporting period based on developments that occur and new information that becomes available during the reporting period.

### **Interest**

The Company capitalizes interest on major projects during construction. Interest is capitalized based on the average interest rate on related debt. Capitalized interest was \$0.05 million, \$0.06 million and \$0.02 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Interest expense was \$3.0 million, \$4.6 million and \$5.1 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

### **(Loss) earnings Per Share**

Basic (loss) earnings per share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding during the year. Diluted (loss) earnings per share is computed by adjusting the weighted average shares outstanding by Common Stock equivalents attributable to dilutive stock options and restricted stock.

### **Segment Reporting**

The service offerings provided by the Company relate to the transportation of truckload quantities of freight for customers in a variety of industries. The services generate revenue, and to a great extent incur expenses, primarily on a per mile basis. The Company classifies its business into the Trucking operating segment and the Strategic Capacity Solutions operating segment, which it previously designated as operating divisions. These two operating segments are aggregated into one segment for financial reporting purposes. Both Trucking and Strategic Capacity Solutions have similar economic characteristics and are impacted by virtually the same economic factors as discussed elsewhere in this report. Trucking consists primarily of the Company's General Freight and Dedicated Freight service offerings, as well as its Trailer-on-Flat-Car rail intermodal service offering. The Company previously referred to its Freight Brokerage operations as its "Strategic Capacity Solutions" division. The Company now uses "Strategic Capacity Solutions" to refer to the operating segment, which now consists primarily of its Freight Brokerage service offering and its Container-on-Flat-Car rail intermodal service offering. This service offering within the Strategic Capacity Solutions operating segment is intended to provide services that complement the Company's Trucking services, primarily to existing customers of its Trucking operating segment. Those complementary services consist of services such as freight brokerage, transportation scheduling, routing and mode selection. A majority of the customers of Strategic Capacity Solutions have also engaged the Company to provide services through one or more of its Trucking service offerings. The Company's Strategic Capacity Solutions operating segment represents a relatively minor part of its business, generating approximately 4.3%, 4.2% and 2.3% of total base revenue for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The Company began offering rail intermodal services during December 2007, and the operating segment into which those service offerings are classified depends on whether or not Company equipment is used in providing the services. If Company equipment is used, those results are included in the Company's Trucking operating segment (Trailer-on-Flat-Car). If Company equipment is not used, those results are included in the Company's Strategic Capacity Solutions operating segment (Container-on-Flat-Car). For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, rail intermodal service offerings generated approximately 2.4% and 1.2% of total base revenue, respectively.

The Company's decision to aggregate its two operating segments into one reporting segment was based on factors such as the similar economic and operating characteristics of its service offerings and its centralized internal

management structure. Except with respect to the relatively minor components of the Company's operations that do not involve the use of its tractors, key operating statistics include, for example, revenue per mile and miles per tractor per week. While the operations of the Company's Strategic Capacity Solutions service offerings do not involve the use of its equipment and drivers, it nevertheless provides truckload freight services to its customers through arrangements with third party carriers who are subject to the same general regulatory environment and cost sensitivities imposed upon the Trucking operations.

### **Revenue Recognition**

Revenue generated by the Company's Trucking operating segment is recognized in full upon completion of delivery of freight to the receiver's location. For freight in transit at the end of a reporting period, the Company recognizes revenue pro rata based on relative transit time completed as a portion of the estimated total transit time. Expenses are recognized as incurred.

Revenue generated by the Company's Strategic Capacity Solutions operating segment is recognized upon completion of the services provided. Revenue is recorded on a gross basis, without deducting third party purchased transportation costs, as the Company acts as a principal with substantial risks as primary obligor.

Management believes these policies most accurately reflect revenue as earned and direct expenses, including third party purchased transportation costs, as incurred.

### **Reclassifications**

In 2008, the Company included capitalized software development costs in the approximate amount of \$1.5 million in land and structures on its consolidated balance sheets. Capitalized software development costs have been appropriately reclassified as service, office and other equipment in the consolidated balance sheets, with no impact on the consolidated statements of operations, at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

### **New Accounting Pronouncements**

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued The FASB Accounting Standards Codification<sup>TM</sup> ("Codification"). The Codification is the source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. On the effective date, the Codification superseded all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become non-authoritative. The Codification is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009, and it has not had a material impact on the Company's financial reporting.

As set forth in the Subsequent Events Topic of the Codification, general standards have been established for the accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. In particular, the Subsequent Events Topic sets forth the period after the balance sheet date during which management should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, the circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements and the disclosures that an entity should make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. The Subsequent Events Topic is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009, and it has not had a material impact on the Company's financial reporting.

As required by the Derivatives and Hedging Topic of the Codification (ASC 815), enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows are now required. The enhanced disclosures requirement of the Derivatives and Hedging Topic became effective for the Company on January 1, 2009, and it has not had a material impact on its financial reporting.

## 2. Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets

Prepaid expenses and other current assets consist of the following:

	(in thousands)	
	December 31,	
	2009	2008
Prepaid tires (1) .....	\$ 3,726	\$ --
Prepaid licenses, permits and tolls.....	1,912	2,169
Prepaid insurance .....	1,355	1,307
Other.....	938	905
Total prepaid expenses and other current assets .....	<u>\$ 7,931</u>	<u>\$ 4,381</u>

(1) Effective April 1, 2009, the Company changed its method of accounting for tires. Commencing when the tires are placed into service, the Company accounts for them as prepaid expenses and amortizes their cost over varying time periods, ranging from 18 to 30 months depending on the type of tire. Prior to April 1, 2009, the cost of tires was fully expensed when they were placed into service.

## 3. Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company records derivative financial instruments in the balance sheet as either an asset or liability at fair value based on the active market in which the derivative financial instrument is traded, with classification as current or long-term depending on the duration of the instrument.

Changes in the derivative instrument's fair value must be recognized currently in earnings unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met. For cash flow hedges that meet the criteria, the derivative instrument's gains and losses, to the extent effective, are recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. The Company records the gains and losses in other operating expenses and costs in its consolidated statements of operations. (See also Note 4. Comprehensive (Loss) Income.)

On October 21, 2008, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$9.0 million with an effective date of October 21, 2008. The Company designated the \$9.0 million interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of its exposure to variability in future cash flow resulting from the interest payments indexed to the three-month London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"). The rate on the swap was fixed at 4.25% until January 20, 2009.

On February 6, 2009, the Company entered into a \$10 million dollar interest rate swap agreement with an effective date of February 19, 2009. The rate on the swap is fixed at 1.57% until February 19, 2011. The interest rate swap agreement is being accounted for as a cash flow hedge.

## 4. Comprehensive (Loss) Income

Comprehensive (loss) income consisted of the following components:

	(in thousands)	
	Year Ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
Net (loss) income.....	\$ (7,177)	\$ 3,140
Change in fair value of interest rate swap, net of income tax benefit of \$(79) for the year ended December 31, 2009, and net of income tax benefit of \$(40) for the year ended December 31, 2008 .....	(126)	(65)
Reclassification of derivative net losses to statement of operations, net of income tax of \$73 for the year ended December 31, 2009, and net of income tax benefit of \$(7) for the year ended December 31, 2008 .....	118	12
Total comprehensive (loss) income.....	<u>\$ (7,185)</u>	<u>\$ 3,087</u>

## Fair Value Measurements

(in thousands)

	Total Fair Value Assets (Liabilities) at 12/31/09	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Derivative Liabilities	\$ (61)	\$ --	\$ (61)	\$ --

The fair value of derivatives, consisting primarily of interest rate swaps as discussed above, is calculated using proprietary models utilizing observable inputs as well as future assumptions related to interest rates and other applicable variables. These calculations are performed by the financial institutions that are counterparties to the applicable swap agreements and reported to the Company on a monthly basis. The Company uses these reported fair values to adjust the asset or liability as appropriate. The Company evaluates the reasonableness of the calculations by comparing the yield curve from other sources for the applicable period.

### 5. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consist of the following:

(in thousands)

December 31,

	2009	2008
Salaries, wages, bonuses and employee benefits.....	\$ 3,966	\$ 4,118
Other (1) .....	5,042	8,040
Total accrued expenses .....	<u>\$ 9,008</u>	<u>\$ 12,158</u>

(1) As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, no single item included within other accrued expenses exceeded 5.0% of the Company's total current liabilities.

### 6. Note Payable

On October 21, 2009, the Company's Board of Directors approved an unsecured note payable of \$1.4 million bearing interest at 3.4%. The balance of the note payable at December 31, 2009, was \$1.0 million. The note, which is payable in monthly installments of principal and interest of approximately \$114,400, is scheduled to mature on September 1, 2010.

At December 31, 2008, the Company had an unsecured note payable of \$1.3 million. The note, which was payable in monthly installments of principal and interest of approximately \$145,600, was scheduled to mature on September 1, 2009, bearing interest at 4.8%. The note payable was paid in full in the approximate amount of \$0.6 million on May 8, 2009.

Both of these notes payable were used to finance a portion of the Company's annual insurance premiums.

### 7. Long-term Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following:

(in thousands)

December 31,

	2009	2008
Revolving credit agreement (1).....	\$ 46,718	\$ 33,200
Capitalized lease obligations (2) .....	55,859	63,120
	<u>102,577</u>	<u>96,320</u>
Less current maturities .....	(63,461)	(16,956)
Long-term debt, less current maturities.....	<u>\$ 39,116</u>	<u>\$ 79,364</u>

(1) Our Amended and Restated Senior Credit Facility provides for available borrowings of \$100.0 million, including letters of credit not exceeding \$25.0 million. Availability may be reduced by a borrowing base limit as defined in the Facility. At December 31, 2009, the Company had approximately \$46.7 million in borrowings, \$1.8 million in letters of credit outstanding, and \$51.5 million available under the Facility. The Facility provides an accordion feature allowing the Company to increase the maximum borrowing

amount by up to an additional \$75.0 million in the aggregate in one or more increases no less than six months prior to the maturity date, subject to certain conditions. Accordingly, the Facility can be increased to \$175.0 million at the Company's option, with the additional availability provided by the current lenders, at their election, or by other lenders. At this time, the Company does not anticipate the need to exercise the accordion feature or, if needed, it does not expect to encounter any difficulties in doing so. The Facility bears variable interest based on the type of borrowing and on the agent bank's prime rate, or the federal funds rate plus a certain percentage or the LIBOR plus a certain percentage, which is determined based on the Company's attainment of certain financial ratios. The interest rate on our overnight borrowings under the Facility at December 31, 2009 was 3.25%. The interest rate including all borrowings made under this Facility at December 31, 2009 was 1.46%. The interest rate on the Company's borrowings under the Facility for the year ended December 31, 2009 was 1.6%. A quarterly commitment fee is payable on the unused portion of the credit line and bears a rate which is determined based on the Company's attainment of certain financial ratios. At December 31, 2009, the rate was 0.2% per annum. The Facility is collateralized by revenue equipment having a net book value of \$178.5 million at December 31, 2009, and all trade and other accounts receivable. The Facility requires the Company to meet certain financial covenants and to maintain a minimum tangible net worth of approximately \$133.9 million at December 31, 2009. The Company was in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2009. The covenants would prohibit the payment of dividends by the Company if such payment would cause it to be in violation of any of the covenants. The carrying amount reported in the balance sheet for borrowings under the Facility approximates its fair value as the applicable interest rates fluctuate with changes in current market conditions.

The Facility matures on September 1, 2010. Accordingly, during the quarter ended September 30, 2009, we reclassified that debt from long-term to short-term. We anticipate that the pricing spreads on any new facility will be materially higher than our current spreads due to widely reported dislocations in the credit markets. We recently signed a term sheet, containing higher pricing spreads, with a bank to replace the facility and we are now working on definitive documents.

- (2) The Company's capitalized lease obligations have various termination dates extending through March 2013 and contain renewal or fixed price purchase options. The effective interest rates on the leases range from 3.2% to 4.8% at December 31, 2009. The lease agreements require the Company to pay property taxes, maintenance and operating expenses.

## **8. Leases and Commitments**

The Company leases certain revenue equipment under capital leases with terms of 42 months. At December 31, 2009, property and equipment included capitalized leases, which had capitalized costs of \$72.8 million, accumulated amortization of \$17.0 million and a net book value of \$55.8 million. At December 31, 2008, property and equipment included capitalized leases, which had capitalized costs of \$81.6 million, accumulated amortization of \$18.8 million and a net book value of \$62.8 million. Amortization of leased assets is included in depreciation and amortization expense and totaled \$10.7 million, \$13.0 million and \$14.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

At December 31, 2009, the future minimum payments under capitalized leases with initial terms of one year or more were \$18.6 million for 2010, \$20.8 million for 2011, \$19.3 million for 2012 and \$0.7 million for 2013. As of December 31, 2009, the remaining minimum capital lease payments were \$55.9 million, which excludes amounts representing interest of \$3.6 million. The current portion of net minimum lease payments, including interest, is \$18.6 million.

From time to time the Company enters into operating leases for certain facilities and office equipment. Rent expense under those operating leases was \$1.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007. At December 31, 2009, the Company was obligated to pay future rentals under those operating leases of \$0.6 million, \$0.3 million, \$0.2 million, \$0.03 million, \$0.01 million and \$0.3 million for 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 and thereafter, respectively.

Certain leases contain cross-default provisions with other financing agreements of the Company.

Commitments to purchase revenue equipment (including capital leases) and other fixed assets aggregated approximately \$31.7 million at December 31, 2009.

## 9. Federal and State Income Taxes

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

	(in thousands)	
	December 31,	
	2009	2008
<b>Current deferred tax assets:</b>		
Accrued expenses not deductible until paid.....	\$ 3,247	\$ 5,755
Equity Incentive Plan .....	303	360
Revenue recognition .....	283	204
Allowance for doubtful accounts .....	170	78
Total current deferred tax assets.....	<u>4,003</u>	<u>6,397</u>
<b>Current deferred tax liability:</b>		
Prepaid expenses deductible when paid.....	(3,041)	(1,680)
Total current deferred tax liability.....	<u>(3,041)</u>	<u>(1,680)</u>
Net current deferred tax assets .....	<u>\$ 962</u>	<u>\$ 4,717</u>
<b>Noncurrent deferred tax assets:</b>		
Capitalized leases .....	\$ 153	\$ 137
Interest rate swap .....	38	33
Non-compete agreement .....	107	129
Net operating loss .....	3,100	--
Total noncurrent deferred tax assets.....	<u>3,398</u>	<u>299</u>
<b>Noncurrent deferred tax liabilities:</b>		
Tax over book depreciation .....	(56,440)	(48,834)
Other .....	(31)	(28)
Total noncurrent deferred tax liabilities .....	<u>(56,471)</u>	<u>(48,862)</u>
Net deferred tax liabilities .....	<u>\$ (53,073)</u>	<u>\$ (48,563)</u>

For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company's effective tax rate decreased to 23.9% from 57.4%. This decrease of 33.5% was primarily due to a decrease in pre-tax income, which made the nondeductible items less of an impact to the overall tax rate. The change in the effective tax rate resulted in an increase of the deferred tax liability of approximately \$4.5 million and a decrease in the deferred tax asset of approximately \$3.8 million.

Significant components of the (benefits) provision for income taxes are as follows:

	(in thousands)		
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
<b>Current:</b>			
Federal .....	\$ (8,717)	\$ 2,443	\$ 156
State .....	(1,806)	507	32
Total current.....	<u>(10,523)</u>	<u>2,950</u>	<u>188</u>
<b>Deferred:</b>			
Federal .....	6,851	1,056	2,344
State .....	1,419	219	486
Total deferred.....	<u>8,270</u>	<u>1,275</u>	<u>2,830</u>
Total income tax (benefit) expense .....	<u>\$ (2,253)</u>	<u>\$ 4,225</u>	<u>\$ 3,018</u>

A reconciliation between the effective income tax rate and the statutory federal income tax rate is as follows:

	(in thousands)		
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Income tax at statutory federal rate .....	\$ (3,206)	\$ 2,504	\$ 1,074
Federal income tax effects of:			
State income taxes.....	136	(258)	(189)
Per diem and other nondeductible meals and entertainment.....	1,022	1,274	1,685
Other .....	194	(55)	(109)
Federal income taxes.....	(1,854)	3,465	2,461
State income taxes .....	(399)	760	557
Total income tax (benefit) expense .....	<u>\$ (2,253)</u>	<u>\$ 4,225</u>	<u>\$ 3,018</u>
Effective tax rate .....	<u>23.9%</u>	<u>57.4%</u>	<u>95.6%</u>

The effective rates varied from the statutory federal tax rate primarily due to state income taxes and certain non-deductible expenses including a per diem pay structure for drivers. Due to the partially nondeductible effect of per diem pay, the Company's tax rate will fluctuate in future periods based on fluctuations in earnings and in the number of drivers who elect to receive this pay structure.

#### 10. Employee Benefit Plans

The Company sponsors the USA Truck, Inc. Employees' Investment Plan, a tax deferred savings plan under section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code that covers substantially all employees. Employees can contribute up to 50% of their compensation, subject to statutory limits, with the Company matching 50% of the first 4% of compensation contributed by each employee. Effective April 1, 2009, the Company suspended its contribution match. Employees' rights to employer contributions vest after three years from their date of employment. Company matching contributions to the plan were approximately \$0.2 million, \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million for 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

#### 11. Stock Plans

The current equity compensation plans that have been approved by the Company's stockholders are its 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and its 2003 Restricted Stock Award Plan. The Company does not have any equity compensation plans under which equity awards are outstanding or may be granted that have not been approved by its stockholders.

The USA Truck, Inc. 2004 Equity Incentive Plan provides for the granting of incentive or nonqualified options or other equity-based awards covering up to 1,025,000 shares of Common Stock to directors, officers and other key employees. On the day of each annual meeting of stockholders of the Company for a period of nine years, which commenced with the annual meeting of stockholders in 2005 and will end with the annual meeting of stockholders in 2013, the maximum number of shares of Common Stock that is available for issuance under the Plan is automatically increased by that number of shares equal to the lesser of 25,000 shares or such lesser number of shares (which may be zero or any number less than 25,000) as determined by the Board. No options were granted under this plan for less than the fair market value of the Common Stock as defined in the plan at the date of the grant. Although the exercise period is determined when options are granted, no option may be exercised later than 10 years after it is granted. Options granted under this plan generally vest ratably over three to five years. The option price under this plan is the fair market value of the Company's Common Stock at the date the options were granted, except that the exercise prices of options granted to the Chairman of the Board are equal to 110% of the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the date those options were granted. The exercise prices of outstanding options granted under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan range from \$11.19 to \$30.22 as of December 31, 2009. At December 31, 2009, approximately 450,419 shares were available for granting future options or other equity awards under this plan. The Company issues new shares upon the exercise of stock options.

Compensation cost recognized in 2009 and 2008 includes: (a) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006 and (b) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to January 1, 2006. The compensation cost is based on the grant-date fair value calculated using a Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing formula and is amortized over the vesting period. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company recognized approximately \$0.2 million in compensation expense related to

incentive and nonqualified stock options granted under its plans. For each of the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company recognized approximately \$0.3 million in compensation expense related to incentive and nonqualified stock options granted under its plans. This compensation expense is included in salaries, wages and employee benefits in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

On January 28, 2009, the Executive Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company approved the USA Truck, Inc. Executive Team Incentive Plan. The Executive Team Incentive Plan consists of cash and equity incentive awards. The cash incentives will be awarded upon the achievement of predetermined results in designated performance measurements, which will be identified by the Committee on an annual basis. Executive Team Incentive Plan participants will be paid a cash percentage of their base salaries corresponding with the level of results achieved. As determined by the Committee on an annual basis, Executive Team Incentive Plan participants are also eligible for an annual Equity Incentive Award consisting of Company Common Stock, issued under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. The Equity Incentive Awards will consist of a combination of Restricted Stock Awards (“RSAs”) and Incentive Stock Options (“ISOs”). The value of the equity award to each participant will be granted fifty percent in the form of RSAs and fifty percent in the form of ISOs, as defined. To the extent options fail to qualify as “incentive stock options” under IRS regulations, they will be non-qualified stock options. Annual awards approved by the Committee will be granted quarterly and will vest one-third each year on August 1, beginning the year following the year in which the shares are awarded. The following grants were made in accordance with the terms of the Executive Team Incentive Plan:

Grant Date	Restricted Shares (1)	Number of shares under options (1)	Fair Market Value (2)
February 2, 2009.....	5,113	12,283	\$ 14.18
May 1, 2009.....	5,222	16,473	13.88
August 3, 2009 .....	4,997	15,291	14.50
November 2, 2009 .....	6,478	20,949	11.19

(1) Net of forfeited shares.

(2) Represents the closing price of the Company’s Common Stock on the dates of grant.

On February 1, 2010, the Executive Compensation Committee granted an award of 3,250 restricted shares and incentive stock options to acquire 11,222 shares of the Company’s Common Stock. These awards were valued at \$12.21 per share, which was the closing price of the Company’s Common Stock on that date.

Information related to option activity for the year ended December 31, 2009 is as follows:

	Number of Options	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (1)
Outstanding - beginning of year .....	221,300	\$ 16.24		
Granted .....	65,462	13.22		
Exercised .....	(42,200)	11.72		97,656
Cancelled/forfeited/expired .....	(43,116)	16.04		
Outstanding at December 31, 2009 ...	<u>201,446</u>	<u>16.25</u>	<u>2.7</u>	<u>\$ 70,282</u>
Exercisable at December 31, 2009 .....	<u>84,350</u>	<u>\$ 15.99</u>	<u>0.8</u>	<u>\$ 42,420</u>

(1) The intrinsic value of a stock option is the amount by which the market value of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price of the option. The per share market value of the Company’s Common Stock, as determined by the closing price on December 31, 2009 (the last trading day of the fiscal year), was \$12.52. The intrinsic value for options exercised in 2009 was \$97,656 and in 2008 was \$46,955.

(2) The fair value of the options exercisable at December 31, 2009 was \$0.5 million.

Information related to the weighted-average fair value of stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2009 is as follows:

	Number of Shares Under Options	Weighted- Average Fair
Nonvested options - December 31, 2008.....	113,100	\$ 7.97
Granted (1) .....	65,462	4.51
Forfeited .....	(10,066)	7.94
Vested .....	(51,400)	6.07
Nonvested options - December 31, 2009.....	<u>117,096</u>	6.87

(1) No options were granted in 2008 and the weighted-average fair value for options granted in 2007 was \$7.74.

The exercise price, number, weighted-average remaining contractual life of options outstanding and the number of options exercisable as of December 31, 2009 is as follows:

Exercise Price	Number of Options Outstanding	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Number of Options Exercisable
\$ 11.19	20,949	4.6	--
11.47	40,400	0.8	40,400
12.66	4,000	1.1	4,000
13.88	16,473	4.6	--
14.18	12,283	4.6	--
14.50	17,691	4.6	500
15.83	5,000	4.6	1,000
16.08	2,250	0.1	2,250
17.06	24,000	2.5	9,600
22.54	52,400	2.1	23,600
22.93	3,000	0.8	1,500
30.22	3,000	1.6	1,500
	<u>201,446</u>	<u>2.7</u>	<u>84,350</u>

The following assumptions were used to value the stock options granted during the years indicated:

	2009	2008	2007
Dividend yield .....	0%	--	0%
Expected volatility .....	36.5% - 53.1%	--	38.7% - 49.9%
Risk-free interest rate .....	1.4%	--	4.2% - 5.0%
Expected life (in years).....	4.13 - 4.25	--	3 - 9

Expected volatility is a measure of the expected fluctuation in share price. The Company uses the historical method to calculate volatility with the historical period being equal to the expected life of each option. This calculation is then used to determine the potential for the share price to increase over the expected life of the option. Expected life represents the length of time the options are anticipated to be outstanding before being exercised. Based on historical experience, that time period is best represented by the option's contractual life. The risk-free interest rate is based on an implied yield on United States zero-coupon treasury bonds with a remaining term equal to the expected life of the outstanding options. In addition to the above, the Company also includes a factor for anticipated forfeiture, which represents the number of shares under options expected to be forfeited over the expected life of the option.

The 2003 Restricted Stock Award Plan, which terminated on August 31, 2009, allowed the Company to issue up to 150,000 shares of Common Stock as awards of restricted stock to its officers, 100,000 shares of which had been awarded. The Chairman of the Board contributed 100,000 shares of his Common Stock to the Company for purposes of issuance under the 2003 Restricted Stock Award Plan. Shares issued as restricted stock awards under the 2003 Restricted Stock Award Plan consisted solely of shares of Common Stock contributed to the Company by its Chairman of the Board. Awards under the 2003 Restricted Stock Award Plan vested over a period of no less

than five years and vesting of awards is also subject to the achievement of such performance goals as set by the Board of Directors based on criteria set forth in the 2003 Restricted Stock Award Plan. Currently, the performance goals require the attainment of an annual retained earnings growth rate of 10.0% in order for the shares to qualify for full vesting (with 50.0% vesting if a 9.0% growth rate is achieved). The fair value of the 100,000 shares of Common Stock subject to the awards previously granted is being amortized over the vesting period as compensation expense based on management's assessment as to whether achievement of the performance goals is probable. To the extent the performance goals are not achieved and there is not full vesting in the shares awarded, the compensation expense recognized to the extent of the non-vested and forfeited shares will be reversed. The performance goal for 2008 was not met. As a result, no compensation expense was recognized for the 14,000 shares that were to have vested on March 1, 2009, based on 2008 performance. The shares remained outstanding until their scheduled vesting date of March 1, 2009, at which time their forfeiture became effective. For financial statement purposes, the previously recorded expense in the amount of \$0.2 million relating to the forfeited shares was recovered on December 31, 2008, the date on which it was determined that the achievement of the performance goal was not met. As a result, such shares have been recorded as treasury stock and are not reflected as nonvested shares in the table below as of December 31, 2008. As of September 30, 2009, management determined that the performance goal for 2009 will not be met. As a result, the 4,000 shares that were scheduled to vest on March 1, 2010 have been deemed to be forfeited. For financial statement purposes, the previously recorded expense in the amount of \$0.1 million relating to the forfeited shares was recovered on September 30, 2009, the date on which it was determined that the achievement of the performance goal would not be met. As a result, such shares have been recorded as treasury stock and are not included in the nonvested shares in the table below as of December 31, 2009. The shares will remain outstanding until their scheduled vesting date of March 1, 2010, at which time their forfeiture will become effective. Pursuant to the provisions of the Plan, any shares that remained in the Plan that were not subject to outstanding awards when the Plan terminated and any previously awarded shares that are forfeited after the Plan terminates are to be returned to Mr. Robert M. Powell, Chairman of the Board of Directors. Accordingly, the 38,000 previously forfeited shares were returned to Mr. Powell on September 1, 2009. The 4,000 shares which were deemed forfeited on September 30, 2009, will be returned to Mr. Powell on March 1, 2010, the effective date of their forfeiture.

The compensation expense recognized is based on the market value of the Company's Common Stock on the date the restricted stock award is granted and is not adjusted in subsequent periods. The amount recognized is amortized over the vesting period. The stock-based compensation expense (credit) that was recognized related to the Company's restricted stock awards was \$0.4 million, \$0.01 million and \$(0.2) million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and is included in salaries, wages and employee benefits in the consolidated statement of operations.

Information related to the 2003 Restricted Stock Award Plan for the year ended December 31, 2009 is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Fair Value
Nonvested shares - December 31, 2008 .....	8,000	\$ 27.66
Granted .....	--	--
Forfeited .....	(4,000)	27.66
Vested .....	--	--
Nonvested shares - December 31, 2009 .....	<u>4,000</u>	<u>27.66</u>

On July 16, 2008, the Executive Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company, pursuant to the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, granted thereunder awards totaling 200,000 restricted shares of the Company's Common Stock to certain officers of the Company. The grants were made effective as of July 18, 2008 and were valued at \$12.13 per share, which was the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on that date. Each participating officer's restricted shares of Common Stock will vest in varying amounts over the ten year period beginning April 1, 2011, subject to the Company's attainment of retained earnings growth. Management must attain an average five-year trailing retained earnings annual growth rate of 10.0% (before dividends) in order for the shares to qualify for full vesting (pro rata vesting will apply down to 50.0% at a 5.0% annual growth rate). Any shares that fail to vest as a result of the Company's failure to attain a performance goal will revert to the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan where they will remain available for grants under the terms of that plan until that plan expires in 2014.

Information related to the restricted stock awarded under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan for the year ended December 31, 2009, is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Fair Value
Nonvested shares – December 31, 2008.....	200,000	\$ 12.13
Granted .....	21,978	13.30
Forfeited .....	(168)	14.03
Vested.....	--	--
Nonvested shares – December 31, 2009.....	<u>221,810</u>	\$ 12.24

As of December 31, 2009, unrecognized compensation expense that related to stock options and restricted stock was \$0.3 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 2.7 years for stock options and 6.2 years for restricted stock.

## 12. (Loss) Earnings per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted (loss) earnings per share:

	(in thousands, except per share amounts)		
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
<b>Numerator:</b>			
Net (loss) income .....	<u>\$ (7,177)</u>	<u>\$ 3,140</u>	<u>\$ 140</u>
<b>Denominator:</b>			
Denominator for basic earnings per share – weighted average shares .....	<u>10,240</u>	10,220	10,596
<b>Effect of dilutive securities:</b>			
Employee stock options .....	--	18	55
	<u>--</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>55</u>
Denominator for diluted earnings per share – adjusted weighted-average shares and assumed conversions.....	<u>10,240</u>	10,238	10,651
Basic (loss) earnings per share .....	<u>\$ (0.70)</u>	<u>\$ 0.31</u>	<u>\$ 0.01</u>
Diluted (loss) earnings per share .....	<u>\$ (0.70)</u>	<u>\$ 0.31</u>	<u>\$ 0.01</u>
Weighted-average number of stock option shares not included in earnings per share calculation for the periods presented because their effect is anti-dilutive.....	<u>131</u>	117	132

## 13. Common Stock Transactions

On January 24, 2007, the Company publicly announced that its Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 2,000,000 shares of its outstanding Common Stock over a three-year period ending January 24, 2010. The Company may make Common Stock purchases under this program on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions at prices determined by its Chairman of the Board or President. The Board had previously approved an authorization, publicly announced on October 19, 2004, to repurchase up to 500,000 shares and the remaining balance of 264,000 shares was repurchased during the first quarter of 2007 at a total cost of approximately \$4.3 million. During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company did not repurchase any shares of its Common Stock. During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company repurchased a total of 834,099 shares of its Common Stock under the current authorization, at a total cost of approximately \$13.1 million. The Company's current repurchase authorization has 1,165,901 shares remaining.

On October 21, 2009, the Board of Directors of the Company approved an authorization for the repurchase of up to 2,000,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock expiring on October 21, 2012. Subject to applicable timing and other legal requirements, repurchase under authorization may be made on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions on terms approved by the Company's Chairman of the Board or President. Repurchased shares may be retired or held in treasury for future use for appropriate corporate purposes including issuance in connection with awards under the Company's employee benefit plans. The new authorization is in addition to the existing repurchase.

#### 14. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the amounts reported in the Company's consolidated balance sheets for its Senior Credit Facility and capital leases approximate their fair value.

#### 15. Litigation

The Company is a party to routine litigation incidental to its business, primarily involving claims for personal injury and property damage incurred in the transportation of freight. Though the Company believes these claims to be routine and immaterial to its long-term financial position, adverse results of one or more of these claims could have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flow.

On May 22, 2006, a former independent sales agent filed a lawsuit against the Company entitled *All-Ways Logistics, Inc. v. USA Truck, Inc.*, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas, Jonesboro Division, alleging, among other things, breach of contract, breach of implied duty of good faith and fair dealing, and tortious interference with business relations. The plaintiff alleged that the Company breached and wrongfully terminated its commission sales agent agreement with it and improperly interfered with its business relationship with certain of its customers. In early August 2007, the jury returned an unfavorable verdict in this contract dispute. The jury held that the Company breached the contract and awarded the plaintiff damages of approximately \$3.0 million, which was accrued during the quarter ended September 30, 2007. In its December 4, 2007 order, the court denied substantially all of USA Truck's motions for post-trial relief and granted the plaintiff's motions for pre-judgment interest, attorney's fees and costs in an amount totaling approximately \$1.7 million, which was accrued during the fourth quarter of 2007. The court's order also awarded the plaintiff post-judgment interest, of which the Company accrued approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. On January 2, 2008, the Company filed an appeal of the verdict and the court's order, and on September 25, 2008, it presented an oral argument before the 8<sup>th</sup> Circuit United States Court of Appeals seeking to overturn the verdict. On October 1, 2009, the Court of Appeals entered an order affirming the decision of the District Court. The total award in the amount of \$5.1 million was paid on October 19, 2009. On October 20, 2009, the Court issued its final mandate, effectively concluding the litigation.

#### 16. Quarterly Results of Operations (Unaudited)

The tables below present quarterly financial information for 2009 and 2008:

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	2009			
	Three Months Ended			
	March 31,	June 30,	September 30,	December 31,
Operating revenues.....	\$ 93,496	\$ 92,384	\$ 96,171	\$ 100,316
Operating expenses and costs.....	95,130	92,980	97,670	103,194
Operating (loss).....	(1,634)	(596)	(1,499)	(2,878)
Other expenses, net.....	862	710	616	635
(Loss) before income taxes.....	(2,496)	(1,306)	(2,115)	(3,513)
Income tax (benefit).....	(616)	(158)	(477)	(1,001)
Net (loss).....	\$ (1,880)	\$ (1,148)	\$ (1,638)	\$ (2,512)
Average shares outstanding (Basic).....	10,213	10,230	10,249	10,275
Basic (loss) per share.....	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.16)	\$ (0.24)
Average shares outstanding (Diluted).....	10,213	10,230	10,249	10,275
Diluted (loss) per share.....	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.16)	\$ (0.24)

Note - The above amounts have been previously reported in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q. Certain line items in those quarterly reports may not total the corresponding amount reported in this Annual Report on Form 10-K due to rounding.

(in thousands, except per share amounts)  
2008

	Three Months Ended			
	March 31,	June 30,	September 30,	December 31,
Operating revenues.....	\$ 127,238	\$ 146,127	\$ 146,089	\$ 116,167
Operating expenses and costs.....	128,647	141,017	140,238	113,571
Operating (loss) income .....	(1,409)	5,110	5,851	2,596
Other expenses, net.....	1,169	1,058	1,458	1,098
(Loss) income before income taxes .....	(2,578)	4,052	4,393	1,498
Income tax (benefit) expense.....	(632)	1,917	2,041	899
Net (loss) income.....	<u>\$ (1,946)</u>	<u>\$ 2,135</u>	<u>\$ 2,352</u>	<u>\$ 599</u>
Average shares outstanding (Basic) .....	10,211	10,220	10,223	10,225
Basic (loss) earnings per share .....	<u>\$ (0.19)</u>	<u>\$ 0.21</u>	<u>\$ 0.23</u>	<u>\$ 0.06</u>
Average shares outstanding (Diluted) .....	10,211	10,227	10,251	10,244
Diluted (loss) earnings per share .....	<u>\$ (0.19)</u>	<u>\$ 0.21</u>	<u>\$ 0.23</u>	<u>\$ 0.06</u>

Note - The above amounts have been previously reported in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q. Certain line items in those quarterly reports may not total the corresponding amount reported in this Annual Report on Form 10-K due to rounding.

## **Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

None.

### **Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

#### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We have established disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to our Company, including our consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to the officers who certify our financial reports and to other members of senior management and the Board of Directors. Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer (the “CEO”) and our Chief Financial Officer (the “CFO”), conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act). Based on this evaluation, as of December 31, 2009, our CEO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

#### **Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

No changes occurred in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2009, that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### **Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer and effected by the Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

1. Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;
2. Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and
3. Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our CEO and CFO, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the criteria set forth in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our management’s evaluation under the criteria set forth in Internal Control - Integrated Framework, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2009. The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009 has been audited by Grant Thornton LLP, an independent registered accounting firm, as stated in their attestation report, which is included herein.

#### **Design and Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms. In accordance with these controls and procedures, information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our

CEO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding disclosures. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2009, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

### **Attestation Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

#### **Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

Board of Directors and Stockholders  
USA Truck, Inc.

We have audited USA Truck, Inc. (a Delaware Corporation) and subsidiary, collectively, the “Company’s”, internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of USA Truck, Inc. and subsidiary, as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders’ equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009 and our report dated March 16, 2010, expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

Tulsa, Oklahoma  
March 16, 2010

**Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION**

There is no information that we are required to report, but did not report, on Form 8-K during the fourth quarter of 2009.

**PART III**

**Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

The sections entitled “Additional Information Regarding the Board of Directors – Biographical Information,” “Executive Officers” “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance,” “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners, Directors and Executive Officers,” “Audit Committee,” and “Corporate Governance and Related Matters” in our proxy statement for the annual meeting of stockholders to be held on May 5, 2010, set forth certain information with respect to the directors, nominees for election as directors and executive officers and are incorporated herein by reference.

Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (“Code of Ethics”), which applies to all directors, officers and employees, and sets forth the conduct and ethics expected of all affiliates and employees of the Company, is available at our Internet address <http://www.usa-truck.com>, under the “Corporate Governance” tab of the “Investors” menu. Any amendment to, or waivers of, any provision of the Code of Ethics that apply to our principal executive, financial and accounting officers, or persons performing similar functions, will be posted at that same location on our website.

**Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

The sections entitled “Executive Compensation,” “Director Compensation,” “Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation” and “Compensation Committee Report” in our proxy statement for the annual meeting of stockholders to be held on May 5, 2010, set forth certain information with respect to the compensation of management and Directors and related matters and is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

The section entitled “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners, Directors and Executive Officers” in our proxy statement for the annual meeting of stockholders to be held on May 5, 2010, sets forth certain information with respect to the ownership of our voting securities and is incorporated herein by reference. See “Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters,” of this annual report on Form 10-K, which sets forth certain information with respect to our equity compensation plans.

**Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**

The sections entitled “Certain Transactions” and “Additional Information Regarding the Board of Directors – Board Meetings, Director Independence and Committees – Director Independence” in our proxy statement for the annual meeting of stockholders to be held on May 5, 2010, set forth certain information with respect to relations of and transactions by management and the independence of our directors and nominees for election as directors and is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES**

The section entitled “Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm” in our proxy statement for the annual meeting of stockholders to be held on May 5, 2010, sets forth certain information with respect to the fees billed by our independent registered public accounting firm and the nature of services rendered for such fees for each of the two most recent fiscal years and with respect to our Audit Committee’s policies and procedures pertaining to pre-approval of audit and non-audit services rendered by our independent registered public accounting firm and is incorporated herein by reference.

## PART IV

### Item 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

**(a) The following documents are filed as a part of this report:**

**Page**

1. Financial statements.

The following financial statements of the Company are included in Part II, Item 8 of this report:

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008.....	39
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 .....	40
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 .....	41
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.....	42
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements .....	43

2. Schedules have been omitted since the required information is not applicable or not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or because the information required is included in the financial statements or the notes thereto.

3. Listing of exhibits.

The exhibits filed with this report are listed in the Exhibit Index, which is a separate section of this report, and incorporated in this Item 15(a) by reference.

Management Compensatory Plans:

-Employee Stock Option Plan (Exhibit 10.1)

-Executive Profit-Sharing Incentive Plan (Exhibit 10.2)

-1997 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan for Nonemployee Directors (Exhibit 10.3)

-2003 Restricted Stock Award Plan (Exhibit 10.4)

-Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement (Exhibit 10.5)

-USA Truck, Inc. 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (Exhibit 10.6)

-USA Truck, Inc. Executive Team Incentive Plan (Exhibit 10.8)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

USA TRUCK, INC.

(Registrant)

By: /s/ Clifton R. Beckham  
 Clifton R. Beckham  
*President and Chief Executive Officer*

By: /s/ Darron R. Ming  
 Darron R. Ming  
*Vice President, Finance and Chief  
 Financial Officer*

Date: March 16, 2010

Date: March 16, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
<u>/s/ Robert M. Powell</u> Robert M. Powell	<i>Chairman of the Board and Director</i>	March 16, 2010
<u>/s/ Clifton R. Beckham</u> Clifton R. Beckham	<i>President, Chief Executive Officer and Director</i>	March 16, 2010
<u>/s/ Darron R. Ming</u> Darron R. Ming	<i>Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial and accounting officer)</i>	March 16, 2010
<u>/s/ James B. Speed</u> James B. Speed	<i>Director</i>	March 16, 2010
<u>/s/ Terry A. Elliott</u> Terry A. Elliott	<i>Director</i>	March 16, 2010
<u>/s/ William H. Hanna</u> William H. Hanna	<i>Director</i>	March 16, 2010
<u>/s/ Joe D. Powers</u> Joe D. Powers	<i>Director</i>	March 16, 2010
<u>/s/ Richard B. Beauchamp</u> Richard B. Beauchamp	<i>Director</i>	March 16, 2010

## **EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibits to the Annual Report on Form 10-K have been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Copies of the omitted exhibits are available to any stockholder free of charge. Copies may be obtained either through the Securities and Exchange Commission's website: <http://www.sec.gov> or by submitting a written request to Mr. J. Rodney Mills, Secretary, USA Truck, Inc., 3200 Industrial Park Road, Van Buren, Arkansas 72956. If submitting a written request, please mark "2009 10-K Request" on the outside of the envelope containing the request.



## COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN\*

Among USA Truck, Inc., The Dow Jones US Index  
And The Dow Jones US Trucking Index



\*\$100 invested on 12/31/04 in stock or index, including reinvestment of dividends.  
Fiscal year ending December 31.

Copyright© 2010 Dow Jones & Co. All rights reserved.



## Officers and Directors

---

**Clifton R. Beckham**

President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

---

**Garry R. Lewis**

Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer

---

**Michael E. Brown**

Senior Vice President, Operations

---

**Darron R. Ming**

Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer

---

**Michael R. Weindel, Jr.**

Vice President, People

---

**J. Rodney Mills**

Vice President, Safety, General Counsel and Secretary

---

**Craig S. Shelly**

Vice President, Corporate Strategy

---

**Rick A. Davis**

Vice President, Information Systems

---

**Bryce C. Van Kooten**

Vice President, Sales

---

**Donald B. Weis**

Vice President, Human Resources

---

**Kevin J. Gates**

Controller

---

**Jeffery L. Burns**

Treasurer

---

**Robert M. Powell**

Chairman of the Board

---

**Richard B. Beauchamp**

Director (General Partner, Norris Taylor & Company, Accounting Firm)

---

**Terry A. Elliott**

Director (Retired Chief Administrative Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Safe Foods Corporation, Food Safety Company)

---

**William H. Hanna**

Director (President, Hanna Oil and Gas, Oil and Gas Exploration)

---

**Joe D. Powers**

Director (Retired Chairman and CEO of Merchants National Bank of Fort Smith, AR and Former Chairman of the Advisory Board of Regions Bank of Fort Smith, AR)

---

**James B. Speed**

Director (Retired Chairman of the Board, USA Truck, Inc.)



# Corporate Information

This annual report and the statements contained herein are submitted for the general information of shareholders of the Company and are not intended to induce any sale or purchase of securities or to be used in connection therewith.

Corporate Headquarters  
3200 Industrial Park Road  
Van Buren, Arkansas 72956  
Telephone: (479) 471-2500

Annual Meeting  
May 5, 2010  
10:00 a.m. local time  
USA Truck, Inc.  
3200 Industrial Park Road  
Van Buren, Arkansas 72956

Transfer Agent and Registrar  
Registrar and Transfer  
Company  
10 Commerce Drive  
Cranford, New Jersey 07016

Common Stock traded on the  
NASDAQ Global Select  
Market under the Symbol:  
USAK

Web Site  
<http://www.usa-truck.com>

**Upon written request of any shareholder, the Company will furnish without charge a copy of the Company's 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the financial statements and schedules thereto. The written request should be sent to J. Rodney Mills, Secretary of the Company, at the Company's executive offices, 3200 Industrial Park Road, Van Buren, Arkansas 72956. The written request must state that as of March 8, 2010, the person making the request was a beneficial owner of shares of the Common Stock of the Company.**

